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#### Abstract

W e present a theoretical study of the excitations on the edge of a two-dim ensional electron system in a perpendicularm agnetic eld in term $s$ of a contour dynam ics form alism. In particular, we focus on edge excitations in the quantum $H$ alle ect. B eyond the usual linear approxim ation, a non-linear analysis of the shape deform ations of an incom pressible droplet yields soliton solutions which correspond to shapes that propagate w ithout distortion. A perturbative analysis is used and the results are com pared to analogous system $s$, like vortex patches in idealhydrodynam ics. U nder a localinduction approxim ation we nd that the contour dynam ics is described by a non-linear partial di erential equation for the curvature: the $m$ odi ed $K$ ortew eg-de $V$ ries equation.


PACS num ber(s): $73.40 \mathrm{Hm}, 02.40 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{a}, 03.40 \mathrm{G} \mathrm{C}, 11.10 \mathrm{Lm}$

## I. IN T R O D U C T IO N

The theoretical description of $m$ any-body system $s$ is often best realized in tem $s$ of collective $m$ odes, i.e. the fam iliar sound waves in solids or plasm ons in charged system $s$. C ollective $m$ odes becom e especially im portant when their energies are lower than com peting singleparticle excitations. Som etim es, how ever, both singleparticle and collective $m$ odes in the bulk of a system are gapped or scarce and these system s are often referred to as \incom pressible." This incom pressibility can be real or a convenient lim it due to large di erences in relevant length-or tim e-scales, as in the case of the $m$ acroscopic $m$ otion of a liquid. U nder these conditions, one can usually focus our attention on the $m$ otion of the boundaries of the system, which will generally have softer m odes, w ith frequencies m uch lower than those in the bulk (e.g. surface waves in a liquid droplet travel at speeds considerably slow er than sound waves).

C oncentrating on the $m$ otion of the boundary of the system has a considerable advantage: the reduction in the dim ensionality of the problem often perm its sim pler analytical treatm ent, or a trem endous reduction in the e ort needed to num erically solve or sim ulate the problem. A ssociated w ith th is incom pressibility, how ever, one usually ndsm icroscopic conservation law s that translate into global constraints on the whole system, even when the $m$ icroscopic dynam ics is com pletely local (e.g. the volum e of a liquid droplet is conserved). These global constraints enter the edge dynam ics through Lagranger multipliers associated with the conserved quantities ${ }^{\frac{n_{1}^{\prime}}{2}}$ $T$ hese conservation law s are often evident when the m otion of the system is observed, and it is interesting to see how they are em bedded in the dynam ics of the boundary; that is, how these essential aspects of the problem are related to the law s ofm otion of the edges.
$T$ hese shape deform ations, and their dynam ics, have played an im portant role in the understanding of num erous problem s in diverse elds ofphysics. T he incom pressibility is re ected in the existence ofa eld which is piecew ise constant, so that there is a sharp boundary betw een
tw o orm ore distinct regions ofspace with di erent physicalproperties. This eld can be of classicalorigin like the density of a liquid or the charge density of a plasm a, or it can originate in the quantum $m$ echanicalproperties of the system, like the $m$ agnetization of a type-II superconductor. There are various exam ples where these questions
 the $m$ otion of non-neutralplasm as $5^{15}$ low lying \rotationvibration" m odes of deform, ed nuclei, ${ }_{1} \sigma_{1}$ the evolution of atm ospheric plasm a clouds, ${ }_{1}^{171}$ pattem form ation-in-ferro-
 two-dim ensianalelectron system $s(2 D E S)$ in strong $m$ agnetic eldss ${ }^{131} \mathbf{1}_{1}^{14}$

The edges of a two-dim ensional electron system (2DES), and in particular the edges of a quantum H all (Q H ) liquid, present a unique opportunity to study the dynam ics of shape deform ations in a clean and controlled environm ent. The 2DES in the QH state is incom pressible, so that the electron density is approxim ately piecew ise constant, suggesting that a contour dynam ics approach to studying the droplet excitations is viable. T he lack of low -lying excitations elim inates dissipative e ects, further sim plifying the treatm ent of the problem. In addition, the charged nature of the system facilitates the excitation and detection of deform ations of the droplet.

In this paper we w ill form ulate the study of the excitations of a droplet in a 2D ES as a problem in contour dy $\overline{-}$ nam ics. In the usualtreatm ent of the edge excitations, ${ }^{31}$ a linearization of the equation ofm otion is done at early stages, thus lim iting the applicability to sm all deform ations of the edge of the system from an unperturbed state. In this paper we consider non-linear term $s$ which are present in the full contour dynam ics treatm ent. W e rst present perturbative results for non-linear deform ations of the 2D ES shape. For the sake of com parison, and as $m$ eans of veri cation, we also apply this $m$ ethad to the vortex patch case, which has well known exact? and num erical ${ }^{111}$. solutions. W e then show that the 10 cal induction approxim ation to the full contour dynam $=$
 equation for the curvature dynam ics; the $\mathrm{m} K \mathrm{dV}$ equa-
tion also arises in studjes of vortex patches ${ }^{\frac{-1}{12}}$. and sus pended liquid droplets ${ }^{\text {A. }}$ The m K dV dynam ics conserve an in nite num ber of quantities, including the area, genter of $m$ ass, and angularm om entum of the dropletr. ${ }^{19}$. so that our local approxim ation to the nonlocal dynam ics preserves the im portant conservation law s. The m K dV equation also possesses soliton solutions, including traveling w ave solutions.

In Sec. 'ITh we present a brief review of the hydrodynam ics of a two-dim ensional electron system in a strong perpendicular magnetic eld and analyze the bulk and edge excitations in the linear approxim ation. Sections言 kinem atics of these edge $m$ odes, and ask what conditions m ust be satis ed so that a large edge deform ation is able to travel w ithout any dispersion, that is, preserving its shape. The question is posed in term $s$ of a non-linear eigenvalue problem and is solved perturbatively to fth order in the deform ation in Sec. V' (for com pleteness, we also solve the analogous problem of vortex patch defor$m$ ations in A ppendix (B). Som e solutions and lim iting cases are then presented in Sec. proach to nd non-dispersive or invariant deform ations of the edge, nam ely the local induction approxim ation, is developed in Sec. $\mathrm{V} \overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{I}$, where results are also com pared w th the perturbative approach of Secs. N' and

## II. HYDRODYNAM ICSOFA

TW O-D IM ENSIONALELECTRON SYSTEM
C onsider a 2DES in a penpendicular magnetic eld. $T$ reated as a classical uid, the system is characterized by the electron density $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r})$ and velocity $\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{r})$. If we neglect dissipative e ects (which is essentially correct in the quantum H all regim e ), the dynam ics is determ ined by the Euler and continuity equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{v} \quad \frac{\varrho v}{\varrho t}+(v \quad r) v \\
&=!_{c} e_{z} \quad v \quad \frac{e^{2}}{m_{e}} r \quad d^{2} r^{0} \frac{n\left(r^{0}\right)}{j r} \rho_{j}  \tag{1}\\
& @_{t} n+r \quad \frac{e}{m_{e}} E_{e x t} ;  \tag{2}\\
&(n v)=0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $!_{c}=e B=m$ is the cyclotron frequency and is the dielectric constant of the $m$ edium. The rst term on the right-hand side of Eq. (11) represents the Lorentz force, the second is the C oulom b interaction, and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {ext }}$ is the electric eld due to the background positive charge, gates, etc.

