# R odrigues Form ula for the N onsym m etric M ultivariable Laguerre Polynom ial

Akinori N ishino , H ideaki U jino and M iki W adati

D epartm ent of Physics, G raduate School of Science, U niversity of Tokyo, H ongo 7{3{1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113{0033}

(Received)

Extending a m ethod developed by Takam ura and Takano, we present the Rodrigues form ula for the nonsym m etric multivariable Laguerre polynom ials which form the orthogonal basis for the  $B_{\rm N}$  -type Calogero model with distinguishable particles. Our construction makes it possible for the rst time to algebraically generate all the nonsym metric multivariable Laguerre polynom ials with dierent parities for each variable.

KEYW ORDS: nonsym m etric m ultivariable Laguerre polynom ial, B $_{\rm N}$ -Calogero m odel, D unkl-Cherednik operator, Rodriques form ula

#### x1. Introduction

Quantum many-body problems have played an important role in various elds of physics. In particular, exactly solvable models have been extensively studied by many physicists and mathematicians. Since exactly solvable models in quantum mechanics have the same num ber of mutually com muting conserved operators as their degrees of freedom, they are usually called quantum integrable systems. A good example of them is the C alogero-Sutherland type m odel (C -S m odel) $^{1,2,3)}$  w hich has inverse-square long-range interactions between particles in a one-dimensional space. The Dunkl-Cherednik operator form ulation is a very powerful tool for system atic construction of the conserved operators for many kinds of C-S m odels.4,5) Their simultaneous eigenfunctions, which form the orthogonal bases of their Hilbert spaces, are written by products of the Jastrow type ground state wave function and multivariable orthogonalpolynom ials that are generalized versions of classical orthogonal polynomials.

The Sutherland model describing the particles on a circle is a representative of the family of the C-S models. The orthogonal basis for the Sutherland model has been known as the Jack polynomial. Richness of its analytic properties enables us to calculate the G reen function, the density-density correlation function and so on. 10,11,12,13) That is why studies on the multivariable orthogonal polynomials have interested many physicists.

On the other hand, the Calogero model describing the particles in the harm onic potential<sup>1)</sup> has been treated by the Dunkl-Cherednik operator formulation as well as the Sutherland model. The orthogonal basis of the Calogero model is a one-parameter deformation of the Jack polynomial, i.e. the Hi-Jack polynomial, <sup>14,15)</sup> which is called the multivariable Hermite polynomial from the

viewpoint of generalization of the classical orthogonal polynom ial. The orthogonal bases for other C-S m odels were also constructed.  $^{16)}$ 

Under the stimulus of the Haldane-Shastry model,  $^{17,18)}$  the C-S models with spin degrees of freedom appeared.  $^{19,20,21,22)}$  To investigate the orbital part of the eigenfunction, the C-S models with distinguishable particles were introduced. The Hamiltonians for these C-S models have the coordinate exchange operator K<sub>jk</sub> acting on an arbitrary N-variable function as follows,

$$K_{jk}f($$
  $_{j}$ ;x  $_{k}$ ;x  $) = f($   $_{k}$ ;  $_{j}$ ;x  $_{j}$ ;x  $)$ :

To obtain eigenfunctions of the C-S models with distinguishable particles, it is enough to consider nonsymmetric polynomials diagonalizing their Cherednik operators. Knop and Sahi recursively constructed the nonsymmetric Jack polynomial for the Sutherland model with distinguishable particles. Baker and Forrester translated it to other nonsymmetric polynomials.

Recently, Takam ura and Takano algebraically constructed the nonsymmetric Jack polynom ial. The essential point of their work is introduction of two types of operators, i.e. the braid-exclusion and the raising operators. In the previous paper,  $^{27}$  we considered the C alogero model with distinguishable particles,

$$\hat{H}_{C}^{(A)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} (p_{j}^{2} + !^{2} x_{j}^{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{j;k=1\\j \in k}}^{X^{N}} \frac{a(a + K_{jk})}{(x_{j} + x_{k})^{2}}; (1.1)$$

where the constants a and ! are the coupling parameter and the strength of the external harm onic well, respectively, and  $p_j = \frac{1}{j} \frac{\theta}{\theta x_j}$ . Generalizing the method developed by Takamura and Takano, we provided the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Herm ite polynomial. While it is dicult to compute the coecient of the top term of the polynomial, it is easy to give simple expressions for the nonsymmetric eigenfunctions and to calculate their norms by the Takamura-Takanomethod.

