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## C hapter 1

## The Q uantum H all E ect

### 1.1 Introduction

The quantum Halle ect (Q HE) is one of the m ost rem arkable condensed -m atter phenom ena discovered in the second half of the 20th century. It rivals superconductivity in its fundam ental signi cance as a $m$ anifestation of quantum $m e-$ chanics on m acroscopic scales. T he basic experim entalobservation is the nearly vanishing dissipation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \mathrm{x}!0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the quantization of the H all conductance

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=\frac{e^{2}}{h} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

ofa real (as opposed to som e theorist's fantasy) transistor-like device (sim ilar in som e cases to the transistors in com puter chips) containing a two-dim ensional electron gas sub jected to a strong $m$ agnetic eld. This quantization is universal and independent of all $m$ icroscopic details such as the type of sem iconductor $m$ aterial, the purity of the sam ple, the precise value of the magnetic eld, and so forth. A s a result, the e ect is now used to $m$ aintain ${ }^{1}$ the standard of electrical resistance by $m$ etrology laboratories around the world. In addition, since the speed of light is now de ned, a $m$ easurem ent of $e^{2}=h$ is equivalent to a $m$ easurem ent of the ne structure constant of fundam ental im portance in quantum electrodynam ics.

[^1]In the so-called integer quantum H all e ect (IQ HE) discovered by von $\mathrm{K} 1-$ itzing in 1980, the quantum number is a simple integer with a precision of about $10^{10}$ and an absolute accuracy of about $10^{8}$ (both being lim ited by our ability to do resistance $m$ etrology).

In 1982, T sui, Storm er and G ossard discovered that in certain devices w ith reduced (but still non-zero) disorder, the quantum num ber could take on rational fractional values. This so-called fractionalquantum H alle ect (FQHE) is the result of quite di erent underlying physics involving strong C oulom b interactions and correlations am ong the electrons. The particles condense into special quantum states whose excitations have the bizarre property of being described by fractionalquantum num bers, including fractionalcharge and fractional statistics that are interm ediate betw een ordinary B ose and Ferm istatistics. The FQHE has proven to be a rich and sunprising arena for the testing of our understanding of strongly correlated quantum system s. W ith a sim ple tw ist of a dial on her apparatus, the quantum H all experim entalist can cause the electrons to condense into a bew ildering array of new vacua', each ofw hich is described by a di erent quantum eld theory. T he novel order param eters describing each of these phases are com pletely unprecedented.

W e begin w ith a briefdescription of wh two-dim ensionality is im portant to the universality of the result and how m odem sem ioonductor processing techniques can be used to generate a nearly ideal two-dim ensional electron gas (2DEG).W e then give a review of the classical and sem i-classical theories of the $m$ otion of charged particles in a $m$ agnetic eld. N ext we consider the lim it of low tem peratures and strong elds where a full quantum treatm ent of the dynam ics is required. A fter that we will be in a position to understand the localization phase transition in the IQ HE. W e will then study the origins of the FQHE and the physics described by the novel wave function invented by R obert Laughlin to describe the specialcondensed state of the electrons. F inally we will discuss topological excitations and broken sym m etries in quantum H all ferrom agnets.

The review presented here is by no $m$ eans com plete. It is prim arily an introduction to the basics followed by a m ore advanced discussion of recent developm ents in quantum $H$ all ferrom agnetism. Am ong the $m$ any topics which receive little or no discussion are the FQ HE hierarchical states, interlayer drag e ects, FQHE edge state tunneling and the com posite boson [1] and ferm ion [2] pictures of the FQHE.A num ber of general review s exist which the readerm ay be interested in consulting [ 3 \{11]

### 1.1.1 W hy 2D Is Im portant

A s one leams in the study of scaling in the localization transition, resistivity (which is what theorists calculate) and resistance (which is what experim entalists $m$ easure) for classical system $s$ (in the shape of a hypercube) of size $L$ are related by $[12,13]$

$$
R=L^{(2 d)}:
$$

## conduction band



Figure 1.1: Schem atic illustration of a $G$ aA $s / A \nexists A$ s heterostructure quantum well. The vertical axis is band energy and the horizontal axis is position in the MBE grow th direction. The dark circles indicate the $\mathrm{Si}^{+}$ions which have donated electrons into the quantum well. The lowest electric subband wave function of the quantum well is illustrated by the dashed line. It is com $m$ on to use an alloy of $G$ aA s and A 1 A s rather than pure A 1 A s for the barrier region as ilhustrated here.

T w o dim ensions is therefore special since in this case the resistance of the sam ple is scale invariant and $\left(e^{2}=h\right) R$ is dim ensionless. $T$ his tums out to be crucial to the universality of the result. In particular it $m$ eans that one does not have to $m$ easure the physical dim ensions of the sam ple to one part in $10^{10}$ in order to obtain the resistivity to that precision. Since the locations of the edges of the sam ple are not well-de ned enough to even contem plate such a m easurem ent, this is a very fortunate feature of having available a 2 DEG . It further tums out that, since the dissipation is nearly zero in the QHE states, even the shape of the sam ple and the precise location of the $H$ all voltage probes are alm ost com pletely irrelevant.

### 1.1.2 C onstructing the 2D E G

$T$ here are a variety of techniques to construct tw o-dim ensional electron gases. Fig. (1.1) show s one exam ple in which the energy bands in a GaA s/A $\nexists A$ s heterostructure are used to create a quantum well. Electrons from a Sidonor layer fall into the quantum well to create the 2D EG.T he energy level (electric subband') spacing for the particle in a box' states of the well can be of order $10^{3} \mathrm{~K}$ which is much larger than the cryogenic tem peratures at which QHE experim ents are perform ed. H ence all the electrons are frozen into the low est electric subband (if this is consistent w ith the P auliprinciple) but rem ain free to $m$ ove in the plane of the $G$ aAs layer form ing the well. The dynam ics of the electrons is therefore e ectively two-dim ensionaleven though the quantum well is not literally tw o-dim ensional.

H eterostructures that are grown one atom ic layer at a time by M olecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE) are nearly perfectly ordered on the atom ic scale. In addition the Sidonor layer can be set back a considerable distance ( $0: 5 \mathrm{~m}$ ) to $m$ in im ize the random scattering from the ionized Sidonors. U sing these techniques, electron m obilities of $10^{7} \mathrm{~cm}^{2}=\mathrm{V}$ s can be achieved at low tem peratures corresponding to incredibly long m ean free paths of $0: 1 \mathrm{~mm}$. A s a result of the extrem ely low disorder in these system $s$, subtle electronic correlation energies com e to the fore and yield a rem arkable variety of quantum ground states, som e of which we shall explore here.

The sam eM BE and rem ote doping technology is used to make G aA s quantum well H igh Electron M obility Transistors (HEM Ts) which are used in all cellular telephones and in radio telescope receivers where they are prized for their low noise and ability to am plify extrem ely weak signals. The sam e technology is w idely utilized to produce the quantum well lasers used in com pact disk players.

### 1.1.3 W hy is D isorder and Localization Im portant?

$P$ aradoxically, the extrem e universality of the transport properties in the quantum $H$ all regim e occurs because of, rather than in spite of, the random disorder and uncontrolled im perfections which the devices contain. A nderson localization in the presence of disorder plays an essential role in the quantization, but this localization is strongly $m$ odi ed by the strong $m$ agnetic eld.

In two dim ensions (for zero $m$ agnetic eld and non-interacting electrons) all states are localized even for arbitrarily w eak disorder. T he essence of th is w eak localization e ect is the current Echo' associated w ith the quantum interference corrections to classical transport [14]. T hese quantum interference e ects rely crucially on the existence oftim e-reversalsym $m$ etry. In the presence of a strong quantizing $m$ agnetic eld, tim e-reversalsym $m$ etry is destroyed and the localization properties of the disordered 2D electron gas are radically altered. W e w ill shortly see that there exists a novel phase transition, not betw een a m etal and insulator, but rather betw een two distinctly di erent insulating states.

In the absence of any im purities the 2D EG is translationally invariant and there is no preferred fram e of reference. As a result we can transform to a fram e of reference $m$ oving $w$ ith velocity $v$ relative to the lab fram e. In this fram e the electrons appear to be $m$ oving at velocity $+v$ and carrying current density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\text { nev; } \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where n is the arealdensity and we use the convention that the electron charge is $e$. In the lab fram $e$, the electrom agnetic elds are

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\theta \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=B \hat{z}: \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In the $m$ oving fram $e$ they are (to low est order in $v=c$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& E=\frac{1}{C} B  \tag{1.7}\\
& B=B \frac{z}{E}: \tag{1.8}
\end{align*}
$$

This Lorentz transform ation picture is precisely equivalent to the usual state$m$ ent that an electric eld $m$ ust existwhich just cancels the Lorentz force $\frac{e}{c} v$ B in order for the devioe to carry the current straight through without de ection. Thuswe have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{B}{n e c} \mathcal{J} \quad \hat{B}: \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resistivity tensor is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{J}: \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we can $m$ ake the identi cation

$$
=\begin{array}{llr}
\frac{\mathrm{B}}{\mathrm{nec}} & 0 & +1  \tag{1.11}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

$T$ he conductivity tensor is the $m$ atrix inverse of this so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J=E ; \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
=\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { nec } & 0 & 1  \tag{1.13}\\
\mathrm{~B} & +1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

N otice that, paradoxically, the system looks insulating since $\mathrm{xx}=0$ and yet it looks like a perfect conductor since $x x=0$. In an ordinary insulator $x y=0$ and so $x x=1$. Here $x y=\frac{n e c}{B} 0$ and so the inverse exists.
$T$ he argum ent given above relies only on Lorentz covariance. The only property of the 2D E G that entered was the density. T he argum ent w orks equally w ell whether the system is classical or quantum, whether the electron state is liquid, vapor, or solid. It sim ply does not $m$ atter. T hus, in the absence of disorder, the $H$ alle ect teaches us nothing about the system other than its density. T he H all resistivity is sim ply a linear function ofm agnetic eld whose slope tells us about the density. In the quantum $H$ all regim e we would therefore see none of the novel physics in the absence of disorder since disorder is needed to destroy translation invariance. O nce the translation invariance is destroyed there is a preferred fram e of reference and the Lorentz covariance argum ent given above fails.
$F$ igure (12) show $s$ the rem arkable transport data for a real device in the quantum $H$ all regim e. Instead of a $H$ all resistivity which is simply a linear


Figure 12: Integer and fractional quantum $H$ all transport data show ing the plateau regions in the H all resistance $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}$ and associated dips in the dissipative resistance $R$. The num bers indicate the Landau level lling factors at which various features occur. A fter ref. [15].


Figure 1.3: Persistent current circulating in a quantum H all devioe having the C orbino geom etry. T he radialelectric eld is maintained by the charges which can not ow back together because $x x$ is nearly zero. These charges result from the radial current pulse associated with the azim uthal electric eld pulse produced by the applied ux ( $t$ ).
function ofm agnetic eld, we see a series of so-called $H$ allplateaus in which xy is a universal constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=\frac{1}{h} \frac{h}{e^{2}} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

independent of all m icroscopic details (including the precise value of the m agnetic eld). A ssociated w th each of these plateaus is a dram atic decrease in the dissipative resistivity xx ! 0 which drops as much as 13 orders ofm agnitude in the plateau regions. C learly the system is undergoing som e sort of sequence of phase transitions into highly idealized dissipationless states. Just as in a superconductor, the dissipationless state supports persistent currents. These can be produced in devices having the C orbino ring geom etry shown in $g$. (1.3). A pplying additional ux through the ring produces a tem porary azim uthalelectric eld by Faraday induction. A current pulse is induced at right angles to the E eld and produces a radial charge polarization as shown. This polarization induces a (quasi-) perm anent radialelectric eld which in tum causes persistent azim uthal currents. T orque $m$ agnetom eter $m$ easurem ents [16] have show $n$ that the currents can persist $10^{3}$ secs at very low tem peratures. A fter this tim e the tiny xx gradually allow s the radial charge polarization to dissipate. W e can think of the azim uthal currents as gradually spiraling outw ards due to the H allangle (betw een current and electric eld) being very slightly less than 90 (by $10^{13}$ ).
$W$ e have shown that the random impurity potential (and by im plication A nderson localization) is a necessary condition for H all plateaus to occur, but we have not yet understood precisely how this novel behavior com es about.

That is our next task.

### 1.2 C lassical and Sem i-C lassical D ynam ics

### 1.2.1 C lassical A pproxim ation

The classicalequations ofm otion for an electron of charge emoving in two di$m$ ensions under the in uence of the Lorentz force $\frac{e_{c}}{c} v B$ caused by a $m$ agnetic eld $\bar{B}=\mathrm{B} \hat{z}$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& m x=\frac{e B}{c} y  \tag{1.15}\\
& m y=+\frac{e B}{c} \underline{x}: \tag{1.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The general solution of these equations corresponds to $m$ otion in a circle of arbitrary radius R

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{x}=R\left(\cos \left(!_{C} t+\quad\right) ; \sin \left(!_{C} t+\right)\right): \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here is an arbitrary phase for the $m$ otion and

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{c} \quad \frac{e B}{m c} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

is known as the classicalcyclotron frequency. N otice that the period of the orb it is independent of the radius and that the tangential speed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{R}!\mathrm{c} \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

controls the radius. A fast particle travels in a large circle but retums to the starting point in the sam e length of tim e as a slow particle which (necessarily) travels in a small circle. The motion is thus isochronous much like that of a harm onic oscillator $w$ hose period is independent of the am plitude of the $m$ otion. This apparent analogy is not an accident as we shall see when we study the H am iltonian (which we will need for the fiullquantum solution).

Because of som e subtleties involving distinctions betw een canonical and $m \mathrm{e}-$ chanicalm om entum in the presence of a magnetic eld, it is worth review ing the form alLagrangian and H am iltonian approaches to this problem. T he above classical equations ofm otion follow from the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m \underline{x} \underline{x} \quad \frac{e}{C} \underline{x} A ; \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=1 ; 2$ refers to $x$ and $y$ respectively and $\mathbb{A}$ is the vector potential evaluated at the position of the particle. (W e use the $E$ instein sum $m$ ation convention throughout this discussion.) U sing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L}{x}=\frac{e}{c} \underline{x} @ A \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L}{\underline{x}}=m \underline{x} \quad \frac{e}{c} A \tag{122}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Euler-Lagrange equation ofm otion becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.m x=\frac{e}{c} @ A \quad @ A\right] \underline{x}: \tag{123}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{B} & =\tilde{\mathrm{r}} \tilde{\mathrm{~A}}  \tag{124}\\
\mathrm{~B} & =\mathbb{A} \tag{125}
\end{align*}
$$

show $s$ that this is equivalent to eqs. (1.15\{1.16).
O nce we have the Lagrangian we can deduce the canonicalm om entum

$$
\begin{align*}
p & \frac{L}{\underline{x}} \\
= & m \underline{x}{\underset{c}{c}}^{A} ; \tag{1.26}
\end{align*}
$$

and the H am iltonian
$\mathrm{H}[\mathrm{p} ; \mathrm{x}] \quad \mathrm{x} \mathrm{p} \mathrm{L} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{x}}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{1}{2 m} p+\frac{e}{C} A \quad p+\frac{e}{C} A \quad: \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall that the Lagrangian is canonically a function of the positions and velocities while the Ham iltonian is canonically a function of the positions and $m$ om enta). T he quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ech }} \quad \mathrm{p}+\frac{e^{-}}{\mathrm{C}} \tag{128}
\end{equation*}
$$

is know $n$ as the $m$ echanical $m$ om entum. H am ilton's equations of $m$ otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \underline{x}=\frac{@ H}{@ p}=\frac{1}{m} p_{m \text { ech }}  \tag{129}\\
& \underline{p}=\frac{@ H}{@ x}=\frac{e}{m c} p+\frac{e}{c} A \quad @ A \tag{1.30}
\end{align*}
$$

show that it is the $m$ echanicalm om entum, not the canonicalm om entum, which is equal to the usual expression related to the velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { ech }}=\mathrm{m} \underline{\mathrm{x}}: \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Ham ilton's equations of $m$ otion we can recover $N$ ew ton's law for the Lorentz foroe given in eq. (123) by simply taking a time derivative of $x$ in eq. (1.29) and then using eq. (1.30).

The distinction betw een canonical and $m$ echanical $m$ om entum can lead to confusion. For exam ple it is possible for the particle to have a nite velocity
while having zero (canonical) mom entum! Furtherm ore the canonicalm om entum is dependent (as we will see later) on the choice of gauge for the vector potential and hence is not a physical observable. T he m echanical m om entum, being sim ply related to the velocity (and hence the current) is physically observable and gauge invariant. T he classicalequations ofm otion only involve the curl of the vector potential and so the particular gauge choice is not very im portant at the classical level. We will therefore delay discussion of gauge choioes until we study the fullquantum solution, where the issue is unavoidable.

### 1.2.2 Sem i-classical A pproxim ation

Recall that in the sem i-classical approxim ation used in transport theory we considerw ave packets $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{t}) ; \mathrm{K}_{( }(\mathrm{t})(x ; t) \mathrm{m}$ ade up of a linear supenposition of loch w aves. These packets are large on the scale of the de B roglie w avelength so that they have a well-de ned central wave vector $K^{\prime}(t)$, but they are sm all on the scale of everything else (extemal potentials, etc.) so that they sim ultaneously can be considered to have well-de ned $m$ ean position $R(t)$. $N$ ote that $K$ and $R$ are param eters labeling the wave packet not argum ents.) $W$ e then argue (and w ill discuss further below) that the solution of the Schrodinger equation in this sem iclassical lim it gives a wave packet whose param eters $K(t)$ and $K(t)$ obey the appropriate analog of the classical H am ilton equations ofm otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& R \quad=\frac{@_{R ; K} H_{R} j_{K^{i}}}{@ h K}  \tag{1.32}\\
& h K_{-}=\frac{@ h_{K ; K^{j} j_{K ; K^{i}}}^{@ R}: ~}{\text { R }} \tag{1.33}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ aturally this leads to the sam e circular motion of the wave packet at the classical cyclotron frequency discussed above. For weak elds and fast electrons the radius of these circular orbits $w$ illbe large com pared to the size of the $w$ ave packets and the sem i-classical approxim ation w ill be valid. H ow ever at strong elds, the approxim ation begins to break dow $n$ because the onbits are too sm all and because $h$ ! ${ }_{c}$ becom es a signi cant (large) energy. Thus we anticipate that the sem i-classical regim e requires $h!_{C} \quad F$, where $F$ is the Ferm ienergy.

W e have already seen hints that the problem we are studying is really a harm onic oscillator problem. For the harm onic oscillator there is a characteristic energy scale h! (in this caseh!c) and a characteristic length scale 'for the zeropoint uctuations of the position in the ground state. T he analog quantity in this problem is the so-called $m$ agnetic length

$$
\begin{equation*}
, \quad \frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{eB}}}=\frac{257 \mathrm{~A}}{\frac{\frac{\mathrm{~B}}{1 \text { tesla }}}{}} \text { : } \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The physical interpretation of this length is that the area $2{ }^{2}$ contains one
quantum ofm agnetic ux owhere ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{h c}{e}: \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is to say, the density ofm agnetic ux is

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{0}{2 \mathrm{n}}: \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

To be in the sem iclassicallim it then requires that the Ferm iw avelength be sm allon the scale of the $m$ agnetic length so that $k_{F}$ ' 1 . $T$ his condition tums out to be equivalent to $h!_{c} \quad$ F so they are not separate constraints.

E xercise 1.1 U se the B ohr-Som m erfeld quantization condition that the onbit have a circum ference containing an integral num ber of de $B$ roglie wavelengths to nd the allowed orbits of a 2D electron $m$ oving in a uniform magnetic eld. Show that each successive orbit encloses precisely one additional quantum of $u x$ in its interior. $H$ int: It is im portant to $m$ ake the distinction between the canonicalm om entum (which controls the de Broglie wavelength) and the me chanicalm om entum (which controls the velocity). The calculation is sim pli ed if one uses the sym $m$ etric gauge $\mathbb{A}=\frac{1}{2} थ \quad B$ in which the vector potential is purely azim uthal and independent of the azim uthal angle.

### 1.3 Q uantum Dynam ics in Strong B Fields

Since we will be dealing w ith the H am iltonian and the Schrodinger equation, our rst order of business is to choose a gauge for the vector potential. O ne convenient choice is the so-called Landau gauge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{A}(x)=x B \hat{y} \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obeys $\tilde{r} \quad \widetilde{A}=B \mathcal{z}$. In this gauge the vector potential points in the $y$ direction but varies only with the $x$ position, as ilhustrated in $g$. (1.4). H ence the system still has translation invariance in the $y$ direction. N otice that the $m$ agnetic eld (and hence all the physics) is translationally invariant, but the H am iltonian is not! (See exercise 12.) This is one of $m$ any peculiarities of dealing $w$ ith vector potentials.

E xercise 1.2 Show for the Landau gauge that even though the H am iltonian is not invariant for translations in the x direction, the physics is still invariant since the change in the H am iltonian that occurs under translation is sim ply equivalent to a gauge change. P rove this for any arbitrary gauge, assum ing only that the $m$ agnetic eld is uniform.

[^3]

Figure 1.4: Illustration of the Landau gauge vector potential $\tilde{A}=x B \hat{y} . T$ he $m$ agnetic eld is perfectly uniform, but the vector potential has a preferred origin and orientation corresponding to the particular gauge choice.

The H am iltonian can be written in the Landau gauge as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m} p_{x}^{2}+\left(p_{y}+\frac{e B}{c} x\right)^{2} \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking advantage of the translation sym $m$ etry in the $y$ direction, let us attem pt a separation of variables by writing the wave function in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x ; y)=e^{i k y} f_{k}(x): \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his has the advantage that it is an eigenstate of $p_{y}$ and hence we can $m$ ake the replacem ent $p_{y}$ ! $h k$ in the $H$ am iltonian. A fter separating variables we have the e ective one-dim ensional Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k} f_{k}(x)={ }_{k} f_{k}(x) ; \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k} \quad \frac{1}{2 m} p_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 m} h k+\frac{e B}{c} x^{2}: \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is sim ply a one-dim ensionaldisplaced harm onic oscillator ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}=\frac{1}{2 m} p_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m!_{c}^{2} x+k^{2^{2}} \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^4]whose frequency is the classical cyclotron frequency and whose central position $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}^{2}$ is (som ew hat paradoxically) determ ined by the y m om entum quantum num ber. T hus for each plane wave chosen for the $y$ direction there $w$ ill be an entire fam ily of energy eigenvalues
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{kn}=\left(\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{h}!\mathrm{c} \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

which depend only on n are completely independent of the y m om entum hk . $T$ he corresponding (unnorm alized) eigenfunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
n k(x)=P_{\bar{L}}^{1} e^{i k y} H_{n}\left(x+k^{r^{2}}\right) e^{\frac{1}{2^{\prime 2}\left(x+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}} ; \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{n}$ is (as usual for ham onic oscillators) the nth $H$ erm ite polynom ial (in this case displaced to the new centralposition $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ ).

E xercise 1.3 Verify that eq. (1.44) is in fact a solution of the Schrodinger equation as claim ed.

These harm onic oscillator levels are called Landau levels. D ue to the lack of dependence of the energy on $k$, the degeneracy of each level is enorm ous, as we w ill now show. W e assum e periodic boundary conditions in the y direction. B ecause of the vector potential, it is im possible to sim ultaneously have periodic boundary conditions in the x direction. H ow ever since the basis $w$ ave functions are harm onic oscillatorpolynom ialsm ultiplied by strongly converging gaussians, they rapidly vanish for positions aw ay from the center position $X_{0}=k^{2}$. Let us suppose that the sam ple is rectangular $w$ ith dim ensions $L_{x} ; L_{y}$ and that the left hand edge is at $x=L_{x}$ and the right hand edge is at $x=0$. Then the values of the $w$ avevector $k$ for which the basis state is substantially inside the sam ple run from $k=0$ to $k=L_{x}={ }^{2}$. It is clear that the states at the left edge and the right edge di er strongly in their $k$ values and hence periodic boundary conditions are im possible.

The total num ber of states in each Landau level is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
N={\frac{L_{Y}}{2}}_{0}^{Z_{L_{x}=V^{2}}} d k=\frac{L_{x} L_{Y}}{2}=N \tag{1.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
N \quad \frac{B L_{x} L_{y}}{0} \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the num ber of ux quanta penetrating the sam ple. T hus there is one state per Landau levelper ux quantum which is consistent w ith the sem iclassical result from Exercise (1.1). N otice that even though the fam ily of allow ed wavevectors

[^5]is only one-dim ensional, we nd that the degeneracy of each Landau level is extensive in the two-dim ensional area. The reason for this is that the spacing betw een wave vectors allowed by the periodic boundary conditions $k=\frac{2}{\mathrm{~L}_{y}}$ decreases while the range of allowed wave vectors [ 0 ; $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}={ }^{2}$ ] increases w ith increasing $L$. The reader $m$ ay also worry that for very large sam ples, the range of allowed values of $k \mathrm{w}$ ill be so large that it will fall outside the rst B rillouin zone forcing us to include band $m$ ixing and the periodic lattice potentialbeyond the e ective $m$ ass approxim ation. This is not true how ever, since the canonical m om entum is a gauge dependent quantity. The value of $k$ in any particular region of the sam ple can be $m$ ade sm allby shifting the origin of the coordinate system to that region (thereby $m$ aking a gauge transform ation).

The w idth of the ham onic oscillator wave functions in the nth Landau level is of order ${ }^{P} \bar{n}$, $T$ h is is $m$ icroscopic com pared to the system size, but note that the spacing betw een the centers

$$
\begin{equation*}
=k^{2}=\frac{2^{2}}{L_{y}} \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

is vastly sm aller (assum ing $L_{Y} \gg$ ). Thus the supports of the di erent basis states are strongly overlapping (but they are still orthogonal).

E xercise 1.4 U sing the fact that the energy for the nth harm onic oscillator state is $\left(\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{h}!\mathrm{c}$, present a sem i-classical argum ent explaining the result claim ed above that the width of the support of the wave function scales as p ,

E xercise 1.5 U sing the Landau gauge, construct a gaussian wave packet in the lowest Landau level of the form

$$
(x ; y)=a_{1}^{Z+1} a_{k} e^{i k y} e^{\frac{1}{2^{\prime 2}\left(x+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} ;, ~ ; ~}
$$

choosing $a_{k}$ in such a way that the w ave packet is localized as closely as possible around some point $R$. W hat is the sm allest size wave packet that can be constructed w ithout $m$ ixing in higher Landau levels?
$H$ aving now found the eigenfunctions for an electron in a strong $m$ agnetic eld we can relate them back to the sem i-classicalpicture of w ave packets undergoing circular cyclotron $m$ otion. C onsider an initial sem iclassical w ave packet located at som e position and having som e speci ed $m$ om entum. In the sem iclassical lim it the $m$ ean energy of this packet will greatly exceed the cyclotron energy $\frac{h^{2} K^{2}}{2 m} \quad h!c$ and hence it $w$ ill be $m$ ade up of a linear com bination of a large num ber of di erent Landau level states centered around $n=\frac{h^{2} K^{2}}{2 m h!c}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; t)=X_{n}^{Z} L_{y} \frac{d k}{2} a_{n}(\widetilde{K}) n k_{n}(x) e^{i\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)!c_{c}}: \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that in an ordinary 2D problem at zero eld, the com plete set of plane wave states would be labeled by a 2D continuous mom entum label. Here we
have one discrete label (the Landau level index) and a 1D continuous labels (the $y \mathrm{w}$ ave vector). Thus the sum ' over the com plete set of states is actually a com bination of a sum $m$ ation and an integration.
$T$ he details of the initial position and $m$ om entum are controlled by the am plitudes $a_{n}(\widetilde{k})$. W e can im $m$ ediately see how ever, that since the energy levels are exactly evenly spaced that the $m$ otion is exactly periodic:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x ; t+\frac{2}{!_{c}}\right)=(x ; t): \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

If one works through the details, one nds that the m otion is indeed circular and corresponds to the expected sem i-classical cyclotron onbit.

For sim plicity we will restrict the rem ainder of our discussion to the low est Landau level where the (correctly norm alized) eigenfiunctions in the Landau gauge are (dropping the index $\mathrm{n}=0$ from now on):

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(x)=P \frac{1}{1=2 L^{\prime}} e^{i k y} e^{\frac{1}{2^{\prime 2}\left(x+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}} \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and every state has the sam e energy eigenvalue $k=\frac{1}{2} h!_{c}$.
W e im agine that the m agnetic eld (and hence the Landau levelspletting) is very large so that we can ignore higher Landau levels. (T here are som e subtleties here to which we will retum.) Because the states are all degenerate, any wave packet $m$ ade up of any combination of the basis states $w i l l$ be a stationary state. T he total current will therefore be zero. W e anticipate how ever from sem iclassical considerations that there should be som e rem nant of the classical circular m otion visible in the local current density. To see this note that the expectation value of the current in the $k$ th basis state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \mathcal{J}=e_{m}^{1}{ }^{D} p+\frac{e}{C} k^{E}: \tag{1.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The y com ponent of the current is

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{y} i & =\frac{e^{Z}}{m^{1=2,}} d x e^{\frac{1}{2^{\prime 2}\left(x+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}} h k+\frac{e B}{c} x e^{\frac{1}{2^{\prime 2}\left(x+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}}} \\
& =\frac{e!c}{1=2,} d x e^{\frac{1}{1^{2}\left(x+k^{\prime 2}\right)^{2}} x+k^{\prime^{2}}} \tag{1.52}
\end{align*}
$$

W e see from the integrand that the current density is antisym $m$ etric about the peak of the gaussian and hence the total current vanishes. T his antisym $m$ etry (positive vertical current on the left, negative vertical current on the right) is the rem nant of the sem iclassical circular $m$ otion.

