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#### Abstract

$T$ his paper describes a quantum algorithm fore ciently decom posing nite Abelian groups. Such a decom position is needed in order to apply the A belian hidden subgroup algorithm . Such a decom position (assum ing the $G$ eneralized $R$ iem ann $H$ ypothesis) also leads to an e cient algorithm for com puting class num bers (know $n$ to be at least as di cult as factoring).


## 1 Introduction

The work by Shor $[\underline{1}-\overline{1}]$ on factoring and nding discrete logarithm s over $Z_{n}$ can be generalized to
 nd the hidden subgroup of a function $f: G!S$, where $G=Z_{N_{1}} \quad N_{1}$ 灭 for som e integers $\mathrm{N}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{N}_{1}$. A ny A belian group G is isom orphic to such a product of cyclic groups, how ever it is not always know n how to nd such an isom orphism e ciently. C onsider for exam ple the group $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$, the m ultiplicative group of integers modulo N . This is an Abelian group we can com pute in e ciently, yet no known classical algorithm can e ciently nd its decom position into a product of cyclic groups. C onsider also the class group of a quadratic number eld. This group is also A belian, and nding its decom position into a product of nite cyclic groups w ill give us the size of the group and therefore the class num ber of the quadratic num ber eld. A $s$ W atrous [i1 $\overline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ] points out, assum ing the generalized $R$ iem ann $H$ ypothesis we can apply the algorithm in this paper and e ciently nd class num bers (a problem known to be at least as hard as factoring).

In this paper, we show how we can $m$ ake use of the solution to the A belian H idden Subgroup Problem to decom pose a nite Abelian group. Such decom positions m akes it possible to apply the A belian H idden Subgroup algorithm to a larger class of A belian groups.

## 2 Integer A rithm etic B asics

A nonsingular integral $m$ atrix $U$ is called unim odular if $U$ is has determ inant 1 . It is easy to check that $U$ is unim odular if and only if $U^{1}$ is unim odular.

The follow ing operations on a m atrix are called elem entary (unim odular) colum $n$ operations: 1. exchanging two colum ns; 2. multiplying a colum $n$ by $-1 ; 3$. adding an integralmultiple of one colum $n$ to another colum $n$.

[^0]W e de ne elem entary row operations sim ilarly.

Theorem 1 For any integral matrix A, one can nd in polynom ial tim e using elem entary row and colum $n$ operations unim odular $m$ atrices $U$ and $V$ such that $U A V=\begin{array}{rl}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ where $D=$ $D$ iag $\left(d_{1} ;::: ; d_{k}\right)$ with positive integers such that $d_{1} j d_{2} j::: d_{k}$ and for each $i$, the product $d_{1}::: d_{i}$ is equal to the g.c.d. of the subodeterm inants of A of order i. W e call the m atrix $\begin{array}{rl}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ the Sm ith norm al form (abbreviated as SNF) of A.

Proof : See K annan and B achem [ु]

## 3 G roup Theory B asics

Recall that $a$ group $G$ is said to be A belian if for $a l l a ; b 2 G, a \quad b=b a$. In this paper, all groups are nite Abelian unless otherw ise stated. $G$ is said to be cyclic if there exists a 2 G , such that $G=f a^{n}$ jn 2 Zg . Here, we call a a generator of $G . H \quad G$ is called a subgroup of $G$ if $H$ is a group under the operation induced by G. In this case, we write H G. Let a 2 G. The set $a H=f g 2 G j=$ ah for someh 2 Hg is called a coset of $H$ in $G$ determ ined by a.

Let a 2 G . If $a^{\mathrm{n}}=$ e for som en 2 N , then $a$ is said to have nite order. $T$ he sm allest such n is called the order of $a$, denoted by ord (a). It is easy to see that the elem ents in fe; $a ; a^{2} ;::: ; a^{n}{ }^{1} g$ form a subgroup. W e call this subgroup the the cyclic subgroup generated by a and we denote it by hai.

Let $G_{1} ; G_{2}$ be groups such that $G_{1} \backslash G_{2}=$ feg. The set fa $a_{1} a_{2} j a_{1} 2 G_{1}, a_{2} 2 G_{2}$, denoted by $G_{1} \quad G_{2}$, is called the direct sum of $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$. N ote that $G_{1} \quad G_{2}$ is a group under binary operation such that $\left(\mathrm{ab}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{a}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right)$.

Let $G$ be a group and p be a prim e number. Let P G. Then P is called a Sylow p-subgroup of $G$ if $f j=p$ for some $2 N$ such that $p$ divides $j^{j} j b u t p{ }^{+1}$ does not.

W e rst quote a few classical results w thout proof. T he interested reader can refer to a standard text on group theory.

