Quantum critical point and scaling in a layered array of ultrasm all Josephson junctions.

 ${\tt T}$. K . K ${\tt opec}^{1;2}$ and ${\tt J}$. V . ${\tt Jose}^1$

¹P hysics D epartm ent and C enter for Interdisciplinary Research on C om plex System s, N ortheastern University, B oston, M A 02115 U SA
²Institute for Low Tem perature and Structure Research, P olish A cadem y of Sciences, POB 1410, 50-950 W roclaw 2, P oland

(April 15, 2024)

Abstract

We have studied a quantum H am iltonian that models an array of ultrasm all Josephson junctions with short range Josephson couplings, E_J , and charging energies, E_C , due to the sm all capacitance of the junctions. We derive a new e ective quantum spherical model for the array H am iltonian. As an application we start by approximating the capacitance matrix by its self-capacitive lim it and in the presence of an external uniform background of charges, q_x . In this lim it we obtain the zero {tem perature superconductor{insulator phase diagram, E_J^{crit} (E_C ; q_x), that in proves upon previous theoretical results that used a mean eld theory approximation. Next we obtain a closed {form expression for the conductivity of a square array, and derive a universal scaling relation valid about the zero {tem perature quantum critical point. In the latter regime the energy scale is determined by tem perature and we establish universal scaling forms for the frequency dependence of the conductivity.

PACS numbers: 74.50.+r, 67.40Db

Q uantum phase transitions have attracted a signi cant am ount of interest in recent years. There are di erent physical system swhere quantum phase transitions can be studied, like in magnetic system s¹, the quantum Halle ect², superconducting lm s³ and Josephson junction arrays (JJA)⁴. There have been signi cant advances in lithographic techniques that allow the fabrication of arrays of ultra-sm all superconducting islands, with charging energy, E_c, that can dom inate the Josephson coupling energy, E_J, making quantum uctuation e ects of param ount importance⁵. Because the junction parameters can be controlled accurately JJA o er a unique system where one can test the nature of quantum phase transitions and critical phenom ena, in particular the superconductor{ insulator (SI) phase transition induced by quantum uctuations. Therefore JJA can be a prototype system that can display well controlled quantum SI phase transitions.

In the JJA problem, the two relevant low temperature energy scales are $E_{\rm J}$, that permits tunneling of C opper pairs between islands, and E c that tends to localize the charge carriers in the islands. When E_J is much larger than E_C the phases in the islands are well dened. In this regime only the phases determ ine the properties of the JJA. In the opposite limit, i.e. $E_{C} >> E_{J}$ the superconducting phase is perturbed by strong zero point quantum uctuations due to the Coulom b blockade that localizes the charge carriers to the islands. Several theoretical 6^{8} and experimental studies have considered the competition between the E_{II} dom inated phase and the E_c dom inated charging energy regions in periodic JJA. It has been established that for su ciently large charging energy the quantum phase uctuations lead a complete suppression of long { range phase coherence even at zero tem perature. This type of quantum phase transition has attracted signi cant interest in recent years (for a review see R ef. 10 and also 11). Generally, these transitions take place at zero tem perature where crossing the phase boundary is accompanied by a change in the ground state of the system. This transition is induced at zero tem perature by changing som e external parameter in the Ham iltonian of the system, for example the charging energy in the Josephson-junction arrays (given by the quantum parameter $E_{\rm C} = E_{\rm J}$). Let the critical tem perature be $T_{\rm c}$ and denote the distance from criticality as t = 1 $T = T_c$. The critical behavior is asymptotically close to the critical point which is entirely determ ined by classical physics. This is because the characteristic frequency associated with the critical uctuations, $!_{c} = 1$, vanishes at criticality, and the characteristic correlation time for relaxation towards equilibrium diverges at the critical point (here jtj is the diverging characteristic correlation length in the system .) A quantum system behaves classically if the temperature is larger than all frequencies of interest, i.e. as long as $h!_{c} < k_{B}T$, which is always the case when the system is su ciently close to the critical point with a non zero T_c. In the critical region various physical quantities can have scaling forms (i.e. given in terms of hom ogeneous functions) as a consequence of the diverging and . Since the experim entally accessible system s are necessarily at non-zero tem perature, one needs to understand how the quantum behavior is modied at nite temperature. The important observation is that at nite temperature the partition function has a nite temporal dimension, since the elective classical system extends to an extra dimension of size h.

At T = 0, the 2D JJA problem goes into a 3{dimensional classical XY model with the critical behavior of the 3D XY model. Moreover, there should be a clear signature in the

2

nature of the correlation functions (like for example in linear response) when crossing over from the 2D XY model at high temperatures to the 3D XY model as T ! 0. Speci cally, the response of the quantum critical point to a small temperature perturbation will be determ ined by the location of the quantum {to{classical crossover. An especially interesting quantum critical regime appears when the relaxational frequency satis es h! $k_{\rm B} T$. In this regime the only relevant scale is given by the tem perature and the dynam ical scaling functions will only depend on the ratio $h!=k_B T$. As the ratio $h!=k_B T$ is varied in an experiment we expect to see a crossover between temperature { and frequency { dom in a ted scaling regin es. For the nite tem perature experiments one can scale the data by using the know ledge of the frequency dependent scaling functions to test the existence or probing of a quantum critical point. The experim ental identi cation of a quantum phase transition in JJA will rely upon nding the scaling behavior with the relevant parameters as tem perature, frequency and the wavelength dependence of various observables. The speci c signatures are given by universal values of certain dimensionless amplitudes as, for example, the resistivities at the critical point in 2D JJA system s. Motivated by these issues, it is the goal of the present paper to study the superconductor {insulator transition in 2D JJA in the quantum critical regime, by focusing on the scaling properties of the directly measurable electrom agnetic conductivities in JJA systems.

The outline of the rest of the paper is the following: In Section II we dene the model Ham iltonian, followed by a novel path integral formulation of the problem. In section III we present as a test of our quantum spherical model approach for the self-capacitance model. There we show that the spherical technique gives a better answer than the one obtained from mean eld theory. In Section IV we present our calculation of the scaling properties of the conductivity in the quantum critical regime. Finally, in Section V we brie y discuss our results.

