

Chiral Optical Absorption by a Vortex in $p_x - ip_y$ -Wave SuperconductorMasahige Matsumoto and Manfred Sgrist¹

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Shizuoka University, 836 Oya, Shizuoka 422-8529, Japan

¹Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan

(Received)

The chiral optical absorption by a single vortex in a $p_x - ip_y$ -wave superconductor is studied theoretically. The $p_x - ip_y$ -wave state was recently suggested as the symmetry of the order parameter of Sr_2RuO_4 superconductor. Due to the violation of time reversal symmetry, there are two types of vortices whose winding orientation is the same or opposite to the angular momentum of the Cooper pair. In a real material domains with $p_x - ip_y$ -wave states are expected. However, optical absorption of circular polarized light depends only on the winding of the vortex and has a low energy absorption peak which results in dichroism. Dichroism occurs if superconductivity is realized on a single Fermi surface sheet. However, in the case of several Fermi surface sheets dichroism may disappear, if the both types of carriers are present, electron-like and hole-like. Therefore chiral optical absorption is a possible experiment to detect the orbital dependent superconductivity which was suggested as the superconducting state of Sr_2RuO_4 .

KEYWORDS: Sr_2RuO_4 , orbital dependent superconductivity, $p_x - ip_y$ -wave superconductor, vortex, chiral optical absorption, dichroism, domain

The study of unconventional superconductivity has become one of the most attractive problems in recent condensed matter research, since various examples of this class have been discovered among strongly correlated electron systems. They include some heavy fermion compounds and the high-temperature superconductors and the more recently discovered Sr_2RuO_4 .¹⁾

It has been suggested early that Sr_2RuO_4 might be a spin triplet p-wave superconductor, in particular, due to its relation to various ferromagnetic compounds.^{2,3)} Meanwhile a considerable bulk of experimental evidence has been collected supporting this theoretical proposal. For example the absence of a Hebel-Slichter peak in NQR⁴⁾ and the sensitivity of T_c on non-magnetic impurities⁵⁾ clearly point towards unconventional pairing. Moreover, the indication of broken time reversal symmetry in the superconducting phase, observed in SR measurements, gives a strong argument for the p-wave symmetry.⁶⁾ The most decisive clue for spin-triplet p-wave pairing comes from the Knight shift experiment which shows that the spin susceptibility is not affected by the superconducting state.⁷⁾ The most likely pairing state with broken of the time reversal symmetry in a tetragonal crystal field is given by $d(k) = (k_x - ik_y)^2$, the $p_x - ip_y$ -wave state.

From previous investigations, it is however known that dichroism for the bulk states like the $p_x - ip_y$ -wave state are probably small.^{8,9)} Hence we will concentrate here on the inhomogeneous regions of the superconductor the vortex and the surface. For the s-wave case, dichroism in the chiral optical absorption by a single vortex was studied previously.^{10,11)} In this paper we extend this type of study to the $p_x - ip_y$ -wave pairing state.

For simplicity, we assume that the superconductor is basically two dimensional and has a cylindrical Fermi surface. We then apply the method developed for the

study of vortices in an s-wave superconductor.^{12,13)} Let us start with the following Bogoliubov de-Gennes equation,¹⁴⁾

$$\begin{aligned} h_0 u_n(r) \frac{i}{k_F} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) v_n(r) &= E_n u_n(r); \\ h_0 v_n(r) \frac{i}{k_F} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} (r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}) u_n(r) &= E_n v_n(r); \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $h_0 = r^2 = 2m E_F$, $2 = \partial/\partial x - i\partial/\partial y$, (r) is the order parameter, k_F is the Fermi wave number, E_n is the n -th excitation energy (positive value) and E_F is the Fermi energy ($n=1$). The wave functions u_n and v_n describe the quasiparticle-hole spinor state of energy E_n . Here the vector potential is neglected and this is valid for strong type-II superconductors. Though Sr_2RuO_4 is not of this type, we use eq. (1) for simplicity. There is a relation between the solutions of E_n and $-E_n$,

$$u_{-E_n}(r); v_{-E_n}(r) \propto v_{E_n}(r); u_{E_n}(r) : \quad (2)$$

The vortex center shall be located at the origin of the coordinate. Then the order parameter can be written as $(r) = (r)e^{i\theta}$, where $\theta = 1$ represents the direction of the vortex (positive or negative winding) and θ is the angle of r measured relative to x-axis. Furthermore, (r) is the magnitude of the order parameter. In conventional superconductors the winding orientation of the vortex does not affect its properties apart from the direction of the magnetic flux. This is different in the case of the $p_x + ip_y$ -wave superconductor, since it breaks time reversal symmetry and introduces its own winding. In the following we will restrict to one of the two degenerate states, the $p_x + ip_y$ -wave states and neglect $p_x - ip_y$ -wave part which is induced near the vortex core for simplicity.¹⁵⁾ All results can be generalized through the time

reversal operation.

