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#### Abstract

W e present a M onte C arlo calculation for up to $\mathrm{N} \quad 20000$ bosons in 3 D to determ ine the shift of the transition tem perature due to $s m$ all interactions a. W e generate independent con gurations of the ideal gas. At nite $N$, the super uid density changes by a certain correlation function in the lim it a! 0; the N ! 1 lim it is taken afterw ards. W e argue that our result is independent of the order of lim its. D etailed know ledge of the non-interacting system for nite N allow s us to avoid nite-size scaling assum ptions. PACS num bers: 03.75 Fi, $02.70 \mathrm{Lq}, 05.30 \mathrm{Jp}$


Feynm an [1] has provided us with a classic form ula for the partition function of the canonicalnoninteracting B ose gas. It represents a \path-integralw ithout paths", as they have been integrated out. W hat rem ains is the $m$ em ory of the cyclic structure of the perm utations that were needed to satisfy bosonic statistics:

$$
Z_{N}=X_{f m_{k} g}^{X} P\left(f_{k} g\right) ; W \text { ith } P\left(f_{k} g\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\mathrm{P}_{k} \sum_{k^{m}}^{m_{k}}}:(1)
$$

The partitions $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ in eq. (1,1) decom pose perm utations of the $N$ particles into exchange ${ }_{p}$ pycles ( $m_{i}$ cycles of length i for all 1 i $N$ with $k m_{k}=N$ ). $k$ is a system -dependent w eight for cycles of length $k$.

In this paper we present an explicit $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation for up to 20000 bosons in three dim ensions, starting from eq. ( $(\underline{1} 1)$. . The calculation allow s us to deter$m$ ine unam biguously the shift in the transition tem perature $T_{C}$ for weakly interacting bosons in the them odynam ic lim it for an in nitesim al swave scattering length a. This findam ental question has lead to quite a num ber of di erent and contradictory theoretical as well as com putational answers (cf, e.g., $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1 /[4]}\end{array}\right]$ ).

W e w ill rst use Eq. (İ1) and its generalizations to determ ine very detailed properties of the nite-N canonicalB ose gas in a box w th periodic boundary conditions. $W$ e then point out that all inform ation on the shift of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for weakly interacting gases is already contained in the noninteracting system. In the linear response regim e (in nitesim alinteraction), it is a certain correlation function of the noninteracting system which determ ines the shift in $T_{c}$. This correlation is $m$ uch too com plicated to be calculated directly, but we can sam ple it, even for very large N. To do so, we generate independent bosonic con gurations in the canonicalensem ble. W e have found a solution (based on Feynm an's form ula Eq. (1핀) ) which
avoids M arkov chain M onte C arlo m ethods. In our tw O step procedure, a partition $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ is generated w ith the correct probability $P\left(f_{k} g\right)$. Then, a random boson conguration is constructed for the given partition.
W e stress that all our calculations are done very close to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$, so that the correlation length of any m acroscopic sample is much larger than the actual system size L of the sim ulation. This condition $L$ allow sus to invoke the standard nite-size scaling hypothesis [5]-1], but also to take the $N!1$ lim it after the lim it a! 0 .

A key concept in the path integral representation of bosons is that of a winding num ber. C onsider rst the density $m$ atrix $\left(r ; r^{0} ;\right)$ ofa single particle $[r=(x ; y ; z)]$ at inverse tem perature $=1=T$. In a three-dim ensional cubic box of length $L$ w ith periodic boundary conditions,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{r}^{0} ;\right)=\left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ;\right)\left(\mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{y}^{0} ;\right)\left(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z}^{0} ;\right) \mathrm{w} \text { th, e.g., } \\
& \left(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{x}^{0} ;\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x}}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \frac{\exp \left[\mathrm{x} \frac{\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{X}+L \mathrm{w}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)\right)^{2}=2\right]}{2}\right.}{2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In Eq. ( $\left.\bar{Z}_{1}\right)$, $x$ and $x^{0}$ are to be taken $w$ ithin the periodic box $\left(0<x ; x^{0}<L\right)$.


