# $N$ on-perturbative results for level correlations from the replica nonlinear $m$ odel 

I. V . Yurkevich and I. V . Lemer<br>School of $P$ hysics and A stronom $Y$, $T$ he University of $B$ im ingham, Edgbaston, $B$ irm ingham B15 $2 T \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{UK}$

(A ugust 26, 2021)


#### Abstract

W e show that for all the three standard sym $m$ etry classes (unitary, orthogonal and sym plectic), the conventional replica nonlinear $m$ odelgives the correct non-perturbative result for the tw o-level correlation functions $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ (!) of electrons in disordered $m$ etals in the lim it of large !. In this lim it, non-perturbative oscillatory contributions arise from a degenerate saddle-point $m$ anifold $w$ ith in this $m$ odelw hich corresponds to the replica-sym $m$ etry breaking. M oreover, we dem onstrate that in the unitary case the very sam e results can be extracted from the well know $n$ exact integral representation for $R_{2}(!)$.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

Starting from the sem inal papers of $W$ egnefl and $E$ fetov目 a eld-theoretical description based on the nonlinear $m$ odel ( $N$ L M) has become one of the $m$ ain analytical approaches to various problem $s$ in disordered electronic system s . The ensem ble averaging over all con gurations of disorder is perform ed either using bosonid险 ferm ionid n -replicated elds and taking the $\mathrm{n}!0 \mathrm{~lm}$ it in the results, or using supersym $m$ etric ( $Z_{2}$-graded) eldd) 5

The very rst application of this approach was a derivation of the renorm alization-group (RG) equations of the scaling theory ${ }^{6}$ of A nderson localization. For such a perturbative derivation, generalized later for $m$ esoscopic system Sl , both the replica and the supersym $m$ etric $m$ ethods are equally w ell justi ed: they just ensure the cancellation of unphysical vacuum loops in a diagram m atic expansion. H ow ever, it soon becam e conventionalw isdom that there existed tw o sets of problem sfor which only one of these $m$ ethods was applicable.

On the one hand, the ferm ionic replica NL M has been generalized by Finkelstein $\sqrt{6}$ to inclizde interactions betw een electrons. The interest in this approach has been greatly enhanced by the recent discoveryl of an apparent m etat insulator transition in 2 D disordered system s in zero m agnetic eld. A though it has been recently dem onstrate $\mathbb{H}^{0}$ that the $K$ eldysh technique provides a viable altemative to the replica approach, the latter still rem ains one of the best available tools for consideration of interacting electrons in disordered system s . In the very least, it is clear that there is no sim ple way of applying the supersym $m$ etry $m$ ethod to a m any-particle ferm ionic system.

O $n$ the other hand, the viability of the replica approach was underm ined by the existence of a set of problem $s$ w hidh could apparently be solved only $w$ ith the help of the supersym $m$ etry $m$ ethod. The rst, and possibly $m$ ost fam ous, of such problem s was solved by Efetor ${ }^{11}$ who used the supersym m etric NL M to derive the two-level correlation function ( $T$ LCF) in the universal ergodic regim e for electrons in disordered $m$ etallic grains. The results proved to be identical to those for eigenvalue correlations in random $m$ atrix theory 12 as had $m$ uch earlier been assum ed by $G$ or'kov and E liashberg 13 This rst $m$ icroscopic derivation ofessentially non-perturbative results has opened the way to num erous new results ( $m$ ainly obtained during the last decade) for which using the supersym $m$ petry $m$ ethod seem ed to be absolutely essential ( $m$ any of these results have been review ed in aprecent book by Efetor ${ }^{14}$ ).

