

Derivative pricing with virtual arbitrage

Kirill Ilinski ^{*} and Alexander Stepanenko [†]

School of Physics and Space Research, University of Birmingham,

Edgbaston B15 2TT, Birmingham, United Kingdom

Tel: +44-121-4147323, Fax: +44-121-4144719

Abstract

In this paper we derive an effective equation for derivative pricing which accounts for the presence of virtual arbitrage opportunities and their elimination by the market. We model the arbitrage return by a stochastic process and find an equation for the average derivative price. This is an integro-differential equation which, in the absence of the virtual arbitrage or for an infinitely fast market reaction, reduces to the Black-Scholes equation. Explicit formulas are obtained for European call and put vanilla options.

1 Introduction

The Black-Scholes (BS) analysis [1] of derivative pricing is one of the most beautiful results in financial economics. The BS formulas are easy to understand and to handle which leads to wide use of the formulas by traders. There are several assumptions in the basis of BS analysis such as the quasi-Brownian character of the underlying price process, constant volatility and, the most importantly for our goal, the absence of arbitrage. Though first two assumptions can be relaxed and a lot of attempts to improve BS analysis using stochastic volatility models and price models beyond the quasi-Brownian class have been made, the third assumption, namely the no-arbitrage condition has not been tackled yet. Indeed, almost all models imply the no-arbitrage constraint, employ the martingales and hedging portfolios to calculate derivative prices. More generally, the no-arbitrage constraint is at the heart of contemporary financial calculus [2, 3] and leads to many fruitful results not only in derivative pricing but also in portfolio theory and asset pricing [4, 5].

Accepting that the local (virtual) arbitrage is short lived and hence irrelevant for long term pricing, one is forced to consider the arbitrage if the study of the short term behavior is one's objective. It is well-known that local arbitrage opportunities are always present in the market [6] and have finite life-time (for example, for extremely

^{*}E-mail: kni@th.ph.bham.ac.uk

[†]E-mail: ass@th.ph.bham.ac.uk

liquid markets such as futures on S&P the characteristic arbitrage time is of the order of 5 minutes [7]; for less liquid markets such as the bonds market the time can be much longer). This poses the question of how to account the local arbitrage opportunities and improve the pricing formulas.

One of the possible approaches to the problem has been suggested in Ref [8]. It was argued there that the violation of the no-arbitrage constraint and the non-Brownian character of underlying price walks can be accounted for in the same framework. Being a consistent theory, the approach is however extremely complicated and does not allow one yet to get simple analytical results which would be easy understandable and handleable. That is why in this paper we develop simplified tractable analytical version to account for virtual arbitrage opportunities which does not use complicated techniques and results in simple enough final equations.

The paper is organized as follows. In next section we formulate the model. To this end the BS equation is rederived and it is shown how the local arbitrage opportunities can be introduced in the model. In section 3 we find an equation for the average derivative price. This equation generalizes the BS equation and converges to it in the limit of absence of the arbitrage opportunities or infinitely fast market reaction. In conclusion we discuss drawbacks of the model and possible ways to improve it. Explicit analytical solutions for European vanilla options are contained in Appendix.

2 Formulation of the model

We start this section with a quick standard derivation of the BS equation. This both makes the paper self-contained, introduces useful notation, and allows us to emphasize the important notion which is extensively used later.

First, let us denote $V(t, S)$ as the price of a derivative at time t condition to the underlying asset price equal to S . We assume that the underlying asset price follows the geometrical Brownian motion, i.e.

$$\frac{dS}{S} = \mu dt + \sigma dW ,$$

with some average return μ and the volatility σ . They can be kept constant or be arbitrary functions of S and t . The symbol dW stands for the standard Wiener process.

