W ave Scattering through C lassically C haotic C avities in the P resence of A bsorption: A n Inform ation-T heoretic M odel

Eugene Kogan¹, Pier A. M ello^{1;2} and H e Ligun^{1;3}

¹M inerva C enter and Jack and Pearl Resnick Institute of Advanced Technology, D epartm ent of Physics, B ar-Ilan U niversity, R am at-G an 52900, Israel ²Instituto de F sica, U niversidad N acional A utonom a de M exico, M exico, D F ³D epartm ent of T herm al Science and E nergy Engineering, U niversity of Science and Technology of C hina, H efei, P.R. C hina

(April 15, 2024)

We propose an information-theoretic model for the transport of waves through a chaotic cavity in the presence of absorption. The entropy of the S-matrix statistical distribution is maximized, with the constraint $TrSS^{y} = n:n$ is the dimensionality of S, and 0 1, = 0(1) meaning complete (no) absorption. For strong absorption our result agrees with a number of analytical calculations already given in the literature. In that limit, the distribution of the individual (angular) transmission and rejection coeccients becomes exponential {Rayleigh statistics{ even for n = 1. For n 1 Rayleigh statistics is attained even with no absorption; here we extend the study to < 1. The model is compared with random -matrix-theory numerical simulations: it describes the problem very well for strong absorption, but fails for moderate and weak absorptions. Thus, in the latter regime, some important physical constraint is missing in the construction of the model.

PACS num bers: 05.45.+ b,42.25 B s,41.20 Jb

Systems involving multiple elastic scattering of any kind of waves (sound, microwaves or light) {whose interference leads to strong uctuations in the transmitted intensity { can be described in very much the same way as electron system s. However, there exists an important di erence: the interference pattern for classical waves can be a ected as a result of loss or absorption, which is absent in electron system s. The issue is extrem ely in portant from an experim entalpoint of view, because absorption is always present and is often very strong. For di usive transport the problem was intensively studied both experimentally [1] and theoretically [2{4]. The issue has also attracted attention in connection with the phase-coherent re ection of light by a disordered m edium which amplies radiation [5,6] and the study of the relation between absorption and dephasing [4,7].

The analytical evaluation of the re ection-m atrix statistical distribution for a sem i-in nite disordered waveguide was perform ed, for arbitrary absorption, in Ref. [5], and that of the S-m atrix distribution for a chaotic cavity with absorption and one propagating mode in each of two waveguides, in Ref. [7].

In the present paper we take up again the problem of the propagation of scalar waves traveling through a cavity {whose classical dynam ics would be chaotic{ in the presence of absorption, connected to the outside through a num ber of waveguides supporting an arbitrary num ber of propagating m odes. M otivated by the success of an inform ation-theoretic approach [8] to the study of chaotic scattering through cavities, we propose below an extension of such m odels to study the e ect of absorption. Since these m odels are based on the idea of doing statistics directly on the S m atrix of the system {on the basis of the inform ation which is physically relevant for the problem in question{ we believe that the present approach com plem ents the analytical derivations mentioned above. We show that the two approaches agree in the lim it of strong absorption, while for moderate and weak absorption some relevant inform ation is missed in our model.

The scattering of waves through a cavity can be described by an S matrix that relates incoming and outgoing amplitudes. The dimensionality n of the matrix is the total number of channels in all the waveguides. For two N -channel waveguides, n = 2N and the S matrix has the structure

$$S = \begin{array}{c} r t^{0} \\ t r^{0} \end{array} ; \qquad (1)$$

where $r; r^0$ and $t; t^0$ are the N -dim ensionalm atrices of reection and transm ission am plitudes with incidence from either wavequide.

In quantum -m echanics, the universality classes for S m atrices were introduced by D yson [9,10]. In the absence of any symmetries, the only restriction on S is unitarity, $SS^{y} = I$, due to ux conservation (the unitary or = 2 case). In the orthogonal case (= 1), S is symmetric because of either time-reversal invariance (T R I) and integral spin, or T R I, half-integral spin and rotational symmetry. In the symplectic case (= 4), S is self-dual because of T R I with half-integral spin and no rotational symmetry. The intuitive idea of equal-a-priori probabilities is expressed m athem atically by the invariant m easure on the matrix space under the symmetry operation for the class in question, giving the circular orthogonal, unitary and symplectic ensembles (COE, CUE, CSE).

