C irculating and persistent currents induced by a current m agni cation and A haronov-C asher phase

Taeseung Chof^a, Chang-M o Ryu^a, and A.M. Jayannavar^b

^a Department of Physics, Pohang University of Science and Technology, Pohang 790-784, South

K orea

^b Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya M arg, Bhubaneswar-751005, India

(April 15, 2024)

Abstract

We considered the circulating current induced by the current magni cation and the persistent current induced by A haronov-Casher ux. The persistent currents have directional dependence on the direct current ow, but the circulating currents have no directional dependence. Hence in the equilibrium, only the persistent current can survives on the ring. For the charge current, the persistent charge current cancelled between spin up and down states, because of the time reversal symmetry of the Ham iltonian on the ring. So there are only circulating charge currents on the ring for electrons with unpolarized spin in the nonequilibrium. However, only the persistent spin currents contributes to the spin currents for electrons with unpolarized spin. PACS numbers: 73.23., 73.23 Ad, 71.70 E j

Typeset using REVT_FX

K eywords: M esoscopic system s, B allistic transport, Spin-orbit coupling

Typeset using REVT_EX

Recent studies in m esoscopic systems, over the entire sample of which quantum coherence prevails, have provided several offen counter-intuitive new results. In m esoscopic samples at low temperatures the transport of quasiparticle is phase coherent and as a consequence several novel quantum e ects have been observed beyond atom ic realm [1]. Existence of therm odynam ic equilibrium presistent currents in m esoscopic rings is a manifestation of the A haronov-Bohm e ect which is being studied intensively [2{5].

Theoretical treatments up to date have been mostly concentrated on isolated rings. Persistent currents occur not only in isolated rings but also in the rings connected via leads to electron reservoirs, namely open systems [6,7]. In a recent experiment M aily et al. have measured the persistent currents in both closed and open rings [3]. Recently Jayannavar et al. have noted the several novel e ects related to persistent currents can arise in open systems, which have no analogue in closed or isolated systems [8,9]. Especially the directional dependence of persistent current in open system can be useful for separating the persistent current from noise.

A s a dual of A hraronov-B ohm phase, A haronov and C asher (AC) [10] discovered the AC phase for a neutral magnetic m om ent encircling a charged line. A haronov and A nandan [11] de ned the nonadiabatic geom etric phase for the cyclic evolution, called the AA phase, as a generalization of B erry's idea [12]. Q ian and Su [13] has dem onstrated the existence of the AA phase in the AC e ect. B alatsky and A ltshuler noticed spin-orbit interaction produces persistent spin and m ass currents [14]. The transport behavior induced by the AC phase is recently studied [15,16]. And in our previous work [17], we noticed that the directional dependence of the spin currents induced by the AC phase. W e will generalize the system to the ring with di erent arm lengths.

Our system is depicted in Fig. 1. The Ham iltonian of our system is the same as that in our previous work [17], i.e.,

$$H = \frac{1}{2m_{e}} (p - E)^{2} + V (x - x_{I}); \qquad (1)$$

where $\frac{E}{2}$ represents a spin-orbit coupling, with = 1;2;3 are Paulim atrices, and x_{I}

is the position of the impurity. In a closed ring, adopting a cylindrical coordinate system and the electric eld E = E (cos \hat{r} sin \hat{z}) we have the following Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{h^2}{2m_e a^2} \quad i \theta \quad \frac{E a}{2hc} (\sin \cos x + \sin \sin y + \cos z)^2; \quad (2)$$

where a is the radius of the ring. The eigenfunctions $_{n}$; and eigenvalues E_{n} ; of H am iltonian (2) in a closed ring are obtained as [18]

where $q = \frac{1}{!_{1}^{2} + (!_{3} + 1)^{2}}$ are eigenvalues of $!_{1}^{1} + (!_{3} + 1)^{3}$, and the angle are denoted by tan $_{+}$ $!_{1} = (!_{3} + 1)$, and $= _{+}$. Here $!_{1}$ and $!_{3}$ are denoted by $!_{1} = \frac{Ea}{hc} \sin$ and $!_{3} = \frac{Ea}{hc} \cos$ and $= eh = 2m_{e}c$ is the Bohr magneton. The evolution of a spin state in the presence of the electric eld is determined by the following parallel transporter [18].