Let us now consider the case of a uniform electric eld pointing in the x direction and giving rise to the potential energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(x)=+e E x: \tag{1.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his still has translation sym $m$ etry in the $y$ direction and so our Landau gauge choige is still the $m$ ost convenient. A gain separating variables we see that the
solution is nearly the sam e as before, except that the displacem ent of the harm onic oscillator is slightly di erent. T he H am iltonian in eq. (1.54) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k}=\frac{1}{2 m} p_{x}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} m!_{c}^{2} x+k^{\prime^{2}}+e E x: \tag{1.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Com pleting the square we see that the oscillator is now centered at the new position

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}=k^{2} \frac{e E}{m!_{c}^{2}} \tag{1.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the energy eigenvalue is now linearly dependent on the particle's peak position $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$ (and therefore linear in the y m om entum )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~h}!_{\mathrm{c}}+e E X_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m} v^{2} ; \tag{1.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \quad \stackrel{E}{\stackrel{E}{B}}: \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

B ecause of the shift in the peak position of the wavefiunction, the perfect antisym $m$ etry of the current distribution is destroyed and there is a net current

$$
\begin{equation*}
h J_{y} i=e v \tag{1.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

show ing that $v \hat{y}$ is sim ply the usual $c E \quad B=B^{2}$ drift velocity. This result can be derived either by explicitly doing the integral for the current or by noting that the wave packet group velocity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{h} \frac{@_{k}}{@ k}=\frac{e E}{h} \frac{@ X_{k}}{@ k}=v \tag{1.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

independent of the value of $k$ (since the electric eld is a constant in this case, giving rise to a strictly linear potential). Thus we have recovered the correct kinem atics from our quantum solution.

It should be noted that the applied electric eld tilts' the Landau levels in the sense that their energy is now linear in position as illustrated in g.(1.5). $T h$ is $m$ eans that there are degeneracies betw een di erent Landau level states because di erent kinetic energy can com pensate di erent potential energy in the electric eld. N evertheless, we have found the exact eigenstates (i.e., the stationary states). It is not possible for an electron to decay into one of the other degenerate states because they have di erent canonical m om enta. If how ever disorder or phonons are available to break translation sym $m$ etry, then these decays becom e allow ed and dissipation can appear. The $m$ atrix elem ents for such processes are sm all if the electric eld is weak because the degenerate states are w idely separated spatially due to the sm all till of the Landau levels.


Figure 1.5: Tlhustration ofelectron Landau energy levels $n+\frac{1}{2} h!c$ vs.position $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{Z}}$. (a) Zero electric eld case. (b) C asew ith nite electric eld pointing in the $+\hat{x}$ direction.

E xercise 1.6 It is interesting to note that the exact eigenstates in the presence of the electric eld can be viewed as displaced oscillator states in the original (zero E eld) basis. In this basis the displaced states are linear com binations of all the Landau level excited states of the samek. U se rst-order perturbation theory to nd the am ount by which the $\mathrm{n}=1$ Landau level is $m$ ixed into the $n=0$ state. C om pare this $w$ ith the exact am ount of $m$ ixing com puted using the exact displaced oscillator state. Show that the two results agree to rst order in E. Because the displaced state is a linear com bination of $m$ ore than one Landau level, it can carry a nite current. G ive an argum ent, based on perturbation theory why the am ount of this current is inversely proportional to the $B$ eld, but is independent of the $m$ ass of the particle. $H$ int: how does the mass a ect the Landau level energy spacing and the current operator?

### 1.4 IQ H E E dge States

N ow that we understand drift in a uniform electric eld, we can consider the problem of electrons con ned in a Hall bar of nite width by a non-uniform electric eld. For sim plicity, we will consider the situation where the potential $V(x)$ is $s m$ ooth on the scale of the $m$ agnetic length, but this is not central to the discussion. If we assum $e$ that the system still has translation sym m etry in the $y$ direction, the solution to the Schrodinger equation $m$ ust still be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=p \frac{1}{\overline{L_{y}}} e^{i k y} f_{k}(x): \tag{1.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $f_{k} w i l l$ no longer be a sim $p l e$ harm onic $w$ ave function as $w e$ found in the case of the uniform electric eld. H ow ever we can anticipate that $f_{k} w$ ill


Figure 1.6: Tlhustration of a sm ooth con ning potentialwhich varies only in the $x$ direction. The horizontal dashed line indicates the equilibrium ferm i level. $T$ he dashed curve indicates the $w$ ave packet envelope $f_{k}$ which is displaced from its nom inalposition $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad \mathrm{k}^{2}$ by the slope of the potential.
still be peaked near (but in general not precisely at) the point $X_{k} \quad k^{2}$. The eigenvalues $k$ will no longer be precisely linear in $k$ but will still re ect the kinetic energy of the cyclotron $m$ otion phis the local potential energy $V\left(X_{k}\right)$ (plus sm all corrections analogous to the one in eq. (1.56)). T his is ilhustrated in g. (1.6). W e see that the group velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h}} \frac{\varrho_{\mathrm{k}}}{@ \mathrm{k}} \hat{y} \tag{1.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the opposite sign on the two edges of the sam ple. This m eans that in the ground state there are edge currents of opposite sign ow ing in the sam ple. T he sem i-classical interpretation of these currents is that they represent skipping orbits' in which the circular cyclotron $m$ otion is interrupted by collisions $w$ ith the walls at the edges as ilhustrated in $g$. (1.7).

O ne way to analyze the H alle ect in this system is quite analogous to the Landauer picture of transport in narrow w ires [17,18]. T he edge states play the role of the left and right $m$ oving states at the tw oferm i points. Because (as we saw earlier) $m$ om entum in a $m$ agnetic eld corresponds to position, the edge states are essentially real space realizations of the ferm i surface. A H all voltage drop across the sam ple in the $x$ direction corresponds to a di erence in electrochem icalpotentialbetw een the tw o edges. B orrow ing from the Landauer form ulation of transport, we w ill choose to apply this in the form of a chem ical potential di erence and ignore any changes in electrostatic potential. ${ }^{6}$ W hat this does is increase the num ber of electrons in skipping orbits on one edge of the sam ple and/or decrease the num ber on the other edge. P reviously the net current due to the two edges was zero, but now there is a net $H$ all current. To calculate th is current we have to add up the group velocities of all the occupied

[^6]

Figure 1.7: Sem i-classical view of skipping orbits at the ferm i level at the two edges of the sam ple where the con ning electric eld causes E B drift. The circular orbit illustrated in the center of the sam ple carries no net drift current if the localelectric eld is zero.
states

$$
\begin{equation*}
I={\frac{e}{L_{y}}}_{1}^{Z+1} d k \frac{L_{y}}{2} \frac{1}{h} \frac{@_{k}}{@ k} n_{k} ; \tag{1.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for the $m$ om ent we assum e that in the bulk, only a single Landau level is occupied and $n_{k}$ is the probability that state $k$ in that Landau level is occupied. A ssum ing zero tem perature and noting that the integrand is a perfect derivative, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{e^{Z}}{h} d=\frac{e}{h}[L \quad R]: \tag{1.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T$ o understand the order of lim its of integration, recall that as $k$ increases, $X_{k}$ decreases.) The de nition of the $H$ all voltage drop is ${ }^{7}$

$$
\left.(+e) V_{H} \quad(+e) V_{R} \quad V_{\mathrm{L}}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R} & \mathrm{~L} \tag{1.64}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{I}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{H}} ; \tag{1.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have now allow ed for the possibility that di erent Landau levels are occupied in the bulk and hence there are separate edge channels contributing

[^7]to the current. This is the analog of having bpen' channels in the Landauer transport picture. In the Landauer picture for an ordinary w ire, we are considering the longitudinal voltage drop (and com puting xx ), while here we have the H all voltage drop (and are com puting xy ). The analogy is quite precise how ever because we view the right and left $m$ overs as having distributions controlled by separate chem ical potentials. It just happens in the QHE case that the right and left $m$ overs are physically separated in such a way that the voltage drop is transverse to the current. U sing the above result and the fact that the current ow s at right angles to the voltage drop we have the desired results
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& x \mathrm{x}=0  \tag{1.66}\\
& \mathrm{xy}=\frac{e^{2}}{\mathrm{~h}} ; \tag{1.67}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

w ith the quantum number being an integer.
So far we have been ignoring the possible e ects of disorder. R ecall that for a single-channel one-dim ensionalw ire in the Landauer picture, a disordered region in the $m$ iddle of the $w$ ire $w i l l$ reduce the conductivity to

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\frac{e^{2}}{h} \Vdash \Gamma ; \tag{1.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{T}^{?}$ is the probability for an electron to be transm itted through the disordered region. The reduction in transm itted current is due to back scattering. Rem arkably, in the QHE case, the back scattering is essentially zero in very w ide sam ples. To see this note that in the case of the $H$ all bar, scattering into a backw ard $m$ oving state would require transfer of the electron from one edge of the sam ple to the other since the edge states are spatially separated. For sam ples which are very wide com pared to the $m$ agnetic length ( $m$ ore precisely, to the A nderson localization length) the $m$ atrix elem ent for this is exponentially sm all. In short, there can be nothing but forw ard scattering. A $n$ incom ing wave given by eq. (1.60) can only be transm itted in the forw ard direction, at $m$ ost su ering a sim ple phase shiff $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { out }(x ; y)=p \frac{1}{\overline{L_{y}}} e^{i_{k}} e^{i k y} f_{k}(x): \tag{1.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is because no other states of the sam e energy are available. If the disorder causes Landau levelm ixing at the edges to occur (because the con ning potential is relatively steep) then it is possible for an electron in one edge channel to scatter into another, but the current is still going in the sam e direction so that there is no reduction in overall transm ission probability. It is this chiral (unidirectional) nature of the edge states which is responsible for the fact that the H all conductance is correctly quantized independent of the disorder.

D isorder w ill broaden the Landau levels in the bulk and provide a reservoir of (localized) states which will allow the chem ical potential to vary sm oothly w ith density. These localized states will not contribute to the transport and so the $H$ all conductance $w$ ill be quantized over a plateau of nite $w$ idth in $B$ (or
density) as seen in the data. Thus obtaining the universal value of quantized H allconductance to a precision of $10^{10}$ does not require ne tuning the applied B eld to a sim ilar precision.

The localization of states in the bulk by disorder is an essential part of the physics of the quantum $H$ alle ect as we saw when we studied the role of translation invariance. W e leamed previously that in zero magnetic eld all states are (w eakly) localized in tw o dim ensions. In the presence of a quantizing $m$ agnetic eld, $m$ ost states are strongly localized as discussed above. H ow ever if all states w ere localized then it would be im possible to have a quantum phase transition from one QHE plateau to the next. To understand how this works it is convenient to work in a sem iclassical percolation picture to be described below.

E xercise 1.7 Show that the num ber of edge channels whose energies lie in the gap between two Landau levels scales w ith the length $L$ of the sam ple, while the num ber of bulk states scales with the area. U se these facts to show that the range ofm agnetic eld in which the chem icalpotential lies in between two Landau levels scales to zero in the therm odynam ic lim it. H ence nite width quantized $H$ all plateaus can not occur in the absence of disorder that produces a reservoir of bocalized states in the bulk whose num ber is proportional to the area.

### 1.5 Sem iclassical P ercolation P icture

Let us consider a sm ooth random potential caused, say, by ionized silicon donors rem otely located aw ay from the 2 DEG in the GaAs sem iconductor host. W e take the $m$ agnetic eld to be very large so that the $m$ agnetic length is sm all on the scale over which the potential varies. In addition, we ignore the C oulom b interactions am ong the electrons.

W hat is the nature of the eigenfunctions in this random potential? W e have leamed how to solve the problem exactly for the case of a constant electric eld and know the general form of the solution when there is translation invariance in one direction. We found that the w ave functions w ere plane w aves running along lines ofconstant potentialenergy and having a w idth perpendicular to th is w hidh is very sm all and on the order of the $m$ agnetic length. T he reason for this is the discreteness of the kinetic energy in a strong $m$ agnetic eld. It is im possible for an electron stuck in a given Landau levelto continuously vary its kinetic energy. H ence energy conservation restricts its $m$ otion to regions of constant potential energy. In the lim it of in nite $m$ agnetic eld where Landau level mixing is com pletely negligible, this con nem ent to lines of constant potential becom es exact (as the $m$ agnetic length goes to zero).

W e are led to the follow ing som ew hat paradoxicalpicture. T he strong $m$ agnetic eld should be view ed as putting the system in the quantum lim it in the sense that $h!c$ is a very large energy (com parable to ${ }_{F}$ ). At the same time (if one assum es the potential is $s m$ ooth) one can argue that since the $m$ agnetic length is sm all com pared to the scale over which the random potential varies,
the system is in a sem i-classical lim it where sm allwave packets (on the scale of り) follow classicalE B drift trajectories.

From this discussion it then seem s very reasonable that in the presence of a sm ooth random potential, with no particular translation sym $m$ etry, the eigen functionsw illlive on contour lines of constant energy on the random energy surface. T hus low energy states w illbe found lying along contours in deep valleys in the potential landscape while high energy states w ill be found encircling m ountain tops' in the landscape. N aturally these extrem e states w illbe strongly localized about these extrem a in the potential.

E xercise 1.8 U sing the Lagrangian for a charged particle in a magnetic eld w ith a scalar potential $V$ ( $x$ ), consider the high eld lim it by setting the $m$ ass to zero (thereby sending the quantum cyclotron energy to in nity).

1. Derive the classical equations of $m$ otion from the Lagrangian and show that they yield sim ple E B drift along isopotential contours.
2. $F$ ind the $m$ om entum con jugate to the coordinate $x$ and show that (with an appropriate gauge choice) it is the coordinate $y$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{y} \tag{1.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that we have the strange com $m$ utation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x ; y]=\quad i^{2}: \tag{1.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the in nite eld lim it where ' ! 0 the coordinates commute and we recover the sem i-classical result in which e ectively point particles drift along isopotentials.

To understand the nature of states at interm ediate energies, it is useful to im agine gradually lling a random landscape $w$ ith water as illustrated in g. (1.8). In this analogy, sea level represents the chem ical potential for the electrons. W hen only a sm all am ount of water has been added, the water will 11 the deepest valleys and form sm all lakes. A s the sea level is increased the lakes w ill grow larger and their shorelines will begin to take on m ore com plex shapes. At a certain critical value of sea level a phase transition will occur in which the shoreline percolates from one side of the system to the other. A s the sea level is raised still further, the ocean w ill cover the m a jority of the land and only a few mountain tops w ill stick out above the water. T he shore line will no longer percolate but only surround the m ountain tops.

A s the sea level is raised still higher additional percolation transitions w ill occur successively as each successive Landau level passes under water. If Landau levelm ixing is $s m$ alland the disorder potential is sym $m$ etrically distributed about zero, then the critical value of the chem ical potential for the $n$th perco-


Figure 1.8: C ontour $m$ ap of a sm ooth random landscape. C losed dashed lines indicate localm ountain peaks. C losed solid lines indicate valleys. From top to bottom, the gray lled areas indicate the increasing sea level' whose shoreline nally percolates from one edge of the sam ple to the other (bottom panel). The particle-hole excitations live along the shoreline and becom e gapless when the shoreline becom es in nite in extent.
lation transition will occur near the center of the nth Landau level

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{n}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{c}}: \tag{1.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

This percolation transition corresponds to the transition betw een quantized H allplateaus. To see why, note that when the sea level is below the percolation point, $m$ ost of the sam $p l e$ is dry land. The electron gas is therefore insulating. W hen sea level is above the percolation point, $m$ ost of the sam ple is covered w ith water. The electron gas is therefore connected throughout the $m$ a jority of the sam ple and a quantized $H$ allcurrent can be carried. A nother w ay to see this is to note that when the sea level is above the percolation point, the con ning potentialw illm ake a shoreline along the fiull length of each edge of the sam ple. $T$ he edge states $w$ ill then carry current from one end of the sam ple to the other.

W e can also understand from this picture why the dissipative conductivity xx has a sharp peak just as the plateau transition occurs. (Recall the data in $g$. (12).) A way from the critical point the circum ference of any particular patch of shoreline is nite. The period of the sem iclassicalorbit around this is nite and hence so is the quantum level spacing. Thus there are sm all energy gaps for excitation of states across these real-space ferm i levels. A dding an in nitesim al electric eld will only weakly perturb these states due to the gap and the niteness of the perturbing $m$ atrix elem ent which $w i l l$ be lim ited to values on the order of eED where D is the diam eter of the orbit. If how ever the shoreline percolates from one end of the sam ple to the other then the orbital


Figure 1.9: Illustration of edge states that wander deep into the bulk as the quantum H all localization transition is approached from the conducting side. Solid arrow s indicate the direction of drift along the isopotential lines. D ashed arrow s indicate quantum tunneling from one sem i-classicalorbit (edge state) to the other. T his backscattering localizes the eigenstates and prevents transm ission through the sam ple using the 'edge' states (w hich becom e part of the bulk localized states).
period diverges and the gap vanishes. An in nitesim al electric eld can then cause dissipation of energy.

A nother way to see this is that as the percolation level is approached from above, the edge states on the two sides will begin taking detours deeper and deeper into the bulk and begin comm unicating with each other as the localization length diverges and the shoreline zig zags throughout the bulk of the sam ple. T hus electrons in one edge state can be back scattered into the other edge states and ultim ately re ected from the sam ple as illustrated in $g$. (1.9).

Because the random potential broadens out the Landau level density of states, the quantized H all plateaus will have nite width. As the chem ical potential is varied in the regim e of localized states in betw een the Landau level peaks, only the occupancy of localized states is changing. H ence the transport properties rem ain constant until the next percolation transition occurs. It is im portant to have the disorder present to produce this nite density of states and to localize those states.

It is known that as the (classical) percolation point is approached in two dim ensions, the characteristic size (diam eter) of the shoreline orbits diverges like

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \text { g=3; } \tag{1.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m$ easures the deviation of the sea level from its critical value. The shoreline structure is not sm ooth and in fact its circum ference diverges w ith a
larger exponent $7=3$ show ing that these are highly ram i ed fractalob jects w hose circum ference scales as the 7=4th pow er of the diam eter.

So farwe have assum ed that the m agnetic length is essentially zero. T hat is, we have ignored the fact that the w ave function support extends a sm alldistance transverse to the isopotential lines. If tw o di erent orbits $w$ ith the sam e energy pass near each other but are classically disconnected, the particle can stilltunnel betw een them ifthem agnetic length is nite. T hisquantum tunneling causes the localization length to diverge faster than the classicalpercolation $m$ odelpredicts. N um erical sim ulations nd that the localization length diverges like [19\{22]
j j
where the exponent (not to be confused w th the Landau level lling factor!) has a value close (but probably not exactly equal to) $7=3$ rather than the $4=3$ found in classicalpercolation. It is believed that this exponent is universal and independent of Landau level index.

E xperim ents on the quantum critical behavior are quite di cult but there is evidence [23], at least in selected sam ples which show good scaling, that is indeed close to $7=3$ (although there is som e recent controversy on this point. [24]) and that the conductivity tensor is universal at the critical point. [21,25] W hy C oulomb interactions that are present in real sam ples do not spoil agreem ent w ith the num erical sim ulations is som ething of a mystery at the tim e of this writing. For a discussion of som e of these issues see [13].

### 1.6 Fractional Q H E

Under some circum stances of weak (but non-zero) disorder, quantized H all plateaus appear which are characterized by sim ple rational fractional quantum num bers. For exam ple, at $m$ agnetic elds three tim es larger than those at which the $=1$ integer lling factorplateau occurs, the low est Landau levelis only $1 / 3$ occupied. The system ought to be below the percolation threshold and hence be insulating. Instead a robust quantized H all plateau is observed indicating that electrons can travel through the sample and that (since $x x \quad!0$ ) there is an excitation gap. This novel and quite unexpected physics is controlled by C oulom b repulsion betw een the electrons. It is best understood by rst ignoring the disorder and trying to discover the nature of the special correlated $m$ anybody ground state into which the electrons condense when the lling factor is a rational fraction.

For reasons that will becom e clear later, it is convenient to analyze the problem in a new gauge

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{A}=\frac{1}{2} x \quad B \tag{1.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

know $n$ as the sym $m$ etric gauge. Unlike the Landau gauge which preserves translation sym $m$ etry in one direction, the sym $m$ etric gauge preserves rotationalsym $m$ etry about the origin. H ence we anticipate that angular $m$ om entum (rather than $y$ linear $m$ om entum ) willbe a good quantum num ber in this gauge.

For sim plicity we w ill restrict our attention to the low est Landau level only and (sim ply to avoid som e aw kw ard m inus signs) change the sign of the B eld: $B=B z . W$ ith these restrictions, it is not hard to show that the solutions of the free-particle Schrodinger equation having de nite angularm om entum are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime_{m}=p \frac{1}{2{ }^{\mathrm{R}} 2^{m} m!} z^{m} e^{\frac{1}{4} j f^{f}} \tag{1.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z=(x+i y)='$ is a dim ension less com plex num ber representing the position vector $x \quad(x ; y)$ and $m \quad 0$ is an integer.

Exercise 1.9 Verify that the basis functions in eq. (1.76) do solve the Schrodinger equation in the absence of a potential and do lie in the lowest Landau level. Hint: Rewrite the kinetic energy in such a way that $p \mathbb{A}$ becom es B L.

The angularm om entum of these basis states is of course hm . If we restrict our attention to the low est Landau level, then there exists only one state w ith any given angular $m$ om entum and only non-negative values of $m$ are allowed. This handedness' is a result of the chirality built into the problem by the m agnetic eld.

It seem s rather peculiar that in the Landau gauge we had a continuous onedim ensional fam ily of basis states for this two-dim ensional problem. N ow we nd that in a di erent gauge, we have a discrete one dim ensional label for the basis states! Nevertheless, we still end up w ith the correct density of states per unit area. To see this note that the peak value of $j_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{f}$ occurs at a radius of $R_{\text {peak }}=\overline{2 m{ }^{2}}$. The area $2{ }^{2} m$ of a circle of this radius contains $m$ ux quanta. H ence we obtain the standard result of one state per Landau levelper quantum of ux penetrating the sample.

Because all the basis states are degenerate, any linear com bination of them is also an allow ed solution of the Schrodinger equation. H ence any function of the form [26]

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y)=f(z) e^{\frac{1}{4} j z f^{z}} \tag{1.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

is allowed so long as $f$ is analytic in its argum ent. In particular, arbitrary polynom ials of any degree N

$$
f(z)=\sum_{j=1}^{Y^{N}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Z & Z_{j} \tag{1.78}
\end{array}\right)
$$

are allowed (at least in the them odynam ic lim it) and are conveniently de ned by the locations of their $N$ zeros $\mathrm{fZ}_{j} ; j=1 ; 2 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}$ g.

A nother useful solution is the so-called coherent state which is a particular in nite order polynom ial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \quad \frac{1}{2{ }^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad z e^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \text { : } \tag{1.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The wave function using this polynom ial has the property that it is a narrow gaussian w ave packet centered at the position de ned by the com plex num ber . C om pleting the square show $s$ that the probability density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad \jmath=\text { if } \jmath e^{\frac{1}{2} j z j^{2}}=\frac{1}{2{ }^{2}} e^{\frac{1}{2} j z} j^{2} \tag{1.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is the sm allest w ave packet that can be constructed from states $w$ ithin the low est Landau level. The readerw ill nd it instructive to com pare this gaussian packet to the one constructed in the Landau gauge in exercise (1.5).

Because the kinetic energy is com pletely degenerate, the e ect of Coulom b interactions am ong the particles is nontrivial. To develop a feel for the problem, let us begin by solving the tw o-body problem. Recall that the standard procedure is to take advantage of the rotational sym $m$ etry to $w$ rite dow $n$ a solution $w$ th the relative angular $m$ om entum of the particles being a good quantum num ber and then solve the Schrodinger equation for the radial part of the $w$ ave function. H ere we nd that the analyticity properties of the wave functions in the low est Landau level greatly sim pli es the situation. If we know the angular behavior of a wave function, analyticity uniquely de nes the radial behavior. $T$ hus for exam ple for a single particle, know ing that the angular part of the $w$ ave function is $e^{i m}$, we know that the full wave function is guaranteed to uniquely be $r^{m} e^{i m} e^{\frac{1}{4} \dot{z} J^{2}}=z^{m} e^{\frac{1}{4} \dot{z} J^{2}}$.
$C$ onsider now the tw o body problem for particles $w$ th relative angular $m$ o$m$ entum $m$ and center of $m$ ass angular $m$ om entum $M$. The unique analytic wave function is (ignoring nom alization factors)

$$
\mathrm{mm}\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{1} & \left.z_{2}\right)^{m}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)^{M} e^{\frac{1}{4}\left(z_{1} f+j_{2} f\right)}: ~ \tag{1.81}
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $m$ and $M$ are non-negative integers, then the prefactor of the exponential is sim ply a polynom ial in the two argum ents and so is a state $m$ ade up of linear com binations of the degenerate onełbody basis states ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{m}$ given in eq. (1.76) and therefore lies in the low est Landau level. N ote that if the particles are spinless ferm ions then $m \mathrm{~m}$ ust be odd to give the correct exchange sym $m$ etry. Rem arkably, this is the exact (neglecting Landau levelm ixing) solution for the Schrodinger equation for any central potentialV ( $\left.\dot{\xi}_{1} \quad \bar{z}_{\mathcal{L}}\right)$ acting between the two particles. ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~W}$ e do not need to solve any radial equation because of the pow erfiul restrictions due to analyticity. There is only one state in the (low est Landau level) $H$ ilbert space $w$ th relative angular $m$ om entum $m$ and center of $m$ ass angular $m$ om entum $M$. H ence (neglecting Landau levelm ixing) it is an exact eigenstate of any centralpotential. mm is the exact answ er independent of the H am iltonian!
$T$ he corresponding energy eigenvalue $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is independent of M and is referred to as the $m$ th $H$ aldane pseudopotential

[^8]

Figure 1.10: The $H$ aldane pseudopotential $V_{m}$ vs. relative angularm om entum $m$ for tw o particles interacting via the C oulom b interaction. U nits are $e^{2}=$, where is the dielectric constant of the host sem iconductor and the nite thickness of the quantum well has been neglected.

The $H$ aldane pseudopotentials for the repulsive C oulom b potential are show $n$ in $g .(1.10)$. These discrete energy eigenstates represent bound states of the repulsive potential. If there were no $m$ agnetic eld present, a repulsive potential would of course have only a continuous spectrum with no discrete bound states. H ow ever in the presence of the $m$ agnetic eld, there are e ectively bound states because the kinetic energy has been quenched. O rdinarily two particles that have a lot of potentialenergy because of their repulsive interaction can $y$ apart converting that potential energy into kinetic energy. H ere how ever (neglecting Landau levelm ixing) the particles allhave xed kinetic energy. H ence particles that are repelling each other are stuck and can not escape from each other. O ne can view this sem i-classically as the tw o particles orbiting each other under the in uence of $E \quad B$ drift $w$ ith the Lorentz force preventing them from ying apart. In the presence ofan attractive potential the eigenvalues change sign, but of course the eigenfunctions rem ain exactly the sam e (since they are unique)!

The fact that a repulsive potential has a discrete spectrum for a pair of particles is (as we w ill shortly see) the central feature of the physics underlying the existence of an excitation gap in the fractional quantum H all e ect. O ne $m$ ight hope that since we have found analyticity to uniquely determ ine the two-body eigenstates, we m ight be able to determ ine $m$ any-particle eigen-
states exactly. The situation is com plicated how ever by the fact that for three or $m$ ore particles, the various relative angular $m$ om enta $\mathrm{L}_{12} ; \mathrm{L}_{13} ; \mathrm{L}_{23}$, etc. do not all com $m$ ute. $T$ hus we can not $w$ rite dow $n$ general exact eigenstates. W e w ill how ever be able to use the analyticity to great advantage and make exact statem ents for certain special cases.

E xercise 1.10 Express the exact lowest Landau level tw o-body eigenstate

$$
\left(z_{1} ; z_{2}\right)=\left(z_{1} \quad z_{2}\right)^{3} e^{\frac{1}{4} f \dot{z}_{1} j^{2}+\dot{\mathrm{z}}_{2} j^{2} g}
$$

in term s of the basis of all possible tw o-body S later determ inants.
E xercise 1.11 Verify the claim that the $H$ aldane pseudopotential $v_{m}$ is independent of the center of $m$ ass angular $m$ om entum $M$.

E xercise 1.12 Evaluate the H aldane pseudopotentials for the Coulom b potential $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{\mathrm{r}}$. Express your answer in units of $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{}$. For the speci c case of $=10$ and $B=10 \mathrm{~T}$, express your answer in K elvin.

E xercise 1.13 Take into acoount the nite thickness of the quantum well by assum ing that the one-particle basis states have the form

$$
m(z ; s)=\prime_{m}(z)(s) ;
$$

where $s$ is the coordinate in the direction norm al to the quantum well $W$ rite down (but do not evaluate) the form al expression for the $H$ aldane pseudopotentials in this case. Q ualitatively describe the e ect of nite thickness on the values of the di erent pseudopotentials for the case where the well thickness is approxim ately equal to the $m$ agnetic length.

### 1.6.1 T he $=1 \mathrm{~m}$ any-body state

So far we have found the one- and two-body states. O ur next task is to w rite dow $n$ the wave function for a filly lled Landau level. W e need to nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
[z]=f[z] e^{\frac{1}{4}}{ }^{P} j_{j}^{j_{j} f} \tag{1.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where [z] stands for ( $\mathrm{z}_{1} ; \mathrm{z}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) and f is a polynom ial representing the Slater determ inant $w$ ith allstates occupied. C onsider the sim ple exam ple oftw o particles. W e want one particle in the orbital' 0 and one in ' ${ }_{1}$, as ilhustrated schem atically in g. (1.11a). T hus (again ignoring norm alization)

$$
\begin{align*}
f[z] & =\begin{array}{ll}
\left(z_{1}\right)^{0}\left(z_{2}\right)^{0} \\
\left(z_{1}\right)^{1} & \left(z_{2}\right)^{1}
\end{array}=\left(z_{1}\right)^{0}\left(z_{2}\right)^{1} \quad\left(z_{z}\right)^{0}\left(z_{1}\right)^{1} \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
z_{2} & \text { zi }
\end{array}\right) \tag{1.84}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1.11: O rbital occupancies for the $m$ axim al density led Landau level state $w$ th (a) tw o particles and (b) three particles. T here are no particle labels here. In the Slater determ inant wave function, the particles are labeled but a sum is taken over all possible perm utations of the labels in order to antisym $m$ etrize the $w$ ave function.
$T$ his is the low est possible order polynom ial that is antisym $m$ etric. For the case of three particles we have (see g. (1.11b))

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
f[z] & =\begin{array}{lll}
\left(z_{1}\right)^{0} & \left(z_{2}\right)^{0} & \left(z_{3}\right)^{0} \\
\left(z_{1}\right)^{1} & \left(z_{2}\right)^{1} & \left(z_{3}\right)^{1} \\
\left(z_{1}\right)^{2} & \left(z_{2}\right)^{2} & \left(z_{3}\right)^{2}
\end{array}=z_{2} z_{3}^{2} \\
& z_{3} z_{2}^{2}
\end{array} \quad \frac{1}{4} z_{3}^{2}+z_{3}^{1} z_{1}^{2}+z_{1} z_{2}^{2} \quad z_{2}^{1} z_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

$T$ his form for the Slater determ inant is know $n$ as the $V$ anderm onde polynom ial. $T$ he overallm inus sign is unim portant and we w ill drop it.
$T$ he single Slater determ inant to $l l$ the rst $N$ angular $m$ om entum states is a sim ple generalization of eq. (1.85)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{N}[z]=\sum_{i<j}^{Y^{W}}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right): \tag{1.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove that this is true for general N, note that the polynom ial is fully antisym $m$ etric and the highest pow er of any $z$ that appears is $z^{N}{ }^{1}$. Thus the highest angular $m$ om entum state that is occupied is $m=N \quad 1$. But since the antisym $m$ etry guarantees that no tw o particles can be in the sam e state, all $N$ states from $\mathrm{m}=0$ to $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{N} \quad 1 \mathrm{~m}$ ust be occupied. T his proves that we have the correct Slater determ inant.