In $m$ any ways, though, this rst non-trivial \supersym $m$ etric" resul1 seem ed to be the best illustration of why the replica $m$ ethod could only be used within a perturbative approach. For the easiest case of the unitary sym $m$ etry the irreducible TLCF is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{2}(!)=\frac{\sin ^{2}!}{!^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ! is the distance between two levels in units of $=$ and is the $m$ ean level spacing. This result is valid in the ergodic regim e, i.e. for ! $\quad \mathrm{g}$ where $\mathrm{g} \quad 1$ is the dim ensionless conductanœ. For ! f the TLCF averaged over fast oscillations, could be readily obtained from the standard diagram $m$ atic techniques ${ }^{15}$ w ith $1=$ ! being the perturbation param eter (or from the perturbation theory in the fram ew ork of either the supersym $m$ etric or replica NL M).H ow ever, the non-perturbative factor $\sin ^{2}$ ! cannot be restored from the perturbation series. Since the replica trick is well justi ed only $w$ ith in the perturbative approach, it $m$ ight seem rather hopeless to obtain the result (1.1) $w$ ith in the replica approach. A nd indeed, quite involved calculations by Verbaarschot and $Z$ imbauen ${ }^{16}$ have show $n$ that a direct application of the replica trick (using either the bosonic or ferm ionic NLM) has apparently not reproduced the TLCF given by Eq. 1.1).
$T$ herefore, a very recent result of $K$ am enev and $M$ ezard ${ }^{17} \mathrm{~m}$ akes a realbreakthrough in this area. $U$ sing the replicasym $m$ etry breaking $w$ thin an e ective ferm ionic eld theory corresponding to the large $N$ lim it ofthe $G$ aussian unitary ensemble of $N \quad N$ random $m$ atrices, they have reproduced the non-perturbative result (1..), albeit only in the region
! 1. This raises hope that one $m$ ight eventually apply the replica approach for obtaining non-perturbative results for interacting electrons in disordered system $s$. H ow ever, the $m$ ethod used in $R$ ef. 17 does not appear to be extendible to the standard NL M describing electrons in a random potential. A though the large-N eld theory written in term $s$ of the $n \quad n$ replica $m$ atrix elds $Q$ is, in principle, totally analogous to the standard $N L M$, this analogy has been considerably sm eared by the very essence of the $m$ ethod applied. $N$ am ely, the standard $\exp \mathbb{N} \operatorname{tr} \ln (\quad i Q)]$ term has been represented after a shift of variables as (detQ) ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}$ and the resylting Itzykson-Zuber integral (sim ilar to that introduced in the context of the supersym $m$ etric $m$ ethod by $G$ uh年) was calculated taking into account replica-sym m etry breaking 17

A though the $m$ ethod used in $R$ ef. 17 could hardly be generalized directly, the $m$ essage was very clear: the replica approach taken together $w$ ith the sym $m$ etry-breaking, can reproduce the results of the supersym $m$ etric approach.
$T$ he purpose of this paper is to dem onstrate that non-perturbative results for all the classicalsym $m$ etry ensem bles (unitary, orthogonal and sym plectic) can be derived in the fram ew ork of the standard ferm ionic NL M w ith replicasym $m$ etry brpaking. W e believe that the present derivation is both $m$ ore general and considerably easier than the originalone ${ }^{-7}$ and can be straightforw ardly extended to include interactions. Independently, A lex K am enev and M arc $M$ ezard have presented in their second papen 19 a derivation which is sim ilar in spirit, although considerably di erent from that suggested here.

B efore describing our derivation, we want to em phasize the follow ing. It is the standard ferm ionic NL M which does contain correct (at least for large ! ) non-perturbative oscillatory contributions to the levelcorrelation functions. $T$ he replica-sym $m$ etry breaking which involves a set of additional saddle-point subm anifolds $w$ ithin the standard NL M is just a very convenient m ethod of calculating the large-! asym ptotic behavior of the correlation fupctions including these oscillatory contributions. Sim ilarly, w ithin the supersym m etric NL M A ndreev and A ltshuler 20 have reproduced the large-! lim it of Eq. 1.1) by the saddle-point calculation of the supersym $m$ etric integral that involved an addrional saddle-point which breaks the supersym $m$ etry. The very sam e integral has been exactly calculated by $E$ fetor ${ }^{11} \mathrm{w}$ thout breaking the supersym $m$ etry. $W$ e extend this analogy to the ferm ionic replica $N L M$ by show ing, w ith the help of a trick which resem bles the replica-sym m etry breaking, that the $\mathrm{n}=0 \mathrm{lim}$ it of the exact integral representation of the TLCF obtained by Verbaarschot and $Z$ imbaue ${ }^{16}$ does contain, at least for large ! , the correct oscillatory behavior.

The paper is organised as follow s. In the next section we recall the form ulation of the standard replica ferm ionic NL M and introduce all relevant notations. In Section we present in detail a calculation of the large ! nonperturbative contribution to the TLCF for the unitary ensemble, based on replica-sym m etry breaking. In Section IV we outline sim ilar calculations for the orthogonal and sym plectic ensembles. In Section $V$ we extract the very sam e results from the exact integral representation for $R_{2}$ obtained by Verbaaschot and $Z$ imbauer 6 for the unitary ensem ble. F inally, in Section $V \mathcal{F}^{\text {w }}$ e sum $m$ arize our results and discuss perspectives of the replica $m$ ethod.