To price the derivative one forms a portfolio which consists of the derivative and Δ units of the underlying asset so that the price of the portfolio is equal to Π :

$$\Pi = V - \Delta S .$$

The change in the portfolio price during a time step dt can be written as

$$d\Pi = dV - \Delta dS = \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} \right) dt + \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - \Delta \right) dS ,$$

from of Ito's lemma. We can now chose the number of the underlying asset units Δ to be equal to $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S}$ to cancel the second term on the right hand side of the last

equation. Since, after cancellation, there are no risky contributions (i.e. there is no term proportional to dS) the portfolio is risk-free and hence, in the absence of the arbitrage, its price will grow with the risk-free interest rate r :

$$d\Pi = r\Pi dt , \quad (1)$$

or, in other words, the price of the derivative $V(t, S)$ shall obey the Black-Scholes equation:

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - rV = 0 . \quad (2)$$

In what follows we use this equation in the following operator form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{BS} V = 0 \quad , \quad \mathcal{L}_{BS} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2 S^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial S^2} + rS \frac{\partial}{\partial S} - r . \quad (3)$$

To formulate the model we return back to Eqn(1). Let us imagine that at some moment of time $\tau < t$ a fluctuation of the return (an arbitrage opportunity) appeared in the market. It happened when the price of the underlying stock was $S' \equiv S(\tau)$. We then denote this instantaneous arbitrage return as $\nu(\tau, S')$. Arbitraders would react to this circumstance and act in such a way that the arbitrage gradually disappears and the market returns to its equilibrium state, i.e. the absence of the arbitrage. For small enough fluctuations it is natural to assume that the arbitrage return \mathcal{R} (in absence of other fluctuations) evolves according to the following equation:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{R}}{dt} = -\lambda \mathcal{R} , \quad \mathcal{R}(\tau) = \nu(\tau, S') \quad (4)$$

with some parameter λ which is characteristic for the market. This parameter can be either estimated from a microscopic theory like [8] or can be found from the market using an analogue of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [9]. In the last case the parameter λ can be estimated from the market data as

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{(t-t')} \log \left[\left\langle \frac{\mathcal{L}_{BS} V}{V - S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}}(t) \frac{\mathcal{L}_{BS} V}{V - S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}}(t') \right\rangle_{\text{market}} \middle/ \left\langle \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{BS} V}{V - S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}} \right)^2(t) \right\rangle_{\text{market}} \right]$$

and may well be a function of time and the price of the underlying asset. In what follows we however consider λ as a constant to get simple analytical formulas for derivative prices. The generalization to the case of time-dependent parameters is straightforward.

The solution of Eqn(4) gives us $\mathcal{R}(t, S) = \nu(\tau, S) e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}$ which, after summing over all possible fluctuations with the corresponding frequencies, leads us to the following expression for the arbitrage return at time t :

$$\mathcal{R}(t, S) = \int_0^t d\tau \int_0^\infty dS' e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} P(t, S|\tau, S') \nu(\tau, S') , \quad t < T \quad (5)$$

where T is the expiration date for the derivative contract started at time $t = 0$ and the function $P(t, S|\tau, S')$ is the conditional probability for the underlying price. To specify

the stochastic process $\nu(t, S)$ we assume that the fluctuations at different times and underlying prices are independent and form the white noise with a variance $\Sigma^2 \cdot f(t)$:

$$\langle \nu(t, S) \rangle = 0 \quad , \quad \langle \nu(t, S) \nu(t', S') \rangle = \Sigma^2 \cdot \theta(T - t) f(t) \delta(t - t') \delta(S - S') . \quad (6)$$

The function $f(t)$ is introduced here to smooth out the transition to the zero virtual arbitrage at the expiration date. The quantity $\Sigma^2 \cdot f(t)$ can be estimated from the market data as

$$\frac{\Sigma^2}{2\lambda} \cdot f(t) = \left\langle \left(\frac{\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}} V}{V - S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S}} \right)^2 (t) \right\rangle_{\text{market}}$$

and has to vanish as time tends to the expiration date.

