## Exact G eneral Solutions to Extraordinary N-body P roblem s

ByD.Lynden-Bell & R.M.Lynden-Bell

School of M athem atics & Physics, The Queen's University, Belfast BT7 1NN, UK

The N<sub>p</sub>-body problem in which the force on the Ith body is  $F_I = k_J m_I m_J (x_J x_I) = r^3$  where r is the time dependent radius of the whole system is solved exactly for all initial conditions. Here, writing  $\overline{x}$  for the position of the barycentre,  $r^2 = M_{1}^{-1} m_I (x_I \overline{x})^2$ .

A lso solved are all N-body problems for which the potential energy is of the form V = V (r) for any function V where r is given above. The rst problem is the special case V / r<sup>-1</sup>. In all cases r vibrates for ever, just like the radius to a body which has angular momentum about a centre of attraction due to the potential V (r). V iolent Relaxation does not occur in these systems. When r vibrates as in a highly eccentric orbit the exact solution should prove to be a useful test of N-body codes but a severer test follow s.

We show further that when  $F_{pI}$  is supplemented by an inverse cubic repulsion between each pair of bodies  $k^{0}_{J\in I}m_{I}m_{J}(x_{J} x_{I})=(x_{J} x_{I})^{4}$  there is still no damping of the fundamental breathing oscillation which performs its non-linear periodic motion for ever. This is a special case of continually breathing systems with potentials  $V_{0}(r) + r^{2}V_{2}((x_{I} x_{J})=r)$ .

A remarkable new statistical equilibrium is found for systems whose size is continually changing!

K eywords: N-body system s; N ew ton; orbits; dynam ical system s; statistical m echanics; pulsating equilibria

#### 1. Introduction

In 1995 one of us (Lynden-Bell, R M . 1995, 1996) showed that the classical statistical mechanics of system s of N bodies interacting through mutual potential energies of the form

$$V = N F \begin{pmatrix} X \\ q_{I}^{2} = N \end{pmatrix}$$
(1:1)

could be exactly calculated for any chosen function F.By choice of a suitable function F, she found a case with a simple phase transition which was calculated for any N .

W hen the particles are of equal mass and when  $q_I$  measures the displacement of the I<sup>th</sup> particle from the barycentre  $\overline{x}$ ;  $q_I^2 = N$  may be rewritten as a mutual

Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A	(1996)	(Subm	itted)
Printed in Great Britain			1

potential energy

$$N^{1} q_{I}^{2} = N^{1} (x_{I} \overline{x})^{2} = X^{X} (x_{I} x_{J})^{2} = (12)$$

M ore than 300 years previously N ew ton show ed that the N-body problem in which the force on body I due to body J was

could be exactly solved with all the interactions present (New ton (1687), see also Chandrasekhar (1995)). New ton's case corresponds to a potential energy

$$V = \frac{1}{2}k \sum_{\substack{X = X \\ I < J}}^{X = X} m_{I}m_{J}(x_{I} = x_{J})^{2} :$$
(13)

W hen the masses are equal the expression (1.3) is the linear F case of expression (1.1) by virtue of (1.2). As the statistical mechanics associated with expression (1.1) is still beautiful even when F is any non-linear function, we are led to wonder whether Newton's exact solution to the N-body problem could be generalised to cover potential energies of the form V = V (r). Here V is any function and r is the mass weighted root mean square size of the system

$$r^{2} = M^{2} m_{I}m_{J}(x_{I} x_{J})^{2} = M^{1} m_{I}(x_{I} \overline{x})^{2}$$
(1:4)

where

$$M = {\overset{X}{m}}_{I} \text{ and } \overline{x} = M {\overset{I}{m}}_{I} {\overset{X}{m}}_{I} x_{I} : \qquad (1.5)$$

Following this idea we found a beautiful dynamics in 3N dimensional space which precisely m in ics norm all orbit theory in 3 dimensions.

#### 2. Solutions to the N-body Problem s

The equations of motion are

$$m_{I}x_{I} = 0V = 0x_{I}$$
: (2:1)

grovided V is a mutual potential energy, i.e., a function of the  $x_1 = x_3$  then  $@V = @x_T = 0 \text{ so } \overline{x} = 0 \text{ and}$ Τ

$$\overline{x} = \overline{x}_0 + ut$$
 (2.2)

 $\overline{x} = \overline{x}_0 + \text{ut} \tag{2.2}$  Writing  $q_I = x_I$   $\overline{x}$  we have  $r^2 = M$   $\prod_{P}^{P} m_I q_I^2$  so in centre of mass coordinates the equations of motion for the  $q_{I}$  are

$$m_{I}q_{I} = \frac{\varrho_{V}}{\varrho_{q_{I}}} = \frac{\varrho_{V}}{\varrho_{r}} \frac{m_{I}}{M} \frac{q_{I}}{r} : \qquad (2.3)$$

W e now invent a 3N dim ensional vector r of length r, whose com ponents are

$$\mathbf{r} = \prod_{m=1}^{r} \frac{\overline{m_{1}}}{M} q_{1}; \prod_{m=2}^{r} \frac{\overline{m_{2}}}{M} q_{2} ::: \prod_{m=1}^{r} \frac{\overline{m_{1}}}{M} q_{1} ::: : (2:4)$$

The rst 3 components of r involve the position of particle 1 and the next 3 Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A (1996)

2

particle 2, etc. Multiplying (2.3) by  $\frac{p}{M = m_{I}}$  we see that those equations for all I can be rew ritten using unit vector  $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ 

$$M r = \frac{@V}{@r} \frac{r}{r} = V^{0} \hat{r} : \qquad (2.5)$$

For insight please consult here the note added in proof.