C onsider rst the possible bulk collective excitations in a uniform system. These are oscillations of the density around the equilibrium solution $v=0, n=n$. If we consider sm all am plitudes and Fourier analyze Eqs. [1]) and $\overline{(2)})$, we nd that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{V}_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik}} \quad \mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{i}!\mathrm{t} \\
& \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{dV} e^{\mathrm{ik}} \mathrm{r} \mathrm{i}!\mathrm{t} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
!^{2}=!_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}+\frac{2 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}} \mathrm{k} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, bulk $m$ agnetoplasm ons are gapped, and in the QH regim e can be neglected since $h!_{c} \quad 17 \mathrm{meV} \quad 200 \mathrm{~K}$ at $B$ $=10 \mathrm{~T}$ (in G aA s), whereas $\mathrm{T} \quad 1 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{We} \mathrm{w}$ ill disregard them from now on and concentrate on the excitations at the edge which, as we shall see, have considerably low er energies.
$T$ he theory of sm alldeform ations of the edge has been extensively studied $17.21201 T$ hem ain conclusion is that for strong magnetic elds, when Landau level quantization becom es im portant, the only low -lying m odes are edge m odes which propagate in only one direction along the edge of the 2D E.S.W e further classify these $m$ odes into the \conventional" edge $m$ agnetoplasm on $m$ ode, with a singular dispersion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{0}(k)=2 \ln \frac{e}{2 j k a j} \frac{n e^{2}}{m_{e}!_{c}} k ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is them ode w ave-num ber, $0: 5772$ is the Euler constant, and a is a short-distance cut-o (the largest of the transverse width of the 2D ES, the m agnetic length, or the width of the com pressible edge-channel). In addition, for w ide com pressible edges, \acoustic" m odes can be found:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{j}(k)=\frac{2 n \Theta^{2}}{m_{e}!_{c} j} k ; \quad j=1 ; 2 ;::: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These results are approxim ately correct as long as inertial and con ning term s are negligible. T he nst requires that $n e^{2}=m_{e} a_{-},!_{c}^{2}$, which is usually true in quantum H allconditionst ${ }^{201}$ In addition, the e ects of the con ning potential have been neglected. W hile the con ning potential is essential for long-tem stability and is usually not negligible when com pared to interactions, its e ect is $m$ ainly reduced, in the sim plest cases, to an additional $v_{\text {ext }} k$ term in the frequencies, where the extemalvelocity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{\text {ext }}=\frac{e}{m_{e}!_{c}} e_{z} \quad E_{\text {ext }}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

 this simple picture. The theoretical form ulation above, how ever, is restricted to sm alldeform ations of the boundary. In what follow s we will consider a form ulation that goes beyond this lim itation.

## III. DYNAM ICS OF THE EDGE M ODES

Consider the case when the edge between bulk and vacuum is shayp. The electronic density has som e constant value n inside the edge and vanishes outside (see Fig. $\left.{ }_{1}^{\prime} \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}\right)$. Since the edge is essentially one-dim ensional only the edge $m$ agnetoplasm on is im portant (and even in m ore general cases this m ode is the m ost readily
 m agnetoplasm on $\mathbf{1}_{12}^{1} 2$ we neglect inertial term s in Eq. (11), thus obtaining an equation for the electron velocity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=\frac{e^{2}}{m_{e}!_{c}} r \quad e_{z}^{Z} d^{2} r^{0} \frac{n\left(r^{0}\right)}{j r r^{0} j}+v_{\text {ext }} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where A is the area of the droplet (see Fig. '171) .


FIG.1. A charged incom pressible liquid in a m agnetic eld. W e assum e a piecew ise constant electron density ( $n=n$ inside, while $\mathrm{n}=0$ outside the droplet). T he param eterization $R\left({ }^{\prime} ; \mathrm{t}\right)$, the tangent t and norm aln unit vectors to the boundary are indicated; $s$ is the arc-length and the tangent angle.

Let us now concentrate on the \intemal" velocity given by the rst term in Eq. ${ }_{1}^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{(\%)}\right.$. W e should note that the neglected extemal velocity $v_{\text {ext }}$ derived from the con ning
eld Eq. ${ }_{1}^{(\underline{1}(\underline{1})] \text { is im portant for long term stability of the }}$ system, and willm odify the propagation velocity. In general, it could also change the shape of the m odes, yet one can devise situations in which thise ect is not im portant, i.e. a linear con ning potential in a rectilinear in nite edge, or a parabolic potential for a circular droplet.

For an incom pressible 2D ES w ith a piecew ise constant density, the density can be taken outside the integral; then using Stokes' theorem, the area integral can be transform ed into a line integraloverthe boundary = @A of the electron liquid:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v(r)=\frac{n e^{2}}{m_{e}!c} d s^{0} \frac{t\left(s^{0}\right)}{j r \quad r\left(S^{0}\right) j}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $t\left(s^{0}\right)$ is the unit tangent vector at arc-length $s^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right)=@_{s^{0}} r\left(s^{0}\right) \quad r_{s^{0}} ; \\
& \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{~s}^{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{E} \quad \mathrm{t}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{0}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and we de ned the unit norm alvectorn (s) for later use. $T$ he short distance singularity in the integrand is cut o at a length scale $r_{0}$. Equation $\left(\overline{g_{1}}\right)$ form $s$ the basis of our contour dynam ics treatm ent| it expresses the velocity of the edge in term $s$ of a nonlocal self-interaction of the edge.

W e see that: (i) the dynam ics is chiral, being deter$m$ ined by the tangent vector; (ii) the uid contained
within is incom pressible, so that the area is conserved. It is interesting to note sim ilar traits were, found in the past for the dynam ics of vortex patchest ${ }^{9}\left\{_{1}^{1} \underline{121}\right.$ indeed, it is this analogy which inspired the present work. A detailed description of the vortex patch case, and stressing the sim ilarities and di erences w ith the shape deform ations of the 2DES is presented for com pleteness in A ppendix B.

## IV.K INEMATICSOF UN IFORMLY PROPAGATING DEFORMATIONS

H aving determ ined the velocity of the electron liquid, we now focus on the m otion of the 2DES boundary . $T$ he velocity of a point on the boundary can be w ritten in term $s$ of the norm al and tangential com ponents,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{n}+\mathrm{W} \quad(\mathrm{~s}) \mathrm{t}: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he tangential velocity $W$ ( $s$ ) is largely irrelevant, as it solely accounts for a reparam etrization of the curve; the boundary motion is determ ined by the norm al com ponent of the velocity.


FIG.2. A uniform ly propagating edge deform ation. T he boundary satis es $R\left({ }^{\prime} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{R}\left({ }^{\prime} \quad \mathrm{t}\right)$.

W e now ask whether there are m odes which propagate along the boundary w ith no change in shape. P revious work ${ }^{17}$. has focused on $s m$ all perturbations of a straight, in nite edge. H ere we consider deform ations of a circular droplet of incom pressible electrons (the non-linear defor$m$ ation ofa straight in nite edge is discussed in A ppendix Fi). A uniform ly propagating $m$ ode is, in this case, characterized by a boundary that $m$ oves like a rotating rigid body (see Fig. ${ }_{\text {in }}^{2}$ ), nam ely, the radius of the boundary satis es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}\left({ }^{\prime} ; \mathrm{t}\right)=\mathrm{R}(\boldsymbol{r} \quad \mathrm{t}) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where' is the azim uthalangle, and is the angular frequency of the boundary rotation. This translates into a condition for the nom al velocity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U} \quad \mathrm{n}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \mathrm{V}\left(r_{2}\right)_{2} j=\mathrm{n}(r) \quad(\mathrm{e} \quad r): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 rotate uniform ly, satisfying Eq. (13) . C onsider the follow ing param eterization of the surface:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=R_{0} \quad 1+X_{l=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{I}}} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{il}]^{\prime}}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this param etrization, we can write the unit tangent vector explicitly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{\left(^{\prime}\right)}{j\left(^{\prime}\right) j^{\prime}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (' }) \quad \frac{@ r\left(\prime^{\prime}\right)}{@^{\prime}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{R}^{0}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)+\mathrm{e} R\left(^{\prime}\right): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likew ise, the unit nom al vector is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=e_{z} \frac{\left(^{\prime}\right)}{j\left({ }^{\prime}\right) j}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