Consider the orthogonal case. The potential appearing in the Schrödinger equation is real, with a strength u_0 , say. The resulting S matrix is unitary, SS^Y = I, and symmetric, $S = S^T$. Suppose we analytically continue u_0 to complex values: $u_0 = u_0^0$ is u_0^{00} , the sign of the in aginary part ensuring absorption. Because of loss of ux, the resulting S is now subunitary, meaning that the eigenvalues of the Hermitian matrix $h = SS^y$ lie in the interval between zero and one. However, the symmetry property, $S = S^T$, is not altered. We still speak of the orthogonal (= 1) case. For the unitary one, a similar analytic continuation gives a subunitary and in general non-symmetric S matrix. In the scattering problem of scalar classical waves, the orthogonal case is the physically relevant one. However, we deal below with both = 1 and 2, the unitary case being presented as a reference problem, as it is often simpler to treat mathem atically than the orthogonal one.

Following Ref. [11] (pp. 63, 64), we introduce a uniform weight in the space of sub-unitary matrices as

$$d_{sub}^{()}(S) = C (I S S^{y})^{Y} dx_{ab} dy_{ab}; \qquad (2)$$

where $S_{ab} = x_{ab} + iy_{ab}$; $a_{,b}^{Q}$ is over all elements in the unitary case, but, in the orthogonalone, only over a b. In this equation, the step function (H) (for a Herm itian H) is nonzero for H > 0 (i.e. for H positive de nite, so that all its eigenvalues are positive de nite) and thus selects sub-unitary matrices.

A complex n n sub-unitary matrix can be written in the polar representation (Refs. [11], pp. 63,64 and [7]) as

$$S = U D V :$$
 (3)

The unitary matrices U and V are arbitrary in the unitary case, while $V = U^T$ in the orthogonal one. The matrix D is diagonal, with the structure $D_{ab} = {}_{a \ ab}$ (a = 1; ;n), with Q 1.

The explicit expression of the above measure (2) in terms of the independent parameters of the polar representation (3) is (R ef. [11], pp. 63, 64)

$$d_{sub}^{()}(S) = \int_{a < b}^{Y^{n}} j_{a}^{2} \int_{b}^{2} j_{c}^{2} c_{c} d_{c} d_{c} (U) d_{c} (V); \quad (4)$$

where d (U), d (V) are the invariant measure for the unitary group in n dimensions; d (V) is absent in the orthogonal case = 1.

M ore general statistical distributions of sub-unitary matrices carrying more information than the equal-apriori probability (2) can now be constructed using d $_{sub}$ (S) as a starting point and writing

$$dP(S) = p(S)d_{sub}(S)$$
: (5)

In what follows we propose an information-theoretic criterion to choose p(S). The information-theoretic entropy S of the S-matrix distribution [12], S [p(S)] =

 $p(S) \ln p(S) d_{sub}(S)$, is maxim ized subject to the constraint of a given average strength of the absorption.

M athem atically, this is expressed by the average departure from unitarity of our S m atrices; we thus write the constraint as

$$TrSS^{Y} = n; 0$$
 1: (6)

Thus = 0 corresponds to complete absorption and = 1 to lack of absorption.We nd

$$dP^{()}(S) = Ce^{TrSS^{Y}}d_{sub}(S);$$
(7)

where the constant C and the Lagrange multiplier ensure normalization and the full lm ent of the constraint (6). The lim it of no absorption, = 1, is attained when the Lagrange multiplier ! 1 and the distribution concentrates on the unitarity sphere. The lim it of com – plete absorption, ! 0, is attained when ! +1 : the distribution then becomes a -function at the origin and there is no exit signal. The result of Eq. (7) {Laguerre ensemble for the variables $\frac{2}{a}$ { coincides, for strong absorption, with that obtained in Ref. [5] for the di usive waveguide and in Ref. [7] for cavities with N = 1.

