## Transition Tem perature of the H om ogeneous, W eakly Interacting B ose gas

M arkus H olzm ann<sup>1;2</sup> and W erner K rauth<sup>1</sup> CNRS-Laboratoire de Physique Statistique<sup>1</sup> and Laboratoire K astler-Brossel<sup>2 y</sup> E cole N orm ale Superieure, 24, rue Lhom ond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

We present a M onte C arb calculation for up to N 20000 bosons in 3 D to determ ine the shift of the transition temperature due to sm all interactions a. We generate independent con gurations of the ideal gas. At nite N, the super uid density changes by a certain correlation function in the limit a ! 0; the N ! 1 limit is taken afterwards. We argue that our result is independent of the order of limits. D etailed know ledge of the non-interacting system for nite N allows us to avoid nite-size scaling assumptions.

PACS numbers: 03.75 Fi, 02.70 Lq, 05.30 Jp

Feynm an [1] has provided us with a classic form ula for the partition function of the canonical noninteracting Bose gas. It represents a \path-integral without paths", as they have been integrated out. W hat remains is the m em ory of the cyclic structure of the permutations that were needed to satisfy bosonic statistics:

$$Z_{N} = \sum_{\substack{fm_{k}g \\ fm_{k}g}}^{M} P (fm_{k}g); w \text{ ith } P (fm_{k}g) = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \frac{m_{k}}{m_{k} k^{m_{k}}}: (1)$$

The partitions fm  $_k$ g in eq. (1) decompose permutations of the N particles into exchange pycles (m  $_i$  cycles of length i for all 1 i N with  $_k$  k m  $_k$  = N).  $_k$ is a system -dependent weight for cycles of length k.

In this paper we present an explicit M onte C arbo calculation for up to 20 000 bosons in three dimensions, starting from eq. (1). The calculation allow s us to determ ine unam biguously the shift in the transition tem perature  $T_c$  for weakly interacting bosons in the therm odynam ic lim it for an in nitesim al s-wave scattering length a. This fundamental question has lead to quite a num – ber of di erent and contradictory theoretical as well as com putational answers (cf. e.g., [2 [4]).

W e will rst use Eq. (1) and its generalizations to determ ine very detailed properties of the nite-N canonicalBose gas in a box with periodic boundary conditions. We then point out that all information on the shift of  $T_c$  for weakly interacting gases is already contained in the noninteracting system. In the linear response regime e (in nitesimal interaction), it is a certain correlation function of the noninteracting system which determ ines the shift in  $T_c$ . This correlation is much too complicated to be calculated directly, but we can sample it, even for very large N. To do so, we generate independent bosonic con gurations in the canonical ensemble. We have found a solution (based on Feynman's form ula Eq. (1)) which avoids M arkov chain M onte C arb m ethods. In our twostep procedure, a partition fm  $_kg$  is generated with the correct probability P (fm  $_kg$ ). Then, a random boson conguration is constructed for the given partition.

We stress that all our calculations are done very close to  $T_c$ , so that the correlation length of any macroscopic sample is much larger than the actual system size L of the simulation. This condition L allow sus to invoke the standard nite-size scaling hypothesis [5], but also to take the N ! 1 limit after the limit a ! 0.

A key concept in the path integral representation of bosons is that of a winding number. Consider 1st the density matrix  $(r;r^0;)$  of a single particle [r = (x;y;z)] at inverse temperature = 1=T. In a three-dimensional cubic box of length L with periodic boundary conditions,  $(r;r^0;) = (x;x^0;) \quad (y;y^0;) \quad (z;z^0;)$  with, e.g.,

$$(x;x^{0}; ) = \begin{cases} X^{2} & \exp[(x - p((X - p((X - Lw_{x}))^{2}) = 2)] \\ & p(X - Lw_{x}) = 2 \end{cases}$$
(2)

In Eq. (2), x and  $x^0$  are to be taken within the periodic box ( $0 < x; x^0 < L$ ).



FIG.1. A one-dimensional periodic simulation box with three bosons. Particles move in imaginary time 0 < < and in periodic space 0 < x < L.W e use non-periodic coordinates.

holzm ann@ physique ens.fr; krauth@ physique ens.fr, http://www.lps.ens.fr/~krauth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>Y</sup>Laboratoire Kastler-Brossel is a unite de recherche de l'Ecole Norm ale Superieure et de l'Universite Pierre et Marie Curie, associee au CNRS.

