The Kondo-Hubbard model at half-lling

J.Perez-Conde^a, F.Bouis^b and P.P feuty^b.

a-Depto. de F sica, Universidad Publica de Navarra, E-31006, Pam plona, Spain b-Laboratoire Leon Brillouin - CE-Saclay 91191, Gif-sur-Yvette, France

We have analyzed the antiferrom agnetic (J > 0) K ondo-H ubbard lattice with the band at half-lling by means of a perturbative approach in the strong coupling limit, the small parameter is an arbitrary tight-binding band. The results are valid for any band shape and any dimension. We have obtained the energies of elementary charge and spin excitations as well as the magnetic correlations in order to elucidate the magnetic and charge behaviour of the K ondo lattice at half-lling. Finally, we have brie y analyzed the ferrom agnetic case (J < 0), which is shown to be equivalent to an elective antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg m odel.

We consider here the K ondo lattice model rst introduced to describe heavyferm ion systems [1], but studied here for the particular half-lled case with one band electron per site so that the model can describe an insulator with K ondo like properties [2]. The H am iltonian consists in a periodic lattice of magnetic atom s in a metallic background modelled by f orbitals and a tight-binding band respectively. The two systems interact through an exchange coupling constant J between the spin density of the band electrons and the spin of the magnetic atom s. Additionally, we introduce a C oulom b intra-site repulsion between the electrons of strenght U. The model H am iltonian is,

$$H = \sum_{\substack{i;j;s}}^{X} t_{ij} c_{is}^{y} c_{js} + J \sum_{i}^{X} s_{ci} \qquad \sum_{i} + U \sum_{i}^{X} c_{i\#}^{y} c_{i\#} c_{i"}^{y} c_{i"} = H_{t} + H_{0}:$$

The rst term is the tight-binding band where $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ is an unrestricted hopping parameter ($t_{ii} = 0$). The second term is the spin-spin interaction where $s_{ci} = \frac{1}{2}c_{is}^{y} s_{s}^{0}c_{is}$ is the spin density of the conduction electrons, ^a are the Pauli matrices and S_{fi} ($S_{f} = 1=2$) is the spin of the magnetic in purities.

In the $t_{ij} = 0$ lim it the sites are uncorrelated and we have just to obtain the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the one-site H am iltonian $h_{0i} = Js_{ci}$ $s_i + Un_{i\#}n_{i"}$. We obtain the complete solution, eight eigenstates: a singlet state s_{ii} with energy

3J=4, two doublets fjd_{0i}i; jd_{2i}ig with energy 0 and U respectively, and nally a triplet ff⁰_ii; f_i ig with energy J=4.

From the one site solution we can build and classify by inspection all the eigenstates of the complete H am iltonian H $_0$. We restrict the analysis to the half-lled band in any dimension. The ground state in this limit is a non degenerate singlet which describes an insulator without any magnetic structure. This limit seems appropriate to describe qualitatively some of the properties of the FeSi [2] at zero tem perature.

W hen we introduce the band term the sites are correlated and the physical picture can be modiled. We are interested in the magnetic and insulating behavior of the system and therefore we have obtained the spin and charge energy gaps and the spinspin correlations. The spin gap is dened as the dilerence between the energies of the ground state and the triplet state, $_{S} = E_{0} (N; S = 1) = E_{0} (N; S = 0)$. The zero order ($t_{ij} = 0$) value of $_{S}$ is J and it corresponds to the dilerence between the energy of the levence of hopping. Hence, we need to apply the perturbation form alism corresponding to the degenerate case, then obtain an elective H am iltonian in the S = 1 subspace (see [6] and [7] for details) and nally, we get the following expression for the spin excitation energy,

$$_{S}(q) = J + (2B_{1}^{S=1} + 2B_{2}^{S=1})_{1} 2B_{2}^{S=1}(q):$$
 (1)

where, $_{1} = \frac{R}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d}q}{(2)^{d}} [(q)]^{2}$; $_{(2)}^{(2)} (q) = \frac{R}{(2)^{d}} \frac{d^{d}q^{0}}{(2)^{d}} (q^{0} + q) (q^{0}), B_{1}^{S=1} = 1 = (3J + U)$ and $B_{2}^{S=1} = 1 = (J + 2U)$ and (q) is the band dispersion.