The above Calogero Hamiltonian (1.1) is invariant under the action of the  $A_N$  1-type W eyl group, i.e. under  $S_{\mbox{\scriptsize N}}$  , on the indices of the particle. Thus the model is som etim es called the  $A_N$  <sub>1</sub>-C alogero m odel. Sim ilarly, the Calogero models associated with other Weyl groups exist. The system, which has the additional interactions with the boundary at the origin and the mirror image particles, is called the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odel. The orthogonalbasis for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odel is known to be the multivariable Laguerre polynomial which is even in each variable. For the  $B_N$  -Calogero model with distinquishable particles, i.e. including both the coordinate exchange and re ection operators, the nonsym m etric eigenfunctions of the Cherednik operators have already been constructed by the K nop-Sahim ethod. 25) However they are also restricted to functions which are even in each variable. In this paper, extending the Takam ura-Takano m ethod, we shall present the Rodrigues formula for the nonsym m etric m ultivariable Laguerre polynom ial. O ur m ethod enables an algebraic construction of the nonsym m etric multivariable Laguerre polynom ial which holds di erent parities for each variable.

The plan of the paper is the following. Section 2 is devoted to a sum mary of the Dunkl-Cherednik operator form ulation for the  $B_{\rm N}$ -Calogero model. In x3, we shall present the Rodrigues form ula for the nonsym metric multivariable Laguerre polynom ial which is allowed to hold dierent parities for each variable. We shall calculate their norms in x4. The nalsection is devoted to concluding remarks.

# x2. D unkl-C herednik O perator Form ulation for the B $_{\rm N}$ -C alogero M odel

We brie y summarize the Dunkl-Cherednik operator formulation for the  $B_{\,\rm N}$ -Calogero model. The  $B_{\,\rm N}$ -Calogero Hamiltonian with both the coordinate exchange and rejection operators is defined by

$$\hat{H}_{C}^{(B)} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{j}^{2} + !^{2} x_{j}^{2} + \frac{b(b + t_{j})}{x_{j}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{a(a + K_{jk})}{(x_{j} + x_{k})^{2}} + \frac{a(a + t_{j}t_{k}K_{jk})}{(x_{j} + x_{k})^{2}}; (2.1)$$

where b is another coupling parameter and  $t_{\rm j}$  is the reection operator,

$$t_{j}f( _{j};x ) = f( _{j}; x ):$$

H ereafter we call eq. (2.1) the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odel with distinguishable particles. The ground state wave function and the ground state energy are

$$g^{(B)}(x) = y \\ jx_{j}^{2} x_{k}^{2} j x_{k}^{2} j x_{1}^{N} \exp \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} x_{m}^{N} ;$$

$$E_{g}^{(B)} = \frac{1}{2}! N (1 + 2 N 1) a + 2b); \qquad (2.3)$$

respectively. For the sake of sim plicity, we write f(x) for a function with N variables,  $f(x_1; x_2; \dots, x_n)$  To investigate the polynomial part of the eigenfunction, we carry

out a sim ilarity transform ation on the H am iltonian (2.1) with the ground state (2.3),

$$\begin{split} H_{C}^{(B)} &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left( \begin{smallmatrix} g \\ g \end{smallmatrix} \right) (x) \right)^{-1} (\hat{H}_{C}^{(B)} - E_{g}^{(B)}) \stackrel{(B)}{=} (x) \\ &= \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{e}{e_{x_{j}}} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{e^{2}}{e_{x_{j}^{2}}} + \frac{b}{2x_{j}} \frac{e}{e_{x_{j}}} + \frac{b(t_{j}-1)}{2x_{j}^{2}} \right]! \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} a \frac{X}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{K}} \frac{2}{x_{j}^{2} - x_{k}^{2}} x_{j} \frac{e}{e_{x_{j}}} - x_{k} \frac{e}{e_{x_{k}}} \\ &+ \frac{K_{jk} - 1}{(x_{j} - x_{k})^{2}} + \frac{t_{j} t_{k} K_{jk} - 1}{(x_{j} + x_{k})^{2}} \quad (2.4) \end{split}$$

For the transform ed H am iltonian, the ground state wave function is unity and the ground state energy is zero. In what follows, we sometimes call the operator (2.4) the  $B_{\rm N}$ -Calogero H am iltonian.

