M odeling G rain Boundaries using a Phase Field Technique

R yo K obayashi Research Institute for E lectronic Science H okkaido U niversity Sapporo 060, Japan

Jam es A .W arren M etallurgy D ivision and Center for T heoretical and C om putational M aterials Science N ational Institute of Standards and Technology G aithersburg, M D 20899

W.Craig Carter Department of Materials Science and Engineering Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, MA, 02139-4307 (March 23, 2024)

We propose a two dimensional frame-invariant phase eld model of grain impingement and coarsening. One dimensional analytical solutions for a stable grain boundary in a bicrystal are obtained, and equilibrium energies are computed. We are able to calculate the rotation rate for a free grain between two grains of xed orientation. For a particular choice of functional dependencies in them odel the grain boundary energy takes the same analytic form as them icroscopic (dislocation) m odel of R ead and Shockley [11].

64.70 Dv, 64.60.-i, 81.30 Fb, 05.70 Ln

P reviously we presented a new model [1] and [2] and phase-eld simulations of the simultaneous processes of solidi cation, impingement, and coarsening of arbitrarily oriented crystals. In earlierm odels of this phenomenon, a nite number of crystalline orientations are allowed with respect to the xed coordinate reference frame. Morin et al. [3], and Lusk [4] constructed a free energy density having N minim a by introducing a rotational (orientation) variable in the hom ogeneous free energy. Chen and Y ang [5] and Steinbach et al. [6] assigned N order param – eters to the N allowed orientations. In these approaches, the free energy density depends on the orientation of the crystalm easured in the xed fram e | a property which is not physical.

O ur previous work [1] (KWC) introduced a model invariant under rotations of the reference frame. A similarly motivated approach has also been developed by Lusk [8]. We have since determined that the one dimensional solutions obtained in [2] (WCK) are globally unstable to a lower energy solution with completely di use grain boundaries. Herein, we present a completely general form ulation of a new class of models which allow for accurate, physicalm odeling of grain boundary form ation by impingement and subsequent motion.

In KWC, a rotationally invariant three-parameter phase eld model of solidi cation with subsequent im pingement of grains and coarsening was proposed. The model parameters, , , and , represent: a liquid-solid order parameter, a coarse-grained measure of the degree of crystalline order, and the crystalline orientation, respectively. For what follows we shall focus on the events after in pingement, when the material is completely solid (=1), since we are interested in the construction of a physically realistic model of grain boundaries. At the close of this letter we will reintroduce solidication to complete the model.

We now more precisely de ne and . Consider a xed subregion of solid material. At the atom ic scale we can de ne a discrete variable $_i$ which represents the orientation of an atom ic bond (lattice vector) with respect to some xed laboratory frame. For this subregion, we de ne and such that

$$(\cos ; \sin) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\cos _{i}; \sin _{i});$$
 (1)

where N is the number of bonds in the subregion. Then, the variable is an order parameter for the degree of crystalline orientational order, where = 1 signies a completely oriented state and = 0 a state where no m eaningful value of orientation exists in the subregion, and 0 1 always holds. The variable is an indicator of the mean orientation of the crystalline subregion. Note, that when symmetrically equivalent crystalline directions exist, may not be uniquely de ned. However, the point group sym m etry operations can be used to m ap each of the $_{i}$ into a subdom ain of (0;2) where they are unique.

G iven the order parameters and we need to con-

struct a free energy which is invariant under rotations of the reference frame. We start from the expression

$$F = f() + s()G(jr) + \frac{2}{2}jr^{2} dV; \quad (2)$$

where and s are constants, f() is the hom ogeneous free energy and G(jr) is specified below. The function () should have the property (0) = 0 and should increase monotonically with . The constants s and are parameters which set the strength of the penalties for gradients in misorientation and degree of crystalline orientation, respectively. The total free energy is fram e invariant since it includes the variable only in the form r. For this work we assume that only ordered phase is stable, so f() has a single-well at = 1.

