

Spin transport properties of the quantum one-dimensional non-linear sigma model : an application to Haldane gap systems

Satoshi Fujimoto

Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606, Japan
(April 15, 2024)

Spin transport properties of the quantum one-dimensional non-linear sigma model are studied based upon the Bethe ansatz exact solution for the $O(3)$ sigma model and the $1/N$ -expansion approach for the $O(N)$ sigma model. It is shown that the spin transport at finite temperatures is ballistic and there is no spin diffusion in this system. A possible mechanism for the spin diffusion observed in the NMR experiment of the Haldane gap system AgVP_2S_6 by Takigawa et al. [Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 2173 (1996)] is also discussed. The inclusion of the spin-phonon interaction accounts for the experimental results qualitatively.

I. INTRODUCTION

The spin diffusion in the Heisenberg spin chain is a long-standing issue in the studies of magnetism¹. For the XXZ Heisenberg chain with spin $s = 1/2$, there is a strong piece of evidence for the absence of the spin diffusion in the massless state.^{2,5} In the case that the spin s is an integer, there exists an excitation gap, as predicted by Haldane many years ago using the mapping to the one-dimensional (1D) quantum $O(3)$ non-linear sigma model.⁶ Thus it is expected that the spin transport properties at low temperatures are quite different from those of the $s = 1/2$ Heisenberg chain. Recently, it was observed in the NMR experiment for the Haldane gap system, AgVP_2S_6 , by Takigawa et al. that a diffusive behavior appears in long wavelength spin-spin correlation functions.⁷ In order to explain the experiment, Sachdev and Damle showed that a semiclassical analysis of the quantum 1D $O(3)$ non-linear sigma model gives a diffusive behavior in the spin correlation function at low temperatures.⁸ However, the 1D quantum $O(3)$ sigma model is an integrable model, and exactly solvable in terms of the Bethe ansatz method.⁹ The spin current is conserved, and thus it is expected that the spin diffusion is absent in this model. Although we can not calculate correlation functions directly by using the Bethe ansatz method, the spin transport properties are also probed by the Drude weight, namely, the zero frequency part of the spin conductivity. The calculation of the Drude weight for exactly solvable models was recently extended to the finite temperature case by Fujimoto and Kawakami.¹⁰ If the Drude weight is non-zero at finite temperatures, the system shows a ballistic transport rather than a diffusive one. One of the purposes of this paper is to discuss the exact Drude weight of the 1D quantum $O(3)$ sigma model at finite temperatures based upon the Bethe ansatz method. Our finding is that the Drude weight is non-vanishing at finite temperatures, and that the spin transport is ballistic. We will also confirm this result by utilizing the $1/N$ -expansion analysis for the $O(N)$ non-linear sigma model. For large N , we can calculate the spin correlation function perturbatively. We will show that the Ward-Takahashi identity for the current vertex operators leads to a ballistic form of the spin correlation function, and that the spin diffusion does not exist. Thus in order to explain the spin diffusion observed in the Haldane gap system AgVP_2S_6 , we need to introduce a coupling with some other degrees of freedom, such as interchain couplings, or spin-phonon interaction, etc. Moreover a remarkable feature of this experiment is the existence of a temperature-dependent cutoff frequency, which can not be explained by the pure non-linear sigma model. The second purpose of this paper is to investigate a possible mechanism of the spin diffusion in this system. The interchain coupling J^{\parallel} of this material is so small, $J^{\parallel} = J \times 10^{-5}$, that it is unlikely to give rise to the observed spin diffusion.⁷ We will try to explain the experimental results by introducing the coupling with phonons. We will show that the inclusion of the spin-phonon interaction gives, at least qualitatively, a nice explanation for the spin diffusion and the presence of the temperature-dependent cutoff frequency observed in AgVP_2S_6 .

The organization of this paper is as follows. In Sec. II, the exact Drude weight at finite temperatures is derived based upon the Bethe ansatz solution. In Sec. III, the non-diffusive behavior of the spin correlation function is argued by using the $1/N$ -expansion of the $O(N)$ sigma model. In Sec. IV, we discuss a possible mechanism for the spin diffusion observed in the NMR experiment taking into account a coupling with phonons. Summary and discussions are given in Sec. V.