The Dunkl operators associated with the B  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize N}}$  -type W eylgroup are de ned as

$$r_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\theta}{\theta x_{j}} + \frac{b(1 + t_{j})}{x_{j}} + a \frac{1}{x_{j}} \frac{K_{jk}}{x_{j}} + \frac{1 + t_{j}t_{k}K_{jk}}{x_{j} + x_{k}} : (2.5)$$

The commutation relation with coordinates  $\mathbf{x}_j$  is given by

$$r_{j}$$
;  $x_{k} = \int_{jk}^{X} 1 + a \int_{1(6 j)}^{X} (1 + t_{j}t_{k})K_{jk} + 2bt_{j}$   
 $(1 \quad j_{k})a(1 \quad t_{j}t_{k})K_{jk}$ : (2.6)

W e introduce the creation- and annihilation-like operators and Cherednik operators for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -Calogero model,

$$y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_j \quad \frac{1}{2!} r_j; \qquad y \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} r_j; \qquad (2.7)$$

$$d_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{j} + a_{j+1} (1 + t_{j}t_{k})K_{jk} + bt_{j}; \qquad (2.8)$$

Strictly, the operators y = 1 and y = 1 are not Herm itian conjugate each other. But we still use the notation y = 1 since they have the relation y = 1 after recovering the elect of the ground state (2.3). Although there exist dierent definitions for the Cherednik operator, y = 1 we select the (2.2) one (2.8) for convenience of later discussions. Commutation relations among these Dunkl-Cherednik operators are given as follows,

$$= j_{jk} \quad y_{j}^{y} + a \quad y_{j}^{y} (1 + t_{j}t_{1})K_{j1} + a \quad y_{1}^{y} (1 \quad t_{j}t_{1})K_{j1}$$

a (j k) 
$${}^{y}_{j}(1 \ t_{j}t_{k})K_{jk} + (k \ j) {}^{y}_{k}(1+t_{j}t_{k})K_{jk};$$

$$d_{j};_{k}$$

$$= {}^{\dot{X}^{1}}_{jk} {}^{1}_{jk} {}^{1$$

where (x) is the Heaviside function,

$$(x) = 0; (x 0);$$
  
 $1; (x > 0):$ 

The Cherednik operators obey the following relations with the coordinate exchange and rejection operators,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{j}K_{j;j+1} & K_{j;j+1}d_{j+1} = a(1+t_{j}t_{j+1}); \\ & d_{j+1}K_{j;j+1} & K_{j;j+1}d_{j} = a(1+t_{j}t_{j+1}); \\ & d_{j};K_{k;k+1} = 0; & (j \in k;k+1); \\ & d_{j};t_{k} = 0: & (2.10) \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the Cherednik operator  $d_{\rm j}$ , the Hamiltonian (2.4) is rewritten as

$$H_{C}^{(B)} = ! \overset{\mathring{X}^{N}}{\underset{j=1}{\text{d}_{j}}} d_{j} \quad (N \quad 1)a \quad b :$$
 (2.11)

It is well-known that the power sum s of the Cherednik operators provide the mutually commuting conserved operators for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odel since  ${\rm fd_ig}$  m utually com mute,  $d_i; d_k = 0$ . Equation (2.11) shows that the Hamiltonian (2.4), which is one of the conserved operators, is written by the commuting Cherednik operators. Thus the Cherednik operators fdig them selves are the conserved operators for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odelw ith distinguishable particles. Because the Cherednik operators and the re ection operators mutually commute (2.10), the simultaneous eigenfunction of the Cherednik operators is, at the sam e time, the simultaneous eigenfunction of the re ection operators. In other words, the parities for each variable are good quantum numbers. Asweshall see shortly in the de nition of the simultaneous eigenfunction (2.12a), this property appears as a restriction on a parity for a variable.