This expression is q-dependent. We could then de ne the absolute gap as the minimal value of (1), $_{\rm S}$ (q_{min}), which corresponds to a value of the wave vector q_{min} which minimizes the energy. The nearest-neighbor case gives, $_{\rm S}$ (q) = J 20t² (3J)d. From this result on one hand one could think that there exist a phase transition between the triplet and the singlet state. On the other hand it is believed that the

half-led K ondo lattice in one dimension at zero tem perature is a spin liquid [3, 8], so that the spin gap is non zero for any $J \in 0$. The contradiction is due, of course, to the poor approximation to the true gap we have obtained. Nevertheless, if we accept the existence of the spin gap, we can deduce that our result is qualitatively good even for moderate values of J=t (at J = 3 the gap is always positive, so there is no transition). When more terms in the series in t=J for the spin gap will be available, an analysis of the series should tell us about the critical value $(t=J)_c$ at which the spin gap closes and a magnetic phase transition takes place. A nother interesting point is that even when J is small and U is su ciently large we get a non zero spin gap so that the K ondo insulator behavior could be obtained in a K ondo lattice system with sm all K ondo coupling if the conduction electrons are by them self strongly correlated: 0 then $B_1^{S=1} = B_2^{S=1} = 1 = (2U)$, so that $t_S = t^2 = U > 0$. The spin-spin when J correlations between the magnetic atoms have been also obtained for the nearestneighbor hopping, hS_{fi} $s_{i} = (5=6) (t=J)^2$ (we write the result in the simple case U = 0).

The insulating gap is de ned as, $I = {}^{+} = E_0 (N + 1; S = 1=2) + E_0 (N + 1; S = 1=2)$

$$E_{0}^{N-1}(q) = (N-1)\frac{3}{4}J + U = \frac{1}{2}(q) + 3(B_{2}^{N-1} - B_{1}^{N-1}) + 2NB_{1}^{N-1} - B_{1}^{N-1-2}(q);$$
(2)

where $B_1^{N-1} = B_1^{S=1}=2$, $B_2^{N-1} = 1=(4J)$. The gap can be now explicitly written. It is interesting, however, to discuss the meaning of two di erent expressions for the gap. The stone is the q-dependent generalization,

$$_{I} = \frac{3J}{2} + 6 (B_{2}^{N-1} - B_{1}^{N-1})_{1} - 2B_{1}^{N-1-2} (q);$$
(3)

where no storder term appear. The second expression of the gap can be obtained if we strictly follow the prescription given in (2), where the lowest energy value on the N + 1 subspace and the highest energy value on the N 1 subspace are taken,

$$I = \frac{3J}{2} + U = \frac{1}{2} ((q_{m in}^{+})) + 6(B_{2}^{N-1} - B_{1}) + B_{1}^{N-1} (2(q_{m in}^{+}) + 2(q_{m ax}))$$
(4)

Both expressions are physically acceptable if one thinks, for example, in terms of excitations which can be created by direct or indirect absortion of a photon. The form er will be piloted by (4) where the particle momentum does not change and the later by (3). The chem ical potential expressions can be then taken as elective bands of the original H am iltonian. If we explicitly write them as functions of q in one dimension and nearest-neighbor hopping (we take henceforth U = 0 in order to simplify) we obtain $^+ = 3J=4$ toosq $t^2=(2J) + 2t^2\cos^2 q=(3J)$, = 3J=4 toosq $t^2=(2J) + 2t^2\cos^2 q=(3J)$,

The direct gap (4) at each value of J=t, is graphically given by the di erence between the two chemical potentials as functions of q. The indirect gap is the energy

di erence between the absolute m in in um of the + band and the absolute m axim um of the band.

W e have also considered the ferrom agnetic interaction J < 0. In this case when the hopping term is zero the ground state is degenerate and there is a triplet ft^0 , t^+ , t g on each site. W hen the band term is included the low energy excitations are described by an (insulating) antiferrom agnetic H eisenberg H am iltonian, $H = (2t^2=J)S_i$ S, where S_i represents the spin one on site i.

This work was supported in part by spanish DG $\rm IC\,yT$ under contract no. PB 95–0797.

References

- [1] S.Doniach, Physica 91, 231 (1977).
- [2] V. Jaccarino, G. K. Wertheim, J. H. Wernick, L. R. Walker, and Sigurds Arajs, Phys. Rev. 160, 476 (1967). G. Aeppli and Z. Fisk, Comments Condens. Matter Phys. 16, 155 (1992).
- [3] H.Tsunetsugu, Y.Hatsugai, K.Ueda and M.Sigrist, Phys. Rev. B 46, (1992) 3175.
- [4] C.C.Yu and S.R.W hite, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3866 (1993).
- [5] A.M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 1048 (1994).
- [6] J.Perez-Conde and P.P feuty, J.Low Temp.Phys. 99, 477 (1995).J.Perez-Conde and P.P feuty, Physica B 223-224, 438 (1996).
- [7] J. Perez-C onde and P. P feuty, to be published elsewhere.
- [8] N.Shibata, T.Nishimo, K.Ueda and C.Ishii, Phys. Rev. B 53, R 8828 (1996).