ac driven sine-Gordon solitons: dynam ics and stability

N jurka R.Quintero and Angel Sanchez

G rupo Interdisciplinar de Sistem as Com plicados, D epartam ento de M atem aticas, U niversidad C arlos III de M adrid, E-28911 Leganes, M adrid, Spain.e-m ail:kinter@math.uc3m.es, anxo@math.uc3m.es

Received: January 31, 2022/ Revised version:

Abstract. The ac driven sine-G ordon equation is studied analytically and numerically, with the aim of providing a full description of how soliton solutions behave. To date, there is much controversy about when ac driven dc motion is possible. Our work shows that kink solitons exhibit dc or oscillatory motion depending on the relation between their initial velocity and the force parameters. Such motion is proven to be impossible in the presence of damping terms. For breathers, the force amplitude range for which they exist when dissipation is absent is found. All the analytical results are compared with numerical simulations, which in addition exhibit no dc motion at all for breathers, and an excellent agreement is found. In the conclusion, the generality of our results and connections to others systems for which a similar phenom enology may arise are discussed.

PACS. 03.40 K fW aves and wave propagation: generalm athem atical aspects { 74.50 + r P rox in ity e ects, weak links, tunneling phenom ena, and Josephson e ects { 85.25 C p Josephson devices

1 Introduction

In the past two decades, solitons have become a very generic and useful paradigm of intrinsically nonlinear phenom ena in many di erent elds of physics [1,2]. Generally speaking, solitons can be classified [3,4] in three main groups: dynam ical, envelope, and topological solitons, according to their m ost relevant characteristics such as stability, de ning param eters, response to perturbations and so on.A canonical example of the most important of those groups [5], topological and envelope solitons, in physical systems is the sine-Gordon (sG) equation, widely used both because it is integrable (meaning that the corresponding initial value problem can always be solved analytically in closed form) and because it accurately m odels a variety of problem s and applications. W ith respect to the integrability of the sG equation, this property gives us the possibility to know exactly its multisoliton solutions, that can be classied as kinks (topological) and breathers (envelope). On the side of the applicability, the sG equation describes propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in resonant laser media [6], a unitary theory of elem entary particles [7,8,9,10], propagation of m agnetic ux in Josephson junctions [11], transm ission of ferrom agnetic waves [12], epitaxial growth of thin lm s [13,14], motion of dislocations in crystals [15,16], ux-line unlocking in type II superconductors [17], DNA dynam ics [18,19,20], and m any others.

W hen using the sG equation as a model for an actual physical situation, it is often necessary to account for factors that cause deviation from the perfect system, arising, for example, from forces acting on it, therm all ects, uc-

tuations, dissipation, or spatial modulations (determ inistic or random). To account for som e or all of those, appropriately chosen perturbing terms have to be included in the sG equation [3,4]; to quote a few instances of such perturbations, (see also the next paragraph) let us mention the studies of forces acting over a DNA molecule, or long DNA fragments containing regions of nite size and speci c structure [21], additive and multiplicative noise sources [22], spatially periodic param etric potential [23] ordam ping with spatiotem poral periodic driving [24]. The work reported on here belongs to this class of problem s; speci cally, it focuses on the action of ac (sinusoidal in time, hom ogeneous in space) forces on the solitonic solutions, kinks and breathers, of the sG equation. In m athem atical term s, this m eans we have to deal with the equation

$$_{xx} + \sin() = _{t} + f(t);$$
 (1)

where subindices indicate derivatives with respect to the corresponding variables, t is the usual damping term and f(t) = sin(t+0) is an external periodic force describing, for example, a long Josephson junction under the application of a uniform microwave eld [11]. From now on, the amplitude of the force, , will be our perturbation parameter, i.e., it is assumed that the force is not too large. W e will see, how ever, that we can deal with reasonable and useful values of , so our calculations and results are not purely academ ic or mathematical but rather they have physical relevance.

tt

The studies of forced sG system s date back to the late seventies, to the sem inalworks of Fogelet al. [25] and of M cLaughlin and Scott [26] where the ideas of collective

