

# Thermodynamics of Kondo model with electronic interactions

Shi-Jian Guy, You-Quan Liy and Hai-Qing Linz

Yzhejiang Institute of Modem Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China

zDepartment of Physics, Chinese University of Hong Kong, P. R. China

(Received: Feb. 15, 1999)

On the basis of Bethe ansatz solution of one dimensional Kondo model with electronic interaction, the thermodynamics equilibrium of the system in finite temperature is studied in terms of the strategy of Yang and Yang. The string hypothesis in the spin rapidity is discussed extensively. The thermodynamics quantities, such as specific heat and magnetic susceptibility, are obtained.

PACS number(s): 75.20.Hr; 65.50.+m; 75.30.Hx; 72.15.Qm

## I. INTRODUCTION

It is known that the study of exact solutions is helpful for the understanding of non-perturbative effects in the strongly correlated electronic systems. The exact solution of the one dimensional Kondo [1] model with linearized dispersion in the absence of electronic interaction was found in [2,3]. The model with quadratic dispersion in the presence of electronic was shown to be exactly solvable at some value of electron-impurity coupling [4].

In present paper, we study the thermodynamics of Kondo model in the presence of the electronic interactions. The general thermalequilibrium are discussed exactly on the basis of the known Bethe ansatz solutions of the model [4]. The specific heat and magnetic susceptibility are obtained analytically in general and given explicitly in strong-coupling limit. The specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility at low temperature are discussed. Next section we briefly exhibit the model under consideration and its Bethe ansatz solution. In section III, we demonstrate the string hypothesis and write out the Bethe ansatz equation in the presence of complex roots. In section IV, we consider the thermodynamics limit by introducing the density of roots and holes. In section V we derive the free energy of the system at thermal equilibrium according to the strategy of Yang and Yang [5]. In section VI, we calculate the thermodynamics quantities, such as specific heat and magnetic susceptibility. In the case of strong coupling limit, we find that the contributions of both electrons and impurity to the

specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility is Fermi-liquid like.

## II. THE MODEL AND ITS SPECTRUM

The model Hamiltonian of a correlated electronic system which we consider reads

$$H_0 = \sum_k \epsilon(k) C_{ka} C_{ka}^\dagger + \sum_{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4} u (k_1 + k_2; k_3 + k_4) C_{k_1 a} C_{k_3 a} C_{k_2 a} C_{k_4 a}^\dagger;$$

where  $C_{ka}$  annihilates an electron with momentum  $k$  and spin component  $a$ , and  $\epsilon(k) = k^2/2$  (in units of  $\hbar$  and of the electron mass). The electrons are coupled by both spin and charge interactions to a localized impurity,

$$H_I = J \sum_a S_a(0) S_{ab}(0) \hat{S} + V \sum_a S_a(0) \hat{a}(0);$$

where the field  $\hat{a}$  is the Fourier transform of  $C_{ka}$ ,  $S^0$  is the spin of the impurity and  $S$  is the spin of the electrons in the band.

The present model was solved exactly by Bethe ansatz with periodic boundary conditions [4]. It was shown that the model is integrable when the correlation strength  $u$  is proportional to the  $J$ , the strength of electron-impurity coupling via spin. The Bethe Ansatz equations for the spectrum are

$$e^{ik_j L} = e^{i(k_j)} \prod_{l=1}^M \frac{k_j + iu/2}{k_j - iu/2};$$

$$\prod_{l=1}^M \frac{k_l + iu}{iu} = \prod_{l=1}^M \frac{i u/2}{k_l + iu/2} \prod_{l=1}^N \frac{k_l - iu/2}{k_l + iu/2}; \quad (1)$$

where  $(k_j) = 2 \tan^{-1}(k_j u)$ . In the approximation  $k_j \approx k_1$  for any  $j \geq 1$ , the S matrix of electron-electron will be independent of  $u$ . Then the Yang-Baxter equation will give no restrictions on between  $u$  and  $J$ . This makes it easy to understand the usual Kondo problem where the linear dispersion relation is adopted, whence the model is solvable at any value of  $J$ .