In two dimensions, the equilibrium grain boundary energy is generally a function of two angles, for example, the angles of a boundary with respect to the grains on either side. We will derive the equilibrium grain boundary energy as a function of the di erence of these angles (the misorientation), , in one dimension. E ects of anisotropy (the dependence of grain boundary energy with respect to boundary orientation; i.e., the direction ofr with respect to mean crystalline orientation) are not currently included in our model.

Because the energy form does not include explicitly, no preferred values of are selected by the form of our equations. Therefore, values of are determ ined by D irichlet boundary conditions, if they exist, and by gradient ow if the value of is not xed at any spatial position. For a bicrystal (a single grain boundary) with Dirichlet boundary conditions, the spatial extent of the grain boundary is determined by G (jr j) and the coupling to . The custom ary choice of square gradient, $G(\dot{r}) = r$ r, cannot be physically correct, since it leads to a diusion equation for and therefore the region of m isorientation spreads inde nitely. In W CK an attempt to stabilize a nite grain boundary was made by including many minima in G at dierent values of jr j. With this form of G, a one dimensional solution to the equations of motion can be found which has a nite grain boundary thickness. We dem onstrate below that this solution has a larger energy than a completely di use interface, and is thus unstable.

It is useful to determ ine the energetic contribution of terms with the form jr j; where is a positive num – ber. If the gradient is completely spread out over a one dimensional domain of length L and is uniform, then r = L, where is the change in angle across the domain (grain boundary m isorientation). If where gives a m inim um of f() + s()jr j, and L is taken to be large, the total excess energy (associated with f = 0) is given by

$$F_{jL} = \begin{bmatrix} Z & \frac{L}{2} \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ \frac{L}{2} \end{bmatrix} (f() + s() \dot{r} j] dx = O(L^{1});$$

where f() f() f(1). In particular, for > 1

$$\lim_{L \downarrow 1} F_{;L} = 0:$$
(3)

Thus, there is no form for > 1 leading order behavior in G which has a globally stable, non-di use solution, since a completely di use boundary has an energy which can always be made sm aller than any other con guration.

However, the form of F $_{jL}$ suggests that the choice of = 1 m ay adm it solutions for equilibrium grain boundaries of nite spatial extent. If < 1 then the derived evolution equation is completely singular in the region where r = 0. Thus, = 1 (G (jr j) = jr j to leading order) is the only possible choice.

Therefore, the \dim ensionless energy takes the following form :

$$F = \int_{-\infty}^{Z} f() + \frac{2}{2} jr + s() jr j dV; \quad (4)$$

Here we choose for f () and $\$ () the form s

f() =
$$\frac{1}{2}(1 \quad 2; \quad () = 2; \quad (5)$$

 ${\tt W}$ e exam ine an alternative choice for $\ \ (\)$ at the close of this letter.

We consider and as a polar coordinate system on the unit disk D = f 1g as Eqn.1 suggests, and introduce L^2 -norm to the functional space [13]. Then, the equations of m otion are

$$t = {}^{2}r^{2} + 1$$
 2s jr j; (6)

$$t^{2} t = sr \qquad t^{2} \frac{r}{jr j}$$
 (7)

where and are (possibly an isotropic) inverse m obilities [1].

The form of Eqn.7 requires careful consideration since it contains a singular di usivity where r = 0. How ever, this singularity can be treated rigorously and controlled, as the work of G iga and G iga [9] and K obayashiand G iga [10] has indicated. A complete discussion of the dynam – ics of this m odel is reserved for a longer paper, here we present equilibrium one-dimensional solutions.

The equilibrium solutions (x) and (x) must satisfy the following equations:

$$0 = {}^{2}_{xx} + 1 \qquad 2s \ \dot{z}$$
 (8)

$$0 = s \quad \frac{2 x}{j_x j_x}; \qquad (9)$$

where the subscript x indicates di erentiation with respect to x. Dirichlet boundary conditions (1) =

are applied as well as the condition 0 (x) 1. Here, let us consider the equilibrium solution which corresponds to a bicrystal. W ithout loss of generality, the center of the grain boundary is located at x = 0. If (x) has only one minimum, it can be shown that the solution for (x) is a step function at the point where takes minimum [10]. So we can take as

$$(x) = \begin{array}{c} 1 < x < 0; \\ 0 < x < 1: \end{array}$$
 (10)