The \ansatz" (7) entails a num ber of properties and restrictions. >From (4), (3) and the properties of d (U) [13], we see that, under the distribution (7), the average hSi = 0. Therefore, applications of the model (7) should be restricted to cases where prom pt processes are absent and so hSi = 0 [12,14,8]. We shall see at the end of the paper that for uniform volume absorption (in quantum mechanics, a constant potential iW throughout the cavity) the S matrix can be obtained from that without absorption, evaluating it at the complex energy E + iW , i.e. S (E + $i_{\rm W}$). The so-called analyticity-ergodicity re- $\mathtt{S}_{\mathtt{a}_{p}\mathtt{b}_{p}} \overset{\mathtt{n}_{p}}{\xrightarrow{}}$ ${}^{n_p}_{a_pb_p}S = hS_{a_1b_1}i^{n_1}$ quirements $S_{a_1b_1}^{n_1}$ are discussed in Ref. [12] for unitary matrices: the same argum ent applies here as well, and the necessity to ful 11 them follows. In the present case, their fullment follows from (7), (4), (3) and the properties of (U) [13].

As a brief excursion into the situation when hS i \in 0, we note that, as W increases, S (E + iW) ! hS i, which, in turn, is the so called \optical S m atrix", that signals the presence of prom pt or direct processes [12,14,8]. As a consequence, S, for large absorption, does not tend to zero, but to hS i. W ith no absorption, the splitting S = hS i + S^{f1} describes the problem in terms of two responses, associated with two distinct time scales: the prom pt and the equilibrated one. It is then expected that strong absorption will a ect the form er response, that corresponds to short trajectories, much less then the latter one, that arises from long chaotic trajectories.

W e analyze som e of the consequences of the ansatz (7) and, at the end, compare them with the results of random -m atrix-theory (RMT) num erical simulations.

a. The n = 1 case. This case, which describes a cavity with one waveguide supporting only one open channel (S is thus the re ection amplitude back to the only channelwe have), is, within our model (7), independent of the universality class . Eq. (3) for S in the polar representation reduces to $S = \exp i ; ^2$ represents the rejection coe cient R. The uniform weight (4) and the distribution (7) reduce to

$$d_{sub}(S) = dd; dP(S) = Ce^{2} dd:$$
 (8)

The R-probability density is

$$w(R) = De^{R}; O R 1;$$
 (9)

D and being given by

$$D = \frac{1}{1 e}; hRi = \frac{1}{e} \frac{1}{e} = :$$
 (10)

For weak absorption, 1, ! 1 and the distribution (9) becomes strongly peaked around R = 1, i.e. the unitarity circle, reducing to the one-sided delta function (1 R) as ! 1. In the other extreme of strong absorption, ! +1, 1=, D 1= and

$$w(R) hRi^{1}e^{R=hRi};$$
 (11)

Rayleigh's distribution, with the average hRi =.

b. The orthogonal case for arbitrary n. U sing the results of R ef. [13] we nd, for the average of an individual (angular) transm ission or re ection coe cient

$$hT_{ab}i^{(1)} = hR_{ab}i^{(1)} = (n + 1) = (1=2)hR_{aa}i^{(1)}$$
 (12)

W e see the occurrence of the fam iliar backward enhancement factor 2. For the second m om ents we md

$$T_{ab}^{2} \stackrel{(1)}{=} R_{a6b}^{2} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{X^{11}}{y_{1}} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{2}} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{0}} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{1}} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{2}} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{0}} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{1}} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{1}} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{2}} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{0}} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{y_{1}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{y_{0}} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{y_{1}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \frac{y_{1}}{y_{0}} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \frac{y_{1}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \frac{y_{1}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \frac{y_{1}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{z} \frac{y_{2}}{z}$$

The indices 1 and 2 indicate any pair of di erent channels; h_{0} stainds for an average with respect to the invariant measure of the unitary group [13]. For R_{aa}^{2} (1) one sets the two indices 1 and 2 equal.