### III. STRING HYPOTHESIS

For the ground state (i.e. at zero temperature), the  $k_0$ 's and  $0$ 's are real roots of the Bethe ansatz equation (1). For the excited state (i.e. at nonzero temperature), however, they can be complex roots [6,7]. We will not take account of the complex roots in the charge sector  $k$  for repulsive interaction since it does not happen at low temperature. The complex roots in spin sector always for a "bound state" with several other  $0$ 's, which arises from the consistency of both hand side of

the Bethe ansatz equation [6]. The complex roots with the same real part  $u$  form a  $n$ -string,

$$\begin{aligned} k_j^{nm} &= u + i \frac{u}{2} m + O(\exp(-N)); \quad (u > 0) \\ m &= n+1; n+3; \dots; n-3; n-1; \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The set of roots  $k_j = 1; 2; \dots; M$  is then partitioned into a set of  $n$ -strings  $k_j^{(n)} = n+1; n+3; \dots; n-3; n-1; = 1; 2; \dots; M$ . Obviously,

$$M = \sum_{n=1}^X n M_n;$$

where  $M_n$  denotes the number of  $n$ -strings.

Substituting those  $n$ -strings into the eq.(1), we can find that the product of the fractions for the roots within the same  $n$ -string reduce to  $(k_j + i u = 2) = (k_j - i u = 2)$  because of the alternative elimination between denominator and numerator. Hence the Bethe ansatz equation (1) becomes

$$e^{i k_j L} = e^{i (k_j)} \prod_{n=1}^Y \frac{k_j + i u = 2}{k_j - i u = 2}; \quad (3)$$

and

$$\prod_{m=q}^{mY-1} \frac{m q}{m q - n p + i u} = \frac{n p}{n p + i u = 2} \prod_{l=1}^N \frac{n p}{n p - k_l + i u = 2}; \quad (4)$$

The product of those equations (4) for  $p = n+1; n+3; \dots; n-3; n-1$  gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( -1 \right)^n \prod_{m=1}^Y \frac{m}{m} \frac{n + i(m+n)u=2}{i(m+n)u=2} \\ & \prod_{m=1}^m \frac{n + i(m+n-2)u=2}{i(m+n-2)u=2} \prod_{m=1}^m \frac{n + i(jn - nj+2)u=2}{i(jn - nj+2)u=2} \quad \#_2 \\ & \frac{m}{m} \frac{n + i j n - n j u=2}{i j n - n j u=2} = \frac{n}{n + i u = 2} \prod_{l=1}^N \frac{n}{n} \frac{k_l - i u = 2}{k_l + i u = 2}; \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Taking the logarithm of eq.(3) and eq.(5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_j &= \frac{2}{L} I_j + \frac{1}{L} (k_j) + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{n=2}^X \sum_{m=1}^n (k_j^{(n)}); \\ \sum_{l=1}^N \sum_{n=2}^X \sum_{m=1}^n (k_l^{(n)} - k_l) &= 2 J^n \sum_{m,p}^X A_{nm p} \sum_{p=2}^X (k^{(m)} - k^{(n)}); \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $(x) = 2 \tan^{-1}(\frac{x}{u})$  and

$$A_{nm p} = \begin{cases} < 1; \text{ for } p = m+n; jn - nj \neq 0; \\ 2; \text{ for } p = n+m-2; n+m-4; \dots; jn - nj+2; \\ 0; \text{ otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

The  $I_j$  and  $J^n$  are quantum numbers, the  $I_j$  are integers or half-integers depending on whether  $M$  is even or odd, the  $J^n$  are integers or half-integers depending on whether  $N - M_n - n + 1$  is even or odd.