Let and be indices that run from 1 to 3N . Then taking the antisymmetric product of (2.5) with rwe nd

$$M \frac{d}{dt} (r \underline{r} r \underline{r}) = 0$$

so the hyper-angular-m om enta (per unit m ass) are all conserved

$$r\underline{r} = L = const = L :$$
 (2:6)

These quantities are not just norm all angular momenta. They involve among others the x component of particle 1 multiplied by the rate of change of the x component of particle 2, i.e.,  $x_1x_2$  and also such terms as  $x_1y_2$ , etc. Only when both and are in the range 1, 2, 3 does L reduce to components of the norm all angular momentum of particle 1 (in that case). The trace of the square of the antisymmetric tensor L is

L L = 
$$2 r^{2} r^{2} r^{2}$$
 (r r)<sup>2</sup> =  $2L^{2}$ : (2:7)

Notice that if we were in 3 dimensions  $L^2$  would be just  $(r \underline{r})^2$  so it would then be the square of the speci c angular momentum .We shall refer to M L as the total hyper-angular-momentum and M L as the hyper-angular-momentum . Taking the inner product of equation (2.5) with  $\underline{r}$  and integrating, we obtain the energy equation

$$\frac{1}{2}M \underline{r}^2 + V (\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E} : \qquad (2.8)$$

Now

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} (\mathbf{r} \quad \mathbf{r})^{=2} = \mathbf{r} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{r}$$
(2.9)

so from (2.7)

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 = \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{r}^2 + \underline{\mathbf{r}}^2$$
(2:10)

and (2.8) may now be rewritten

$$\frac{1}{2}M (\underline{r}^2 + L^2r^2) + V = E :$$
 (2.11)

D i erentiating (2.11) and dividing by  $\underline{r}$  we get the equation of m otion for scalar r

M (r 
$$L^2 r^3$$
) =  $V^0(r)$ : (2:12)

This we recognise as the equation of motion of a particle of mass M and angular momentum M L in the central potential V (r). Equation (2.11) is of course its energy equation and it may be integrated in the form

$$t \quad t_0 = \frac{2}{P} \frac{dr}{2M^{-1} (E - V(r)) - L^2 r^2}; \quad (2.13)$$

which gives the standard relation for the periodicy function r(t). Equation (2.13) integrates nicely for the standard cases V / 1=r, V /  $r^2$  and the isochrone V / (b + s) <sup>1</sup> where  $s^2 = r^2 + b^2$ . These potentials may be supplemented by an extra term in  $r^2$  giving a  $r^3$  supplementary force but they comprise the only central orbits that can be solved involving nothing more complicated than trigonometric functions (Eggen et al. 1962, Evans et al. 1990). There are many others that involve elliptic functions, etc., but for them the expression (2.13) is as simple as the function. We shall return to (2.13) later but for the present we merely note that it determines the periodicy function r(t).

By analogy with norm alcentral orbits the form of equation (2.12) strongly suggests that we invent an angle such that

$$r^2 -= L$$
 : (2:14)

Then from (2.11) we eliminate t and integrate to nd

$$= \frac{\sum_{r_{m in}} r^{2} r}{r^{2} 2M^{-1} E + V(r) - L^{2} r^{2}}; \qquad (2.15)$$

just as in ordinary orbit theory. We dene by (2.15) and so there is no constant of integration. It gives as a function of r, or r as a periodic function of . Thus we have determ ined scalar r but we need to nd all components of the 3N vector r to determ ine the motion completely. A lthough  $V^{0}(r)=r$  may now be regarded as a known function of t nevertheless the form of (2.5) does not at rst look very encouraging, except for N ew ton's case for which  $V = \frac{1}{2} \text{kM}^{2} r^{2}$  so  $V^{0}(r)=r$  is constant. How ever, a wonderful simplicity will emerge for the general case too. For a moment we discuss N ew ton's case. Equations limited to this case will have the letter N appended to the equation number. Evidently (2.5) becomes

$$r = kM r$$
 (2:16N)

so each component of r vibrates harm onically, all with angular frequency  $p_{\overline{\rm kM}}$ . Thus each body orbits in an ellipse centred on the barycentre and m oving with it and the whole m otion relative to the barycentre is periodic with period 2 = kM. New ton obtained this solution by realising that the linear law of attraction, when suitably m ass weighted, gave a net total force on each particle due to all the others which was directed toward the barycentre and in constant proportion to distance from it.