II.THE MODEL

A.Quantum phase Ham iltonian

A Josephson junction array (JJA) can be modeled by a periodic lattice of superconducting islands separated by insulating barriers. Each island becomes independently superconducting about the bulk transition temperature T_{c0} and it is characterized by an order parameter $(r_i) = j_0(r_i) je^{i(r_i)}$, where r_i is a two (dimensional vector denoting the position of each island. The magnitude of the order parameter, $j_0(r_i) j$ is non (uctuating when the temperature is lowered further and the onset of long range phase coherence due to the tunneling of C cooper pairs between the islands is responsible for the zero resistance drop in the arrays. The phase coherence onset temperature in JJAs can be signi cantly reduced by making the junctions ultrasm all. When the junction's capacitance is small the charging energy (i.e. the energy necessary to transfer C cooper pair charges between the islands) increases to the point where no pairs can tunnel anym ore, com pletely quenching the Josephson current. The com petition between the Josephson tunneling and the charging (C culom b) energy, without dissipation, can be modeled by the H am iltonian

$$H = H_{C} + H_{J};$$

$$H_{C} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r}^{X} [C^{-1}]_{rr^{0}} \hat{Q}_{r} \hat{Q}_{r^{0}};$$

$$H_{J} = \int_{hr_{1}, r_{2}i} J(\dot{r}_{1} - r_{2}) [1 - \cos(r_{1} - r_{2})];$$
(1)

Here, $\hat{Q}_r = (2e=i)$ = 0 r is the charge operator while \hat{r} represents the superconducting phase operator of the grain at the site r; $J(\dot{r}_1 r_2)$ is the site (dependent Josephson coupling and $[C^{-1}]_{rr^0}$ is the inverse capacitance matrix.

B.Euclidean action and path integral representation

It is useful to derive a eld-theoretic representation of the partition function for Eq (1) to study the quantum nature of the superconductor-insulating (SI) phase transition. A convenient procedure is to introduce a path { integral representation in a basis diagonal in $_{1}$. In this representation the partition function is expressed as

$$Z = D_{r} e^{S[]}$$
(2)

Here the functional integral is evaluated over the phases restricted to the compact interval [0;2] and with an elective action (h = 1)

$$S[] = S_{C}[] + S_{J}[];$$

$$S_{C}[] = \frac{1}{8e^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} d \sum_{r;r^{0}}^{X} C^{-1}]_{rr^{0}} \frac{\theta_{r}}{\theta} \left[\frac{\theta_{r^{0}}}{\theta} \right];$$

$$S_{J}[] = \int_{0}^{Z} d \sum_{hr_{1},r_{2}i}^{X} J(\dot{r}_{1} - r_{2})f1 - \cos[r_{1}(t) - r_{2}(t)]g;$$
(3)

Because the values of the phases which di er by 2 are equivalent, the path integral can be written in terms of non{com pact phase variables $_{r}()$, de ned on the unrestricted interval [1;+1], and by a set of winding numbers fn_rg, which are integers running from 1 to +1. Consequently, the path{integral representation in Eq.(2) also includes a summation over winding numbers¹²: $_{r}() = _{r}(0) + 2$ in_r = + $_{r}()$ which re ects the discreteness of the charge, so that the integration measure reads

This concludes the form ulation of the model. In the following section we will transform this representation to one where the order parameter eld is expressed in a novel quantum spherical model self{consistent scheme.

III.QUANTUM SPHERICAL MODEL APPROACH

To study the JJA model it appears at rst natural to use a description in terms of an elective G insburg{Landau functional derived from the microscopic model of Eq. (3).

Several studies of JJA have followed this route, also known as the coarse grained approach rst developed by Doniach¹⁶. The key point of this method is to introduce a complex eld order parameter $_{\rm r}$ (or equivalently a two component real eld) whose expectation value is proportional to the S_r() vector de ned by

$$S_{r}() = [S_{r}^{x}(); S_{r}^{y}()] \quad [\cos(r); \sin(r)]:$$
(5)

The non-zero therm alaverage $hS^{*}()i = hcos_{i}i$ describes the \phase-locking" or long{range phase ordering in the model. The system is governed by the G inzburg-Landau functional as long as the order parameter is small and the decoupling of the Josephson energies is valid. This is a serious shortcom ing of the coarse grained approach since the method is restricted to the region of parameters in the vicinity of the critical point and does not o er a self{consistent description of the full problem.

There are no nontrivial exact solutions of the model, except for some molecular eld{ type approaches. It is therefore reasonable to develop new approximate mappings of the model which may admit exact solutions, both in the ordered in disordered phases. >From the de nition of the pseudo{spin variables S_r the following rigid constraint holds for each one,

$$\beta_{r}()^{2} = [\beta_{r}^{x}()]^{2} + [\beta_{r}^{y}()]^{2} = \cos^{2}(r) + \sin^{2}(r) = 1;$$
(6)

The relation in Eq. (6) also implies that a weaker condition also applies, namely:

$$\overset{X}{f_{r}} \overset{X}{f_{r}} () \overset{2}{f} = N :$$
 (7)

The main idea of our approach is to attempt to generate an elective partition function from the original one with cosine interaction, which incorporates the constrained nature of the original variables. This leads us to the formulation of the problem in terms of the sphericalm del^{17} ^{{19} by introducing the appropriate constrained order parameter eld. The name of the model comes from the observation that in Eq.(7) the allowed states of the spherical model are all points on the surface of a hypersphere of radius N. A lthough the sphericalm odel was originally introduced as an approximation to the classical Ising model, the \sphericalization "technique may be readily applied to a variety of other problems (for a quantum generalization of the sphericalm odel, see Ref. 20); the one key necessary ingredient is the existence of an inherent constraint on the H am iltonian variables as in Eq.(5). The model de ned by Eq.(7) is in fact a hybrid of the spherical and the two component (M = 2) vector models. The global constraint of Eq. (7) may be introduced on the continuous order parameter eld by using the functional analogue of the D irac{delta function

where the $_{\rm r}$ () are the complex c{number elds, which satisfy the quantum periodic boundary condition $_{\rm r}$ () = $_{\rm r}$ (0), and taken as continuous variables, i.e., $1 < _{\rm r}$ () < +1, but constrained (on the average) to have unit length. The partition function of Eq. (2) then reads

O nem ay wonder whether the introduction of the spherical condition on the order param eter elds in Eq. (7) is essential since the global constraint is automatically fulled by virtue of the exact relation (6). Indeed, in a rigorous treatment of the partition function of Eq. (2), the constraint will be spurious; in any approximate treatment of the functional integral for Z, however, (as for example in a coarse(graining approach) the introduction of the unrestricted order parameter eld generally will lead to a violation of Eq.(6). In such a case the introduction of the spherical constraint on the order parameter eld in the partition function simply rejects the constrained nature of the original pseudo{spin variables.