II. THE BETHE ANSATZ EXACT SOLUTION FOR THE O(3) SIGMA MODEL AND THE FINITE TEMPERATURE DRUDE WEIGHT

In this section, we will derive the exact Drude weight of the quantum 1D O(3) non-linear sigma model at finite temperatures using the Bethe ansatz exact solution. The action of the O(3) non-linear sigma model is given by,

$$S = \frac{1}{2g} \int dx d\tau v(\mathbf{n})^2; \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{n} = (n_x; n_y; n_z)$ is a unit vector, $(\mathbf{n})^2 = 1$. The elementary excitations of this model are massive triplets interacting with each other.¹¹ The Bethe ansatz exact solution for this model was obtained by Wegmann a decade ago.⁹ In order to obtain the Drude weight for the spin conductivity, we need to use the twisted boundary condition introducing the flux which couples with spin degrees of freedom.^{13;14} The Bethe ansatz equations under the twisted boundary conditions are given by,⁹

$$e^{im \sinh L} = e^{i \prod_{p=1}^{Y^p} \frac{i + Y^q}{i}} \prod_{q=1}^{Y^q} \frac{i + Y^p}{i}; \quad (2)$$

$$\prod_{p=1}^{Y^p} \frac{i + Y^q}{i} = e^{2i} \prod_{q=1}^{Y^q} \frac{i + Y^p}{i}; \quad (3)$$

where p and q are defined by the eigen values of the Noether current operator $l = n \cdot \mathbf{n} = v; l^2 = p(p+1), l_z = p - q$, and $m / \exp(-2\beta g) = g$. The total energy in the presence of a magnetic field h is given by

$$E = m \sum \cosh h(p - q); \quad (4)$$

The thermodynamic properties are obtained by using the string hypothesis for the solutions of the rapidities. As was shown by Wegmann, u is real, and $n^{jk} = n + i(n+1-2k) = 2, k = 1; 2; \dots; n$.⁹ The Drude weight at finite temperatures is derived from the finite size corrections to the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations. In order to calculate the finite size corrections, we expand the real part of the rapidities in $1/L$,^{10;15}

$$u = u_0 + \frac{f_1}{L} + \frac{f_2}{L^2} + O(1/L^3); \quad (5)$$

$$n = n_0 + \frac{g_{1n}}{L} + \frac{g_{2n}}{L^2} + O(1/L^3); \quad (6)$$

Substituting these rapidities into eqs.(2) and (3), and taking a continuum limit, we obtain the coupled integral equations which determine the spectrum of the system. The zeroth order contributions in $1/L$ give the conventional thermodynamic Bethe ansatz equations which were first obtained by Tsvetlik,¹²

$$\ln(\lambda) + h(\lambda) = \frac{m}{2} \cosh h \int_0^L d\lambda \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2})^2} \ln(\lambda) + \sum_{n=1}^X \int_0^L d\lambda a_n(\lambda) \ln(\lambda); \quad (7)$$

$$\ln(\lambda) + \sum_{m=1}^X A_{nm} \ln(\lambda) = \int_0^L d\lambda a_n(\lambda) \ln(\lambda); \quad (8)$$

$$\ln(\lambda) = m \cosh h \int_0^L d\lambda \frac{1}{(\lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2})^2} \ln(1 + e^{-\frac{(\lambda)_0}{T}}) + \sum_{n=1}^X \int_0^L d\lambda a_n(\lambda) \ln(1 + e^{-\frac{(\lambda)_0}{T}}); \quad (9)$$

$$\ln(1 + e^{-\frac{(\lambda)_0}{T}}) = \frac{nh}{T} \int_0^L d\lambda a_n(\lambda) \ln(1 + e^{-\frac{(\lambda)_0}{T}}) + \sum_m A_{nm} \ln(1 + e^{-\frac{(\lambda)_0}{T}}); \quad (10)$$

Here $f_n(x)$ and $h_n(x)$ are the distribution functions for the rapidities ϵ_n and ϵ_n^h , respectively, and $f_n^h(x)$ and $h_n^h(x)$ are those for holes. $f_n(x) = T \ln(h_n(x))$, $h_n(x) = T \ln(f_n(x))$, and

$$a_n(x) = \frac{2(n-1)}{4x^2 + (n-1)^2} + \frac{2(n+1)}{4x^2 + (n+1)^2};$$

$$A_{nm}(x) = a_n(x) + \frac{d}{dx} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{2} a_n\left(\frac{x-x^0}{2}\right) f_n^h(x^0);$$

with

$$a_n(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{j^2 - m^2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{j^2 - (m+j)^2} + \dots + \frac{2x}{n+m-2} + \frac{x}{n+m} \quad n \neq m \quad (11)$$

$$= 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{x}{j^2} + \frac{2x}{2n-2} + \frac{x}{2n} \quad n = m;$$

and $f_n(x) = 2 \tan^{-1} x$.