() = P exp
$$i\frac{Ea^{2}}{2hc_{0}}$$
 (sin $\cos^{0.1} + \sin \sin^{0.2} + \cos^{-3}$)d⁰; (4)

where P is the path ordering operator. It relates the wave function () to (0).

and

In our previous work, we considered the spin persistent currents of the open system where the lengths of two arms of the ring are same. In that case, the spin persistent currents are induced by the A haronov-C asher (AC) phase. The AC phase induces the opposite direction of the persistent charge currents for between the spin-up and the spin-down electrons since the H am iltonian (1) has the time reversal symmetry. So if the incident electrons are not polarized, the AC phase induces no net persistent charge currents. On the other hand, there are net spin currents since the spin operator gets an additional minus sign under the time reversal operation. But in the case the lengths of two arms of the ring are di erent, the other important property occurs. One of the authors (AM J) and his cow orkers showed that

in the presence of a current ow through the sample (the nonequilibrium situation), a net circulating charge current ows in a bop in the absence of external eld in certain range of Ferm i energy [8]. First we will sketch how this net circulating current occurs in the open system with di erent arm s. W hen one calculates the currents in two arm s, there exists two possibilities in general. In the rst one, for a certain range of incident Ferm i energies, the currents in two arms are individually less than the total current. In that case, the direction of the current through each arm will be the same as that of the total current. In such a situation we do not assign a circulating current on the ring. On the other hand, in a certain ranges of Fermi energies, the magnitude of the current in one arm can exceed that of the total current (current m agni cation). This im plies that, to conserve the current, the direction of the current through the other arm must be opposite. In such a situation, one can interpret the opposite current as a circulating current on the ring. The magnitude of the circulating current is that of the opposite current. This current magni cation is the purely quantum m echanical property. Very recently it has been shown that the same current m agni cation e ect leads to circulating them oelectric currents highly exceeding the transport current [19].

In our system, there are two sources of rotating currents on the ring. One is the current m agni cation and the other is the external ux (in our present case, the AC ux). The current m agni cation occurs only in the nonequilibrium. We divide the total current in the ring into the sym metric part and the antisym metric part with respect to the AC ux, to understand the di ences of two sources clearly. In this paper we will call the antisym metric part as the persistent current following the denotation in our previous paper. In the nonequilibrium, for a certain range of incident Ferm i energies, we can assign the circulating current to the sym metric part of the total current following the above paragrah. Then the total rotating current on the ring is the sum of the persistent current. In the previous case [17] there was no net persistent charge current for electrons with unpolarized spin even in the nonequilibrium situation, since the arm lengths are equal to each other.

5

We rst consider the case in which the current is injected from the left reservoir ($_{\rm L} > _{\rm R}$, the nonequilibrium situation). Where $_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ are the chemical potentials of two electron reservoirs, respectively. The lengths of the upper and the lower arms of the loop are L_1 and L_2 , respectively. We have set the units h, 2m to unity and all the lengths are scaled with respect to the L of the circum ference of the loop ($L = L_1 + L_2$). At temperature zero the transport current around a sm all energy interval dE around E is determined by $I = eT (L_{\rm L} = R)=2$, where T is the transmission coe cient of the system at the energy E. To calculate the transmission coe cient T and the currents in the upper and the lower arm s, we follow the our previous method of quantum waveguide transport on networks [17,20]. It is a straightforward exercise but som ehow tedious to get the analytical expression. And the resulting expression is too lengthy to express, so we will discuss our results graphically.

We have drawn the currents in Fig. 2 with the tilt angle = 2 = 3, kL = 7:0, the in purity potential V = 2.0, and $L_1=L_2 = 5.0=3.0$ for varying the normalized eld strength (E = hc). We picked up the ratio of the arm lengths as the same as that in Ref. [8]. In Fig. 2 the solid line shows the circulating charge current. One can readily see that for small the nonadiabatic behaviors appear as we discussed in our previous paper. And the direction of the current is only one direction, negative in Fig. 2. For convenience we x the positive of the ux as the direction going out of the paper in Fig. 1. And we will call the arm with the length L_1 as the upper arm and the other as the lower arm. Then for the counterclockwise rotating current, the direction of the rotating current in the upper arm is the opposite direction of the transport current to the right. Fow llowing the above convention, the circulating charge currents ow in the clockwise direction only in Fig. 2. And the maximum of circulating charge currents appears near the minimum in the total transport current through the system, which is represented by the dotted line. The di erence of arm lengths makes an antiresonance not be exact, but just appear as a minimum. The another local m in im um s of the transport current, which have m ore round shape in Fig. 2, is not related to the original antiresonances. They represent the contributions of the second harm onics like those in Fig. 1 of R ef. [16]. And due to the presence of the in purity potential