coordinate techniques (see [2] for a review on those) were rst introduced to deal with force term s added to the sG equation. Their results, con med by numerical simulations of the full partial di erential equation, show ed that dc (constant both in space and time) forces act on the sG solitons as if they were point-like particles, accelerating them up to the maxim um velocity allowed by the equation in the absence of dam ping or to a term inal velocity in the presence of dam ping. The problem of dc forces was given further consideration in subsequent works, such as [27, 28], where aspects such as breather instabilities and pattern form ation were the main subjects; as our principal goal is the study of ac forces, we will not go into more detail on those here. Dissipation e ects on breathers were ist studied in [29], where M cLaughlin and O verm an showed that free (i.e., not driven) dam ped breathers where an ihilated, their energy being dissipated into radiation. Subsequently, Lom dahl and Sam uelsen [30,31] showed that the introduction of ac forces in the dam ped sG equation compensated those losses and stabilized breathers, whose frequency became modulated by the driving. This is the main result about ac breather dynamics, and if fact we are not aw are of later relevant work on ac driven breather dynam ics. On the other hand, the kink case has a longer and m ore problem atic story, that begins with the research by 0 lsen and Samuelsen in [32], where the authors studied the e ect of dc and ac forces over sG kinks. In their analytical calculation, they considered two special cases for an ac force given by $\sin(t+_0)$, namely $_0 = 0$; =2 € 1 and su ciently small kink velocities. Their with results were obtained in the approach that the solution of the perturbed sG equation can be divided into a kink part and a vacuum part. A though m ost of the paper deals with dc forces, the authors conclude that for the two cases studied for ac forces, one leads to kink dcm otion ($_0 = 0$) whereas for the other ($_0 = = 2$) only oscillatory motion is found. For both choices, the work is further restricted to null initial velocity only, u(0) = 0, with the subsequent loss of generality of their ndings. Num erical simulations con med the predictions and the accuracy of the analyticalcalculations. How ever, no other initial phase di erences were studied, and therefore a general analysis (including also initial velocity) was lacking. In addition, they did not present any results for ac driving plus damping, saying simply that similar results could be obtained in that case. A few years after that work, Bonilla and Malom ed [33] revisited the same problem and concluded that dcm otion of ac driving kinks was only possible in discrete sG equations (i.e., the spatial variable is discretized), and only in the presence of dam ping. Such a result, in contradiction with that in [32], was later shown to originate in an incorrect analytical approximation to the problem in [34]; the perturbative theory worked out in that paper suggested that kink dcm otion induced by ac forces in the presence of dam ping was not possible, and the authors stated their belief hat such phenom enon was unlikely in general, i.e., in the undam ped case (at that time, they were not aware of the results of O lsen and Samuelsen [32]). Finally, the last contribution to this topic prior to our work appeared

in [35], where dcm otion of undam ped ac driven kinks was found in simulations of the Frenkel-K ontorova (discrete sine-G ordon) m odel. In this case, the phenom enon was explained in terms of a resonance between the external force and internal oscillatory m odes of the discrete kink (absent in the continuum m odels).

The brief sum m ary in the above paragraph is enough to understand that the know ledge on the ac driven dynam ics was not com plete and system atic and, what is worse, it severely su ered from the contradictory results obtained about the possibility of dc propagation of kinks. In view of this, we rst addressed this issue in [36], a prelim inary work on sm all (non-relativistic, ju (t) j 1) velocity, ac driven kinks. Using the techniques developed in [26], we considered the general ac force $\sin(t+_0)$ with and without dissipation. By this means, we were able to show analytically that there indeed exists dc motion of kinks provided dissipation is absent. M oreover, we showed that the velocity of such dc motion depends on the relation between initial velocity and ac force parameters (am plitude, frequency and phase), thus generalizing the results of 0 lsen and Sam uelsen [32] for the non dissipative case. In the presence of dam ping, we also showed within the nonrelativistic approximation that kink dc motion is never possible. This conclusion is dierent from that of Olsen and Samuelsen [32], who said \sim ilar to the undam ped case] results are obtained", not a very explicit statem ent; it is also contrary to the claim s of Bonilla and M alom ed [33], and nally it con m s the results of Caiet al. [34]. Num erical simulations fully reproduced the predictions of the approxim ate calculations establishing their validity to a high degree of accuracy. In the present paper we considerably extend the study reported in [36] in a system atic way, by analyzing i) the relativistic case for kinks, and ii) the e ects of pure ac driving on breathers, that had not been studied so far. Ourmain conclusion will be that the application of external ac driving forces can cause the dc motion of sG kinks (for the whole range of initial velocities) depending on their phase; for breathers, we show that in the absence of damping they can be self-sustained up to a certain threshold of the force intensity, at which they break up into kink-antikink pairs.W e also prove that com bining the dam ping e ect with the ac force the dcm otion of sG kinks becom es asym ptotically an oscillatory one. In Sec. 2, we use a collective coordinate approach to obtain the equations of motion for the collective variables nding that the mean velocity of the kink (antikink) and the frequency of the breather are functions of the param eters of the ac force.W e com pare our analytical results by m eans of num erical simulations in Sec. 3 and verify that they are in an excellent agreem ent. Finally, Sec. 4 sum m arizes our ndings and conclusions, and presents a brief discussion about som e other system s in which the phenom enon described in this paper can also appear. The paper closes with two appendices, one devoted to the proof of a mathem atical interm ediate result needed in Sec. 2, and the other analyzing the e ect of another dissipative term (with constant driving), uxxt, of particular signi cance for Josephson junction dynamics, to show the power of our technique (4) can be exactly solved, yielding (see Sec. 2) to solve the relativistic problem .

2 Collective coordinate approach

2.1 Kink dynam ics

We begin our study by addressing the problem of kink dynam ics, considering Eq. (1) when there is a single kink present in the system with initial velocity u(0), t = 0 being taken as the time at which the ac force is switched on. In order to study the subsequent kink evolution governed by Eq. (1), we apply the collective coordinate perturbation theory in the version introduced by M cLaughlin and Scott [26]. If and are smallparameters we may assume that the solution of Eq. (1) has the same form as the unperturbed sG equation $\mathbb{E}q.(1)$ with = = 0, except that now we allow x_{\circ} (t), X_{\circ} (t) and u (t) to be functions of time, so that

where the positive (negative) sign corresponds to a kink (anti-kink) solution. We note that X (t) $x_{0}(t) + X_{0}(t)$ [u (t)] has the meaning of the position (velocity) of the center of the soliton, and that the main assumption underlying this approximation is that radiation e ects induced by the perturbation are neglected. This will be veried a posteriori by com paring with the num erical simulations.