The finite size corrections to the above equations give the coupled equations which determine $f_{1,2}$ and $g_{1,2n}$,

$$\left(f_n(x) + h_n(x) \right) f_{1n}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{(x^0)^2 + 1/2} f_1^h(x^0) f_{1n}(x^0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} a_n(x) f_n(x) g_{1n}(x); \quad (12)$$

$$h_n(x) g_{1n}(x) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{nm}(x) f_m(x) g_{1m}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{2} a_n\left(\frac{x-x^0}{2}\right) f_n^h(x^0) f_{1n}(x^0); \quad (13)$$

$$\left(f_n(x) + h_n(x) \right) f_{2n}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{(x^0)^2 + 1/2} f_2^h(x^0) f_{2n}(x^0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} a_n(x) f_n(x) g_{2n}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left[\left(f_n(x) + h_n(x) \right) f_{1n}^2(x) \right] + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{((x^0)^2 + 1/2)^2} f_1^h(x^0) f_{1n}^2(x^0) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} \frac{da_n(x)}{dx} f_n(x) g_{1n}^2(x) + (\text{surface terms}); \quad (14)$$

$$h_n(x) g_{2n}(x) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} A_{nm}(x) f_m(x) g_{2m}(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{2} a_n\left(\frac{x-x^0}{2}\right) f_n^h(x^0) f_{2n}(x^0) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dx} \left[\left(f_n(x) + h_n(x) \right) g_{1n}^2(x) \right] + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{dA_{nm}}{dx} f_m(x) g_{1m}^2(x) + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dx^0}{2} \frac{da_n(x)}{dx} f_n^h(x^0) f_{1n}^2(x^0) + (\text{surface terms}); \quad (15)$$

The D nide weight at finite temperatures is given by the second derivative of the energy spectrum with respect to the Aharonov-Bohm flux ϕ ¹⁶

$$D = \frac{L}{2} \frac{d^2 E_n}{d \phi^2} \Big|_{\phi=0}; \quad (16)$$

Here ϕ is a thermal average. Note that the D nide weight D defined above is different from the second derivative of the free energy which is related to a Meissner fraction.¹⁷

Evaluating the finite size corrections to the total energy up to the second order in $1=L$,¹⁸

$$\frac{E}{L} = E_0 + \frac{E_1}{L} + \frac{E_2}{L^2}; \quad (17)$$

we find that the dependence on ϕ appears in the second order term E_2 . Then from eqs.(4) and (7)-(15), we obtain the formula for the D nide weight,

$$\begin{aligned}
D &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2 E_2}{d^2} = 0 \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^Z \frac{d}{d} \left(\epsilon_n(\beta) + h(\beta) \right) \frac{df_1}{d} \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{1 + e^{(\epsilon_n(\beta) - T)}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_n(\beta) + h(\beta)} \frac{d \epsilon_n(\beta)}{d} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^X \sum_{l=1}^Z \frac{d}{d} \left(\epsilon_n(\beta) + h_n(\beta) \right) \frac{dg_{ln}}{d} \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{1 + e^{\epsilon_n(\beta) - T}} \frac{1}{\epsilon_n(\beta) + h_n(\beta)} \frac{d \epsilon_n(\beta)}{d} : \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

This expression is similar to that for the Hubbard model.¹⁰

A. Low temperature expansion

We now derive the temperature dependence of D in the low temperature limit. We consider the case of the non-vanishing magnetic field $h \neq 0$. In this case, from eq.(10) we find $\epsilon_n(\beta) > 0$. Thus, at low temperatures, eq.(9) is reduced to

$$\epsilon_n(\beta) = m \cosh \left(h + \frac{Z}{B} \frac{d}{d} \frac{1}{(\epsilon_n(\beta))^2 + \frac{1}{2}} \right) \epsilon_n(\beta); \tag{19}$$

where $\epsilon_B(\beta) = 0$. In the case of $h < m$, all excitations are massive, and the solution for the above equation is given by

$$\epsilon_n(\beta) = m \cosh \left(h \right); \tag{20}$$

and $B = 0$. The low temperature limit of eq.(10) gives $\epsilon_n(\beta) = nh$ and $d \epsilon_n(\beta) = d \exp(\epsilon_n(\beta) - T)$. From eqs.(7) and (8), we have

$$\epsilon_n(\beta) + h(\beta) = \frac{m}{2} \cosh \left(h \right); \tag{21}$$

$$\epsilon_n(\beta) + h_n(\beta) = e^{-\frac{m}{T} h}; \tag{22}$$

Moreover using eqs.(12) and (13), we can show that at sufficiently low temperatures

$$\left(\epsilon_n(\beta) + h(\beta) \right) \frac{df_1(\beta)}{d} = \frac{1}{2}; \tag{23}$$

$$\left(\epsilon_n(\beta) + h_n(\beta) \right) \frac{dg_{ln}}{d} = \frac{n}{2}; \tag{24}$$

Substituting these expressions into eq.(18), we obtain the Druce weight in the low temperature limit,

$$D = \frac{P}{T} e^{-\frac{m}{T} h}; \tag{25}$$

Thus the Druce weight is non-zero at finite temperatures. This result implies that the spin transport of the 1D quantum O(3) non-linear sigma model in the low temperature region is ballistic, and that the spin diffusion does not exist.