## II. FORMULATION OF THE PROBLEM

W e consider the two-levelcorrelation function (T LCF) de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(!)=\frac{1}{2} h("+!)(") i \quad 1: \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere h: : :i stands for the ensem ble averaging, (") is the electronic density of states per unit volum e de ned in term $s$ of the spectrum $f " g$ for a given sample as $(")=L^{d} \quad(" \quad "), \quad h(") i=1=L^{d} \quad$, and $L$, d are the sample size and dim ensionality. W ewillm easure all energies in units of $=$, in which the TLCF is as written in Eq. (1.1). In these units we express $R_{2}$ via the product of the retarded and advanced $G$ reen's functions as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(!)=\frac{1}{2}\left[\operatorname{eS}_{2}(!) \quad 1\right] ; \quad S_{2}(!) \quad G^{r} "+\frac{!}{2} G^{a}\left(" \quad \frac{!}{2}\right)^{E}: \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fiunction $S_{2}$ can be expressed in the standard way ${ }^{11} 21$ in term s of the replica $N L M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.S_{2}(!)=\lim _{n!0} \frac{1}{n^{2}} \frac{@^{2}}{@!^{2}} Z_{n}(!) ; \quad Z_{n}(!)=\quad D Q \exp (F Q ;!]\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F Q ;!]$ is the non-linear sigm a $m$ odel functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[Q ;]={\frac{1}{L^{d}}}^{Z} d^{d} r \operatorname{Tr} \frac{1}{8} D(r Q)^{2} \quad \frac{i!}{4} Q: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his functional has been derivedt for the $m$ odel of free electrons in a random potential represented in term $s$ of the ferm ionic (anticom $m$ uting) replica elds. A s a result, the eld $Q$ ( $r$ ) is an $H$ em itian $m$ atrix of rank $2 n$ whose elem ents are quartemions for the orthogonal sym $m$ etry ensem $b l e$, com plex num bers for the unitary sym $m$ etry ensem ble which arises $w$ hen tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry in the $m$ odel is broken, and real num bers for the sym plectic sym $m$ etry ensem ble, $w$ hich arises $w$ hen spin-rotation sym $m$ etry is broken. It satis es the standard constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{2}=\mathbb{1}_{2 \mathrm{n}} ; \quad \operatorname{TrQ}=0: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

These constraints are resolved by representing $Q$ as follow $s$ :

$$
Q=U^{Y} U=T^{Y} T ; \quad=\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathbb{H}_{n} ; \mathbb{1}_{n}\right) ; \quad T=\exp \quad \begin{array}{cc}
0_{n} & t  \tag{2.6}\\
\mathbb{E} & O_{n}
\end{array}:
$$

Here $t$ is an arbitrary $n \quad n m$ atrix, and $T$ is obtained by factorizing $m$ atrices $U 2 S(2 n) w$ ith respect to redundant $m$ atrices R $2 S(n) \quad S(n)$ that com $m$ ute $w$ ith , i.e. $U=R T$, where $S(n)$ is the appropriate sym m etry group. These conditions $m$ ean that $Q$ belongs to the com pact $G$ rassm annian $m$ anifold 22 (coset space), $S(2 n)=S(n) \quad S(n)$. For three di erent classes of sym m etry, $S(n)$ becom es the sym plectic group, $S p(n)$, for the orthogonal class, the unitary group $U(n)$ for the unitary class, and the orthogonal group, $S O(n)$, for the sym plectic class,
$T$ he ergodic regim e corresponds to the levelseparationsm uch sm aller than the $T$ houless energy, $D=L^{2}$ (which in the chosen units coincides, up to a num erical factor, w ith the dim ensionless conductance g). In this regime the gradient term in Eq. 2.4) m ay be neglected, and the NL M functional reduces to the zero-dim ensional lim it 11

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[Q ;]=\frac{i!}{4} \operatorname{Tr}[Q] ; \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $Q$ becom ing a spatially hom ogeneousm atrix. H ere and in Eq. 2.4) $=1$ for the orthogonalclass, and $=2$ for the unitaryand sym plectic classes. T his factor arises because unitary and sym plectic classes have been obtained from orthogonat ${ }^{*}$ by the suppression of $m$ assive $m$ odes corresponding to the tim e-reversal or spin-rotational sym $m$ etry breaking and a subsequent reduction of the $Q \mathrm{~m}$ atrix rank. The coe cient also absorbs an extra factor in the sym plectic case due to the rede nition of the $m$ ean level spacing in the chosen units.

## III. UN ITARY ENSEM BLE

W e lim it all further considerations to the ergodic regim e only, and rew rite explicitly $Z$ (!) given by Eqs. 2.3) and (2.7) :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!)=\quad D Q \exp \quad \frac{h}{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{Tr} Q^{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ easure is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D Q=Y_{i ; j=1}^{n} d \underset{i j}{r a} d \underset{i j}{r a} ; \quad d \quad d T \quad \mp: \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere $T$ is the $m$ atrix param eterizing $Q, E q$. 2.6), and $r$ and a refer to the replica indiges which originate from $G{ }^{r}$ and $G^{a}$, respectively. In the large-! lim it this integral is $m$ ainly contributed by the extrem a of the functional which obey the standard condition $[; Q]=0$. This condition is satis ed by any $m$ atrix of the form $Q=\operatorname{diag}\left(Q^{2} ; Q^{a}\right)$, $w$ here $Q^{r}$ and $Q^{a}$ are the $n \quad n$ Hem itian $m$ atrioes whose eigenvalues are 1 and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(Q^{+}+Q^{a}\right)=0$. This de nes a highly degenerate saddle-point $m$ anifold which consists of $C_{2 n}^{n}$ subm anifolds speci ed by a particular distribution of $n$ eigenvalues ' $1^{\prime}$ and $n$ eigenvalues ' -1 ' betw een $Q^{r}$ and $Q^{a}$. T hese subm anifflds can be divided into $n+1$ classes of equivalence, $Q_{p}=\operatorname{diag}\left(Q_{p}^{r} ; Q_{p}^{a}\right)$, labeled by $\operatorname{Tr} Q_{p}^{r}=\operatorname{Tr} Q_{p}^{a}=n \quad 2 p, w$ ith $p=0 ; 1 ;::: n$. The $p$-th class has weight $\left(C_{n}^{p}\right)^{2}$, with $C_{n}^{p} \quad{ }_{p}^{n}$.

