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#### Abstract

W e use techniques from the statisticalm echanics of disordered system $s$ to analyse the properties of N ash equilibria of bim atrix gam es w th large random payo m atriges. By m eans of an annealed bound, we calculate their num ber and analyse the properties of typical N ash equilibria, which are exponentially dom inant in number. We nd that a random ly chosen equilibrium realizes alm ost alw ays equal payo $s$ to either player. This value and the fraction of strategies played at an equilibrium point are calculated as a function of the correlation between the two payo $m$ atriges. The picture is com plem ented by the calculation of the properties of N ash equilibria in pure strategies.


PAC S num bers: 05 20-y, $02.50 \mathrm{Le}, 64$. 60 .C
$G$ am e theory seeks to $m$ odel problem $s$ of strategic decision $m$ aking arising in econom ics, sociology, or intemational relations. In the generic set-up, a num ber of players choose betw een di erent strategies, the com bination of which determ ines the outcom e of the gam e speci ed by the payo to each player. Contrary to the situation in ordinary optim ization problem $s$, these payo $s$ are in general di erent for di erent players leading to a com petitive situation $w$ here each player tries to $m$ axim ize his individual payo. O ne of the comerstones of $m$ odem econom ics and game theory is therefore the concept of a $N$ ash equilibrium $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, see also [ $[\underline{1} 1]$, w hich describes a situation w here no player can unilaterally im prove his payo by changing his individual strategy given the other players all stidk to their strategies. H ow ever this concept is thought to su er from the serious draw back that in a typical gam e-theoretical situation there is a large num ber of $N$ ash equilibria $w$ ith di erent characteristics but no $m$ eans of telling which one w illbe chosen by the players, as w ould be required of a predictive theory.

This conceptual problem already show $s$ up in the paradigm atic model of a bim atrix gam e between two players $X$ and $Y$ where player $X$ chooses strategy i $2(1::: N)$ with probability $X_{i} \quad 0$ and player $Y$ chooses strategy $j 2(1::: N)$ w ith probability $y_{j} \quad 0$. The vectors $x=\left(x_{1} ;:: ; x_{N}\right) ; y=\left(y_{1} ;:: ; y_{N}\right)$ are called $m$ ixed strategies and are constrained to the $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1$ )-dim ensional sim plex by norm alization. For a pair of pure strategies ( $i ; j$ ) the payo to player $X$ is given by the corresponding entry in his payo $m_{p} a t r i x a_{j j} w h e r e a s$ the payo to player $Y$ is given by $\mathrm{l}_{j}$. $T$ he expected payo to player $X$ is thus given by $x(x ; y)=i_{i ; j} x_{i} a_{i j} Y_{j}$ and analogously for player $Y$. Every player has the intention to $m$ axim ize his own payo. A $N$ ash equilibrium (NE) (x;y) is de ned by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{max}_{\mathrm{x}^{0}} & \mathrm{x}\left(\mathrm{x}^{0} ; \mathrm{y}\right) \\
\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y})=\mathrm{max} \mathrm{y}^{0} & \mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}^{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

since in this situation there exists no $m$ ixed strategy $x$ which $w i l l$ increase the expected payo to $X$ given $Y$ does not alter his strategy and vioe versa for $Y$. Thus no player has an incentive to unilaterally change his strategy.

A part from applications in econom ics, politics, sociology, and $m$ athem aticalbiology, there exists a wide body of $m$ athem atical literature on bim atrix gam es concemed w ith fundam ental topics such as exact bounds for e.g. the number of NE $\left.{ }^{[5]}\right]$ and e cient algorithm $s$ for locating them ${ }^{[16]}$ ]. For gam es even of $m$ oderate size a large num ber of NE are found, form ing a set of disconnected points. In general the di erent NE all correspond to di erent payo sto the players.

H ow ever $m$ any situations of interest are characterized by a large num ber of possible strategies and com plicated relations betw een the strategic choices of the players and the resulting payo s . In such cases it is tem pting to m odel the payo sby random $m$ atrices in order to calculate typicalproperties of the gam $e$. This idea is frequently used in the statisticalm echanics approach to complex system $s$ such as spin glasses $[\underline{[1 \prime \prime} \bar{q}]$, neuralnetw orks $\left[\frac{1}{d}\right]$, evolutionary $m$ odels [101], or hard optim ization problem s [1] $\left.]_{1}^{1}\right]$. R ecently this approach has been used to investigate the typical properties of so-called zero-sum gam es [12'].

In this Letter we investigate the properties of N ash equilibria in large bim atrix gam es with random payo s, i.e. $w$ ith random entries of the payo $m$ atrices. U sing techniques from the statistical $m$ echanics of disordered system $s$ we estim ate the num ber of NE w ith a given payo. $W$ e nd that the $N E$ are exponentially dom inated in num ber by equilibria w ith a certain payo .