First we address the vortex with positive winding. We solve eq. (1) in a finite size system of circular shape with radius is R . It is convenient to expand u_n and v_n as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(r) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_{lnj} e^{il} r^{lj} v_{lnj} e^{i(l-2j)} r^{l-2j} \\ v_n(r) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} v_{lnj} e^{il} r^{lj} u_{lnj} e^{i(l-2j)} r^{l-2j} \end{aligned} \quad ; \quad (3)$$

where J_l is the l -th Bessel function, Z_{lj} is the j -th zero of J_l . Substituting eq. (3) into eq. (1), we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_{lnj} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} v_{lnj} e^{il'} r^{lj'} \right) &= E_{ln} u_{lnj} \\ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} v_{lnj} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} u_{lnj} e^{il'} r^{lj'} \right) &= E_{ln} v_{lnj} \\ \frac{1}{k_F} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{Z_{lj}}{r} \frac{dr}{dr} u_{lnj}(r) &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{r} \right) u_{lnj}(r) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \frac{1}{r} \right) v_{lnj}(r) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $lj = (Z_{lj}/R)^2 = 2m E_F$, and E_{ln} is the n -th excitation energy with l which is the angular momentum index referred to that of the spinor component u_1 . It is sufficient for our purpose to approximate the form of the order parameter by $\psi(r) = \psi_0 \tanh(r/\xi)$ in order to avoid the complications of a full self-consistence calculation ($\xi = \xi_F = \hbar v_F / \Delta$: coherence length; v_F : Fermi velocity). It is important to notice that in eq. (4) u_1 couples with v_{l-2} only. Without the vortex, the coupling is between u_1 and v_{l-1} due to the internal angular momentum of the $p_x + ip_y$ -wave state. It is the phase winding of the order parameter around the vortex which makes the difference. Introducing a cutoff energy Δ_c , we can diagonalize eq. (4) and obtain the excitation energies as shown in Fig. 1. The set of parameters used here corresponds to the quantum limit case since $k_F R = 4$, however, the essential properties hold if the system is close to the classical limit (large $k_F R$). There are two kinds of bound states, one localized around the vortex (vortex bound state) and the other formed near the surface at $r=R$ (surface bound state). The latter only occurs for anisotropic pairing.¹⁶⁾ The vortex and surface bound states exist only for $l \geq 1$. Due to eq. (2) the bound state with angular momentum index $l=1$ contains both vortex and surface bound states features.

One tool to investigate the quasiparticle states in the superconductor is scanning tunneling microscopy. This technique probes basically the local density of states,

$$N(r; E) = \sum_n \left(|u_n(r)|^2 \delta(E - E_n) + |v_n(r)|^2 \delta(E + E_n) \right) \quad (5)$$

as shown in Fig. 2. Near the vortex the bound states appear as distinct subgap peaks in the density of states. We label the bound state peaks with corresponding u_1 and v_1 . This feature is very similar to the behavior found for s -wave superconductors. Different to the s -wave case, however, we find here that bound states yield a local density of states similar to the normal metal close to the surface ($r=R$) as shown in Fig. 2(b).¹⁶⁾ We observe here also the feature of Friedel oscillations which have a wave

vector $2k_F$.

Next we turn to the opposite vortex (negative winding). The Bogoliubov de-Gennes equation takes the form,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_{lnj} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} v_{lnj} e^{il'} r^{lj'} \right) &= E_{ln} u_{lnj} \\ \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} v_{lnj} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{l'=0}^{\infty} u_{lnj} e^{il'} r^{lj'} \right) &= E_{ln} v_{lnj} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where $u_n(r)$ and $v_n(r)$ have been expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} u_n(r) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} u_{lnj} e^{il} r^{lj} \\ v_n(r) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} v_{lnj} e^{il} r^{lj} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

In this case u_1 couples with v_1 . Naturally the surface bound states appear for $l=0$ analogous to the previous case. However, the vortex bound states are now restricted the angular momentum $l=0$, reversed to the vortex with negative winding. The bound state with index $l=0$ contains both a vortex and a surface bound state features.