Since we introduced the stochastic arbitrage return $\mathcal{R}(t, S)$, Eqn(1) has to be substituted with the following equation:

$$d\Pi = [r + \mathcal{R}(t, S)] \Pi dt , \quad (7)$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}} V = \mathcal{R}(t, S) \left(V - S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} \right) , \quad (8)$$

using the operator \mathcal{L}_{BS} . Eqns(8),(5) and (6) complete the formulation of the model.

It is worth noting that the model reduces to the pure BS analysis in the case of infinitely fast market reaction, i.e. $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. It also returns to the BS model when there are no arbitrage opportunities at all, i.e. when $\Sigma = 0$.

In the presence of the random arbitrage fluctuations $\mathcal{R}(t, S)$, the only objects which can be calculated are the average value and other higher moments of the derivative price. In this paper we examine the average price and derive the pricing equation for it in the next section.

3 Effective equation for derivative price

We start this section with the note that the probability distribution of $\mathcal{R}(t, S)$ is Gaussian. Moreover, it can be shown that the probability (up to a normalization constant) of the trajectory $\mathcal{R}(\cdot, \cdot)$ has the form:

$$P[\mathcal{R}(\cdot, \cdot)] \sim \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2\Sigma^2} \int_0^\infty dt dt' dS dS' \mathcal{R}(t, S) K^{-1}(t, S | t', S') \mathcal{R}(t', S') \right] , \quad (9)$$

where the kernel of the operator K is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, S | t', S') &= \theta(T - t) \theta(T - t') \int_0^\infty d\tau ds f(\tau) \theta(t - \tau) \theta(t' - \tau) e^{-\lambda(t+t'-2\tau)} \\ &\quad \times P(t, S | \tau, s) P(t', S' | \tau, s) . \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see that the kernel is of order $1/\lambda$ and vanishes as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Eqn(9), in particular, results in the equality for the correlation function:

$$\langle \mathcal{R}(t, S) \mathcal{R}(t', S') \rangle = \Sigma^2 \cdot K(t, S | t', S') , \quad (11)$$

which we use below.

Now let us return to the dynamical equation for the derivative price

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}V(t, S) = \mathcal{R}(t, S)[V(t, S) - S\partial_S V(t, S)] \quad (12)$$

and note that, since Σ^2/λ plays a role of small parameter in the problem, the noise \mathcal{R} can be considered as weak and we can find a formal iterative \mathcal{R} -dependent solution of the last equation. In the lowest non-trivial order we have the equation:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}V = \mathcal{R}[V - S\partial_S V] = \mathcal{R}[1 - S\partial_S]\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}^{-1}\mathcal{R}[V - S\partial_S V] , \quad (13)$$

which after averaging (using (11)) over all possible realizations of the fluctuations \mathcal{R} give us an equation for the average derivative price $\bar{V} \equiv \langle V \rangle_{\mathcal{R}}$ up to and including terms proportional to Σ^2/λ :

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}\bar{V}(t, S) = \Sigma^2 \int_0^\infty dt' dS' \left[(1 - S\partial_S)\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}^{-1} \right] (t, S|t', S') \cdot K(t, S|t', S') \left[(1 - S\partial_S)\bar{V} \right] (t', S') \quad (14)$$

together with the payoff condition:

$$\bar{V}(T, S) = V_{\text{payoff}}(S) .$$

Equation (14) is the central result of the paper. This is an integro-differential equation which in the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ or $\Sigma \rightarrow 0$ reduces to the Black-Scholes equation and accounts for local arbitrage opportunities and the corresponding market reaction to them. Equations of this type are very familiar in physics where they are called one-loop effective Dyson-type equations.

To conclude the section, it is interesting to note that due to properties of the integrand on the right hand side of Eqn(14), the integration is effectively limited to the interval from time t to the expiration date. It means that any mispricings which happened in the past do not influence the derivative price, as one would expect, and the only relevant contribution comes from future mispricings. The explicit solutions for European vanilla options are derived in the Appendix.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion we want to discuss some obvious drawbacks of the model and ways to improve it.