The $m$ atrix $Q_{p}^{r}$ w ith $\left(Q_{p}^{r}\right)^{2}=\mathbb{1}_{n}$ and $\operatorname{Tr} Q_{p}^{r}=n \quad 2 p$ can be param eterized by analogy with Eq. (2. p ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{p}^{r}=\left(T_{p}^{r}\right)^{y}{ }_{p} T_{p}^{r} ; \quad p \quad \operatorname{diag}\left(l_{n p} ; \quad l_{p}\right) ; \quad T_{p}^{r}=\exp \quad O_{n}^{p} \underbrace{t^{r}}_{(E))^{Y}} 0_{p} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t^{r}$ is an arbitrary $p \quad(n \quad p) m$ atrix. This de nes the coset space $g=U(n)=U(n \quad p) \quad U(p)$, i.e. Therefore, $Q_{p}=\operatorname{diag}\left(Q_{p}^{r} ; Q_{p}^{a}\right)$ belongs to the $m$ anifold $G_{p} \quad G_{p}$ and can be param eterized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{p}=T_{p}^{Y} p T_{p} ; \quad T_{p}=\operatorname{diag}\left(T_{p}^{r} ; T_{p}^{a}\right) ; \quad p=\operatorname{diag}(p ; p) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integer $p$ speci es the replica-sym $m$ etry breaking, as it describes the num ber of the 1 eigenvalues in each $Q$ $b l o c k$ (equal to the num ber of the +1 eigenvalues in each $Q^{a} b l o c k$ ) : in the sym $m$ etry-unbroken case, $p=0$, and hence retarded and advanced blocks, $Q^{\text {r;a }}$, contain only positive or negative eigenvalues, respectively. W e want to em phasize that $m$ anifolds $G_{p} \quad G_{p}$ w ith $p \in p^{0}$ cannot appear $w$ th in the degenerate saddle-point $m$ anifold, $[; Q]=0$, of the functional (2.7). Indeed, the corresponding $m$ atrices have non-zero trace, and thus do not belong to the $Q$ space de ned by Eq. (2. . . N aturally, one could have derived the replica NL M with di erent numbers of elem ents corresponding to the retarded and advanced blocks, as in $R$ ef. 1 . In this case, the replica-sym $m$ etry breaking w ould still $m$ ean the redistribution of +1 's and 1 's eigenvalues betw een these blocks, keeping the value of TrQ the sam e (equal to the di erence betw een the num bers or replicas in the retarded and advanced blocks in the the sym m etry-unbroken case, $p=0$ ) in each $p$-th $m$ anifold.
$N$ ow we need to take into account contributions from $m$ assive' $m$ odes ( $w$ ith $m$ ass / $1=$ !) in the vicinity of each $m$ anifold 3.4). In the large-! lim it these contributions $m$ ay be considered as independent and the partition function is then represented by the sum of all of them :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!)=X_{p=0}^{X^{n}}\left(C_{n}^{p}\right)^{2} \quad D Q \exp \quad \frac{!}{\frac{Z}{2}} \operatorname{Tr} p Q^{i} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have used the fact that the sim ilarity transform ation in the vicinity of $p$, i.e. $U{ }_{p} U^{Y}$, covers the entire sym $m$ etric $m$ anifold of the NL M, Eq. 2.6, including all the $m$ assive $m$ odes. $H$ aving substituted this into the functional 2.7), we have reduced $\operatorname{TrU} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{Y}}$ to $\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{T}=\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{p} Q$, where we have substituted $\mathrm{U}=\mathrm{RT}$, as de ned after Eq. (2. $\mathbf{~})$. Let us em phasize again that the above representation of $Z$ as the sum over all $p$ can be justi ed only as a perturbative (in 1=!) procedure: a possible overlapping ofm assive modes originated from di erent m anifolds is irrelevant in the large-! lim it.