B. High temperature expansion

Here we consider the Druce weight in the high temperature limit. Although in the sufficiently high temperature region, the non-linear sigma model is not related to the $s = 1$ Heisenberg spin chain, it is interesting to check that the spin diffusion does not occur in the non-linear sigma model even in the high temperature limit. We invoke the standard method for the high temperature expansion of the Bethe ansatz solution developed by Takahashi.¹⁹ For this purpose, it is convenient to rewrite eqs.(7), (8), (9) and (10) into the following form.

$$s_n + h = \frac{m}{2} \cosh s_{n+2}; \quad (26)$$

$$s_{n+1} + h = 2s_n + s_{n+1} \left(1 + \frac{h}{s_{n+1}} \right); \quad n \geq 2; \quad (27)$$

$$s_1 + h = s_2; \quad (28)$$

$$s_2(\beta) = m \cosh T s_1 \ln \left(1 + e^{\frac{s_2(\beta)}{T}} \right); \quad (29)$$

$$s_n(\beta) = T s_{n-1} \ln \left(1 + e^{\frac{s_{n-1}(\beta)}{T}} \right) \left(1 + e^{\frac{s_{n+1}(\beta)}{T}} \right) \\ s_{n+2} T s_n \ln \left(1 + e^{\frac{s_n(\beta)}{T}} \right); \quad n = 1; 2; \dots; \quad (30)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_n}{n} = h; \quad (31)$$

where $s_0 = 1$, and

$$s_n f(x) = \int_1^{Z_1} dx \frac{1}{4 \cosh[(x-x^0)=2]} f(x^0):$$

Since the non-linear sigma model is a relativistic field theory model, it is appropriate to introduce the ultra-violet (UV) cutoff which may be of order J , the exchange energy of the original $s = 1$ Heisenberg spin chain. In the case of $T \rightarrow \infty$, eqs.(29) and (31) are recasted into,

$$\ln s_n = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + s_{n+2}); \quad (32)$$

$$\ln s_{n+2} = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + s_{n+1})(1 + s_{n+3}) - \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + s_{n+1}); \quad (33)$$

$$\ln s_n = \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 + s_{n-1})(1 + s_{n+1}); \quad n \geq 3; \quad (34)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln s_n}{n} = 0; \quad (35)$$

where $s_n = h = \frac{1}{n}$, $s_n = \frac{h}{n} = \frac{1}{n}$. Thus in this limit, s_n and s_{n+1} are constants, and the solutions of the above equations are given by

$$s_n = n^2 - 1, \quad n \geq 2; \\ s_1 = 2; \quad s_2 = \frac{1}{2}; \quad (36)$$

$$(37)$$

Substituting these solutions into eqs.(26), (27), and (28), we can solve these coupled equations for s_n and s_{n+1} by using the standard method. Then we find,

$$s_n(\beta) = \frac{m}{6n} \int_1^{Z_c} dx \frac{1}{2 \cosh[(x-x^0)=2]} \frac{1}{(x-x^0)^2 + (n-1)^2} \frac{1}{(x-x^0)^2 + (n+1)^2} \cosh s_n; \quad n \geq 3; \quad (38)$$

$$s_2(\beta) = \frac{m}{12} s_1 \cosh s_2 + 2s_3(\beta); \quad (39)$$

$$s_1(\beta) = s_2(\beta); \quad (40)$$

$$s_3(\beta) = \frac{m}{3} 2s_2(\beta); \quad (41)$$

Here we have introduced the UV cutoff $Z_c = \cosh^{-1}(\beta m)$ in order to suppress the divergence of the x -integral in eq.(38). We can also obtain $df_1/d\beta$ and $dg_{1n}/d\beta$ from eqs.(12) and (13) in a similar manner. The results are

$$\frac{df_1}{d\beta} = \frac{5}{19}; \quad \frac{dg_{11}}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{36}; \quad \frac{dg_{12}}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{18}; \quad (42)$$

$$\frac{dg_{1n}}{d\beta} = \frac{1}{3n(n^2-1)}; \quad n \geq 3; \quad (43)$$

Furthermore in the high temperature limit, we have the following relations,

$$D(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{d}{d\omega} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta\omega}}; \quad (44)$$

$$h(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{d}{d\omega} \frac{e^{-\beta\omega}}{1 + e^{-\beta\omega}}; \quad (45)$$

$$h_n(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{d^n}{d\omega^n} \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta\omega}}; \quad (46)$$

$$h_n^*(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn} \frac{d^n}{d\omega^n} \frac{e^{-\beta\omega}}{1 + e^{-\beta\omega}}; \quad (47)$$