A similar simplicity emerges in the general case when we solve for f = r=r as a function of . To do this we write

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{\hat{r}} + \mathbf{\underline{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \frac{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}} + \mathbf{\underline{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} = \frac{\mathrm{L}^2}{\mathrm{r}^3} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 \mathbf{\hat{r}}}{\mathrm{d}^2} + \mathrm{r} \mathbf{\hat{r}}; \qquad (2:17)$$

where two terms cancelled at the last step. Now scalar r is given by (2.12) so, inserting (2.17) into (2.5) and multiplying by  $r^{3}L^{-2}$ , we not the lovely result

$$d^{2}\hat{\mathbf{r}} = d^{2} + \hat{\mathbf{r}} = 0 : \qquad (2:18)$$

Thus the unit vector f vibrates harm onically in with period 2 . For New ton's

y Periodic when the system is bound.

case, and for the hyper-K eplerian case V / 1=r, the m agnitude r is also periodic with period 2 in .But m ore generally, as in the isochrone, r is periodic but with a period that is incom m ensurable with 2 (in general). The general solution of (2.18) is given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{A} \sin + \mathbf{B} \cos \tag{2.19}$$

where A and B are 3N -vector constants of integration. But f is a unit vector so

$$1 = A^{2} \sin^{2} + 2A \quad B \sin \cos + B^{2} \cos^{2} = \frac{1}{2} (A^{2} + B^{2})$$
$$\frac{1}{2} (A^{2} - B^{2}) \cos 2 + A \quad B \sin 2 :$$

Since this must be true for all we nd

$$A^2 = B^2 = 1$$
 and  $A = B = 0$ ; (2.20)

so A and B must be orthogonal unit 3N -vectors. There is a further restriction on these constants; because the  $q_{\rm I}$  are the centre of mass coordinates they must obey

$$0 = \underset{I}{\overset{X}{\underset{j}}} m_{I}q_{I} = \underset{I}{\overset{Y}{\underset{j}}} p_{\overline{m_{I}}} r_{3I 3+j} p_{\overline{M}} r \qquad \text{for } j = 1;2;3$$

therefore,

$$0 = {}^{X} p \overline{m_{I}} A_{3I} {}_{3+j}$$

$$0 = {}^{X^{I}} p \overline{m_{I}} B_{3I} {}_{3+j}$$
(2.21)

and

To construct constants A and B satisfying the constraints (2.20) and (2.21) we proceed as follows:

Take  $m_1$  to be the largest m ass; choose  $\overline{B} > 3$  and  $\overline{A} > 3$  arbitrarily, but set  $\overline{A}_j = \int_{I>1}^{P} \frac{m_1}{m_1} \overline{A}_{3I} _{3+j}$  for j = 1;2;3 and a sim ilar relation for  $\overline{B}_j$ . Then  $\overline{A}_j$  and  $\overline{B}_j$  satisfy (2.21) and so will  $\overline{A}$  and  $(\overline{B} \quad \overline{A})$  where , and are any scalars, since (2.21) are linear.

Now choose  $= \frac{1}{A} j^{1}$  and set  $A = \overline{A}$  so that A is a unit vector; furtherm one set  $B^{0} = \overline{B}$  ( $\overline{B}$  A) A so that  $B^{0}$  A = 0; nally normalise by writing B  $B^{0}$ with  $= \frac{1}{\overline{B}^{0}j}$ . Then A and B so constructed are the general unit vectors satisfying the constraints (2.20) and (2.21). Thus our general solution for r is

$$r = r\hat{r} = r(A \sin + B \cos ) \qquad (2.22)$$

Thus relative to the centre of mass every particle's orbit becomes a centred ellipse after a universal scaling by r<sup>1</sup>. This rescaling factor is time-dependent and r behaves like the radius to a particle in a central orbit which is an eccentric ellipse in the K eplerian case. This is our main result.

Dierentiating (2.22) with respect to tone nds that (using  $r^2 = L$ )

$$L \quad r\underline{r} \quad r\underline{r} = (B \ A \quad A \ B ) L :$$
 (2.23)

It is of interest here to count constants of integration. A and B together have 6N components but (2.20) and (2.21) give nine constraints, thus there are 6N -9 freedom s so far. In the solutions for r(t) and r() there are three further constants

L; E and  $t_0$ . Thus r(t) depends on 6N -6 constants. To these we may add the constants  $\overline{x}_0$  and u involved in the motion of the centre of mass, and our nal solution depends on 6N arbitrary constants. This equals the number of initial positions and velocities that determ ine the motion, which veri es that we have the general solution.

The solution is only fully explicit when we can carry out the integrations (2.13) and (2.15) which determine r and . We do not need this for Newton's case because we already gave the solution. When V / r  $^{1}$ , the hyper-K eplerian case, it is actually simpler to follow Ham ilton's treatment of the problem with his eccentricity vector later used by Runge in 1919 and Lenz in 1924 (see Ham ilton 1845, Laplace 1799, Goldstein 1976, or Chandrasekhar 1995). This was certainly known to Laplace and Bernoulliand can be traced to New ton. Them ethod avoids the awkwardness of having to treat the elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic cases separately. W ith V =  $kM^2 = r$  equation (2.5) becomes

$$r = kM \hat{r} = r^2$$
 (2:24K)

contracting with L we nd

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\mathbf{L} \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{k}\mathbf{M} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}} \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad \hat{\mathbf{r}}$$
$$= \mathbf{k}\mathbf{M} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \quad \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \quad :$$

Hence

$$L \underline{r} = kM (\hat{r} + e)$$
 (2.25K)

where e is a 3N -vector eccentricity. Contracting with r =r and using the antisymmetry of L we nd,

$$'=r = 1 + e \hat{r};$$
 (2.26K)

where

$$' = L^2 = (kM)$$
 : (2.27K)

If e is the m agnitude of e and is the angle between e and f, then this equation becomes the equation of a conic section in the r; plane, i.e.,

$$'=r = 1 + e\cos :$$
 (2.28K)