the minimum points of the transport current, which is related to the antiresonance points of the bop structure, is not exactly the same as the maximum points of the circulating charge currents. It is because the multiple scatterings with the impurity potential shifts the antiresonance points. And the total rotating charge currents of spin-up electrons are represented as the dashed line. The total rotating charge currents have both directions, clockwise and counterclockwise directions like the persistent charge current shown in Fig. 3. We show the persistent charge currents in Fig. 3 for both directions of direct current ows ($_{\rm L}$ > $_{\rm R}$ and $_{\rm R}$ < $_{\rm L}$). In Fig. 3 we have used the same parameters as in Fig. 2. It shows the persistent charge current of the spin-up electrons is equal in am plitude and opposite in direction to that of the spin-down electrons for both directions of direct current ow s. It in plies there is no net persistent charge current for electrons with unpolarized spin in the system of di erent arm s also. We can understand these results by the sem icalssical argument in Ref. [16,17]. The AC phase is the sum of the geometric phase (A haronov-A nandan phase) [11] and the dynamical phase due to the spin-orbit (SO) interaction [14]. A coording to our simple intuitive picture [16,17], the dynam ical phase can be understood as the e ective A haronov-Bohm phase from the e ective spin dependent magnetic vector potential, $A_e = (=2e)(E)$. For spin-up electrons, A_e^+ becomes $e_{AB}^- = (2a)$, where $e_{AB} = (e_{AB}^2 E) \cos(e_{+}) = (2m_e c^2)$. For spin-down electrons the vector potential becomes ${\rm A}_{\rm eff}^{\, +}$. Hence the directions of the dynamical uxes are opposite to each other between the spin-up and the spin-down electrons. And the geometric phase is nothing but the 1=2times the solid angle subtended by the curve of spin precession with respect to the origin. These become 2 $(1 \cos_{+})$ for spin-up electrons and 2 $(1 + \cos_{+})$ for spin-down electrons respectively. Hence the directions of the geometric ux are opposite to each other modulo 2. The modulo 2 does not give any physical e ects to the interferences, i.e., to the currents. Hence the direction of the total AC ux is also reversed by the reversing of the spin. In our system the total charge current on the ring depends on the AC phase $_{\rm AC}$, L_1 , L_2 , L_d , the Ferm i energy kL, and chem ical potentials $_1$ and $_2$. That is, the total charge current is a function of all these parameters, $I_{tot}^{(spin)}$ ($_{AC}$; L_1 ; L_2 ; L_d ; kL; $_1$; $_2$). But

the spin information manifests itself only through the AC phase in our system. We are interested in the dependence on the AC ux and have divided the total charge current into the symmetric part and the antisymmetric part with respect to the AC phase, $_{AC}$. So we note I_{tot}^{spin} ($_{AC}$; L_1 ; L_2 ; L_d ; kL; 1; 2) as simply I_{tot}^{spin} ($_{AC}$). Since the spin information manifests itself only through the AC phase in our system, I_{tot}^+ ($_{AC}$) = I_{tot} ($_{AC}$) and I_{tot}^+ ($_{AC}$) = I_{tot} ($_{AC}$). Then the persistent charge current for spin-up electron becomes the negative of the persistent charge current for spin-down electrons as follows,

$$I_{pc}^{+} = \frac{1}{2} (I_{tot}^{+} (A_{C}) = I_{tot}^{+} (A_{C})) = \frac{1}{2} (I_{tot}^{-} (A_{C}) = I_{pc}^{-}:$$

On the other hand, the symmetric part of the total charge current is the same for both spinup electrons and spin-down electrons. It im plies that even for electrons with unpolarized spin, there are net rotating charge currents from the circulating charge currents in the nonequilibrium. These charge currents contribute for the total orbital magnetic moment of the ring. But the absolute m agnitude of the circulating charge current does not depend on the direction of the direct current in Fig. 4. The circulating charge current in left transport is the negative of that in right transport. To understand the directional dependence, it is better to consider only one spin direction, e.g., spin-up here. The directional dependence is closely related to the time reversal symmetry breaking. The circulating charge current is a sum of the contributions of both a positive AC ux and a negative AC ux by de nition. Hence the circulating charge current does not detect any dierences between A_{AC}^+ and + AC• It only observes the di erences of the arm lengths. And the impurity potential does not prefer any direction of transport also. Hence if the current m agni cation takes place on a longer arm in the right transport, the current magni cation also appears on a longer arm in the left transport. It results the circulating charge current does not depend on the direction of the direct current. For a xed value of the Ferm i energy the circulating charge currents changes only a sign as we change the direction of the current ow. But it is natural the amplitude of the circulating charge current uctuate according to the variation of the AC ux. It is because the change of the AC ux gives the sim ilar e ects to the change of the

Ferm i energy. The dependence is not exactly the same as that of the Ferm i energy, since the variation of the Ferm i energy a ects the entire sample but the variation of the AC ux a ects only the electrons on the ring. For the persistent charge current, the di erence of the sign of the AC ux makes the preference of the direction. Hence it has the directional dependence.