Theorem 2 If $N$ is a subgroup of an Abelian group $G$, then the set of cosets of $N$ form s a group under the coset m ultiplication given by

$$
\mathrm{aN} \mathrm{bN}=\mathrm{abN}
$$

for all $a ; b 2 \mathrm{G} . \mathrm{T}$ he group is denoted by $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{N}$.

Theorem 3 Let $N$ be a subgroup of a nite Abelian group G. If $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{k}$ generates $G$, then $\mathrm{a}_{1} \mathrm{~N} ;::: ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{N}$ generates $\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{N}$.

Theorem 4 A nite Abelian group can be expressed as a direct sum of its Sylow p-subgroups.

Theorem 5 Let $K$ be a subgroup of $G=G_{p_{1}}$
$p_{1}$ Gon $_{N} G_{p_{i}}$ is a Sylow $p_{i}$-Subgroup for $i=1 ;::: ; 1$ and $p_{1} ;::: ; p_{1}$ are distinct prim es. Then there exists $K_{p_{i}} \quad G_{p_{i}} r i=1 ;::: ; 1$, such that $K=K_{p_{1}} \quad p_{1} K$

T he next theorem is an im portant result on nite Abelian groups.
Theorem 6 (Fundam ental $T$ heorem of $F$ inite Abelian $G$ roups). Any nite Abelian group can be decom posed as a direct sum of cyclic subgroups of prim e power order.

In this paper, we shall give an algorithm to nd the decom position.
$T$ he next theorem is an integral part of the algorithm .
Theorem 7 Given a generating set $f a_{1} ;::: ; a_{k} g$ of a nite Abelian group $G$ and a matrix M such that $a_{1}^{x_{1}} \quad{ }_{k}^{x_{k}} e$ if and only if $x=\left(x_{1} ;:: ; x_{k}\right)^{T} 2$ intool $M$ ) where intool $M$ ) denotes the set of vectors obtainable by taking integer linear com binations of colum ns of $M$, we can nd in polynom ial time (in the size of $M$ ) $g_{1} ;::: ; g_{1} w$ ith $l k$ such that $G=h g_{1} i \quad$ ling
Proof : (A dapted from A lgorithm 4.1 .3 in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$.) By $T$ heorem $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1} 1$, we can $n d$ in polynom ial time unim odularm atrices $U$ and $V$ such that $U{ }^{1} M V=\begin{array}{cc}D & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array} \quad w$ here $D$ is a diagonalm atrix $w$ ith diagonalentries $d_{1} ;:$ : ; $d_{m}$. Since $V$ is unim odular, intcol $(M V)=\operatorname{intcol}(M)$. Thus, $a_{1}^{x_{1}} \quad{ }_{k}^{x_{k}}$ a $e$ if and only if $x=\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{k}\right)^{T} 2$ intcol $\left.M V\right)$. For each $i=1 ;:: ; i k$, set $a_{i}^{0}=a_{1}^{U_{1 i}} \quad{ }_{k}^{U_{k i}}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}^{0 x_{1}} \quad{ }_{k}^{0 x_{k}} a=e \\
& \left., \quad\left(x_{1} ;:: ; x_{k}\right)^{T} 2 \text { intcol(U }{ }^{1} \mathrm{MV}\right) \\
& , \quad d_{i} \dot{x}_{i} \text { for } i=1 ;::: ; m ; \text { and } x_{i}=0 \text { for } i=m+1 ;::: ; k
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G$ is nite, we must have $m=k$. Otherw ise, $G w i l l$ have an elem ent of in nite order. Let $j$ be the sm allest index such that $d_{j}>1$. Set $g_{i}=a_{i+j 1}^{0}$ for $i=1 ;: ; 1$ where $l=m \quad j+1$. It is clear that $g_{1} ;::: ; g_{1}$ still generate $G$ and $g_{i}$ has order $d_{i+} j 1$ for $i=1 ;::: 1$. Therefore, if $0 \quad x_{i}<$ ord $\left(g_{i}\right)$, then $g_{1}{ }^{x_{1}} \quad 1^{x_{1}} \mathcal{G}=$ implies that $x_{i}=0$ for all $i$. $H$ ence $G=h g_{1} i \quad \quad$ ihg

## 4 H idden Subgroup P roblem

Let $G=Z_{N_{1}} \quad N_{1} Z w h e r e ~ t h e ~ N_{j}, j=1 ;:: ; i$ are prim e powers. We are given $f: G$ ! $S$ for some nite set $S$ that is constant on cosets of some $K \quad G$ but distinct on each coset. ( $T$ he case $w$ hen distinct cosets are not $m$ apped to distinct elem ents is addressed in B oneh and Lipton $\overline{\mathrm{R}}]$ and in the A ppendix of $\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right]$. Here, we need $m<j k j w h e r e m$ is the $m$ axim um number of cosets that get $m$ apped to the sam e output.) The hidden subgroup $K$ is

$$
\mathrm{fk} 2 \mathrm{G} j \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}+\mathrm{k}) \text { for all } \mathrm{x} 2 \mathrm{Gg}:
$$

The H idden Subgroup Problem is to nd generators for $K$ given only $f$ and $G$.
$T$ here exist polynom ial-tim e quantum algorithm $s$ to solve this problem .
C orollary 8 Let a be an elem ent of a group $G$. The order r of a can be found in random quantum polynom ial time.