FIG.1. A one-dim ensional periodic sim ulation box w ith three bosons. Particles m ove in im aginary tim e $0 \ll$ and in periodic space $0<x<L$. W e use non-periodic coordinates.

[^0]It ism ore convenient to adopt non-periodic coordinates ( $1<x ; x^{\ell}<1$ ), as wew ill do from here on. In Fig. 1 , the path drawn $w$ th a thick line can equivalently be tagged by ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0}$ ) or by ( $\mathrm{x}_{1} ; \mathrm{x}_{1}^{0}$ ). This notation allow s one to keep track of the topology of paths $w$ thout introducing interm ediate tim e steps, even for very sm all system S. W ith this convention, the winding num ber of a con guration, $\mathrm{W}=\left(\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$, is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W={ }^{X} \quad\left(r_{i}^{0} \quad r_{i}\right)=L: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thew inding num ber $W$ in Eq. (i-3) is the sum of the (integer) $w$ inding num bers for each of the statistically uncorrelated cycles which com prise the con guration. T he com plete statistical weight $k$ of a cycle of length $k$ [cf. Eq. ( $\left.\left.I_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right]$ is given by the sum of the weights $k$;w for all winding num bers w :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{w}=1}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{w}_{\#_{3}}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{k;w}=\frac{\mathrm{L}}{2 \mathrm{k}} \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{w}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{k}}\right): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Pollock and Ceperley [i] have obtained the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{s}=\frac{\left\langle\mathrm{W}^{2}>\mathrm{L}^{2}\right.}{3 \mathrm{~N}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which connects the system 's super uid density $s=$ to the $w$ inding num ber in a rigorous fashion.

It is possible to determ ine the $m$ ean square $w$ inding num ber $\left\langle W^{2}\right\rangle$ from Eq. (11) 1 . W e rst com pute $\left\langle W^{2}\right\rangle$ for a given partition $f \mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{w}^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~g}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}<\mathrm{w}^{2}>_{\mathrm{k}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $\left\langle\mathrm{w}^{2}>_{k}\right.$ is the $m$ ean $w$ th respect to cycles of
 yields, by sum $m$ ation over partitions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle W^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{X}{k}\left\langle W^{2}\right\rangle_{k} Z_{N} \quad k=Z_{N}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have also determ ined the probability distribution of $\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{x}}$.

A $n$ analogous calculation fipm ally replaces $\left\langle\mathrm{w}^{2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{k}}$ !
 Equation ( $\mathbf{I V}_{1}$ ) is transform ed into

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{N}=X_{k} Z_{N \quad k}=N: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation ( $\bar{q}$ ) allow s the recursive calculation of the partition function $Z_{N}$ if $Z_{1} ;::: ; Z_{N} \quad 1$ are known $\left.\overline{7}_{1}\right]$.

T he sam e relation Eq. (8, ) allow s us to identify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{k}}>={ }_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as the $m$ ean num ber of particles in a cycle of length $k$. p From a dierent point of view, the quantity ${ }_{k} \mathrm{~km}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{k}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}=_{k}$ determ ines the occupation num ber of
single-particle energy levels $i$ for a given partition $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$. T his allows us to com pute the average num ber $\left\langle\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}\right\rangle$ of particles occupying state ${ }_{i}$ in the bosonic system :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle N_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=1}^{X_{N}} \quad e^{k} \quad \frac{Z_{N} k}{Z_{N}}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. ( $1 \underline{1}_{-1}^{-}$) is of crucial im portance: $W$ e nd that $N{ }_{0}=\mathrm{N}$, the condensate fraction, is di erent from the super uid fraction, as determ ined from Eqs $(\underline{\overline{1}} \mathbf{1})$ and $\left(\overline{T_{1}}\right)$ in a nite non-interacting system.