п

To dem onstrate that is indeed the angle called by that name previously, we rst square (2.25K) writing out L in full and using (2.7) on the left and elim inating e f via (2.26K) on the right. This gives just as for the 3 dim ensional case

$$L^{2}\underline{r}^{2} = k^{2}M^{2}(e^{2} - 1) + 2L^{2}M kr^{1}$$
;

. . . .

which we rewrite in the form of the energy equation (2.8):

$$\frac{1}{2}M \underline{r}^{2} \frac{kM^{2}}{r} = \frac{1}{2}\frac{k^{2}M^{3}(e^{2} 1)}{L^{2}} = E : \qquad (2.29K)$$

Remembering (2.10) we have

$$\underline{r}^{2} = 2 \frac{E}{M} + k \frac{M}{r} \frac{L^{2}}{r^{2}} = \frac{k^{2}M^{2}}{L^{2}} e^{2} \frac{1}{r} 1^{2} = (2.30K)$$

Dierentiating (2.28K)

$$\frac{L^2 \underline{r}}{kM} = e \sin (r^2 -);$$
 (2:31K)

but by (2.30K) and (2.28K)

$$\underline{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{M}}{\mathbf{L}} \,\mathrm{e}\sin \quad : \qquad (2.32\mathrm{K})$$

Thus  $r^2 = L$  and our new can only dier from our old one by a constant . Such a constant is irrelevant as it merely makes a transform ation

on our constants of the motion. The constraints (2.20) and (2.21) are invariant to such transform ations. Thus our orbit in 3N space is given by

$$r = \frac{1}{1 + e\cos}$$
 (A sin + B cos ): (2:33K)

This is our prime result and gives the  $x_1$  via (2.4) and (2.2). Relative to the centre of mass each particle's orbit lies in a plane and eliminating in favour of coordinates x and y in that plane we nd it is a conic section. When e < 1 the ellipse has in general neither its focus nor its centre at the centre of mass. This is clear from the geom etrical interpretation given in the note added in proof.

The relationships between r; and t are given as usual by Kepler's equation which one may derive from (2.13) and from (2.28K) and (2.31K):

$$dt = \frac{dr}{r} = \frac{d}{\frac{kM}{L}e\sin} = \frac{L^3}{k^2M^2} \frac{d}{(1 + e\cos)^2} : \qquad (2.34K)$$

Dening a = GM = (2) these give, writing  $r = a(1 e \cos)$ ,

t 
$$t_{m in} = GM (2)^{3=2} (esin);$$
 (2:35K)

and

$$= 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{0}{2} \frac{1}{1 + e} \tan \frac{1}{2} \frac{A}{2} ; \qquad (2.36K)$$

where is measured from pericentre. From this one deduces  $\cos = \frac{\cos e}{1 e \cos}$  and  $' = a(1 e^2)$ . The generalisations of these form ulae for the isochrone potential are given in the Appendix.

# 3. G eneralisation to B reathing System s and their new Statistical M echanics

W hen the potential is of the form  $y V_0(r) + r^2 V_2(r)$  equation (2.5) becomes

$$M r = V_0^0(r)\hat{r} + 2r^{3}V_2\hat{r} r^{3}\theta V_2 = d\hat{r}; \qquad (3.1)$$

y r is constrained by the barycentre constraint. It is understood that  $V_2$  takes values dependent only on the subspace to which  $\hat{r}$  is constrained

where the last term in the force is not hyperradial. Indeed since  $V_2$  is independent of r it is constant along each radial line so its gradient is autom atically perpendicular to r.W e therefore form a V irial theorem by taking the dot product of (3.1) with r and using

$$\mathbf{r} \ \mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} (\mathbf{r} \ \underline{\mathbf{r}}) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{dt}^2} (\mathbf{r}^2) \quad \underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 :$$
(3.2)

We thus obtain writing  $T = {P \over \frac{1}{2}M} \underline{r}^2$ 

$$\frac{1}{2}M \frac{d^2}{dt^2} (r^2) = 2T rV_0^0 + 2r^2 V_2 :$$
 (3.3)

But by energy conservation

$$T + V_0 + r^2 V_2 = E$$
; (3:4)

SO

$$\frac{1}{2}M \frac{d^2}{dt^2}(r^2) = 2E - \frac{1}{r}\frac{d}{dr}(r^2V_0)$$
 : (3.5)

Notice that  $V_2$  has disappeared from this equation which is now an equation for the scalar r only. This separation of the motion of the scaling coordinate r from the rest of the dynam ics only occurs when the potential is of the special form we have chosen with the 'angularly' dependent part scaling as r<sup>2</sup>. Multiplying (3.5) by dr<sup>2</sup>=dt and integrating

$$\frac{1}{4}M \quad \frac{dr^2}{dt} = 2Er^2 \quad 2r^2V_0 \quad M L^2; \qquad (3.6)$$

where the nalterm is the constant of integration and L has the same dimensions as our form er L whose part it plays. D ividing by  $2r^2$  we now have

$$\frac{1}{2}M (\underline{\mathbf{r}}^2 + \mathbf{L}^2 \mathbf{r}^2) + V_0(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E} :$$
 (3:7)

The equation of motion for r follows by di erentiation

$$M (r L^2 r^3) = @V_0 = @r:$$
 (3:8)

Thus r vibrates as though it were in a central orbit of a particle of mass M and angular momentum M L with a central potential  $V_0$  (r). Integrating (3.7) we see that for bound orbits r vibrates for ever with a period

$$P = \frac{dr}{2M^{-1}(E - V_0(r)) - L^2 r^2} :$$
 (3:9)