Integrating over the phase variables leaves the statistical sum entirely written in terms of the constrained continuous order parameter eld $_r$ (), so that the partition function becomes

$$Z = D_{r} D_{r} D_{r}^{?} J_{r}^{*} ()^{2} N e^{S_{e}[]};$$
(10)

where the e ective order parameter action reads

$$S_{e}[] = S_{J}[] + {}_{C}[];$$
 (11)

with

$$S_{J}[] = \begin{bmatrix} Z & X \\ 0 & J(jr_{1} & r_{2}j) \\ hr_{1}, r_{2}i \end{bmatrix} (jr_{1} & r_{2}j) (jr_{2} & r_{2}j) (j$$

and

$$e^{c[]} = \frac{{}^{Z} {}^{Y} {}^{Y} {}^{D} {}^{r} {}^{r} {}^{T} {}^{T} {}^{r} {}^{T} {}^{r} {}$$

where the generating functional of the cum ulant multipoint phase correlators of the \non { interacting" system (i.e., involving only charging energy term s) is

$$W[] = \ln D_{r} \exp d_{i}() S(()) + S_{c}[]$$
(14)

with the variables acting as the source elds. It can be seen that from Eq.(14) that $e^{-c[-]}$ is just the functional Fourier transform of $e^{W[-]}$. The standard way to proceed is to calculate $_{c}[-]$ using the saddle point method and a subsequent loop expansion in terms of the powers of the order parameter using Eq. (14). The structure of this expansion is brie y described in the Appendix.

It is convenient to employ the functional Fourier representation of the $\{$ functional to resolve the spherical constraint in Eq.(10):

$$[g()] = \sum_{i=1}^{Z_{i}+i1} \frac{D_{i}()}{2_{i}} \exp \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$$
(15)

A coordingly, we can write

W e m ay factor the trace over each $_{r}$ () at the expense of introducing a new integral over one component eld (). Expanding part of the elective action $_{c}$ [] to second order in $_{r}$ () we get from Eq.(10)

$$Z_{QSA} = \sum_{r}^{Z} D_{r} D_{r} D_{r}^{2} \frac{\#_{Z}}{2} \frac{D}{2} e^{S_{QSA}[;]}; \qquad (17)$$

where

$$S_{QSA}[;] = \int_{0}^{Z} d d^{0} \cdot \int_{\operatorname{hr}_{1}; r_{2}; 1}^{8} (J(jr_{1} r_{2}j + ()r_{1}; r_{2}) (^{0}) + \int_{0}^{+} (r_{1}; r_{2})^{1} \cdot \int_{r_{1}}^{2} ()r_{2} (^{0}) N(() (^{0})^{0}; (18)$$

is the elective action of the quantum spherical approximation (Q SA). Subsequent in provements over the spherical model approach may be obtained by considering the saddle point corrections to the Lagrangian parameter . This can be conveniently done in terms of a loop expansion as described in the Appendix. Furthermore, $_{02}^{+}$ (r_1 ; r_2^{0}) = [M_{02}^{-1}]⁺ (r_1 ; r_2^{0}) is the two (point phase vertex correlator and

$$W_{02}^{+}(\mathbf{r}_{1};\mathbf{r}_{2}^{0}) = \frac{1}{Z_{0}} X_{1}^{X} Y_{2}^{Z_{2}} d_{0}^{Z} (0) + 2 n_{r} D_{r} ()e^{i[r_{1}() r_{2}(^{0})]}e^{S_{c}[]};$$
(19)

with

$$Z_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} X & Y & Z_{2} & Z & (0) + 2 & n_{r} \\ & & d & (0) & D_{r} & ()e^{S_{c} []}; \end{bmatrix}$$
(20)

is the partition function for the \non{interacting" system. Eq. (18) incorporates the quadratic term proportional to () and the integration in Eq.(17) takes place over all con gurations of the order parameter eld. The model is now unconstrained and quadratic, so all quantities can be readily computed. In the therm odynamic limit, N ! 1, we can calculate the functional integral in Eq.(17) by the steepest{descent m ethod. To proceed, we introduce propagators associated with the order parameter eld de ned by

$$G (r_1 ; r_2) = h_{r_1} ()_{r_2} ()_{r_2} ()_{i_{QSA}} :$$
(21)

The condition that the integrand in Eq.(17) has a saddle point () = $_0$ is that

$$1 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r}^{X} G(r; r + 0);$$
 (22)

which becomes an implicit equation for 0. The QSA ensemble average is now de ned by

$$h:::i_{QSA} = \frac{{}^{R} {}^{Q} {}_{r} D {}_{r} D {}_{r}^{2}]:::e^{S_{QSA} [; 0]}}{{}^{R} {}^{Q} {}_{r} D {}_{r} D {}_{r}^{2}]e^{S_{QSA} [; 0]}}:$$
(23)

A Fourier transform of Eq. (18) in m om entum and frequency space enables one to write the spherical constraint (22) explicitly as

$$1 = \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{k} \frac{X}{J(k) + [N_{02}(!, \cdot)]^{-1}};$$
(24)

with J (k) the Fourier transform of the Josephson interactions J ($jr_1 r_2$), W $_{02}$ (!.) the frequency transform ed phase {phase correlator of Eq. (19) and !. = 2 `= (`= 0; 1; 2;:::) the (B ose) M atsubara frequencies. A susual in a spherical model analysis the critical behavior is determined by the denom inator of the sum m and in the spherical constraint equation of Eq. (22). Speci cally, when [l=G (k = 0;! = 0)] = 0, where G (k;!.) is the Fourier transform ed order param eter correlation of Eq. (21), the system displays a critical point at

$$_{0} J(k = 0) + [W_{02}(! = 0)]^{\perp} = 0;$$
(25)

provided the momentum and frequency summations in the constraint in Eq. (22) converge. The system does not show a phase transition if the frequency (momentum sum in Eq.(22) diverges for N ! 1 at the point de ned by the criticality condition (25). The universal critical properties only depend on the low (frequency behavior of $[M_{02}(!,\cdot)]^{-1}$ and the long (wavelength properties of the interaction J (k). Form ally, the T = 0 critical behavior will be identical to that of interacting quantum rotors with a kinetic energy proportional to k^2 in d = 3 dimensions, i.e. the transition will be in the universality class of the tree dimensional (3D) XY model.