E ach term in the sum (3.5) contains both $m$ assive and $m$ asslessm odes. Indeed, we have used above the factorization $U=R T$ with $R$ being block-diagonalmatrices commuting $w$ ith. $T$ he matrices $T$ in $\operatorname{Tr} p Q=T r \operatorname{Tr} T^{y}$ still contain the subset of $m$ atrioes com $m$ uting w th $p$ that correspond to the $m$ assless $m$ odes. Therefore, we need to param eterize $T$ in a way which enables us to factorize out these $m$ assless $m$ odes and perform the integration over the m assive ones.
$T$ he m ost suitable param eterization of $T$, analogous to that used in $R$ ef. 16 for the bosonif $N L M$, can be obtained by expanding the $m$ atrix exponent in Eq. 2.6). By introducing $m$ atrix $B \quad t\left(e^{Y} t\right)^{1=2} \sin ^{(y)} t$, we represent $T$ and thus $Q=T^{Y} T$ as follow $S$ :

The matrix $B_{p}$ in this param etprization is not unconstrained, though. The $Q=Q^{y}$ condition is ful lled only when the $m$ atrices $\overline{\mathbb{1}_{n}} \quad B B^{y}$ and $\quad \overline{\mathbb{1}_{n}} \quad B^{Y} B$ are $H$ erm itian. $T$ his is so only when all the eigenvalues of $B B^{y}$ and $B^{Y} B$ do not exceed unity. Only under this constraint does $Q$, param eterized as in Eq3.6, still belong to the coset space $\mathrm{U}(2 \mathrm{n})=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n}) \quad \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{n})$. N evertheless, this param eterization is very convenient. First, the corresponding Jacobian is unity (see A ppendix), so that the $m$ easure of integration 3.2) can be w ritten sim ply as

$$
D Q={\underset{i ; j}{Y} d B_{i j} d B_{i j} \quad D B:}^{\text {D }}
$$

In addition, the representation of all the exponents in the sum 3.5) in term $s$ of $B$ is also very sim ple, $\operatorname{Tr} p Q=$ $2\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 2 p\end{array}\right) \quad 2 T r_{p}\left(B^{y}+B^{y} B\right)$, so that we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{n}(!)=X_{\frac{p}{Z}=0}^{X_{n}^{n}}\left(C_{n}^{p}\right)^{2} \quad e^{i!(2 p n)} Z_{n}^{p}(!) ;  \tag{3.8}\\
& Z_{n}^{p}(!)=D B \exp i!\operatorname{Tr}_{p}\left(B B^{y}+B^{Y} B\right):
\end{align*}
$$

The region of integration in 3.9) is restricted by the constraint described after Eq. 3.9) . Last, but not least, the param eterization (3.6) allow s us to separate out the massless modes, which obey the condition [I; $p$ ] 0 , in each
integral 3.9). Indeed, this condition is satis ed by all $m$ atrices $T$ constructed from $B$ which anticom $m$ ute $w$ ith $p$, i.e. have the o -diagonalblock structure.
$T$ his $m$ eans that in the representation of $B$ in the $b l o c k$ form re ecting the structure of $p=d i a g\left(\mathbb{1}_{n} p ; \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{p}}\right)$,

$$
\mathrm{B}=\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{B}_{1} & \mathrm{~b}_{1}  \tag{3.10}\\
\mathrm{~b}_{2}^{\mathrm{y}} & \mathrm{~B}_{2}
\end{array} ;
$$

the $m$ atrices $B_{1 ; 2}$ represent the $m$ assive $m$ odes, and $b_{1 ; 2} m$ assless. $W$ hen the $m$ assive $m$ odes are suppressed ( $B_{1}=0$ and $B_{2}=0$ ), the $T m$ atrioes in Eq. 3.6) constructed from $p \quad(n \quad p) m$ atrioes $p ; 2$ only, param eterize the sam $e$ degenerate $p$-the $m$ anifold, $G_{p} \quad G$ described in Eq. 3.4), as one expects.

By substituting the representation 3.10) into Eq . (3.9), we reduce $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}$ to the product of integrals over the m assive and $m$ assless $m$ odes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}^{p}(!)={ }^{Z} \quad B_{1} D B_{2} \exp ^{h} 2 i!\operatorname{tr}\left(B_{1} B_{1}^{y} \quad B_{2} B_{2}^{y}\right)^{i Z} \quad D b_{1} D b_{2} ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere the region of integration over $b_{1 ; 2}$ depends on $B_{1 ; 2}$ due to the constraint on the eigenvalues of the $m$ atrices $B B^{Y}$ and $B^{Y} B$ in the representation (3.1d). Since the integral over $B_{1 ; 2}$ is contributed only by the region where both $\operatorname{tr} B_{1} B_{1}^{y}$ and $\operatorname{tr} B_{2} B_{2}^{Y}<1=$ ! $\quad 1$, in the leading in $1=$ ! approxim ation we $m$ ay put both $B_{1 ; 2}$ to 0 in the constraint of the integration region over the $m$ assless $m$ odes $b_{1 ; 2}$. In this approxim ation, as we have noticed after Eq. 3.10), $m$ atrices $\mathrm{l}_{1 ; 2}$ param eterize the p -th m anifold (3.4) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Z }{ }^{\mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{D} \cdot \mathrm{~b}_{2}=}{ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{D} Q_{\mathrm{p}}={ }^{2}\left(\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) ; \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the m easure of integration over $D Q_{p}$ is de ned in term $s$ of $T_{p}$ in the sameway that $D Q$ is de ned in term $s$ of T, Eq. 3.2), and ( $G_{p}$ ) is the volum e of the com pact coset space $G_{p}$. This volum e is expressed via the well-known volum es of the unitary group, ( $U(n)$ ), as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{p}\right)=\frac{(U(n))}{(U(n \quad p))(U(p))}=(2)^{\frac{1}{2}\left(n^{2}(n p)^{2} p^{2}\right]^{Y^{p}}} \frac{(1+j)}{(n+2 j)}: \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the sam e large-! approxim ation, the variables $B_{1 ; 2}$ param eterizing the $m$ assive $m$ odes are unconstrained. Then the $G$ aussian integral over the $2\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & p\end{array}\right)^{2}+p^{2}\right]$ independent $m$ assive $m$ odes yields