#### IV. THE THERMODYNAMICS LIMIT

The transcendental equations (6) for the real parts of the complex roots are obviously difficult to solve. It will be

convenient to consider the thermodynamics limit [9], i.e.  $N \rightarrow \infty, L \rightarrow \infty$  but  $D = N/L$  is fixed. Introducing the density distribution of roots and holes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{L} \frac{dI(k)}{dk} &= r(k) + h(k); \\ \frac{1}{L} \frac{dJ^n(k)}{dk} &= r_n(k) + h_n(k); \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

we obtain from (6) the following set of integrodifferential equations,

$$\begin{aligned} r(k) + h(k) &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{L} K_1(k) + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K_{n=2}(k) j_n^0(k); \\ r_n(k) + h_n(k) &= \frac{1}{L} K_{n=2}(k) + K_{n=2}(k) j_n^0(k) + \sum_{m,p} A_{nmp} K_{p=2}(j_n^0) m(k); \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $K_n(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du (u^2 + x^2)^{-n}$ . We have adopted a notation convention  $K_n(x|y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_n(x-y) (y) dy$  etc. in the above.

In terms of the density distributions, the energy and the concentration of electrons as well as the number of down spins are given by

$$\begin{aligned} E &= L \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk (k) k^2; \\ D &= \frac{N}{L} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk (k); \\ \frac{M}{L} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk n (k); \end{aligned}$$

Thus the magnetic moment of the system is,

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{1}{2} (N - 2M) + S_{\text{imp}}^z \\ &= \frac{L}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk (k) - L \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk n (k) + S_{\text{imp}}^z; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $S_{\text{imp}}^z$  stands for the spin of the impurity, and the  $g$  factor is put to unit.

The ground state of the present model is a Fermi sea described by  $(k)$  with real rapidity, i.e.  $(k) = 0$  for  $|k| > k_F$  and  $h(k) = 0$  for  $|k| < k_F$ ;  $j_1(k) \neq 0$  but  $j_n(k) = 0$  ( $n > 1$ ), which is the case at zero temperature. Away from zero temperature, the density distributions of roots and holes with respect to the momentum  $k$  and the spin rapidity should be determined by the

principles of statistical physics. Next section we will discuss this issue extensively on the basis of the strategy of Yang and Yang [5].

#### V. THERMAL EQUILIBRIUM

For a given  $r(k)$  and  $h(k)$ , the number of roots and that of holes in the neighborhood  $dk$  are  $L dk$  and  $L h dk$  respectively. Obviously, the total number of roots and holes in the neighborhood is  $L (r + h) dk$ . For a given  $r_n(k)$  and  $h_n(k)$ ,  $L r_n dk$  and  $L h_n dk$  give rise to the number of  $n$ -string and the number of the vacancies of  $n$ -strings (holes) in the neighborhood  $dk$ , while  $L (r_n + h_n) dk$  gives rise to the total number of  $n$ -string and vacancies of  $n$ -string. Thus the total number of the possible choice of state in  $dk$  being consistent with given distribution functions in both charge and spin sectors is

$$(\mathbf{k};) = \frac{[L(r+h)dk]!}{[L dk]! [L h dk]!} \prod_n \frac{[L(r_n + h_n)dk]!}{[L r_n dk]! [L h_n dk]!};$$

As the total number of all possible state for given distribution functions is

$$= \prod_{\mathbf{k}} (\mathbf{k};);$$

the total entropy  $S$  will be obtained by taking logarithm of ,

$$S = -k_B \sum_k [n_k + \ln n_k] - \sum_n [n_n + \ln n_n] - \sum_k \ln n_k - \sum_n \ln n_n \quad (11)$$

where the Boltzmann constant is put to unit.

In the presence of the external magnetic field  $H$ , we must add a Zeeman term to the original Hamiltonian. As the Zeeman term commutes with the original Hamiltonian, the Bethe ansatz solution is still valid for present case. Therefore the energy of the system in the presence of external magnetic field will be

$$E = \sum_k (k^2 - H) n_k + \sum_{n=1}^N 2nH n_n \quad (12)$$

In order to obtain the thermalequilibrium at temperature  $T$ , we should maximize the contribution to partition function from the state described by the density

distributions of roots and holes. As maximizing the partition function is equivalent to minimizing the free energy  $F = E - TS - \mu N$ . Here  $S$  and  $E$  are given by eq.(11) and eq.(12),  $\mu$  is the chemical potential for canonical ensemble. The  $\mu$  plays the role of the Lagrangian multiplier for the condition  $\sum_k n_k = N = \text{constant}$  if one minimizing the Helmholtz free energy  $F = E - TS$ . This constraint implies that the ensemble has fixed number of particles. A constraint that the number of down spins are fixed was imposed in ref. [8] when discussing delta Fermi gas, whereas we will not impose no physics constraints in the following discussion.