All the eigenfunctions are labeled by the symbol (composition) consisting of a partition and a distinct permutation  $2\ S_N$ . A partition is a sequence of N nonnegative integers,

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f_1$$
 2 N Oq:

D istinct permutations and must satisfy  $_{(j)}$   $\in$   $_{(j)}$  v for some j 2 f1;2; ;N g. To de ne the nonsymmetricm ultivariable Laguerre polynomials, we introduce the B ruhat order  $\stackrel{\text{B}}{<}$ ,

8
$$\geqslant$$
 1)  $<$ ;
 $\geqslant$  2) when = then the rst non-vanishing di erence
 $(j)$   $(j) > 0;$ 

a  $(j k) {\stackrel{y}{i}} (1 t_j t_k) K_{jk} + (k j) {\stackrel{y}{k}} (1 t_j t_k) K_{jk}$ ; where the symbol  $\stackrel{D}{<}$  is the dom in ance order,

for all l=1;2; ;N . A set of indistinct permutations for a partition ,

$$f g = f 2 S_N j = and > for 6 g$$

is represented by the permutation which gives the minimum in the sense of the Bruhat order  $\stackrel{B}{<}$ . The nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial, 1 (x;1=a;1=b;!), is the nondegenerate simultaneous eigenfunction of the Cherednik operators fdjg with the coe cient of its top term x  $\stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=}$  x<sub>1</sub>  $^{(1)}$  x<sub>2</sub>  $^{(2)}$   $_{N}$   $\overset{N}{x}$  conventionally taken to be unity,

1 
$$(x;1=a;1=b;!) = x + w (a;b;\frac{1}{2!})x ;$$

and  $^{8}k;$ 
 $_{(k)}$ 
 $_{(k)}$ 
 $_{(m \text{ od } 2)}$ 

(2.12a)

 $d_{j}1$  (x;1=a;1=b;!) = (j) 1 (x;1=a;1=b;!); (2.12b) where

$$_{(j)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} _{(j)} + 2a \# f1 \quad k < jj _{(k)} < _{(j)}g$$
 $+ \# fj < k \quad N j _{(k)} _{(j)}g + b$ :

From eq. (2.12a), we see that each monomial, x and x, in the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial has the same parity for a variable. The energy eigenvalue of the eigenfunction 1 is given by

$$H_{C}^{(B)} = X^{N}$$

$$k=1$$

$$k=1$$

The nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials span the orthogonal basis for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero model with distinguishable particles.

x3. Rodrigues Form ula for the Nonsym metric Multivariable Laguerre Polynom ial

G eneralizing the m ethod developed by Takam ura and Takano for the nonsymmetric Jack polynomial,  $^{26)}$  we shall present the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial.

We introduce two types of operators. The st type is the braid-exclusion operator for the  $B_N$ -Calogero model,

$$X_{j;j+1} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} i d_j; K_{j;j+1};$$
 (3.1)

which satis es the following relations,

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{j}X_{j;j+1} & X_{j;j+1}d_{j+1} = 0; \\ & d_{j+1}X_{j;j+1} & X_{j;j+1}d_{j} = 0; \\ & d_{j};X_{k;k+1} = 0; & (j \in k;k+1); \\ & t_{j}X_{j;j+1} & X_{j;j+1}t_{j+1} = 0; \\ & t_{j+1}X_{j;j+1} & X_{j;j+1}t_{j} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$[t_j; X_{k;k+1}] = 0;$$
  $(j \in k; k+1):$  (3.2)

From the above relations, we obtain

$$X_{j;j+1}^{2} = (d_{j} \quad d_{j+1})^{2} \quad 2a^{2} (1 + t_{j}t_{j+1});$$

$$X_{j;j+1}X_{j+1;j+2}X_{j;j+1} = X_{j+1;j+2}X_{j;j+1}X_{j+1;j+2};$$

$$[X_{j;j+1};X_{k;k+1}] = 0; \quad (jj \quad kj \quad 2);$$

$$t_{j}X_{j;j+1}t_{j+1}X_{j;j+1} = X_{j;j+1}t_{j+1}X_{j;j+1}t_{j};$$
(3.3)

The second and fourth equations of (3.3) are of the same form as the Yang-Baxter and the rejection relations, respectively. The operator X  $_{j;j+1}$  is Herm itian, X  $_{j;j+1}^{y} = X_{j;j+1}$ , but has no inverse operator since there exists an eigenstate of the operator X  $_{j;j+1}^{2}$  whose eigenvalue is zero.