E xercise 1.14 Show carefully that the V anderm onde polynom ial for $N$ particles is in fact totally antisym $m$ etric.

O ne can also use induction to show that the V anderm onde polynom ial is the correct Slater determ inant by w riting

$$
f_{N+1}(z)=f_{N}(z)^{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{\mathrm{V}}+1\right)
$$

which can be shown to agree w ith the result of expanding the determ inant of the $(\mathbb{N}+1) \quad(N+1) m$ atrix in term softhem inors associated w th the $(\mathbb{N}+1)$ st row or colum $n$.
$N$ ote that since the Vanderm onde polynom ial corresponds to the led Landau level it is the unique state having the $m$ axim um density and hence is an exact eigenstate for any form of interaction am ong the particles (neglecting Landau levelm ixing and ignoring the degeneracy in the center ofm ass angular m om entum ).

The (unnorm alized) probability distribution forparticles in the lled Landau level state is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j[z] j^{2}={ }_{i<j}^{Y^{M}} \dot{z}_{i} \quad z_{j} j e^{\frac{1}{2}{ }_{i}^{N}{ }_{j=1}^{N} \dot{z}_{j} j^{2}}: \tag{1.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his seem $s$ like a rather com plicated ob ject about which it is hard to $m$ ake any usefiulstatem ents. It is clear that the polynom ialterm tries to keep the particles aw ay from each other and gets larger as the particles spread out. It is also clear that the exponential term is $s m$ all if the particles spread out too $m$ uch. Such sim ple questions as, Is the density uniform ?', seem hard to answ er how ever.

It tums out that there is a beautiful analogy to plasm a physics developed by R.B. Laughlin which sheds a great deal of light on the nature of this $m$ any particle probability distribution. To see how this works, let us pretend that the norm of the wave function

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Z Z } \\
& \left.z \quad d^{2} z_{1}::: \quad d^{2} z_{N} \quad j_{[z]}\right]^{3} \tag{1.89}
\end{align*}
$$

is the partition function of a classicalstatisticalm echan ics problem w ith Boltz$m$ ann weight

$$
\begin{equation*}
j[z] j^{2}=e^{U \text { class }} \tag{1.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{2}{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {class }} \quad m^{2}{ }_{i<j}^{X}\left(\ln \dot{J}_{i} \quad z_{j} j\right)+\frac{m}{4}_{k}^{X} \dot{\mathrm{~K}}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{j}^{2}: \tag{1.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

(T he param eter $m=1$ in the present case but we introduce it for later convenience.) It is perhaps not obvious at rst glance that we have m ade trem endous progress, but w e have. $T$ his is because $U$ class tums out to be the potentialenergy of a fake classical one-com ponent plasm a of particles of charge $m$ in a uniform ('ellium ') neutralizing background. H ence we can bring to bear w ell-developed intuition about classical plasm a physics to study the properties of $j j^{2}$. P lease rem em ber how ever that all the statem ents we $m$ ake here are about a particular wave function. There are no actual long-range logarithm ic interactions in the quantum $H$ am iltonian for which this wave function is the approxim ate groundstate.

To understand this, let us rst review the electrostatics of charges in three dim ensions. For a charge $Q$ particle in 3D, the surface integral of the electric eld on a sphere of radius $R$ surrounding the charge obeys

## Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mathbb{A} \quad E=4 \mathrm{Q}: \tag{1.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the area of the sphere is $4 R^{2}$ we deduce

$$
\begin{align*}
E(x) & =Q \frac{\hat{Y}}{r^{2}}  \tag{1.93}\\
\prime(x) & =\frac{Q}{r} \tag{1.94}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r} E^{\prime}=r^{2}=4 Q^{3}(x) \tag{1.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where' is the electrostatic potential. N ow consider a tw o-dim ensional world where all the eld lines are con ned to a plane (or equivalently consider the electrostatics of in nitely long charged rods in 3D ). T he analogous equation for the line integral of the norm alelectric eld on a circle of radius $R$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{Z} \\
& \mathrm{ds} E=2 Q \tag{1.96}
\end{align*}
$$

where the 2 (instead of 4 ) appears because the circum ference of a circle is $2 R$ (and is analogous to $4 R^{2}$ ). Thuswe nd

$$
\begin{align*}
E(x) & =\frac{Q \hat{r}}{r}  \tag{1.97}\\
\prime(x) & =Q \quad \ln \frac{r}{r_{0}} \tag{1.98}
\end{align*}
$$

and the 2D version of Poisson's equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r} E=r^{2}=2 Q^{2}(x): \tag{1.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $r_{0}$ is an arbitrary scale factor $w$ hose value is im $m$ aterial since it only shifts ' by a constant.

W e now see why the potentialenergy of interaction am ong a group ofob jects $w$ ith charge $m$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0}=m_{i<j}^{2}\left(\ln \dot{J}_{i} \quad z_{j} \mathcal{J}\right): \tag{1.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Since $z=(x+i y)={ }^{\prime}$ we are using $r_{0}=\quad$ '.) $T$ his explains the rst term in eq. (1.91).

To understand the second term notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r^{2} \frac{1}{4} \dot{z} \tilde{j}=\quad \frac{1}{\imath^{2}}=2 \quad \text { B } \tag{1.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { в } \quad \frac{1}{2^{2}}: \tag{1.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (1.101) can be interpreted as Poisson's equation and tells us that $\frac{1}{4} \dot{k}{ }^{f}$ represents the electrostatic potential of a constant charge density в. Thus the second term in eq. (1.91) is the energy of charge $m$ ob jects interacting $w$ th this negative background.
$N$ otice that $2{ }^{2}$ is precisely the area containing one quantum of ux. $T$ hus the background charge density is precisely $B=0$, the density of $u x$ in units of the ux quantum.

The very long range forces in this fake plasm a cost huge (fake) energy' unless the plasm a is everyw here locally neutral (on length scales larger than the D ebye screening length which in this case is com parable to the particle spacing). In order to be neutral, the density $n$ of particles $m$ ust obey

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{nm}+\mathrm{B} & =0  \tag{1.103}\\
\text { ) } \mathrm{n} & =\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \frac{1}{2^{\mathrm{v}}} \tag{1.104}
\end{align*}
$$

since each particle carries (fake) charge m. For our led Landau levelw ith $m=1$, this is of course the correct answ er for the density since every singleparticle state is occupied and there is one state per quantum of ux.

W e again em phasize that the energy of the fake plasm a has nothing to do w ith the quantum $H$ am iltonian and the true energy. The plasm a analogy is $m$ erely a statem ent about this particular choice of $w$ ave function. It says that the square of the $w$ ave function is very $s m$ all (because $U_{\text {class }}$ is large) for con $g$ urations in which the density deviates even a smallam ount from $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & { }^{2}\end{array}\right)$. The electrons can in principle be found anyw here, but the overw helm ing probability is that they are found in a con guration which is locally random (liquid-like) but w th negligible density uctuations on long length scales. W e will discuss the nature of the typical con gurations again further below in connection w ith g. (1.12).

W hen the fractional quantum $H$ all e ect $w$ as discovered, $R$ obert Laughlin realized that one could w rite dow $n$ a $m$ any-body variational wave function at lling factor $=1=m$ by sim ply taking the $m$ th power of the polynom ial that describes the lled Landau level

$$
f_{N}^{m}[z]=\sum_{i<j}^{Y^{\mathrm{M}}}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right)^{m}:
$$

In order for this to rem ain analytic, $m \mathrm{~m}$ ust be an integer. To preserve the antisym $m$ etry $m \mathrm{~m}$ ust be restricted to the odd integers. In the plasm a analogy the particles now have fake charge $m$ (rather than unity) and the density of electrons is $\mathrm{n}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}} \frac{1}{2^{2}}$ so the Landau level lling factor $=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}=\frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{5} ; \frac{1}{7}$, etc. (Later on, other wave functions were developed to describe m ore general states in the hierarchy of rational fractional lling factors at which quantized $H$ all plateaus w ere observed $[3,4,6,8,9]$.)

The Laughlin wave function naturally builds in good correlations am ong the electrons because each particle sees an $m$-fold zero at the positions of all the other particles. The wave function vanishes extrem ely rapidly if any two particles approach each other, and this helps $m$ inim ize the expectation value of the C oulom b energy.

Since the kinetic energy is xed we need only concem ourselves with the expectation value of the potential energy for this variational $w$ ave function. D espite the fact that there are no adjustable variationalparam eters (other than $m$ which controls the density) the Laughlin wave functions have proven to be very nearly exact for alm ost any realistic form of repulsive interaction. To understand how this can be so, it is instructive to consider a m odel for which this wave function actually is the exact ground state. $N$ otice that the form of the wave function guarantees that every pair of particles has relative angular $m$ om entum greater than or equal to $m$. O ne should not $m$ ake the $m$ istake of thinking that every pair has relative angular $m$ om entum precisely equal to $m$. This would require the spatial separation betw een particles to be very nearly the sam e for every pair, which is of course im possible.

Suppose that we write the H am iltonian in term s of the H aldane pseudopotentials

$$
V=\begin{align*}
& X^{X^{1}} \quad X=0 \quad i<j  \tag{1.106}\\
& V_{m} \circ P_{m} \circ(i j)
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{m}$ ( $i j$ ) is the projection operator which selects out states in which particles i and $j$ have relative angular $m$ om entum $m$. If $P_{m} 0$ ( $i j$ ) and $P_{m}{ }^{\infty 0}(j k)$ com m uted with each other things would be sim ple to solve, but this is not the case. H ow ever if we consider the case of a hard-core potential de ned by $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}} 0=0$ form ${ }^{0} \mathrm{~m}$, then clearly the m th Laughlin state is an exact, zero energy eigenstate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{m}}[\mathrm{z}]=0: \tag{1.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his follow s from the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m} \circ(i j) m=0 \tag{1.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $m^{0}<m$ since every pair has relative angular $m$ om entum of at least $m$.
B ecause the relative angularm om entum of a pair can change only in discrete (even integer) units, it tums out that this hard core $m$ odel has an excitation gap. For example for $m=3$, any excitation out of the Laughlin ground state necessarily w eakens the nearly ideal correlations by forcing at least one pair of particles to have relative angular mom entum 1 instead of 3 (or larger). This costs an excitation energy of order $v_{1}$.

This excitation gap is essential to the existence of dissipationless ( $\mathrm{xx}=$ $x x=0$ ) current $o w$. In addition this gap $m$ eans that the Laughlin state is stable against perturbations. T hus the di erence betw een the $H$ aldane pseudopotentials $V_{m}$ for the $C$ oulomb interaction and the pseudopotentials for the


Figure 1.12: C om parison oftypicalcon gurations for a com pletely uncorrelated (P oisson) distribution of 1000 particles (left panel) to the distribution given by the Laughlin wave function for $m=3$ (right panel). The latter is a snapshot taken during a M onte C arlo sim ulation of the distribution. T he M onte C arlo procedure consists of proposing a random trialm ove of one of the particles to a new position. If this $m$ ove increases the value of $j j^{2}$ it is alw ays accepted. If the $m$ ove decreases the value of $j j^{2}$ by a factor $p$, then the $m$ ove is accepted w ith probability p. A fter equilibration of the plasm a by a large num ber of such $m$ oves one nds that the con gurations generated are distributed according to $j j^{2}$. (A fter R.B. Laughlin, Chap. 7 in [3].)
hard core $m$ odel can be treated as a sm all perturbation (relative to the excitation gap). N um ericalstudies show that for realistic pseudopotentials the overlap betw een the true ground state and the Laughlin state is extrem ely good.

To get a better understanding of the correlations built into the Laughlin wave function it is usefiul to consider the snapshot in $g$. (1.12) which show s a typical con guration of particles in the Laughlin ground state (obtained from a M onte C arlo sam pling of $j$ f ) com pared to a random (P oisson) distribution. Focussing rst on the large scale features we see that density uctuations at long w avelengths are severely suppressed in the Laughlin state. This is easily understood in term s of the plasm a analogy and the desire for local neutrality. A sim ple estim ate for the density uctuations q at wave vector q can be obtained by noting that the fake plasm a potential energy can be written (ignoring a constant associated w ith self-interactions being included)

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\text {class }}={\frac{1}{2 L^{2}}}_{q \notin 0}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{2 \mathrm{~m}^{2}}{\mathrm{q}^{2}} q \underset{q}{ } \tag{1.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{2}$ is the area of the system and $\frac{2}{\mathrm{q}^{2}}$ is the Fourier transform of the logarithm ic potential (easily derived from $\left.r^{2}(\ln (r))=2^{2}(x)\right)$. At long wavelengths $\left(q^{2} \quad n\right)$ it is legitim ate to treat a as a collective coordinate of an elastic continuum. The distribution $e^{U}$ class of these coordinates is a gaussian


Figure 1.13: P lot of the two-point correlation function $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 1 \quad \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{r})$ for the Laughlin plasm a with ${ }^{1}=\mathrm{m}=3$ (left panel) and $\mathrm{m}=5$ (right panel). N otice that, unlike the result form $=1$ given in eq. (1.112), $g(r)$ exhibits the oscillatory behavior characteristic of a strongly coupled plasm a w ith short-range solid-like local order.
and so obeys (taking into account the fact that ${ }_{\mathrm{q}}=\left(\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{q}}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{q} \quad q^{i}=L^{2} \frac{q^{2}}{4 \mathrm{~m}}: \tag{1.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e clearly see that the long-range (fake) forces in the (fake) plasm a strongly suppress long wavelength density uctuations. W e will retum more to this point laterw hen we study collective density w ave excitations above the Laughlin ground state.

The density uctuations on short length scales are best studied in realspace. $T$ he radialcorrelation $g(r)$ function is a convenient ob ject to consider. $g(r)$ tells us the density at $r$ given that there is a particle at the origin

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g(r)=\frac{N(N \quad 1)^{Z}}{n^{2} Z} \quad d^{2} z_{3}::: \quad d^{2} z_{N} \quad j\left(0 ; r ; z_{3} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{1.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z \quad h \quad j i, n$ is the density (assum ed uniform) and the rem aining factors account for all the di erent pairs of particles that could contribute. T he factors of density are included in the denom inator so that $\lim _{r!} 1 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{r})=1$.

B ecause the $m=1$ state is a single Slater determ inant $g(z)$ can be com puted exactly

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=1 \quad e^{\left.\frac{1}{2} \dot{z}\right\}}: \tag{1.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fig. (1.13) show s num erical estim ates of $h(r) \quad 1 \quad g(r)$ for the cases $m=3$ and 5. N otice that for the $=1=m$ state $g(z) \quad \dot{k}^{2} j^{m}$ for $s m$ all distances. Because of the strong suppression of density uctuations at long wavelengths, $g(z)$ converges exponentially rapidly to unity at large distances. For m $>1, g$ develops oscillations indicative of solid-like correlations and, the plasm a actually
freezes ${ }^{9}$ at $m$ 65. The C oulom b interaction energy can be expressed in term $s$ of $g(z) a s^{10}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{h j v j i}{h j i}=\frac{n N}{2} d^{2} z \frac{e^{2}}{\dot{z} j}[g(z) \quad 1] \tag{1.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the (1) term accounts for the neutralizing background and is the dielectric constant of the host sem iconductor. W e can interpret $g(z) 1$ as the density of the exchange-correlation hole' surrounding each particle.
$T$ he correlation energies per particle for $m=3$ and 5 are [27]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \frac{h_{3} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{~h}_{3} \mathrm{j}_{3 i}}=0: 4100 \quad 0: 0001 \tag{1.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N} \frac{h_{5} \mathrm{JV}_{5} \mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{~h}_{5} \mathrm{j}_{5} \mathrm{i}}=0: 3277 \quad 0: 0002 \tag{1.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

 For the led Landau level $(m=1)$ the exchange energy is $\overline{\overline{8}}$ as can be seen from eqs. (1.112) and (1.113).

E xercise 1.15 F ind the radial distribution function for a one-dim ensional spin less free electron gas ofdensity $n$ by w riting the ground state wave function as a single Slater determ inant and then integrating out all but two of the coordinates. U se this rst quantization $m$ ethod even if you already know how to do this calculation using second quantization. H int: Take advantage of the follow ing representation of the determ inant of a $N \quad N$ matrix $M$ in term $s$ of perm utations $P$ of $N$ objects.

$$
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{jP}}^{j} \mathrm{j}:
$$

$$
P \quad j=1
$$

E xercise 1.16 U sing the sam em ethod derive eq. (1.112).
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### 1.7 N eutral C ollective Excitations

So far we have studied one particular variationalw ave function and found that it has good correlations built into it as graphically illustrated in F ig. 1.12. To further bolster the case that this wave function captures the physics of the fractional H alle ect we m ust now dem onstrate that there is nite energy cost to produce excitations above this ground state. In this section we w ill study the neutral collective excitations. W e w ill exam ine the charged excitations in the next section.

It tums out that the neutral excitations are phonon-like excitations sim ilar to those in solids and in super uid heluum. W e can therefore use a sim ple $m$ odi cation offeynm an's theory of the excitations in super uid helium [28,29].

By way of introduction let us start w ith the sim ple harm on ic oscillator. T he ground state is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
0(x) \quad e^{x^{2}}: \tag{1.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose we did not know the excited state and tried to $m$ ake a variational ansatz for it. N orm ally we think of the variationalm ethod as applying only to ground states. H ow ever it is not hard to see that the rst excited state energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{1}=m \text { in } \frac{h j \mathrm{ji}}{\mathrm{hji}} \tag{1.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that we do the $m$ inim ization over the set of states which are constrained to be orthogonal to the ground state 0 . O ne simple way to produce a variational state which is autom atically orthogonal to the ground state is to change the parity by multiplying by the rst power of the coordinate

$$
\begin{equation*}
1(x) \quad x e^{x^{2}}: \tag{1.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

Variation w ith respect to of course leads (in this special case) to the exact rst excited state.
$W$ ith this background let us now consider the case of phonons in super uid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$. Feynm an argued that because of the B ose statistics of the particles, there are no low-lying single-particle excitations. $T$ his is in stark contrast to a ferm i gas which has a high density of low-lying excitations around the ferm i surface. Feynm an argued that the only low-lying excitations in ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ e are collective density oscillations that are well-described by the follow ing fam ily of variational wave functions (that has no adjustable param eters) labeled by the w ave vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{\mathfrak{k}}=\frac{1}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}}} \underset{\mathrm{k}}{ } \quad 0 \tag{1.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

where 0 is the exact ground state and

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{X^{N}} e^{i \widetilde{k}{ }_{\text {xg }}}
$$



Figure 1.14: (a) C on guration of particles in which the Fourier transform of the density at wave vector $k$ is non-zero. (b) T he Fourier am plitude $w i l l$ have a sim ilar m agnitude for this con guration but a di erent phase.
is the Fourier transform of the density. T he physical picture behind this is that at long w avelengths the uid acts like an elastic continuum and ${ }_{k}$ can be treated as a generalized oscillator norm alm ode coordinate. In this sense eq. (1.119) is then analogous to eq. (1.118). To see that $\underset{\mathbb{K}}{ }$ is orthogonal to the ground state we sim ply note that

$$
\begin{align*}
& =P_{\bar{N}}^{1} d^{3} R e^{i k R} h o j(x) j \text { oi: } \tag{1.121}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (x) } \left.{\underset{j=1}{X^{N}}{ }^{3}\left(x_{j} \quad R\right)}^{R}\right) \tag{1.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the density operator. If o describes a translationally invariant liquid ground state then the Fourier transform of the $m$ ean density vanishes for $k \in 0$.

There are several reasons why $\tilde{k}^{\text {is }}$ is good variational wave function, especially for sm all $k$. First, it contains the ground state as a factor. H ence it contains all the specialcorrelations.built into the ground state to $m$ ake sure that the particles avoid close approaches to each other w ithout paying a high price in kinetic energy. Second, k builds in the features we expect on physicalgrounds for a density wave. To see this, consider evaluating $\mathfrak{k}^{\text {for }}$ a con guration of the particles like that show $n$ in g. (1.14a) which has a density m odulation at wave vector $\widetilde{K}$. This is not a con guration that $m$ axim izes $j 0 f$, but as long as the density m odulation is not too large and the particles avoid close approaches, $j o^{f} \mathrm{j}$ will not fall too far below its $m$ axim um value. M ore im portantly, $j_{k}{ }^{f}$ w illbe m uch larger than it would for a m ore nearly uniform distribution of positions. A s a result $j_{k} \xlongequal{?} \mathrm{w}$ illbe large and this w illbe a likely con guration of the
particles in the excited state. For a con guration like that in $g$. (1.14b), the phase of ${ }_{K} \mathrm{~W}$ ill shift but $j_{K}{ }^{\jmath} \mathrm{W}$ ill have the sam em agnitude. This is analogous to the parity change in the harm onic oscillator exam ple. Because all di erent phases of the density wave are equally likely, ${ }_{k}$ has a m ean density which is uniform (translationally invariant).

To proceed w ith the calculation of the variationalestim ate for the excitation energy (k) of the density w ave state we w rite

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k)=\frac{f(k)}{s(k)} \tag{1.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k) \quad{ }_{k} j\left(H \quad E_{0}\right) j_{k} ; \tag{1.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ being the exact ground state energy and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(k) \quad h_{K} j_{K}^{i=} \frac{1}{N} h \circ j_{K}^{y}{ }_{K}^{j} \text { oi: } \tag{1.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e see that the norm of the variational state $s(k)$ tums out to be the static structure factor of the ground state. It is a $m$ easure of the $m$ ean square density uctuations at w ave vector $\mathbb{K}$. C ontinuing the ham onic oscillator analogy, we can view this as a m easure of the zero-point uctuations of the norm alm ode oscillator coordinate ${ }_{k}$. For super uid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{Hes}(\mathrm{k})$ can be directly m easured by neutron scattering and can also be com puted theoretically using quantum M onte C arlo m ethods [30]. W e w ill retum to this point shortly.

E xercise 1.17 Show that for a uniform liquid state of density $n$, the static structure factor is related to the Fourier transform of the radial distribution function by

Z
$\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{k})=\mathrm{N} \quad \underset{\kappa}{K} ; 0+1+\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{d}^{3} r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iK} \mathscr{K}}[\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{r}) \quad 1]$

The num erator in eq. (1.124) is called the oscillator strength and can be w rilten

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{k})=\frac{1}{N}^{\mathrm{D}} \operatorname{oj}_{K}^{Y} \mathbb{H} ;_{K} \mathrm{Jj} 0^{\mathrm{E}}: \tag{1.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

For uniform system $s$ w ith parity sym $m$ etry we can write this as a double com mutator
from which we can derive the justi ably fam ous oscillator strength sum rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k)=\frac{h^{2} k^{2}}{2 M}: \tag{1.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w h e r e M$ is the (band) $m$ ass of the particles. ${ }^{11}$ Rem arkably (and conveniently) this is a universal result independent of the form of the interaction potential betw een the particles. This follow s from the fact that only the kinetic energy part of the H am iltonian fails to com $m$ ute $w$ th the density.

```
E xercise 1.18 D erive eq. (1.127) and then eq. (1.128) from eq. (1.126) for
```

a system of interacting particles.

W e thus arrive at the Feynm an-B ijlform ula for the collectivem ode excitation energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k)=\frac{h^{2} k^{2}}{2 M} \frac{1}{s(k)}: \tag{1.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can interpret the rst term as the energy cost if a single particle (initially at rest) w ere to absorb all the $m$ om entum and the second term is a renorm alization factor describing m om entum (and position) correlations am ong the particles. O ne of the rem arkable features of the Feynm an B ijl form ula is that it m anages to express a dynam ical quantity (k), which is a property of the excited state spectrum, solely in term s of a static property of the ground state, nam ely $s(k)$. $T$ his is a very pow erfiul and usefiul approxim ation.

Retuming to eq. (1.119) we see that $\mathbb{k}^{\text {d }}$ describes a linear superposition of states in which one single particle has had its $m$ om entum boosted by hr. We do not know which one how ever. T he sum $m$ ation in eq. (1.120) tells us that it is equally likely to be particle 1 or particle 2 or $\ldots$, etc. $T$ his state should not be confused w ith the state in which boost is applied to particle 1 and particle 2 and... , etc. $T$ his state is described by a product

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \quad 1 \tag{1.130}
\end{align*}
$$

which can be rew ritten
show ing that this is an exact energy eigenstate (w ith energy $N \frac{h^{2} k^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}}$ ) in which the center of $m$ ass $m$ om entum has been boosted by $N h \widetilde{K}$.

In super uid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{H}$ e the structure factor vanishes linearly at sm allw ave vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(k) \quad k \tag{1.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (k) is linear as expected for a sound $m$ ode

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{k})=\frac{\mathrm{h}^{2}}{2 \mathrm{M}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{k}} \tag{1.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^10]

F igure 1.15: Schem atic ilhustration of the phonon dispersion in super uid liquid ${ }^{4}$ He. For sm allw ave vectors the dispersion is linear, as is expected for a gapless G oldstone m ode. The roton m in m um due to the peak in the static structure factor occurs at a wave vector $k$ of approxim ately 20 in units of inverse A. T he roton energy is approxim ately 10 in units of $K$ elvins.
from which we see that the sound velocity is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{\mathrm{h}}{2 \mathrm{M}} \frac{1}{-}: \tag{1.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

This phonon mode should not be confused with the ordinary hydrodynam ic sound $m$ ode in classical uids. The latter occurs in a collision dom inated regim e ! $\quad 1$ in which collision-induced pressure provides the restoring force. The phonon m ode described here by $\mathbb{K}$ is a low-lying eigenstate of the quantum H am iltonian.

At larger wave vectors there is a peak in the static structure factor caused by the solid-like oscillations in the radial distribution function $g(r)$ sim ilar to those show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1.13 for the Laughlin liquid. This peak in $s(k)$ leads to the so-called roton $m$ inim um in (k) as ilhustrated in g. (1.15).

To better understand the Feynm an picture of the collective excited states recall that the dynam ical structure factor is de ned (at zero tem perature) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q ;!) \quad \frac{2}{N} \quad 0 \quad{ }_{q}^{y} \quad!\quad \frac{H \quad E_{0}}{h} \quad q \quad 0 \quad: \tag{1.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he static structure factor is the zeroth frequency $m$ om ent

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(q)=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} S(q ;!)=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} S(q ;!) \tag{1.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

(w ith the second equality valid only at zero tem perature). Sim ilarly the oscillator strength in eq. (1.124) becom es (at zero tem perature)

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(q)=Z_{1}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} h!S(q ;!)={ }_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} h!S(q ;!): \tag{1.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we arrive at the result that the Feynm an Bijf form ula can be rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\frac{R_{1} \frac{d!}{2} h!S(q ;!)}{0_{R_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} S(q ;!)}: \tag{1.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is, ( $q$ ) is the $m$ ean excitation energy (w eighted by the square of the density operator $m$ atrix elem ent). C learly the $m$ ean exceeds the $m$ inim um and so the estim ate is variationalas claim ed. Feynm an's approxim ation is equivalent to the assum ption that only a single $m$ ode contributes any oscillator strength so that the zero-tem perature dynam ical structure factor contains only a single delta function peak

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(q ;!)=2 \quad s(q) \quad!\quad \frac{1}{h} \quad(q) \quad: \tag{1.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that this approxim ate form satis es both eq. (1.136) and eq. (1.137) provided that the collective m ode energy (q) obeys the Feynm an-Bijlform ula in eq. (1.129).

Exercise 1.19 For a system with a hom ogeneous liquid ground state, the (linear response) static susceptibility of the density to a perturbation $U=$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}} \quad \mathrm{q}$ is de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{q^{i}}=(q) V_{q}: \tag{1.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing rst order perturbation theory show that the static susceptibility is given in term $s$ of the dynam ical structure factor by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=2_{0}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d!}{2} \frac{1}{h!} S(q ;!): \tag{1.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing the single $m$ ode approxim ation and the oscillator strength sum rule, derive an expression for the collective $m$ ode dispersion in term $s$ of (q). (Y our answer should not involve the static structure factor. N ote also that eq. (1.140) is not needed to produce the answer to this part. Just work with eq.(1.141).)

As we mentioned previously Feynm an argued that in ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ the Bose sym $m$ etry of the wave functions guarantees that unlike in Ferm i system $s$, there is only a single low-lying m ode, nam ely the phonon density m ode. T he paucity of low -energy single particle excitations in boson system $s$ is what helps $m$ ake them super uid \{there are no dissipative channels for the current to decay into. D espite the fact that the quantum $H$ all system is $m$ ade up of ferm ions, the behavior is also rem iniscent of super uidity since the current ow is dissipationless. Indeed, w thin the com posite boson' picture, one views the FQHE ground state as a bose condensate $[1,9,10]$. Let us therefore blindly $m$ ake the single-m ode approxim ation and see what happens.

From eq. (1.110) we see that the static structure factor for the $m$ th Laughlin state is (for sm all w ave vectors only)

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(q)=\frac{L^{2}}{N} \frac{q^{2}}{4 m}=\frac{1}{2} q^{2}{ }^{2} ; \tag{1.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have used $L^{2}=N=2 \quad{ }^{2} \mathrm{~m}$. The Feynm an $B$ ijl form ula then yields ${ }^{12}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(q)=\frac{h^{2} q^{2}}{2 M} \frac{2}{q^{2}{ }^{2}}=h!_{c} \text { : } \tag{1.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his predicts that there is an excitation gap that is independent of w ave vector (for sm all q ) and equal to the cyclotron energy. It is in fact correct that at long w avelengths the oscillator strength is dom inated by transitions in which a single particle is excited from the $\mathrm{n}=0$ to the $\mathrm{n}=1 \mathrm{Landau}$ level. Furtherm ore, K ohn's theorem guarantees that the m ode energy is precisely $\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{c}}$. Eq. (1.143) w as derived speci cally for the Laughlin state, but it is actually quite general, applying to any translationally invariant liquid ground state.