Note that $j_x j = (x)$, where $= j_+ j_{and} (x)$ is the D irac delta function. Eqn. 8 gives

$$(x) = 1$$
 $(1 _{0})e^{\frac{jxj}{2}}$ (11)

where $_0 = (0)$, and should be determined by integration of Eqn. 8 through the discontinuity in :

$${}^{2} [x]_{x=0}^{x=0^{+}} = 2s_{0} : \qquad (12)$$

Finally, Eqns. 11 and 12 determ ine $_0$ as

$$_{0} = \frac{1}{1+}; \qquad \frac{s}{\cdots}; \qquad (13)$$

where is a scaled m isorientation.

We now calculate the total free energy. This quantity can be separated into two terms: the bulk contribution E_{bulk} , and the contribution from the singular core at x = 0, E_{core} .

$$E_{bulk} = \frac{2}{1} \frac{2}{2} \frac{2}{x} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \frac{2}{3} dx) = \frac{2}{1 + \frac{2}{3}} (1 - \frac{2}{3} dx)$$
(14)

and

$$E_{core} = s_0^2 = \frac{1}{1+}^2;$$
 (15)

W ith these two energies we can nd the surface excess energy

$$E_{bulk} + E_{core} = \frac{1}{1+1}$$
: (16)

Note that the energy is localized in the -neighborhood of the grain boundary, and the precise contribution to the energy from the region $j_k j > \ is E_{bulk} e^{\frac{2i}{2}}$.

W hen

then, for alm ost all , we have that 1, since is expected to have the values of order one. Therefore, except for sm all values of , we have

$$E_{bulk}'; E_{core}' \stackrel{1}{=} E_{bulk}$$
(18)

and

Also,

(19)

which means the degree of orientational order alm ost vanishes at the grain boundary. The typical proles of and

' E_{bulk} ' :

are shown in Fig 1. Eqn. 19 in plies that the grain boundary energy is independent of m isorientation provided that is much larger than the resolution level =s which is very small in this particular case. Therefore, we can regard the case s to be independent of m isorientation.

So far, we have concentrated on the one grain boundary solution under D irichlet boundary conditions. If the initial conditions had more than one boundary, the unconstrained grain (s) must rotate to lower the energy by adjusting the two boundary misorientations, until the equilibrium solution obtained above is attained. Since we are ultimately interested in the dynam ics of this problem, it is useful to exam ine the case shown in Fig2, where a narrow band-shaped interior grain with thickness ' lies between two sem i-in nite grains. Like before, D irichlet conditions are in posed as (1) =.

Let the orientation of the interior grain be labeled $_0$, and assume ' , then the system is described by $\,$ and

as shown in Fig.2. The system can eliminate the interior grain by two independent mechanisms: 1) by moving the boundaries towards each other at xed misorientations; 2) by adjusting the orientation (s) of the interior grain (s) at xed boundary spacing. Since the regions where (x) varies rapidly are spatially localized (`), variation of the boundary position has negligible e ect. Therefore, we evaluate the rotation rate of the interior grain. If we assume, for example, $<_0 < _+$, the following estimate holds [10]:

$$\frac{@_{0}}{@t} ' - \frac{2(+)}{s(0)^{2}(+)^{2}(+)^{2}} = 0 - \frac{++}{2}$$
(21)

It follows from (21) that the angle $_0$ rotates to that which is closer to $_0$. If is identically 0 then the rotation rate is in nite, and a multi-grained solution would disappear instantly.

As a nalpoint, form uch of this analysis we have concerned ourselves with the case where 1. If is so sm all that 1 holds, Eqn. 16 gives the asymptotics

The energies of low -m isorientation tilt grain boundaries have been approximated by summing the energy of distribution of edge dislocations [11]. Here we discuss a m ethod for reproducing the sam e energetic dependence on m isorientation.