The term fg in Eq. (1-d) can be regarded as the probability $P\left(\begin{array}{ll}n_{i} & k\end{array}\right)$ of having at least $k$ particles in state ${ }_{i}$. Taking the sum over all states $i, w$ th the use of Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{g}})$, we can connect cycle statistics w ith the usualoccupation num ber representation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k<m_{k}>={ }_{i}^{X} P\left(n_{i} \quad k\right): \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This curious result, which is of practical use in inhom ogeneous system $s[8] 1]$, tells us that the discrete derivative of the $m$ ean cycle num bers w ith respect to their length is given by the probability of having $k$ particles in the sam $e$ single-particle energy level.

Rescaled super uid densities $N_{i}^{1=3}{ }_{s}=$ (from Eqs (Ti), and (5) ) are plotted in Fig. 2a for $\mathrm{N}_{1}=37 ; \mathrm{N}_{2}=$ $296 ; \mathrm{N}_{3}=2368 ; \mathrm{N}_{4}=18944$ as a function of the rescaled tem perature $t=\left(\begin{array}{ll}T & T_{c}^{1}\end{array}\right)=T_{c}^{1}$, where $T_{c}^{1} \quad$ is the critical tem perature for N ! 1 .


FIG.2. R escaled super uid density of an ideal B ose gas. The curves for di erent $N$ with $\mathrm{N}_{\text {i+1 }}=8 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{i}}$ intersect approxin ately at $T_{c}^{1}$, as show $n$ in (a). $T$ he close-up view (b) reveals im portant di erences. $W$ e determ ine the shift of the intersection points as a function of the interaction (light arrows). T he dark arrow show s schem atically the extrapolated shift in the therm odynam ic lim it.

A nite-size scaling ansatz, which was used in previous M onte C arlo work on the problem the curves of $N_{i}^{1=3} s=$ for a w eakly interacting B ose gas should intersect at the transition tem perature, as they do approxim ately. H ow ever, the sm all-scale Fig, 2b clearly show s the im portance of corrections to scaling (cf. $\underline{\underline{f}] \text { ] }) ~}$ already for the noninteracting gas. By continuity, the corrections to scaling for the w eakly interacting B ose gas $m$ ust be im portant, especially if the tem perature shift due to interactions becom es sm all.

O ur strategy greatly bene ts from the solution Eq. (T, $\overline{1}_{1}$ ) for the ideal gas. $W$ e com pute the intersection point $\left(\mathbb{N}_{i}^{1=3} s^{\prime}=; t\right)$ for two nite system $\mathrm{s} w$ ith $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}=$ $8 \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ particles and determ ine how this point is shifted under the in uence of interactions (cf. Fig. 2b). O ur arbitrary but xed ratio $\mathrm{N}_{2}=\mathrm{N}_{1}=8$ facilitates the direct extrapolation in $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ to $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ! 1 .

To generate a random partition, we intenpret the term ${ }_{k} Z_{N}{ }_{k}=Z_{N}$ in Eq. (8, (i, ) as the probability to split o a cycle of length $k$ from a con guration of $N$ bosons, and to be left w ith a system of $N$ k bosons. We can pick $k$ w ith probability $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{k}$ w ith a sim ple $\backslash$ tow erofprobabilities" strategy [10]. Recursively, we can thus generate an independent random partition $\mathrm{fm}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{g}$ w ith great speed. The recursion stops as soon as we have split o a cycle of length $j$ from a system with $j$ particles.

To go from a random partition to a random con guration, we $m$ ay treat each cycle separately. For a cycle of length $k$, we select a w inding num ber $w_{x} w$ th probabil-
 Tow ens of probabilities are again used. The cycle starts at a random position $r=(x ; y ; z)$ w ith $0<x ; y ; z<L$, and ends at $r^{0}=\left(x+w_{x} L ; y+w_{y} L ; z+w_{z} L\right)$. Inter$m$ ediate points are lled in $w$ ith the appropriate Levy construction [ $[\underline{G}]$. $W$ e have tested our algorithm successfully against the know $n$ results (cf. F ig. 2).