A.Zero tem perature ground capacitance model.

O set charges are an important ingredient in the experimental array samples made of ultrasmall junctions. In this subsection we reconsider this problem as a test for the usefulness of the quantum spherical model approach. The o set charges, or an external gate voltage applied between the array and the substrate, behave like a chemical potential for injection of C ooper pairs into the array. Several authors have shown that static background charges can have a pronounced e ect on the SI transition at zero tem perature^{12;13}. Including the o set charges, q_x , in the charging energy of Eq. (3) gives

$$S_{C}[] = \frac{1}{8e^{2}} \int_{0}^{Z} d \sum_{r,r^{0}}^{X} [C^{-1}]_{rr^{0}} \frac{e_{r}}{e} q_{k} \frac{e_{r^{0}}}{e} q_{k} : \qquad (26)$$

Furtherm ore, we simplify the model to include only the background capacitance (or self{ charging) model. In this case $[C^{-1}]_{r,r^0} = r_{r,r^0} = C_0$ and $E_c = \frac{1}{2}e^2 [C^{-1}]_{r,r}$ $e^2 = 2C_0$. O focuse

the approximation that $C_0 >> C_1$, where C_1 is the mutual capacitance between the islands, is a rst step in the analysis that leads to physical insights into the general problem. Finally, we assume a square array characterized by the nearest{neighbor Josephson coupling E_J with $J(k) = E_J [\cos(k_x a) + \cos(k_y a)]$,

with a the lattice constant. We obtain the corresponding density of states

$$(E) = \frac{1}{N} X_{k} (E \quad J(k)) = \frac{1}{{}^{2}E_{J}} K \quad \frac{1}{1} \frac{E^{2}}{4E_{J}^{2}} (2E_{J} \not E); \qquad (27)$$

where K (x) is the complete elliptic integral of the rst kind¹⁴. The phase {phase correlation function becom es^{12} :

$$W_{02}(!, \cdot) = \frac{8E_{C}}{Z_{0}} \frac{X}{q} \frac{\exp^{h} 4 E_{C} (q - q_{x})^{2}}{(4E_{C})^{2} (8E_{C} (q - q_{x}) - i!, \cdot)^{2}};$$
(28)

where $Z_0 = \prod_{q=1}^{P} \exp \left[4 E_c (q q_x)^2\right]$, and the summation is performed over all integers valued charge states q = 0; 1; 2;:::, which makes the function W_{02} (!,) periodic. At low temperatures the sum over q in Eq.(28) is dominated by the charge q which makes the exponent in the numerator of Eq.(28) smallest. For T = 0 this value is q = 0 and the equation for the critical line ($E = E_c$) vs. q_k is obtained from the implicit equation

$$1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{(E)}{0 + 2E_{C} - E_{C} - \frac{E_{C}}{1} \frac{1}{8E_{C}}} \frac{(E)}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{(E)}{1} \frac{$$

valid for $\frac{1}{2}$ q_k $\frac{1}{2}$ (other values of q_k are included by a periodic extension with the period equal to one). The criticality condition (cf. Eq.(25)) reads

$$_{0} = 2E_{J} \quad 2E_{C} + 8E_{C}q_{x}^{2}$$
: (30)

By form ally setting $_0 = 0$ in Eq.(30) we obtain the coarse-grained mean { eld parabolic solution:

$$\frac{E_{J}}{E_{C}} = 1 \quad 4q_{x}^{2}$$
: (31)

By substituting the value of $_0$ from Eq.(30) into (29) within the QSA method, after performing the summation over M atsubara frequencies, one obtains the T ! 0 limit result

$$1 = P \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dE (E) \int_{2(_{0} + 2E_{C} - E)}^{S} \frac{2}{2(_{0} + 2E_{C} - E)} \int_{4}^{2} sign (E_{1} + \frac{q}{E_{1}} + \frac{q}{2(_{0} + 2E_{C} - E)E_{1}} \int_{A}^{1} e^{2(_{0} + 2E_{C} - E)E_{1}} e^{2(_{0} + 2E_{1} - E)E_{1}} e^{2(_{0} + 2E_{1} - E)E_{1}} e^{2(_{0} + 2E_{1} -$$

where P denotes the principal value of the integral. A fter Eq.(27) we nally obtain the phase boundary of the insulating M ott lobe at zero tem perature

$$1 = \frac{p_{\overline{2}}}{2} \sum_{2}^{Z} \frac{K}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{y^2 = 4}{y^2 = 4} :$$
(33)

In Fig.(1) we plot the resulting phase boundary in the $(E_J = E_C)$ {vs{qx plane at T = 0. We recognize the periodic lobes in q_x of the insulating phase separated by regions of phase coherent superconducting state. For $q_x = 1=2$ the superconducting state extends down to arbitrarily small values of $E_J = E_C$. We can compare the phase diagram resulting from the QSA to the one obtained from mean{ eld theory via the coarse grained approach (cf. Eq.(31)). Whereas QSA gives the value E_J ($q_x = 0$)= E_C 1:653, mean{ eld theory gives E_J ($q_x = 0$)= $E_C = 1$, which underestimates the critical value of E_J . It is also instructive to compare the QSA result for E_J ($q_x = 0$)= E_C to the recent third {order perturbation expansion in $E_J = E_C^{15}$:

$$q_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{4} \frac{E_{J}}{E_{C}} \quad \frac{3}{128} \quad \frac{E_{J}}{E_{C}} \quad \frac{2}{1025} \quad \frac{11}{E_{C}} \quad \frac{E_{J}}{E_{C}} \quad ^{3} + O \quad \frac{E_{J}}{E_{C}} \quad ^{4^{\#}};$$
(34)

which gives $E_J (q_k = 0) = E_C$ 1.590, giving a 3.8% of the dimension of $E_J = E_C$ at $q_k = 0$ obtained in the QSA.