C om bining Eqs. (3.14) and (3.13) and om itting an irrelevant overall factor which goes to 1 when n ! 0, we arrive at the follow ing expression which is essentially the sam e as that derived in R ef. 17 via the Itzykson-Zuber integral:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!)=\mathbb{E}_{p=0}^{X_{n}} J^{2} \frac{e^{i!(2 p n)}}{\left.(2!)^{(n} p\right)^{2}+p^{2}} ; \quad F_{n}^{p} \quad C_{n}^{p}{ }_{j=1}^{Y^{p}} \frac{(1+j)}{\left.(n+2)^{p}\right)}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the sum $m$ ation over $p$ has been extended to $1 \operatorname{since~} F_{n}^{p}=0$ for all integer $n>p$. This allow s one to take the replica lim it, $n!0$, in each of the term $s$ in $E q$. 3.15). Due to the fact that $F_{n}^{p} / n^{p}$ as $n!0$, only the term $s w$ ith $p=0$ and $p=1$ in Eq. 3.15) contribute to $S_{2}$ in Eq. 2.3). Om itting all the term $s$ with $p \quad 2$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!)=\frac{e^{i!n}}{!^{n^{2}}}+n^{2} \frac{e^{i!(2 n)}}{4!(n 1)^{2}+1}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into Eqs. 2.3) and (2.2) and keeping the leading in 1! term s only, one arrives at the expression (1.1) for the TLCF. A though this expression looks as being exact, it has been actually derived only in the large-! lim it, as is the case in the Itzykson-Zuber calculation of K am enev and M ezard ${ }^{17}$, and in the supersym m etry breaking' m ethod of A ndreev and A ltshuler 20

A generalization to both these sym $m$ etries from the unitary one is straightforw ardiejem ents of all $m$ atrices in the previous section were com plex num bers, while now they becom e quartemion num berf 22 in the orthogonalsym $m$ etry and real num bers in the sym plectic symm etry. In other words, $S(n), S(p)$, etc, should be substituted for $U(n)$, $U$ (p), etc, in all form ulae of Section III, w th $S$ being the sym plectic group $S p$ for the orthogonal sym $m$ etry and the orthogonal group $S O$ for the sym plectic sym $m$ etry (such an inversion is characteristic of the ferm ionic replica approach). O ne should also rede ne the $m$ easure of integration, Eq. (3.2), in term $s$ of independent $m$ atrix elem ents and substitute 2! by ! as de ned in Eq. (2.1).

A fler these rede nitions, the partition function $Z_{n}$ is represented by the sum 3.9), w ith each term in this sum given by the product of integrals over the $m$ assive and $m$ assless $m$ odes, Eq. 3.11). The num ber of $m$ assive $m$ odes is $(4=)\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & p\end{array}\right)^{2}+p^{2}\right]$, where $=1 ; 24$ for the orthogonal, unitary and sym plectic sym $m$ etry is the standard $D$ yson param eter. For orthogonal and sym plectic sym $m$ etries, the $G$ aussian integral 3.14) over the $m$ assive $m$ odes yields

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{p}}(!)=\begin{array}{lll}
(=!)^{2\left[(n p)^{2}+p^{2}\right]} & (1)^{(n p)^{2}} p^{2} & \text { orthogonal sym m etry }  \tag{4.1}\\
(=2!)^{\left[(n p)^{2}+p^{2}\right]=2} i^{\left[(n p)^{2} p^{2}\right]=2} & \text { sym plectic sym m etry }
\end{array}
$$

T he integrals overm asslessm odes, Eq. 3.12), give the volum es, ${ }^{2}\left(G_{p}\right)$ of the corresponding coset spaces. T he results can be w ritten as

$$
\left(G_{p}\right)=\sum_{\substack{j=1}}^{\substack{Y^{p}}} \begin{align*}
& {[1+2(n+1 \quad j)]} \tag{42}
\end{align*} \text { orthogonal sym m etry }
$$