W e thus generate independent free boson path-integral con gurations by a $m$ ethod very di erent from what is usually done in path-integral ( $M$ arkov-chain) Q uantum $M$ onte Carlo calculations, but with an equivalent outcom e: Any appropriate operator is sam pled w th the probability

$$
\begin{equation*}
<O>_{0}=\frac{P P^{P} R^{R} d R\left[Q^{Q}\left(r_{i} ; r_{i}^{0}\right)\right] O}{\left.Q_{i}\left(r_{i} ; r_{i}^{0}\right)\right]}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere, ${ }^{P}{ }_{P}$ indicates the sum $m$ ation over allperm utations $P$, and $r_{i}^{0}$ is the position of the particle $P$ (i). In the presence of interactions, the statistical weight of each conguration is no longer given by the product of the oneparticle density $m$ atrices $0=\left[{ }_{i}\left(r_{i} ; r_{i}^{0}\right)\right]$. To low est order in the interaction, the density $m$ atrix is exclusively m odi ed by s-w ave scattering. Likew ise, only binary collisions need to be kept. This $m$ eans that the correct statistical w eight is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{a}\left(r_{1} ;::: ; r_{N} ; r_{1}^{0} ;::: ; r_{N}^{0}\right)=\int_{i<j}^{Y} g_{i j}\left(r_{i} ; r_{j} ; r_{i}^{0} ; r_{j}^{0}\right): \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The contribution of collisions is to low est order in a

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}<j} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{ij}}=1 \quad \mathrm{a}_{i<j}^{\mathrm{X}} C_{i j} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith

$$
c_{i j}=\dot{f}_{i j} j^{1}+\dot{f}_{i j}^{0} j^{1} \quad \exp \left[\dot{j}_{i j} j \dot{j} \dot{r}_{i j}^{0} j\left(1+\cos { }_{i j}\right)=2\right]:
$$

Here, $r_{i j}=\underline{r}_{i} \quad r_{j}$ and $i j_{i j}$ is the angle betw een $r_{i j}$ and $r_{i j}^{0}$. Equation (1-3) corresponds to the popular $P$ ath-Integral M onte C arlo \action" w ith the follow ing im portant m odi cations: i) no interior tim e-sliges are needed, ii) the interaction $m$ ay be treated on the swave level, and iii) the interaction $m$ ay be expanded in $a$. For a consistent evaluation of the interaction w ith periodic boundary conditions, as schem atically represented in Fig. 1, it is best to sum overall pairs $i<j$ shown, with the condition that $r_{i}$ be in the originalsim ulation box (shaded in gray). As indicated by the sm all circles in F ig. 1, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{m}$ ay have im portant contributions stem $m$ ing from $m$ ore than one representative of the path $\left(r_{j} ; r_{j}^{0}\right)$, especially for sm all system s. O fcourse, a cuto procedure can be installed.
$W$ e now nd for the $m$ ean-square $w$ inding num ber in the interacting system
where we put C $={ }^{P}{ }_{i<j} C_{i j}$. Expanding in $a$, this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\langle W^{2}\right\rangle_{a}<W^{2}>_{0}=a<\left(W^{2}\right)(C)\right\rangle_{0} ; \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $0=0<0>0[1]$ In $]$.
For a nite system ofn bosons, the shift in the superuid density $s=s(a) s(0)$ can thus be proven to be linear in a

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{s}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}}{\mathrm{~N}^{1=3}} a^{1=3} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}=<\left(\mathrm{W}^{2}\right)(\mathrm{C})>0=(3)$.
To determ ine quantitatively the shifl of the intersection points in $F$ ig. 2, we expand the ideal gas super uid density around the intersection tem perature $T_{s}$ of two system $\mathrm{s} w$ th N and 8 N bosons at the sam e density:

$$
s=(T) N^{1=3}=s=\left(T_{s}\right) N^{1=3}+{ }_{N} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
T & T \tag{18}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