Making use of the relations derived from eq.(6)

$$\begin{aligned} n_k &= \frac{1}{2} + \sum_n K_{n=2}(kj) n_n; \\ n_n &= \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{m,p} A_{mnp} K_{p=2}(j^0) n_m; \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

we obtain the following conditions from the minimum condition  $F = 0$ , namely

$$n_k = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_n K_{n=2}(kj) \ln(1 + e^{n_n = T}); \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} n_n &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,p} A_{mnp} K_{p=2}(j^0) \ln(1 + e^{n_m = T}); \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m,p} A_{mnp} K_{p=2}(j^0) \ln(1 + e^{n_m = T}); \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where we have written

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n_k}{n_k} &= \exp[n_k = T]; \\ \frac{n_n}{n_n} &= \exp[n_n = T]; \end{aligned}$$

Principally, once  $n_k$  and  $n_n$  are solved from eq.(14-15), the equilibrium distributions  $n_k$  and  $n_n$  at temperature  $T$  will be known from the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} n_k [1 + e^{n_k = T}] &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} K_1(k) + \sum_n K_{n=2}(kj^0) n_n; \\ n_n [1 + e^{n_n = T}] &= \frac{1}{2} K_{n=2}(j^0) + \sum_{m,q} A_{nmq} K_{q=2}(j^0) n_m; \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The free energy per unit length reads

$$F = L = \int_0^Z dk \left( \frac{h}{k^2} - \frac{H}{T} \right) \ln(1 + e^{(k)=T}) + \sum_n \int_0^Z \frac{h}{d_n} \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) : \quad (17)$$

Integrating eq.(14) over  $k$  after multiplying it with  $D^{-1}$ , we get the chemical potential

$$= \frac{1}{D} \int_0^Z \left( \frac{h}{k^2} - \frac{H}{T} \right) dk + \frac{T}{D} \sum_n \int_0^Z K_{n=2}(k) \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) dk : \quad (18)$$

Integrating eq.(15) over  $d$  and summing over  $n$  after multiplying it with  $D^{-1}$ , we obtain the following relation

$$\sum_n \int_0^Z \frac{h}{d_n} \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) d = \sum_n \int_0^Z \frac{h}{2nH} \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) d + T \sum_n \int_0^Z K_{n=2}(k) \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) dk + T \sum_{m \neq n} \int_0^Z \frac{h}{A_{mnq}} K_{q=2}(k) \ln(1 + e^{m( )=T}) dk : \quad (19)$$

Using the relations (18-19), we can write out the free energy in terms of  $Z$  and  $L$  only,

$$F = N + T \int_0^Z \left( \frac{L}{k^2} - \frac{H}{T} \right) \ln(1 + e^{(k)=T}) dk + T \sum_n \int_0^Z K_{n=2}(k) \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) dk : \quad (20)$$

Consequently, the partition function is given by

$$Z = e^{F/T} ; \quad (21)$$

where the Boltzmann constant is put to unit.

The thermodynamic functions, partition function  $Z$ , free energy  $F$ , and thermopotential etc, are of importance, as knowing either of them, one is able to calculate all thermodynamic properties of the system in principle. However, it is difficult to obtain analytic expressions of  $(k)$  and  $n( )$  from the coupled non-linear integral equations (14) and (15). So we are not able to derive explicit results for thermodynamic quantities. Moreover, we will obtain some plausible results for some special cases in next section.

## V I. THERMODYNAMIC QUANTITIES

In general, the free energy of our model should be calculated by formula (20), where the  $(k)$  and  $( )$  are determined by eq.(14-15). Then the other thermodynamic quantities are obtainable from thermodynamic relations.