In the above we have assumed that  $L^2$  is positive and that  $V_0(r)$  is not so strongly attractive that it beats the centrifugal repulsion. Then for bound states there are normally simple zeros of the expression in the surd above. When the constant  $L^2$  is negative, one may change the nomenclature to make it positive by adding a constant K to  $V_2$  and subtracting  $K = r^2$  from  $V_0$  so as to leave V unchanged. While this makes  $L^2$  positive it may make  $V_0$  so attractive that r can reach the origin. If we again de ne an angle such that  $r^2 = L$  and plot an orbit for r in r; space, then such orbits approach the origin in nite time following logarithm is spirals (for small r). They re-emerge with still increasing

but with r now increasing on logarithm ic spirals. A lthough the time near the origin is nite, the increment in on passing through the origin is in nite. The outside turning points of these orbits will be just as for others.

The form of the potential energy in (3.4),  $V_0(r) + r^2 V_2(r)$  is the generalisation to 3N dimensions of the 3 dimensional potential  $V_0 + r^2 V_2(;)$  for which the radial motion separates from the transverse through the exact integral  $\frac{1}{2}mh^2 + V_2(;) = const$  where h = r + v. Further separations occur if  $V_2 = U() + W() = sin^2$ . Still further integrals can occur for special  $V_0$  such as the simple harm onic and the K epler potential, see Evans, 1990. In 3N (3 dimensions each separable potential gives an exactly soluble N-body problem .M arshall & W ojciechow ski show that the general case occurs in hyperellipsoidal coordinates in 3N (3 dimensions and that other cases, such as the hyperspherically separable one we have used, can be found as degenerate cases of hyperellipsoidal coordinates.

We now apply the general theory of this section to the case mentioned in the abstract for which

$$V_{0} = \frac{1}{2}k \int_{I < J}^{X X} m_{I}m_{J} (x_{I} - x_{J})^{2} = \frac{1}{2}kM^{2}r^{2}; \qquad (3.10)$$

and

$$r^{2}V_{2} = \frac{1}{2}k^{0} \int_{I < J}^{X X} m_{I}m_{J}(x_{I} x_{J})^{2};$$
 (3:11)

with k and  $k^0$  positive. Then we have the system of N bodies which attract each other linearly according to their separations and repeleach other with an inverse cubic repulsion. Since the scale r of  $x_1 = x_3$  cancels out in  $V_2$  we see that indeed  $V_2 = V_2$  (r). Thus the general theory applies and the period of r is given by (3.9) which gives

$$P = \frac{p_{max}}{kM} :$$
(3:12)

This is only half the period in because for a central ellipse r undergoes two oscillations as increases by 2.

Thus the radius of such a system will continue to vibrate for ever and shows no V iolent R elaxation (Lynden-Bell, 1967). With both attractive and repulsive forces present, it may be possible to get a giant lattice solution but the increased pressures near the centre will give interesting radial distortions to any such lattice as in a planet.

E ven though the above hyper-radial motions are simple the f motions are not, may show relaxation phenomena and we nd below that they may even achieve a slightly modiled form of equilibrium even though the scaling variable r continues to vibrate at large amplitude!

To see this we rst return to the general case with  $V_0$  (r) and  $V_2$  (r) any functions and look for the equations of motion of the r. These follow from (3.1) if we remember that

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \left( \mathbf{r} \mathbf{\hat{r}} + \mathbf{\underline{r}} \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right) = \mathbf{r} \mathbf{\hat{r}} + \frac{1}{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} \left( \mathbf{r}^2 \mathbf{\hat{r}} \right) ;$$

D. Lynden-Bell and R.M. Lynden-Bell

substituting this into (3.1) and eliminating r via (3.8) yields

$$M r^{2} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{d\hat{r}}{dt} = M L^{2} + 2V_{2} \hat{r} \frac{\partial V_{2}}{\partial \hat{r}} :$$

Putting r  $^{2}d=dt = d=d$  thism ay be rewritten as an autonom ous equation for r()

$$M d^{2}\hat{r}=d^{2} = M L^{2}\hat{r} + 2V_{2}\hat{r} \quad (V_{2}=0\hat{r}:$$
 (3:13)

The radial component of this equation is intelevant; it follows from the condition jtj = 1 and the transverse components. We now write  $Q_I = {}^{T} m_I = M q_I = r$  so that the  $Q_I$  are the pomponents of  $\hat{r}$  taken in triples. Then the centre of m ass constraint becomes  ${}_{I} {}^{T} \overline{m_I} Q_I = 0$ . When we expressed  $V_2$  in terms of our original  $x_I$  it depended only on di erences so  $V_2(x_1 + ;:::x_I + :::x_N + )$  was independent of . This means that when we write  $V_2$  as a function of our new coordinates  $Q_I$  it has the property that

$$V_2 \quad Q_1 + \frac{r}{M} \frac{\overline{m_1}}{r}; ::: Q_N + \frac{r}{M} \frac{\overline{m_N}}{M} r$$

is independent of . Dimensional time with respect of and then setting = 0 we deduce that

$${}^{x} p_{\overline{m_{I}}} @V_{2} = @Q_{I} = 0 :$$
(3:14)