It is straightforward to repeat the one dimensional analysis given above for arbitrary f() and (). If this is done for the choice

$$() = 2 \ln (1) 2;$$
 (23)

in Eqn.4 and leaving f() unchanged, we nd is also given by Eqn.11 and $_0$ is determined by

$$(1 _0)^2 = _0$$
: (24)

This guarantees 0_0 1 for arbitrary 0, and gives two asymptotic expansions of $_0$:

 $_{0} = {}^{1} 2 {}^{2} + \dots$ for 1; (25)

$$_{0} = 1$$
 $\frac{1}{2} + :::$ for 1: (26)

Thus, for 1 we obtain the same expression as given by Eqn. 19. In addition, for the very small values of (1),

holds. Eqn. 27 is the Read-Shock by energy of a low angle tilt grain boundary [11]. This is a very useful result, as it allow sus to m in ic the physical picture of a grain boundary as a collection of dislocations using a macroscopic (coarse grained) free energy for sm all m isorientations.

This polycrystalline model can be adopted into traditional elements of phase eld modeling of solidi cation; the full free energy will now be of the form

Z

$$F = [f(;;T;q) + {}^{2}(;r;=S)]$$
(28)
+ s()jr j + $\frac{2}{2}$ jr j]dV:

The eld is the liquid-solid order parameter, and the rst two terms include the functional dependencies necessary to describe solidi cation with anisotropic kinetics and surface energy (see [12]). We include the temperature T, concentrations c_i , and any other therm odynamic variable which would control the state of the system. For anisotropic grain boundaries we need only reform ulate

 2 jr 2 to be functionally similar to . W ith this com – plete m odel, the full process of grain form ation, collision and coarsening can be simulated.

To sum m arize, we have derived a class of m odels which can describe the solidi cation, in pingement and coarsening of grains. These m odels are rotationally invariant, and can be m odi ed in a straightforward m anner to include a variety of physics. All of these m odels are analytically tractable in one dimension, which m akes study of their behavior particularly direct. If we choose the coupling carefully we are able to derive a grain boundary energy identical to the R ead-Shock ley low m isorientation tilt grain boundary energy. Follow ing papers will explore the dynam ics of this m odel in one and two dimensions, and include the e ects of solidi cation, and grain boundary anisotropy.

A cknow ledgm ents: The authors are grateful for help-fuldiscussions with W .J.Boettinger, J.W .Cahn, F.W . Gayle, Y.Giga, G.B.M cFadden, and M.T.Lusk. RK was partially supported by the Grant-in-A id of M inistry of E ducation, Science and Culture of Japan, and partially supported by N IST.

- [1] R.Kobayashi, J.A.W arren, and W.C.Carter, Physica D, 119, 415 (1998).
- [2] J.A.W arren, W.C.Carter, and R.Kobayashiaccepted Physica A.
- [3] B. Morin, K. R. Elder, M. Sutton, and M. Grant, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 2156 (1995).
- [4] M.T.Lusk, Submitted to Physical Review B (1998)
- [5] L.Q.Chen and W.Yang, Phys.Rev.B 50, 15752 (1994).
- [6] I. Steinbach, F. Pezzolla, B. Nestler, M. BeeBelber, R. Prieler, G. J.Schmitz, and J.L.L. Rezende, Physica D 94, 135 (1996).
- [7] David Eyre. 1997. private com m unication.
- [8] M.T.Lusk, accepted Proc.RoyalSoc.London. (1998)
- [9] M. H. Giga, Y. Giga, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 141, 117 (1998)
- [10] R.Kobayashi, Y.Giga, submitted to J.Stat.Phys.
- [11] W .T.Read, and W .Shockley, Phys.Rev, 78, 275 (1950)
- [12] R.Kobayashi, Physica D 63, 410 (1993).
- [13] W .C.Carter, J.E.Taylor, and J.W .Cahn J.ofM etals, 49, 30 (1997)



FIG.1. Typical proles of and with one grain boundary. Simulated solution and analytic solution are both drawn, which coincide completely within the resolution of graph. Domain size = 1:0, = 0:01, s = 100 and = $\frac{2}{3}$.



FIG.2. A schematic plot of the order parameter and orientation for a small grain with orientation $_0$ trapped between two pinned grains with orientation .