In the Appendix, we show that if  $(k)$  and  $n( )$  are implicit functions of some thermodynamic quantities  $x$  (such as  $T, L$ ), the derivative of eq.(20) with respect to  $x$  is the same as the partial derivative of eq.(20) with respect to the explicit variable  $x$ . It is easy to get the pressure of the system

$$P = \frac{\partial F}{\partial L} = \frac{T}{2} \int_0^Z \ln(1 + e^{(k)=T}) dk ; \quad (22)$$

which is the same as Yang and Yang's expression formally. However, the integral equation which  $(k)$  obeys are different. In our present case the contributions from both impurity and the spin rapidity are involved.

In terms of  $(k)$  and  $( )$ , the entropy  $S = (\partial F / \partial T)$  becomes

$$S = \int_0^Z \ln(1 + e^{(k)=T}) \left( \frac{L}{2} + \frac{1}{u^2 + k^2} \right) dk + \int_0^Z \frac{e^{(k)=T}}{1 + e^{(k)=T}} \left( \frac{L}{2} + \frac{1}{u^2 + k^2} \right) dk + \sum_n \int_0^Z \frac{1}{(nu=2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \ln(1 + e^{n( )=T}) d + \sum_n \int_0^Z \frac{1}{(nu=2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{n( )=T}{1 + e^{n( )=T}} d : \quad (23)$$

The other thermodynamic quantities is formally obtainable, e.g.

$$C_V = T \left( \frac{\partial S}{\partial T} \right); M = \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial H} \right); \quad = \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial H} \right): \quad (24)$$

For the sake of saving space, we did not write them out.

The free energy (32) is conveniently partitioned as two parts.  $F = F_e + F_i$ , here  $F_i$  stands for the contribution from the impurity,

$$F_i = \frac{T}{u^2 + k^2} \ln(1 + e^{-(k)^=T}) dk$$

$$\frac{T}{n} \int_0^Z \frac{nu=2}{(nu=2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \ln(1 + e^{-n(k)^=T}) d; \quad (25)$$

and  $F_e$  for that from the electrons.

A . Strong coupling limit

For the strong coupling  $u \gg 1$  and non-vanishing external magnetic field,

we keep the leading term in eq.(14) and (15),

$$(k) = k^2 - H; \quad n(k) = 2nH: \quad (25)$$

The free energy related to impurity and electrons become

$$F_i = T \int_0^Z \frac{dk}{u^2 + k^2} \ln[1 + e^{-(k^2)^=T}]$$

$$T \int_0^X \frac{d}{n} \frac{nu=2}{(nu=2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \ln[1 + e^{-2nH=T}];$$

$$F_e = N \frac{T}{2} \int_0^Z \ln[1 + e^{-(k^2)^=T}] dk; \quad (26)$$

where  $= + H$ . Since  $\tan^{-1}(k=u)$ ,  $k=u$  for  $u \gg 1$ , integrating eq.(26) we have

$$F_i = \frac{4}{u} \int_0^Z \frac{k^2}{1 + e^{(k^2)^=T}} dk \quad T \int_0^X \ln(1 + e^{-2nH=T});$$

$$F_e = N \frac{2L}{0} \int_0^Z \frac{k^2}{1 + e^{(k^2)^=T}} dk: \quad (27)$$

Now we compute the common integration in eq.(27),

$$I = \int_0^Z \frac{k^2}{1 + e^{(k^2)^=T}} dk; \quad (28)$$

Changing variables of  $z = (k^2)^=T$  brings it to

$$I = \frac{T}{2} \int_{=T}^Z \frac{(zT + )^{1=2}}{1 + e^z} dz: \quad (29)$$

which is conveniently slit up into three terms,

$$I = \frac{T}{2} \int_0^{=T} (zT)^{1=2} dz + \frac{T}{2} \int_0^{=T} \frac{(zT)^{1=2}}{1 + e^z} dz + \frac{T}{2} \int_0^Z \frac{(zT + )^{1=2}}{1 + e^z} dz: \quad (30)$$