W ith this Ansatz, we proceed much in the same way as M cLaughlin and Scott [26]: we rst compute the variation of the energy and momentum of the unperturbed sine-G ordon system due to the perturbation, and applying them to Eqs. (2) and (3) obtain those variations in terms of our unknown functions. Im posing that it is consistent with the result of computing the variation of energy and momentum from the kink expression with constant param eters and making them functions after the calculation (see [26] for details; see also [2] for other versions of the collective coordinate technique), we arrive at the following equations for the position and velocity of the perturbed kinks (the sign corresponds to a kink or an anti-kink, respectively):

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} (1 \quad u^{2}) \begin{bmatrix} p & 1 & u^{2} & f(t) + 4 & u \end{bmatrix}; \quad (4)$$

$$u(t = 0) = u(0);$$

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = u(t); \quad (5)$$

$$X (t = 0) = X (0):$$

(equivalently, Eq. (5) could written as x_o (t) = const). For the dissipation-free case [= 0 in Eqs. (1) and (4)], Eq. Eq. (11), which vanishes in the small velocity limit, i.e.,

$$u(t) = F[u(0); _{0}] = 1 + F[u(0); _{0}]^{2}^{-1-2}; (6)$$

$$F[u(0);_{0}] = \frac{u(0)}{1 u^{2}(0)}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} [\cos(t+_{0}) - \cos(_{0})]; \quad (7)$$

Once we have obtained the velocity, we need to solve Eq. (5) to nd the kink trajectory. Unfortunately, it is not possible to nd an analytical solution, so in order to get som e insight about it we restrict ourselves to the non-relativistic $\lim it$, i.e., ju(0)j = 1, and imposing j = 4 j = 1, (which is no loss of generality as we have already assumed 1) we can nd an approximate solution for the position of the center of the kink, X (t), that reads

X (t) ' X (0) + [u (0)
$$\frac{1}{4} \cos(0)$$
]t

$$\frac{[\sin((t+0) \sin(0)]]}{4^{2}}$$
: (8)

Equation (8) tells us what the kink trajectory is, at least while its velocity is not large. Keeping in m ind this caveat, it is evident from the above expression that only for the \resonant" velocity

$$u(0) = \frac{1}{2} \cos(0);$$
 (9)

the linear term will vanish and the motion of the kink (or antikink) will be oscillatory; for any other velocity values (xed , $_0$ and) the ac driving force induces a dc m otion of sG kinks, even for the kinks initially at rest. This result contains those found by 0 lsen and Samuelsen [32] (also obtained in the non-relativistic approximation, by the way) as particular cases for two choices of $_{0}$.

So far, we have developed a collective coordinate theory in the non-relativistic lim it. This have already provided information on the kink dynamics, but, in addition, we can bene t from that to nd the unrestricted relativistic result, by virtue of the following fact: It can be proven (see Appendix I) from equations (4) and (7) that the relation Ζт

F [u (0);
$$_{0}$$
]dt = 0; (10)

is a necessary and su cient condition for the motion to be oscillatory with period T = 2 = .Hence, integrating (10) we nd that kinks will oscillate if and only if

$$\frac{u(0)}{p - \frac{1}{1 - u^2(0)}} = \frac{1}{4} \cos(0) : \quad (11)$$

Let us now discuss this condition. To begin with, the condition (11) involves four parameters, so we can x, say, , and u(0) and then use (11) to nd the value of $_0$ such that the motion becom esoscillatory. Second, the only change with respect to the approximate condition (9) is the appearance of the Lorentz factor $= \frac{1}{1}$ u (0 ° in

the result (9) is recovered. Equation (11) is very important, because it implies that (within the collective coordinate approach), any ac force applied on a kink will induce its dc motion with a velocity directly related to their relative phase [vanishing only for a very special choice of that parameter, $_0$]. Our perturbative calculation coincides with that of O lsen and Sam uelsen in the limit and special cases studied by them, thus generalizing their results in a comprehensive manner. We postpone the numerical validation of our notings to Sec. 3.

After dealing with the undamped case and arriving to an expression valid for all kink velocities, let us now tum to the dam ped problem, including a dam ping term (€ 0) in the acdriven sG equation.W e will now dem onstrate that dc motion of sG kinks is not possible in this case. Indeed, in our previous work [36] we analized the e ects of dam ping (60) and ac force non-relativistic kinks and found that kinks exhibit dcm otion except for a transient, after which they reach a naloscillatory state around a point whose location depends on the initial conditions. How ever, nothing was said there about the general case, with no limits on the initial velocity, so we need to see what is the behavior of relativistic kinks by looking back again at Eq. (4). The result found above for the undam ped case suggests to use y(t) = u(t) = 1 $u(t)^2$ as a new variable; by doing so, Eq. (4) can be linearized and the analytical solution for the velocity com puted. W e thus arrive at

$$u(t) = \frac{r(t)}{1 + r(t)^2};$$
 (12)

r(t) c exp(t)
$$\frac{1}{4(2+2)}$$

[sin(t+0) cos(t+0)]; (13)

$$c = \frac{u(0)}{1 - u(0)^{2}} - \frac{4(2 + 2)}{4(2 + 2)}$$
[sin(0) - cos(0)]: (14)