IV.CONDUCTIVITY SCALING

The conductivity is an experimentally measurable quantity in JJA. There are other studies of the superconductor{M ott{insulator and its universal conductance in JJA. Cha et al (see, R ef. 21) carried out a 1=N expansion and M onte C arb analysis. Van O tterb et. al. used the coarse{graining approach²² and Fazio and Zappela did an -expansion²³. Here, we are interested in another aspect of the SI transition, nam ely, the scaling of the conductivity in the vicinity of the quantum critical point.

To evaluate the conductivity, we need to add an external eld in terms of a minimally coupled order parameter to the vector potential A (r;). The Josephson coupling term in Eq. (3) then becomes

$$J(\mathbf{j}\mathbf{r}_{i} \ \mathbf{r}_{j}\mathbf{j}) \ ! \ J(\mathbf{j}\mathbf{r}_{i} \ \mathbf{r}_{j}\mathbf{j}) \exp \ i\frac{2e^{Z} r_{j}}{h} A \ dl:$$
(35)

The phase shift on each junction is determined by the vector potential A of the magnetic applied eld and in a typical experimental situation it can be entirely ascribed to the external eld.

The imaginary {time frequency dependent linear-response conductivity is given by

$$(!;q) = \frac{h}{!}^{Z} d^{2}r_{0}^{Z} d \frac{2 \ln Z}{A(;r) A(0;0)} e^{i! + iqr}$$
(36)

For vanishing magnetic eld and o set charge the longitudinal component of (!) $_{\rm xx}$ (! ;q = 0) in the QSA is

$$(!) = \frac{4e^{2}E_{J}^{2}}{h!} \sum_{a=a}^{Z} \frac{dk_{x}dk_{y}}{(2-a)^{2}} \frac{1}{x} \sum_{a=a}^{X} \frac{dk_{x}dk_{y}}{(2-a)^{2}} \frac{$$

where $G^{-1}(k;!,\cdot) = [J(k) + 2E_{c} + !^{2}_{\tau}=8E_{c}]$, and is determined self{consistently from the constraint equation (7). To proceed it is convenient to obtain the generalized density of states for the 2-D square lattice as

$${}_{2}(\mathbf{E}) = {}^{Z} {}_{=a} \frac{d\mathbf{k}_{x}d\mathbf{k}_{y}}{(2 = a)^{2}} \sin^{2}(a\mathbf{k}_{x}) \quad (\mathbf{E} \quad \mathbf{J}(\mathbf{k})) =$$

$$= \frac{2}{{}^{2}\mathbf{E}_{J}} {}^{\mathbf{E}} {}^{\mathbf{E}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{\frac{\mathbf{E}^{2}}{4\mathbf{E}_{J}^{2}}}{\frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\mathbf{E}_{J}^{2}}} \frac{\frac{\mathbf{E}}{2\mathbf{E}_{J}}}{\frac{\mathbf{E}}{2\mathbf{E}_{J}}} {}^{2}\mathbf{K} \frac{1}{1} \frac{\frac{\mathbf{E}^{2}}{4\mathbf{E}_{J}^{2}}}{(4\mathbf{E}_{J}^{2} \quad \mathbf{E}^{2})}$$
(38)

where E (x) is the elliptic integral of the second kind. In term s of Eq. (38) the conductivity of Eq. (37) becomes

$$(!) = \frac{4e^{2}E_{J}^{2}}{h!} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dE \frac{1}{2} \int_{1}^{X_{-2}} dE (E_{i}! \cdot) [G(E_{i}! \cdot) G(E_{i}! + ! \cdot)]:$$
(39)

Here, G¹(E;!.) = [$E + 2E_J + !^2 = 8E_C$], where = $_{crit}$. The zero{tem perature critical boundary of the phase coherent state is signaled by ($E_J = E_C$; T) reaching the value $_{crit}$ ($E_J^{crit} = E_C$; T = 0). The parameter plays the inportant role of energy scale (which vanishes in the superconducting phase). We now consider the T = 0 phase transition between the long{range ordered coherent phase state and the insulating phase by varying the coupling constant E_J through the critical value E_J^{crit} , where there is a diverging correlation length $E_J = E_J^{crit}$. At nite tem peratures, the deviations from T = 0 behavior are distinguished by the scale set by the therm al coherence length $_T = T^{1=z}$ (where z is the dynam ic critical exponent). The quantum {critical region is de ned by the inequality $_T <$. In this case the system feels the nite value of the tem perature before becoming sensitive to the deviations of E_J from E_J^{crit} . In this regime the dynam ic conductivity turns out to be rem arkably universal. To proceed, we need to determ ine the dependence of tem perature and $E_J = E_C$. The spherical constraint of Eq. (7) takes the form

$$1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{2}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{(E)}{1} + 2E_{J} \frac{(E)}{1} \frac{1}{8E_{C}} \frac{1}{8E_{C}}^{2}$$
(40)

The near(critical properties of the spherical model are essentially determ ined by the structure of the spectrum of the interaction matrix $J(\dot{r}_1 \quad r_2)$ in the neighborhood of its upper boundary, speci cally by the behavior of the density of states associated with $J(\dot{r}_1 \quad r_2)$. We therefore expand the square lattice density of states (27) about the upper limit of the spectrum of J(k):

$$\frac{1}{E_{J}^{2}} K \begin{pmatrix} 2_{U} \\ 4_{U} \\ 4_{U} \\ 4_{U} \end{pmatrix}^{2} K \begin{pmatrix} 2_{U} \\ 4_{U} \\ 4_{U} \end{pmatrix}^{2} = \frac{1}{2E_{J}} + \frac{E}{8E_{J}^{2}} + O (E^{2}):$$
(41)

The subsequent sum mation frequency yields

$$1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{k_{\rm B} T}{E_{\rm J}} \ln_{\frac{2}{3}}^{\frac{8}{2}} \frac{\ln q}{\frac{1}{2E_{\rm C}} (4E_{\rm J} + 1)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2E_{\rm C}} (4E_{\rm J} + 1)} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2E_{\rm C}} (1 + 1)}$$