The right end of the interval for integration in the second term can be regarded as 1 due to the contribution from large value of  $z$  is negligible. Integrating eq. (30) after expanding the numerator as Taylor series, we obtain

$$I = \frac{1}{3} z^{3=2} + \frac{T}{2} \sum_{n=0}^X (1)^n \frac{(n+1=2)}{2^{n+1}} T^{2(n+1=2)} [1 - \frac{1}{4}^{n+1=2}] (2n+2) \frac{1}{2}^{n+1=2} \quad (31)$$

where  $(2n+2)$  is the Riemann Zeta function. Consequently, the free energy is obtained

$$F_i = \frac{4}{u} T \sum_{n=1}^X \ln[1 + e^{-2nH=T}];$$

$$F_e = N \frac{2L}{u} I: \quad (32)$$

B . Thermodynamic quantities at low temperature

In the low temperature approximation, eq.(32) becomes

$$F_i = \frac{4}{u} T \sum_{n=1}^X e^{-2nH=T}: \quad (33)$$

The magnetization:

The contributions of electrons and the impurity to the magnetization are obtained

$$M_e = \frac{2L}{u} I_h;$$

$$M_i = \frac{4}{u} I_h \sum_{n=1}^X n e^{-2nH=T}; \quad (34)$$

where

$$I_h = \frac{\partial I}{\partial H}; \quad I_{hh} = \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial H^2}; \quad I_{tt} = \frac{\partial^2 I}{\partial T^2}: \quad (35)$$

Clearly, the system has spontaneous magnetization,

$$M_e(H \rightarrow 0) = \frac{L}{24} T^{1/2} - \frac{L}{12u} T^{3/2};$$

$$M_i = \frac{2}{u} T^{1/2} + \frac{1}{12u} T^{3/2};$$

Specific heat and magnetic susceptibility:

Specific heat and the magnetic susceptibility are

$$C_e = \frac{2LT}{u} I_{tt};$$

$$\chi_e = \frac{2L}{u} I_{hh};$$

$$C_i = \frac{4T}{u} I_{tt} + \frac{4H^2}{T^2} e^{2H/T};$$

$$\chi_i = \frac{4}{u} I_{hh} + \frac{4}{T} e^{2H/T}; \quad (36)$$

where  $C_e$  ( $C_i$ ) is the specific heat of electrons (impurity), and  $\chi_e$  ( $\chi_i$ ) is the magnetic susceptibility of electrons (impurity).

Wilson's treatment of Kondo model by renormalization-group calculation has made it possible for the determination of proportionality factor ("Wilson Ratio") relating low-temperature and high-temperature dimensional scales. When  $T \rightarrow 0$ , because  $e^{2H/T}$  decrease more rapidly than  $T$ , the second term of eq.(36) can be neglected. Then we are able to evaluate the Wilson's Ratio

$$R = \frac{\chi_i}{C_i} = 1;$$

Furthermore, if we ignore the small term in  $I_{tt}$  and  $I_{hh}$ , that is we only remain the first term of them, we find that the impurity's contribution to specific heat at low temperature is Fermi-liquid like

$$C_i = \frac{1}{3u} (H + T)^{1/2} T; \quad (37)$$

and so is the magnetic susceptibility

$$\chi_i = \frac{1}{u} (H + T)^{1/2} - \frac{T^2}{8u} (H + T)^{5/2}; \quad (38)$$

Obviously, the zero temperature susceptibility is of finite

$$\chi_i(T = 0) = \frac{1}{u} (H + T)^{1/2}; \quad (39)$$

indicating that the impurity spin manifest in high temperature regime by Curie's Law,  $\chi_i / 1/T$ , is now completely screened. We interpret the effect as due to strong

effective coupling between impurity and the conduction electrons leading to the formation of a local singlet, and the infra-red physics is dominated by a strong coupling fixed point.

The electron's contributions to specific heat and magnetic susceptibility are

$$C_e = \frac{L}{6} (H + T)^{1/2} T;$$

$$\chi_e = \frac{L}{2} (H + T)^{1/2} + \frac{LT^2}{16} (H + T)^{5/2}; \quad (40)$$

We have analyzed the thermodynamics of Kondo Model with electronic interactions, particularly discussed the case of strong coupling limit extensively. In that case we have shown the impurity's contribution to the specific heat and magnetic susceptibility of the system is Fermi-liquid like and shown that in very low temperature the system has the property of spontaneous magnetization.