For a two-waveguide problem with one channel in each waveguide the S matrix is two dimensional (n = 2N = 2). Eq. (12) gives hT i⁽¹⁾ = =3, hR i⁽¹⁾ = 2 =3. Restricting ourselves to the limit of strong absorption, 1, the Lagrange multiplier = 3=2 and we obtain

$$T^{2} {}^{(1)} {}^{2} hTi^{(1)} {}^{i_{2}} :$$
 (14)

A lthough we have not calculated the statistical distribution of T, result (14) is consistent with the Rayleigh distribution for the transmission coe cient T.

For a large number of channels, n = 2N 1, Eqs. (13) and (12) give [13]

$$T_{ab}^{2} \stackrel{(1)}{=} R_{a6b}^{2} \stackrel{(1)}{=} \frac{2}{n^{2}} \stackrel{h}{=} 2 h T_{ab} i^{(1)} \stackrel{i_{2}}{=} (15)$$

and similarly, R_{aa}^{2} ⁽¹⁾ $2 h R_{aa} i^{(1)}$, the relation between rst and second moments for an exponential distribution with the average value (12), which becomes sm aller as the absorption increases.

For no absorption one reaches, in the limit n $\,$ 1, a Rayleigh distribution for R $_{aa}$. Ref. [15] shows that, for the COE (being an approximation for large n)

$$w^{(1)}(R_{aa}) = C(1 R_{aa})^{\frac{n-3}{2}} hR_{aa}i^{1}e^{R_{aa}=hR_{aa}i}$$
:

c. The unitary case for arbitrary n. In the unitary case, the statistical properties of a transm ission coe – cient is identical to that of a diagonal or o –diagonal re ection coe cient. The following equations are thus written for T_{ab} . We nd, for its average, $hT_{ab}i^{(2)} = =n$; we have used the result [13] $jJ_1 j^2 = 1=n$. The difference in expectation values between the two symmetry classes is thus

$$hT_{ab}i^{(1)}$$
 $hT_{ab}i^{(2)} = = [n(n + 1)]$: (16)

For the second m om ent of ${\rm T}_{\rm ab}$ we have

$$T_{ab}^{2} \stackrel{(2)}{=} 2^{X} \qquad y_{1} \stackrel{f}{} y_{1} \stackrel{f}{} \stackrel{f}{} y_{2} \stackrel{f}{} y_{2} \stackrel{f}{} y_{2} \stackrel{f}{} _{0} \stackrel{2}{} 2^{2}$$
$$= [2 = (n + 1)^{2}] \stackrel{n}{[(n 1)=n]} \stackrel{2}{} \stackrel{2}{} \stackrel{2}{} \stackrel{(2)}{} + (2 = n) \stackrel{4}{} \stackrel{(2)}{} \stackrel{(2)}{} : (17)$$

We have used the result [13] $y_1 f_y_1 f_0 = (1 +) = [n(n + 1)].$

For a two-waveguide problem with one channel in each waveguide (n = 2N = 2) we have hT i⁽²⁾ = =2. In the lim it of strong absorption, 1, the Lagrange multiplier = 2= and we obtain a relation like (14). For a large number of channels n = 2N 1, Eq. (17) gives a sim ilar relation, now for T_{ab} , which is again consistent with Rayleigh's distribution.

For CUE (i.e. no absorption) one reaches a Rayleigh distribution for n 1. Ref. [15] nds for the the distribution of a single transm ission coe cient as

$$w(T_{ab}) = C(1 - T_{ab})^{n-2} - hT_{ab}i^{-1}e^{-T_{ab}-hT_{ab}i}$$
: (18)

d. Comparison with numerical simulations Some of our predictions are compared below with RMT numerical simulations for = 1. The S matrices are [L iK (E)]¹ [L_n + iK (E)], $constructed as S_{D}(E) =$ with $K_{ab}(E) = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} B \\ (a;b = 1; & ;n) \end{bmatrix}$. These E] and $(I_h)_{ab} = ab$;n). ThesEare generated from an \unfolded" zero-centered GOE [16] with average spacing . The a's are statistically independent, real, zero-centered Gaussian random variables. At E = 0, $hS_{ab}i = 1 + \frac{2}{2} = \frac{1}{1}$ $a_{a}^{2} = a_{ab}$, and we require hSi = 0. In the quantum case, addition of a constant im aginary potential iW inside the cavity makes the E 's complex and equal to E iW (see also Ref. [7]). This is equivalent to evaluating the above expressions at the complex energy E + iW, which makes S (E + iW) subunitary. A lthough Eq. (7) gives the probability distribution for the full S matrix and arbitrary n, we only analyze below individual (angular) re ection and transm ission coe cients, for n = 1;2.