This constraint equation can be explicitly solved for the dependence of ~ on T;E $_{\rm J}$; and E $_{\rm C}$ giving

$$= \frac{(k_{\rm B} T)^2}{2E_{\rm c}} \quad \text{arsinh } e^{2} \quad {}^{\rm E_{\rm J}} \sin h \quad \frac{q}{8E_{\rm C} E_{\rm J}} \quad {}^{\rm 2}; \tag{43}$$

and near the T = 0 quantum critical point

$$= \frac{(\mathbf{k}_{\rm B} T)^2}{2E_{\rm C}} \quad \text{arsinh} \quad \frac{1}{2} \exp \qquad (E_{\rm J} E_{\rm J}^{\rm crit})^2; \qquad (44)$$

where $E_{J}^{crit} = 2E_{c} = {}^{2}$. We extract the conductivity, as a function of the real frequencies ! in the form of real and in aginary parts of (!) $_{sing}$ (!) + $_{reg}$ (!), after performing the sum over M atsubara frequencies in Eq.(39) followed by analytic continuation to real frequencies i! ·! + i0⁺ getting

$$\operatorname{Im}_{\text{sing}}(!) = \frac{4e^{2}E_{J}^{2}}{h} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dE_{2}(E) \frac{1}{!} \frac{8 E_{C} \cosh^{2} \frac{q}{2} 8E_{C}(E + 2E_{J})}{E + 2E_{J}};$$

$$\operatorname{Im}_{\text{reg}}(!) = \frac{4e^{2}E_{J}^{2}}{h} \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} dE_{2}(E) \frac{1}{4} \frac{8 E_{C} \cosh^{2} \frac{q}{2} 8E_{C}(E + 2E_{J})}{8E_{C}(E + 2E_{J})^{3} \frac{! \cosh \frac{q}{2} 8E_{C}(E + 2E_{J})}{\frac{!^{2}}{8E_{C}} 4(E + 2E_{J})}$$
(45)

and

The realpart of the conductivity contains two contributions: the rst, Re $_{sing}$ (!), is singular since it is proportional to (!) and the second nite{frequency is regular, Re $_{reg}$ (!), which arises from the electrom agnetic eld induced transitions to excited states. The singular part in turn is due to the free acceleration of quasiparticles. This is so since the JJA model considered here contains no dissipation mechanism which would e.g. arise from a coupling of the phase degrees of freedom to the norm al electrons (O hm ic damping). At T = 0 the singular part vanishes while the regular one can be evaluated explicitly by perform ing an energy integration in Eq.(46) with the result

$$\operatorname{Re}_{\operatorname{reg}}(!;) = \frac{(2e)^{2}}{h} \frac{1}{2} \frac{!_{c}}{!}^{2} \operatorname{F}^{2} 1 + \frac{!^{2}}{2} 1 \frac{!^{2}}{!^{2}_{c}} \frac{!}{!_{c}} \frac{!}{!_{c}} 1 \frac{!}{1 + 4} \frac{!}{1 + 4} \frac{!}{!_{c}} ; (47)$$

where $F(x) = E \frac{p_1}{1 x^2} x^2 K \frac{p_1}{1 x^2}$, $! = !=!_c$, with $!_c = \frac{p_3}{32E_c}$ and $= = E_J$. Here $!_c$ denotes the thrashed frequency above which the particle {hole excitations

can be created and the real part of the conductivity is nite, while Re $_{reg}$ (!) vanishes for $! < !_{c}$ indicating a M ott{insulating phase. Letting the gap parameter go to zero, while keeping the ratio $!=!_{c}$ nite, and using the result

$$\lim_{x \ge 0} \frac{2}{x^{2}} F(1 - x) = \frac{1}{2};$$
(48)

we obtain from the general QSA result (47) (valid for arbitrary distance away from the critical point) the long {wave length lim it near{critical form of the conductivity:

$$\lim_{! 0} \operatorname{Re}_{\operatorname{reg}}(!;) = \frac{(2e)^2}{h 8} 1 \frac{!_c^2}{!^2} \frac{!}{!_c} 1 :$$
(49)

A plot of this result for di erent values of is shown in Fig. (2), where we clearly see an asymptotic gap as we approach the critical point at zero tem perature. This analytical result was previously derived in studies that relied on the coarse{grained and loop{expansion approaches. From Eq.(49) at the transition, where the thrashed frequent $!_c$ vanishes, a nite dc conductance ? = (=8) 4²e⁻h em erges which is the universal zero tem perature conductivity earlier obtained by Cha et al. In practice, however, all experiments are performed at low but nonzero tem perature.

We will now present the scaling analysis satis ed by (!) in the vicinity of the quantum phase transition $E_J = E_J^{\rm crit}$. The temperature is taken to be nonzero but must obey $k_B T << E_J$. A nonzero T implies the absence of long range phase coherence and the scaling properties will depend upon a variable which measures the distance of the superconducting ground state from criticality. The behavior of the conductivity in this regime can be understood in term s of the scaling form s

Re
$$(!) = \frac{(2e)^2}{h} g^0 \frac{!}{k_B T}; \frac{(k_B T)^{1=z}}{E_J}; ; \frac{(k_B T)^{1=z}}{E_J}; ; \frac{(k_B T)^{1=z}}{E_J}; ; \frac{(k_B T)^{1=z}}{E_J}; ; (50)$$

where $g^{0}(X;Y) = g^{0}_{sing}(X;Y) + g^{0}_{reg}(X;Y)$ and $g^{0}(X;Y) = g^{0}_{sing}(X;Y) + g^{0}_{reg}(X;Y)$ are highly non{trivial but universal two{parameter functions. >From equations (45) and (46) and the explicit solution (44) of the spherical constraint equation we derive

$$g_{sing}^{0}(X;Y) = \frac{1}{2} (X)^{2} (Y)^{du} u \frac{1}{u} (Y;u);$$

$$g_{reg}^{0}(X;Y) = \frac{1}{8} 1 \frac{2(Y)}{X^{2}} \operatorname{coth} \frac{X}{4} \frac{X^{2}}{2(Y)} 1;$$
(51)

where

$$(Y) = 4 \ln \frac{1}{2}^{q} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-Y}$$

$$(Y;u) = \frac{4}{\frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-Y} - \frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-Y} - \frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4 + \frac{1}{2} e^{-Y}$$
(52)

and

$$g_{\text{reg}}^{00}(X;Y) = \frac{2}{2} \frac{(Y)^{Z_{1}}}{X_{1}} du u \frac{1}{u} (Y;u)$$

$$g_{\text{reg}}^{00}(X;Y) = \frac{1}{4} \frac{X}{(Y)_{1}} \frac{Z_{1}^{1}}{u} du 1 \frac{1}{u^{2}} \frac{(Y;u)}{\frac{X^{2}}{2(Y)} u^{2}}$$
(53)

with

$$(Y;u) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4}{\frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2Y} + 4} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2Y} + 4}{\frac{1}{2}^{p} \overline{e^{2} = Y} + 4} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2Y} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-$$