O nem ight expect that the single $m$ ode approxim ation (SM A) will not w ork well in an ordinary Ferm igas due to the high density of excitations around the Ferm i surface. ${ }^{13}$ H ere how ever the Ferm i surface has been destroyed by the $m$ agnetic eld and the continuum of excitations with di erent kinetic energies has been tumed into a set of discrete inter-Landau-levelexcitations, the low est of which dom inates the oscillator strength.

For lling factor $=1$ the P auliprinciple prevents any intra-levelexcitations and the excitation gap is in fact $h$ ! c aspredicted by the SM A. H ow ever for $<1$ there should exist intra-Landau-levelexcitationsw hose energy scale is set by the interaction scale $e^{2}=$ ' rather than the kinetic energy scale $h!_{c}$. Indeed we can form ally think of taking the band $m$ ass to zero ( $M$ ! 0 ) which would send $h!c!1$ while keeping $e^{2}=$, xed. Unfortunately the SMA as it stands now is not very useful in this lim it. W hat we need is a variational wave function that represents a density w ave but is restricted to lie in the H ibert space of the low est Landau level. This can be form ally accom plished by replacing eq. (1.119) by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{K}=\widetilde{K} \quad \mathrm{~m} \tag{1.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the overbar indicates that the density operator has been pro jected into the low est Landau level. The details of how this is accom plished are presented in appendix A.

The analog of eq. (1.123) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k)=\frac{f(k)}{s(k)} \tag{1.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ and $s$ are the pro jected oscillator strength and structure factor, respectively. A s show $n$ in appendix A

$$
\begin{align*}
& =S(k) \quad S=1(k): \tag{1.146}
\end{align*}
$$

[^11]This vanishes for the lled Landau level.because the P auliprinciple forbids all intra-Landau-levelexcitations. For the $m$ th Laughlin state eq. (1.142) show sus that the leading term in $s(k)$ for $s m$ all $k$ is $\frac{1}{2} k^{2}{ }^{2}$. Putting this into eq. (1.146) we see that the leading behavior for $s(k)$ is therefore quartic

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(k) \quad a(k)^{4}+:::: \tag{1.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e can not compute the coe cient a without nding the $\mathrm{k}^{4}$ correction to eq. (1.142). It tums out that there exists a com pressibility sum rule for the fake plasm a from which we can obtain the exact result [29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\frac{m \quad 1}{8}: \tag{1.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

The projected oscillator strength is given by eq. (1.127) with the density operators replaced by their projections. In the case of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ only the kinetic energy part of the $H$ am iltonian failed to com $m$ ute $w$ ith the density. It $w a s$ for this reason that the oscillator strength cam e out to be a universalnum ber related to the $m$ ass of the particles. $W$ ith in the low est Landau levelhow ever the kinetic energy is an irrelevant constant. Instead, after projection the density operators no longer com $m$ ute $w$ ith each other (see appendix A). It follow from these com mutation relations that the projected oscillator strength is proportional to the strength of the interaction term . The leading sm all $k$ behavior is [29]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(k)=b \frac{e^{2}}{,}(k)^{4}+::: \tag{1.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

where b is a dim ension less constant that depends on the details of the interaction potential. The intra-Landau levelexcitation energy therefore has a nite gap at $\operatorname{sm}$ all k

$$
\begin{equation*}
(k)=\frac{f(k)}{s(k)} \quad \frac{b}{a} \frac{e^{2}}{,}+O\left(k^{2}\right)+::: \tag{1.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is quite di erent from the case of super uid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ in which the mode is gapless. H ow ever like the case of the super uid, this m agnetophonon' mode has a magnetoroton' $m$ inim um at nite $k$ as illustrated in $g$. (1.16). The gure also show s results from num erical exact diagonalization studies which dem onstrate that the single $m$ ode approxim ation is extrem ely accurate. $N$ ote that the $m$ agnetoroton $m$ inim um occurs close to the position of the sm allest reciprocal lattioe vector in the $W$ igner crystal of the sam e density. In the crystal the phonon frequency would go exactly to zero at this point. (Recall that in a crystal the phonon dispersion curves have the periodicity of the reciprocal lattice.)

Because the oscillator strength is alm ost entirely in the cyclotron mode, the dipole $m$ atrix elem ent for coupling the collective excitations to light is very sm all. They have how ever been observed in $R$ am an scattering [33] and found to have an energy gap in excellent quantitative agreem ent w ith the single $m$ ode approxim ation.


F igure 1.16: C om parison of the single m ode approxim ation (SM A ) prediction of the collective $m$ ode energy for lling factors $=1=3 ; 1=5 ; 1=7$ (solid lines) w ith sm all-system num erical results for N particles. C rosses indicate the $\mathrm{N}=7$; = $1=3$ spherical system, triangles indicate the $\mathrm{N}=6 ; \quad=1=3$ hexagonal unit œll system results of H aldane and $\mathrm{Rezayi}[31]$. Solid dots are for $\mathrm{N}=9$; $=1=3$ and $\mathrm{N}=7$; $=1=5$ spherical system calculations of Fano et al. [32] A rrows at the top indicate the $m$ agnitude of the recip rocal lattioe vector of the $W$ igner crystal at the corresponding lling factor. N otice that unlike the phonon collective $m$ ode in super uid helium show $n$ in $g$. (1.15), the $m$ ode here is gapped.

Finally we rem ark that these collective excitations are characterized by a well-de ned wave vector $\widetilde{K}$ despite the presence of the strong magnetic eld. This is only possible because they are charge neutralw hich allow s one to de ne a gauge invariant conserved $m$ om entum [34].

### 1.8 C harged Excitations

E xcept for the fact that they are gapped, the neutralm agnetophonon excitations are closely analogous to the phonon excitations in super uid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$. W e further pursue this analogy w ith a search for the analog of vortioes in super uid m s. A vortex is a topological defect which is the quantum version of the fam iliar whirlpool. A reasonably good variationalwave function for a vortex in a twodim ensional lm of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ is

H ere is the azim uthal angle that the particle's position $m$ akes relative to $R$, the location of the vortex center. T he function $f$ vanishes as $x$ approaches $R$ and goes to unity far aw ay. The choice of sign in the phase determ ines $w$ hether the vortex is right or left handed.

The interpretation of this wave function is the following. The vortex is a topological defect because if any particle is dragged around a closed loop surrounding $R$, the phase of the wave function $w$ inds by 2 . This phase gradient $m$ eans that current is circulating around the core. C onsider a large circle of radius centered on R . The phase change of 2 around the circle occurs in a distance 2 so the local gradient seen by every particle is ${ }^{\wedge}=$. Recalling eq. (1.131) we see that locally the center of $m$ ass $m$ om entum has been boosted by $\underline{h}{ }^{\wedge}$ so that the current density of the whirlpool falls o inversely w ith distance from the core. ${ }^{14}$ Near the core $f$ falls to zero because of the bentrifugal barrier' associated with this circulation. In a m ore accurate variationalw ave function the core would be treated slightly di erently but the asym ptotic large distance behavior would be unchanged.

W hat is the analog of all this for the lowest Landau level? For ${ }^{+}$we see that every particle has its angularm om entum boosted by one unit. In the low est Landau levelanalyticity (in the sym $m$ etric gauge) requires us to replace $e^{i}$ by $z=x+i y . T$ hus we are led to the Laughlin quasi-hole' $w$ ave function

$$
+\underset{z}{+}[z]={\underset{j=1}{Y}\left(Z_{j} \quad Z\right)_{m}[z]}^{(z)}
$$

[^12]$w$ here $Z$ is a com plex num ber denoting the position of the vortex and $m$ is the Laughlin wave function at lling factor $=1=m$. The corresponding antivortex (quasi-electron' state) involves $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{j}}$ suitably projected (as discussed in A pp.A.) :
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
z[z]=\sum_{j=1}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{N}}} 2 \frac{@}{@ z_{j}} \quad Z \quad m[z] \tag{1.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where as usual the derivatives act only on the polynom ial part of m. All these derivatives $m$ ake som ew hat di cult to work with. W e w ill therefore concentrate on the quasi-hole state ${ }^{+}$. The origin of the nam es quasi-hole and quasi-electron willbecom e clear shortly.

Unlike the case of a super uid m , the presence of the vector potential allows these vortices to cost only a nite energy to produce and hence the electrical dissipation is alw ays nite at any non-zero tem perature. There is no nite tem perature transition into a super uid state as in the $K$ osterlitz $T$ houless transition. From a eld theoretic point of view, this is closely analogous to the H igg's m echanism [1].

Just as in our study of the Laughlin wave function, it is very usefiul to see how the plasm a analogy works for the quasi-hole state

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{z}^{+} \jmath^{2}=e^{\text {U class }} e^{V} \tag{1.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $U_{\text {class }}$ is given by eq. (1.91), $=2=m$ as before and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V \quad m_{j=1}^{X^{N}}\left(\ln \dot{Z}_{j j} \quad Z j\right): \tag{1.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

T hus we have the classical statisticalm echanics of a one-com ponent plasm a of (fake) chargem ob jects seeing a neutralizing jellium background plus a new potentialenergy $V$ representing the interaction of these ob jects w ith an 'm purity' located at $Z$ and having unit charge.

Recall that the chief desire of the plasm $a$ is to $m$ aintain charge neutrality. H ence the plasm a particlesw illbe repelled from Z. Because the plasm a particles have fake charge $m$, the screening cloud will have to have a net reduction of $1=m$ particles to screen the impurity. But this $m$ eans that the quasi-hole has fractional ferm ion num ber! The (true) physicalcharge of the ob ject is a fraction of the elem entary charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\frac{e}{m}: \tag{1.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is very strange! H ow can we possibly have an elem entary excitation carrying fractionalcharge in a system $m$ ade up entirely ofelectrons? To understand this let us consider an exam ple of another quantum system that seem $s$ to have fractional charge, but in reality doesn't. Im agine three protons arranged in an equilateral triangle as shown in $g$. (1.17). Let there be one electron in


Figure 1.17: Tllustration of an electron tunneling am ong the 1S onbitals of three protons. The tunneling is exponentially slow for large separations which leads to only exponentially sm all lifting of what would otherw ise be a three-fold degenerate ground state.
the system. In the spirit of the tight-binding model we consider only the 1 S orbital on each of the three lattioe sites'. The B loch states are

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=P_{\overline{3}}^{j=1}{ }^{X^{3}} e^{i k j} j i \tag{1.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

where jii is the $1 S$ orbital for the jth atom. The equilateral triangle is like a linear system of length 3 w ith periodic boundary conditions. Hence the allowed values of the wavevector are $k=\frac{2}{3} ;=1 ; 0 ;+1$. The energy eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{E}_{1 \mathrm{~s}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~J} \text { cosk } \tag{1.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $E_{1 S}$ is the isolated atom energy and $J$ is the hopping $m$ atrix elem ent related to the onbital overlap and is exponentially sm all for large separations of the atom s.

The projection operator that $m$ easures $w$ hether or not the particle is on site n is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \text { inihnj: } \tag{1.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its expectation value in any of the three eigenstates is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{k} \mathcal{P}_{n} j_{k} i=\frac{1}{3}: \tag{1.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation simply re ects the fact that as the particle tunnels from site to site it is equally likely to be found on any site. H ence it will, on average, be found on a particular site $n$ only $1 / 3$ of the tim e. The average electron num ber per site is thus $1 / 3$. This how ever is a trivial exam ple because the value of the $m$ easured charge is alw ays an integer. Two-thirds of the tim e we m easure
zero and one third of the tim e we m easure unity. This $m$ eans that the charge uctuates. O nem easure of the uctuations is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \overline{\mathrm{hP}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{hP}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{i}^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{1}{9}}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \overline{2}}{3} ; \tag{1.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

which show sthat the uctuations are larger than the $m$ ean value. This result is $m$ ost easily obtained by noting $P_{n}^{2}=P_{n}$.

A characteristic feature of this 'im poster' fractional charge $\frac{e}{m}$ that guarantees that it uctuates is the existence in the spectrum of the H am iltonian of a set of $m$ nearly degenerate states. (In our toy exam ple here, $m=3$.) T he characteristic tim e scale for the charge uctuations is $h=w h e r e$ is the energy splitting of the quasi-degenerate $m$ anifold of states. In our tight-binding exam ple $\quad h=J$ is the characteristic tim e it takes an electron to tunnel from the 1S onbital on one site to the next. A s the separation betw een the sites increases this tunneling tim egrow sexponentially large and the charge uctuations becom e exponentially slow and thus easy to detect.

In a certain precise sense, the fractionalcharge of the Laughlin quasiparticles behaves very di erently from this. An electron added at low energies to a = $1=3$ quantum H all uid breaks up into three charge $1 / 3$ Laughlin quasiparticles. $T$ hese quasiparticles can $m$ ove arbitrarily far apart from each other ${ }^{15}$ and yet no quasi-degenerate $m$ anifold of states appears. The excitation gap to the rst excited state rem ains nite. The only degeneracy is that associated with the positions of the quasiparticles. If we im agine that there are three im purity potentials that pin dow $n$ the positions of the three quasiparticles, then the state of the system is uniquely speci ed. Because there is no quasidegeneracy, we do not have to specify any m ore inform ation other than the positions of the quasiparticles. H ence in a deep sense, they are true elem entary particles whose fractionalcharge is a sharp quantum observable.

O f course, since the system is $m$ ade up only of electrons, if we capture the charges in som e region in a box, we w illalw ays get an integer num ber ofelectrons inside the box. H ow ever in order to close the box we have to locally destroy the Laughlin state. Thisw ill cost (at a m inim um ) the excitation gap. This may not seem im portant since the gap is sm all| only a few $K$ elvin or so. But im agine that the gap were an MeV or a GeV . Then we would have to build a particle accelerator to close the box' and probe the uctuations in the charge. These uctuations would be analogous to the ones seen in quantum electrodynam ics at energies above $2 \mathrm{me} c^{2}$ where electron-positron pairs are produced during the $m$ easurem ent of charge form factors by $m$ eans of a scattering experim ent.

Put another way, the charge of the Laughlin quasiparticle uctuates but only at high frequencies $=$ h. If this frequency (which is 50 GHz ) is higher than the frequency response lim it of our voltage probes, we w ill see no charge uctuations. We can form alize this by writing a modi ed pro jection operator [35] for the charge on som e site $n$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{(1)} \quad P \quad P_{n} P \tag{1.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^13]where $P_{n}=$ jinh jas before and
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P^{( }\right) \quad\left(\quad H+E_{0}\right) \tag{1.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is the operator that projects onto the subset of eigenstates $w$ ith excitation energies less than . $P_{n}{ }^{()}$thus represents a $m$ easurem ent $w$ ith a high-frequency cuto built in to represent the nite bandw idth of the detector. Retuming to our tight-binding exam ple, consider the situation where $J$ is large enough that the excitation gap $=1 \cos ^{\frac{2}{3}} \mathrm{~J}$ exceeds the cuto.$T$ hen

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{()} & =X^{1} j k i\left(k_{k}+k_{k}\right) h^{\prime} \\
& =j_{k_{0}} i h k_{k_{0}} j
\end{align*}
$$

is sim ply a pro jector on the ground state. In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}{ }^{()}=j k_{0} i \frac{1}{3} h k_{0} j \tag{1.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k}_{0}} \mathbb{P}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1) \mathcal{j}^{2}} \mathrm{k}_{0} \quad \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{k}_{0} \mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)} j_{k_{0}}^{E_{2}}=0: \tag{1.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

The charge uctuations in the ground state are then zero (as m easured by the nite bandw idth detector).

T he argum ent for the Laughlin quasiparticles is sim ilar. W e again em phasize that one can not think of a single charge tunneling am ong three sites because the excitation gap rem ains nite no $m$ atter how far apart the quasiparticle sites are located. This is possible only because it is a correlated $m$ any-particle system.

To gain a better understanding of fractional charge it is useful to com pare this situation to that in high energy physics. In that eld of study one knows the physics at low energies | this is just the phenom ena of our everyday world. T he goal is to study the high energy (short length scale) lim it to see where this low energy physics com es from. W hat force laws lead to our world? P robing the proton w ith high energy electrons we can tem porarily break it up into three fractionally charged quarks, for exam ple.
$C$ ondensed $m$ atter physics in a sense does the reverse. W e know the phenom ena at high' energies (i.e. room tem perature) and we would like to see how the known dynam ics (C oulomb's law and non-relativistic quantum mechanics) leads to unknown and surprising collective e ects at low tem peratures and long length scales. The analog of the particle accelerator is the dilution refrigerator.

To further understand Laughlin quasiparticles consider the point of view of ' atland' physicists living in the cold, tw o-dim ensional world of a = $1=3$ quantum $H$ all sam ple. As far as the atlanders are concemed the vacuum' (the Laughlin liquid) is com pletely inert and featureless. T hey discover how ever that the universe is not com pletely em pty. T here are a few elem entary particles around, all having the sam e charge q. The atland equivalent of Ben jam in

Franklin chooses a unit of charge which not only m akes q negative but gives it the fractional value $1=3$. For som e reason the $F$ latlanders go along w th this.

F latland cosm ologists theorize that these ob jects are bosm ic strings', topological defects left over from the big cool dow n' that follow ed the creation of the universe. F latland experim entalists call for the creation of a national accelerator facillity which w ill reach the unprecedented energy scale of 10 K elvin. W ith great e ort and expense this energy scale is reached and the accelerator is used to sm ash together three charged particles. To the astonishm ent of the entire world a new short-lived particle is tem porarily created w th the bizarre property of having integer charge!
$T$ here is another w ay to see that the Laughlin quasiparticles carry fractional charge which is usefill to understand because it show s the deep connection betw een the sharp fractional charge and the sharp quantization of the H all conductivity. Im agine piercing the sam ple $w$ ith an in nitely thin $m$ agnetic solenoid as shown in $g$. (1.18) and slow ly increasing the magnetic ux from 0 to $0=\frac{h c}{e}$ the quantum of ux. Because of the existence of a nite excitation gap the process is adiabatic and reversible if perform ed slow ly on a tim e scale long com pared to $\mathrm{h}=$.

Faraday's law tells us that the changing ux induces an electric eld obeying I

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} x E^{\prime}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{@}{@ t} \tag{1.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is any contour surrounding the ux tube. Because the electric eld contains only Fourier com ponents at frequencies ! obeying h! < , there is no dissipation and $x x=y y=x x=y y=0$. The electric eld induces a current density obeying

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=x y \mathcal{J} \hat{z} \tag{1.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{y}^{I} \quad \mathcal{\tau} \quad(\hat{z} \quad d x)=\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{d t}: \tag{1.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral on the LHS represents the total current ow ing into the region enclosed by the contour. $T$ hus the charge inside this region obeys

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y \frac{d Q}{d t}=\frac{1}{c} \frac{d}{d t}: \tag{1.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

A fter one quantum of ux has been added the nal charge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\frac{1}{c} \quad x y \quad 0=\frac{h}{e} x y: \tag{1.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus on the quantized Hallplateau at lling factor where $x y=\frac{e^{2}}{h}$ we have the result

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=e: \tag{1.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

Reversing the sign of the added ux would reverse the sign of the charge.


F igure 1.18: C onstruction ofa Laughlin quasiparticle by adiabatically threading ux ( $t$ ) through a point in the sam ple. Faraday induction gives an azim uthal electric eld E ( $t$ ) which in tum produces a radial current $J(t)$. For each quantum of ux added, charge e ows into (or out of) the region due to the quantized $H$ all conductivity $e^{2}=h$. A ux tube containing an integer num ber of ux quanta is invisible to the particles (since the A haranov phase shift is an integer $m$ ultiple of 2 ) and so can be rem oved by a singular gauge transform ation.

The nalstep in the argum ent is to note that an in nitesim altube contain ing a quantum of ux is invisible to the particles. This is because the A haronovBohm phase factor for traveling around the ux tube is unity.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp i \frac{e}{h c}^{I} \quad \bar{A} \quad d x=e^{2 i}=1 \tag{1.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $\overparen{A}$ is the additional vector potential due to the solenoid. A ssum ing the ux tube is located at the origin and $m$ aking the gauge choice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}=0 \frac{\wedge}{2 r} \tag{1.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can see by direct substitution into the Schrodinger equation that the only $e$ ect of the quantized ux tube is to change the phase of the $w$ ave function by

$$
\begin{equation*}
!\quad{ }_{j}^{Y} \frac{z_{j}}{\dot{\jmath}_{j} j}={ }_{j}^{Y} e^{i_{j}}: \tag{1.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rem oval of a quantized ux tube is thus a singular gauge change' which has no physicale ect.

Let us reiterate. A diabatic insertion of a ux quantum changes the state of the system by pulling in (or pushing out) a (fractionally) quantized am ount of charge. O nce the ux tube contains a quantum of ux it e ectively becom es invisible to the electrons and can be rem oved by $m$ eans of a singular gauge transform ation.

Because the excitation gap is preserved during the adiabatic addition of the ux, the state of the system is fiully speci ed by the position of the resulting quasiparticle. A s discussed before there are no low -lying quasi-degenerate states. $T$ his version of the argum ent highlights the essentialim portance of the fact that $\mathrm{xx}=0$ and xy is quantized. The existence of the fractionally quantized H all transport coe cients guarantees the existence offractionally charged elem entary excitations

These fractionally charged ob jects have been observed directly by using an ultrasensitive electrom eter $m$ ade from a quantum dot [36] and by the reduced shot noise which they produce when they carry current [37].

B ecause the Laughlin quasiparticles are discrete ob jects they cost a non-zero (but nite) energy to produce. Since they are charged they can be them ally excited only in neutral pairs. T he charge excitation gap is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\quad++ \tag{1.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the vortex/antivortex (quasielectron/quasinole) excitation energy. In the presence of a transport current these them ally excited charges can $m$ ove under the in uence of the H allelectric eld and dissipate energy. T he resulting resistivity has the A ruhenius form

$$
\begin{equation*}
x x \quad \frac{h}{e^{2}} e^{c=2} \tag{1.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a dim ensionless constant of order unity. N ote that the law ofm ass action tells us that the activation energy is $c=2$ not $c$ since the charges are excited in pairs. T here is a close analogy betw een the dissipation described here and the ux ow resistance caused by vortioes in a superconducting lm .

Theoreticalestim ates of $c$ are in good agreem ent w ith experim entalvalues determ ined from transport $m$ easurem ents [38]. Typical values of $c$ are only a few percent ofe ${ }^{2}=$ ' and hence no larger than a few $K$ elvin. In a super uid tim ereversalsym $m$ etry guarantees that vortices and antivortioes have equalenergies. $T$ he lack of tim e reversal sym $m$ etry here $m$ eans that + and can be quite di erent. C onsider for exam ple the hard-core $m$ odel for which the Laughlin wave function $m$ is an exact zero energy ground state as show $n$ in eq. (1.107). Equation (1.152) show $s$ that the quasinole state contains $m$ as a factor and hence is also an exact zero energy eigenstate for the hard-core interaction. T hus the quasihole costs zero energy. On the other hand eq. (1.153) tells us that the derivatives reduce the degree of hom ogeneily of the Laughlin polynom ial and therefore the energy of the quasielectron $m$ ust be non-zero in the hard-core m odel. At lling factor $=1=\mathrm{m}$ this asym m etry has no particular signi cance since the quasiparticles $m$ ust be excited in pairs.

C onsider now what happens when the magnetic eld is increased slightly or the particle num ber is decreased slightly so that the lling factor is slightly sm aller than $1=\mathrm{m}$. The low est energy way to accom m odate this is to in ject $m$ quasiholes into the Laughlin state for each electron that is rem oved (or for each $m$ of $u x$ that is added). The system energy (ignoring disorder and interactions in the dilute gas of quasiparticles) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}=E_{m} \quad N m+ \tag{1.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $E_{m}$ is the Laughlin ground state energy and $N$ is the num ber of added holes. C onversely for lling factors slightly greater than $1=m$ the energy is (w ith +N being the num ber of added electrons)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{Nm} \quad \text { : } \tag{1.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is illustrated in $g$. (1.19). The slope of the lines in the gure determ ines the chem ical potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{@ E}{@ N}=m \quad: \tag{1.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chem ical potential su ers a jump discontinuity of $m(++\quad)=m \quad c$ just at lling factor $=1=m$. This jump in the chem ical potential is the signature ofthe charge excitation gap just as it is in a sem ioonductor or insulator. N otioe that this form of the energy is very rem in iscent of the energy of a typeII superconductor as a function of the applied magnetic eld (which induces vortioes and therefore has an energy cost $E \quad-B j$.

Recall that in order to have a quantized $H$ all plateau of nite $w$ idth it is necessary to have disorder present. For the integer case we found that disorder localizes the excess electrons allow ing the transport coe cients to not change


Figure 1.19: E nergy cost for inserting $N$ electrons into the Laughlin state near lling factor $=1=\mathrm{m}$. The slope of the line is the chem ical potential. Its discontinuity at $=1=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}$ easures the charge excitation gap.
w ith the lling factor. H ere it is the fractionally-charged quasiparticles that are localized by the disorder. ${ }^{16}$ Just as in the integer case the disorder may 11 in the gap in the density ofstates but the D C value of xx can rem ain zero because of the localization. T hus the fractionalplateaus can have nite width.

If the density of quasiparticles becom es too high they $m$ ay delocalize and condense into a correlated Laughlin state of their ow. This gives rise to a hierarchical fam ily of H allplateaus at rational fractional lling factors $=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{q}$ (generically w ith q odd due to the P auliprinciple). T here are severaldi erent but entirely equivalent ways of constructing and view ing this hierarchy which we w ill not delve into here $[3,4,6]$.

### 1.9 FQ H E E dge States

W e leamed in our study of the integer Q HE that gapless edge excitations exist even when the bulk has a large excitation gap. Because the bulk is incom pressible the only gapless neutral excitations must be area-preserving shape distortions such as those illustrated for a disk geom etry in g. (1.20a). Because of the con ning potential at the edges these shape distortions have a characteristic velocity produced by the E B drift. It is possible to show that this view of the gapless neutral excitations is precisely equivalent to the usualFerm i gas particle-hole pair excitations that we considered previously in our discussion of edge states. Recall that we argued that the contour line of the electrostatic potential separating the occupied from the em pty states could be view ed as a real-space analog of the Ferm isurface (since position and $m$ om entum are equivalent in the Landau gauge). The charged excitations at the edge are sim ply ordinary electrons added or rem oved from the vicinity of the edge.

[^14]
(a)

(b)

Figure 120: A rea-preserving shape distortions of the incom pressible quantum Hall state. (a) IQ HE Laughlin liquid droplet' at $=1$. (b) FQHE annulus at $=1=m$ form ed by in jecting a large num ber $n$ of ux quanta at the origin to create $n$ quasiholes. There are thus two edge m odes of opposite chirality. $C$ hanging $n$ by one unit transfers fractionalcharge efrom one edge to the other by expanding or shrinking the size of the central hole. Thus the edge m odes have topological sectors labeled by the w inding num ber' n and one can view the gapless edge excitations as a gas of fractionally charged Laughlin quasiparticles.

In the case of a fractionalQHE state at $=1=m$ the bulk gap is caused by C oulom b correlations and is sm aller but still nite. A gain the only gapless excitations are area-preserving shape distortions. N ow how ever the charge of each edge can be varied in units of $e=m$. Consider the annulus of $H$ all uid shown in $g$. (120b). The extension of the Laughlin wave function $m$ to this situation is

$$
m n[z]=@^{0} \begin{gather*}
Y^{\mathbb{X}}  \tag{1.181}\\
z_{j}^{n} A \\
m
\end{gather*}
$$

This sim ply places a large num ber $n \quad 1$ of quasiholes at the origin. Follow ing the plasm a analogy we see that this looks like a highly charged im purity at the origin which repels the plasm a, producing the annulus shown in $g$. (120b). Each tim e we increase $n$ by one unit, the annulus expands. $W$ e can view this expansion as increasing the electron number at the outer edge by $1=m$ and reducing it by $1=m$ at the inner edge. (T hereby keeping the total electron num ber integral as it m ust be.)

It is appropriate to view the Laughlin quasiparticles, which are gapped in the bulk, as being liberated at the edge. T he gapless shape distortions in the H all liquid are thus excitations in a gas' of fractionally charged quasiparticles. $T$ his fact produces a profound alteration in the tunneling density of states to in ject an electron into the system. An electron which is suddenly added to an edge (by tunneling through a barrier from an extemal electrode) will have very high energy unless it breaks up into $m$ Laughlin quasiparticles. This leads to an brthogonality catastrophe' which sim ply $m$ eans that the probability for this process is $s m$ aller and $s m$ aller for nal states of low er and low er energy. As a result the current-voltage characteristic for the tunnel junction becom es non-linear [17,39,40]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { I } \quad V^{m}: \tag{1.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the led Landau levelm = 1 the quasiparticles have charge $q=e m=e$ and are ordinary electrons. H ence there is no orthogonality catastrophe and the $I-V$ characteristic is linear as expected for an ordinary $m$ etallic tunnel junction. $T$ he non-linear tunneling for the $m=3$ state is show $n$ in $g$. (121).

### 1.10 Q uantum H all Ferrom agnets

### 1.10.1 Introduction

$N$ aively one $m$ ight im agine that electrons in the $Q H E$ have their spin dynam ics frozen out by the zeem an splitting $g$ в $B$. In free space $w$ ith $g=2$ (neglecting QED corrections) the Zeem an splitting is exactly equal to the cyclotron splitting $h!c \quad 100 \mathrm{~K}$ as illustrated in $\mathrm{g} .(122 \mathrm{a})$. Thus at low tem peratures we would expect for lling factors < 1 all the spins would be fully aligned. It tums out how ever that this naive expectation is incorrect in $G$ aA $s$ for tw o reasons. First,


F igure 121: N on-linear current voltage response for tunneling an electron into a FQHE edge state. Because the electron $m$ ust break up into $m$ fractionally charged quasiparticles, there is an orthogonality catastrophe leading to a pow erlaw density of states. The attening at low currents is due to the nite tem perature. The upper panel show s the $=1=3 \mathrm{H}$ allplateau. The theory $[17,39]$ works extrem ely well on the $1 / 3$ quantized $H$ all plateau, but the unexpectedly sm ooth variation of the exponent $w$ ith $m$ agnetic eld aw ay from the plateau show $n$ in the low er panel is not yet fiully understood. (A fter M. G rayson et al, Ref. [41].