The operators of the second type are the raising and low ering operators. To construct them , we set introduce the K nop-Sahioperators  $^{23)}$  for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odel,

$$e^{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K_{N;N-1}$$
 2; $K_{1}^{y}$ ;  
 $e^{\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}} (e^{y})^{y} = {}_{1}K_{1;2}$   ${}_{N}K_{1;N}$ : (3.4)

The above de nitions (3.4) are di erent from those introduced by Baker and Forrester.<sup>25)</sup> We do not impose the restriction to eigenfunctions such that they should be even in each variable  $x_j$ . The Knop-Sahi operator is related to eqs. (2.8), (3.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} d_{j}e^{y} &= e^{y}d_{j+1}; & (j=1; & ; N & 1); \\ d_{N} &e^{y} &= e^{y}(d_{1}+1); \\ X_{j;j+1}e^{y} &= e^{y}X_{j+1;j+2}; & (j=1; & ; N & 2); \\ X_{N} &_{1;N} & (e^{y})^{2} &= (e^{y})^{2}X_{1;2}; \\ t_{j}e^{y} &= e^{y}t_{j+1}; & (j=1; & ; N & 1); \\ t_{N} & e^{y} &= e^{y}t_{1}; & (3.5) \end{aligned}$$

Next, using the braid-exclusion and Knop-Sahi operators, we de ne the constituent operators,

$$b_{j}^{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_{j;j+1} \qquad {}_{N} X_{1;N} e^{y}; \quad (j=1; jN 1);$$

$$b_{N}^{y} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e^{y};$$

$$b_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_{j}^{y})^{y} = eX_{N;N 1} \qquad {}_{j;j}X_{1}; \quad (j=1; jN 1);$$

$$b_{N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_{N}^{y})^{y} = e: \qquad (3.6)$$

W ith the help of eqs. (3.5), we have the relations,

$$d_{j}b_{k}^{y} = \begin{cases} & b_{k}^{y}d_{j+1}; & (1 \quad j \quad k \quad 1); \\ & b_{k}^{y}(d_{1}+1); & (j=k); \\ & b_{k}^{y}d_{j}; & (k+1 \quad j \quad N); \end{cases}$$

$$X_{j;j+1}b_{k}^{y}$$

$$& b_{k}^{y}X_{j;j+1}; & (1 \quad k \quad j \quad 1); \\ & b_{j+1}^{y}((d_{j+1} \quad d_{1})^{2} \quad 2a^{2}(1 \quad t_{j+1}t_{1})); \\ = & k \quad j; \\ & b_{j}^{y}; & (k=j+1); \\ & b_{k}^{y}X_{j+1;j+2}; & (j+2 \quad k \quad N); \end{cases}$$

$$t_{j}b_{k}^{y} = \begin{cases} b_{k}^{y}t_{j}; & (1 & k & j & 1); \\ b_{j}^{y}t_{1}; & (k = j); \\ b_{k}^{y}t_{j+1}; & (j+1 & k & N); \\ (b_{j}^{y}b_{k}^{y} = b_{k}^{y}b_{j+1}^{y}X_{1;2}; & (j < k); \\ X_{k-1;k} & {}_{j}X_{2;j-1}(b_{j}^{y})^{2}; & (j & k): \end{cases}$$
the end, we do not the raising and lowering operators

In the end, we de ne the raising and lowering operators for the B  $_{
m N}$  -C alogero m odel as follows,

$$a_{j}^{y \text{ def}}(b_{j}^{y})^{j}; \quad a_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (b_{j})^{j}:$$
 (3.8)