We are in the quantum {critical regime by setting E_J to zero (Y = 1), while keeping the temperature smallbut nite (X \Leftrightarrow 0), with z = 2, and z = 1, with the critical uctuations quenched in a universal way by temperature. Here, $k_B T$ appears to be the dominant energy which determ ines the physics of the problem. At large frequencies, h! >> $k_B T$, the nite temperature e ects do not become manifest and the system displays the behavior of the zero{tem perature critical point ($E_J = 0; T = 0$). However, at small enough frequencies, h! $k_B T$, the nite temperature e ects of a become apparent which introduces a new energy scale into the problem. W hile uctuations with frequencies larger then $k_B T$ are una ected those with h! < $k_B T$ will behave classically. We show the regular parts of the nontrivial scaling functions $g^0(X;Y)$ and g''(X;Y) in Figs. (3) and (4).

V.SUMMARY

In this paper we have developed a microscopic analysis of a coupled array of ultrasm all Josephson junctions in the presence of charging energy elects. Our analysis is based on the quantum sphericalm odel approach and the path { integral form ulation of quantum mechanics explicitly tailored for the microscopic JJA Hamiltonian. The elective action formalism allows for an explicit in plementation of the Coulomb and o set voltage e ects into our consideration. Using this form alism we have considered the zero {tem perature phase transition to try to understand the nite tem perature behavior of the system in terms of scaling functions for the electrom agnetic response of the array. This is important since the experin ental analysis of quantum phase transitions relies on the scaling behavior of observables (eg. the conductivity) for relevant physical parameters (like tem perature and frequency). A relevant question which naturally arises is: To what extent does this phenom ena depend on the model used to describe the JJA? Future theoretical studies on quantum critical behavior should consider disorder e ects (random o set charges), coupling to quasiparticles (dissipation), magnetic eld frustration and nite size e ects, just to call a few relevant issues in this problem . The form alism presented in this paper can be used to look at these specic situations.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

This work has been partially supported by a NATO Collaborative Research G rant No. OUTR CRG 970299, by the Polish Science Committee (KBN) under the grant No. 2P03B { 02415 and by the N ational Science Foundation grant DMR -9521845.

The generating functional of Eq.(14) for the connected cumulant functions $W_{0m}(x_1; :::; x_m)$ is given by the functional expansion

$$W [] = \frac{x^{1}}{m = 1} \frac{1}{m!}^{Z} dx_{1} ::: dx_{m} W_{0m} (x_{1}; :::; x_{m}) (x_{1}) ::: (x_{m});$$
(A1)

where we have for convenience introduced the short{hand notation

to obtain

$$W_{0m} (x_1; :::; x_m) = h S_{r_1}^{a_1} [(_1)] ::: S_{r_m}^{a_m} [(_m)]_{i_0}^{cum} :$$
(A3)

For a given set of operators A ,B and C the cum ulant averages are de ned as: $hABi_0^{cum} = hABi_0$ hAi_0hBi_0 ; $hABCi_0^c = hABCi_0$ hAi_0hBCi_0 hBi_0hACi_0 $hCi_0hABi_0 + 2hAi_0hBi_0hCi_0$, ::: etc... where

$$h:::i_0 = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} R & Q & \\ r & P & r \end{bmatrix} :::e^{S_c[]}}{\begin{bmatrix} R & Q & \\ r & P & r \end{bmatrix} e^{S_c[]}};$$
(A 4)

which is closely related to the multi(point vertex function $_{0m}$ (x₁;:::;x_m). The generating functional reads:

$$[] = \frac{x^{d}}{m = 1} \frac{1}{m!} \frac{1}{m!} dx_{1} ::: dx_{m} \quad _{0m} (x_{1}; :::; x_{m}) \quad (x_{1}) ::: (x_{m}):$$
(A 5)

The relation between W [] and [] is then given by the Legendre transform

$$[] + W [] = dx (x) (x):$$
 (A 6)

W em ay now evaluate the action $_{\rm C}$ [] de ned in Eq.(13), by introducing in the exponential of Eq.(13) a form alparameter to order the perturbation expansion (will be set to unity at the end of calculation). We obtain

$$e^{c[]} = \frac{z Y}{r} \frac{D}{2i} \exp \left(\frac{x Z}{r} + \frac{y Z}$$

The steepest descent procedure is the standard method to obtain the expansion for $_{\rm C}$ []. The rst step is to determ ine the saddle point $_{\rm 0}$ of the integrand in Eq.(A7) followed by a system atic expansion in terms of uctuations about the saddle point. The procedure is by now standard (see, e.g. Ref. 24) and we quote the nalresult:

$$e^{-c \left[1 \right]} = \exp^{2} dx_{0}(x) (x) W \left[0 \right] \frac{1}{2} tr \ln W^{(2)}(x)$$

$$(1 + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{8}^{2} dx_{1} ::: dx_{4}W^{(4)}(x_{1}; :::; x_{4}; x_{1}) D (x_{1}; x_{2}; x_{1}) D (x_{3}; x_{4}; x_{1})$$

$$+ \frac{2}{3} dx_{1} ::: dy_{1}W^{(3)}(x_{1}; x_{2}; x_{3}; x_{1}) W^{(3)}(y_{1}; y_{2}; y_{3}; x_{1}) \frac{1}{8} D (x_{1}; x_{2}; x_{1})$$

$$D (y_{1}; y_{2}; x_{1}) D (x_{3}; y_{3}; x_{1}) + \frac{1}{12} D (x_{1}; y_{1}; x_{1}) D (x_{2}; y_{2}; x_{1}) D (x_{3}; y_{3}; x_{1}) + O (x_{1}) (x_{1}) (x_{1}; x_{2}; x_{2})$$

where

$$(x) = \frac{W[]}{(x)} + \frac{1}{2} dy_1 dy_2 W^{(3)} (x; y_1; y_2;) D(y_1; y_2;) + O(^2);$$

$$\int_{0} (x;) = (x) + \frac{1}{2} dy dy_1 dy_2 W^{(3)} (x; y_1; y_2;) D(x; y;) D(y_1; y_2;) + O(^2): (A9)$$

Here the propagator D (x1;x;) is de ned by $_{\rm Z}$

dx D
$$(x_1;x;)W^{(2)}(x;x_2) = (x_1 x_2);$$
 (A 10)

while

$$W^{(m)}(x_{1}; \ldots; x_{m}) = \frac{^{m}W}{(x_{1}) \ldots (x_{m})};$$
(A 11)

(cf. de nition (A1)). Restricting ourselves to the lowest order in the expansion (A8) (only including the saddle point term sproportional to) we obtain the form of the elective action used in the QSA approach (see, Eq.(18)).