We now consider the difference in the physical properties between the two vortices. In Fig. 4 we show the local density of states only near the vortex core for the negative winding vortex, since the winding of vortex does not affect it near the surface. The peak near the vortex core comes from zero angular momentum, since only J_0 has a finite value at $r=0$. For the negative vortex u_0 couples with v_0 and they have the lowest vortex bound state which makes a peak at almost zero energy near the core. On the other hand, u_2 couples with v_0 for the positive vortex case and it forms a peak near the core at the second lowest vortex bound state energy (see Fig. 2). Increasing $k_F R$ (classical limit) the difference becomes small due to the reduced spacing of the bound state energy level. Because the vortex bound states are associated with specific angular momentum, we expect, however, to see a distinction in the chiral optical absorption by the vortices. The vector potential of the circular polarized electromagnetic radiation is described by $A(r) = A_0 (e_x + ie_y) \exp[i(qr + t)]$, where e_x and e_y are the unit vector of the x and y axes, respectively. The superscripts \pm denotes the right and left circular polarization, respectively. We assume that q is a small wave vector in the z -direction. The absorption rate W at $T=0$ can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} W &= 2 \left(\frac{e}{m} \right)^2 \sum_{nn'} M_{nn'}^2 \delta(E_n + E_{n'}) \\ M_{nn'} &= A_0 \int dr u_n(r) v_{n'}(r) u_{n'}(r) v_n(r) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where e is the electron charge. Since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} = 0$ has the form of $e^{i(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial}{\partial y})}$, the angular momentum of u_n and $v_{n'}$ must satisfy the rule $l_n + l_{n'} = -1$ (conservation of the angular momentum). The absorption of radiation causes the excitation of two quasiparticles. In Fig. 5 we show the absorption rate of the left polarized light for the vortex with positive winding. We show only three types of absorptions, because the weight of other processes are very small. The main absorption edge lies at $\Delta = 0$, 1,

which does not depend on the vortex winding orientation nor the polarization of the radiation. This absorption is mainly caused by the excitations of a surface bound state and a continuum state, so that it would also appear without the vortex. Nevertheless, due to the fact that the surface states are a characteristic feature of anisotropic pairing, this low absorption edge provides evidence for non-s-wave pairing as the edge to the pure continuum excitations lies at $\omega = 2\phi_0/\hbar$.

There is a further characteristic point in the absorption. There are two negligible contributions of low energy. The vortex-surface state excitation is small due to the long distance between the vortex core and surface. In the surface-surface case, the matrix element is completely zero in the absence of a vortex, and, thus, depends also strongly on the distance between vortex and surface. Then the only low-energy contribution comes from the excitation of two vortex states ($V-V$). With the symmetry relation eq. (2) the selection rule can be understood by Fig. 6. For the right circular polarized light there is no such contribution from the $V-V$ absorption for the positive winding vortex. The absorption features for the vortex with negative winding is almost the same, if the polarization of the light is reversed as expressed in Fig. 6. This means that dichroism in the absorption is strongly connected with only the winding direction of the vortex relative to the polarization of the light. In the realm at low energy we expect that domains of the two degenerate pairing states occur and that both types of domains can contribute to the absorption. Even if they exist we can observe the dichroism in the absorption by applying the same external field to both domains in the sample, since the selection of R or L polarized light depends only on the winding direction of the vortex. This result is essentially the same as the s-wave case.^{10,11)}

An interesting aspect occurs in connection with orbital dependent superconductivity. Various experiments reporting a large residual density of states at low temperature^{4,17)} indicate that the superconducting state of Sr_2RuO_4 is realized predominantly on the Fermi surface of the $4d_{xy}$ -orbital.^{15,18)} The other interpretation of this is given by a non-unitary state.¹⁹⁾ If the orbital dependent superconductivity is really the case, the relevant superconducting carriers are electrons, while for the $4d_{yz}$ and $4d_{zx}$ orbitals would also contribute hole-like carriers, since both electron and hole Fermi surfaces are formed by these two orbitals. The solutions of eq. (1) for the electron and hole carriers show the following symmetry relations:

$$u \rightarrow v; \quad 2 \rightarrow 2; \quad \phi \rightarrow -\phi; \quad (9)$$

Equation (8) implies that the first transformation in eq. (9) does not change the absorption rate. Also the second transformation which corresponds to $p_x + ip_y \rightarrow p_x - ip_y$ does not affect our previous result. On the other hand, the third one reverses the winding of the vortex and the effect of polarization (R or L) such that the condition for the low-energy absorption peak is reversed.¹⁰⁾ Consequently, we expect to observe the low-energy absorption peak for both R and L polarized light, if $4d_{yz}$ and $4d_{zx}$

orbitals contribute to the superconductivity.

We list the result of the appearance of the low frequency peak in Table I.