Figure 1.22: (a) Landau energy levels for an electron in free space. $N$ um bers label the Landau levels and + ( ) refers to spin up (down). Since the $g$ factor is 2 , the Zeem an splitting is exactly equal to the Landau levelspacing, $\mathrm{h}!_{\mathrm{c}}$ and there are extra degeneracies as indicated. (b) Sam e for an electron in GaAs. Because the e ective $m$ ass is $s m$ all and $g \quad 0: 4$, the degeneracy is strongly lifted and the spin assignm ents are reversed.
the sm alle ective $m$ ass ( $m=0: 068$ ) in the conduction band ofG aA s increases the cyclotron energy by a factor of $m=m \quad 14$. Second, spin-orbit scattering tum bles the spins around in a way which reduces their e ective coupling to the extemalm agnetic eld by a factor of 5 m aking the g factor $0: 4$. The Zeem an energy is thus som e 70 tim es sm aller than the cyclotron energy and typically has a value of about 2 K , as indicated in g . ( 1.22 b ).
$T$ his decoupling of the scales of the orbital and spin energies $m$ eans that it is possible to be in a regim e in which the orbitalm otion is fully quantized $\left(k_{B} T \quad h!{ }_{c}\right)$ but the low-energy spin uctuations are not com pletely frozen out ( $k_{B} T \quad g{ }_{B} B$ ). The spin dynam ics in this regim e are extrem ely unusual and interesting because the system is an itinerant $m$ agnet $w$ ith a quantized $H$ all coe cient. A s we shall see, this leads to quite novel physicale ects.
$T$ he introduction of the spin degree of freedom $m$ eans that we are dealing w ith the Q HE in multicom ponent system s . $T$ his sub ject has a long history going back to an early paper by H alperin [42] and has been review ed extensively [4,43, 44]. In addition to the spin degree of freedom there has been considerable recent interest in other $m$ ulticom ponent system $s$ in which spin is replaced by a pseudospin representing the layer index in double wellQHE system sor the electric subband index in wide single well system s . E xperim ents on these system s are discussed by Shayegan in this volum e [45] and have also been review ed in [44].

O ur discussion will focus prim arily on ferrom agnetism near lling factor
$=1$. In the subsequent section we will address analogous e ects for pseudospin degrees of freedom in $m$ ultilayer system $s$.

### 1.10.2 C oulom b Exchange

$W$ e tend to think of the integer QHE as being associated with the gap due to the kinetic energy and ascribe im portance to the C oulomb interaction only in the fractionalQ HE. H ow ever study of ferrom agnetism near integer lling factor
$=1$ has taught us that C oulom b interactions play an im portant role there as well [46].
$M$ agnetism occurs not because of direct $m$ agnetic foroes, but rather because of a com bination of electrostatic foroes and the P auliprinciple. In a filly ferro$m$ agnetically aligned state all the spins are parallel and hence the spin part of the $w$ ave function is exchange sym $m$ etric

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { j i= (z } \left.z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right) ~ j " " " " "::: " i: \tag{1.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

The spatialpart of the wave function $m$ ust therefore be fully antisym $m$ etric and vanish when any tw o particles approach each other. This $m$ eans that each particle is surrounded by an exchange hole' which thus lowers the C oulomb energy per particle as shown in eq. (1.113). For lling factor $=1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{hV} i}{\mathrm{~N}}=\frac{\mathrm{r}-}{\overline{8}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{}, \quad 200 \mathrm{~K} \tag{1.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

This energy scale is two orders of m agnitude larger than the Zeem an spletting and hence strongly stabilizes the ferrom agnetic state. Indeed at $=1$ the
ground state is spontaneously fully polarized at zero tem perature even in the absence of the Zeem an term. O rdinary ferrom agnets like iron are generally only partially polarized because of the extra kinetic energy cost of raising the ferm $i$ level for the $m$ a jority carriers. H ere how ever the kinetic energy has been quenched by the $m$ agnetic eld and all states in the low est Landau level are degenerate. For $=1$ the large gap to the next Landau levelm eans that we know the spatial wave function essentially exactly. It is simply the single Slater determ inant representing the fully led Landau level. That is, it is $m=1$ Laughlin wave function. This sim ple circum stance $m$ akes this perhaps the w orld's best understood ferrom agnet.

### 1.10.3 Spin W ave Excitations

It tums out that the low-lying m agnon' (spin wave) excited states can also be obtained exactly. Before doing this for the Q HE system let us rem ind ourselves how the calculation goes in the lattice $H$ eisenberg $m$ odel for $N$ local $m$ om ents in an insulating ferrom agnet


The ground state for $J>0$ is the fully ferrom agnetic state $w$ ith total spin $S=N=2$. Let us choose our coordinates in spin space so that $S_{z}=N=2$. B ecause the spins are fully aligned the spin-ip term $s$ in $H$ are ine ective and (ignoring the Zeem an term)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { н j""":::"i= } \frac{J}{4} N_{b} j " " \text { ":::"i } \tag{1.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the num ber of near-neighbor bonds and we have set $\mathrm{h}=1$. There are of course $2 \mathrm{~S}+1=\mathrm{N}+1$ other states of the same total spin which will be degenerate in the absence of the Zeem an coupling. T hese are generated by successive applications of the total spin low ering operator

$$
\begin{align*}
& S \quad X^{N} S_{j}  \tag{1.187}\\
& \mathrm{j}=1 \\
& \text { S j""":::"i = j\#"":::"i+ j"\#":::"i } \\
& \text { + j""\#:::"i+ ::: } \tag{1.188}
\end{align*}
$$

It is not hard to show that the one-m agnon excited states are created by a closely related operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=X_{j=1}^{X^{N}} e^{i q R_{j}} S_{j} \tag{1.189}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ lies inside the $B$ rillouin zone and is the $m$ agnon $w$ ave vector. ${ }^{17}$ D enote these states by

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{q} i=S_{q} j 0^{i} \tag{1.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j$ oi is the ground state. Because there is one ipped spin in these states the transverse part of the $H$ eisenberg interaction is able to $m$ ove the ipped spin from one site to a neighboring site

$$
\begin{align*}
& H \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{E}_{0}++\frac{\mathrm{Jz}}{2} \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \\
& \frac{J}{2} \sim_{j=1}^{X} e^{\text {iq } R_{j}} S_{j+} \sim_{j o i}^{j}  \tag{1.191}\\
& H j{ }_{\mathrm{q}} \mathrm{i}=\left(\mathrm{E}_{0}+{ }_{\mathrm{q}}\right) \mathrm{j} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{i}} \tag{1.192}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z$ is the coordination number, $\sim$ is summed over near neighbor lattice vectors and the $m$ agnon energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ब } \frac{\mathrm{Jz}_{2}^{<}}{2}:^{1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{z}}_{\sim}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{iq} \sim}{ }^{=}+ \tag{1.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

For sm all q the dispersion is quadratic and for a 2D square lattice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{q} \quad \frac{J a^{2}}{4} q^{2}+ \tag{1.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the lattioe constant.
$T$ his is very di erent from the result for the antiferrom agnet which has a linearly dispersing collective $m$ ode. There the ground and excited states can only be approxim ately determ ined because the ground state does not have all the spins parallel and so is subject to quantum uctuations induced by the transverse part of the interaction. This physics will reappear when we study non-collinear states in $Q$ HE m agnets aw ay from lling factor $=1$.
$T$ he $m$ agnon dispersion for the ferrom agnet can be understood in term $s$ of bosonic particle' (the ipped spin) hopping on the lattioe with a tight-binding $m$ odel dispersion relation. The $m$ agnons are bosons because spin operators on di erent sites com $m$ ute. They are not free bosons how ever because of the hard core constraint that (for spin 1/2) there can be no $m$ ore than one ipped spin per site. H encem ulti-m agnon excited states can not be com puted exactly. Som e nioe renorm alization group argum ents about $m$ agnon interactions can be found in [47].

The Q HE ferrom agnet is itinerant and we have to develop a som ew hat di erent picture. N evertheless there will be strong sim ilarities to the lattice $H$ eisenberg m odel. T he exact ground state is given by eq. (1.183) w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{N}\right)={\underset{i<j}{Y}\left(z_{i} \quad z_{j}\right) e^{\frac{1}{4}}{ }_{k}^{\dot{z}_{k} f}:, ~}_{\text {f. }} \tag{1.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^15]To nd the spin wave excited states we need to nd the analog of eq. (1.190). The Fourier transform of the spin low ering operator for the continuum system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q} \quad X_{j=1}^{X^{N}} e^{i q x_{j}} S_{j} \tag{1.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{j}$ is the position operator for the jth particle. Recall from eq. (1.144) that we had to m odify Feynm an's theory of the collective m ode in super uid helium by projecting the density operator onto the H ibert space of the low est Landau level. This suggests that we do the sam e in eq. (1.196) to obtain the projected spin ip operator. In contrast to the good but approxim ate result we obtained for the collective density m ode, this procedure actually yields the exact one-m agnon excited state ( $m$ uch like we found for the lattioe $m$ odel).
$U$ sing the results of appendix $A$, the pro jected spin low ering operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{q}=e^{\frac{1}{4} j q \mathcal{J}^{X^{N}}} \quad q(j) S_{j} \tag{1.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is the com plex num ber representing the dim ensionless w ave vector $q^{\prime}$ and ${ }_{q}(j)$ is the $m$ agnetic translation operator for the $j$ th particle. The com $m$ utator of this operator w the th oulom.b interaction H am ittonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\mathbb{H} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right] & =\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{k} \in 0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k} k ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{k} \in 0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{k} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}+{ }_{k} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad \mathrm{k}: \tag{1.198}
\end{align*}
$$

W e will shortly be applying this to the fully polarized ground state ji. As discussed in appendix A, no density wave excitations are allow ed in this state and so it is annihilated by $k$. Hence we can $w$ ithout approxim ation drop the second term above and replace the rst one by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{H} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}}\right] j i=\frac{1}{2}_{k \in 0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{k}) \quad \mathrm{k} ; \quad{ }_{k} ; \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{q}} \quad j i \tag{1.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Evaluation of the double com $m$ utator follow ing the rules in appendix A yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathbb{H} ; S_{q}\right] j i={ }_{q} S_{q} j i \tag{1.200}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \int_{k \in 0}^{X} e^{\frac{1}{2} k \jmath^{\jmath}} v(k) \sin ^{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} q^{\wedge} k: \tag{1201}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $j i$ is an eigenstate of $H$, this proves that $S_{q} j i$ is an exact excited state of $H$ w ith excitation energy $q$. In the presence of the Zeem an coupling $q^{\prime}!q^{+}$.


Figure 1.23: Schem atic illustration of the Q HE ferrom agnet spinw ave dispersion. $T$ here is a gap at $s m$ all $k$ equal to the Zeem an splitting, $z$. At large wave vectors, the energy saturates at the C oulom b exchange energy scale $x+z$ 100K .

This result tells us that, unlike the case of the density excitation, the single$m$ ode approxim ation is exact for the case of the spin density excitation. T he only assum ption we m ade is that the ground state is fully polarized and has
$=1$.
For sm all q the dispersion starts out quadratically

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \quad A q^{2} \tag{1202}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
A $\frac{1}{4}_{k \neq 0}^{X} e^{\frac{1}{2} j k j^{2}} v(k) k j^{2}$
as can be seen by expanding the sine function to low est order. For very large $q$ $\sin ^{2}$ can be replaced by its average value of $\frac{1}{2}$ to yield

$$
{ }_{q} \int_{k \notin 0}^{X} V(k) e^{\frac{1}{2} j k \jmath^{2}}:
$$

$T$ hus the energy saturates at a constant value forq! 1 as show in $g$. (123). ( $N$ ote that in the lattioe $m$ odel the wave vectors are restricted to the rst B rillouin zone, but here they are not.)

W hile the derivation of this exact result for the spin wave dispersion is algebraically rather sim ple and looks quite sim ilar (exœept for the LLL pro jection) to the result for the lattice $H$ eisenberg m odel, it does not give a very clear physical picture of the nature of the spin wave collective $m$ ode. This we can obtain from eq. (1.197) by noting that $q(j)$ translates the particle a distance q $\quad \hat{z}^{z}$. Hence the spin wave operator $S_{q}$ ips the spin of one of the particles and translates it spatially leaving a hole behind and creating a particle-hole


Figure 124: Tllustration of the fact that the spin ip operator causestranslations when projected into the low est Landau level. For very large wave vectors the particles is translated com pletely aw ay from the exchange hole and loses all its favorable C oulom b exchange energy.
pair carrying net $m$ om entum proportional to their separation as ilhustrated in g. (124). For large separations the excitonic C oulom b attraction betw een the particle and hole is negligible and the energy cost saturates at a value related to the C oulom b exchange energy of the ground state given in eq. (1.113). T he exact dispersion relation can also be obtained by noting that scattering processes of the type illustrated by the dashed lines in $g$. (1.24) mix together Landau gauge states
w ith di erent wave vectors k . Requiring that the state be an eigenvector of translation uniquely restricts the $m$ ixing to linear com binations of the form

$$
e_{k}^{X} e^{i k q_{x} .2} C_{k}^{y} q_{y} ; \# C_{k ; "} j " " " " " " i:
$$

Evaluation of the C oulom b m atrix elem ents show s that this is indeed an exact eigenstate.

### 1.10.4 E ective A ction

It is usefiul to try to reproduce these $m$ icroscopic results for the spin $w$ ave excitations within an e ective eld theory for the spin degrees of freedom. Let $\mathrm{mp}(x)$ be a vector eld obeying mim $=1$ which describes the localorientation of the order param eter (the $m$ agnetization). Because the $C$ oulom b forces are spin independent, the potential energy cost can not depend on the orientation of m but only on its gradients. H ence we m ust have to leading order in a gradient expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\frac{1}{2} s^{Z} \quad d^{2} r @ m \quad @ m \quad \frac{1}{2} n \quad d^{2} r m^{z} \tag{1207}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is a phenom enological Spin sti ness' which in two dim ensions has units of energy and $n \quad \overline{2}$ is the particle density. W ewill leam how to evaluate it later.

W e can think of this expression for the energy as the leading term $s$ in a functional Taylor series expansion. Sym $m$ etry requires that (except for the Zeem an term ) the expression for the energy be invariant under uniform global rotations ofrr. In addition, in the absence ofdisorder, it $m$ ust be translationally invariant. C learly the expression in (1207) satis es these sym $m$ etries. The only zero-derivative term of the appropriate sym $m$ etry is $m m \quad w h i c h$ is constrained to be unity everyw here. There exist tem $s$ w ith $m$ ore derivatives but these are irrelevant to the physics at very long wavelengths. (Such term s have been discussed by Read and Sachdev [47].)

To understand how tim e derivatives enter the e ective action we have to recall that spins obey a rst-order (in tim e) precession equation under the inuence of the local exchange eld. ${ }^{18}$ C onsider as a toy model a single spin in an extemal eld ~.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{h} \mathrm{~S} \tag{1208}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian describing this toy $m$ odel needs to contain a rst order tim e derivative and so m ust have the form (see discussion in appendix B)

$$
L=h S f \underline{m} A[m]+m+(m m \quad 1) g
$$

where $S=\frac{1}{2}$ is the spin length and is a Lagrange multiplier to enforce the xed length constraint. The unknown vector $\not \AA^{\curvearrowleft}$ can be determ ined by requiring that it reproduce the correct precession equation of $m$ otion. T he precession equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} S & \left.=\frac{i}{h} \mathbb{H} ; S\right]=\quad \text { i }[S ; S] \\
& =S  \tag{1210}\\
S & =\sim S \tag{1.211}
\end{align*}
$$

which corresponds to counterclockw ise precession around the $m$ agnetic eld.
W em ust obtain the sam e equation ofm otion from the Euler-Lagrange equation for the Lagrangian in eq. (1209)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t} \frac{L}{m \underline{m}} \quad \frac{L}{m}=0 \tag{1.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ ay be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{F} \quad \mathrm{~m} \tag{1213}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F \quad @ A \quad @ A \tag{1.214}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^16]and @ $m$ eans $\frac{\varrho}{@ m}$ (not the derivative $w$ ith respect to som e spatialcoordinate). Since $F \quad$ is antisym $m$ etric let us guess a solution of the form
$$
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{m}:
$$

U sing this in eq. (1213) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2 \mathrm{~m}=\mathrm{m} \underline{\mathrm{~m}}: \tag{1216}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pplying $\quad m$ to both sides and using the identity
$=$
we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \quad m p=m \quad m(\underline{m} m): \tag{1.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term on the right vanishes due to the length constraint. Thus we nd that our ansatz in eq. (1215) does indeed $m$ ake the Euler-Lagrange equation correctly reproduce eq. (1 211).

Eq. (1215) is equivalent to

$$
\tilde{r}_{m} \quad \not A^{\sim}\left[\begin{array}{rl}
{[r x} \tag{1.219}
\end{array}\right]=\mathrm{mq}
$$

indicating that $\not \mathbb{K}^{\sim}$ is the vector potential of a unit $m$ agnetic $m$ onopole sitting at the center of the unit sphere on which me lives as ilhustrated in $g$. (125). $N$ ote (the alw ays confusing point) that we are interpreting me as the coordinate of a ctitious particle living on the unit sphere (in spin space) surrounding the m onopole.

Recalling eq. (120), we see that the Lagrangian for a single spin in eq. (1 209) is equivalent to the Lagrangian of a massless ob ject of charge $S$, located at position $\mathrm{me}, \mathrm{m}$ oving on the unit sphere containing a magnetic $m$ onopole. The Zeem an term represents a constant electric eld ~producing a force ~S on the particle. The Lorentz force caused by the $m$ onopole causes the particle to orbit the sphere at constant latitude'. Because no kinetic term of the form $m \underline{m}$ enters the Lagrangian, the charged particle is $m$ assless and so lies only in the lowest Landau level of the m onopole eld. N ote the sim ilarity here to the previous discussion of the high eld lim it and the sem iclassical percolation picture of the integer H all e ect. For further details the reader is directed to appendix B and to H aldane's discussion ofm onopole spherical harm onics [48].

If the charge' m oves slow ly around a closed counterclockw ise path me ( $t$ ) during the tim e interval $[0 ; T]$ as illustrated in $g .(125)$, the quantum am plitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\frac{i}{h}}{ }_{0}^{R_{T}} d t L \tag{1.220}
\end{equation*}
$$

contains a Berry's phase [49] contribution proportional to the magnetic ux' enclosed by the path

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\text {iS }^{R_{T}} \int_{0}^{d t m} A}=e^{\text {iS }^{H}{ }_{A^{\Upsilon}} d r m} \text { : } \tag{1.221}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 125: M agnetic m onopole in spin space. A rrow s indicate the curl of the Berry connection $\tilde{r} \not \approx \in$ em anating from the origin. Shaded region indicates closed path me ( $t$ ) taken by the spin order param eter during which it acquires a Berry phase proportional to the m onopole ux passing through the shaded region.

A s discussed in appendix $B$, this is a purely geom etric phase in the sense that it depends only on the geom etry of the path and not the rate at which the path is traversed (since the expression is tim e reparam eterization invariant). U sing Stokes theorem and eq. (1219) we can w rite the contour integral as a surface integral

$$
e^{\text {iS }^{H}}{ }_{A^{\sim} d m}^{d m}=e^{\text {iS }^{R}} d^{\sim} \tilde{\tilde{r}} \mathbb{A}^{\tilde{\sim}}=e^{\text {iS }}
$$

where $d^{\sim}=m d$ is the directed area (solid angle) elem ent and is the total solid angle subtended by the contour as view ed from the position of the $m$ onopole. N ote from $g$. (125) that there is an ambiguity on the sphere as to which is the inside and which is the outside of the contour. Since the total solid angle is 4 we could equally well have obtained ${ }^{19}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{+ \text {is }(4 \quad)}: \tag{1223}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus the phase is am biguous unless $S$ is an integer or half-integer. This constitutes a proof' that the quantum spin length $m$ ust be quantized.
$H$ aving obtained the correct Lagrangian for our toy $m$ odelw e can now readily generalize it to the spin wave problem using the potential energy in eq. (1 207)

$$
L=h h^{Z} d^{2} r \quad \underline{m}(x) A \quad[x] \quad m^{z}(x)
$$

[^17]$$
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} r @ m @ m+\mathrm{d}^{2} r(x)(\mathrm{m} m \quad 1): \quad \text { (1.224) }
$$

The classical equation of $m$ otion can be analyzed just as for the toy model, how ever we w ill take a slightly di erent approach here. Let us look in the low energy sector w here the spins all lie close to the z direction. T hen we can w rite

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{mr} \quad=\quad \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{P} \overline{1} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{x} m^{x}} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{y} m^{y}} \\
& \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{y}} ; 1 \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{x}} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{y}} \quad: \tag{1225}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ow choose the sym $m$ etric gauge'

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\sim} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{x}} ; 0\right) \tag{1.226}
\end{equation*}
$$

which obeys eq. (1219) form close to $\hat{2}$.
K eeping only quadratic term $s$ in the Lagrangian we obtain

$$
L=h n^{Z} d^{2} r \frac{1}{2}\left(\underline{m}^{y} m^{x} \quad \underline{m}^{x} m{ }^{y}\right)
$$

$$
1 \quad \frac{1}{2} m^{x} m^{x} \quad \frac{1}{2} m^{y} m^{y}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} s^{Z} d^{2} r\left(@ m^{x} @ m^{x}+@ m^{y} @ m^{y}\right): \tag{1.227}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be conveniently rew ritten by de ning a com plex eld

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{im}^{\mathrm{y}} \\
& \mathrm{~L}=\operatorname{Snh}^{Z} d^{2} r \frac{1}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{r} @ \tag{1.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

The classicalequation ofm otion is the Schrodinger like equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
+i n \frac{@}{@ t}=\frac{s}{n S} @^{2}+h \quad: \tag{1229}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his has plane wave solutions w ith quantum energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{h}+\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{nS}} \mathrm{k}^{2}: \tag{1230}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ e can $t$ the phenom enological sti ness to the exact dispersion relation in eq. (1 202) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{n S}{4}_{k \neq 0}^{X} e^{\frac{1}{2} k \mathcal{J}^{2}} v(k) k \jmath^{2}: \tag{1231}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.26: Tlustration of a skym ion spin texture. The spin is dow $n$ at the origin and gradually tums up at in nite radius. At interm ediate distances, the $X Y$ com ponents of the spin exhibit a vortex-like w inding. Unlike a $U$ (1) vortex, there is no singularity at the origin.

E xercise 1.20 D erive eq. (1.231) from rst principles by evaluating the loss of exchange energy when the Landau gauge $=1$ ground state is distorted to $m$ ake the spin tum ble in the $x$ direction

where $k=k^{Z}$ and $=\frac{\varrho}{@ x}$ is the (constant) spin rotation angle gradient (since $x=k^{2}$ in this gauge).

### 1.10.5 Topological Excitations

So far we have studied neutral collective excitations that take the form of spin waves. They are neutralbecause as we have seen from eq. (1.197) they consist of a particlehole pair. For very large $m$ om enta the spin- ipped particle is translated a large distance q $\quad z^{2}$ aw ay from its originalposition as discussed in appendix A. This looks locally like a charged excitation but it is very expensive because it loses allof its exchange energy. It is sensible to inquire if it is possible to $m$ ake a cheaper charged excitation. This can indeed be done by taking into account the desire of the spins to be locally parallel and producing a sm ooth topological defect in the spin orientation $[46,50\{56]$ known as a skym ion by analogy w th related ob jects in the Skym em odel of nuclear physics [57]. Such an ob ject has the beautiful form exhibited in $g$. (126). R ather than having a single spin suddenly ip over, this ob ject gradually tums over the spins as the center is approached. At interm ediate distances the spins have a vortex-like
con guration. H ow ever unlike a $U$ (1) vortex, there is no singularity in the core region because the spins are able to rotate dow nw ards out of the xy plane.

In nuclear physics the Skym emodel envisions that the vacuum is a ferrom agnet' described by a four com ponent eld subject to the constraint
$=1 . T$ here are three $m$ assless (i.e. linearly dispersing) spin wave excitations corresponding to the three directions of oscillation about the ordered direction. T hese three $m$ assless $m$ odes represent the three (nearly) $m$ assless pions ${ }^{+}$; ${ }^{0}$; . The nucleons (proton and neutron) are represented by skym ion spin textures. Rem arkably, it can be shown (for an appropriate form of the action) that these objects are ferm ions despite the fact that they are in a sense $m$ ade up of a coherent supenposition of (an in nite num ber of) bosonic spin waves.

W e shall see a very sim ilar phenom enology in Q HE ferrom agnets. At lling factor , skym ionshave charge e and fractionalstatisticsm uch like Laughlin quasiparticles. For $=1$ these ob jects are ferm ions. Unlike Laughlin quasiparticles, skym ions are extended ob jects, and they involve $m$ any ipped (and partially ipped) spins. This property has profound im plications as we shall see.

Let us begin our analysis by understanding how it is that spin textures can carry charge. It is clear from the P auli principle that it is necessary to ip at least som e spins to locally increase the charge density in a $=1$ ferrom agnet. $W$ hat is the su cient condition on the spin distortions in order to have a density uctuation? Rem arkably it tums out to be possible, as we shall see, to uniquely express the charge density solely in term s of gradients of the local spin orientation.

C onsider a ferrom agnet with localspin orientation me (x) which is static. A s each electron travels we assum e that the strong exchange eld keeps the spin follow ing the local orientation m. If the electron has velocity x , the rate of change of the local spin orientation it sees is $\underline{m}=\underline{x} \frac{\varrho}{\varrho x} m$. This in tum induces an additional Berry's phase as the spin orientation varies. T hus the single-particle Lagrangian contains an additional rst order tim e derivative in addition to the one induced by the $m$ agnetic eld coupling to the orbitalm otion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L_{0}=\frac{e}{c} \underline{x} A+h S \underline{m} A \text { [ra }\right]: \tag{1233}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H$ ere A refers to the electrom agnetic vector potential and A refers to the $m$ onopole vector potentialobeying eq. (1219) and we have set the $m$ ass to zero (i.e. dropped the $\frac{1}{2} M \underline{x} \underline{x}$ tem ). This can be rew ritten

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=\frac{e}{c} \underline{x}(A+a) \tag{1234}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (w ith obeing the ux quantum )

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \quad O_{0} \frac{@}{@ x} m \quad A \quad[i n] \tag{1235}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents the Berry connection', an additional vector potential which reproduces the Berry phase. The additional fakem agnetic ux due to the curl of the

Berry connection is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{\mathrm{ax}_{\mathrm{x}}} \mathrm{a} \\
& =\quad 0_{0} S \quad \frac{@}{@ x} \quad \frac{@}{@ x} m \quad A \text { [fir ] } \\
& =\quad{ }_{0} S \quad \frac{\varrho}{@ x} \frac{@}{@ x} m \quad A \text { [rit } \\
& +\frac{@}{@ x} m \quad \frac{@ m}{@ x} \frac{@ A}{@ m}: \tag{1,236}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term vanishes by sym $m$ etry leaving

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\quad 0 S \quad \frac{@ m}{@ x} \frac{@ m}{@ x} \frac{1}{2} F \tag{1237}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F} \quad$ is given by eq．（1215）and we have taken advantage of the fact that the rem aining factors are antisymm etric under the exchange $\$$ ．Using eq．（1215）and setting $S=\frac{1}{2}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\quad 0 \sim \tag{1238}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\sim & \frac{1}{8} \quad{ }^{a^{a b c} m^{a} @ m^{b} @ m^{c}} \\
= & \frac{1}{8} \quad \mathrm{mr} \quad @ ⿴ 囗 十 \mathrm{mr} \tag{1.239}
\end{align*}
$$

is（for reasons that w ill becom e clear shortly）called the topological density or the $P$ ontryagin density．

Im agine now that we adiabatically deform the uniform ly m agnetized spin state into som e spin texture state．W e see from eq．（1238）that the orbital degrees of freedom see this as adiabatically adding additional ux $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{x})$ ．Recall from eq．（1．171）and the discussion of the charge of the Laughlin quasiparticle， that extra charge density is associated w ith extra ux in the am ount

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{xy} \mathrm{~b}  \tag{1.240}\\
& =\mathrm{e} \sim \tag{1.241}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus we have the rem arkable result that the changes in the electron charge density are proportional to the topological density．

O ur assum ption of adiabaticity is valid as long as the spin uctuation fre－ quency is much lower than the charge excitation gap．This is an excellent approxim ation for $=1$ and still good on the stronger fractional H allplateaus．

It is interesting that the ferm ionic charge density in this m odel can be ex－ pressed solely in term s of the vector boson eld re（x），but there is som ething
even $m$ ore signi cant here. The skym ion spin texture has total topological charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\text {top }} \quad \frac{1}{8}^{Z} \quad d^{2} r \quad m \quad \text { @nt } \quad @ m \tag{1242}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is always an integer. In fact for any sm ooth spin texture in which the spins at in nity are all parallel, $Q$ top is alw ays an integer. Since it is im possible to continuously deform one integer into another, $Q$ top is a topological invariant. That is, if $Q_{\text {top }}=1$ because a skym ion (anti-skymm ion) is present, $Q_{\text {top }}$ is stable against sm ooth continuous distortions of the eld re. For exam ple a spin wave could pass through the skym ion and $Q$ top would rem ain invariant. $T$ hus this charged ob ject is topologically stable and has ferm ion num ber (i.e., the num ber of ferm ions (electrons) that ow into the region when the ob ject is form ed)

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=Q_{\text {top }}: \tag{1.243}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $=1, \mathrm{~N}$ is an integer ( 1 say) and has the ferm ion num ber of an electron. It is thus continuously connected to the single ipped spin exam ple discussed earlier.

W e are thus led to the rem arkable conclusion that the spin degree of freedom couples to the electrostatic potential. Because skym ions carry charge, we can a ect the spin con guration using electric rather than $m$ agnetic elds!