From Eqs. (12,13,14) it can be easily seen that the asym p-totic behavior of u (t) is given by

$$u(t) = \frac{r(t)}{1 + r(t)^2};$$
 (15)

$$r(t) = \frac{1}{4(2+2)}$$

$$[\cos(t+0) \sin(t+0)]: (16)$$

Even if we cannot explicitly solve Eq. (5) when u (t) is given by Eqs. (12,13,14) for the trajectory X (t), the above ndings are all we need to prove (always within the collective coordinate form alism) our previous claim that kink dc m otion is asymptotically forbidden. To this end, it is enough to show that for t_0 and t large enough, X (t) X (t) = X (t_0) + $\frac{1}{t_0}$ u (t^0) dt⁰, is a periodic function. This is equivalent to proving that

A straightforward calculation shows that the condition (17) is always true, giving grounds to our conclusion that kinks can not exhibit dc motion in the dam ped, ac driven sG equation. This is yet another proof that the arguments of B onilla and M alom ed [33] supporting the opposite conjecture were not correct.

2.2 B reather dynam ics

Follow ing our study for kinks, we have carried out an analysis of the e ect of ac driving over the breather solutions, based again on the collective coordinate approach (see details in [26,37]). We concern ourselves mostly with the undam ped case, as the dam ped problem has been already carefully discussed in [30,31]. The collective coordinate Ansatz we will use for breathers is

$$(x;t) = 4 \arctan \frac{g(t)}{\cosh[x ! (t)]}$$
; (18)

where the breather frequency is $(t) = \frac{P}{1} \frac{1}{1!^2} (t)$. This Ansatz is assumed to be valid when and are small. In this case the collective variables are the two functions ! (t) and g(t), which can be found solving a system of di erential equations equivalent to that in Eqs. (4, 5) for the kinks. The procedure to nd the equations is the same and yields

$$\frac{d!}{dt} = \frac{f(t)}{4} \frac{p(t)}{1 + q^{2}(t)} \frac{p(t)}{1 + q^{2}(t)} \frac{p(t)}{1 + q^{2}(t)} \frac{p(t)}{1 + q^{2}(t)} \frac{q(t)}{q(t)} ; \quad (19)$$

$$! (t = 0) = !_{0}$$

$$\frac{dg}{dt} = ! (t) + \frac{4! (t)}{4! (t)} f (t) \operatorname{arcsinh} [g (t)]$$

$$p \frac{1}{1 \cdot 12! (t)} g (t)]; \quad (20)$$

$$g(t = 0) = 0$$
:

W e have not been able to solve the above equations. Nevertheless, they are still useful in order to predict the breather stability conditions, as we now show. If ! (t) \in 0, the system of Eqs. (19,20) leads us to

$$\frac{1}{!}\frac{d!}{dt} = \frac{f(t)}{4!^2} \frac{f(t)}{1+g^2(t)}$$

$$\frac{1}{(1+\frac{1}{4!^2}f(t) \operatorname{arcsinh}[g(t)])}\frac{dg}{dt}$$
(21)

Straightforward algebraic manipulations of this equation yield

$$\frac{d}{dt} \ln [! (t)] = \frac{d}{dt} \ln [1 + \frac{1}{4!^2} (t)] f(t) \operatorname{arcsinh} (g[t])]: \quad (22)$$

where terms of order ² and have been neglected. The advantage of this expression is that we can now integrate

it; integration and subsequent expansion of ! (t) around ! $_{\rm 0}$ in powers of $\,$ allow us to write (up to orders 2 again)

In order to proceed, we have to recall the following property: breather solutions can only exist provided that 0 < ! (t) < 1 (which implies that the breather energy is bounded above and below, $0 < H_{\rm bre}$ (t) < 16, kinks having energy equal to or larger than 8 in our dimensionless units). Having this in m ind, we can do a condition for the transform ation of a breather into a kk pair, that turns out to be

$$thr = m in (1; 2); if M > 0 and m < 0;thr = 2; if M 0; (25)thr = 1 if m 0;$$

with

$$_{1} = \frac{4!_{0} (1 !_{0})}{M}; \qquad (26)$$

$$_{2} = \frac{4!_{0}^{2}}{m};$$
 (27)

m and M being the minimum and maximum respectively of the function (t) [see Eq. (24) above].

The physical meaning of the som ewhat cumbersom e result is the following: when sG breathers are perturbed by an ac force there always exists a value of , th, such that perturbations smaller than the threshold allow breathers to exist with modulated frequency (t) = $\frac{1}{1} \frac{!^2}{!^2}$ (t), where ! (t) is the function (23); on the other hand, when

> thr the breather transform s into a kink-antikink pair or decom poses into a radiation, depending of the param – eters of ac force and its frequency. Therefore, we arrive at our major conclusion regarding (undam ped) breather dynam ics, very di erent from the kink analysis in the previous subsection: B reathers are only stable for a lim ited range of force intensities, and in that range the e ect of the driving is to m odulate their frequency as discussed above. A s before, we will have to com pare this prediction to num erical simulations to verify its validity.