It is more convenient to adopt non-periodic coordinates (  $1 < x_i x^0 < 1$ ), as we will do from here on. In Fig. 1, the path drawn with a thick line can equivalently be tagged by  $(x_1; x_1^0)$  or by  $(x_1; x_1^0)$ . This notation allows one to keep track of the topology of paths without introducing interm ediate time steps , even for very small system s. W ith this convention, the winding number of a con guration,  $W = (W_x; W_y; W_z)$ , is de ned as

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ (\underline{r}_{1}^{0} & \underline{r}_{1}) = L : \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

The winding number W in Eq. (3) is the sum of the (integer) winding numbers for each of the statistically uncorrelated cycles which comprise the conguration. The complete statistical weight  $_k$  of a cycle of length k [cf. Eq. (1)] is given by the sum of the weights  $_{k,w}$  for all winding numbers w:

$${}_{k}^{*} = \frac{{}_{k_{jW}}^{*}}{{}_{w=1}^{*}}; {}_{k_{jW}} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2 k}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2k}^{2}); (4)$$

Pollock and Ceperley [6] have obtained the result

$$_{s} = = \frac{\langle W^{2} \rangle L^{2}}{3 N};$$
 (5)

which connects the system 's super uid density  $_{\rm s}$  = to the winding number in a rigorous fashion.

It is possible to determ ine the mean square winding number < W  $^2$  > from Eq.(1). We rst compute < W  $^2$  > for a given partition fm  $_k$ g

$$< w^{2} >_{fm_{k}g} = m_{k} < w^{2} >_{k}$$
: (6)

Here, <  $w^2 >_k$  is the mean with respect to cycles of length k, <  $w^2 >_k = 3[$   $_w k_{,W} w^2][$   $_w k_{,W} J^2 = k$ . This yields, by sum mation over partitions

$$\langle W^{2} \rangle = \frac{X}{k} \langle w^{2} \rangle_{k} Z_{N k} = Z_{N}$$
 (7)

W e have also determ ined the probability distribution of W  $_{\rm x}$  .

An analogous calculation form ally replaces  $\langle w^2 \rangle_k$ ! k in Eq. (6), which becomes  $_k k m_k = N$  [cf. Eq. (1)]. Equation (7) is transformed into

$$Z_{N} = \sum_{k}^{X} Z_{N k} = N :$$
 (8)

Equation (8) allows the recursive calculation of the partition function  $Z_N$  if  $Z_1$ ;:::; $Z_N$  1 are known [7].

The same relation Eq. (8) allows us to identify

$$k < m_k > = {}_k Z_N {}_k = Z_N$$
(9)

as the m ean number of particles in a cycle of length k. P From a diement point of view, the quantity  $k m_k e^{k} = k$  determ ines the occupation number of single-particle energy levels  $_{i}$  for a given partition fm  $_{k}$ g. This allows us to compute the average number < N  $_{i}$  > of particles occupying state  $_{i}$  in the bosonic system :

$$< N_{i}> = \frac{X^{N}}{\sum_{k=1}^{k=1}} e^{-k} \frac{Z_{N-k}}{Z_{N}};$$
 (10)

Eq. (10) is of crucial importance: We nd that N  $_0=N$ , the condensate fraction, is di erent from the super uid fraction, as determ ined from Eqs (5) and (7) in a nite non-interacting system.

The term fg in Eq. (10) can be regarded as the probability P  $(n_i \ k)$  of having at least k particles in state  $_i$ . Taking the sum over all states i, with the use of Eq. (9), we can connect cycle statistics with the usual occupation number representation:

$$k < m_k > = \sum_{i}^{X} P(n_i \ k):$$
 (11)

This curious result, which is of practical use in inhom ogeneous system s [8], tells us that the discrete derivative of the mean cycle numbers with respect to their length is given by the probability of having k particles in the sam e single-particle energy level.