Then substituting these equations into eq.(18), we have the Druide weight,

$$D = \frac{C}{T}; \quad (48)$$

with

$$C = \frac{25}{486} \int_0^Z d\omega (\dots) + \frac{1}{972} \int_0^Z d\omega (\dots) + \frac{3}{648} \int_0^Z d\omega (\dots) + \sum_{n=3}^X \frac{2}{9n^4(n^2-1)} \int_0^Z d\omega (\dots); \quad (49)$$

Note that D in the high temperature limit has the same temperature dependence as that of the 1D Hubbard model. Since even in the high temperature limit, the Druide weight is non-zero, it is expected to be non-vanishing in the whole temperature region. Therefore the spin diffusion does not exist in the 1D quantum $O(3)$ non-linear sigma model. This is the main conclusion of this section.

III. $1/N$ -EXPANSION APPROACH TO THE SPIN DYNAMICS OF THE $O(N)$ SIGMA MODEL

In the previous section, we have calculated the Druide weight at finite temperatures which is a probe for transport properties. However in order to discuss the spin diffusion, it is desirable to study spin-spin correlation functions directly. This issue is not tractable in terms of the Bethe ansatz method. Moreover, there is a criticism by Buragohain and Sachdev against the approach exploited in the previous section.²⁰ They claimed that the calculation of the Druide weight from the finite size spectrum may not give the correct thermodynamic limit at finite temperatures. Thus, in this section, we will discuss spin-spin correlation functions with the use of the $1/N$ expansion method for the quantum 1D $O(N)$ sigma model,^{21;22} and check the consistency with the results obtained by the Bethe ansatz method in Sec.II. The $1/N$ -expansion is utilized by several authors in the study of Haldane gap systems.²³⁻²⁵ Although the $O(N)$ sigma model with large N is not related to the Haldane gap systems, the qualitative properties of the excitation are similar to those of the $O(3)$ sigma model. It is expected that the results obtained for the $O(N)$ sigma model with large N may give a qualitative understanding of the $O(3)$ sigma model.

We are mainly concerned with the spin lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1$, which is given by

$$\frac{1}{T_1 T} / \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} A^2(\mathbf{q}) \frac{\operatorname{Im} \langle S^z(\mathbf{q}; \omega) \rangle}{\omega}; \quad (50)$$

where $\langle S^z(\mathbf{q}; \omega) \rangle$ is the retarded spin correlation function, $\hbar S^z(\mathbf{q}; \omega) S^z(\mathbf{q}; -\omega)$, and $A(\mathbf{q})$ is a structure factor. It is known that in Haldane gap systems, the main contribution to $1/T_1$ comes from the uniform part of spin-spin correlation functions for $\mathbf{q} \rightarrow 0$.^{7;25} It is given by the correlation function of the uniform magnetization vector $\mathbf{l} = \sum_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{n}} \delta_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v}}$. That is,

$$\langle \mathbf{Q}(\mathbf{0}; \omega) \rangle = \int_0^Z \int_0^Z dx dt [\mathbf{l}(\mathbf{x}; t); \mathbf{l}(\mathbf{0}; 0)] e^{i(\omega t + \mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{x})}; \quad (51)$$

For sufficiently large N , the excitation in the $O(N)$ sigma model is massive N -plet bosons interacting weakly with each other via a coupling constant of order $O(1/N)$.²¹ Thus we can calculate the correlation function by the perturbative expansion in terms of $1/N$. The Green's function of the free massive N -plet bosons is given by,

$$G_0(\mathbf{p}; \omega) = \int_0^Z d\mathbf{k} \hbar \Gamma_n(\mathbf{q}; \omega) n(\mathbf{q}; 0) i e^{i\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{n}} = \frac{1}{(i\mathbf{l} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 E_p^2}; \quad (52)$$

where $\epsilon_n = 2nT$, and $E_p = \sqrt{v^2 p^2 + m^2}$. One of the important effects of the interaction is the quasiparticle damping which is given by the imaginary part of the self-energy. The lowest order self-energy correction of which the diagram is shown in Fig.1, is easily evaluated as $\text{Im} \chi(\epsilon = T) \exp(-2m = T)$ (χ , a constant) for small ϵ and $p = 0$.⁴ If this damping effect is sufficiently large, it leads to the dispersive form of the correlator for the field,

$$G^R(p; \epsilon) = \frac{1}{(\epsilon + i\gamma)^2 - v^2 p^2 - m^2 - i\chi(\epsilon = T) \exp(-2m = T)}; \quad (53)$$