To understand how $Q$ top always tums out to be an integer, it is useful to consider a sim pler case of a one-dim ensional ring. W e follow here the discussion of 58]. C onsider the unit circle (known to topologists as the one-dim ensional sphere $S_{1}$ ). Let the angle $[0 ; 2]$ param eterize the position along the curve. C onsider a continuous, suitably well-behaved, complex function () $=e^{i^{\prime}}$ ( ) de ned at each point on the circle and obeying $j=1$. Thus associated $w$ ith each point is another unit circle giving the possible range of values of (). The function ( ) thus de nes a tra jectory on the torus $S_{1} \quad S_{1}$ illustrated in g. (127). The possible functions ( ) can be classi ed into di erent hom otopy classes according to their winding num ber $n 2 \mathrm{Z}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{n} & \frac{1}{2}_{2}^{\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{i}} \\
= & \frac{1}{2}_{0}^{\mathrm{z}_{2}^{0}} \mathrm{~d} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{1}{2}[(2) \quad,(0)]: \tag{1.244}
\end{align*}
$$

Because the points $=0$ and $=2$ are identi ed as the sam e point

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=(2)){ }^{\prime}(2) \quad '(0)=2 \quad \text { integer } \tag{1.245}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so n is an integer. N otice the crucial role played by the fact that the topological density $y^{\prime} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^{\prime}}{d}$ is the Jacobian for converting from the coordinate in the dom ain to the coordinate' in the range. It is this fact that $m$ akes the integral in eq. (1.244) independent of the detailed local form of the $m$ apping


Figure 1.27: Tllustration ofm appings' ( ) w th: zero winding num ber (left) and w inding num ber +2 (right).
' ( ) and depend only on the overallw inding num ber. A s we shall shortly see, this sam efeature will also tum out to be true for the P ontryagin density.

Think of the function' ( ) as de ning the path of an elastic band wrapped around the torus. C learly the band can be stretched, pulled and distorted in any sm ooth way w thout any e ect on $n$. The only way to change the winding num ber from one integer to another is to discontinuously break the elastic band, unw ind (orwind) som e extra tums, and then rejoin the cut pieces.

A nother way to visualize the hom otopy properties of $m$ appings from $S_{1}$ to $S_{1}$ is illustrated in $g .(128)$. The solid circle represents the dom ain and the dashed circle represents the range '. It is useful to im agine the circle as being an elastic band (w ith points on it labeled by coordinates running from 0 to 2 ) which can be lifted up' to the' circle in such a way that each point of lies just outside the im age point ' ( ). The gure ilhustrates how the winding num ber $n$ can be interpreted as the num ber of tim es the dom ain circle wraps around the range' circle. (N ote: even though the elastic band is stretched' and m ay w rap around the' circle $m$ ore than once, its coordinate labels still only run from 0 to 2 .) This interpretation is the one which we w ill generalize for the case of skyrm ions in 2D ferrom agnets.
$W$ e can think of the equivalence class of $m$ appings having a given $w$ inding num ber as an elem ent of a group called the hom otopy group ${ }_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)$. The group operation is addition and the $w$ inding number of the sum of two functions, $'() \quad r_{1}()+'_{2}()$, is the sum of the two $w$ inding num bers $n=n_{1}+n_{2}$. Thus ${ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ is isom orphic to $Z$, the group of integers under addition.

R etuming now to the ferrom agnet we see that the unit vector order param eterm de nes am apping from the plane $R_{2}$ to the two-sphere $S_{2}$ (i.e. an ordinary sphere in three dim ensions having a two-dim ensional surface). B ecause we assume that $m=\hat{z}$ for all spatial points far from the location of the skym ion, we can safely use a projective $m$ ap to com pactify' $R_{2}$ into a sphere $S_{2}$. In this process all points at in nity in $R_{2}$ are $m$ apped into a single point on $S_{2}$, but since $m$ ( $x$ ) is the sam $e$ for all these di erent points, no harm is done. W e are thus interested in the generalization of the concept of the $w$ inding num ber


Figure 1.28: A di erent representation of the $m$ appings from to ' $T$ he dashed line represents the dom ain and the solid line represents the range'. The dom ain is lifted up' by the $m$ apping and placed on the range. The winding num ber $n$ is the num ber of tim es the dashed circle w raps the solid circle (w ith a possible $m$ inus sign depending on the orientation).


Figure 1.29: In nitesim al circuit in spin space associated $w$ ith an in nitesim al circuit in realspace via the $m$ apping $m$ ( $x$ ).
to the $m$ apping $S_{2}!S_{2}$. The corresponding hom otopy group $2\left(S_{2}\right)$ is also equivalent to $Z$ as we shall see.
$C$ onsider the follow ing four points in the plane and their im ages (illustrated in $g$. (1.29)) under the $m$ apping

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
(x ; y) & ! & \operatorname{me}(x ; y) \\
(x+d x ; y) & ! & \operatorname{me}(x+d x ; y) \\
(x ; y+d y) & ! & \operatorname{me}(x ; y+d y) \\
(x+d x ; y+d y) & ! & \operatorname{me}(x+d x ; y+d y): \tag{1246}
\end{array}
$$

The four points in the plane de ne a rectangle of area dxdy. T he four points on the order param eter (spin) sphere de ne an approxim ate parallelogram whose area (solid angle) is

$T$ hus the Jacobian converting area in the plane into solid angle on the sphere is 4 tim es the P ontryagin density $\sim$. This $m$ eans that the total topological charge given in eq. (1242) must be an integer since it counts the num ber of tim es the com pacti ed plane is w rapped around the order param eter sphere by the m apping. The w rapping' is done by lifting each point $x$ in the com pacti ed plane up to the corresponding point m( $x$ ) on the sphere just as was described for ${ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1}\right)$ in g . (128).

For the skym ion ilhustrated in $g .(126)$ the order param eter function $\mathrm{me}(x)$
$w$ as chosen to be the standard form that $m$ in im izes the gradient energy [58]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}^{\mathrm{x}}=\frac{2 r \cos (\quad,)}{2+r^{2}}  \tag{1248a}\\
& \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{y}}=\frac{2 r \sin (,)}{2+r^{2}}  \tag{1248b}\\
& \mathrm{~m}^{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{r^{2} 2^{2}+r^{2}}{2} \tag{1248c}
\end{align*}
$$

where ( $r$; ) are the polar coordinates in the plane, is a constant that controls the size scale, and ' is a constant that controls the XY spin orientation. (R otations about the Zeem an axis leave the energy invariant.) From the gure it is not hard to see that the skym ion $m$ apping w raps the com pacti ed plane around the order param eter sphere exactly once. $T$ he sense is such that $Q_{\text {top }}=1$.

E xercise 1.21 Show that the topological density can be written in polar spatial coordinates as

$$
\sim=\frac{1}{4 r} \mathrm{me} \quad \frac{@_{\mathrm{rre}}}{@ r} \quad \frac{@_{\mathrm{rr}}}{@}:
$$

U se this result to show

$$
\sim=\frac{1}{4}{\frac{2}{2+r^{2}}}^{2}
$$

and hence

$$
Q_{\text {top }}=1
$$

for the skym ion $m$ apping in eqs. (1.248a\{1.248c).
It is w orthw hile to note that it is possible to w rite down sim ple $m$ icroscopic variational wave functions for the skym ion which are closely related to the continuum eld theory results obtained above. C onsider the follow ing state in the plane (51]

where 1 is the $=1$ led Landau level state ( $j$ ) refers to the spinor for the jth particle, and is a xed length scale. This is a skym ion because it has its spin purely down at the origin ( $w$ here $z_{j}=0$ ) and has spin purely up at in nity (where $\dot{\sharp}_{j} j \quad$ ). The param eter is simply the size scale of the skym ion $[46,58]$. At radius the spinor has equalw eight for up and dow $n$ spin states (since $\dot{z}_{j} j=$ ) and hence the spin lies in the XY plane just as it does for the solution in eq. (1248c). N otioe that in the lim it ! 0 (where the continuum e ective action is invalid but this $m$ icroscopic $w$ ave function is still sensible) we recover a fully spin polarized led Landau levelw ith a charge-1

Laughlin quasihole at the origin. H ence the num ber of ipped spins interpolates continuously from zero to in nity as increases.

In order to analyze the skym ion wave function in eq. (1249), we use the Laughlin plasm a analogy. R ecalll from our discussion in sec.1.6.1 that in this analogy the norm of,$T r_{f} g\left[[z] j[z] j^{2}\right.$ is viewed as the partition function of a C oulom b gas. In order to com pute the density distribution we sim ply need to take a trace over the spin

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=Z^{Z}[z] e^{2}{ }_{i>j} \log j_{i} z_{j j} \frac{1}{2}^{P}{ }_{k}^{\log \left(\dot{z}_{k} j^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} P{ }_{k} \dot{z}_{k} j^{2}}: \tag{1250}
\end{equation*}
$$

This partition function describes the usual logarithm ically interacting C oulom b gas with uniform background charge plus a spatially varying im purity background charge $b(r)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{b}(\mathrm{r}) \quad \frac{1}{2} r^{2} \mathrm{~V}(r)=+\frac{2}{\left(r^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} ;  \tag{1251}\\
& \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})=\frac{1}{2} \log \left(r^{2}+{ }^{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

For large enough scale size $\quad$, local neutrality of the plasm a 59] forces the electrons to be expelled from the vicinity of the origin and im plies that the excess electron num ber density is precisely $\quad b(r)$, so that eq. (1251) is in agreem ent w ith the standard result 58] for the topological density given in ex. 121.

Just as 斗was easy to nd an explicit wave function for the Laughlin quasihole but proved di cult to $w$ rite dow $n$ an analytic w ave function for the Laughlin quasi-electron, it is sim ilarly di cult to $m$ ake an explicit $w$ ave function for the anti-skym ion. F inally, we note that by replacing ${ }^{z}$ by ${ }_{n}^{n}$, we can generate a skym ion w th a P ontryagin index $n$.

E xercise 1.22 The argum ent given above for the charge density of the m icroscopic skym ion state wave function used local neutrality of the plasm a and hence is valid only on large length scales and thus requires '. Find the com plete $m$ icroscopic analytic solution for the charge density valid for anbitrary , by using the fact that the proposed $m$ anybody wave function is nothing but a Slater determ inant of the single particle states $m(z)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(z)=q \frac{z^{m}}{22^{m+1} m!m+1+\frac{2}{2}} e^{\frac{\frac{j z}{} 2_{j}}{4}}: \tag{1253}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that the exœess electron num ber density is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{(1)}(z) \quad X_{m=0}^{1} j_{m}(z) \jmath^{\mathcal{N}} \quad \frac{1}{2} ; \tag{1254}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{n}^{(1)}(\mathrm{z})=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \quad{ }^{\frac{2}{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{jz} j^{2}}{2}(1}\right)\left(\dot{\mathrm{z}}{ }^{\hat{j}}+{ }^{2}\right) \quad 1: \tag{1255}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, nd the spin density distribution $S^{z}(r)$ and show that it also agrees with the eld-theoretic expression in eq. (1.248c) in the large lim it.

The skyrm ion solution in eqs. (1248a\{1248c) m inim izes the gradient energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s}^{Z} \mathrm{~d}^{2} r @ m @ m: \tag{1256}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ otice that the energy cost is scale invariant since this expression contains tw o integrals and two derivatives. H ence the total gradient energy is independent of the scale factor and for a single skym ion is given by $[46,58]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}=4 \quad s=\frac{1}{4}_{1} \tag{1257}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l_{1}$ is the asym ptotic large $q$ lim it of the spin wave energy in eq. (1201). Since th is spin w ave excitation produces a w idely separated particle-hole pair, we see that the energy of a w idely separated skym ion-antiskym ion pair $\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4} \quad 1$ is only half as large. Thus skym ions are considerably cheaper to create than simple ipped spins. ${ }^{20}$

N otice that eq. (1257) tells us that the charge excitation gap, while only half as large as naively expected, is nite as long as the spin sti ness $s$ is nite. Thus we can expect a dissipationless $H$ allplateau. T herefore, as em phasized by Sondhiet al. [46], the C oulom b interaction plays a centralrole in the $=1$ integer H alle ect. W thout the C oulomb interaction the charge gap would sim ply be the tiny Zeem an gap. W ith the Coulomb interaction the gap is large even

[^18]in the lim it of zero Zeem an energy because of the spontaneous ferrom agnetic order induced by the spin sti ness.

At precisely $=1$ skym ion/antiskym ion pairs w ill be therm ally activated and hence exponentially rare at low tem peratures. On the other hand, because they are the cheapest way to in ject charge into the system, there will be a nite density of skym ions even in the ground state if $\in 1$. Skym ions also occur in ordinary 2D $m$ agnetic $\mathrm{m} s$ but since they do not carry charge (and are energetically expensive since $s$ is quite large) they readily freeze out and are not particularly im portant.

The charge of a skym ion is shanply quantized but its number of ipped spins depends on its area ${ }^{2}$. Hence if the energy were truly scale invariant, the num ber of ipped spins could take on any value. Indeed one of the early theoreticalm otivations for skym ions was the discovery in num erical w ork by R ezayi $[46,60$ ] that adding a single charge to a led Landau level converted the $m$ axim ally ferrom agnetic state into a spin singlet. In the presence of a nite Zeem an energy the scale invariance is lost and there is a term in the energy that scales w ith ${ }^{2}$ and tries to $m$ inim ize the size of the skym ion. C om peting $w$ ith this how ever is a C oulom b term which we now discuss.

The Lagrangian in eq. (1224) contains the correct leading order term $s$ in a gradient expansion. T here are several possible term swhich are fourth order in gradients, but a particular one dom inates over the others at long distances. $T$ his is the $H$ artree energy associated $w$ ith the charge density of the skym ion

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{H}=\frac{1}{2}^{Z} d^{2} r^{Z} d^{2} r^{0} \frac{(x)}{\left.\mathfrak{j}^{x}\right)\left(x^{2}\right)} \tag{1.258}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{e}{8} \quad \mathrm{rr} \quad \text { Qme } \quad @ \mathrm{rr} \tag{1259}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is the dielectric constant. The long range of the C oulomb interaction $m$ akes this e ectively a three gradient term that distinguishes it from the other possible term sat this order. R ecall that the C oulom b interaction already entered in low er order in the com putation of s . T hat how ever w as the exchange energy while the present term is the H artree energy. T he H artree energy scales like $\underline{\mathrm{e}^{2}}$ and so prefers to expand the skym ion size. The com petition between the C oulom b and Zeem an energies yields an optim al num ber of approxim ately four ipped spins according to $m$ icroscopic H artree Fock calculations [61].
$T$ hus a signi cant prediction for this $m$ odel is that each charge added (or rem oved) from a lled Landau levelwill ip several ( 4) spins. This is very di erent from what is expected for non-interacting electrons. A s illustrated in g. (1.30) rem oving an electron leaves the non-interacting system stillpolarized. $T$ he P auliprinciple forces an added electron to be spin reversed and the m agnetization drops from unity at $=1$ to zero at $=2$ where both spin states of the low est Landau level are fiully occupied.

D irect experim ental evidence for the existence of skym ions was rst obtained by B arrett et al. [62] using a novel optically pum ped NM R technique.


Figure 1.30: Illustration of the spin con gurations for non-interacting electrons at lling factor $=1$ in the presence of a hole (top) and an extra electron (bottom ) .

The H am iltonian for a nucleus is [63]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{Z}}+\mathrm{I} \mathrm{~S} \tag{1.260}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is the nuclear angularm om entum, $N$ is the nuclear Zeem an frequency (about 3 orders of $m$ agnitude $s m$ aller than the electron Zeem an frequency), is the hyper ne coupling and $s$ is the electron spin density at the nuclear site. If, as a rst approxim ation we replace $s$ by its average value

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{N} \quad\left(N_{N}+h s^{z} i\right) I^{z} \tag{1261}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that the precession frequency of the nucleus willbe shifted by an am ount proportional to the $m$ agnetization of the electron gas. The magnetization deduced using this so-called K night shift is shown in $g$. (1.31). The electron gas is $100 \%$ polarized at $=1$, but the polarization drops o sharply (and sym $m$ etrically) as charge is added or subtracted. $T$ his is in sharp disagreem ent w ith the prediction of the free electron m odel as shown in the gure. The initial steep slope of the data allows one to deduce that $3.5\{4$ spins reverse for each charge added or rem oved. This is in excellent quantitative agreem ent w ith $H$ artree $F$ ock calculations for the skym ion $m$ odel [61].

O ther evidence for skym ions com es from the large change in Zeem an energy $w$ ith eld due to the large num ber of ipped spins. T his has been observed in transport [64] and in optical spectroscopy [65]. R ecall that spin-orbit e ects in G aAs m ake the electron $g$ factor $0: 4$. U nder hydrostatic pressure $g$ can be tuned tow ards zero which should greatly enhance the skym ion size. Evidence for this e ect has been seen [66].


Figure 1.31: NM R K night shift measurem ent of the electron spin polarization near lling factor $=1$. C ircles are the data of $B$ arrett et al. [62]. $T$ he dashed line is a guide to the eye. T he solid line is the prediction for non-interacting electrons. T he peak represents $100 \%$ polarization at $=1$. T he steep slope on each side indicates that $m$ any ( 4) spins ip over for each charge added (or subtracted). The observed symmetry around $=1$ is due to the particle-hole sym $m$ etry betw een skymm ions and antiskym ions not present in the free-electron m odel.


Figure 1.32: NMR nuclear spin relaxation rate $1=T_{1}$ as a function of lling factor. A fter Tycko et al [68]. The relaxation rate is very sm all at $=1$, but rises dram atically aw ay from $=1$ due to the presence of skym ions.

### 1.11 Skyrm ion D ynam ics

NM R [62] and nuclear speci c heat [67] data indicate that skym ions dram atically enhance the rate at which the nuclear spins relax. This nuclear spin relaxation is due to the transverse term s in the hyper ne interaction which we neglected in discussing the K night shift

T he free electron $m$ odelw ould predict that it would be im possible for an electron and a nucleus to undergo $m$ utualspin ipsbecause the Zeem an energy w ould not be conserved. (Recall that $n \quad 10^{3}$.) The spin wave m odel show $s$ that the problem is even worse than this. Recall from eq. (1201) that the spin C oulom b interaction $m$ akes spin wave energy $m$ uch larger than the electron Zeem an gap except at very long wavelengths. T he low est frequency spin wave excitations lie above $20\{50 \mathrm{GHzw}$ hile the nuclei precess at $10\{100 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Hz}$. Hence the two sets of spins are unable to couple e ectively. At $=1$ this simple picture is correct. The nuclear relaxation time $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ is extrem ely long (tens of minutes to $m$ any hours depending on the tem perature) as shown in g. (1.32). H ow ever the gure also shows that for 1 the relaxation rate $1=\mathrm{T}_{1}$ rises dram atically and $T_{1}$ falls to 20 seconds. In order to understand this dram atic variation we need to develop a theory of spin dynam ics in the presence of skym ions.

The $1=T_{1}$ data is telling us that for 1 at least som $e$ of the electron spin uctuations are orders of $m$ agnitude lower in frequency than the Zeem an splitting and these low frequency m odes can couple strongly to the nuclei. O ne way thism ight occur is through the presence ofdisorder. W e see from eq. (1.262) that NMR is a local probe which couples to spin ip excitations at all wave vectors. R ecall from eq. (1.197) that low est Landau levelpro jection im plies that $\overline{S_{q}}$ contains a translation operator $q$. In the presence of strong disorder the Zeem an and exchange cost of the spin ips could be com pensated by translation to a region of low er potential energy. Such a m echanism was studied in [69] but does not show sharp features in $1=\mathrm{T}_{1}$ around $=1$.

W e are left only w th the possibility that the dynam ics ofskym ions som ehow involves low frequency spin uctuations. For simplicity we will analyze this possibility ignoring the e ects of disorder, although this $m$ ay not be a valid approxim ation.

Let us begin by considering a ferrom agnetic $=1$ state containing a single skym ion of the form param eterized in eqs. (1248a\{1248c). There are two degeneracies at the classicallevel in the e ective eld theory: T he energy does not depend on the position of the skym ion and it does not depend on the angular orientation' . These continuous degeneracies are know $n$ as zero modes [58] and require special treatm ent of the quantum uctuations about the classical solution.

In the presence of one or $m$ ore skym ions, the quantum $H$ all ferrom agnet is non-colinear. In an ordinary ferrom agnet where all the spins are parallel, global rotations about the $m$ agnetization axis only change the quantum phase of the state | they do not produce a new state. ${ }^{21}$ Because the skym ion has distinguishable orientation, each one induces a new $U$ (1) degree of freedom in the system. In addition because the skym ion has a distinguishable location, each one induces a new translation degree of freedom. A s noted above, both of these are zero energy $m$ odes at the classical level suggesting that they $m$ ight well be the source of low energy excitations which couple so e ectively to the nuclei. W e shall see that this is indeed the case, although the story is som ew hat com plicated by the necessity of correctly quantizing these $m$ odes.

Let us begin by nding the e ective Lagrangian for the translation $m$ ode [8]. W e take the spin con guration to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mr}(x ; t)=\operatorname{mox}_{0} \quad x \quad \mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t}) \tag{1263}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherem 0 is the static classicalskym ion solution and $R^{\prime}(t)$ is the position degree of freedom. We ignore all other spin wave degrees of freedom since they are gapped. ( $T$ he gapless $U$ (1) rotation $m$ ode $w$ ill be treated separately below .) Eq. (1224) yields a Berry phase term

Z

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=h S \quad d^{2} r \underline{m} A[n] n(x) \tag{1264}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^19]where
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{m}=R \frac{@}{@ r} m_{0}(x \quad R) \tag{1.265}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

and unlike in eq. (1224) we have taken into account our new-found know ledge that the density is non-uniform

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}(x)=\mathrm{n}_{0}+\frac{1}{8} \quad \mathrm{mr} \quad @ \mathrm{mr} \quad @ \mathrm{me}: \tag{1.266}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term in eq. (1266) can be shown to produce an extra Berry phase when tw o skym ions are exchanged leading to the correct $m$ inus sign for Ferm i statistics (on the $=1$ plateau) but we will not treat it further. Eq. (1264) then becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{0}=+h R-a \quad(x) \tag{1267}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector potential
Z
a (x) $\quad S n_{0} \quad d^{2} r(@ m) A$
has curl

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{@}{@ R} a & =\frac{@}{@ r} a \\
& =S n_{0} \quad d^{2} r @ \quad f(@ m \quad A \quad g \\
& =S_{0} \quad d^{2} r\left(@ m \quad(@ m \quad) \frac{@ A}{@ m}\right. \\
& =\frac{S_{0}}{2} Z d^{2} r \quad @ m \quad @ m \\
& =2 n_{0} Q \text { top } \tag{1.269}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus eq. (1.267) corresponds to the kinetic Lagrangian for a m assless particle ofcharge $e_{\text {top }} m$ oving in a uniform $m$ agnetic eld ofstrength $B=\frac{0}{21^{2}}$. But this of course is precisely what the skym ion is [8].

W e have kept here only the low est order adiabatic tim e derivative term in the action. ${ }^{22}$ This is justi ed by the existence of the spin excitation gap and the fact that we are interested only in $m$ uch low er frequencies (for the NMR).

If we ignore the disorder potential then the kinetic Lagrangian sim ply leads to a Ham iltonian that yields quantum states in the lowest Landau level, all of which are degenerate in energy and therefore capable of relaxing the nuclei (whose precession frequency is extrem ely low on the scale of the electronic Zeem an energy).

Let us tum now to the rotational degree of freedom represented by the coordinate $'$ in eqs. (1 248a\{1248c). The full Lagrangian is com plicated and

[^20]contains the degrees of freedom of the continuous eld m (x). W e need to introduce the collective coordinate' describing the orientation of the skym ion as one of the degrees of freedom and then carry out the Feynm an path integration over the quantum uctuations in all the in nite num ber of rem aining degrees of freedom.$^{23} \mathrm{~T}$ his is a non-trivialtask, but fortunately we do not actually have to carry it out. Instead we w ill sim ply w rite dow $n$ the answer. The answ er is som e functionalofthe path for the single variable' ( $t$ ). W ew illexpress this functional (using a functional Taylor series expansion) in the $m$ ost general form possible that is consistent $w$ ith the sym $m$ etries in the problem. T hen we w ill attem pt to identify the $m$ eaning of the various term $s$ in the expansion and evaluate their coe cients (or assign them values phenom enologically). A fter integrating out the high frequency spin wave uctuations, the low est-order sym m etry-allow ed term $s$ in the action are
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{\prime}=h K^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2 U} \prime^{2}+::: \tag{1270}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

A gain, there is a rst-order term allow ed by the lack of tim e-reversal sym $m$ etry and we have included the leading non-adiabatic correction. T he full action involving me ( $x ; t$ ) contains only a rst-order tim e derivative but a second order term is allowed by sym $m$ etry to be generated upon integrating out the high frequency uctuations. W e will not perform this explicitly but rather treat U as a phenom enological tting param eter.

T he coe cient $K$ can be com puted exactly since it is sim ply the B erry phase term. U nder a slow rotation of all the spins through 2 the B erry phase is (using eq. (B 22) in appendix B)

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2} r n(x)(S 2)\left[1 \quad m_{b}^{z}(x)\right]=\frac{1}{h}_{0}^{Z} L,=2 K: \tag{1271}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T$ he non-adiabatic term gives a $1=T$ contribution that vanishes in the adiabatic lim it T ! 1 .) $T$ hus we arrive at the important conclusion that $K$ is the expectation value of the num ber of overtumed spins for the classical solution $\mathrm{mfo}_{0}(\mathbb{q})$. W e em phasize that this is the H artree Fock (i.e., classical') skym ion solution and therefore $K$ need not be an integer.

The canonicalangular $m$ om entum conjugate to ' in eq. (1270) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{L}^{\prime}}{{ }^{\prime}}=\mathrm{hK}+\frac{\mathrm{h}^{2}}{\mathrm{U}}{ }^{\prime} \tag{1272}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence the H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
H, & =L_{z}^{\prime}-L \prime \\
& =h K+\frac{h^{2}}{U} \prime^{\prime}-\quad h K \quad \frac{h^{2}}{2 U} \prime^{2} \\
& =+\frac{h^{2}}{2 U} \prime^{\prime 2}-\frac{U}{2 h^{2}}\left(L_{z} \quad h K\right)^{2} \tag{1273}
\end{align*}
$$

[^21]H aving identi ed the $H$ am iltonian and expressed it in term $s$ of the coordinate and the canonicalm om entum conjugate to that coordinate, we quantize $H$, by sim ply $m$ aking the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad!\quad \frac{i h^{@}}{\varrho} \tag{1.274}
\end{equation*}
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H},=+\frac{\mathrm{U}}{2} \quad \frac{\mathrm{C}}{\mathrm{C}^{\prime}} \quad \mathrm{K}^{2}: \tag{1275}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be intenpreted as the H am iltonian of a (charged) XY quantum rotor w ith m om ent of inertia $\mathrm{h}^{2}=\mathrm{U}$ circling a solenoid containing K ux quanta. ( $T$ he Berry phase term in eq. (1270) is then interpreted as the A haronov-Bohm phase.) The eigenfunctions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(r)=p \frac{1}{2} e^{i m}, \tag{1.276}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenvalues are

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{U}{2}(m \quad K)^{2}: \tag{1277}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angularm om entum operator $L_{z}$ is actually the operator giving the num ber of ipped spins in the skym ion. Because of the rotational sym $m$ etry about the Zeem an axis, this is a good quantum num ber and therefore takes on integer values (as required in any quantum system of nite size w ith rotational sym $m$ etry about the $z$ axis). The ground state value ofm is the nearest integer to $K . T$ he ground state angular velocity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime=\frac{@ H,}{@ L_{z}}=\frac{U}{h}(m \quad K): \tag{1.278}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence if $K$ is not an integer the skym ion is spinning around at a nite velocity. In any case the actual orientation angle' for the skym ion is com pletely uncertain since from eq. (1276)

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m}(r) j^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \tag{1.279}
\end{equation*}
$$

' has a at probability distribution (due to quantum zero point motion). We interpret this as telling us that the global $U$ (1) rotation sym $m$ etry broken in the classical solution is restored in the quantum solution because of quantum uctuations in the coordinate '. T his issue will arise again in our study of the Skym e lattice where we will nd that for an in nite array of skym ions, the sym $m$ etry can som etim es rem ain broken.

M icroscopic analytical [71] and num erical [61] calculations do indeed nd a fam ily of low energy excitations with an approxim ately parabolic relation betw een the energy and the number of ipped spins just as is predicted by


Figure 1.33: E lectronic structure of the skyrm ion lattice as determ ined by nu$m$ erical H artree Fock calculations for lling factor $=1: 1$ and Zeem an energy 0:015 $\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{}$. (a) Excess charge density (in units of $1=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & { }^{2}\end{array}\right)$ ) and (b) Twodim ensional vector representation of the XY com ponents of the spin density. $T$ he spin sti ness $m$ akes the square lattice $m$ ore stable than the triangular lattioe at this lling factor and Zeem an coupling. Because of the $U$ (1) rotational sym $m$ etry about the Zeem an axis, this is sim ply one representative $m$ em ber of a continuous fam ily ofdegenerate $H$ artree $F$ ock solutions. A fter $B$ rey et al. [1].
eq. (1 277). A s m entioned earlier, $K \quad 4$ for typical param eters. Except for the special case where $K$ is a half integer the spectrum is non-degenerate and has an excitation gap on the scale of $U$ which is in tum some fraction of the C oulombenergy scale 100 K . In the absence of disorder even a gap of only 1 K w ould m ake these excitations irrelevant to the NM R. W e shall see how ever that this conclusion is dram atically altered in the case where $m$ any skym ions are present.

### 1.11.1 Skyrm e Lattices

For lling factors slightly aw ay from $=1$ there will be a nite density of skym ions or antiskym ions (allw ith the sam e sign of topologicalcharge) in the ground state $[56,72,73]$. H artree $F$ ock calculations [72] indicate that the ground state is a Skym e crystal. Because the skym ions are charged, the C oulomb potential in eq. (1258) is optim ized for the triangular lattioe. T his is indeed the preferred structure for very sm all values of $j 1 j$ where the skym ion density is low. H ow ever at m oderate densities the square lattice is preferred. T he H artreeFock ground state has the angular variable' j shifted by betw een neighboring skym ions as ilhustrated in g. (1.33). This antiferrom agnetic' arrangem ent of the XY spin orientation $m$ inim izes the spin gradient energy and would be frustrated on the triangular lattice. H ence it is the spin sti ness that stabilizes the square lattice structure.