G iven the identity $r_{s} d s=r, d^{\prime}$, the norm al velocity of the boundary, derived from Eq. (-G), can be written as


$$
\begin{align*}
& U\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=n(R) \text {, (e R) } \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

W e seek frequencies and coe cients $b_{1}$ that satisfy Eqs.
 problem represent edge $m$ odes that propagate $w$ thout any dispersion.

```
V.SOLVING THENON-LINEAR EIGENVALUE PROBLEM| PERTURBATIVEAPPROACH
```

U nfortunately, it has not been possible to solve exactly the non-linear eigenvalue problem for $b_{1}$ and as ritten in
 ofb. This allow sus to to go beyond the linear approxim ations used in the past, and we have succeeded in calculating shape deform ations to $O\left[\left[_{1}^{4}\right]\right.$ and angular frequencies to $O\left[0_{1}^{5}\right]$. Expanding the non-linear eigenvalue problem to fth order, we nd the condition
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=\frac{m_{e}!{ }_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{R}_{0}}{\mathrm{ne}} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $\backslash m$ atrix elem ents" $Q, R, S, T$ and $U$ are obtained from Eq. (1 $\overline{1}$ ) in A ppendix
Since the equation to be solved results from an expansion in powers of $b_{1}$, it is su cient to solve Eq. (20) perturbatively, by expanding in powers of the largest coe cient $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}$. Let us assum $e$ that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{b}_{1}=\mathrm{O}[] ; & \text { for } l= & \mathrm{L} ;  \tag{22}\\
=O\left[{ }^{2}\right] ; & \text { for } l & \mathrm{~L}:
\end{array}
$$

We then consider an expansion of the coe cients $\mathrm{b}_{1}$ and eigenvalue ${ }^{\sim}$ in powers of :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}_{1}=\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\text { for } l=\mathrm{L} ; \\
\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)} ; \\
\mathrm{b}_{1}^{(2)}+\mathrm{b}_{1}^{(3)}+
\end{array} \quad ; \text { for } \mathrm{l} \text {, } \mathrm{L}:\right.
\end{array}  \tag{23}\\
& \sim_{\mathrm{L}}=\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\sim}(0)+\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\sim(1)}+\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\sim}(2)+ \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

 order by order, we nd that:

1. The rst-order term yields the dispersion relation in the linear approxim ation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim{ }^{(0)}[\mathbb{L}]=Q_{L} ; \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. Second-order term scouple the fundam entaland second harm onics of the deform ation, with no correction to the eigenvalue:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim(1)[\mathrm{L}]=0 ; \\
& \mathrm{b}^{(2)}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}}}{\frac{Q_{\mathrm{L}}}{} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}}\left[b_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{2} ;  \tag{26}\\
& \mathrm{b}_{1}^{(2)}=0 ; \text { for } 1 母 \quad 2 \mathrm{~L} ;
\end{align*}
$$

3. T hird-order term s give the rst correction to the frequency and couple the rst and third harm onics:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim\left(^{(2)}[\mathrm{L}]=3 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ;} ; \mathrm{L}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{2}+\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{~L} ; ~} ; \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)} ;\right. \\
& \mathrm{b}^{(3)}=\frac{\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}}\left[\mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{3}}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}} ;  \tag{27}\\
& \mathrm{Q}_{3 \mathrm{~L}}
\end{align*}
$$

4. Fourth-order term s provide coupling to both second and fourth harm onics:
$\sim^{(3)}[\mathbb{L}]=0 ;$
$b_{2 L}^{(4)}=\frac{1}{Q_{L} \quad Q_{2 L}} \quad\left(2 R_{3 L} ; L \quad Q_{L}\right) b_{3 L}^{(3)} b_{L}^{(1)} \sim(2)[L] \quad b_{2 L}^{(2)}+\frac{\left[b_{L}^{(1)}\right]^{2}}{2}+6 S_{2 L ; L ; ~}$ L $b_{2 L}^{(2)}\left[b_{L}^{(1)}\right]^{2}+4 T_{L} ; L ; L ; L\left[b_{L}^{(1)}\right]^{4} ;$
$\left.\mathrm{b}_{4 \mathrm{~L}}^{(4)}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{4 \mathrm{~L}}} \frac{1}{2}\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{~L} ; 2 \mathrm{~L}} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\right)\left[\mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)}\right]^{2}+\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{3 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L}} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{~L}}^{(3)} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}+3 \mathrm{~S}_{2 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}} \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)}\left[\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{2}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{4} \quad ; \quad$ (28)
$\mathrm{b}_{1}^{(4)}=0$; for 1 4L;
5. The th-order term follow s the sam e pattem. The couplings to higher harm onics is quite com plex and we chose not to pursue it. W e show only the correction to the eigenvalue:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sim^{(4)}[\mathrm{L}]=\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{2 \mathrm{~L}} ; \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(4)}+\left(2 \mathrm{R}_{3 \mathrm{~L}} ; 2 \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{L}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{b}_{3 \mathrm{~L}}^{(3)} \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)}}{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}} \sim{ }^{(2)} \mathbb{L} \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)}+3 \mathrm{~S}_{3 \mathrm{~L}} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} \mathrm{~b}_{3 \mathrm{~L}}^{(3)} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)} \\
& \left.+6 \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{L} ; 2 \mathrm{~L}} ; 2 \mathrm{~L}\left[\mathbb{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)}\right]^{2}+\left(12 \mathrm{~T}_{2 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L}} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}+4 \mathrm{~T} 2 \mathrm{~L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}\right) \mathrm{b}_{2 \mathrm{~L}}^{(2)} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{2}+10 \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L} ;} \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{L}\left[\mathbb{b}_{\mathrm{L}}^{(1)}\right]^{4}: \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that the largest term in the perturbative expansion corresponds to the low est harm onic and that higher order elem ents preserve the rotational sym $m$ etry $C_{L}$ (rotations by $2=\mathrm{L}$ ) of the in itial term.
VI.SOLUTIONSEORTHE TWO-DIMENSIONAL ELECTRON SYSTEM

W e now show som e invariant shapes for the 2D ES (see
 obtained using the form ul ofSec. $V$ ! and $m$ atrix elem ents $Q, R, S, T$ and $U$ calculated in A ppendix $A_{1}$.

Table $\ddagger$ sum $m$ arizes these results for states of rotational sym $m$ etry $C_{L}$, w th $L=2,3,4$ and 5 . Som e particular shapes obtained from these coe cients and Eq. (1-i) are show $n$ in $F$ ig. ' $G$ [for a com parison $w$ th sim ilar states for vortex patches see F igs. ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$

For large deform ations, the appearance of oscillations indicate that higher order term $s$ are needed, since the perturbative $m$ ethod corresponds to a truncation of the Fourier decomposition Eq. (14 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{1}$ )]. An altemative approach, based on a local induction approxim ation, pro-
vides a better description in those cases. T his altemative form ulation is presented in Sec. IV $\frac{1}{1}$.