Naively thinking, it seems that this correlation function gives rise to a dispersive behavior of $l = T_1$. However this expectation is not correct. l is the conserved current of the non-linear sigma model which satisfies the continuity equation $\partial_t l + \partial_x j = 0$, where $j = v n - Q n$. Therefore a Ward-Takahashi identity holds for these current operators. The Ward-Takahashi identity ensures the cancellation of the self-energy corrections with vertex corrections of the correlation functions, which leads to a ballistic behavior of spin-spin correlation functions. In order to show this, we introduce the three point vertex functions defined as,

$$l_0(p; q) G(p) G(q-p) = h_l(q) n(p) n(q-p); \quad (54)$$

$$j_1(p; q) G(p) G(q-p) = h_j(q) n(p) n(q-p); \quad (55)$$

where $p = (p; i_n^0)$ etc. In the momentum-energy space, the current operators are expressed as

$$l(q) = \sum_{p^0} \frac{i_n^0}{v} n(q-p^0; i_m^0) n(p^0; i_n^0); \quad (56)$$

$$j(q) = \sum_{p^0} v p^0 n(q-p^0; i_m^0) n(p^0; i_n^0); \quad (57)$$

Using the commutation relations $[l(x); n(y)] = i n(x) \delta(x-y)$, we can derive the Ward-Takahashi identity,⁶

$$i l_{n_0}(p; q) + q_1(p; q) = G^{-1}(q-p) G^{-1}(p); \quad (58)$$

Here we omit the spin index of $G(p)$, since it does not depend on p by virtue of the $O(N)$ symmetry. We will take an analytic continuation $i l_n \rightarrow l + i$ at the last stage. Since we are concerned with the contribution from small q and ϵ , i_n^0 and p^0 in $l_{n_0}(p; q)$ can be replaced with n and p . As a result, we have $l_1(p; q) = (v^2 p = i_n^0) l_0(p; q)$. Using this relation and the Ward-Takahashi identity (58), we can obtain the spin-spin correlation function,

$$\begin{aligned} (q_0; i_m^0) &= \sum_{p^0} l_0(p; q) G(p) G(q-p) i_n^0 \\ &= \sum_{p^0} \frac{i_n^0}{i_m^0 + \frac{v^2 p^0}{i_n^0} q} [G(p) G(q-p)]; \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Up to the lowest order in $1=N$, we expand $G = G_0 + G_0 G_0$ in eq.(59). Then, putting $i_m^0 \rightarrow l + i$, we find that in the limit of $q \rightarrow 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the above expression reduces to,

$$\begin{aligned} R(q_0; \epsilon) &= \sum_p h \coth \frac{E_p}{2T} \coth \frac{E_{q-p}}{2T} \frac{1}{\epsilon + \frac{v^2 p}{E_p} q + i} \frac{1}{\epsilon + \frac{v^2 p}{E_p} q + i} \\ &+ \text{regular term s}; \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Thus it has a non-dispersive pole at $\epsilon = (v p = E_p) q$ which can not be removed by finite temperature effects. This pole gives a logarithmic singularity $-\log \epsilon$ of $l = T_1$ which signifies the ballistic spin transport. The result is basically equivalent to that obtained for the free boson model by Jolicœur and Golinelli.²⁴ This ballistic behavior is due to the cancellation between the damping effect and the vertex corrections. Although we have demonstrated the cancellation only up to the lowest order in $1=N$, we expect that the cancellation holds in all orders. In this model the forward scattering is the dominant low-energy process. Thus the quasiparticle damping does not give rise a dispersive behavior, if one takes into account the vertex corrections which preserve the current conservation law. The above result is consistent with the Bethe ansatz solution obtained in Sec. II. Therefore we can conclude that the spin diffusion is absent in the 1D quantum non-linear sigma model itself.