The commutation relations with the Cherednik operator  $d_i$  are  $\sin p \ln x$  expressed by

$$d_{j}; a_{k}^{y} = \begin{cases} 0; & (j > k); \\ a_{k}^{y}; & (j = k); \end{cases}$$

$$a_{j}^{y}; a_{k}^{y} = a_{j}; a_{k} = 0:$$
 (3.9)

From the above relations, we notice that the raising operator  $a_k^y$  increases the eigenvalue of the C herednik operator  $d_j$  (j  $\,$  k) by one. Thus the simultaneous eigenfunction for the C herednik operators  $fd_jg$  takes the form ,

$$I(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (a_1^y)^{-1} \quad {}^{2}(a_2^y)^{-2} \quad {}^{3} \quad {}^{y}(a^{N})^{1}; \quad (3.10)$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}i = 1$  is the ground state, and the eigenvalue is

$$d_{1}I = (i_{1} + 2(N j)a + b)I = i_{1}I : (3.11)$$

Comparing eq. (3.11) with eq. (2.12b), we identify eq. (3.10) as the eigenstate with the composition  $_{id}$ .

Now, we consider the eigenstates with the general composition. The rst and second relations of eqs. (3.2) show that the braid-exclusion operator X  $_{j;j+1}$  acts on an eigenstate to exchange the eigenvalue of  $d_j$  ( $d_{j+1}$ ) for that of  $d_{j+1}$  ( $d_j$ ). For a composition where the distinct permutation is expressed by the product of transpositions as

$$= (k_1; k_1 + 1)$$
 2;  $(k_2 + 1)(k_1; k_1 + 1);$ 

the Rodrigues form u.l. for the nonsymmetric eigenfunction with the composition is presented as follows,

and the eigenvalue is

$$d_{\dot{1}} \mathcal{I} = (\dot{1}) \mathcal{I} : \qquad (3.13)$$

Since the nondegenerate eigenfunction  $\Upsilon$  have the same eigenvalue of the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomiall (2.12a), we conclude that  $\Upsilon$  (x) is identied with 1 (x) up to normalization,  $\Upsilon$  (x) / 1 (x).

We note that the eigenstate (3.12) is also the simultaneous eigenstate of the rejection operators  $ft_jg$  since the Cherednik operator  $d_j$  commutes with all  $t_k$ , i.e.  $d_j$ ;  $t_k = 0$ . So the parity for a variable  $x_j$  of the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial is restricted to even or odd as we have explained in the previous section. It is possible that the parity for a variable  $x_j$  is different from the one for a variable  $x_k$  ( $k \in j$ ). This means that the eigenstate we have constructed is

allowed to be the functions whose parities are dierent for each variable. A fter symmetrization on the variables, the eigenstate including dierent parities for each variable vanishes and the eigenstate with the single parity for all variables is left. The result reproduces the one by Baker and Forrester.<sup>25)</sup>

## x4. Norm Formula

In this section we shall calculate the norm of the eigenfunction obtained in the previous section. The K nop–Sahi operators for the B  $_{\rm N}$ -C alogero m odel have the following properties,

$$e^{y}e = d_{y}$$
  $bt_{y}$ ;  $ee^{y} = d_{1} + bt_{1} + 1$ :

From the de nition (3.6) and the above relations, it is easy to verify

$$b_{j}^{y}b_{j} = (d_{j} bt_{j}) (d_{j} d_{k})^{2} 2a^{2}(1+t_{j}t_{k});$$

$$b_{j}b_{j}^{y} = (d_{1}+bt_{j}+1) (d_{k} d_{1} 1)^{2} 2a^{2}(1 t_{k}t_{1}):$$

Owing to the de nition of the raising and lowering operators (3.8), we have the following expressions of the number-like operator,

$$\begin{split} a_{j}^{y}a_{j} &= \sum_{k=1}^{Y^{j}} (d_{k} \ bt_{k}) \ (d_{k} \ d_{l})^{2} \ 2a^{2} (1+t_{k}t_{l}) \ ; \\ a_{j}a_{j}^{y} &= \sum_{k=1}^{Y^{j}} (d_{k}+bt_{k}+1) \ (d_{k} \ d_{l}+1)^{2} \ 2a^{2} (1-t_{k}t_{l}) \ ; \end{split}$$