First of all, all critical comments of BS analysis can be forwarded to this model, except for the no-arbitrage constraint. Indeed if there are transaction costs or if the price process for the underlying asset is not quasi-Brownian motion, it is impossible to create a risk-less portfolio and, hence, to derive the model. This is not a new problem and many efforts to overcome this difficulty have been undertaken. It is possible to demonstrate that the virtual arbitrage model can be improved in the same manner by these methods as they succeed for no-arbitrage BS analysis. This, in principle, allows one to include the transaction costs, the “fat” tails for the underlying assets probability

distribution function and the stochastic volatility in the present model by redefinition of the operator \mathcal{L}_{BS} .

The second point concerns the market reaction to the arbitrage opportunity, or, qualitatively the form of $\mathcal{R}(t, S)$ in Eqn(7). It may be argued that the market reaction is not exponential as assumed in Eqn(5), but has another functional dependence. This dependence can be found from statistical analysis of the stock and derivative prices and then included in the equation for $\mathcal{R}(t, S)$ (in particular, the functional dependence can change with time, for example λ in (5) can be a function of τ). It certainly complicates the model but leaves the general framework intact.

Another point to consider is the absence of correlations between virtual arbitrage opportunities which we assumed in the text, i.e. the white noise character of the process $\nu(t, S)$. It is clear that some correlations can be easily included in the model by substituting the relations in Eqn(6) by the equations:

$$\langle \nu(t, S) \rangle = 0 , \quad \langle \nu(t, S) \nu(t', S') \rangle = \Sigma^2 \cdot F(t, S|t', S')$$

with some correlation function $F(t, S|t', S')$. Such generalization, though making the analytical study almost impossible, allows one to proceed with numerical analysis for the model.

Finally, the model contains new parameters such as Σ and λ . Though the parameters can be estimated from the statistical data as we mentioned above, they still can be considered as a fitting parameters. If we follow this line, to define their values some kind of test of the final formulas on real market data should be carried out. The least curve implied volatility can be used as an example of such tests.

Acknowledgments.

We want to thank Alexandra Ilinskaia (ANZ IB, London) and Ely Klepfish (Commerzbank, London) for a number of long discussions of the subject. K.I. is very grateful to Yuval Gefen (Weizmann Institute, Israel) whose remarks on possible applications of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem to the financial market triggered this work.

Appendix: Solution for European vanilla options

Before going further we would like to point out that, since Eqn(14) is linear, there exists the call-put parity theorem for the average prices $C(t, S, T, E)$ and $P(t, S, T, E)$ of European call and put with the same strike price E and the same expiration date T :

$$C(t, S, T, E) - P(t, S, T, E) = F(t, S, T, E) ,$$

where $F(t, S, T, E)$ is the price of the corresponding forward agreement. It means that pricing of call and put also gives one a price for the forward agreement.

In this appendix we derive explicit formulas for European call and put options for the case of geometrical random walk for the price of the underlying asset. In this

case it is convenient to use the variable $y \equiv \log(S/E)$ instead of S . The probability distribution function then takes the form:

$$P(t, y|t', y') = \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(t-t')}} \exp \left[-\frac{\{y - y' - \mu(t-t')\}^2}{2\sigma^2(t-t')} \right]$$

and the operator \mathcal{L}_{BS} can be rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}} = \partial_t + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \partial_y^2 + \left(r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \right) \partial_y - r .$$

The corresponding kernel $K(t, y|t', y')$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, y|t', y') &= \theta(T-t)\theta(T-t') \int_0^\infty d\tau f(\tau) \theta(t-\tau) \theta(t'-\tau) e^{-\lambda(t+t'-2\tau)} \\ &\times \frac{1}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(t+t'-2\tau)}} \exp \left[-\frac{\{y - y' - \mu(t+t'-2\tau)\}^2}{2\sigma^2(t+t'-2\tau)} \right] . \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the limit of sufficiently fast market relaxation ($\lambda \gg \sigma^2, \mu$) and the particular choice of the smoothing function $f(t)$