The H artree Fock ground state breaks both global translation and global

U (1) spin rotation sym $m$ etry. It is a kind of supersolid' $w$ ith both diagonal

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{z} \quad h s^{2}(x) s^{2}\left(x^{0}\right) i \tag{1280}
\end{equation*}
$$

and o -diagonal

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}^{?} \quad \mathrm{~s}^{+}(x) \mathrm{S}\left(x^{0}\right) \tag{1.281}
\end{equation*}
$$

long-range order. For the case of a single skym ion we found that the $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry was broken at the $H$ artree Fock (classical) levelbut fully restored by quantum uctuations of the zero $m$ ode coordinate '. In the therm odynam ic lim it of an in nite num ber of skym ions coupled together, it is possible for the global U (1) rotational sym $m$ etry breaking to survive quantum uctuations. ${ }^{24}$ If this occurs then an excitation gap is not produced. Instead we have a new kind of gapless spin wave Goldstone m ode $[74,75]$. This m ode is gapless despite the presence of the Zeem an eld and hence has a profound e ect on the NMR relaxation rate. The gapless $G$ oldstone $m$ ode associated $w$ th the broken translation sym $m$ etry is the ordinary $m$ agneto-phonon of the $W$ igner crystal. This too contributes to the nuclear relaxation rate.

In actual practioe, disorder will be im portant. In addition, the NM R experim ents have so far been perform ed at tem peratures which are likely well above the lattice $m$ elting tem perature. N evertheless the zero tem perature lattige calculations to be discussed below probably capture the essential physics of this non co-linear magnet. N am ely, there exist spin uctuations at frequencies orders ofm agnitude below the Zeem an gap. At zero tem perature these are coherent $G$ oldstone $m$ odes. A bove the lattice $m$ elting tem perature they $w i l l$ be overdam ped di usive $m$ odes derived from the $G$ oldstone $m$ odes. The essential physics will still be that the spin uctuations have strong spectral density at frequencies far below the Zeem an gap.

It tums out that at long wavelengths the $m$ agnetophonon and $U$ (1) spin m odes are decoupled. W e w ill therefore ignore the positional degrees of freedom when analyzing the new $U$ (1) mode. W e have already found the $U$ (1) H am iltonian for a single skym ion in eq. (1275). The sim plest generalization to the Skym e lattioe which is consistent w ith the sym $m$ etries of the problem is
where $\hat{K}_{j} \quad \frac{j^{@}}{d_{j}}$ is the angularm om entum operator. Theglobalu (1) sym metry requires that the interactive term be invariant if all of the ' ${ }_{j}$ 's are increased by a constant. In addition H m ust be invariant under' ${ }_{j}$ ! ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}+2$ for any single skym ion. W e have assum ed the sim plest possible near-neighbor coupling, neglecting the possibility of longer range higher-order couplings of the form $\operatorname{cosn}\left({ }^{\prime}{ }_{i} \quad{ }_{j}{ }_{j}\right)$ which are also sym $m$ etry allowed. The phenom enological

[^22]coupling $J$ m ust be negative to be consistent with the antiferrom agnetic' X Y order found in the H artree Fock ground state ilhustrated in g. (1.33). H ow ever we will nd it convenient to instead $m$ ake $J$ positive and com pensate for this by a gauge' change ' ${ }_{j}$ ! ${ }_{j}+$ on one sublattice. This is convenient because it $m$ akes the coupling 'ferrom agnetic' rather than antiferrom agnetic.'

Eq. (1282) is the Ham iltonian for the quantum XY rotor model, closely related to the boson H ubbard model [76\{78]. Readers fam iliar with superconductivity will recognize that this $m$ odel is com $m$ only used to describe the superconductor-insulator transition in Josephson arrays [76,77]. The angular m om entum eigenvalue of the $\hat{\mathrm{K}}_{j}$ operator represents the num ber of bosons (C ooper pairs) on site $j$ and the $U$ term describes the charging energy cost when this num ber deviates from the electrostatically optim al value of $K$. The boson num ber is non-negative while $\hat{K}_{j}$ has negative eigenvalues. How ever we assume that $K \quad 1$ so that the negative angular $m$ om entum states are very high in energy.
$T$ he $J$ term in the quantum rotor $m$ odel is a $m$ utual torque that transfers units of angular $m$ om entum betw een neighboring sites. In the boson language the $w$ ave function for the state $w$ th $m$ bosons on site $j$ contains a factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
m\left({ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}\right)=e^{\mathrm{im}^{\prime}{ }_{j}}: \tag{1.283}
\end{equation*}
$$

The raising and lowering operators are thus $s^{25} e^{i^{\prime}}{ }^{j}$. This shows us that the cosine term in eq. (1282) represents the Josephson coupling that hops bosons betw een neighboring sites.

For $U \quad J$ the system is in an insulating phase well-described by the wave function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{1} ;^{\prime}{ }_{2} ;:::^{\prime}{ }_{N}\right)={ }_{i}^{Y} e^{\mathrm{im}_{j}^{\prime}} \tag{1.284}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ herem is the nearest integer to $K$. In this state every rotor has the sam e xed angular $m$ om entum and thus every site has the same xed particle number in the boson language. $T$ here is a large excitation gap

$$
\mathrm{U}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{j} \text { n } & K \tag{1285}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the system is insulating. ${ }^{26}$
C learly jf 1 in this phase and it is therefore quantum disordered. That is, the phases $f^{\prime}{ }_{j} g$ are $w i l d l y \quad u c t u a t i n g$ because every con guration is equally likely. The phase uctuations are nearly uncorrelated

$$
\begin{equation*}
h e^{i^{\prime}{ }_{j}} e^{i^{\prime}{ }^{k} i} \quad e^{j x_{j} x_{k} j}: \tag{1286}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^23]For $J \quad U$ the phases on neighboring sites are strongly coupled together and the system is a superconductor. A crude variational wave function that captures the essential physics is

$$
\left({ }_{1} ;^{\prime}{ }_{2} ;::: ;^{\prime}{ }_{N}\right) \quad e^{P}{ }_{n i j i} \cos \left(\prime_{i}^{\prime}{ }_{j}\right)
$$

where is a variational param eter [79]. This is the sim plest ansatz consistent w ith invariance under'j! ' +2 . For J U, 1 and $j\}$ is large only for spin con gurations w ith all of the X Y spins locally parallel. Expanding the cosine term in eq. (1.282) to second order gives a harm onic H am iltonian which can be exactly solved. The resulting gapless spin waves' are the Goldstone $m$ odes of the superconducting phase.

For sim plicity we work w ith the Lagrangian rather than the $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L={ }_{j}^{X} h K_{-j}^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{2 U} \stackrel{r}{-j}_{2}+\underset{h_{i j i}}{X} \cos \left(\prime_{i} \quad \prime_{j}\right) \tag{1288}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Berry phase term is a total derivative and can not a ect the equations of $m$ otion. ${ }^{27}$ D ropping this term and expanding the cosine in the harm onic approxim ation yields

$$
L=\frac{h^{2} X}{2 U}{ }_{j}^{\prime}{ }_{-j}^{2} \frac{J}{2}_{\text {hiji }}^{X} \quad\left(r_{i} \quad \prime_{j}\right)^{2}:
$$

This phonon' $m$ odel has linearly dispersing gapless collective $m$ odes at sm all w avevectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
h!{ }_{q}=P \overline{U J} q a \tag{1.290}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ is the lattice constant. The param eters $U$ and $J$ can be $x e d$ by tting to m icroscopic $H$ artree $F$ ock calculations of the spin $w$ ave velocity and the $m$ agnetic susceptibility (boson com pressibility') [61,75]. T his in tum allow s one to estim ate the regim e of lling factor and Zeem an energy in which the $U$ ( 1 ) sym $m$ etry is not destroyed by quantum uctuations [75].

Let us now translate all of this into the language of our non-colinear Q HE ferrom agnet [74,75]. Recall that the angularm om entum (the tharge') con jugate to the phase angle' is the spin angularm om entum of the overtumed spins that form the skym ion. In the quantum disordered insulating' phase, each skym ion has a well de ned integer-valued charge' (num ber of overtumed spins) m uch like we found when we quantized the $U$ (1) zero $m$ ode for the plane angle' of a single isolated skym ion in eq. (1276). There is an excitation gap separating the energies of the discrete quantized values of the spin.

The super uid' state w ith broken $U$ (1) symmetry is a totally new kind of spin state unique to non-colinear $m$ agnets $[74,75]$. H ere the phase angle is

[^24]well-de ned and the num ber of overtumed spins is uncertain. The o -diagonal long-range order of a super uid becom es
$$
h b_{j}^{y} b_{k} i!h e^{i^{\prime}{ }_{j}^{j}} e^{i^{\prime}{ }_{k}} i
$$
or in the spin language ${ }^{28}$
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{+}(x) s\left(x^{0}\right): \tag{1.292}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Thus in a sense we can intenpret a spin ip interaction between an electron and a nucleus as creating a boson in the super uid. But this boson has a nite probability of disappearing' into the super uid bondensate' and hence the system does not have to pay the Zeem an price to create the ipped spin. That is, the super uid state has an uncertain number of ipped spins (even though $S_{\text {tot }}^{z}$ com $m$ utes w ith H) and so the Zeem an energy cost is uncertain.

In classical language the skym ions locally have nite (slow ly varying) $x$ and $y$ spin com ponents which act as ective $m$ agnetic elds around which the nuclear spins precess and which thus cause $I^{2}$ to change with time. The key here is that $s^{x}$ and $s^{y}$ can, because of the broken $U(1)$ sym $m$ etry, uctuate very slow ly (i.e. at M Hz frequencies that the nuclei can follow rather than just the very high Zeem an precession frequency).

D etailed num erical calculations [75] show that the Skymme lattioe is very e cient at relaxing the nuclei and $1=\mathrm{T}_{1}$ and is enhanced by a factor of $10^{3}$ over the corresponding rate at zero m agnetic eld. W e expect this qualitative distinction to survive even above the Skrym e lattioe $m$ elting tem perature for the reasons discussed earlier.

Because the nuclear relaxation rate increases by orders of $m$ agnitude, the equilibration tim e at low tem peratures drops from hours to seconds. Thism eans that the nuclei com e into them alequilibrium with the electrons and hence the lattice. T he nuclei therefore have a well-de ned tem perature and contribute to the speci c heat. Because the tem perature is much greater than the nuclear Zeem an energy scale 1 mK , each nucleus contributes only a tiny am ount
$k_{B} \frac{2}{T^{2}}$ to the speci $c$ heat. On the other hand, the electronic speci $c$ heat per particle $\quad k_{B} \frac{T}{T_{\text {ferm }}}$ is low and the electron density is low. In fact there are about $10^{6}$ nucleiper quantum wellelectron and the nucleiactually enhance the speci c heat $m$ ore than 5 orders of $m$ agnitude [67]!

Surprisingly, at around 30 mK there is a further enhancem ent of the speci c heat by an additional order of $m$ agnitude. This $m$ ay be a signal of the Skym e lattice $m$ elting transition $[67,75,80]$, although the situation is som ew hat $m$ urky at the present tim e. The peak can not possibly be due to the tiny am ount of entropy change in the Skym e lattioe itself. $R$ ather it is due to the nuclei in the thick A 1 A $s$ barrier betw een the quantum wells. ${ }^{29}$
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### 1.12 D ouble-Layer Q uantum H allFerrom agnets

### 1.12.1 Introduction

W e leamed in our study of quantum H all ferrom agnets that the C oulom b interaction plays an im portant role at Landau level lling factor $=1$ because it causes the electron spins to spontaneously align ferrom agnetically and this in tum profoundly alters the charge excitation spectrum by producing a gap. ${ }^{30}$ A closely related e ect occurs in double-layer system $s$ in which layer index is analogous to spin $[43,44,81]$. Building on our know ledge of the dynam ics of ferrom agnets developed in the last section, we w ill use this analogy to explore the rich physics of double-layer system s.
$N$ ovel fractional quantum $H$ all e ects due to correlations [82] in multicom ponent system s were anticipated in early work by H alperin [42] and the now extensive literature has been review ed in [43]. T here have also been recent interesting studies of system $s$ in which the spin and layer degrees of freedom are coupled in novelways $[83,84]$.

A s described in this volum eby Shayegan [45], m odem M BE techniquesm ake it possible to produce double-layer (and multi-layer) tw o-dim ensional electron gas system sofextrem ely low disorder and high mobility. A s ilhustrated schem atically in F ig. (1.34), these system sconsist of a pair of 2D electron gases separated by a distance $d$ so sm all (d 100A) as to be com parable to the typical spacing betw een electrons in the sam e layer. A second type of system has also recently been developed to a high degree of perfection [85]. These system $s$ consist of single $w$ ide quantum wells in which strong $m$ ixing of the tw o low est electric subbands allow s the electrons to localize them selves on opposites sides of the w ell to reduce their correlation energy. W e will take the point of view that these system s can also be approxim ately viewed as doublewell system $s$ w ith som e e ective layer separation and tunnelbarrier height.

As we have already leamed, correlations are especially im portant in the strong $m$ agnetic eld regim e because allelectrons can be accom $m$ odated $w$ thin the lowest Landau level and execute cyclotron orbits $w$ ith a com $m$ on kinetic energy. The fractional quantum H alle ect occurs when the system has a gap form aking charged excitations, i.e. when the system is incom pressible. T heory has predicted $[42,82,86]$ that at som e Landau level lling factors, gaps occur in double-layer system s only if interlayer interactions are su ciently strong. T hese theoretical predictions have been con med [87]. M ore recently w ork from several di erent points of view [88\{93] has suggested that inter-layer correlations can also lead to unusualbroken sym $m$ etry states $w$ ith a novel kind of spontaneous phase coherence betw een layers w hich are isolated from each other except for inter-layer C oulom b interactions. It is this spontaneous interlayer phase coherence which is responsible $[43,51,73,94]$ for a variety of novel features seen in
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Figure 1.34: Schem atic conduction band edge pro le for a double-layer twodim ensional electron gas system. Typicalwidths and separations are W d 100A and are com parable to the spacing betw een electronsw ithin each inversion layer.
the experim entaldata to be discussed below $[44,81]$.

### 1.12.2 P seudosp in A nalogy

W ew illm ake the sim plifying assum ption that the Zeem an energy is large enough that uctuations of the (true) spin order can be ignored, leaving out the possibility of $m$ ixed spin and pseudospin correlations [83,84]. Wewill lim it our attention to the low est electric subband of each quantum well (or equivalently, the two low est bands of a single w ide well) . H ence we have a two-state system that can be labeled by a pseudospin 1/2 degree of freedom. P seudospin up $m$ eans that the electron is in the (low est electric subband of the) upper layer and pseudospin dow $n \mathrm{~m}$ eans that the electron is in the (low est electric subband of the) low er layer.

Just as in our study of ferrom agnetism we will consider states $w$ ith total lling factor $\quad{ }^{+} \#=1$. A state exhibiting interlayer phase coherence and having the pseudospins ferrom agnetically aligned in the direction de ned by polar angle and azim uthalangle' can bew ritten in the Landau gauge just as for ordinary spin

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { k } \tag{1293}
\end{align*}
$$

E very $k$ state contains one electron and hence this state has $=1$ as desired. $N$ ote how ever that the layer index for each electron is uncertain. The am plitude
to nd a particular electron in the upper layer is $\cos (=2)$ and the am plinude to nd it in the lower layer is $\sin (=2) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}}$. Even if the two layers are com pletely independent w th no tunneling betw een them, quantum $m$ echanics allow $s$ for the som ew hat peculiar possibility that we are uncertain which layer the electron is in.

For the case of ordinary spin we found that the C oulom b interaction produced an exchange energy w hich strongly favored having the spins locally parallel. U sing the fact that the C oulom b interaction is com pletely spin independent (itt is only the Pauli principle that indirectly induces the ferrom agnetism) we w rote dow $n$ the spin rotation invariant e ective theory in eq. (1224). H ere we do not have full SU (2) invariance because the interaction betw een electrons in the sam e layer is clearly stronger than the interaction betw een electrons in opposite layers. Thus for exam ple, if all the electrons are in the upper (or low er) layer, the system w ill look like a charged capacitor and have higher energy than if the layer occupancies are equal. H ence to leading order in gradients w e expect the e ective action to be modi ed slightly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Z } \\
& \mathrm{L}=\quad \mathrm{d}^{2} r \operatorname{fhSnm}(x) \mathrm{A} \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{m}
\end{array}\right] \quad(x)(\mathrm{mm} \quad 1) \mathrm{g} \\
& \text { Z } \\
& d^{2} r \frac{1}{2} s^{@} m @ m+m^{z} m^{z} \quad m^{z} n t^{x}:(1.294)
\end{aligned}
$$

The spin sti ness s represents the SU (2) invariant part of the exchange energy and is therefore som ew hat sm aller than the value com puted in eq. (1231). T he coe cient is a $m$ easure of the capacitive charging energy. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{~T}$ he analog of the Zeem an energy represents an extemal electric eld applied along the M BE grow th direction which unbalances the charge densities in the two layers. The coe cient $t$ represents the am plitude for the electrons to tunnel betw een the two layers. It prefers the pseudospin to be aligned in the $\hat{x}$ direction because this corresponds to the spinor

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P^{1} & 1 \\
2 & 1
\end{array}
$$

which represents the sym $m$ etric (i.e. bonding) linear com bination ofthe tw o w ell states. $T$ he state $w$ ith the pseudospin pointing in the $\hat{x}$ direction represents the antisym $m$ etric (i.e. antibonding) linear com bination which is higher in energy.

For the $m$ om ent we will assum e that both $t$ and vanish, leaving only the
term which breaks the pseudospin rotational symmetry. The case < 0 would represent Ising anisotropy'. C learly the physically realistic case for the capacitive energy gives > 0 which represents so-called easy plane anisotropy.' The energy is $m$ in im ized when $m^{z}=0$ so that the order param eter lies in the

[^27]XY plane giving equalcharge densities in the two layers. T hus we are left w ith an e ective XY m odelwhich should exhibit long-range o -diagonalorder ${ }^{32}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\operatorname{lm}^{x}(x)+i^{y}(x) i: \tag{1.296}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order is b-diagonal' because it correspondsm icroscopically to an operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x)=\mathrm{hs}^{+}(x) i=\mathrm{h} \underset{\sim}{\mathrm{Y}}(x) \quad \#(x) i \tag{1297}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is not diagonal in the $s^{2}$ basis, much as in a super uid where the eld operator changes the particle num ber and yet it condenses and acquires a nite expectation value.

O ne other com $m$ ent worth $m$ aking at this point is that eq. (1297) show $s$ that, unlike the order param eter in a superconductor or super uid, this one corresponds to a charge neutral operator. Hence it will be able to condense despite the strong $m$ agnetic eld (which lls charged condensates $w$ ith vortices and generally destroys the order).

In the next subsection we review the experim entalevidence that long-range X Y correlations exist and that as a result, the system exhibits excitations which are highly collective in nature. A fter that wew ill retum to further analysis and interpretation of the e ective Lagrangian in eq. (1294) to understand those excitations.

### 1.12.3 Experim ental B ackground

As illustrated by the dashed lines in $g$. (1.34), the low est energy eigenstates split into sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric com binations separated by an energy gap SAs $=2 t$ which can, depending on the sample, vary from essentially zero to $m$ any hundreds of $K$ elvins. The splitting can therefore be $m u c h$ less than or greater than the interlayer interaction energy scale, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{e}^{2}=\mathrm{d}$. Thus it is possible to $m$ ake system $s$ which are in either the weak or strong correlation lim its.

W hen the layers are w idely separated, there w ill be no correlations betw een them and we expect no dissipationless quantum $H$ all state since each layer has [95] $=1=2$. For sm aller separations, it is observed experim entally that there is an excitation gap and a quantized H all plateau [81,85,96]. This has either a trivial or a highly non-trivial explanation, depending on the ratio $\mathrm{SA} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}}$. For large SAs the electrons tunnel back and forth so rapidly that it is as if there is only a single quantum well. The tunnelsplitting sA $s$ is then analogous to the electric subband splltting in a (w ide) single well. All sym m etric states are occupied and all antisym $m$ etric states are em pty and we sim ply have the ordinary $=1$ integer $H$ alle ect. C orrelations are irrelevant in this lim it and the excitation gap is close to the single-particle gap sAs (or h! c , whichever is sm aller). W hat is highly non-trivial about this system is the fact that the
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Figure 1.35: P hase diagram for the double layer Q HE system (after M urphy et al. [81]). Only sam ples whose param eters lie below the dashed line exhibit a quantized H all plateau and excitation gap.
$=1$ quantum $H$ all plateau survives even when $S A S \quad E_{C}$. In this lim it the excitation gap has clearly changed to becom e highly collective in nature since the observed $[81,85]$ gap can be on the scale of 20 K even when SA s 1 K . Because of the spontaneously broken XY sym metry [51, 73, 88, 89, 92], the excitation gap actually survives the lim it sAs ! 0! This cross-over from single-particle to collective gap is quite analogous to that for spin polarized single layers. There the excitation gap survives the lim it of zero Zeem an splitting so long as the C oulomb interaction $m$ akes the spin sti ness non-zero. This e ect in double-layer system $s$ is visible in $g$. (1.35) which shows the QHE phase diagram obtained by M urphy et al [44,81] as a function of layer-separation and tunneling energy. A $=1$ quantum $H$ all plateau and gap is observed in the regim e below the dashed line. N otice that far to the right, the single particle tunneling energy dom inates over the coulomb energy and we have essentially a one-body integer QHE state. H ow ever the QHE survives all the way into $S_{S A}=0$ provided that the layer separation is below a critical value $d={ }_{\mathrm{B}} \quad 2$. In this lim it there is no tunneling and the gap is purely $m$ any-body in origin and, as we will show, is associated w th the rem arkable pseudospin ferrom agnetic' quantum state exhibiting spontaneous interlayer phase coherence.

A second indication of the highly collective nature of the excitations can be


Figure 1.36: T he charge activation energy gap, , as a function of tilt angle in a weakly tunneling double-layer sam ple ( SAs $=0: 8 \mathrm{~K})$. The solid circles are for lling $=1$, open triangles for $=2=3$. The arrow indicates the critical angle $c$. The solid line is a guide to the eye. T he dashed line refers to a sim ple estim ate of the renorm alization of the tunneling am plitude by the parallelm agnetic eld. Relative to the actual decrease, this one-body e ect is very weak and we have neglected it. Inset: A rwenius plot of dissipation. The low tem perature activation energy is $=8: 66 \mathrm{~K}$ and yet the gap collapses at a m uch low er tem perature scale of about $0: 4 \mathrm{~K} \quad(1=\mathrm{T} \quad 2: 5)$. (A fter M urphy et al [81]).
seen in the A rrhenius plots of therm ally activated dissipation [81] shown in the inset of $g$. (1.36) The low tem perature activation energy is, as already noted, $m$ uch larger than SAS. If were nevertheless som ehow a single-particle gap, one would expect the A rrhenius law to be valid up to tem peratures of order . Instead one observes a fairly sharp leveling 0 in the dissipation as the tem perature increases past values as low as 0:05. This is consistent w ith the notion of a therm ally induced collapse of the order that had been producing the collective gap.

The third signi cant feature of the experim ental data pointing to a highlyordered collective state is the strong response of the system to relatively weak $m$ agnetic elds $B_{k}$ applied in the plane of the 2D electron gases. In $g$. (1.36) we see that the charge activation gap drops dram atically as the magnetic eld is tilted (keeping B? constant).

W ithin a m odel that neglects higher electric subbands, we can treat the electron gases as strictly tw o-dim ensional. This is im portant since $B_{k}$ can a ect the system only if there are processes that carry electrons around closed loops containing ux. A prototypical such process is illustrated in g. (1.37). An


Figure 1.37: A process in a double-layer two-dim ensional electron gas system which encloses ux from the parallel com ponent of the $m$ agnetic eld. O ne interpretation of this process is that an electron tunnels from the upper layer to the lower layer (near the left end of the gure). T he resulting particle-hole pair then travels coherently to the right and is annihilated by a subsequent tunneling event in the reverse direction. T he quantum am plitude for such paths is sensitive to the parallel com ponent of the eld.
electron tunnels from one layer to the other at point A, and travels to point B. $T$ hen it (or another indistinguishable electron) tunnels back and retums to the starting point. The parallel eld contributes to the quantum am plitude for this process (in the 2D gas lim it) a gauge-invariant A haronov-Bohm phase factor $\exp (2 i=0)$ where is the enclosed ux and 0 is the quantum of ux.

Such loop paths evidently contribute signi cantly to correlations in the system since the activation energy gap is observed to decrease very rapidly $w$ ith $B_{k}$, falling by factors of order tw o or $m$ ore until a critical eld, $B_{k} \quad 0: 8 \mathrm{~T}$, is reached at which the gap essentially ceases changing [81]. To understand how rem arkably $s m$ all $B_{k}$ is, consider the follow ing. $W$ e can de ne a length $L_{k}$ from the size of the loop needed to enclose one quantum of $u x: L_{k} B_{k} d=0$. $\left(L_{k} \mathbb{A}\right]=4: 137 \quad 1 \delta^{5}=d \mathbb{A} \mathbb{B}_{k}[T]$.) For $B_{k}=0: 8 T$ and $d=150 A, L_{k}=2700 A$ which is approxim ately twenty tim es the spacing betw een electrons in a given layer and thirty tim es larger than the quantized cyclotron orbit radius ' $(h c=e B \text { ? })^{1=2} \mathrm{w}$ ithin an individual layer. Signi cant drops in the excitation gap are already seen at elds of0.1T im plying enorm ous phase coherent correlation lengths $m$ ust exist. A gain this show s the highly-collective long-range nature of the ordering in this system.

In the next subsection we shallbrie $y$ outline a detailed $m$ odelw hich explains all these observed e ects.

### 1.12.4 Interlayer P hase C oherence

T he essential physics of spontaneous inter-layer phase coherence can be exam ined from a microscopic point of view [51,73,90\{92] or a m acroscopic C hemSim ons eld theory point of view $[51,73,88,89]$, but it is perhaps $m$ ost easily visualized in the sim ple variationalw ave function which places the spins purely in the XY plane [51]

$$
\begin{equation*}
j i=\underbrace{Y}_{k} C_{k}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} \#}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\circ}}{ }_{j}^{\circ} \mathrm{j} i: \tag{1.298}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ote for exam ple, that if ${ }^{\prime}=0$ then we have precisely the non-interacting single Slater determ inant ground state in which electrons are in the sym $m$ etric state which, as discussed previously in the analysis of the e ective Lagrangian in eq. (1 294), $m$ inim izes the tunneling energy. This $m$ eans that the system has a de nite total num ber of particles ( = 1 exactly) but an inde nite num ber of particles in each layer. In the absence of inter-layer tunneling, the particle number in each layer is a good quantum number. Hence this wave function represents a state of spontaneously broken sym $m$ etry $[51,88,89]$ in the sam e sense that the BCS state for a superconductor has inde nite (total) particle num ber but a de nite phase relationship betw een states of di erent particle num ber.

In the absence of tunneling ( $t=0$ ) the energy can not depend on the phase angle' and the system exhibits a global U (1) sym m etry associated w ith conservation of particle num ber in each layer [88]. O ne can im agine allow ing ' to
vary slow ly w ith position to produce excited states. G iven the U (1) sym m etry, the e ective H artree Fock energy functional for these states is restricted to have the leading form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} r j{ }^{\prime} \text {, } \jmath+:::: \tag{1.299}
\end{equation*}
$$

The origin of the nite spin sti ness' s is the loss of exchange energy which occurs when' varies w th position. Im agine that two particles approach each other. They are in a linear supenposition of states in each of the layers (even though there is no tunneling!). If they are characterized by the sam e phase $'$, then the wave function is sym $m$ etric under pseudospin exchange and so the spatialw ave function is antisym $m$ etric and $m$ ust vanish as the particles approach each other. This lowers the C oulomb energy. If a phase gradient exists then there is a larger am plitude for the particles to be near each other and hence the energy is higher. This loss of exchange energy is the source of the nite spin sti ness and is what causes the system to spontaneously m agnetize'.
$W$ e see im m ediately that the $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry leads to eq. (1 299) which denes an e ective XY m odelwhich will contain vortex excitationswhich interact logarithm ically. $[97,98]$ In a superconducting $l m$ the vortices interact logarithm ically because of the kinetic energy cost of the supercurrents circulating around the vortex centers. H ere the sam e logarithm appears, but it is due to the potential energy cost (loss of exchange) associated w th the phase gradients (circulating pseudo-spin currents).

H artree Fock estim ates [51] indicate that the spin sti ness s and hence the K osterlitz-T houless ( K T) critical tem perature are on the scale of 0.5 K in typicalsam ples. V ortices in the' eld are rem iniscent ofLaughlin's fractionally charged quasiparticles but in this case carry charges $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}$ and can be left-or right-handed for a totaloffour ' avors' 51,73 ]. It is also possible to show $[51,94$ ] that the presence of spontaneous $m$ agnetization due to the nite spin sti ness $m$ eans that the charge excitation gap is nite (even though the tunnel splitting is zero). Thus the QHE survives [51] the lim it SAS ! 0 .

Since the charge' con jugate to the phase' is the z com ponent of the pseudo spin $S^{z}$, the pseudospin supercurrent'

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}=s^{\tilde{x}^{\prime}} \tag{1.300}
\end{equation*}
$$

represents oppositely directed charge currents in each layer. Below the K $T$ transition tem perature, such current ow will.be dissipationless (in linear response) just as in an ordinary super uid. Likew ise there willbe a linearly dispersing collective $G$ oldstone $m$ ode as in a super uid $51,73,88\{90]$ rather than a m ode w ith quadratic dispersion as in the $S U$ (2) sym $m$ etric ferrom agnet. (T his is som ew hat akin to the di erence between an ideal bose gas and a repulsively interacting bose gas.)

If found, this $K$ osterlitz-T houless transition would be the rst exam ple of a nite-tem perature phase transition in a QHE system. The transition itself has not yet been observed due to the tunneling am plitude t being signi cant in sam ples having the layers close enough together to have strong correlations. A s
we have seen above how ever, signi cante ects which im ply the existence of longrange XY order correlations have been found. W hether or not an appropriate sam ple can be constructed to observe the phase transition is an open question at this point.