We recall the de nitions of the inner product and the norm for the B  $_{\rm N}$  -C alogero m odel,

$$hf;gi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} X_1 \quad Y^{N}$$

$$hf;gi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (x_j j_g^{(B)} f^{Y}(x) g(x);$$

$$f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} hf;fi: (4.1)$$

Since the Cherednik operators  $d_j$  are Herm itian concerning the inner product, the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the inner product,

$$hl; l i = jl f; h i ; i = j i f;$$

The norm of the eigenfunction  $\tilde{1}$  with the composition =  $_{id}$  is calculated as follows,

$$\frac{\text{hr}; \text{Ti}}{\text{hl}; \text{li}} = \frac{\text{Y}}{\text{l}} \quad \text{Y}^{\text{l}} \quad \text{Y}^{\text{l}+1} \quad \text{m} + (1)^{\text{m}} \quad \text{rb} \quad \text{r+1}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Y}}{\text{l}} \quad \text{m} \quad \text{m} + (1)^{\text{m}} \quad \text{rb} \quad \text{r+1}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Y}}{\text{l}} \quad \text{m} \quad \text{m} \quad \text{m} + (1)^{\text{m}} \quad \text{rb} \quad \text{r+1}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Za}^{2} (1 \quad (1)^{\text{m}+\text{k}} \quad \text{r})}{\text{m} + 2 (\text{N} \quad \text{m}) \text{a+} (1 + (1)^{\text{m}} \quad \text{r}) \text{b}} \quad \text{r+1}$$

where the norm of the ground state is

with (z) being the gamma function. To get the norm of the general eigenstate with the composition , we recursively use the rst relation of eqs. (3.3). For example, in the case of = (j, j+1), we perform the calculation,

$$hX_{j;j+1}\Upsilon;X_{j;j+1}\Upsilon$$
i  
=  $(_{j}_{j+1})^2 - 2a^2(1+(_{1})_{j}^{j+_{j+1}}) h\Upsilon;\Upsilon$ i:

Thus we can recursively calculate the norms for all the eigenstates.

## x5. Concluding Remarks

G eneralizing the Takam ura-Takano m ethod, we have investigated the  $B_{\,\rm N}$ -C alogero m odel with distinguishable particles and algebraically constructed the nonsymemetric eigenfunctions for the model. In other words, we have presented the Rodrigues formula for the nonsymemetric multivariable Laguerre polynomial. The formula provides us with not only known eigenfunctions which are even in all variables, but also those which have different parities for each variable.

So far, only nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials with even parities for all variables have been considered. Under the restriction on parities, the Cherednik operators for the  $B_{\rm N}$ -Calogero model can be mapped to those for the  $A_{\rm N-1}$ -Sutherland model. That is, only the nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials which can be mapped to the well-investigated ( $A_{\rm N-1}$ -)Jack polynomials have been studied. In this paper, we apply an algebraic method to the whole nonsymmetric multivariable Laguerre polynomials with no restriction on parities, which cannot generally be mapped to the nonsymmetric ( $A_{\rm N-1}$ -)Jack polynomials, for the rest time.

The Calogero model with distinguishable particles we have considered in this paper is mapped to the spin Calogero model by replacing the coordinate exchange operator K  $_{jk}$  with the spin exchange operator P  $_{jk}$  and identifying the rejection operators as unity. This mapping is possible when the whole eigenfunction is symetric (or anti-symmetric) under the exchange of particles, i.e. K  $_{jk}$ P  $_{jk}$  = 1 (or 1), and when the parities for each coordinate variable are even. The eigenfunction we have constructed corresponds to the orbital part of the whole one. To obtain the whole eigenfunction of the spin Calogero model, we have to take an appropriate linear combination of products of the orbital and spin parts. We note that the particle exchange operators K  $_{jk}$ P  $_{jk}$  and the rejection operators t do not generally com

m ute,  $t_j K_{jk} P_{jk} = K_{jk} P_{jk} t_k$ , which is the reason why the nonsym m etric multivariable Laguerre polynom ials with dierent parities for each coordinate variable have not been studied. However the abandoned eigenstate is necessary when we solve the diagonalization problem for a more general  $B_N$ -Calogerom odel explicitly including the relection operators in the Hamiltonian.