$$f(t) = 1 - e^{-2\gamma(T-t)} \quad (16)$$

the kernel takes the form

$$K(t, y|t', y') = \delta(y - y') \theta(T-t) \theta(T-t') K(t, t') , \quad (17)$$

where the following notation has been introduced

$$\begin{aligned} K(t, t') &= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \theta(t-t') \left\{ e^{-\lambda(t-t')} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\gamma(T-t')}}{\lambda + \gamma} \right] - e^{-\lambda(t+t')} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\gamma T}}{\lambda + \gamma} \right] \right\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\lambda} \theta(t'-t) \left\{ e^{-\lambda(t'-t)} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\gamma(T-t)}}{\lambda + \gamma} \right] - e^{-\lambda(t+t')} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\gamma T}}{\lambda + \gamma} \right] \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

If $\lambda(t+t') \gg 1$, that is t, t' are sufficiently far from $t = 0$, then the terms proportional to $e^{-\lambda(t+t')}$ in (18) can be neglected and we obtain for $K(t, t')$:

$$K(t, t') = \frac{1}{2\lambda} \theta(t-t') e^{-\lambda(t-t')} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\gamma(T-t')}}{\lambda + \gamma} \right] + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \theta(t'-t) e^{-\lambda(t'-t)} \left[1 - \frac{\lambda e^{-2\gamma(T-t)}}{\lambda + \gamma} \right] \quad (19)$$

A kernel of the integral operator $\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}^{-1}$ in this case can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}^{-1}(t, y|t', y') = -\frac{\theta(t'-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{2\pi(t'-t)}} \exp \left[-\frac{\{y' - y - \alpha(t'-t)\}^2}{2\sigma^2(t'-t)} - r(t'-t) \right] . \quad (20)$$

with the parameter α given by the relation

$$\alpha \equiv r - \frac{\sigma^2}{2} . \quad (21)$$

Now we will solve Eqn(14) keeping in mind that Σ^2/λ is a small parameter in the problem. At the first non-trivial order we have:

$$V = V_0 + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\lambda} \cdot V_1 \quad (22)$$

where the zero-order solution $V_0(t, y)$ is the BS solution for the derivative:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}} \bar{V}_0(t, y) = 0, \quad \bar{V}_0(T, y) = V_{\text{payoff}}(y)$$

and \bar{V}_1 satisfies the equation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}} \bar{V}_1(t, y) = \int_0^\infty dt' \int_{-\infty}^\infty dy' \left[(1 - \partial_y) \mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}}^{-1} \right] (t, y|t', y') K(t, y|t', y') [(1 - \partial_y) V_0](t', y') \quad (23)$$

which can be explicitly rewritten as:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{BS}} \bar{V}_1(t, y) = F(t, y), \quad V_1(T, y) = 0 \quad (24)$$

with the right hand side given by the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, y) = & -\frac{1}{4\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\sigma^2} \right) \theta(T - t) \left[1 - \frac{\lambda}{\lambda + \gamma} e^{-2\gamma(T-t)} \right] \\ & \times \int_t^T dt' \frac{e^{-(\lambda+r+\alpha^2/2\sigma^2)(t'-t)}}{\sqrt{2\pi(t'-t)}} [(1 - \partial_y) V_0](t', y). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Following these general formulas, the prices of the European call and put options have the form:

$$C(t, y) = C_0(t, y) + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\lambda} C_1(t, y), \quad P(t, y) = P_0(t, y) + \frac{\Sigma^2}{\lambda} P_1(t, y).$$