[^0]In this approach characteristics of the gam e are encoded in the distribution of payo $m$ atrioes \{ w ith only a few param eters \{ instead of the payo $m$ atrices them selves. $C$ learly the choice of the probability distribution to be averaged over can in uence the results. H ow ever a num ber of sim plifying observations $m$ ay be $m$ ade: the two payo $m$ atrices $m$ ay be $m$ ultiplied by any constant or have any constant added to them $w$ thout changing the properties of the gam $e$ in any m aterial way. Thus there is no loss of generality involved in considering payo $s$ of order $N^{1=2}$ and of zero $m$ ean. $W$ e assum $e$ that the entries of the payo $m$ atrioes at di erent sites are identically and independently distributed. In the them odynam ic $\lim$ it one nds that only the rst two m om ents of the payo distribution are relevant so the entries of the payo $m$ atrices $m$ ay be considered to be $G$ aussian distributed. The only property of the distribution of payo $s$ which is not $x e d$ by these speci cations is the correlation betw een entries of the sam e site of the tw o payo $m$ atrices. $W$ e thus choose the entries of the payo $m$ atrioes to be draw $n$ random ly according to the probability distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(f a_{i j} g ; f b_{i j} g\right)=Y_{i j} \frac{N}{2} \frac{N}{1} \exp \quad \frac{N\left(a_{i j}^{2} 2\left(a_{i j} b_{i j}+b_{i j}^{2}\right)\right.}{2\left(1{ }^{2}\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. a G aussian distribution $w$ ith zero $m$ ean, variance $1=N$ and correlation $\overline{{a_{i j}} \mathrm{~b}_{k 1}}=\quad i k j 1=N$ for_all pairs ( $i ; j$ ) and $(k ; 1)$. H ere and in the follow ing, the overbar - denotes the average over the payo distribution $n_{1}^{\prime}(2)$.

Thus for $=1$ one nds a $D$ irac-delta factor $\left(a_{j}+b_{i j}\right)$ in $(\bar{Z})$ corresponding to a zero-sum game. $=0$ corresponds to uncorrelated payo $m$ atrices and $=1$ gives the so-called sym $m$ etric case $a_{j j}=b_{i j}$ where the two players alw ays receive identical payo s. T he param eter consequently describes the degree of sim ilarity betw een the payo sto either player and $m$ ay be used to continuously tune the gam efrom a zero-sum gam e to a purely sym $m$ etric one. The form er adm its no cooperation at allibetw een the players. $N$ egative correspond to an on average com petitive situation, whereas for increasing there are $m$ ore and $m$ ore pairs of strategies which are bene cial to both players.

A sa starting point for the statisticalm echanics calculations, we rem ark that (1, $\overline{1}$ ) is equivalent to the set of inequalities

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{X} & \mathrm{a}_{i j} Y_{j} & x(x ; y) & 0 & 8 i \\
\mathrm{X}^{j} & & \\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~b}_{\mathrm{ij}} & \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}) & 0 & 8 j:
\end{array}
$$

D ue to the non-negativity of the probabilities $x_{i}$ and $y_{j}$ this directly results in the local equations specifying a NE $w$ th payo $s_{x}$ and $y$
$W$ e introduce real-valued variables $x$ and $y$ w ith $x_{i}=x_{i} \quad\left(x_{i}\right)$ (w here $(x)$ is the $H$ eaviside step-finction) and likew ise for $y_{j}$. Then the last conditions ( $\overline{4}^{-1}$ ) $m$ ay be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.I_{i}^{x}\left(x_{i} ; y^{\prime}\right)=x_{i} \quad\left(x_{i}\right) \quad \stackrel{P}{P}^{P} a_{i j} y_{j} \quad\left(y_{j}\right) \quad x\right)=0 \\
& I_{j}^{Y}(x ; y)=y_{j} \quad\left(y_{j}\right) \quad\left({ }_{j} x_{i} \quad\left(x_{i}\right) b_{i j} \quad y\right)=0 ; \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

i.e. we have constructed indicator functions $I_{i}^{X}$ and $I_{i}^{Y}$ which are zero at a $N E(x ; y)$ and non-zero elsew here [13] The number $N(x ; y)$ of NE w ith payo $S_{x}$ and $y m$ ay thus be calculated from
where the $m$ ixed strategies have been rescaled to ${ }^{P}{ }_{i} x_{i}=P_{j} Y_{j}=N$ for convenience. A though we expect an exponential num ber of $N E$, so $\ln N$ is an extensive quantity, we calculate the so-called annealed average $\ln \bar{N}$ instead
of the quenched one $\overline{\ln N}$. This gives an exact upper bound to the typical num ber of $N E$ at given payo $S_{i}^{T i} . \operatorname{Using}$ integral representations of the delta functions in (G), averaging over the disorder ( param eters $q^{x}=N^{1}{ }_{i} x_{i}^{2} \quad\left(x_{i}\right), R^{x}=N^{1} \quad{ }_{i} i \hat{x}_{i} x_{i} \quad\left(x_{i}\right)$, and sim ilarly for player $\bar{Y}$ we obtain