In the lim it ! ! 1 , the nonsym m etric multivariable Laguerre polynom ial reduces to the nonsym m etric  $B_{\,\mathrm{N}}$  – Jack polynom ial discussed in previous papers.  $^{30,31)}$  W e should note that the Rodrigues formula and an algebraic calculation of the norm of the nonsym m etric  $B_{\,\mathrm{N}}$  – Jack polynom ials are given in a parallel way to those of the nonsym m etric multivariable Laguerre polynom ial. The nonsym m etric  $B_{\,\mathrm{N}}$  –Jack polynom ials diagonalize the Cherednik operators

$$D_{j} = x_{j}r_{j} + a_{k=j+1} (1 + t_{j}t_{k})K_{jk} + bt_{j};$$

whose physical signicance is not clear at the moment.

An algebraic approach is very useful for construction of eigenstates and calculation of physical quantities, because of the connection with the concept of eld theory. It is interesting to verify whether the sym metrized Takam ura-Takano raising operators agree with the ones constructed by the Lapointe-V inet method, 8,9) which is left for future study.

#### A cknow ledgem ent

The authors are grateful to D r.A . Takam ura for sending us the paper<sup>26)</sup> prior to publication.

One of the authors (HU) appreciates the R esearch Fellow ships of the Japan Society for the P rom otion of Science for Young Scientists.

- 1) F.Calogero: J.M ath. Phys. 12 (1971) 419.
- 2) B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. A 4 (1971) 2019.
- 3) B.Sutherland: Phys. Rev. A 5 (1972) 1372.
- 4) C.Dunkl: Trans. Am . M ath. Soc. 311 (1989) 167.
- 5) I.Cherednik: Inv.M ath.106 (1991) 411.
- 6) H. Jack: Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 69 (1970) 1.
- 7) I.G.M acdonald: Sym metric Functions and HallPolynomials (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1995) 2nd ed.
- 8) L.Lapointe and L.V inet: IM RN 9 (1995) 419.
- 9) L.Lapointe and L.V inet: Commun.M ath.Phys.178 (1996) 425.
- 10) Z.N.C Ha: Phys.Rev.Lett.73 (1994) 1574.
- 11) Z.N.C Ha: Nucl. Phys. B 435 (1995) 604.
- 12) K.Takemura and D.Uglov: J.Phys.A:M ath.Gen.30 (1997) 3685.
- 13) K. Takem ura: J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997) 6185.
- 14) H.U jino and M.W adati: J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65 (1996) 2423.
- 15) H.U jino and M. W. adati: J.Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997) 345.
- 16) T.H.Baker and P.J.Forrester: Commun.Math.Phys. 188 (1997) 175.
- 17) F.D.M. Haldane: Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 (1988) 635.
- 18) B.S.Shastry: Phys.Rev.Lett.60 (1988) 639.
- 19) F.D.M. Haldane, Z.N.C. Ha, J.C. Talstra, D. Bernard, and V. Pasquier: Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 2021.
- 20) D.Bemard, M.Gaudin, F.D.M.Haldane and V.Pasquier: J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.26 (1993) 5219.
- 21) D.Bernard, V.Pasquier and D.Serban: Nucl. Phys. B 428 (1994) 612.
- 22) K.Hikamiand M.Wadati: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.62 (1993) 469.

- 23) F.K nop and S.Sahi: Invent.M ath. 128 (1997) 9.
- 24) T.H.Baker and P.J.Forrester: Nucl. Phys. B 492 (1997) 682.
- 25) T.H.Baker and P.J.Fornester: preprint (q-alg/9612003) to be published in Duke J.M ath.
- 26) K. Takamura and K. Takano: J. Phys. A: M ath. Gen. 31 (1998) L473.
- 27) H.U jino and M.W adati: to be published in J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.
- 28) T.Yam am oto: Phys. Lett. A 208 (1994) 293.
- 29) S.Kakei: J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.30 (1997) L535.
- 30) H.U jino, A.N ishino and M.W adati: J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.67 (1997) 2658.
- 31) H.U jino, A.N ishino and M.W adati: to be published in Phys.