3 Num erical results

A lthough the collective coordinate approach has been already tested very m any times [2] and is by now a standard technique to deal with soliton-bearing equations, the analytical expressions and the conclusions we have draw n from them should not be taken as valid before comparison with detailed and accurate num ericals in ulation of the full partial di erential equation (1). To this end, we have num erically integrated it by m eans of a standard fourth order R unge-K utta algorithm [38] with initial conditions



F ig. 1. Veri cation of collective coordinate predictions in the absence of dissipation. Simulation starts from a kink with initial velocity u(0) = 0.5 located at X (0) = 0, and subject to an ac force given by 0:1 sin (0:1t + $_0$). (a) $_0 = 0.75$, (b) $_0 = 0$; notice that the direction of motion is opposite in both cases. (c) $_0 = 0.66$, critical value exhibiting oscillatory motion.

given by an unperturbed sine-Gordon kink or breather with unrestricted initial velocity u (0), and boundary conditions $_{\rm x}$ (L = 50;t) = 0. We have carefully checked that our results did not depend on the choice of spatial and tem poral steps, or the simulated system size (which can a ect the simulations through the generation of spureous radiation).

We rst address the validation of the results for kinks, beginning with the undam ped case. In Fig. 1 we show the time evolution of a sG kink with initial velocity u(0) = 0.5 (which is certainly far from being small) for di erent phases of the ac force $_0$ and = 0.1, = 0.1. From Eq. (11) we know that the kink (with initial velocity u(0) = 0.5) will oscillate only if $_0 = 0.745$. Numerically, we searched for the critical phase of driving force and found $_0 = 0.6$ (see Fig. 1c), i.e., the accuracy between these results it is of order of 0:1. This is a very good prediction if we consider the value of the velocity and the value



F ig. 2. Time evolution of the velocity of kink center mass. Parameter values as in Fig. 1. (a), (b) and (c) respectively. The solid curve shows the value of velocity, predicted by the collective coordinate perturbation \mathbb{E} qs. (6,7)], and the dotted line is the numerical integration of \mathbb{E} q. (1)]

of (indeed, the accuracy is of the order of as should be expected). Note that there is practically no radiation visible in the simulations, which is in agreement with the correctness of the use of collective coordinate techniques. In connection with this, another interesting rem ark is that background motion, i.e., motion of the wings of the soliton induced by the ac force, is not visible in the simulations; this makes our approach very suitable for this problem, and as accurate as that of 0 lsen and Sam uelsen [32] who introduced a term accounting for this negligible e ect. W e note that smaller initial velocities compare even better with our theoretical results. To appreciate to a larger extent the accuracy of our approach, Fig. 2 com pares the analytical prediction for the velocity values \mathbb{E} qs. (6,7)] with the velocity of the kink center of mass, obtained by integrating num erically Eq. (1). In these gures the param eters of the perturbed sG equation were chosen as in Fig.1.W e see from Fig.2 that the agreem ent is excellent, in spite of the fact that the prediction of the critical velocity is not correct by a factor . This is due, on one hand, to the fact that slight deviations from simmetry around u (t) = 0 have dram atic consequences in the kink motion (note, e.g., Fig. 2c, the plot for the critical velocity, where the small discrepancy between the theory and the simulation is always in the same direction, towards negative u, corresponding to the theoretically predicted dcm otion to the left), and, on the other hand, to the di culties involved in num erically determ ining the critical velocity by checking that the kink just oscillates; this, among other things, depends on the integration time and on the time step. Hence, we believe that the correctness of our com putations is much better appreciated by looking at the function u (t) than simply verifying the critical velocity value.

W e cannot close our study about sG kinks without providing num erical evidence that, as predicted by our analytical calculations above, it is not possible to induce dc motion on sG kinks by means of an ac force in the presence of dam ping. Figure 3 shows an example: a kink, initially moving with velocity u(0) = 0.5, perturbed by ac force $0:01 \sin(0:1t + 0:1)$ and a damping term = 0:05,is stopped and ends up describing sm all am plitude oscillations around a nite region in space. This is but one example, although we must say that we have veried that our prediction is correct in very many other cases, with di erent choices of param eters, nding always an excellent agreem ent between analytics and num erics. As in the undam ped case, Fig. 4, depicts the predicted and simulated motions of the kink center, exhibiting a perfect agreem ent between both. It is of course reasonable that the collective coordinate approach works better for the dam ped case, because if any radiation arises in the system, the dam ping wipes it away, leaving the kink as the only excitation present in the system, as the approximation requires.