Now consider the Lagrangian L (Q  $_{I}^{0}$ ; Q  $_{I}$ ) where Q  $_{I}^{0}$  = dQ  $_{I}$ =d and

$$L = \int_{1}^{X} \frac{1}{2} M Q_{I}^{(2)} V_{2} (Q_{1} ::: Q_{N}); \qquad (3:15)$$

and the Q  $_{\rm I}$  are subject to the constraints

$$\sum_{I}^{X} Q_{I}^{2} = 1 \text{ and } \sum_{I}^{X} p_{\overline{m} I} Q_{I} = 0 :$$
 (3:16)

Consider also the variational principle in  $-\text{time} \stackrel{R}{=} L(Q_{I}^{0};Q_{I})d = 0.$ Lagrange's equations are

$$M Q_{I}^{00} = @V_{2} = @Q_{I} + ()Q_{I} + ()^{p} \overline{m_{I}}; \qquad (3.17)$$

where and are Lagrange multipliers corresponding to the constraints (applied for all ). Multiplying (3.17) by  $p_{\overline{m}_{1}}$  and summing using (3.14), the second constraint equation (3.15) gives 0. Evidently (3.17) and (3.13) are equivalent since  $\hat{r} = (Q_{1}; Q_{2}:::Q_{N})$ . So (3.13) follows from the Lagrangian L. The energy' equation for the  $\hat{r}$  follows from  $r^{2}$  (3:4)  $\frac{1}{2}$  (3:6) when we use  $\underline{r}^{2} = r^{2} (d\hat{r}=dt)^{2} + \underline{r}^{2}$  and we get

$$\frac{1}{2}M = \frac{d\hat{r}}{d}^{2} + V_{2}(\hat{r}) = \frac{1}{2}M L^{2}; \qquad (3.18)$$

where by de nition of Q  $_{\rm I}$ 

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\mathbf{r}}}{\mathrm{d}}^{2} = \sum_{\mathrm{I}}^{\mathrm{X}} Q_{\mathrm{I}}^{02} :$$

In the statistical mechanics that follows we shall be concerned with the case in which N  $\,$  is large so that there are many terms in this sum .

#### (a) Statistical Equilibrium of a system in large amplitude oscillation

In one sense it is surprising that a system may achieve a statistical equilibrium while its scale continues to oscillate (or in the unbound case evolve). However this only occurs for these special systems in which the large scale oscillations separate from the rest of the dynamics and only then when the potential  $V_2$  is su ciently complicated to give quasi-ergodic motion in f.Once the r motion has been separated o, we have shown that the motion of Q  $_{\rm T}$  follows from a Lagrangian in -time and that  $V_2$  can be any function of the normalised relative coordinates, so it can achieve the necessary complications. Since the Q  $_{\rm I}$  m otion pays no attention to the r m otion there is no reason why it should not achieve such an equilibrium .W hether such an equilibrium is a therm alequilibrium or not depends on sem antics. The quantity shared by the di erent Q  $_{\rm I}$  is not energy but hyper-angular-m om entum, M  $L^2$ , and the time is replaced by but, as we shall see, the equilibrium remains at all phases of the oscillation and does not depend on the tim escale of the relaxation as com pared with the period of oscillation. In m any respects it is a true generalisation of the usual concept of statistical equilibrium albeit with su cient di erences to make it interesting. We have N;Q I subject to the 4 constraints v

$$p_{\overline{m}} Q_{I} = 0$$
(3.19)

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ Q_{I}^{2} = 1; \end{array} (3.20)$$

and having equations of motion following the Lagrangian (3.15) and having energy' given by (3.18). When there are very many particles present the xed centre of mass constraints (3.19) are unimportant. They only give changes of order 1=N in the results and are in any case statistically satis ed by the equilibrium found without imposing them. As they signi cantly complicate the arguments while adding very little to the result we shall now solve the problem when only the constraint (3.20) is imposed and we shall specialize to an interaction  $V_2$  equivalent to a hard sphere gas. That is we shall take  $V_2$  to be negligible at any one time but nevertheless to be present to perform its role of redistributing hyperangular-momentum. Then by (3.18) our energy' equation takes the form

$$X \qquad Q_{I} \stackrel{(2)}{=} L^{2}$$
;

where on the right we have a conserved quantity.

As far as the statistical mechanics is concerned the Q<sub>I</sub> are equivalent, so we invent a 6 dimensional phase space Q;Q<sup>0</sup> and divide it into cells of equal volume. The number of ways W of putting  $n_a$  distinguishable particles in the  $a^{th}$  cell centred on Q<sub>a</sub>;Q<sup>0</sup> is

$$W = N ! n_a! :$$

W e m axim ise W subject to the constraints

$$n_{a} = N$$

$$n_{a}Q_{a}^{0} = L^{2}$$

$$n_{a}Q_{a}^{2} = 1$$

$$(3.21)$$

$$n_{a}Q_{a}^{2} = 1$$

and using Lagrange multipliers  $\sim;\frac{1}{2}\sim;\frac{1}{2}\sim$  for the constraints we obtain

$$\ln W \qquad n_{a} \left( - + \frac{1}{2} \, {}^{\circ} Q_{a}^{02} + \frac{1}{2} \, {}^{\circ} Q_{a}^{2} \right) = 0 :$$

The norm aluse of Stirling's theorem then leads to

$$n_a = \exp \left[ \begin{array}{c} n \\ \sim 1 + \frac{1}{2}Q_a^{02} + \frac{1}{2}Q_a^{2} \right]^2 \right]$$
 (3.22)