E xercise 1.23 Following the method used to derive eq. (1.230), show that the collective $m$ ode for the Lagrangian in eq. (1.294) has linear rather than quadratic dispersion due to the presence of the term. (A ssume $=t=$ 0.) H int: C onsider sm all uctuations of the m agnetization away from $\mathrm{me}=$ $(1 ; 0 ; 0)$ and choose an appropriate gauge for $A$ for this circum stance.
P resent a qualitative argum ent that layer im balance caused by does not fundam entally change any of the results described in this section but rather sim ply renorm alizes quantities like the collective $m$ ode velocity. T hat is, explain why the $=1 \mathrm{QHE}$ state is robust against charge im balance. (This is an im portant signature of the underlying physics. Certain other interlayer correlated states (such as the one at total lling = 1=2) are quite sensitive to charge im balance [43].)

### 1.12.5 Interlayer Tunneling and $T$ ilted $F$ ield $E$ ects

A s m entioned earlier, a nite tunneling am plitude $t$ betw een the layers breaks the $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{d}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} r \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~s} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{r}^{\prime} \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{ntcos} \text { ' } \tag{1.301}
\end{equation*}
$$

by giving a preference to sym $m$ etric tunneling states. $T$ his can be seen from the tunneling H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{T}=t^{Z} d^{2} r^{n}{ }_{n}^{Y}(r) \#(r)+\underset{\#}{y}(r) \quad{ }_{n}(r) \tag{1.302}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be written in the spin representation as

$$
H_{T}=2 t^{Z} d^{2} r S^{x}(r):
$$

(Recall that the eigenstates of $S^{x}$ are sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric com binations of up and down.)

As the separation $d$ increases, a critical point $d$ is reached at which the $m$ agnetization vanishes and the ordered phase is destroyed by quantum uctuations [51,73]. This is ilhustrated in $g$. (1.35). For nite tunneling $t$, we will see below that the collective $m$ ode becom es $m$ assive and quantum uctuations w illbe less severe. H ence the phase boundary in $g$. (1.35) curves upw ard w ith increasing SAS.
$T$ he introduction of nite tunneling am plitude destroys the $U$ (1) sym $m$ etry and $m$ akes the sim ple vortex-pair con guration extrem ely expensive. To lower the energy the system distorts the spin deviations into a dom ain wallor string'

Figure 1.38: M eron pair connected by a dom ain wall. Each meron carries a charge $\mathrm{e}=2 \mathrm{w}$ hich tries to repel the other one.
connecting the vortex cores as shown in $g$. ( 1.38 ). The spins are oriented in the $\hat{x}$ direction everyw here except in the central dom ain wall region where they tum ble rapidly through 2 . The dom ain wallhas a xed energy per unit length and so the vortices are now con ned by a linear string tension' rather than logarithm ically. W e can estim ate the string tension by exam ining the energy of a dom ain wall of in nite length. The optim al form for a dom ain wall lying along the $y$ axis is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prime(x)=2 \arcsin [\tanh (x)] ; \tag{1.304}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the characteristic width of the string is

$$
\begin{equation*}
1={\frac{2 r^{2}}{s^{\frac{1}{2}}}}: \tag{1.305}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he resulting string tension is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=8{\frac{t_{s}}{2{ }^{2}}}^{\frac{1}{2}}: \tag{1.306}
\end{equation*}
$$

P rovided the string is long enough $(\mathbb{R} \quad 1)$, the total energy of a segm ent of length R w illbe well-approxim ated by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\text {pair }}^{0}=2 \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m} \text { c }}^{0}+\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{T}_{0} \mathrm{R}: \tag{1.307}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is $m$ inim ized at $R=P \overline{e^{2}=4 T_{0}}$. The linear con nem ent brings the charged vortioes closer together and rapidly increases the C oulom b energy. In the lim it of very large tunneling, the $m$ eron pair shrinks and the single-particle excitation (hole or extra spin-reversed electron) lim it $m$ ust be recovered.
$T$ he presence of parallel eld $B_{k}$ eld can be conveniently described $w$ ith the gauge choice

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{k}}}=\mathrm{xB} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\hat{z}} \tag{1.308}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{z}$ is the grow th direction. In this gauge the tunneling am plitude transform $s$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
t!t e^{i l x} \tag{1.309}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the energy becom es

$$
H=d^{2} r \frac{1}{2} s \hat{j}^{\prime}{ }^{2} \quad \frac{t}{2 v^{2}} \cos \left({ }^{\prime} \quad Q x\right)
$$

where $Q=2=L_{k}$ and $L_{k}$ is the length associated with one quantum of ux for the loops show $n$ in g.1.37. This is the so-called P okrovsky-T alopov m odel which exhibits a com $m$ ensurate-incom $m$ ensurate phase transition. At low $B_{k}$, $Q$ is $s m$ all and the low energy state has' $Q x$; i.e. the local spin orientation tumbles'. In contrast, at large $B_{k}$ the gradient cost is too large and we have
, constant. It is possible to show $[51,94]$ that this phase transition sem iquantitatively explains the rapid drop and subsequent leveling $\circ$ of the activation energy vs. $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{k}}$ seen in g . (1.36).

E xercise 1.24 D erive eq. (1.304) for the form of the soliton' that $m$ inim izes the energy cost for the H am iltonian in eq. (1.301).
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## A ppendix A

## Low est Landau Level P ro jection

A convenient formulation of quantum $m$ echanics $w$ ithin the subspace of the lowest Landau level (LLL) was developed by G irvin and Jach [26], and was exploited by $G$ irvin, $M$ acD onald and $P$ latzm an in the m agneto-roton theory of collective excitations of the incom pressible states responsible for the fractional quantum $H$ alle ect [29]. H ere webrie $y$ review this form alism. See also R ef. [8].

W e rst consider the one-body case and choose the sym $m$ etric gauge. The single-particle eigen functions of kinetic energy and angular $m$ om entum in the LLL are given in Eq. (1.76)

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(z)=\frac{1}{\left(22^{m} m!\right)^{1=2}} z^{m} \exp \frac{\dot{k^{f}}}{4} ; \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is a non-negative integer, and $z=(x+$ iy $)={ }^{\prime}$. From (A. 1) it is clear that any wave function in the LLL can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=f(z) e^{\frac{j z j^{2}}{4}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(z)$ is an analytic function of $z$, so the subspace in the LLL is isom orphic to the H ilbert space of analytic functions [8,26,99]. Follow ing B argm an [26,99], we de ne the inner product of two analytic functions as

$$
(f ; g)=\quad d \quad(z) f(z) g(z) ;
$$

where
d (z) (2 $)^{1}$ dxdy e $e^{\frac{\mathrm{iz} j^{2}}{2} \text { : }}$
(A.4)
$N$ ow we can de ne bosonic ladder operators that connect $m$ to $m \quad 1$ (and which act on the polynom ialpart of $m$ only):

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{y}=\frac{p^{z}}{2} ; \tag{A.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=P \frac{@}{2} \frac{@}{@ z} ; \tag{A.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{y} y_{m} & =p \bar{m}+1_{m+1} ; \\
a^{\prime} m & =\text { (A .6a) } \\
\left(\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{a}^{y} \mathrm{~g}\right) & =(\mathrm{af} ; \mathrm{g}) ; \\
(\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{a} \mathrm{~g}) & =\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}\right):
\end{aligned}
$$

A ll operators that have non-zero $m$ atrix elem ents only $w$ ithin the LLL can be expressed $p^{\text {in }}-\frac{t}{2}$ term $s$ of $p_{p}$ and $a^{y}$. It is essential to notioe that the adjoint of $a^{y}$ is not $z=\overline{2}$ but a $\quad \overline{2} @=@ z$, because $z$ connects sfates in the LLL to higher Landau levels. A ctually $a$ is the projection of $z=\overline{2}$ onto the LLL as seen clearly in the follow ing expression:

$$
\left(f ; \mathrm{p}_{\overline{2}} g\right)=\left(\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{2} \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}\right)=\left(a^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{g}\right)=(\mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{ag}):
$$

So we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{z}=2 \frac{@}{@ z} ; \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the overbar indicates projection onto the LLL. Since $\bar{z}$ and $z$ do not commute, we need to be very careful to properly order the operators before projection. A little thought show sthat in order to project an operator which is a combination of $z$ and $z$, wemust rst nom alorder all the $z$ 's to the left of the $z^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$, and then replace z by $\bar{z}$. W ith this rule in $m$ ind and (A.7), we can easily pro ject onto the LLL any operator that involves space coordinates only.

For exam ple, the one-body density operator in $m$ om entum space is

$$
q=p \frac{1}{\bar{A}} e^{i q r}=p_{\bar{A}}^{1} e^{\frac{i}{2}(q z+q z)}=p_{\bar{A}}^{1} e^{\frac{i}{2} q z} e^{\frac{i}{2} q z} ;
$$

where $A$ is the area of the system, and $q=q_{x}+i q_{y}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{q}=p_{\bar{A}}^{1} e^{i q \frac{\theta}{e z}} e^{\frac{i}{2} q z}=p_{\bar{A}}^{1} e^{\frac{i q j^{2}}{4}} q ; \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=e^{\mathrm{iq} \frac{e}{e z}} \frac{i}{2} q z \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a unitary operator satisfying the closed Lie algebra

$$
\begin{align*}
q k & =q+k e^{\frac{i}{2} q^{\wedge} k} ;  \tag{A.10a}\\
{[q ; k] } & =2 i q+k \sin \frac{q^{\wedge} k}{2} ; \tag{A.10b}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure A.1: Tllustration of magnetic translations and phase factors. W hen an electron travels around a parallelogram (generated by q k q $\quad$ ) it picks up a phase $=2-_{0}=q^{\wedge} k$, where is the ux enclosed in the parallelogram and 0 is the ux quantum.
where $q^{\wedge} k \quad{ }^{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{q} \quad \mathrm{k})$ z. We also have $q \mathrm{k} \quad q \quad k=e^{\mathrm{i} q^{\wedge} k}$. This is a fam iliar feature of the group of translations in a m agnetic eld, because $\mathrm{q}^{\wedge} \mathrm{k}$ is exactly the phase generated by the $u x$ in the parallelogram generated by $q^{\prime 2}$ and $k^{\prime 2}$. H ence the 'sform a representation of the $m$ agnetic translation group [see Fig. (A.1)]. In fact $q$ translates the particle a distance ${ }^{2} z \quad q$. Thism eans that di erent $w$ ave vector com ponents of the charge density do not com $m$ ute. It is from here that non-trivial dynam ics arises even though the kinetic energy is totally quenched in the LLL subspace.
$T$ his form alism is readily generalized to the case ofm any particles $w$ ith spin, as we will show next. In a system $w$ ith area $A$ and $N$ particles the pro jected charge and spin density operators are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{q}=P_{\bar{A}}^{1} X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \overline{e^{i q r_{i}}}=P_{\bar{A}}^{1} X_{i=1}^{X^{N}} e^{\frac{j q j^{2}}{4}} q_{q}(i)  \tag{A.11a}\\
& \overline{S_{q}}=P_{\bar{A}}^{1} \bar{X}_{i=1}^{X^{N}} \overline{e^{i q r_{i}}} S_{i}=P_{\bar{A}}^{X^{N}} e^{\frac{i q j^{2}}{4}} q(i) S_{i} ; \tag{A.11b}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }_{q}$ (i) is them agnetic translation operator for the ith particle and $S_{i}$ is the th com ponent of the spin operator for the ith particle. W e immediately nd that unlike the unprojected operators, the projected spin and charge density
operators do not com mute:

$$
\left[k ; S_{q}\right]=P \frac{2 i}{A} e^{\frac{j k+q j^{2}}{\mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{jk}^{2} j q j^{2}} 4 \bar{S}_{\mathrm{k}+\mathrm{q}} \sin \frac{\mathrm{k}^{\wedge} \mathrm{q}}{2} \in 0: \quad \text { (A.12) }
$$

$T$ his im plies that $w$ ith in the LLL, the dynam ics ofspin and charge are entangled, i.e., when you rotate spin, charge gets m oved. A s a consequence of that, spin textures carry charge as discussed in the text.

## A ppendix B

## B erry's P hase and A diabatic Transport

C onsider a quantum system with a H am ittonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{K}}$ which depends on a set of extemally controlled param eters represented by the vector $R$. A ssum e that for som e dom ain ofr there is alw ays a nite excitation gap separating the ground state energy from the rest of the spectrum of $H_{R}$. C onsider now the situation where the param eters $R(t)$ are slow ly varied around a closed loop in param eter space in a tim e interval $T$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(0)=R(T): \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the circuit is transversed su ciently slow ly so that $h=T \quad m$ in where $m$ in is the $m$ inim um excitation gap along the circuit, then the state $w$ ill evolve adiabatically. That is, the state $w$ illalw aysbe the localground state ${ }_{R(t)}^{(0)}$ of the instantaneous H am iltonian $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})}$. G iven the com plete set ofenergy eigenstates for a given R
the solution of the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i h \frac{(x ; t)}{@ t}=H_{R(t)} \quad(x ; t) \tag{B.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is

$$
\begin{align*}
(x ; t)= & { }_{X}^{(0)}(x) e^{i}(t) e^{\frac{i}{h}} \int_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0}{ }_{R\left(t^{0}\right)}^{(0)} \\
& +{ }_{j \neq 0}^{(t)} a_{j}(t) \quad \underset{R(t)}{(j)}: \tag{B.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The adiabatic approxim ation consists of neglecting the adm ixture of excited states represented by the second term. In the lim it of extrem ely slow variation
of $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})$, this becom es exact as long as the excitation gap rem ains nite. The only unknown at this point is the Berry Phase [49] (t) which can be found by requiring that $(x ; t)$ satisfy the tim e-dependent Schrodinger equation. T he LHS ofeq. (B 3) is

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ih } \frac{@(x ; t)}{@ t}= & h h_{-}(t)+{\underset{R(t)}{(0)}(x ; t)}_{i}^{(x)} \\
& +i h R_{-} \frac{@}{@ R}{ }_{R(t)}^{(0)}(x) e^{i(t)} e^{\frac{i}{h}} R_{0}^{R_{t}} d t^{0}{ }_{R\left(t^{0}\right)}^{(0)} \tag{B.5}
\end{align*}
$$

if we neglect the $a_{j}(t)$ for $j>0$. TheRHS ofeq. (B 3) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{t})} \quad(x ; \mathrm{t})={\underset{\mathrm{R}}{ }(\mathrm{t})}_{(0)}^{(x ; t)} \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ithin the sam e approxim ation. N ow using the com pleteness relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@}{@ R} \quad{ }_{R}^{(0)}=\sum_{j=0}^{X^{( }} \sum_{R}^{(j)^{E}} \quad{ }_{R}^{(j)} \frac{@}{@ R} \quad{ }_{R}^{(0)} \quad: \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the adiabatic lim it we can neglect the excited state contributions so eq. (B .5) becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ih } \frac{@}{@ t}=\quad h_{-}(t)+i \not R-\quad{ }_{R}^{(0)} \frac{@}{@ R} \quad \underset{R}{(0)}+\underset{R(t)}{(0)} \quad: \tag{B.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $m$ atches eq. (B .6) provided

$$
-(t)=\mathbb{R}(t) \quad \begin{align*}
& (0)  \tag{B.9}\\
& R(t)
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& @
\end{aligned} \quad \begin{aligned}
& (0) \\
& \mathbb{R}(t)
\end{align*}:
$$

D
The constraint ${ }_{\substack{\mathrm{D}}}^{(0)}{ }_{\mathrm{R}}^{(0)}=1$ guarantees that - is purely real.
$N$ otice that there is a kind of gauge freedom here. For each $R$ we have a di erent set ofbasis states and we are free to choose their phases independently. We can think of this as a gauge choice in the param eter space. H ence _ and are gauge dependent' quantities. It is often possible to choose a gauge in which _ vanishes. The key insight of B erry [49] how ever was that this is not alw ays the case. For som e problem s involving a closed-circuit in param eter space the gauge invariant phase

is non-zero. This is a gauge invariant quantity because the system retums to its starting point in param eter space and the arbitrary phase choice drops out of the answ er. This is precisely analogous to the result in electrodynam ics that the line integral of the vector potential around a closed loop is gauge invariant. In fact it is usefulto de ne the Berry connection' A on the param eter space by

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
A & (\mathbb{R})=i & \quad(0)  \tag{B.11}\\
\mathbb{R} & @ \\
@ R & (0) \\
\mathbb{R}
\end{array}
$$

which gives the suggestive form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Berry }=d R \quad A(x): \tag{B.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

N otice that the Berry's phase is a purely geom etric ob ject independent of the particular velocity $R-(t)$ and dependent solely on the path taken in param eter space. It is often easiest to evaluate this expression using Stokes theorem since the curlofA is a gauge invariant quantity.

A s a simple exam ple [49] let us consider the A haronov-B ohm e ect where A will tum out to literally be the electrom agnetic vector potential. Let there be an in nitely long solenoid running along the $z$ axis. C onsider a particle $w$ ith charge q trapped inside a box by a potential V

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2 m} \quad P \frac{q}{C}_{\mathbb{A}}^{2}+V \quad R(t): \tag{B.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The position of the box is moved along a closed path $R(t)$ which encircles the solenoid but keeps the particle outside the region of $m$ agnetic ux. Let
(0) $\Upsilon$ ( t ) be the adiabatic wave function in the absence of the vector potential. B ecause the particle only sees the vector potential in a region where it has no curl, the exact w ave function in the presence of $\mathbb{A}$ is readily constructed

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{R}^{(0)}(x)=e^{\frac{i}{h} \frac{q}{c} R_{x}} \underset{R(t)}{d x^{0} \tilde{N^{( }\left(x^{0}\right)}} \quad \text { (0) } \quad x \quad R(t) \tag{B.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the precise choice of integration path is im material since it is interior to the box where $\mathbb{A}$ has no curl. It is straightforward to verify that ${ }_{R}^{(0)}(t)$ exactly solves the Schrodinger equation for the $H$ am iltonian in eq. (B .13) in the adiabatic lim it.
$T$ he arbitrary decision to start the line integralin eq. (B.14) at $R$ constitutes a gauge choice in param eter space for the Berry connection. U sing eq. (B .11) the Berry connection is easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \quad(\mathbb{R})=+\frac{\mathrm{q}}{\mathrm{hc}} A \quad(\mathbb{R}) \tag{B.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Berry phase for the circuit around the ux tube is sim ply the A haronovBohm phase

$$
\begin{equation*}
B \text { erry }=\quad d R \quad A=2- \tag{B.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the $u x$ in the solenoid and $0 \quad h c=q$ is the ux quantum.
A s a second exam ple [49] let us consider a quantum spin with $H$ am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\sim(t) \quad S: \tag{B.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gap to the rst excited state is hj~jand so the circuit in param eter space must avoid the origin $\sim^{\sim}=\theta$ where the spectrum has a degeneracy. C learly the adiabatic ground state has

D $\underset{\sim}{(0)} S{\underset{\sim}{(0)}}^{\mathrm{E}}=\mathrm{h} S \frac{\sim}{\sim} \frac{j^{\sim} j}{\sim}$ :

If the orientation of ${ }^{\sim}$ is de ned by polar angle and azim uthal angle ', the sam e m ust be true for hS i . An appropriate set of states obeying this for the case $S=\frac{1}{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j \quad i^{\prime} \mathrm{i}=\quad \cos _{\overline{2}} \quad \sin _{\overline{2}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\prime}} \tag{B.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

since these obey

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad ; \quad S^{2} j \quad ; i=h S \quad \cos ^{2} \frac{1}{2} \quad \sin ^{2} \overline{2}=h S \cos \tag{B20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \quad ;^{\prime} j^{x}+i S^{y} j \quad ; i=\quad ; S^{+} \quad ;^{\prime}=h S \sin e^{i^{\prime}}: \tag{B21}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onsider the Berry's phase for the case where ~ rotates slow ly about the z axis at constant

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Berry }=\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0}}^{\mathrm{Z}_{2}} \mathrm{~d}^{\prime} \quad i^{\prime} \frac{@}{@^{\prime}} \quad ;^{\prime} \\
& =i_{0}^{i} d^{\prime} \quad \cos \frac{\sin }{2}-e^{i^{\prime}} \quad i \sin \frac{0}{2} e^{i^{\prime}} \\
& \left.=\begin{array}{llll}
S & d^{\prime} & (1 & \cos
\end{array}\right) \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{2}^{0} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{1} \\
& =\quad S_{0} \mathrm{~d}^{\prime} \operatorname{dcos}^{0}=\mathrm{S} \tag{B22}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the solid angle subtended by the path as view ed from the origin of the param eter space. This is precisely the A haronov-B ohm phase one expects for a charge $S$ particle traveling on the surface of a unit sphere surrounding a $m$ agnetic $m$ onopole. It tums out that it is the degeneracy in the spectrum at the origin which produces the m onopole [49].

N otioe that there is a singularity in the connection at the south pole' $=$. $T$ his can be view ed as the D irac string (solenoid containing one quantum of ux) that is attached to the $m$ onopole. If we had chosen the basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{i^{\prime}} j \quad ;^{\prime} i \tag{B23}
\end{equation*}
$$

the singularity would have been at the north pole. T he reader is directed to Berry's original paper [49] for further details.

In order to correctly reproduce the Berry phase in a path integral for the spin whose H am iltonian is given by eq. (B .17), the Lagrangian $m$ ust be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{hS} \mathrm{f} \underline{\mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{m}+(\mathrm{m} \mathrm{~m} \quad 1) \mathrm{g} \tag{B24}
\end{equation*}
$$

wherem is the spin coordinate on a unit sphere, enforoes the length constraint, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{r}_{m} \quad \tilde{A}=m \tag{B25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the m onopole vector potential. A s discussed in the text in section 1.10, this Lagrangian correctly reproduces the spin precession equations ofm otion.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1} \mathrm{M}$ aintain does not m ean de ne. The SI ohm is de ned in term $s$ of the kilogram, the second and the speed of light (form erly the $m$ eter). It is best realized using the reactive im pedance of a capacitor whose capacitance is com puted from rst principles. This is an extrem ely tedious procedure and the QHE is a very convenient m ethod for realizing a xed, reproducible im pedance to check for drifts of resistance standards. It does not how ever de ne the ohm. Eq. (1.2) is given in cgs units. W hen converted to SI units the quantum of resistance is $h=e^{2}$ (cgs) ! $\frac{Z}{2} \quad 25 ; 812: 80$ (SI) where is the ne structure constant and $Z \quad 0=0$ is the im pedance of free space.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~T}$ his assum es that we can ignore the periodic potential of the crystal which is of course xed in the lab fram e. $W$ ith in the e ective $m$ ass approxim ation this potential $m$ odi es the $m$ ass but does not destroy the $G$ alilean invariance since the energy is still quadratic in the m om entum .

[^3]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that in the study of superconductors the ux quantum is de ned with a factor of 2 e rather than $e$ to account for the pairing of the electrons in the condensate.

[^4]:    ${ }^{4}$ T huswe have arrived at the harm on ic oscillator hinted at sem iclassically, but paradoxically it is only one-dim ensional, not two. The other degree of freedom appears (in this gauge) in the $y \mathrm{~m}$ om entum.

[^5]:    ${ }^{5}$ T he best one can achieve is so-called quasi-periodic boundary conditions in which the phase di erence betw een the left and right edges is zero at the bottom and rises linearly w ith height, reaching $2 \mathrm{~N} \quad \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}={ }^{\prime 2}$ at the top. The eigenfunctions w ith these boundary conditions are elliptic theta functions which are linear com binations of the gaussians discussed here. See the discussion by $H$ aldane in Ref. [3].

[^6]:    ${ }^{6} \mathrm{~T}$ his has led to various confusions in the literature. If there is an electrostatic potential gradient then som $e$ of the net $H$ all current $m$ ay be carried in the bulk rather than at the edges, but the nal answer is the sam e. In any case, the essential part of the physics is that the only place where there are low lying excitations is at the edges.

[^7]:    ${ }^{7}$ To get the signs straight here, note that an increase in chem ical potential brings in $m$ ore electrons. This is equivalent to a $m$ ore positive voltage and hence a $m$ ore negative potential energy eV. Since $H \quad N$ enters the them odynam ics, electrostatic potential energy and chem ical potentialm ove the electron density oppositely. $V$ and thus have the sam e sign of $e$ ect because electrons are negatively charged.

[^8]:    ${ }^{8} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that neglecting Landau levelm ixing is a poor approxim ation for strong potentials $V \quad h!c$ unless they are very sm ooth on the scale of the $m$ agnetic length.

[^9]:    ${ }^{9} \mathrm{~T}$ hat is, M onte C arlo sim ulation of $j j^{2}$ show s that the particles arem ost likely to be found in a crystalline con guration which breaks translation sym $m$ etry. A gain we em phasize that th is is a statem ent about the Laughlin variationalw ave function, not necessarily a statem ent about what the electrons actually do. It tums out that for $m \quad 7$ the Laugh lin wave function is no longer the best variational wave function. O ne can $w$ rite dow $n$ wave functions describing $W$ igner crystal states which have low er variationalenergy than the Laugh lin liquid.
    ${ }^{10} \mathrm{~T}$ his expression assum es a strictly zero thickness electron gas. O therw ise one m ust replace
     bound state.

[^10]:    ${ }^{11}$ Later on in Eq. (1.137) we will express the oscillator strength in term $s$ of a frequency integral. Strictly speaking ifthis is integrated up to very high frequencies including interband transitions, then $M$ is replaced by the bare electron $m$ ass.

[^11]:    ${ }^{12} \mathrm{~W}$ e w ill continue to use the sym bol M here for the band m ass of the electrons to avoid confusion $w$ ith the inverse lling factor $m$.
    ${ }^{13} \mathrm{~T}$ his expectation is only partly correct how ever as one discovers w hen study ing collective plasm a oscillations in system $s$ w ith long-range $C$ oulom b forces.

[^12]:    ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~T}$ his slow algebraic decay of the current density m eans that the total kinetic energy of a single vortex diverges logarithm ically w ith the size of the system. This in tum leads to the $K$ osterlitz $T$ hou less phase transition in which pairs of vortices bind together below a critical tem perature. A swe will see below there is no corresponding nite tem perature transition in a quantum H all system.

[^13]:    ${ }^{15} \mathrm{R}$ ecall that unlike the case of vortices in super uids, these objects are uncon ned.

[^14]:    ${ }^{16} \mathrm{~N}$ ote again the essentialim portance of the fact that the ob jects are elem entary particles'. $T$ hat is, there are no residual degeneracies once the positions are pinned dow $n$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{17} \mathrm{~W}$ e use the phase factor $\mathrm{e}^{\text {iq } \mathrm{R}_{j}}$ here rather than $\mathrm{e}^{+\mathrm{iq} \mathrm{R}_{j}}$ sim ply to be consistent w ith $S_{q}$ being the Fourier transform of $S_{j}$.

[^16]:    ${ }^{18}$ T hat is, the C oulom b exchange energy which tries to keep the spins locally parallel. In a H artree Fock picture we could represent this by a term of the form $\tilde{\mathrm{h}}(\underset{x}{ }) \mathrm{s}(\underset{x}{ })$ wherf (x) is the self-consistent eld.

[^17]:    ${ }^{19}$ The change in the sign from $+i$ to $i$ is due to the fact that the contour $s w$ itches from being counterclockw ise to clockw ise if view ed as enclosing the 4 area instead of the area.

[^18]:    ${ }^{20} \mathrm{~T}$ his energy advantage is reduced if the nite thickness of the inversion layer is taken into account. The skym ion m ay in som e cases tum out to be disadvantageous in higher Landau levels.

[^19]:    ${ }^{21}$ R otation about the Zeem an alignm ent axis is accom plished by $R=e^{\frac{i}{h} S^{2}}$. But a colinear ferrom agnet ground state is an eigenstate of $S^{z}$, so rotation leaves the state invariant up to a phase.

[^20]:    ${ }^{22} \mathrm{~T}$ here m ay exist higher-order tim e-derivative term $\mathrm{s} w$ hich give the skym ion a m ass and there will also be dam ping due to radiation of spin waves at higher velocities. [70]

[^21]:    ${ }^{23}$ E xam ples of how to do this are discussed in various eld theory texts, including $R$ a jaram an [58].

[^22]:    ${ }^{24}$ Loosely speaking this corresponds to the in nite system having an in nite $m$ om ent of inertia (for global rotations) which allows a quantum wave packet which is in itially localized at a particular orientation' not to spread out even for long tim es.

[^23]:    ${ }^{25}$ These operators have $m$ atrix elem ents $h m+1 j^{+} i^{\prime} j m i=1 w h e r e a s$ a boson raising operator w ould have $m$ atrix elem ent $\overline{m+1}$. For $K \quad 1, m \quad K$ and th is is nearly a constant. A rgum ents like this strongly suggest that the boson $H$ ubbard $m$ odel and the quantum rotor $m$ odel are essentially equivalent. In particular their order/disorder transitions are believed to be in the sam e universality class.
    ${ }^{26}$ An exception occurs if in $K j=\frac{1}{2}$ where the gap vanishes. See [78].

[^24]:    ${ }^{27}$ In fact in the quantum path integral this term has no e ect except for tim e histories in which a vortex' encircles site $j$ causing the phase to $w$ ind ${ }^{\prime}{ }_{j}(\mathrm{~h})=\prime_{j}(0) \quad 2$. W e explicitly ignore this possibility when wem ake the harm on ic approxim ation.

[^25]:    ${ }^{28}$ T here is a slight com plication here. Because the XY spin con guration of the skym ion has a vortex-like structure $\mathrm{hs}^{+} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{hs}^{\mathrm{x}}+\mathrm{is}{ }^{y} \mathrm{i} w$ inds in phase around the skym ion so the bose condensation' is not at zero $w$ ave vector.
    ${ }^{29}$ For som ew hat com plicated reasons it $m$ ay be that the barrier nuclei are e ciently dipole coupled to the nuclei in the quantum wells (and therefore in them alequilibrium) only due to

[^26]:    the critical slow ing dow $n$ of the electronic $m$ otion in the vicin ity of the Skym e lattice $m$ elting transition.
    ${ }^{30}$ B ecause the charged excitations are skym ions, this gap is not as large as naive estim ates would suggest, but it is still nite as long as the spin sti ness is nite.

[^27]:    ${ }^{31} \mathrm{~W}$ e have taken the charging energy to be a local quantity characterized by a xed, wave vector independent capacitance. This is appropriate only ifm ${ }^{z}$ ( $x$ ) represents the local charge im balance betw een the layers coarse-grained over a scale larger than the layer separation. A ny w ave vector dependence of the capacitance willbe represented by higher derivative term $s$ which we will ignore.

[^28]:    ${ }^{32}$ At nite tem peratures (x) will vanish but will have long-range algebraically decaying correlations. A bove the $K$ osterlitz-T houless phase transition tem perature, the correlations will fallo exponentially.