## APPENDIX

Since  $\chi_i$  and  $C_i$  which solve eq.(14-15) evidently depend on  $x$ , we should consider them as functionals of  $x$  which is usually functions of some thermodynamic variables  $x$  (such as  $T$  or  $L$ ). The derivative of free energy (20) is given by

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x} ; ; + N \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$+ \int_0^Z dk \frac{L=2}{1 + e^{(k)=T}} \frac{K_1(k)}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$+ \sum_n \int_0^Z d \frac{K_{n=2}(\cdot)}{1 + e^{n(\cdot)=T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad (41)$$

where  $(\partial F / \partial x)$  ; ; stands for partial derivative of  $F$  with respect to the explicit variable  $x$  while  $\cdot$ ,  $\cdot$ , and  $\cdot$  are regarded as irrelevant to  $x$ .

The derivative of (14) with respect to  $x$  is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial} = 1 + \sum_n \int_0^Z d \frac{K_{n=2}(k)}{1 + e^{n(\cdot)=T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad (42)$$

Integrating (42) after multiplying both hand sides with  $\cdot$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^Z dk \frac{\partial}{\partial} (k) = \frac{N}{L} + \sum_n \int_0^Z d \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{1}{L} \sum_n \int_0^Z d \frac{K_{n=2}(\cdot)}{1 + e^{n(\cdot)=T}} \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$+ \sum_{nmq} \int_0^Z d \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{A_{nmq}}{1 + e^{n(\cdot)=T}} K_{q=2}(\cdot) \frac{\partial}{\partial} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}; \quad (43)$$

In deriving to the above equation, the second equation of eq. (16) has been used. We take derivative of eq.(15), then multiply both hand sides with  $\psi_n$  and integrate over  $\mathbf{r}$ . Summing over the subscript  $n$  in what we obtained, we have

$$\sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial t} \psi_n = \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{K_{n=2}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_n}{1 + e^{(k)=T}} - \sum_{nmq} \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{A_{nmq}}{1 + e^{m(0)=T}} K_{q=2}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_n : \quad (44)$$

With the help of the first equation of eq. (16), eq.(44) and eq.(43) give rise to

$$\sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{L=2}{1 + e^{(k)=T}} \frac{K_1(k) \partial}{\partial t} + \sum_n \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{K_{n=2}(\mathbf{r}) \partial}{1 + e^{n(0)=T}} \frac{\partial \psi_n}{\partial t} = 0 : \quad (45)$$

Thus the complete cancellation of the last three terms in eq. (41) concludes that

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{x}} ; ;$$

The work is supported by the grants NSFC No. 19675030, NSFZ No. 198024, and also supported by RGC grant No. 2160089.

- 
- [2] N. Andrei, Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 379 (1980); N. Andrei, K. Furuya and J. H. Lowenstein, Rev. Mod. Phys. 55, 331 (1983).
  - [3] P. B. Wiegmann, JETP Lett. 31, 364 (1980); A. W. Tsvelick and P. B. Wiegmann, Adv. Phys. 32, 453 (1983).
  - [4] Y. Q. Li and P. A. Bares, Phys. Rev. B 56, R11384 (1997).
  - [5] C. N. Yang and C. P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. 10, 1115 (1969).
  - [6] F. W. Obyedkov, J. Phys. C 15, 85 (1982); *ibid.*, 97 (1982).
  - [7] M. Takahashi, Progress Theor. Phys. 46, 1388 (1971).
  - [8] C. K. Lai, Phys. Rev. Lett. 26, 1472 (1971)
  - [9] e.g., J. H. Lowenstein, in Recent Advances in Field Theory and Statistical Mechanics, edited by J. Zuber and R. Stora (Elsevier, Amsterdam 1984) p.612.
  - [10] L. Faddeev, Recent advances in field theory and statistical mechanics, ed. J. Zuber and R. Stora (Elsevier Sci. Pub. 1984, The Netherlands) p.569

---

[1] J. Kondo, Prog. Theor. Phys. 32, 37 (1964)