IV. COUPLING WITH PHONONS

In this section, we will discuss about the origin of spin diffusion observed experimentally in the Haldane gap system, AgVP_2S_6 . The important feature observed in this experiment is that there exists the cutoff frequency ω_c which depends on temperatures like $\exp(-T)$ with a constant. At $\omega > \omega_c$, the spin diffusion is observed. One possible mechanism of the spin diffusion may be a coupling with some other degrees of freedom, such as phonons, as discussed by Narozhny for the $s = 1/2$ Heisenberg spin chain.⁴ Here we will investigate this possibility. In order to treat the interaction between spin and phonon, we invoke the $1/N$ -expansion for the $O(N)$ sigma model. We add the following term to the Hamiltonian which represents the spin-phonon interaction,

$$H^0 = g^0 \sum_x (b^\dagger(x) + b(x)) (\partial_x \mathbf{n})^2; \quad (61)$$

where $b(x)$ ($b^\dagger(x)$) is an annihilation (creation) operator for phonons, and g^0 is a coupling constant. For the case of $N = 3$, this term comes from the continuum limit of the spin-phonon interaction defined on lattices,

$$H^0 = J^0 \sum_i (b_i^\dagger + b_i) S_i \cdot S_{i+1}; \quad (62)$$

We expect that the analysis for the $O(N)$ sigma model gives a qualitative understanding for the effect of this term. For $N \gg 1$, we can treat the spin-phonon interaction eq.(61) perturbatively. The diagram for the lowest order self-energy correction is shown in Fig.2. We can easily evaluate this diagram using the Green's functions for massive N-plet fermions (52) and free phonons given by,

$$D(\mathbf{p}; \omega) = \frac{c^2 p^2}{(i\omega - \epsilon)^2 - c^2 p^2}; \quad (63)$$

where c is the velocity of phonons. Then the imaginary part of the retarded self-energy reads,

$$\text{Im} \Sigma^R(\mathbf{q} = 0; \omega) = \frac{1}{T} \frac{2\alpha m^2 g^0}{\sinh^2(\frac{m}{2T})} \frac{c^2 \omega^2}{c^4 - v^4} C(T); \quad (64)$$

for small ω . Here $m = m \sqrt{1 - (v^2/c^2)}$. Then, if $C(T) > E_q$ holds, the retarded Green's function of massive N-plet fermion has a dispersive pole,

$$G^R(\mathbf{q}; \omega) = \frac{D_s}{i\omega - D_s q^2 - (D_s m^2 - v^2)}; \quad (65)$$

where $D_s = v^2 C(T)$. In contrast to the case without phonons discussed in Sec. III, this damping effect does not cancel with vertex corrections of the spin-spin correlation function, eq.(51), since the spin current operator is not conserved. Neglecting vertex corrections and using the dispersive propagator (65), we evaluate the RPA type diagrams for the spin correlation function (51). The result is given by,

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}; \omega) = \frac{Z}{i\omega - D_s^0 q^2 - \omega_c}; \quad (66)$$

for small q and ω . Here D_s^0 , ω_c , and Z have the same temperature-dependence as D_s . Thus we have a dispersive behavior of the spin-spin correlation function. The Fourier transformation of eq.(66) with respect to ω leads to,

$$\chi_{1+i\epsilon} \frac{d!}{1+i\epsilon} (\mathbf{q}; \omega) e^{i\omega t} = D_s^0 e^{-D_s^0 q^2 + \omega_c |t|}; \quad (67)$$

Therefore, ω_c gives a cutoff frequency. For $\omega > \omega_c$ the dispersive behavior appears. The important point is that ω_c depends on temperatures, $\omega_c \sim T \sinh^2(m/2T)$, if we neglect the temperature dependence of the mass gap m . These results seem to give a qualitatively satisfying explanation for the spin diffusion and the temperature-dependent cutoff observed in AgVP_2S_6 . Note that the presence of the temperature-dependent cutoff frequency is quite due to the mass gap of the spin excitations. The above argument is applicable only if the damping effect eq.(64) is large enough to give rise to a dispersive pole. In this case, the perturbative approach may break down, and the corrections due to higher order processes are not negligible, though it is expected that the propagator has a dispersive form, eq.(65), for small momentum and energy. Thus it may be difficult to fit our result to the experimental data precisely. However tuning the parameters, v , c , g^0 properly, we obtain the temperature dependence of ω_c which is qualitatively consistent with the experimental results.

V . S U M M A R Y A N D D I S C U S S I O N S

In this paper, we have shown that the spin transport of the 1D quantum non-linear sigma model is ballistic even at finite temperatures, and that the spin diffusion does not exist. We have also argued a possible mechanism for the spin diffusion observed experimentally in the Haldane gap system AgVP_2S_6 . It has been shown that the coupling with phonons accounts for the experimental results qualitatively.