C om bining Eqs. 4.1 and 42 , we obtain the partition function for both the sym $m$ etries. It can be w ritten in term s of , together w ith the unitary $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{Eq}$. 3.15), in universal form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!)=X_{p=0}^{X^{1}} F^{2}(n ; p) \frac{e^{i!(2 p n)}}{(2!)^{2}\left[(n p)^{2}+p^{2}\right]} ; \quad F \quad(n ; p)=C_{n}^{p} Y_{j=1}^{Y^{p}} \frac{\left(1+\frac{2}{} j\right)}{\left.\left(1+\frac{2}{(n+1} j\right)\right)}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $\mathrm{n}!0 \mathrm{lim}$ it only the term $\mathrm{s} w$ ith $\mathrm{p}=0 ; 1$ survive for $=1 ; 2$, while for $=4$ one needs to keep the $\mathrm{p}=2$ term as well:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n!0}(!)=1 \quad i!n+n^{2} \quad \frac{!^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{2}{-} \ln !+\frac{{ }^{2}(1+2=)}{(2!)^{4}} \quad{ }^{2 j!}+{ }^{4} \frac{e^{4 i!}}{2^{8}!^{4}}: \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into Eqs. 2.3) and 2.2), we obtain the T LCF as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{2}(!)=\frac{1}{!^{2}}+\frac{2^{2}(1+2=)}{(2!)^{4}} \cos 2!+\quad ; 4 \frac{\cos 4!}{32!^{4}}: \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This reproduces the correct large-! asym ptotic behavior of $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ for all three ensembles, which includes the nonperturbative oscillatory factors.

A s we have derived these results with a non-standard treatm ent of the standard ferm ionic NL M, they also should be contained in the exact integral representation obtained for this m odelby Verbaarschot and $Z$ imbauer 16 w ew ill show now that this is, indeed, the case.

> V. THE LARGE-! LIM IT OF THEVERBAARSCHOT-ZIRNBAUER INTEGRAL

The zero-m ode' partition function, Eq. 2.7), can be exactly represented in the follow ing form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!)={ }^{2}(U(n))^{Z+1}{ }^{2}()^{Y} Y_{i=1}^{n} e^{i!} \quad{ }^{i} d_{i} ; \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { () }{\underset{i<j}{ }\left({ }_{j} \quad i\right): ~}_{\text {i }}
$$

which is equivalent (w ith accuracy up to factors going fo 1 in the $n!0 \lim$ it) to the representation for $S_{2}$ given in Eq. (2.24) of the paper by Verbaarschot and $Z$ imbauer 16

The leading in $1=$ ! contributions to this highly oscillatory integral (which does not have stationary points inside integration region) com e from the end points. To single out these contributions, we m ust take som e 's close to +1 and the rest close to 1 , which im itates replica sym m etry breaking. Let us choose $n \quad p$ of 's close to +1 and $p$ of 's close to 1 . Then we can split up the Vanderm onde determ inant in the follow ing way:

$$
2()=Y_{i ; j}^{Y^{n}} j_{j} \quad i j \quad 2^{p(n p)} \quad \stackrel{2}{+} \quad 2
$$

where

Reducing the integral 5.1) to the sum of such contributions only, we represent it as

Since in each of the integrals all the variables are close to one of the lim its of integration, the second lim it was extended to in nity. N ow wem ake substitutions $i_{i}=1 \quad x_{i}$ in the rst integral, and $i_{i}=1+x_{i}$ in the second one, reducing the above sum to the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}(!) \quad{ }^{2}(U(n))^{X^{n}}\left(C_{n}^{p}\right) 2^{2 p(n p)} e^{i!(2 p n)} I_{n p} I_{p} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{p}$ are integrals of the Selberg's type 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}=\sum_{0}^{Z} \quad x_{j=1}^{Y^{p}} d x_{j} e^{i!x_{j}} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the known Selberg integrals and discarding an overall factor which goes to unity in the replica lim it we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.Z_{n}(!)=X_{p=0}^{X_{n}} \mathbb{E}_{n}^{p}\right]^{2} \frac{e^{i!(2 p n)}}{2!(n)^{2}+p^{2}} ; \quad F_{n}^{p}=C_{n}^{p} Y_{j=1}^{Y^{p}} \frac{(1+j)}{(n+2)^{j}}: \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his expression is exactly the sam e as Eq. 3.15 obtained in Section 1 with the help of the replica-sym $m$ etry breaking. Therefore, the exact representation 5.1) does contain the true oscillatory asym ptotic behavior of the T LC F .
$T$ he authors of $R e f$. 16 have also draw $n$ attention to the fact that there is an apparent contradiction betw een the $!=0 \mathrm{lim}$ it for $S_{2}$ obtained from the replica trick and the exact supersym $m$ etric result. Indeed, if ! is put to 0 in the expression for $S_{2}$ follow ing from Eqs. 5.1) and 2.3) the $n!0$ lim it is taken after that, one obtains $S_{2}(!=0)=1$. This cannot be correct as $\left\langle e S_{2}(!!0)\right\rangle 0$ as follows from the de nition (2 \& ) and, moreover, it is known that $S_{2}(!!0)!(!) . W$ hat is interesting, how ever, is that if one separates the singular, $S_{2}^{\text {sing }}(!)$, and regular, $S_{2}^{\text {reg }}(!)$, parts of $S_{2}(!)$, then $S_{2}^{\text {reg }}(!!0)=1$. Therefore, the replica m ethod gives $S_{2}^{\text {reg }}(!=0)$ correctly, and it is just $S_{2}^{\text {sing }}$ which is $m$ issing. H owever, the fact that $Z_{n}(!!0)$ is nite for any integer $n$ does not necessarily im plies that it is also nite (as a function of! ! 0) in the replica lim it. For exam ple, if the expansion of $S_{2}(!)$ before taking the $\mathrm{n}=0 \mathrm{lim}$ it contained a term proportional to ! $\mathrm{n}^{2} 1$, it would be singular in the replica lim it. In other words, if a non-trivialdependence on the order of $\lim$ its $n!0$ and! ! 0 existed, in a spirit of the replica trick the $n!0 \lim$ it should be taken rst. At the m om ent, though, this is only a speculation as we have not yet succeeded in calculating the integral 5.1) for sm all!. H ow ever, the fact that the large-! lim it of this integral reproduces the correct results 1.1) $m$ akes it plausible that there are only technicaldi culties rather than one of principle in the application of the replica $m$ ethod.