Returning to the constraint equations (3.21) we see that they are the same with  $Q_a^{\ Q}=L^2$  and  $Q_a^2$  interchanged. Hence when we solve them for  ${}^{-}L^2$  and  ${}^{-}$  we inevitably nd those to be equal. If we now pass to a continuous distribution function f (Q;Q<sup>0</sup>) in our six-dimensional phase space by replacing  $n_a$  by f (Q;Q<sup>0</sup>) $d^3Q d^3Q^0$  we nd

$$f(Q;Q^{0}) = A \exp \left[ -\frac{1}{2} (Q^{0} + L^{2}Q^{2}) \right]$$
: (3.23)

W here Q <sup>(2)</sup> stands for any one of the equivalent Q  $_{I}^{(2)}$  and Q  $^{2}$  stands for one of the equivalent Q  $_{I}^{2} = \frac{m_{T}}{M}q_{I}^{2} = r^{2}$ . We shall now re-express the Q  $_{I}^{0}$  in terms of the  $q_{I}$  and the  $q_{I}$ 

$$Q_{I}^{02} = \frac{m_{I}}{M} \frac{q_{I}}{r} = \frac{r^{4}}{M} m_{I} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{q_{I}}{r} = \frac{r^{2}}{M} m_{I} \frac{q_{I}}{r^{2}} = \frac{r^{2}}{M} m_{I} q_{I} \frac{r}{r^{2}} = \frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}}$$

Inserting these expressions into  $(3\,23)$  and writing H for the Hubble' expansion rate <u>r</u>=r, which is of course time dependent in our problem, the expression becom essim plest written in terms of the peculiar velocity  $v_{I}$  relative to the Hubble ow

$$v_{\mathrm{I}} = \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad \mathrm{H} \, \mathbf{q}_{\mathrm{I}} : \tag{3.24}$$

The distribution of  $v_{\,\mathrm{I}}$  and  $q_{\,\mathrm{I}}$  at given r is then

$$f(v_{I};q_{I};r) = A \exp \left(\frac{-r^{2}}{M} - \frac{1}{2}m_{I}v_{I}^{2}\right) - \frac{-L^{2}}{r^{2}} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{m_{I}}{M}q_{I}^{2} = (3.25)$$

r is continually changing in time and by no means slow ly, nevertheless ~ and  $L^2$  are exactly constant however slow ly the interactions have led to the equilibrium distribution.W e notice that at each r the peculiar velocity  $v_I$  with respect to the Hubble' ow H  $q_I$  is M axwellianly distributed with a temperature proportional to r<sup>2</sup> and the heavier particles move more slow ly with respect to that ow. Likew ise m  $_I^{1=2}q_I$  are G aussian ly distributed with a dispersion proportional to r. The value of ~ is readily deduced from the condition  $\frac{m_I}{M}q_I^2 = r^2$  which gives ~ = (3N {1)=L<sup>2</sup>.By (3.23) f is unchanging so there is no entropy change. Here the

1 follows from the fact that there are 3N {1 independent  $Q_I$  components when the constraint (3.20) is imposed. H ad we imposed also the barycentre constraints we would have found 3N {4. The sharing of  $L^2$  without the sharing of energy has arisen before in C om etary Theory (see R auch & Trem aine, 1996).

#### 4. Conclusions

It is natural to ask whether the new form of equilibrium (3.25) can be generalised to the Hubble ow of relativistic cosm ology and to look for connections with the interesting fact that the cosm ic background radiation remains in equilibrium during cosm ic expansion and creates no entropy while a classical gas creates entropy during uniform expansion due to its bulk viscosity. These are beyond the scope of this paper but we hope they show that exploration of som ewhat esoteric N-body problem s can have considerable interest outside classical dynam ics. R eaders will have appreciated the beauty of these N-body problem s treated exactly in 3N dimensions as well as the novelty of the unusual statistical mechanics of system s with isotropic expansions as seen in the distribution (3.25) where the velocities are those relative to the time-dependent ow as given by (3.24).

#### Appendix A.

M otion in Henon's (1959) isochrone potential  $V = GM^2 = (b + s)$  where  $s^2 = r^2 + b^2$ . We write = E = M for the speci c energy. We need to evaluate the integral (2.13) for t and (2.15) for . If we write

$$a = GM = (2);$$
 (A1)

and put

$$(1 e^2) = L^2 = [2 (a b)^2];$$
 (A2)

then the surd in (2.13) r is

$$S = [2 (s^{2} b^{2}) + 2GM (s b) L^{2}]^{1=2} = (2)^{1=2} [(a b)^{2}e^{2} (s a)^{2}]^{1=2}; (A3)$$

so we write

$$(s a) = (a b)e\cos ;$$
 (A 4)

and (2.13) becomes

t 
$$t_0 = (s=S)ds = a(2)^{1=2}((1 b=a)esin)$$
: (A5)

Putting

= 
$$(2)^{1=2} = a = (GM = a^3)^{1=2} = (2)^{3=2} = GM$$
; (A 6)

then 2 = is the radial period as for K epler's case and

7.