REFERENCES

- ¹eg see A.V. Chubukov, et al. Phys. Rev. B 49, 11919 (1994)
- ²S.DasSarm a in Perspectives in Quantum HallE ects, Eds.S.DasSarm a and A.Pinczuk, Wiley, New York (1997), and references therein.
- ³ e.g. Y Liu and A M .G oldm an, M od. Phys. Lett. iB 8,277 (1994) and references therein, and A .Yazdaniand A .K apitulnik, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 3037 (1995). A .G oldm an and N . M arkovic, Physics Today, bf 51, 39 (1998).
- ⁴ For recent reviews of superconducting networks see P roceedings of the 2nd CTP W orkshop on Statistical Physics: KT Transition and Superconducting Arrays, Edited by D.K in, et al. (M in Eum Sa, Seoul, K orea, 1993). M acroscopic quantum phenom ena and coherence in in superconducting networks. Edited by. C.G iovannella and M.T inkham. W orld Scienti c Co., Singapore, 1995). P roceedings of the ICTP W orkshop on Josephson Junction A rrays, Ed. H. Cerdeira and S. R. Shenoy, Physica B 222, (1996). M esoscopic superconductivity, P roceedings of a NATO W orkshop, Ed. F W. Hekking, G. Schon and D. V. A verin, Physica B 203, 1994. \1997 Euroschool, Siena, Italy, Superconductivity in N etworks and M esoscopic Structures" Eds. C.G iovannella and C. Lam bert, A IP, (1998).
- ⁵B. Abeles, Phys. Rev. B 15, 2828 (1977); E. Sim anek, Solid State Commun. 31, 419 (1979); E. Sim anek, Phys. Rev. B 25, 237 (1982).
- ⁶ E. Sim anek, Phys. Rev B 32, 500 (1985); see also E. Sim anek, in Inhom ogeneous Superconductors (Oxford University Press, 1994).
- ⁷ J.G.K issner and U.Eckern, Z.Phys. B 91 155 (1993)
- ⁸C.Rojas and J.V.Jose, Phys.Rev.B54 12361 (1996).
- ⁹ H S.J. van der Zant, W .J.Elion, L.J.G eerlings and J.E.M ooij Phys. Rev. B 54 10081 (1996)
- ¹⁰ J.A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B14, 1165 (1976); for a recent review see, S.L. Sondhi, S.M. Girvin, J.P.Carini and D. Shahar Rev. M od. Phys. 69,315 (1997).
- ¹¹ K.Dam le and S.Sachdev, Phys. Rev. B56, 8714 (1997).
- ¹² C. Bruder, R. Fazio, A. Kampf, A. van Otterlo and G. Schon, Physica Scripta, 42, 139 (1992).
- ¹³ J.K. Freericks and H.Monien Phys. Rev. B53, 2691 (1996).
- ¹⁴ M.Abram ovitz and I.Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions (Dover, New York, 1970).
- ¹⁵ B J.K in , J.K in , S.Y. Park and M.Y. Choi, Phys. Rev. B56 395 (1997)
- ¹⁶S.Doniach, Phys. Rev. B 24, 5063 (1981).
- ¹⁷ T.H.Berlin and M.Kac, Phys. Rev. 86, 821 (1952), H.E.Stanley, Phys. Rev 176, 718 (1968).
- ¹⁸G.S.Joyœ, Phys.Rev.146, 349 (1966).
- ¹⁹ G.S.Joyce, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, edited by C.Domb and M.S. Green (A cademic, New York, 1972), Vol2, p.375.
- ²⁰ T.Vojta, Phys. Rev. B53, 710 (1996).
- ²¹ M.-C.Cha, Mp.P.A.Fisher, S.M.Girvin, M.W allin and A.P.Young, Phys.Rev.B 44, 68883 (1991). There is a close relationship between the spherical model and the M vector model in the M ! 1 limit. The 1=M calculation of the conductivity by Cha et al. in the vicinity of the critical point can in principle be reproduced by an analogous expansion to ours in terms of the number of loops; this loop expansion will be form ally organized by

the small parameter (see, Appendix Eq. (A7)). The 1=M corrections in the M ! 1 limit will be analogously given by loop contributions to the saddle point.

²² A. van Otterlo, K. H. Wagenblast, R. Fazio and G. Schon, Phys. Rev. B48, 3316 (1993).

- $^{23}\,\text{R}$.Fazio and D.Zappala, Phys.Rev.B53, R8883 (1996).
- ²⁴ J.Zinn {Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993).

FIGURES

FIG.1. Zero{tem perature phase phase boundary in the $E_J = E_C$ {vs{q_x plane separating the M ott{insulating and superconducting states obtained from the quantum spherical approach (solid line), and the coarse{graining m ethod (broken line). Note that there is no cusp at $q_x = 0$ since $dE_J(q_x)=dq_x j_{x_x=0} = 0$ in the QSA.

FIG.2. Regular contribution of the frequency dependent conductivity at T = 0 (real part) for several values of the dimensionless gap parameter (that measures the distance from the critical point): a) = 0.5, b) = 0.1, c) = 0.05 and d) = 0.0.

FIG.3. Plot of the two parameter universal scaling function (real part) of the regular contribution to the frequency dependent conductivity in the quantum critical regime.

FIG.4. Same as in Fig. 3 for the imaginary part



Fig.1 Quantum critical point ..., T.K. Kopec & J.V. Jose



Fig.2 Quantum critical point ..., T.K. Kopec & J.V. Jose



Fig.3 Quantum critical point ..., T.K. Kopec & J.V. Jose



Fig. 4 Quantum critical point ..., T.K. Kopec & J.V. Jose