Table I. Appearance of the absorption peak at low frequency for the positive winding vortex. R and L represent the right and left circular polarization, respectively.

| | R | L |
|--------------------------------------|---|---|
| $4d_{xy}$ orbital only | | |
| $4d_{yz}; 4d_{zx}$ orbitals included | | |

In summary, there are features in the optical absorption which could provide evidence for unconventional properties of superconductivity in Sr_2RuO_4 . One is the surface bound state which yields an absorption edge at $\omega = \phi_0/\hbar$, lower than the threshold of the two continuum states excitations. The other is the dichroism in the absorption caused by the excitations of two vortex bound states. The latter can also give evidence for the orbital dependent superconductivity for Sr_2RuO_4 . Unfortunately, the energy of the vortex bound state excitation is rather low so that it would be only observable in the microwave range, which limits the resolution drastically. The absorption edge may, therefore, be a more promising experimental target to probe the unconventional superconducting state of Sr_2RuO_4 .

Acknowledgments

We are very grateful to N. Hayashi and R. Heeb for many helpful discussions on conceptual and technical aspects of this work.

- [1] Y. Maeno et al.: Nature 372 (1994) 532.
- [2] T. C. Gibb et al.: J. Solid State Chem. 11 (1994) 17.
- [3] T. M. Rice et al.: J. Phys. Condens. Matter 7 (1995) L643.
- [4] K. Ishida et al.: Phys. Rev. B 56 (1997) R505.
- [5] A. P. Mackenzie et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 161.
- [6] G. M. Luke et al.: Nature 394 (1998) 558.
- [7] K. Ishida et al.: Nature 396 (1998) 658.
- [8] Q. P. Li et al.: Phys. Rev. B 44 (1991) 4720.
- [9] S. K. Yip et al.: J. Low Temp. Phys. 86 (1992) 257.
- [10] Y.-D. Zhu et al.: Phys. Rev. B 47 (1993) 586.
- [11] B. Janko et al.: Phys. Rev. B 46 (1992) 9270.
- [12] F. Gygi et al.: Phys. Rev. B 43 (1991) 7609.
- [13] N. Hayashi et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 2921.
- [14] Y. Morita et al.: Europhys. Lett. 40 (1997) 207; Y. Morita et al.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 12 (1998) 989.
- [15] D. F. Agterberg: Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 5184; Phys. Rev. B 58 (1998) 14484; R. Heeb et al.: preprint.
- [16] M. Matsumoto et al.: to be published in J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68 (1999) No. 3.
- [17] Y. Maeno et al.: J. Low Temp. Phys. 105 (1996) 1577; S. Nishizaki et al.: Physica C 282-287 (1997) 1413; J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 67 (1998) 560.
- [18] D. F. Agterberg et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3374; T. Imai et al.: Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 3006; T. M. Riseman et al.: Nature 396 (1998) 242.
- [19] K. Machida et al.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 3720; M. Sigrist et al.: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 3452.

N




Fig. 1. Excitation energies normalized by ϕ_0 for the negative vortex. S, V and C represent the surface bound state, vortex bound state and continuum state, respectively. Set of parameters are chosen as $R=10$, $k_F=4$, $\phi_C=2\phi_0$. $R=10$ corresponds to the level discreteness in the normal state as $\Gamma \sim 0.1\phi_0$. S and V states close to zero angular momentum are also shown.




Fig. 2. Local density of states for the positive vortex in an arbitrary unit. A small imaginary part of $0.03\phi_0$ is added to E for convenience. (a) Near the vortex core. (b) Near the surface. In each figure r and E are normalized by ϕ_0 and ϕ_0 , respectively.




Fig. 3. Excitation energies normalized by ϕ_0 for the negative vortex. S and V states close to zero angular momentum are also shown.




Fig. 4. Local density of states near the vortex core for the negative vortex in an arbitrary unit. A small imaginary part of $0.03 \phi_0$ is added to E for convenience. r and E are normalized by ϕ_0 and ϕ_0 , respectively.




Fig. 5. Optical absorption rate of the left circular polarized light by a positive vortex for the $p_x + ip_y$ -wave order parameter in an arbitrary unit. The frequency is normalized by ϕ_0 . A small imaginary part of $0.04 \phi_0$ is added to $!$ for convenience. V-V, S-C and C-C represent the absorption caused by the excitations of vortex-vortex, surface-continuum and continuum-continuum states, respectively. The total absorption can be obtained by adding these three with the same weight.




Fig. 6. Transition from occupied to unoccupied bound states for the right (R) and left (L) circular polarized light. The order parameter is assumed to be $p_x + ip_y$ -wave.