It is interesting to note that the linear result for the frequency is [see Eqs. (2-5) and (A $\overline{2}$ (3)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim(0)[]=Q_{1}=4 X_{k=2}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{j} j}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{k} \quad 1^{\prime}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last sum can be related to the digamma function ${ }^{2!} \quad(j 1 j+1=2)$. This linear, result has been previously derived by several authors $1_{1}^{18}$ corrections are $0\left[b_{1}^{2}\right]$. For a direct com parison w ith Eq. $\bar{W}_{\bar{W}}^{\mathbf{-}}$ ), which corresponds to the large-1 lim it, we substitute the asym ptotic expansion for the sum in Eq. $\left(\overline{3} \overline{0} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right) ; m$ ultiplication by $R_{0}$ yields the propagation velocity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}=2 \ln \frac{e}{4 \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathcal{H} j} \frac{\mathrm{n} e^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}!_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

which closely corresponds to the group velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{g}}$
$@!\circ(\mathrm{k})=@ \mathrm{k}$ obtained from Eq. (5్) after the substitution $l \mathrm{ka}$. The dispersion for these linear edge excitations has, been con- rm, ed experim entally in both the


TABLE I. Stationary deform ations of a two-dim ensional electron system. A ngular frequencies and low est harm on ics of the deform ation for $L=2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5$.

| 1 | $\sim=\left(m_{e}!_{c} \mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{ne}^{2}\right)$ |  |  | $\mathrm{b}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{31}$ | $\mathrm{b}_{41}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\frac{4}{3}$ | 5:09048 $\mathrm{b}_{2}^{2}$ | 16:1333 $\mathrm{b}_{2}^{4}$ | $\frac{29}{24} b_{2}^{2}+1: 86911 b_{2}^{4}$ | $0: 911769 \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{3}$ | $0: 345388 \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{4}$ |
| 3 | $\frac{32}{5}$ | 16:8464 $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{2}+$ | 39:6332 $\mathrm{b}_{3}^{4}$ | $1: 63473 b_{3}^{2}+8: 36452 b_{3}^{4}$ | 1:97442 b ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | $0: 540557 \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{4}$ |
| 4 | $\frac{284}{105}$ | $30: 0989 \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{2}$ | 154:821 $\mathrm{b}_{4}^{4}$ | $1: 94074 b_{4}^{2}+24: 3045 b_{4}^{4}$ | $2: 56102 \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{3}$ | $3: 45272 \mathrm{~b}_{4}^{4}$ |
| 5 | $\frac{992}{315}$ | 45:5507 $\mathrm{b}_{5}^{2}$ | $418: 524 \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{4}$ | $2: 17945 \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{2}+50: 1748 \mathrm{~b}_{5}^{4}$ | 2:74603 $\mathrm{b}_{5}^{3}$ | 9:80691 $\mathrm{b}_{5}^{4}$ |

## VII. LOCAL INDUCTION APPROXIMATION

A s we have seen, the $m$ otion of the edge is determ ined by the velocity of the uid at the surface. The nonlocal equation for the velocity of the boundary, Eq. $(\underset{1}{(9)})$, can be tumed into a di erentialequation for the curvature of the boundary ifw e concentrate on the local.contributions. $T$ his local induction approxim ation (LIA) ${ }^{20}$ - w as explored by Goldstein and Petrich in a series $q$ fpapers dealing
 is considerably m ore favorable in this problem, due to the $m$ ore rapid decay of the interaction $[1=r$ for charges
 de nes most term $s$ used in this section.

The LIA corresponds to the introduction of a large distance cut-o in the expression for the velocity of the boundary v [r(s)] Eq. (9, $\overline{1})$ ]:

The line integral in Eq. $(\underset{1}{-9})$ is then calculated by expanding the integrand in powers of ( $s^{0} s$ ). By using the Frenet-Serret relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{t} ; \\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{n} ;  \tag{33}\\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{t} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $=\mathrm{s}$ is the local curvature of the boundary, we obtain

To low est order the norm al and tangential velocities then are given by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{LIA}} & =\frac{n e^{2}}{m_{\mathrm{e}}!_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{2}{8} \mathrm{~s} ; \\
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{LIA}} & =\frac{n e^{2}}{m_{\mathrm{e}}!_{\mathrm{c}}} \ln \frac{2}{2 r_{0}} \frac{11^{2}}{96}{ }^{2}: \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

It is w orth noting that sing ce the rate of change of the area A of the droplet is $A_{t}=\mathrm{dsU}(\mathrm{s})$ [see Eq. (D.8) $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ ], the LIA w ith $\mathrm{U}_{\text {LIA }} / \mathrm{s}$ (or any exact di erential) autom atically conserves area. This is not surprising since we started with an incom pressible system, but show s that the local approxim ation used has not introduced an obvious error. It is also interesting to realize that the perim eter $L$ of the curven derived from these velocities is conserved as well: $L_{t}=\mathrm{ds}\left(\mathrm{U}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)=0\left[\sec \mathrm{Eq} .\binom{-\overline{7}}{\right.$\hline}$]$.

The time evolution of a curve in two dimensions is given-, quite generally by the integro-di erential


$$
t=\left[{ }^{2}+@_{s s}\right] U+s^{W} W \quad s_{0}\left[U+W s^{0}\right] d s^{0}:
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& t\left(s^{0}\right)^{\prime} t(s) 1 \frac{2}{2} \quad 2 \quad \frac{3}{2} \quad s+:::  \tag{34}\\
& +n(s) \\
& \frac{2}{2} s+\frac{3}{6}(3 \quad \mathrm{ss})+::: \quad \text {; } \\
& r\left(s^{0}\right) \quad r(s), t(s) \quad \frac{3}{6}{ }^{2} \frac{4}{8} \quad s+:::  \tag{35}\\
& +n(s) \quad \frac{2}{2} \quad \frac{3}{6} s+\frac{4}{24}(3 \quad s s)+:::
\end{align*}
$$

W e now introduce the results from Eq. (3-1]). A \gauge" change, realized by m odifying $W$ so that the integrand of Eq. $\left(3 \bar{F}_{1}\right)$ vanishes, elim inates the rem aining non-local dependences and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=\frac{\mathrm{ne}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{e}}!_{\mathrm{c}}} \frac{2}{8} \frac{3}{2}_{2}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{sss}^{:} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter a sim ple tim,e rescaling, the curvature satis es the mKdV equation $\mathbf{L}^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{t}=\frac{3}{2}^{2} \mathrm{~s}+\mathrm{sss}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mKdV dynam ics are integrable, $w$ th an in, nite num ber of globally conserved geom etric quantities, ${ }^{12}$, the $m$ ost im portant of $w h i c h$ are the center of $m$ ass, area, and angular $m$ om entum of the droplet.

Them K dV equation possesses a variety of soliton solutions, including traveling $w$ ave solutions and propagating $\backslash$ breather" solitons (see A ppendix ${\underset{-}{\mid}}_{-1}^{\prime}$ ). H ere we w ill focus on the traveling $w$ ave solutions of Eq. ( $\overline{(3)} \overline{\underline{g}})$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(s ; t)=(z) ; w \text { ith } z \quad s \text { ct; } \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents uniform ly rotating deform ed droplets (see Fig. 'r木z). The ordinary di erential equation for (z) can be integrated tw ioe $w$ ith the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2}\left({ }^{0}\right)^{2}=\frac{1}{8}^{4}+\frac{1}{2} c^{2}+a \quad 2 b ; \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $a$ and $b$ are constants of integration $(a=b=0$ for in nite system $s$, see A ppendix $\mathbf{I}_{-1} \bar{F}_{1}$ ). This ordinary di erentialequation can be easily integrated:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z \quad \begin{array}{l}
Z \\
\quad \frac{d^{0}}{V(0)}
\end{array},  \tag{42}\\
& V()=\frac{4}{4} \quad c^{2} \quad 2 a+4 b:
\end{align*}
$$


$t=0$


FIG.3. A uniform ly propagating edge deform ation. The curvature satis es $(s ; t)=(z)$, with $z=s \quad c t$.
$T$ his problem is thus analogous to a particle $m$ oving in a quartic potential ( $F$ ig. ' $\mathbf{I N}^{\prime}$ '). T he integrals, involved can be expressed in tem $s$ of elliptic integrals ${ }^{22}$ - and depend crucially on the nature and location of the zeros of $V()$. For curves w ith nite perim eter and no self-crossings we nd that it is necessary to have two real and two com plex zeros: , max, $m$ in , and $(m a x+m$ in $)=2$ i (see Appendix $\mathbb{E}^{(1)}$. N ote that $m$ ax and $m$ in correspond to the $m$ axim $u m$ and $m$ inim um curvatures of the boundary.