$$
\begin{equation*}
k \operatorname{det}(B) k=\exp \quad \frac{N}{2}\left(p_{x} \ln p_{x} \quad p_{x}+p_{y} \ln p_{y} \quad p_{y}\right) \quad ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the fraction of strategies played w ith non-zero probability $p_{x}=N{ }^{1}{ }^{P} \quad{ }_{i} \quad\left(x_{i}\right)$ is determ ined self-consistently

 integrals over the order param eters are dom inated by their saddle point. Furthem ore ( $\underline{\eta}_{1}$ ) is now sym m etric under an interchange of the players, and the $m$ axim um (and hence exponentially in $N$ dom inating) num ber of $N E$ is found at equal payo $s$ to each player. Thus it $m$ akes sense to restrict the analysis to the case $x=y_{y}=. W$ e obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& S \quad()=\frac{1}{N} \ln \overline{N(i)}=2 \operatorname{extr}_{q ; G ; R ; E ; p} \frac{q \hat{q}}{2} \quad \frac{R^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{p}{2} \\
& +\ln H \quad p_{\bar{q}}+\frac{r}{\frac{p}{q \hat{q}+{ }^{2} R^{2}} \exp \quad \frac{2}{2 q}+\frac{(E \quad R=q)^{2}}{2 \hat{q}+2^{2} R^{2}=q} \quad H \quad P^{E} \frac{R=q}{\hat{q}+{ }^{2} R^{2}=q}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

From this expression the statisticalproperties of the entire spectrum ofplayer-sym $m$ etric $N E m$ ay be deduced. In the them odynam ic lim it, a random ly chosen NE will give the payo $\quad=_{y}=x_{x}=\operatorname{argm} \operatorname{axS}$ ( ) w ith probability one, because the num ber ofNE w th this payQ $N_{2}\left(;_{P}\right)=\operatorname{expfN} m$ axS ( $) g$ is exponentially larger than the num ber of all otherNE.Sim ilarly, the self-overlap $q={ }_{i} x_{i}^{2}=j_{j}^{2}$ and the fraction ofstrategies played $w$ th non-zero probability $p=p_{x}=p_{y} w$ ill take on their saddle-point values of $(\underset{\sim}{(9)})$ evaluated at the $m$ axim um of $S()$ with probability one.

[^1]

FIG.1. The entropy $S$ of the NE which exponentially dom inate the spectrum $S$ ( ). The analytic results are com pared w ith num erical sim ulations for $N=18$ averaged over 100 sam ples.

Figure ${ }_{1}^{11}$ show $s$ the entropy $S$ at the $m$ axim um of $S()$ as a function of . The num erical results were obtained by enum erating all N f for $\mathrm{N}=18$ [6] betw een the annealed approxim ation and the num erical results is quite good.

Figure show s the payo and the fraction p of strategies played w ith non-zero probability again as a function of . T he num erical results w ere obtained for $\mathrm{N}=50$ by using the iterated Lem keH ow son algorithm [15 ${ }^{\prime}$. 1 ], which locates a single but typicalN E. T he deviation betw een analytic and num erical results $m$ ay be accounted for by the use of the annealed calculation and nite-size e ects.


F IG .2. The payo (solid line) and the fraction p of strategies played w ith non-zero probability (dashed line). The analytic results are com pared w th num erical sim ulations for $N=50$ averaged over 200 sam ples.

For zero-sum gam es $(=1)$ there is only a single NE [12 $]$ do $S=0=0$, and the payo is zero due to the sym $m$ etry betw een the players. As is increased the num ber of $\mathrm{N} E$ rises. Them axim um of the typicalnum ber of E is reached for the case of sym $m$ etric gam es, where $S=1 \quad 0: 362$. This result $m$ ay be com pared $w$ th a rigorous upper bound derived using geom etric m ethods [ there are at most $e^{0: 955 \mathrm{~N}}$ equilibrium points. Thus the typical-case scenario investigated here does not saturate this bound.