In sum m any, we have considered the rotating charge and spin currents arsing from the current m agni cation and induced by the AC ux. We have divided the total current into the sym metric part and antisym metric part with respect to the AC ux, to understand the di erent origins. Then these two charge currents show totally di erent behaviors for di erent spins and directions of the current ow. The persistent current depends on the direction of the current ow as in the previous case [17]. But the circulating current does not depend on the direction of the transport current. For a xed value of the Ferm i energy the the circulating charge currents changes sign as we change the directions) the net charge currents in the system are only the persistent charge currents. However, in the presence of the transport current (the nonequilibrium), the net circulating charge current ows in the ring by the current m agni cation, even for electrons with unpolarized spin. On the other hand, for spin currents, only the persistent spin current gives a net spin current for electrons with unpolarized spin, since the spin operator gets an additionalm inus sign under the time reversal operation.

T.Choiacknow ledges for the support of the ICTP for his visit during which the part of the present work was done. This work was supported in part by K orean R esearch Foundation, POSTECH BSRI special fund, and KOSEF.

9

REFERENCES

- [1] Quantum Coherence in M esoscopic System s, Vol. 254 of NATO Advanced Study Institute Series B: Physics, edited by B.K ram er (Plenum, New York, 1991).
- [2] V. Chandrasekhar, R. A. Webb, M. J. Brady, M. B. Ketchen, W. J. Gallagher and A. Kleinsasser, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67 3578 (1991).
- [3] D. Mailly, C. Chapelier and A. Benoit, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 2020 (1993).
- [4] H.F.Cheung, Y.Geen, E.K.Riedel and W.H.Shih, Phys. Rev. B 37 6050 (1988).
- [5] O. Entin-Wohlman, Y. Geen, Y. Meier and Y. Oreg, Phys. Rev. B 45 11 890 (1992).
- [6] M. Buttiker, Phys. Rev. B 32 1846 (1985); SQUIDS'85-Superconducting Quantum Interference Devices and their Applications (de Gruyter, Berlin, 1985) p. 529.
- [7] A.M. Jayannavar and P. Singha Deo, Phys. Rev. B 49, 13 685 (1994).
- [8] A.M. Jayannavar and P. Singha Deo, Phys. Rev. B 51, 10175 (1995); A.M. Jayannavar,
 P. Singha Deo, and T.P. Pareek, Physica B 212, 261 (1995); T.P. Pareek, P. Singha
 Deo, and A.M. Jayannavar, Phys. Rev. B 52, 14657 (1995).
- [9] A.M. Jayannavar and P. Singha Deo, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 7, 1045 (1993).
- [10] Y. Aharonov and A. Casher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 53, 319 (1984).
- [11] Y.Aharonov and J.Anandan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1593 (1987).
- [12] M.V.Berry, Proc.R.London Ser.A 392, 45 (1984).
- [13] T.Z.Q ian and Z.B.Su, Phys. Rev.Lett. 72, 2311 (1994).
- [14] A.V. Balatsky and B.L. Altshuler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1678 (1993).
- [15] Y.-S.Yi, T.-Z.Qian, and Z.-B.Su, Phys. Rev. B 55, 10 631 (1997).
- [16] T. Choi, S.Y. Cho, C.M. Ryu, and C.K. Kim Phys. Rev. B 56 4825 (1997).

- [17] T. Choi, C.-M. Ryu, and A. M. Jayannavar, preprint cond-m at/9802037 (Int. J. M od. Phys. B in preprint).
- [18] S.Oh and C.-M. Ryu, Phys. Rev. B 51, 13544 (1992).
- [19] M.V.Moskalets, Euro. Phys. Lett., 41 189 (1998).
- [20] C.-M. Ryu, T. Choi, C.K. Kim and K. Nahm, Mod. Phys. Lett. B, 10 401 (1996); P. Singha Deo and A.M. Jayannavar, Phys. Rev. B 50, 11 629 (1994); A.M. Jayannavar and P. Singha Deo, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 8, 301 (1994).

FIGURES

FIG.1. An open m etallic loop connected to two electron reservoirs. There exist a cylindrically symmetric electric eld which gives the AC ux.

FIG.2. The charge currents as a function of the normalized electric eld for a xed value of kL = 7, VL = 2, tilt angle = 2 = 3, and $L_1 = L_2 = 5.0 = 3.0$. The solid line represents circulating charge currents. The dotted line represents transport charge currents. These two currents are the same for spin-up and spin-down electrons. The dashed curve represents total rotating charge currents of spin-up electrons.

FIG.3. The persistent spin currents vs with the same parameters in Fig. 2. The solid and dashed curves represents for the persistent charge current of the electron with spin up eigenstate. The dotted and dash-dotted curves are for spin down eigenstates. This shows the cancellation between spin up and down persistent charge currents. The persistent charge currents from left to right are greater than those between spin up and spin down charge currents. This shows the directional dependence.

FIG.4. This shows directional dependence of the circulating current and the total rotating current for the same parameters against as other gures. The solid and dashed curves represents the circulating currents of left in jected and right in jected respectively. And the dotted and dash-dotted represent the total rotating current of left and right in jected spin-up electrons respectively.

12



Fig. 1