In this formula, the linear expansion coe cients can be com puted. W ith interactions, only $s=\left(T_{s}\right) N^{1=3}$ ism odi ed to linear order in a. $(\mathbb{N})$ rem ains unchanged, as we restrict the expansion to fr $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{s}} \quad \mathrm{a}^{1=3}$. We nd the new intersection point of the two system $s$ to be shifted in tem perature as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{T_{\mathrm{s}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{s}}(0)}:=\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{a}) \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}(0)}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}(0)}=\frac{\mathrm{X}_{8 \mathrm{~N}} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{N}}}{8 \mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~N}^{1=3}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have also com puted the shiff in $s=$, but found out only that it $m$ ust be extrem ely sm all. W e are unaw are of any fundam entalreason fora vanishing shift in this quantity. In Fig. 3, we plot the shift T s for di erent system sizes ranging from $\left(\mathbb{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)=(37 ; 296)$ to $(2368 ; 18944)$ vs $\mathrm{N}_{1}{ }^{1=2}$. W e have not attem pted a thorough analysis of the nite-size e ects, which already appear negligible for our largest system $s$.

FIG. 3. Shift of the intersection temperature $T_{s}=\left[T_{s}(0) a^{1=3}\right]$ as a function of $N_{1}{ }^{1=2} \quad\left(N_{2}=8 N_{1}\right)$. The system sizes are $\left(\mathbb{N} 1 ; \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)=(37 ; 296),(125 ; 1000),(296 ; 2368)$, ( $1000 ; 8000$ ), and ( $2368 ; 18944$ ).
w e conclude that the transition tem perature of the weakly interacting B ose gas increases linearly in the scattering length a by an am ount of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{C}}}=(2: 3 \quad 0: 25) \mathrm{a}^{1=3}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur result Eq. $(2 \bar{d})$ is alm ost an order of $m$ agnitude larger than what was found in a previous $M$ onte $C$ arlo calculation $\bar{\beta}$ 기.]. H ow ever, th is calculation was restricted to very sm allparticle num bers and it used a problem atic nite-size scaling ansatz, as pointed out. The agreem ent
 seem sto be quite good.
It is very interesting to understand whether the result Eq. (2, 2, ) directly applies to the current $B$ ose $E$ instein condensation experim ents (Cf, e.g. [12, 1 [8, nite system $s$ in extemal potentials (cf. [1] eral overview ). Notw ithstanding the di erences betw een the two system s, a relevant param eter is for both cases $a^{1=3}$, where the $m$ axim um density (at the center of the trap) at the transition point $m$ ust be taken in the inhom ogeneous case. The experim ental value is of the order a ${ }^{1=3} \quad 0: 02$.

W ithin our method, we can also study nite values of the interaction, even though we no longer com pute a correlation function, and also have to introduce interior tim e slices. C ontributions beyond sw ave scattering need to bem on itored, as we have in [1] 4 . For $N=125$ bosons we have found agreem ent w ith the linear reponse form ula Eq. (11 ${ }^{-1}$ ) up to a ${ }^{1=3<0: 005, \text { but a } 15 \% \text { decrease for the }}$ fulltreatm ent for a ${ }^{1=3}=0: 023$. A detailed investigation of this question goes beyond the scope of th is paper.

In conclusion, it is worth noting that we have encountered none of the di culties which usually haunt boson calculations: W e w ork in the canonicalensem ble; therefore, the uctuation anom aly of the grand-canonicalB ose gasplaysno role. The density rem ains autom atically constant as a function of a so that an expansion in a ${ }^{1=3}$ is well-de ned. At nite $N$, we can furthem ore prove that
the shift in $s=$ is linear in the interaction param eter. w e have also consistently approached the weakly interacting system from the vantage point of the ideal gas. This allows us to obtain the crucial inform ation on exactly where to do our sim ulation (Cf. Fig. 2). Finally, our extrem ely powerful sam pling algorithm has allowed us to partially dispel the curse of $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations: lim tations to sm all system sizes.
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