The increase of $w$ ith $m$ ay be understood as follow $s$ : At increasing the outcom e of a pair of strategies ( $i ; j$ ) which is bene cial to player X say, tends to becom em ore bene cial to player $Y$. A s a result players focus on these strategies and the payo to both players rises. By the sam e token the fraction $p$ of strategies $w$ hich are played $w$ ith non-zero probability at a NE decreases with and the self-overlap of the $m$ ixed strategies $q$ increases.

Even though the properties of the NE at a given are dom inated by the peak of $S$ ( ), there is an im portant qualitative di erence between the curves of di erent values of. For $1 \ll \mathrm{c}$ :59, S ( ) reaches its
$m$ axim um and then decreases crossing the $S=0$ axis at some point. For $>$ chowever, $S$ (! 1 )! 0+ and $\mathrm{p}!~ 0+$. Hence there is an exponential num ber ofNE O ering an arbitrarily large (but nite) payo to either player. $T$ his observation can be corroborated by considering the extrem e case of pure strategy $N$ ash equilibria (P SN E ) ( $i ; j$ ) where each player only plays a single (pure) strategy [1G], i.e. $x_{i}=N \quad{ }_{i} 0_{i} ; y_{j}=N \quad j^{0} j ; 8 i^{0} ; j^{0}=1$;::; $N$. A ccording to ( $\bar{\beta}_{1}$ ), a PSNE corresponds to a site ( $i ; j$ ) which is sim ultaneously a maxim um of the colum $n a_{i}{ }^{\circ} j$ and a maxim um of the corresponding row of $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{0}$. T he average of the num ber $M$ ofP SNE is thus given by


Figure show sthe average num ber of $\operatorname{SNE}$ as well as its variance as a function of . For $N$ ! 1 one nds from (1G) that M scales $w$ ith $\mathrm{N}^{2}=(1+$ ), so the num ber of PSNE van ishes for $<0$, at $=0$ there is on average 1 PSNE , and for $>0$ the num ber of PSNE diverges. The increase of the num ber of PSNE $w$ th $m$ ay be understood as follow s. At low, a and b are antioorrelated, so in the extrem e case $=1$ a PSNE corresponds to a site ( $i ; j$ ) which is sim ultaneously a m axim um of the colum $n a_{i{ }^{0} j}$ and a minim um of the row $a_{i j}=b_{i j 0}$. In the them odynam ic lim it, such points are exponentially rare. For large , the condition for a PSNE is much easier to fill $l l$ and in the case
$=+1$, there is alw ays at least one P SNE, nam ely the maxim um entry of $a_{i j}=b_{i j}$.
$N$ ote that apart from the point $=0$, the num ber of P SNE is also self-averaging as the relative sam ple-to-sam ple uctuations of M are found to vanish in the large N -lim it. Fupthem ore for all $>1$ the typicalP SNE payo $s$ to leading orders of N are found to be independent of , they are $\mathrm{N}(2 \ln \mathrm{~N} \ln \ln \mathrm{~N}+\mathrm{O}(1))$.


FIG . 3. A verage num ber $\bar{M}$ of P SNE for $N=25 ; 50 ; 100$ (full, dashed, dotted lines). The lines show analytical result ( $\mathbf{( 1 0 1 0}$ ), the sym bols num erical results, averaged over 1000 sam ples. Inset: $N$ um erical results for the logarithm of $\bar{M}$ (circles) and of $\bar{M}^{2} \bar{M}^{2}$ w ith $=0: 25 ; 0: 5 ; 0: 75$ (bottom to top) against $\ln N$. The dashed lines show the asym ptotic slope $2=(1+\quad)$ of $\bar{M}$.

In conclusion we have used $m$ ethods from the statistical $m$ echanics of disordered system $s$ to describe the typical properties of N ash equilibria in bim atrix gam esw ith large random payo $m$ atrioes. $W e$ nd that in the therm odynam ic lim it for a random ly chosen $N$ ash equilibrium quantities such as the fraction of strategies played with non-zero probability, the selfoverlap of the $m$ ixed strategies and $m$ ost im portantly the payo to either player take on a speci $c$ value w ith probability 1. W e have analytically calculated these quantities in the annealed approxim ation as a function of the correlation betw een the payo $m$ atrices and found good agreem ent with num erical sim ulations. Furtherm ore the properties of $N$ ash equilibria in pure strategies were calculated as a lim iting case. A num ber of extensions of this work $m$ ay be considered, including the case where the players have di erent num bers of strategies at their disposal and the generalisation to gam es of several players.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The annealed approxim ation does not di er by more than $3 \%$ in the entropy and by $10 \%$ in the order param eters from the results of the $m$ ore com plicated replica calculation of the quenched average, which will be presented elsew here.
    ${ }^{2}$ This assum ption ha been veri ed by explicitly including the calculation of the e ective norm alizing determ inant into the disorder average.