F inally, for the breather case we present in Fig. 5 a comparison between the analytical and num erical threshold values of as a function of the initial frequency of the breather $_0$. We consider only the undam ped case, = 0. We have observed in our simulations the phenom enon pre-



F ig. 3. Veri cation of collective coordinate predictions with dissipation. This is one example of soliton motion, initially with velocity u(0) = 0.5, and subject to an ac force given by $0.01 \sin(0.1t + _0)$, with $_0 = 0.1$ and = 0.05.

dicted by our analytical calculations: If the amplitude of the ac force exceeds the threshold value of , the initial breather decomposes into a kk pair. As depicted in Fig. 5, the collective coordinate result predicts very well the threshold am plitude in all the range of breather frequencies, even for rather large values of such am plitude, where the perturbative approach might not work in principle. We note that, for driving frequencies close to 1 (the lower edge of the phonon band of the sG system), the breather can as well decay into radiation, but we have not pursued this process in detail in view of the di culties involved in distinguishing breathers from linear radiation in this lim it. Therefore, we concern ourselves with the decay into kk pairs, this being the reason we have not gone over $_0 = 0.9$ [39] in our num erical tests. Figure 6 shows an instance of our num erical determ ination of the threshold, for a breather with $_0 = 0.2$. We can see that if = 0.0148(Fig. 6a) the frequency of the breather is modulated, but it remains a breather, as the oscillations of the eld value at x = 0 clearly show (of course, we have looked at the simulation over the whole spatial interval to con m this). On the contrary, if we increase (for example, = 0.0149) then the breather breaks up into a kk pair (Fig. 6b), a phenom enon which reveals itself in the suppression of the oscillations at x = 0 indicating that the breather stops its periodic motion.We have thus veried the validity of the collective coordinate results for the breather case as well.

4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have shown both by analytical (collective coordinate theory) and num ericalm eans the follow ing three facts: i) K ink dcm otion is possible in the sG system when forced by a pure ac force, except for a very special choice of the initial velocity related to the driving phase by equation (11); in this case, the kink center oscillates around its initial position; ii) kink dcm otion induced by pure ac driving is never possible in the presence of dam ping, and iii) the undam ped sG system forced by an ac driving allows the existence of breathers, whose frequency becom es m odulated by the external one, up to a certain



Fig. 4. Time evolution of the velocity of kink center mass. Parameter values as in Fig. 3. The solid curve is the analytical prediction \mathbb{E} qs. (12,13,14)] and the dotted curve is the num erical integration of \mathbb{E} q. (1)].



F ig. 5. Illustration of the threshold value of vs the initial frequency $_0$. Solid line represent the values given by the perturbation analysis and the points are the values, obtained by num erical simulation of perturbed sine-G ordon equation. The frequency and the phase of ac force are = 0:1, $_0$ = 0 respectively.

critical value of the amplitude that depends on the driving frequency and on the breather parameters. A bove that amplitude, the breather decays to a kink-antikink pair.

As already stated, this ndings arise from a perturbative calculation of the collective coordinate type, whose accuracy for very many soliton problems is well established [2]. In this respect, we want to emphasize our main achievement in this study: We have proposed a change of variables which allows to linearize and fully solve the di erential equation for the kink velocity without any restriction. We note that in previous works [32,36] only the non-relativistic limit could be dealt with in an approxim atem anner. It is clear that this analytical procedure can be useful in similar equations, an example of this being our



Fig. 6. (a) The breather with modulated frequency for the value of = 0.0148.(b) If = 0.0149 the breather break into kk pair. The others parameter values are $_0 = 0.2$, = 0.1, $_0 = 0.0$ com pare with the analytical threshold (th = 0.0135), obtained in Eqs. (25,26,27).

treatment of the long Josephson junction equation, with a more realistic dissipative term and a constant driving, in Appendix II. Therefore, having in mind the accuracy of the collective coordinate approach, the fact that there were no further approximations involved in our calculations, and the numerical con mation of our predictions, we believe that our study settles down once and for all the question of kink motion in ac driven soliton bearing systems, particularly so for the sG equation.

From these results, which clarify the phenom enology of the ac driven sG equation, we can try to draw som emore general conclusions on soliton-bearing problem sperturbed by an ac force. The ndings on discrete sG systems [35] suggest that for our conclusions to apply in other, di erent system s, it is necessary that the soliton or solitary wave under consideration does not have one or several internal oscillation m odes which can be excited by the driving force. To check for the possible new phenom ena or m odi cations of our conclusions com ing from the existence of those m odes, we have carried out som e prelim inary research on the ac driven 4 m odel. G enerally speaking, the sam e conclusions hold, i.e., in the absence of dissipation (= 0) the kink (antikink) will exhibit oscillatory motion if

$$\frac{u(0)}{1 - u^2(0)} = \frac{3}{2} \cos(0); \quad (28)$$

whereas when dissipation is present we again nd that kink dc motion is not possible. However, we have found num erical evidence that for values of the driving frequency close to the internalm ode one, the kink behavior is much m ore complicated, even chaotic.W e are currently working on understanding this e ect analytically, and tentatively we relate it to the resonance of the driving and the internalm ode [40]. Sim ilar resonance phenom ena were observed for the sG kink in a harm onic potential well, when the driving had a frequency close to the natural one of the kink in the well [41], which reinforces our interpretation and expectations. This leads us to the conjecture that the results we have obtained for the sG problem can be true in general, provided as already said that there are no resonances with other modes intrinsic to the excitation. Of course, there also remains the question of drivings with frequencies above the phonon low eredge. We believe that our conclusions will still hold in that situation, in so far the presence of the external force does not cause an interaction between solitonic and radiation modes; it is to be expected that our calculations will be very accurate in the presence of dissipation, which will dam p away any excited radiation.Finally, as shown in Appendix II below, we want to stress that the predictions and m athem atical results we have obtained in this work have direct application in m any physical systems, and among them in Josephson devices [m ore realistically described by Eq. (34) below]. It would be most interesting to verify the possibility of dc motion of solitons induced by pure ac driving in real systems like that, more so in view of the potential applications such a recti cation e ect might have. A nother im plication of our results has to do with soliton generation in systems described by sG equations: Indeed, by driving the system with an ac force strong enough, we could break up thermally created breathers into kink-antikink pairs, which under the in uence of the driving would separate moving towards opposite directions. This would be a very simple and clean way of creating solitons in, e.g., Josephson devices. It thus becom es clear that experim entalwork pursuing this and related questions, which is certainly am enable with the present capabilities, would be most useful in assessing the importance of our work.