$$(t t_0) = (1 b=a)esin;$$
 (A7)

which we recognise as the generalisation of K epler's equation (b = 0). Both in K epler's case and for the isochrone, direct evaluation of (2.15) in term s of gives untidy form ulae (cf. (A 17)). In the K eplerian case one uses 1=r as the integration variable to get '=r = 1 + e cos . For the isochrone one has from (2.15)

$$= \frac{1}{2}L^{2} \frac{1}{s + b} + \frac{1}{s + b} \frac{ds}{s};$$
 (A8)

and we need to use  $\frac{1}{s \ b}$  and  $\frac{1}{s+b}$  instead of 1=r.We therefore use two new angles and  $_{+}$  and rewrite (A 4) in terms of  $a_p$  the pericentric value of s b.

$$p = \frac{1}{r^2 + b^2} \quad b = s \quad b = \frac{a_p}{1 - e} (1 - e^{\cos s}) = \frac{a_p (1 + e)}{1 + e^{\cos s}} = 2b + \frac{(a_p + 2b) (1 + e_{+})}{(1 + e_{+} \cos s_{+})}$$
(A 9)

where

14

$$a_p = (a \ b) (1 \ e) ;$$
 (A 10)

and, taking apocentre values and writing

$$f = \frac{2b}{a_p}; \qquad (A 11)$$

we nd

$$\frac{1+f}{1-e_{+}} = \frac{1}{2}(1+f) + \frac{1}{1-e} :$$
 (A12)

The two parts of the integral (A8) then give

$$= \frac{1}{2} + p \frac{L}{L^2 + 4GM b} + (A 13)$$

Since both and  $_{_+}$  , (and ) increase by 2 in one radial period we nd that increases by

$$= 1 + \frac{L}{E^2 + 4GMb} < 2 :$$
 (A14)

Only when b = 0 is = 2 and then both and  $_+$  reduce to . Thus the orbits do not close (unless  $p \frac{L}{L^2 + 4GM b}$  is rational) and they form rosettes with inner and outer radii of

$$r_p = \frac{q}{a_p (a_p + 2b)}$$
 and  $r_a = \frac{q}{a_a (a_a + 2b)}$ ; (A15)

where

$$a_a = a_p (1 + e) = (1 e) = (a b) (1 + e)$$
: (A16)

If in place of inventing and  $_{+}$  we proceed with (A4), we obtain the ugly form ula (cf. (2.36K))

$$= \tan^{1} e^{0} \frac{1}{1+e} \tan^{2} = 2^{A} + \frac{L}{p} \frac{L}{L^{2} + 4GMb} \tan^{1} \frac{s}{1+e_{+}} \frac{1}{1+e_{+}} \tan^{2} = 2;$$

(A 17)

which is term for term the same as (A13).

One may show from the above form ulae that

$$\frac{L}{L^{2} + 4GMb} = \frac{5}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{e^{2}}{e^{2}} \frac{e_{+}}{e} :$$
 (A 18)

To get the general solution to the N-body problem one procedure is to take a value of , from that determ inet from (A 5), s from (A 4) and  $r = \frac{1}{s^2} \frac{b^2}{b^2}$  and nally from (A 17). We nally have

$$r = r(A \sin + B \cos)$$

as before. (A 9) gives the basic relationship between r;s; ; and  $_{+}$  whereas (A 13) relates to and  $_{+}$  and (A 5) relates t to .

Exact General Solutions to Extraordinary N-body Problem s

#### References

Chandrasekhar, S., 1995, Newton's Principia, p 62 & p 215, Oxford U.P.

Eggen, O.J., Lynden-Bell, D. & Sandage, A.R., 1962, Astrophys. J., 136, 748.

Evans, N.W., 1990, Phys. Rev. A, 41, 5666.

Evans, N W ., de Zeeuw, P.T. & Lynden-Bell, D., 1990, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc., 244, 111.

Goldstein, H., 1976, Am. J. Phys., 44, 1123.

Hamilton, W. R., 1845, Proc. R. Irish Acad., Vol. III (1847), Appendix p 34.

Henon, M., 1959, Ann. d'Ap., 22, 126.

Laplace, P.S., Traite de Mechanique Celeste, Vol. I, Paris 1799.

Lynden-Bell, D., 1967, Mon. Not. R. Astr. Soc., 136, 101.

Lynden-Bell, R M ., 1995, M olecular Physics, 86, 1353{1374.

Lynden-Bell, R M., 1996, Gravitational Dynamics, pp 35{42, Eds. Lahav, O., Terlevich, E. & Terlevich, R J., Cambridge U P.

Marshall I. & Wojciechowski, S., 1988, J. Math. Phys., 29, 1338.

Newton, I., 1687, Principia, Proposition 64, Royal Society, London.

Rauch, K. & Tremaine, S.D., 1996, New Astronomy, 1, 149.

### Note Added in Proof

G eom etrical Insight gives greater clarity. From (2.5), the problem is a central one both in 3N dimensions and for each particle. Together the initial r and <u>r</u> de ne a plane in 3N dimensions through the centre. The central force lies in that plane so all the motion continues in it. The motion is just planar motion under the potential V (r) so we get the usual orbit. The orbit of each particle is the projection of this 3N planar orbit onto the orbital plane of that particle (that has most of the 3N coordinates xed at zero). Thus in all cases the particle orbits are projections of the 3N planar orbit which is a familiar one. If this is an ellipse with the barycentre as its focus, then the particle orbits will be the projected ellipse but the projection does not preserve the barycentre as its focus. Sim ilarly if the 3N orbit is a rosette, the individual particle orbits will be projected rosettes, i.e., rosettes between sim ilar ellipses. That will happen for most potentials, e.g., the isochrone.

15