Rescaled super uid densities N  $_{1}^{1=3}$  s= (from Eqs (7) and (5)) are plotted in Fig. 2a for N  $_{1}$  = 37;N  $_{2}$  = 296;N  $_{3}$  = 2368;N  $_{4}$  = 18944 as a function of the rescaled tem perature t = (T  $T_{c}^{1}$ )= $T_{c}^{1}$ , where  $T_{c}^{1}$  is the critical tem perature for N ! 1.



FIG.2. Rescaled super uid density of an ideal Bose gas. The curves for di erent N with N  $_{i^{+}\,1}$  = 8N  $_{i}$  intersect approximately at  $T_{\rm c}^{1}$ , as shown in (a). The close-up view (b) reveals important di erences. We determ ine the shift of the intersection points as a function of the interaction (light arrow s). The dark arrow shows schematically the extrapolated shift in the therm odynam ic limit.

A nite-size scaling ansatz, which was used in previous M onte C arb work on the problem [3], assumes that the curves of  $N_i^{1=3}$  = for a weakly interacting B ose gas should intersect at the transition temperature, as they do approximately. However, the small-scale F ig, 2b clearly shows the in portance of corrections to scaling (cf. [9]) already for the noninteracting gas. By continuity, the corrections to scaling for the weakly interacting B ose gas must be important, especially if the temperature shift due to interactions become small.

O ur strategy greatly bene ts from the solution Eq. (7) for the ideal gas. We compute the intersection point  $(N_1^{1=3} _{s} = ;t)$  for two nite systems with N<sub>1</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> =  $8N_1$  particles and determ ine how this point is shifted under the in uence of interactions (cf. Fig. 2b). Our arbitrary but xed ratio N<sub>2</sub>=N<sub>1</sub> = 8 facilitates the direct extrapolation in N<sub>1</sub> to N<sub>1</sub> ! 1.

To generate a random partition, we interpret the term  ${}_{k}Z_{N} {}_{k} = Z_{N}$  in Eq. (8) as the probability to split o a cycle of length k from a con guration of N bosons, and to be left with a system of N k bosons. We can pick k with probability  ${}_{k}Z_{N} {}_{k}$  with a simple \tower of probabilities" strategy [10]. Recursively, we can thus generate an independent random partition fm  ${}_{k}g$  with great speed. The recursion stops as soon as we have split o a cycle of length j from a system with j particles.

To go from a random partition to a random con guration, we may treat each cycle separately. For a cycle of length k, we select a winding number  $w_x$  with probability  $_{k,w_x}$  [cf. Eq. (4)], and analogously for  $w_y$  and  $w_z$ . Towers of probabilities are again used. The cycle starts at a random position r = (x;y;z) with 0 < x;y;z < L, and ends at  $r^0 = (x + w_xL;y + w_yL;z + w_zL)$ . Intermediate points are lled in with the appropriate Levy construction [6]. We have tested our algorithm successfully against the known results (cf. Fig. 2).

W e thus generate independent free boson path-integral con gurations by a method very di erent from what is usually done in path-integral (Markov-chain) Quantum M onte Carlo calculations, but with an equivalent outcom e: Any appropriate operator is sampled with the probability

$$< 0 >_{0} = \frac{P R}{P R} \frac{Q}{dR [_{i} (r_{i}; r_{i}^{0})]O}{P dR [_{i} (r_{i}; r_{i}^{0})]O};$$
 (12)

Here,  $r_{\rm p}$  indicates the sum m ation over all permutations P, and  $r_{\rm i}^0$  is the position of the particle P (i). In the presence of interactions, the statistical weight of each conguration is no longer given by the product of the one-particle density m atrices  $_0 = [ \ _i \ (r_i; r_i^0) ]$ . To lowest order in the interaction, the density m atrix is exclusively m odi ed by s-wave scattering. Likewise, only binary collisions need to be kept. This means that the correct statistical weight is given by

$$a (r_1; ...; r_N; r_1^0; ...; r_N^0) = \int_{\substack{0 \\ i < j}}^{Y} g_{ij} (r_i; r_j; r_i^0; r_j^0): (13)$$

The contribution of collisions is to low est order in a

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & X \\ g_{ij} = 1 & a & c_{ij} \\ i < j & i < j \end{array} \tag{14}$$

with

$$c_{ij} = j_{ij}j^{1} + j_{ij}^{0}j^{1} \exp[j_{ij}j_{ij}^{0}j(1 + \infty s_{ij})=2]:$$