The punpose of this paper was to dem onstrate explicitely that non-perturbative oscillatory contributions to the $T L C F$ of electrons in a random potential fould be extracted from the standard NL M formulated in ferm ionic replicas by E fetov, Larkin and K hm elnitskifl m any years ago. To this end, all one needs is to param eterize all the non-trivial saddle-point $m$ anifolds corresponding to the broken replica-sym $m$ etry and describing $m$ assless $m$ odes' of the theory, and expand the action in the vicinity of these $m$ anifolds to include $m$ assive $m$ odes'. The very sum ilar approach has been used in the supersym m etric NL M : the non-perturbative oscillations have been extracted by the expansion around two extrem al points one of which breaks the supersym $m$ etry 20 Since the exact supersym $m$ etric calculation of the TLCF was well known 11 it was clear that the supersym $m$ etry breakind 24 was just a convenient $m$ ethod of extracting the large-! lim it (and going beyond the universal zero-m ode' apporxim ation). It is not clear at the $m$ om ent whether exact calculation is possible w thin the replica approach. H ow ever, as we have show $n$ in the Section $V$, the exact integral representation of $R_{2}(!)$ does at least describe the correct behavior in the large-! lim it.

On the other hand, there is a num ber of non-perturbative results where all the leading contributions com e from the sm all! lim it (see, e.g., Ref. 23) : the existence of a nontrivialspatially inhom ogeneous saddle point in the non-com pact sector of the supersym $m$ etric $N L M$ was the $m$ ain source of these contributions. At the $m$ om ent it is unclear whether such a saddle point could be foupd_w ithin the ferm ionic replica approach. N evertheless, the fact that som $e$ of these results had earlier been obtained 24 w ith in the RG treatm ent of the ferm ionic replica NL M prom pts that it could be technical rather than $m$ ethodoligical di culties involved.

D espite the fact that the replicas prove now to be a trusted tool for non-perturbative calculations, there is no doubt that the supersym $m$ etric $N L M$ is the best tool to obtain non-perturbative results for non-interacting electrons. But the further elaboration of the non-perturbative $m$ ethods $w$ ithin the replica trick $w i l l$ be im portant for the problem $s$ $w$ here supersym $m$ etry cannot be applied. $T h$ is is the situation $w$ ith the interacting electrons in a random potential. Such system s can be described by NL M w ith ferm ionic replicas only and we believe that the further developm ent of $m$ ethods sim ilar to presented here willenable one to obtain at last non-perturbative results for disordered system s of interacting electrons.
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APPENDIX A:CALCULATION OF JACOBIAN

To calculate di erentials of $m$ atrioes entering the $m$ easure (3) we use the follow ing rational substitution:
$T$ hen the di erentials in the $m$ easure (3) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{12}(T) \quad d T \quad Y=2 \frac{1}{1}(d \quad d \quad) \frac{1}{1}: \tag{array}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand we can nd the di erential of $B$, entering the $m$ easure (3.7) as follow $s$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
d B=2 \frac{1}{1} \mathrm{y}(d+d) \frac{1}{1 \frac{y}{r}}: \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ om paring Eqs. A 2) and A.3) one can see that the Jacobians oftransitions $d^{12}(T)!d$ and $d B$ ! $d$ are exactly the sam e. From that fact one deduces that the Jacobian of the transition $d^{12}(T)!d B$ is unity.
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