FIG.4. Som e possible potentials V ( ) and corresponding schem atic solutions for the shape of the boundary. P otentials w ith two real zeros $m$ ay have physical solutions: (a) Curvature is xed, the solution is a circle; (b) Curvature is positive de nite, the boundary is convex; (c) Positive and negative curvatures. (c) P otentials with four zeros have solutions with unphysical self-intersections.

The periodic solutions of $F q$. (42), expressed in term $s$


$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=\frac{\left(q_{m a x}+p_{m \text { in }}\right)+\left(p_{m \text { in }} q_{m a x}\right) n^{p} \overline{\mathrm{pq}_{2}} \frac{z^{2}}{j}}{(p+q)+\left(p^{p} \quad q^{p} \overline{p^{\prime}} \frac{Z}{2} j\right.} ; \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
p & =p \bar{p} \overline{(3 \mathrm{max}+\mathrm{min})^{2}+{ }^{2}} ;  \tag{45}\\
q & =p \frac{(\mathrm{max}+3 \mathrm{~min})^{2}+{ }^{2}}{} ; \\
& =\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(\mathrm{max} \quad \mathrm{~min})^{2} \quad(\mathrm{p} & \left.\mathrm{q}^{8}\right]
\end{array}\right]=4 \mathrm{pq}
\end{align*}:
$$

The free param eter is actually determ ined by the boundary conditions. The period of is given by the elliptic integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{8}{p \overline{p q}} K(): \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e now require that the tangent angle increases by a factor of 2 after an integerm ultiple l curvature periods, so that the curve is closed and w ith no self crossings (see Fig. ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{1 / 2}$ ):

$$
(\mathbb{L})=\int_{0}^{Z} \quad(s) d s=2:
$$

It is evident that the resulting curves are invariant under rotations by $2=1$, that is the curves have $C_{1}$ sym me try. The curves thus generated can be characterized by ( $l_{\text {; }} \mathrm{max}$; m in) orm ore conveniently, although indirectly, by the sym $m$ etry, the area and the perim eter of the curve.


FIG. 5. Illustration of the boundary conditions im plied by Eq. (47-1). Top : after 1 periods $L$ of the curvature ( $s$ ), the tangent angle increases by precisely 2 . Bottom: the resulting deform ed boundary.

T he contour shape can be easily determ ined once the tangent angle ( $s$ ) is know $n$ as a function of arc-length. Since dz $\quad d x+i d y=\exp [i \quad(s)] d s$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(s) \quad x(s)+i y(s)=e_{0}^{Z} s e^{i\left(s^{0}\right)} d s^{0}: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The full lines in F ig. ${ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{G}$, show som e uniform ly rotating soliton shapes, calculated from E qs. (44) and (48). T hesa are essentially identical $w$ ith $G$ oldstein and P etrich's ${ }^{161}$ soliton solutions for the vortex patch problem, but the m ore local nature of the interaction in the 2DES case should guarantee a better correspondence w ith the exact solutions including non-local term $s$. Indeed, the curves resulting from the perturbative $m$ ethod and the LIA are quite close, even for considerable deform ations of the boundary. For larger deform ations the perturbative results show artifacts due to the lim ited num ber of Fourier com ponents. T he advantage of the LIA becom es evident in this case, since it is an expansion in powers of the curvature and not the deform ation, and thus allows for relatively large long-w avelength deform ations. M ore signi cantly, the LIA and the resulting integrable dynam ics allow one to uncover geom etrical conservation law-s which would be hidden in a perturbative calculation 28! $T h i s ~ a d v a n t a g e ~ c o m ~ e s ~ a t ~ a ~ p r i g e: ~ t h e ~ d e t a i l e d ~ i n f o r m ~ a-~$ tion on frequencies is obscured by the introduction of the long distance cut-o and by the gauge transform ation of the tangential velocity $W$, while the frequency is easily obtained in the perturbative calculation.


FIG.6. U niform ly rotating shapes of a 2D ES. Solid lines: solutions of the m K dV equation obtained from the localinduction approxim ation. D otted lines: solutions obtained using the perturbative expansion. The values of the coe cient $b_{1}$, and the ratio of curvatures $\quad \mathrm{m}$ in $=\mathrm{m}$ ax are: (a) $\mathrm{b}_{2}=0: 073$; $=0: 4$; (b) $\mathrm{b}_{2}=0: 19$; $=0$; (c) $\mathrm{b}_{2}=0: 36$; $=0: 2$; ( d ) $\mathrm{b}_{3}=0: 027$; $=0: 4$; (e) $\mathrm{b}_{3}=0: 10$; $=0: 2$; (f) $\mathrm{b}_{3}=0: 29$; $=0: 45$; (g) $\mathrm{b}_{4}=0: 014$; $=0: 4$; (h) $\mathrm{b}_{4}=0: 089$; $=0: 4$; (i) $\mathrm{b}_{4}=0: 24$; $=0: 56$.

## V III. C O N C LU S IO N S

A contour dynam ics form ulation of the excitations on the edge of a two-dim ensionalelectron system in a magnetic eld has allowed us to dem onstrate the existence, beyond the usual linear regim $e$, of shape deform ations that propagate uniform ly. A local approxim ation to the nonlocaldynam ics show sthat the curvature of the edge of the droplet obeys the $m$ odi ed $K$ ortew eg-de $V$ ries equation, which has_integrable dynam ics and soliton solutions. Earlier studied ${ }^{29}$. of edge channels in QH sam ples have show $n$ the presence ofnonlinear waves, but in Ref. $\overline{2}_{2}^{2}$ the nonlinearity originates in the variations of the strength of the con ning eld (the Eext of Sec. iII), whereas here we concentrate on nonlinear e ects originating in geom etricale ects.

Since these solutions are dispersion less, it $m$ ay be possible to distinguish them from linear edge waves in tim eof ight $m$ easurem ents of the type depicted in $F$ ig. a circular $Q H$ system $I^{24 .}$ a voltage pulse applied to a gate produces an edge deform ation. The deform ation propagates along the edge of the system in one direction and is detected, i.e. by $m$ eans of a capacitive probe. For this geom etry, the pulse com es back repeatedly and it is possible to observe it after num erous passes. W hile a gradual decrease of the am plitude is alw ays expected due to residualdissipation, dispersive and non-dispersive $m$ odes $m$ ay be distinguished by the preservation of the general shape and $w$ idth of the non-dispersive $m$ odes.

On the theoretical side, it would be interesting to con-
nect our hydrodynam ic treatm ent of these edge solitqns w ith eld-theoretical treatm ents of edge excitations 18;191.