Here,  $r_{ij} = r_i \quad r_j$  and  $_{ij}$  is the angle between  $r_{ij}$  and  $r_{ij}^0$ . Equation (13) corresponds to the popular Path-Integral M onte C arb \action" with the following in portant m odi cations: i) no interior time-slices are needed, ii) the interaction m ay be treated on the swave level, and iii) the interaction m ay be expanded in a. For a consistent evaluation of the interaction with periodic boundary conditions, as schematically represented in Fig. 1, it is best to sum overall pairs i < j show n, with the condition that  $r_i$  be in the original simulation box (shaded in gray). As indicated by the small circles in Fig. 1,  $c_{ij}$  m ay have important contributions stemm ing from more than one representative of the path  $(r_j; r_j^0)$ , especially for small system s. O f course, a cuto procedure can be installed.

We now nd for the mean-square winding number in the interacting system

$$< W^{2} >_{a} = \frac{< (1 \quad aC)W^{2} >_{0}}{< (1 \quad aC) >_{0}};$$
 (15)

where we put C =  $P_{i < j} c_{ij}$ . Expanding in a, this yields

$$< W^{2} >_{a} < W^{2} >_{0} = a < (W^{2})(C) >_{0};$$
 (16)

where  $0 = 0 < 0 >_0$  [11].

For a nite system of N bosons, the shift in the superuid density  $_{s} = _{s}(a) _{s}(0)$  can thus be proven to be linear in a

$$\frac{s}{N} = \frac{X_{N}}{N^{1=3}} a^{1=3}; \qquad (17)$$

with X  $_{\rm N}$  =< ( W  $^2$  )( C ) >  $_0$  =(3 ).

To determ ine quantitatively the shift of the intersection points in Fig. 2, we expand the ideal gas super uid density around the intersection temperature  $T_s$  of two system s with N and 8N bosons at the same density:

$$_{s}$$
= (T)N<sup>1=3</sup> =  $_{s}$ = (T<sub>s</sub>)N<sup>1=3</sup> +  $_{N}$  [T T]: (18)

In this form ula, the linear expansion coe cients can be computed. W ith interactions, only  $_{\rm s}$ = (T\_{\rm s})N<sup>1=3</sup> ism odi ed to linear order in a. (N) remains unchanged, as we restrict the expansion to jT T\_{\rm s} j=T\_{\rm s} a<sup>1=3</sup>. We nd the new intersection point of the two systems to be shifted in temperature as

$$\frac{T_{s}}{T_{s}(0)} \coloneqq \frac{T_{s}(a)}{T_{s}(0)} = \frac{X_{8N}}{\frac{X_{8N}}{N}} a^{1=3}:$$
(19)

W e have also computed the shift in  $_{\rm s}$ =, but found out only that it must be extremely small. We are unaware of any fundamental reason for a vanishing shift in this quantity. In Fig. 3, we plot the shift T  $_{\rm s}$  for dierent system sizes ranging from (N  $_1$ ;N  $_2$ ) = (37;296) to (2368;18944) vs N  $_1^{1=2}$ . We have not attempted a thorough analysis of the nite-size e ects, which already appear negligible for our largest system s.



FIG. 3. Shift of the intersection temperature  $T_{s}=[T_{s}(0) a^{1=3}]$  as a function of  $N_{1}^{1=2}$  ( $N_{2} = 8N_{1}$ ). The system sizes are ( $N_{1}; N_{2}$ ) = (37;296), (125;1000), (296;2368), (1000;8000), and (2368;18944).

We conclude that the transition temperature of the weakly interacting B  $\infty$  gas increases linearly in the scattering length a by an amount of

$$\frac{T_{C}}{T_{C}} = (2:3 \quad 0.25)a^{1=3}:$$
(20)

Our result Eq. (20) is almost an order of magnitude larger than what was found in a previous M onte C arlo calculation [3]. However, this calculation was restricted to very sm all particle num bers and it used a problem atic nite-size scaling ansatz, as pointed out. The agreem ent of Eq. (20) with the renorm alization group calculation [2] seem s to be quite good.