W e thank R enato A lvarez-N odarse, Jose C uesta, E steban M oro and Franz M ertens for conversations on this work. W ork at G ISC (Leganes) has been supported by C IC yT (Spain) grant M AT 95-0325 and D G E S (Spain) grant PB 96-0119.

Appendix I

In this appendix we prove the necessary and su cient condition for the sG soliton motion to be purely oscillatory in the presence of undam ped ac driving. In the body of the paper we stated that this is so if and only if the condition (10), or equivalently, (11), takes place.

On the one hand, Substituting (11) in (7) and afterwards in (6) we obtain for the velocity function u(t) the following expression:

$$u(t) = \frac{q}{1 + \frac{1}{4} \cos(t + 0)}^{2}; \quad (29)$$

which can be integrated, yielding the position of the kink center of mass X (t):

X (t) = X (0)
$$\frac{1}{2} \arcsin \frac{\sin(t+0)}{16^2 + 2^2}$$
 : (30)

Hence, it is evident from the above equation that X (t) is a periodic function with period T = 2 = .

On the contrary, suppose that the kink center of mass

$$X (t) = u (t^{0}) dt^{0}$$
(31)

oscillates with period T = 2 = , i.e., X (t) = X (t + T); this can be put as

I (a)
$$\int_{t}^{Z_{t+T}} \frac{a + b\cos(t^{0} + 0)}{1 + (a + b\cos(t^{0} + 0))^{2}} dt^{0} = 0; \quad (32)$$

where

$$a = \frac{u(0)}{1 - u(0)^2} - \frac{\cos(0)}{4}$$
(33)

and b = = 4. Noticing that, rst I(0) = 0; second, if a < bjI(a) < 0 and if a > bjI(a) > 0, and third, $I^{0}(a) > 0$ for all values of a, we arrive at the desired result that I(a) = 0 if and only if the relation (11) [a = 0] holds.

Appendix II

In [26] realistic m odel of a long Josephson junction is proposed, given by the following perturbed sG equation

tt xx + sin() = t + xxt; (34)

where the term t represents the dissipation due tunneling of norm all electrons across the barrier, xxt is the dissipation caused by ow of norm all electrons parallel to the barrier and is a distributed bias current. In this appendix we apply our theory to this problem in order to show that our technique to solve the equation for the collective velocity can be applied to other problem s. In addition, as the equation above is realized very approximately by actual Josephson devices, by studying it we will providing experimental means of checking our results as well as predicting behavior which might have an application of interest in that context. To begin with, we note that if = 0 this equation coincide with (1) letting = 0. Therefore, substituting = 0 and $= \sin \theta$ in Eqs. (12,13,14) we obtain

$$u(t) = p \frac{d_0 \exp(t) + d}{1 + (d_0 \exp(t) + d)^2};$$
 (35)

where $d_0 = u(0) = \frac{p}{1} \frac{1}{u(0)^2} d$ and $d = \frac{1}{1 + d^2}$. When t goes to in nity, we have $u(1) ! d = \frac{p}{1 + d^2}$. Notice that this expression coincides with the equilibrium solution u_1 , obtained in [26].

For \oint 0 the same procedure as above leads us to a rst-order ordinary di erential equation for u (t), which is given by

$$\frac{du}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} (1 \quad u^2)^{3=2} \quad u(1 \quad u^2) \quad \frac{1}{3} \quad u: \quad (36)$$

If we now introduce the same change of variable as in Sec. 2, y(t) = u(t) = 1 $u(t)^2$, Eq. (36) becomes

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{1}{4} (+\frac{1}{3})y + \frac{1}{3}y^{3};$$
 (37)

Integrating (37) we nd

$$\frac{1}{p + x_1^2} \ln c_1 \frac{y + x_1}{y + \frac{x_1}{2} + k_1^2}, \frac{3x_1}{2k_1} \arctan \frac{y + \frac{x_1}{2}}{k_1} q = t; \quad (38)$$

where

$$3p = \frac{3}{2} + 1$$
 (39)

$$2q = \frac{3}{4} \tag{40}$$

$$D = q^{2} + p^{3};$$
(41)
$$x_{1} = (D q^{1=3} - p);$$
(42)

$$\begin{pmatrix} D & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P & D \\ D & q \end{pmatrix}^{1-3}$$

$$k_{1}^{2} = 3p + \frac{3}{4}x_{1}^{2};$$
(43)

$$c_{1} = \frac{(y(0) + \frac{x_{1}}{2})^{2} + k_{1}^{2}}{y(0) \quad x_{1}}; \text{ and}$$
(44)

$$c_2 = \arctan \frac{y(0) + \frac{x_1}{2}}{k_1}$$
: (45)

For large values oft, y(1) ! x_1 , so the kink w illm ove with constant velocity u(1) ! $\frac{p x_1}{1 + x_1^2}$, which depends on the parameters , and .For example, if = 0 (q = 0), u(t) $\frac{1}{2}$! 1 = 0, i.e. by the in uence of both dissipation terms (t and xxt) the kink will be stopped.