FIG.7. Soliton excitations travelw ithout shape changes. In a circular Q H system $\frac{241}{-1}$ w ith non-contact probes it is possible to observe repeated signals. W hile a gradual decrease of the am plitude is alw ays expected due to residual dissipation, dispersive and non-dispersive $m$ odes $m$ ay be distinguished by the preservation of the general shape and width of the non-dispersive $m$ odes.
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## APPENDIXA:MATRIX ELEMENTS FOR THENON-LINEAR EIGENVALUEPROBLEM

In order to determ ine the $m$ atrix elem ents $Q, R, S, T$ and $U$ for the non-linear eiggenvalue problem Eq. (200 ${ }^{\prime}$ ) ], we need to expand Eq. (1-1) in pow ens of the coe cients $b_{1}$ of the param etrization $\mathbb{E} q$. (14 $\left.\left.\underline{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]$. W e in itially realize that

$$
\begin{align*}
& n\left({ }^{\prime}\right) \quad(r+!)=\frac{1}{j\left(r^{\prime}\right) j} \quad \sin !2_{1}^{x} b_{1} e^{i 1^{\prime}} \cos \frac{1!}{2} \sin !+l \cos !\sin \frac{1!}{2}+ \\
& +{ }_{l i p}^{X} \mathrm{~b}_{1} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 1^{\prime}}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{lp} & \mathrm{p}^{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) \cos \frac{(12 \mathrm{l})!}{2} \sin !\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{p}
\end{array}\right) \cos !\sin \frac{\binom{1}{2 p}!}{2} \text {; } \tag{A1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R\left(r^{\prime}+!\right)_{2} R\left(r^{\prime}\right) \hat{j}= \\
& 4 \sin ^{2} \frac{!}{2} 41+2^{X} b_{1} e^{i l!=2} \cos \frac{!}{2} \quad X \quad b_{1 p} b_{p} e^{i \eta!=2} \frac{\sin \frac{(1 p+1)!}{2} \sin \frac{(p 1)!}{2}+\sin \frac{(1 p 1)!}{2} \sin \frac{(p+1)!}{2}}{2 \sin ^{2} \frac{!}{2}} \text { : }
\end{align*}
$$

By expanding $n\left(r^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(r^{\prime}+!\right)=R\left(r^{\prime}+!\right) \quad R\left(r^{\prime}\right) j$ in powers of the relevant $m$ atrix elem ents $Q, R, S, T$ and $U$. All integrals converge $w$ ithout the need for short distance cut-o $s$. $T$ he three low est order term s can be w ritten as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{1}=4_{k=2}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{i} j}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{k}} 1^{\prime} \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H igher order term s are long and uninspiring.

## APPENDIX B:COMPARISON W ITH THE VORTEX PATCH CASE

For the sake of com parison, we draw analogy to the case of a vortex patch, a two-dim ensional, bounded region of constant vorticity ! $p$ surrounded by an irrotational uid'9 $T$ he vorticity can either be distributed, as in a regular uid, or concentrated in individual vortices, in the case of a super uid (in this case it is clear that the hydrodynam ic treatm ent will be valid only for lengthscales larger than the inter-vortex spacing). The im portant thing is that in ideal uids the area of the vortex patch is conserved due to K elvin's circulation theorem and therefore the vortex patch is essentially incom pressible. Figure $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ can be used to describe this case by replacing the electron densily $n$ by the vorticity $!p$.

T he steady state solution in this case is clearly a circle, and sm alldeform ations of the boundary travelalong the boundary itself, as has been know $n$ for a long tim el ${ }^{\text {¹ }}$ O ne can also ask what happens when the deform ations are large: are there $m$ odes that do not change shape, i.e., solitons? O ne such solution has been known since $K$ irchho 's tim e: an ellipse with constant vorticity will rotate uniform ly in an idea, , uid. N um erical calculations by Deem and Zabusky invariant shapes.

For inviscid incom pressible uids, the equations ofm otion for the uid are sim ply given by:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r & v=0 ; \\
r & v=!; \tag{B2}
\end{array}
$$

where ! is the vorticity. A ssum ing that the velocity far from the patch vanishes, the velocity of the uid in presence of a region of nite vorticity ! p can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{v}}(r)=\frac{!}{2}^{\mathrm{I}} d s^{0} t\left(s^{0}\right) \ln \frac{j r \quad r\left(s^{0}\right) j}{r_{0}}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

T he argum ents presented in Secs. applied $m$ utatis $m$ utandis to this case. The only di erence w th the 2DES com es from the fact that the kemel
in the interaction is now logarithm ic, and Eq. $\left(\overline{9}_{1}^{-1}\right)$ is then replaced by Eq. ( $\left.\bar{B}_{-1} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$. We see that, as in the $2 \overline{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{ES}$ case (Secs. 'IIIT and ${ }_{1}^{\prime} \bar{I} \bar{\prime}{ }_{1}^{\prime}$ ), the dynam ics is chiral, being deter$m$ ined by the tangent vector; and since the uid contained w ithin is incom pressible the area is conserved. $T$ he nom al velocity of the contour is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\mathrm{v}}\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=\frac{!}{\mathrm{p}}_{2}^{Z_{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{\prime 0} \mathrm{n}\left(\left(^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { () } \ln \frac{R\left(r^{\prime}\right) R\left(r^{0}\right) j}{r_{0}}:\right. \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s before, we seek solutions that satisfy Eqs. (13) [or $\left.\left(\overline{1} \overline{-1} \mathbf{D}_{1}\right)\right]$ and $(\bar{B} \overline{4})$. First, we follow the procedure of A ppendix 'A.' to determ ine the $m$ atrix elem ents $Q, R, S, T$ and U. The rst few of these are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{1}^{V}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2 \lambda j j} ;  \tag{B5}\\
& R_{1 ; p}^{V}=\frac{1}{4 \lambda j}:  \tag{B6}\\
& S_{1 p ; p q ; q}^{v}=\frac{1}{12}^{h} \text { ilij } j 1 \quad p j+2 l \quad p j+i 1 \quad q j  \tag{qj}\\
& \text { 2l qj } \quad \text { p } q j+\text { j1+ } p \quad q j \text { i } \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{ll}
21 & p
\end{array}\right)+(1 \quad q)+(1+p \quad q) \quad(B 7) \tag{B7}
\end{align*}
$$

W e then apply the results of Sec. $\bar{W}$, , to determ ine the am plitude of the low est harm onics for the vortex-patch case, using the appropriate $m$ atrix elem ents $\mathbb{E} q \mathrm{qs}_{\text {. }}\left(\mathbb{B} \mathrm{B}_{1}\right)$ \{ (B-1)]. These results are sum $m$ arized in Table "II, and som e of the resulting invariant shapes are show $n$ in $F$ igs. ,

It has long been know nit that an elliptical region ofvorticity in an otherw ise irrotational uid, nam ely the $K$ irchho ellipse, rotates uniform ly $w$ ith angular frequency
$=\left(!{ }_{p}=4\right)\left(1 \quad b^{2}=a^{2}\right)$, where $(a+b)$ and $(a \quad b)$ represent the $m$ axim um and $m$ inim um radii respectively (see A ppendix ${ }_{[1 /(1)}^{(1)}$. A sim ple analysis show $s$ that both frequency and angular com ponents $b_{1}$ show $n$ in Table "IIT exactly $m$ atch a series expansion of the ellipse. Figure , $\%$ com pares the perturbative results $w$ ith the exact solution. Even for relatively high deform ations both results are in reasonable agreem ent.


FIG. 8. The $l=2$ stationary deform ations of a vortex patch. D otted line: the K ircho ellipse. Full line: perturbative solution. (a) $b_{2}=0: 2$, (b) $b_{2}=0: 3$.

In the case of deform ations w ith higher angular de-
pendences there are no analytic solutions beyond the linear approxim ation. In this case, the angular frequencies are given to zeroth-order in the deform ation by
 [see E qs Zabuskyli- for $1=3 ; 4$ also com pare favorably $w$ ith the perturbative results, as can be seen in F ig. '9. In fact, the angular velocities determ ined from Table II coincide w ith those show $n$ in $R$ ef. $1 \overline{1} \bar{I}_{1}^{\prime}$ to all signi cant gures of that paper.