FIG.1. The distribution of the relection coelecter R for a cavity with one waveguide, supporting one channel: (left) in the presence of strong absorption (hR i = = 0.034); (right) for moderate absorption (hR i = = 0.410).

Fig. 1 shows for n = 1 the results of the RM T num erical simulations (as histogram s), compared with the present m odel for the corresponding value of (continuous curves). For strong absorption the m odel works very well, the agreem ent with R ayleigh's law being excellent, while form oderate and weak absorptions the m odel fails.

Fig. 2 shows the distribution of the transm ission coe cient T obtained from a RMT simulation for n = 2. The agreement with the Rayleigh distribution with the centroid hT i = =3 is excellent; hR i was also checked and found to agree with 2 =3.

That individual transm ission and rejection coelecters attain a Rayleigh distribution for strong absorption can be understood as follows. $S_{ab} (E + iW)$ coincides [8,12] with the energy average of $S_{ab} (E)$ evaluated with a Lorentzian weighting function of half-width W. If corrist the correlation energy, W can be thought of as containing m = W = corr independent intervals. If m_{ab} by the central-lim it theorem the real and imaginary parts of S_{ab} attain a Gaussian distribution, and β_{ab}^2 an exponential distribution. This seems to be the situation captured by the maximum -entropy approach.

Sum m arizing, the results presented in this paper indicate that wave scattering through classically chaotic cavities in the presence of strong absorption can be described in terms of an inform ation-theoretic m odel.

W e bene ted from the constructive criticism of the rst version of the paper by C.W.J.Beenakker and P.W. Brouwer. One of the authors (PAM) acknow ledges partial nancial support from CONACyT, Mexico, through C ontract No. 2645P-E, as well as the hospitality of B ar-Ilan U niversity, where most of this work was perform ed.



FIG.2. The distribution of the transmission ∞ cient T for a cavity with two waveguides, each supporting one open channel, in the presence of strong absorption (= :049).

- [L] M .Stoytchev and A .Z.G enack, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 309 (1997).
- [2] R. Pniniand B. Shapiro, Phys. Lett. A 157, 265 (1991).
- [3] E.Kogan and M.Kaveh, Phys. Rev. B 45, 1049 (1992).
- [4] P.W. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. B 57, 10526 (1998).
- [5] C. W. J. Beenakker, J. C. J. Paasschens, and PW. Brouwer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1368 (1996).
- [6] C.W. J.Beenakker, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 1829 (1998); in Diuse W aves in Complex M edia, edited by J.P.Fouque, NATO Science Series C 531 (K luwer, Dordrecht, 1999).
- [7] P.W. Brouwer and C.W. J.Beenakker, Phys. Rev. B 55, 4695 (1997)
- [8] P.A.Mello and H.U.Baranger, W aves in Random Media, in press; cond-m at/9812225.
- [9] F.J.D yson, J.M ath. Phys. (N.Y.) 3, 1199 (1962).
- [10] M.L.Mehta, Random Matrices (A cademic, New York, 1991); C.E.Porter, Statistical Theory of Spectra: Fluctuations (A cademic, New York, 1965).
- [11] L.K. Hua, Harmonic Analysis of Functions of Several Complex Variables in the ClassicalDomain (Amer.Math. Soc., Providence, RI), 1963.
- [12] P.A.Mello, P.Pereyra and T.H.Seligman, Ann.Phys. (N.Y.) 161, 254 (1985).
- [13] P.A.Mello, J.Phys.A 23, 4061 (1990).
- [14] H.U.Baranger and P.A.M ello, Europhys. Lett. 33, 465 (1996).
- [15] P.Pereyra and P.A.Mello, J.Phys.A 16, 237 (1983).
- [16] T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong, Rev. Mod. Phys., 53, 385 (1981).