Our study is based upon the non-linear sigma model which is believed to be a low-energy effective theory for Haldane gap systems. We can not answer the question whether the spin diffusion intrinsically exists or not in the $s = 1$ Heisenberg spin chain model. According to de Alcantara Bonin and Reiter, the 1D classical Heisenberg spin model does not show the spin diffusion even in the high temperature limit.²⁷ The result for the classical spin system may be independent of whether the spin s is an integer or a half-integer, and generally quantum effects may suppress the thermal spin diffusion. Thus it is unlikely that the spin diffusion appears in the $s = 1$ quantum Heisenberg spin chain itself. We speculate that the spin diffusion observed in AgVP_2S_6 may be due to a coupling with some other degrees of freedom. However we need further investigations in order to reveal whether the spin diffusion is possible or not in the pure Heisenberg spin chains with an integer spin s .

A C K N O W L E D G M E N T S

The author would like to thank N. Kawakami for useful discussions and critical reading of the manuscript. He is also grateful to P. B. Weigmann and M. Takigawa for invaluable discussions. This work was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid from the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

- ¹ see, for example, V. S. Vishwanath and G. Müller: Lecture Notes in Physics, m 23 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994); M. Steiner, J. Villain, and C. G. Windsor: Adv. Phys. 25 (1976) 87.
- ² F. B. McClean and M. Blume: Phys. Rev. B 7 (1973) 1149.
- ³ H. Castella, X. Zotos, and P. Prelovsek: Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 (1995) 972; X. Zotos and P. Prelovsek: Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 983; X. Zotos, F. Naef, and P. Prelovsek: Phys. Rev. B 55 (1997) 11029; X. Zotos: Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 (1999) 1764.
- ⁴ B. N. Narozhny: Phys. Rev. B 54 (1996) 3311; B. N. Narozhny, A. Millis and N. Andrei: Phys. Rev. B 58 (1998) 2921.
- ⁵ K. Fabricius and B. McCoy: Phys. Rev. B 57 (1998) 8340.
- ⁶ F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Lett. 93A (1983) 464 (1983); Phys. Rev. Lett. 50 (1983) 1153.
- ⁷ M. Takigawa, T. Asano, Y. Ajiro, M. Mekata, and Y. J. Uemura: Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 2173.
- ⁸ S. Sachdev and K. Damle: Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 943; Phys. Rev. B 57 (1998) 8307.
- ⁹ P. B. Weigmann: Phys. Lett. 152B (1985) 209; JETP Lett. 41 (1985) 95.
- ¹⁰ S. Fujimoto and N. Kawakami: J. Phys. A 31 (1998) 465.
- ¹¹ A. L. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov: Ann. Phys. 120 (1979) 253.
- ¹² A. M. Tsvelik: Sov. Phys. JETP 66 (1987) 221.
- ¹³ B. S. Shastry and B. Sutherland: Phys. Rev. Lett. 65 (1990) 243.
- ¹⁴ N. Beisert and C. N. Yang: Phys. Rev. Lett. 7 (1961) 46.
- ¹⁵ A. Berkovich and G. Murthy: J. Phys. A 21 (1988) 3703.
- ¹⁶ W. Kohn: Phys. Rev. 133 (1964) A171.
- ¹⁷ T. Giamarchi and B. S. Shastry: Phys. Rev. B 51 (1995) 10915.
- ¹⁸ F. W. Wigner and H. P. Eckle: J. Phys. A 20 (1987) L443.
- ¹⁹ M. Takahashi: Prog. Theor. Phys. 46 (1971) 401; *ibid* 47 (1972) 69.
- ²⁰ C. Buragohain and S. Sachdev: Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 9285.
- ²¹ A. M. Polyakov: Gauge Fields, and Strings (Harwood, New York, 1987).
- ²² E. Brezin and J. Zinn-Justin: Phys. Rev. B 14 (1976) 3110.
- ²³ I. A. A. Eck and R. A. Weston: Phys. Rev. B 45 (1992) 4667.
- ²⁴ Th. Jolicoeur and O. Golinelli: Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 9265.
- ²⁵ J. Sagi and I. A. Eck: Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 9188.
- ²⁶ see, for example, J. R. Schrieffer: Theory of Superconductivity (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1983).
- ²⁷ O. F. de Alcantara Bonin and G. Reiter: Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 367.




FIG .1. The diagram for the lowest order self-energy of massive N -plet bosons. The solid line is the propagator for the massive N -plet. The broken line represents the interaction between the N -plet bosons.




FIG .2. The diagram for the lowest order self-energy correction due to phonons. The broken line represents the phonon propagator.