It is very interesting to understand whether the result Eq. (20) directly applies to the current B ose-E instein condensation experiments (cf, e.g. [12,13]). In earlier papers [8,14], we have pointed out the particularities of these nite systems in external potentials (cf. [15] for a general overview). Notwithstanding the dimension between the two systems, a relevant parameter is for both cases a  $^{1=3}$ , where the maximum density (at the center of the trap) at the transition point must be taken in the inhomogeneous case. The experimental value is of the order a  $^{1=3}$  0:02.

W ithin our method, we can also study nite values of the interaction, even though we no longer compute a correlation function, and also have to introduce interior time slices. C ontributions beyond s-wave scattering need to be monitored, as we have in [14]. For N = 125 bosons we have found agreement with the linear reponse form ula Eq. (16) up to a  $1^{-3} < 0.005$ , but a 15% decrease for the full treatment for a  $1^{-3} = 0.023$ . A detailed investigation of this question goes beyond the scope of this paper.

In conclusion, it is worth noting that we have encountered none of the di culties which usually haunt boson calculations: W e work in the canonical ensemble; therefore, the uctuation anom ally of the grand-canonical Bose gasplays no role. The density remains autom atically constant as a function of a so that an expansion in a  $1^{-3}$  is well-de ned. At nite N, we can furtherm ore prove that

the shift in  $s^{=}$  is linear in the interaction parameter. We have also consistently approached the weakly interacting system from the vantage point of the ideal gas. This allows us to obtain the crucial information on exactly where to do our simulation (cf. Fig. 2). Finally, our extremely powerful sampling algorithm has allowed us to partially dispet the curse of M onte C arlo simulations: limitations to small system sizes.

W e thank Boris V. Svistunov for discussions. M.H. acknowledges support by the Deutscher Akadem ischer Austauschdienst. The FORTRAN program sused in this work are made available (from MH or WK).

- R.P.Feynman, Statistical Mechanics (Benjamin/Cummings, Reading, MA, 1972).
- [2] H.T.C.Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 45, 8398 (1992); M.Bijlsm a and H.T.C.Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 54, 5085 (1996).
- [3] P.G nuter, D.M. Ceperley, and F.Laloe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 3549 (1997).
- [4] M. Holzmann, P. G niter, and F. Laloe, Eur. Phys. J. B 10, 739 (1999).
- [5] J.Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenom ena (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1996).
- [6] E.L.Pollock and D.M.Ceperley, Phys.Rev.B 30, 2555 (1984); B 36, 8343 (1987); D.M.Ceperley, Rev.Mod. Phys. 67, 1601 (1995).
- [7] P. Borrm ann and G. Franke, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 2484 (1993); B. Eckhardt, in Emerging Applications of Number Theory, D. Hejhal et al., ed, (Springer, New York, 1997); S. Grossmann and M. Holthaus, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 3557 (1997); M. W ilkens and C. W eiss, Journ. of M od. Optics 44, 1801 (1997).
- [8] W .K rauth, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3695 (1996).
- [9] E.L.Pollock and K.J.Runge, Phys. Rev. B 46, 3535 (1992).
- [10] W . K rauth, Introduction To Monte Carlo Algorithms, in Advances in Computer Simulation, J. K ertesz and I. K ondor, eds, Lecture N otes in Physics (Springer Verlag, B erlin, 1998), cond-m at/9612186.
- [11] As it stands, eq. (16) is extremely sensitive to sampling noise both in C and in W<sup>2</sup>. The latter can be completely eliminated by an explicit calculation of the probability distribution of P ( $W_x$ ), along the lines of eq. (7).
- [12] M.H.Anderson, J.R.Ensher, M.R.Matthews, C.E. W iem an, and E.A.Cornell, Science 269, 198 (1995).
- [13] K.B.Davis, M.O.Mewes, M.R.Andrews, N.J.van Druten, D.S.Durfee, D.M.Kum, and W.Ketterle, Phys.Rev.Lett. 75, 3969 (1995).
- [14] M. Holzmann, W. Krauth, and M. Naraschewski, Phys. Rev. A 59, 2956 (1999).
- [15] F.Dalfovo, S.G iorgini, L.P.P itaevskii, and S.Stringari, Rev. M od. Phys. 71, 463 (1999).