The zeroth order solutions are just BS solutions for the options:

$$C_0(t, y) = E \left[e^y N[d_1(y, T - t)] - e^{-r(T-t)} N[d_2(y, T - t)] \right] \quad (26)$$

$$P_0(t, y) = E \left[e^{-r(T-t)} N[-d_2(y, T - t)] - e^y N[-d_1(y, T - t)] \right] \quad (27)$$

with the notation

$$d_1(y, \tau) = \frac{y + (\alpha + \sigma^2)\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}}, \quad d_2(y, \tau) = \frac{y + \alpha\tau}{\sigma\sqrt{\tau}},$$

and

$$N(x) \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^x dy e^{-y^2/2}.$$

The correction terms $C_1(t, y)$, $P_1(t, y)$ can be obtained after some straightforward algebra:

$$C_1(t, y) = \frac{Ee^{-r(T-t)}}{4\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}\right) \int_0^{T-t} d\tau \left\{ T - t - \tau + \frac{e^{-2\gamma(T-t)} - e^{-2\gamma\tau}}{2\gamma(1 + \gamma/\lambda)} \right\} \\ \times \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\alpha^2/2\sigma^2)\tau}}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} N[d_2(y, T - t - \tau)] \quad (28)$$

$$P_1(t, y) = -\frac{Ee^{-r(T-t)}}{4\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}\right) \int_0^{T-t} d\tau \left\{ T - t - \tau + \frac{e^{-2\gamma(T-t)} - e^{-2\gamma\tau}}{2\gamma(1 + \gamma/\lambda)} \right\} \\ \times \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\alpha^2/2\sigma^2)\tau}}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} N[-d_2(y, T - t - \tau)] \quad (29)$$

When the expiration occurs at sufficiently large time that we can neglect its influence, the smoothing function $f(t)$ can be substituted by 1, i.e. the limit $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$ can be taken. In this case expressions (28,29) have an even simpler form:

$$C_1(t, y) = \frac{Ee^{-r(T-t)}}{4\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}\right) \int_0^{T-t} d\tau (T - t - \tau) \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\alpha^2/2\sigma^2)\tau}}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} N[d_2(y, T - t - \tau)] \quad (30)$$

$$P_1(t, y) = -\frac{Ee^{-r(T-t)}}{4\sigma} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}\right) \int_0^{T-t} d\tau (T - t - \tau) \frac{e^{-(\lambda+\alpha^2/2\sigma^2)\tau}}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau}} N[-d_2(y, T - t - \tau)] . \quad (31)$$

References

- [1] F. Black, M. Scholes, *Journal of Political Economy*, **81** (1973) 637;
- [2] D. Duffie, *Dynamic Asset Pricing Theory*, Princeton University Press, 1992;
- [3] P. Wilmott, S. Howison and J. Dewynne, *The Mathematics of Financial Derivatives*, Cambridge University Press, 1995;
- [4] S.A. Ross: The Arbitrage Pricing Theory of Capital Asset Pricing, *J.of Economic Theory*, (1976);
- [5] E.J. Elton, M.J. Gruber, *Modern portfolio theory and investment analysis*, John Wiley & Sons, 1995;
- [6] F. Black and M. Scholes: “The Valuation of Option Contracts and a Test of Market Efficiency”, *Journal of Finance*, **27** (1972) 399-418; D. Galai: “Tests of Market Efficiency and the Chicago Board Option Exchange”, *Journal of Business*, **50** (1977) 167-197; R.C. Klemkosky and B.G. Resnick: “Put-Call Parity and Market Efficiency”, *Journal of Finance*, **34** (1979) 1141-1155;
- [7] G. Sofianos: Index Arbitrage Profitability, NYSE working paper 90-04; *J.of Derivatives*, **1**, N1 (1993);
- [8] K. Ilinski, *Physics of Finance*, to appear in *Econophysics*, Kluwer Publishing (1998); available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9710148>;
K. Ilinski, G. Kalinin, *Black-Scholes equation from the Gauge Theory of Arbitrage*, IPhys Group working paper IPhys-4-97, 1997; available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/hep-th/9712034>;
K. Ilinski and A. Stepanenko: Electrodynamical model of quasi-efficient financial market, to appear in *J. Complex Systems*; available at <http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/cond-mat/9806138>
- [9] H.B. Callen, *Thermodynamics*, John Wiley & Sons, 1960;