References

1. M. Rem oissenet, W aves called solitons (Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1994).

- 2. A. Sanchez and A. R. Bishop, SIAM Review, in press (1998).
- Yu.S.K ivshar and B.A.M alom ed, Rev.M od.Phys.61, 763 (1989).
- 4. A. Sanchez and L. Vazquez, Int. J. M od. Phys. B 5, 2825 (1991).
- 5. M ost important in contexts where soliton stability is a crucial factor, as it happens in m any applications (Josephson junctions, ber optics). D ynam ical solitons are easy m uch m ore sensitive to perturbations; an archetypalexam ple are those of the K ortew eg-de V ries equation (see, e.g., β] and references therein).
- 6. G.L.Lamb, Rev.M od.Phys. 43, 99 (1971).
- 7. T. H. R. Skyme, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 247, 260 (1958).
- 8. T. H. R. Skyme, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 262, 237 (1961).
- 9. U.Enz, Phys. Rev. 131, 1392 (1963).
- 10. R. Rajaram an, Solitons and Instantons (North-Holland Publishing Company, Am sterdam, 1982).
- 11. A .Barone and G .Paterno, Physics and applications of the Josephson e ect (W iley, New York, 1982).
- 12. E.Feldtkeller, Phys. Stat. Sol. 27, 161 (1968).
- 13. J.D.W eeks and G.H.G im er, Adv.Chem.Phys.40, 157 (1979).
- 14. A. Sanchez, D. Cai, N. Gr nbech-Jensen, A. R. Bishop, and Z.J.W ang, Phys. Rev. B 51, 14664 (1995).
- 15. J. Frenkel and T. Kontorova, J. Phys. USSR, 1, 137 (1939).
- 16. F.R.N.Nabarro, Theory of crystal dislocations (D over, New York, 1987).
- 17. C.Cattuto and F.M archesoni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 5070 (1997).
- 18. S.W. Englander, N.R.Kallenbach, A.J.Heeger, J.A. Krum hansland S.Libwin, Proc.Natn.Acad.Sci.USA 77, 7222 (1980).
- 19. M. Salemo, Phys. Rev. A 44, 5292 (1991).
- 20. L.V.Yakushevich, Reviews of Biophysics 26, 201 (1993).
- 21. L.V.Yakushevich, Studia Biophysica 121, 201 (1987).
- 22. P. J. Pascual and L. Vazquez, Phys. Rev. B 32, 8305 (1985).
- 23. A.Sanchez, A.R.Bishop and F.Dom nguez-Adame, Phys. Rev.E 49, 4603 (1994).
- 24. D. Cai, A. R. Bishop and A. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. E 48, 2, 1447 (1993).
- 25. M. B. Fogel, S. E. Trullinger, A. R. Bishop and J. A. Krum hansl, Phys. Rev. B 15, 1578 (1977).
- 26. D.W. McLaughlin and A.C. Scott, Phys. Rev. A 18, 1652 (1978).
- 27. P.S.Lom dahl, O.H.Olsen and M.R.Sam uelsen, Phys. Rev. A 29, 350 (1984).
- 28. J.C.Ariyasu and A.R.Bishop, Phys.Rev.B 35, 3207 (1987).
- 29. D.W. McLaughlin and E.A.Overman, Phys. Rev. A 26, 3497 (1982).
- 30. P. S. Lom dahl and M. R. Sam uelæn, Phys. Rev. A. 34, 664 (1986).
- 31. P.S.Lom dahland M.R.Sam uelsen, Phys.Lett.A. 128, 427 (1988).
- 32. O.H.Olsen and M.R.Samuelsen, Phys. Rev. B 28, 210 (1983).
- 33. L.L.Bonilla and B.A.M alom ed, Phys.Rev.B 43, 11539 (1991).

- 34. D.Cai, A.Sanchez, A.R.Bishop, F.Falo and L.M.Flor a, Phys. Rev. B 50, 9652 (1992).
- 35. P.J.M art nez, F.Fab, J.J.M azo, L.M.Flor a and A. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. B 56, 87 (1997).
- 36. N. R. Quintero and A. Sanchez, preprint cond-mat/-9709063, Phys.Lett. A, to appear.
- 37. A.R.Bishop, D.W. McLaughlin and M. Salemo, Phys. Rev.A 40, 6463 (1989).
- 38. W. H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in Fortran 2nd Edition (Cam – bridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- 39. For ₀ = 0:9, the last point in Fig. 6, the breather does decay into radiation instead of a into kink-antikink pair. We have included this point in the plot because in this case we could make sure that the breather had indeed disappeared.
- 40. N. R. Quintero, A. Sanchez, and F. Dom nguez-Adame, Resonances, bifurcations, and kink dc motion in the ac driven ⁴ equation, to be published.
- 41. J. C. Fernandez, M. J. Goupil, O. Legrand, and G. Reinisch, Phys. Rev. B 34, 6207 (1986).