TABLE II. Stationary deform ations of a vortex patch. A ngular frequencies and low est harm onics of the deform ation for $\mathrm{L}=2 ; 3 ; 4 ; 5$ the equivalent results for the $2 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{E} S$ are sum m arized in T able [ [1]

| 1 | $\sim=1$ | $p$ | $b_{21}$ | $b_{31}$ | $b_{41}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1}{4}$ | $b_{2}^{2}+b_{2}^{4}$ | $\frac{3}{2} b_{2}^{2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} b_{2}^{4}$ | $\frac{5}{2} b_{2}^{3}$ |



FIG . 9. V ortex-patch \eigenstates" with $\mathrm{L}=3$ and $\mathrm{L}=4$. (a)-(d) Obtained num erically by D eem and Zabusky [ gures are scanned from Ref. 111$] ;(e)-(h) D$ eterm ined perturbatively. The lowest harm on ${ }^{1} b_{L}$ is taken in each case from $T a b l e ~ I ~ o f ~ R e f . ~$ 111: $b_{3}=0: 096, b_{3}=0: 11, b_{4}=0: 048$ and $b_{4}=0: 070$ respectively. The solutions are essentially identical and are too close to com pare e ectively in the sam e picture.

APPENDIX C:THE KIRCHHOFFELLIPSEASA SOLUTION OFA CONTOUR DYNAM ICS PROBLEM

It is illustrative to show, starting from the contour dynam ics, that an ellipse is indeed an invariant deform ation for a vortex patch. A dditionally it can be show $n$ that this is an inherent property of the logarithm ic kemel Eq.i'3] and that an ellipse it is not a solution for the 2D ES.
$C$ onsider the follow ing param etrization of an ellipse:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x=(a+b) \cos ; \\
& y=(a \quad b) \sin \quad: \tag{C1}
\end{align*}
$$

It is evident that the radius is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r()=p \overline{a^{2}+2 a b \cos 2+b^{2}} \tag{C2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that tangential and norm al vectors are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{@ r}{@}=(a+b) \sin e+(a \quad b) \cos e ; \\
& n= \frac{e_{z}}{j j}=\frac{(a \quad b) \cos e+(a+b) \sin e_{y}}{j j} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j j=P \overline{a^{2} \quad 2 a b c o s 2+B}$. The \rigid-body rotation" condition $\mathbb{E q}$. (13 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ )] can then be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{}{j() j} 2 \mathrm{ab} \sin 2: \tag{C4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition the distance betw een tw o points on the ellipse takes the sim ple form

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{2} & \left.\dot{j}() \quad r()^{0}\right) \jmath^{2}  \tag{C5}\\
= & 4\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \sin ^{2} \frac{0}{2} \quad 1 \frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \cos \left(+{ }^{0}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

and the dot product involved in Eqs. ( $\left(\overline{1} \mathbf{B}_{-1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\binom{-\bar{B}}{-1}$ is given by

$$
n\left(\begin{array}{lll}
) & q)=\frac{1}{j() j}\left(a^{2} \quad b^{2}\right) \sin \left(\quad{ }^{0}\right): \tag{C6}
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 1. Vortex patches| exact solution

It is now simple to show that an ellipse is, indeed, a uniform ly rotating shape for the vortex patch Eqs. (13) and $\left(\frac{\bar{B}}{(\underline{4})}\right.$ ) $]$, since the norm al velocity is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{v} & =\frac{!_{p}}{4 j() j_{2}^{0}} d^{0} \ln 4\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right) \sin ^{2}\left(\frac{0}{2}\right) \quad 1 \quad \frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \cos \left(+{ }^{0}\right) \sin \left({ }^{0}\right)  \tag{C7}\\
& =\frac{!_{p}}{4 j() j}{ }_{0} d x \ln 1 \frac{2 a b}{a^{2}+b^{2}} \cos x \quad(\sin 2 \cos x \quad \cos 2 \sin x) ; \tag{C8}
\end{align*}
$$

where in going from Eq. $\left(\bar{C}_{-1}^{-}\right)$to ( $\left(\mathrm{C}_{-1}^{-1}\right)$ we elim inated the term inside the nst braces in the logarithm due to sym $m$ etry and changed variables to $x=+{ }^{0}$. Finally, the term proportional to cos2 vanishes upon integration and we are left with a sim ple integral, proportional to $\sin 2$. As long as $a>b$ (for $a=b$ the ellipse has collapsed into a line), the integral exists in closed form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{v}=!_{p} \frac{\left(a^{2} b^{2}\right) b}{2 a j() j} \sin 2 \tag{C9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, by direct com parison with Eq. (C.íd, yields the angular velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\frac{!\mathrm{p}}{4} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{2}}{\mathrm{a}^{2}}: \tag{C10}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider now the param etrization given by Eq. (14') $w$ th $b_{1}$ given by the rst row in Table II. Themaxim um and $m$ inim um radii correspond to $R(\prime)$ for' equal to 0 and $=2$ respectively (for $b_{2}>0$ ). It is easy to see that a $1+3 \mathrm{l}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{t}_{2}^{4}$ and $b \quad 2 \mathrm{~b}+5 \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{3}$, thus $=!{ }_{\mathrm{p}}^{\prime} \quad 1=2 \quad \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}+\mathrm{b}_{2}^{4}$, as shown in Table II. A simple Fourier analysis of Eq. (c-2) also results in coe cients $b_{1}$ which agree w ith the perturbative solution.

> 2. Twodim ensional electron system $s \mid$ no exact solution

It is also sim ple to see why an ellipse is not a stationary solution of the tw o-dim ensional electron system. Instead of the logarithm, one has to dealw ith $1=\overline{R^{2}}$, and the elim ination of the rst term inside the braces is not possible. The resulting integrands depend on in a nontrivial way, and the norm al velocity is not proportional to $j() j^{1} \sin 2$.

> APPENDIX D:GEOMETRY OF PLANAR CURVEMOTION

For com pleteness, it is w orth considering som e general features of the planar curve $m$ otion '27] C onsider a curve described by som e param etrization $r()$, where is a param eter de ned on a xed interval (see Fig in ip). It is then possible to consider tangent and norm alunit vectors de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& t \frac{()}{j() j} ; \text { where }()=r=\frac{@ r()}{@} ;  \tag{D1}\\
& n \quad \text { e } t:
\end{align*}
$$

The arc-length $s()$ corresponds to the length of the curve from som e arbitrary point to $r()$ and is de ned by $d s=P \frac{d x^{2}+d y^{2}}{}={ }^{P} \bar{g} d$, where the $m$ etric $g$ is de ned by $g \quad . W$ e then have the Frenet-Serret relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{t} ; \\
& \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{n} ;  \tag{D2}\\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{t} ;
\end{align*}
$$

which de ne the curvature. It is also possible to dene the curve in term $s$ of its tangent angle (s), where $\mathrm{s}=$.


F IG .10. P aram etrization of a closed curve by a param eter de ned on a xed interval. The illustration show s the arc-length $s$, the nom al and tangential unit vectors $n$ and $t$ and the tangent angle .

The kinem atics of the curve can be determ ined if the velocity of the curve $r_{t}$ is determ ined. It is convenient to decom pose this velocity into its norm aland tangential velocities (at xed ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{Un}+\mathrm{W} \mathrm{t}: \tag{D3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, $U$ and $W$ m ay be arbitrary functionals ofr (s) and its derivatives. It is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}=\left(\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{~W}\right) \mathrm{t} \text {; } \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
t_{t}=\left(U_{s}\right. & W \\
(\mathrm{D} \\
\overline{\mathrm{g}})_{t}=\mathrm{D} & \mathrm{n} ; \\
\mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~g}} \mathrm{U}:
\end{array} \tag{D4}
\end{align*}
$$

The length $L$ and area $A$ of the curve are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{ds}={ }^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{P} \overline{\mathrm{~g} d} ;  \tag{D5}\\
& \mathrm{A}=\frac{1}{2}^{\mathrm{I}} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & \mathrm{t}) \\
\mathrm{z} \text { eds }=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
r & r
\end{array}\right) \quad{ }_{z} \mathrm{ed} ;
\end{array}\right. \tag{D6}
\end{align*}
$$

and it is evident from the expressions above that their tim e derivatives are equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{t}={ }^{I}\left(U+W{ }_{s}\right) d s=^{I} U d s ; \\
& A_{t}=U(s) d s ; \tag{D7}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the rightm ost part ofE q. (Div) we assum ed that W was periodic.

C onsider now the follow ing identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t} \frac{@}{@ s}=\frac{@}{@ t} p^{1} \bar{g} \frac{@}{@}=\frac{g_{t}}{2 g^{3=2}} \frac{@}{@}+\frac{@}{@ s} \frac{@}{@ t}: \tag{D9}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. (D_4), we obtain the com $m$ utator betw een the arc-length and tim e derivatives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ s} ; \frac{@}{@ t}=\left(W_{s}+U\right) \frac{@}{@ s}: \tag{D10}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e are nally able to determ ine a kinem atic equation for the curvature. W hile the procedure is com pletely general, this becom es particularly im portant when the dynam ics obeys a geom etric law ofm otion, that is when U and W are functions of the curvature and its derivatives only. U sing Eqs. (D_4) and D1す) to calculate the tim e derivative of the curvature at xed we nd that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t}=\left[^{2}+@_{s s}\right] U+{ }_{s} W: \tag{D11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient, how ever, to write a di erential equation in a param etrization-independent form, that is the tim e derivative should ${ }^{2}$ be evaluated at xed arc-length $s$. Since $\varrho_{t} j_{b}=\varrho_{t} j \quad s \int_{0}\left[U+W s^{0}\right] d s^{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ t_{s}}=\left[{ }^{2}+@_{s s}\right] U+{ }_{s} W \quad s_{0}^{Z}\left[U+W s^{0}\right] d s^{0} ; \tag{D12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the form used in Eq. $\left(3 \underline{T}_{1}\right)$.

> APPENDIX E:ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS, ELLIPTIC FUNCTIONSAND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

A quick inspection ofE q. (42) reveals the follow ing possible altemative solutions, in term sofelliptic functions, $2_{4}^{2}$ which depend on the nature of the zeros of $V()$ :

which can be inverted to read

$$
\left.(z)=\frac{(\quad)+(\quad) \operatorname{sn}^{2}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
c_{4} z ; & 4 \tag{E3}
\end{array}\right]}{(\quad)+( }\right)
$$

w th $\mathrm{c}_{4}^{2}=(\quad)(\quad)=16$. The period of $(z)$ is given in term $s$ of the com plete elliptic integral $L_{4}=\left(2=C_{4}\right) K(4)$.
2. Two real and two com plex con jugate zeros

$$
\begin{align*}
& , \mathrm{m} \text { in ( } \mathrm{F} \text { ig. } \\
& z()=p_{\overline{p q}}^{2} F \quad 2 \tan ^{1} \frac{\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{p})}{\mathrm{p}(2 ;} \text {; }  \tag{E4}\\
& \mathrm{p}^{2}=(\mathrm{m} \quad)^{2}+\mathrm{n}^{2} ; \\
& q^{2}=(m \quad)^{2}+n^{2} ;  \tag{E5}\\
& { }_{2}^{2}=(\mathrm{max} \mathrm{~m} \text { in })^{2} \quad\left(\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{q}^{3}\right)=4 \mathrm{pq} ;
\end{align*}
$$

which can be inverted to read

$$
(z)=\frac{(p+q)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p & q) \operatorname{cn}^{p} \overline{\mathrm{pq}} \mathrm{z}=2 ;  \tag{E6}\\
2
\end{array}\right]}{(\mathrm{p}+q)+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{p} & \mathrm{q}) \mathrm{cn}^{p} \overline{\mathrm{pq}} \mathrm{z}=2 ;
\end{array}\right]}:
$$

The period of ( $z$ ) is given in this case by by $L_{2}=\left(8={ }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{pq}}\right) \mathrm{K}\left(\mathrm{z}^{2}\right)$.

Since there is no cubic term in the potentialV ( ), the sum of all roots $m$ ust vanish. That leaves only one free param eter in each case, once the $m$ inim um and m axim um curvatures are xed. A smentioned in Sec.IV-IN, this free param eter is determ ined by the boundary condition that the curve is closed and w thout self-crossings. For the case of four real zeros, it is not possible to nd solutions that satisfy these conditions. It is still possible to
nd beautiful closed curves ( $F$ ig. $\bar{i} \overline{1} \ddagger$ ), but these do not correspond to physical solutions for the problem under consideration. The case $w$ th tw o real and tw o com plex con jugate solutions does have physical solutions and is discussed in detail in Sec. $\overline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{I}$ In.


F IG .11. E xam ples of closed boundaries for the solutions corresponding to four real zeros of V ( ). N ote that all curves cross them selves at least once and are not physical solutions for the problem of interest.

APPENDIX $F: T H E S T R A I G H T I N F I N I T E E D G E$
In Sec. ${ }^{\text {N }}$ In we discussed the invariant shapes of closed curve when the curvature satis es a modi ed K ortew egde Vries equation (3-1). Single soliton solutions where
the curvature satis ed $(\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{t})=(\mathrm{s} \quad \mathrm{ct})$ were obtained by tw ige integrating Eq. (391), thus resulting in Eq. (4İ), which can then be reduced to a sim ple problem in quadratures $\mathbb{E q}$. (42 ${ }^{\prime}$ )] and the solutions for the curvature in term s of Jacobi elliptic functions were discussed in that context [see also A ppendix E',

In the case of an in nite curve, however, and all its arc-length derivatives should vanish ass! 1 , and the constants of integration $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{b}=0$ in Eqs. (4ī1) and (4-1), so that $V()={ }^{4}=4 \quad c^{2}$. The traveling wave solutions can then be found as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=2^{p}-c \operatorname{sech}[-c(s \quad c t)] ; \quad c<0: \tag{F1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he tangent angle and the shape can then be obtained by a sim ple integration:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (z)=d^{Z}=4 \arctan \tanh \frac{p-c}{2}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s & c t
\end{array}\right)+; \\
& \text { Z s } \\
& x+i y={ }_{0} e^{i} d s^{0} \\
& 0 \text { " \# } \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s & c t
\end{array} p \int_{c}^{4} \frac{1}{\left.i+\tanh \frac{p}{\left[\frac{c}{2}\right.}(s \quad c t)\right]} \quad i \frac{i}{4}:(F 3)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

U nfortunately these curves represent a sm all loop traveling along the boundary, and self-crossing solutions are not possible for the boundary of a physical system .

It is, how ever, possible to have $m$ ore com plicated solutions that have a traveling envelope with tim e dependent oscillation,sw ithin it. O ne such exam ple is the \breather" solution ${ }^{115}$ w wich loosely speaking, corresponds to a pair ofbound solitons:
where $l$ and $k$ are arbitrary. The value for the tangent angle in this case is evident since $s=$; how ever, the shape of the curve requires num erical integration. Figure in' show $s$ a sequence corresponding to the $m$ otion of one exam ple of a breather.


FIG.12. A breather soliton for $k=2$ and $l=1$. In this tim e sequence $t=0: 125$.
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