Proof: C onsider the function f from $Z$ to the group $G$ where $f(x)=a^{x}$. Then $f(x)=f(y)$ if and only if x y 2 rZ . The hidden subgroup is $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{rZ}$ and a generator for K gives us the order r of a.

Theorem 9 Factoring can be solved in random quantum polynom ial time.
In the next section, we shall show how to use the algorithm for nding hidden subgroup to decom pose nite Abelian groups.

## 5 D ecom posing A belian G roups

By $T$ heorem ${ }^{-1}-1$, we know that we can decom pose a nite A belian group into a direct sum of cyclic groups of prim e pow er order. This problem was discussed brie y in tī]. W em ake four assum ptions on the group G:

1. W e have a unique binary representation for each elem ent of $G$ and we can e ciently recognize if a binary string represents an elem ent of $G$ or not.
2. Using the binary representation, for any a 2 G , we can e ciently construct a quantum netw ork for implem enting $U_{a}$ : jyi ! jyi.
3. $W$ e can e ciently nd a generating set for $G$.

## 4. The orders of the generators are of prim e pow er order.

To $m$ eet the third assum ption, it su ces to have an upperbound of $2^{k}$ on the size of the groups we work with for som ek 2 ( $\log \mathrm{f} j \mathrm{j}$ ) and that we can e ciently sam ple elem ents of $G$ uniform ly at random. (If we do not have such a bound, we can easily devise a procedure that tries an increasing sequence of values for $k$ and still has expected running tim e in O ( $\mathrm{p} 0 \mathrm{ly} \mathrm{log} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{J}}$ ) ). Let K be a proper subgroup of G . Then there are at least two cosets of K . If we random ly sam ple an elem ent x from $G$, then w ith probability at least $1=2$, the subgroup spanned by $x$ and $K$ will have size at least tw ice that of K because the elem ents xk for all k 2 K are in the span. Hence, it takes an expected number of at $m$ ost $(1=(1=2)) k=2 k$ sam ples to obtain a generating set $G$ for $G$ and therefore $2 \mathrm{k}+\mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{c}} \overline{\mathrm{k}}$ samples will nd a generating set with probability in $1 \quad{ }^{c}$ for some $2(0 ; 1)$ (by a Chemo bound.)

Now we may assum e that the order of the elem ents are of a prim e power. Let a be an elem ent in $G$ with order $p q$ where $(p ; q)=1, p \notin 1$ and $q \in 1$. $N$ ote that $p$ and $q$ can be determ ined
 such that rp $+s q=1$. Thus $\left(a^{p}\right)^{r}\left(a^{q}\right)^{s}=a$. Hence, replacing $a$ with $a^{q}$ and $a^{p}$ still leaves $u s w$ th a generating set. W e repeat this procedure until each elem ent in $G$ has prim e pow er order.

Since we know the order pq ofa, we can e ciently com pute a ${ }^{1}=a^{\text {pq }}{ }^{1}$ and therefore e ciently perform the necessary uncom putation in order to satisfy the second assum ption.
 a Sylow $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}}$-subgroup of G . Let $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{j}}$ be the set of all the elem ents in G having order a power of the prim e $p_{j}$. For a $2 S_{j}$, let $K_{a}$ denote the (cyclic) subgroup generated by a. By $T$ heorem $\overline{\underline{s}} \mathbf{i}$, we have $K_{a}=K_{p_{1}} \quad p_{1} K w h e r e k_{p_{i}} \quad G_{p_{i}}$ for all $i=1 ;$ ::; 1 . Since $\mathcal{K}_{a} j$ is a power of $p_{j}$, we must have $K_{a} \quad G_{p_{j}}$. Thus $S_{j} 2 G_{p_{j}}$. Since $G$ generates $G, S_{j}$ generates $G_{p_{j}}$. Hence, we can rst nd the decom position for each of the Sylow p-subgroups of $G$ and then take their product to obtain a decom position of G.

There are tw o prim ary reasons why we want to have the fourth assum ption. O ne reason is that we want to m in m ize the am ount of quantum com puting resources required in any im plem entation. It is therefore advisable to decom pose the problem whenever it is possible. The second reason is that working with p-groups can greatly sim plify the am ount of algebra one needs to perform to recover the generators. This latter point will be elaborated at the end of the section. In the $m$ eantim e, we present the algorithm given in [G] which nds generators of a group $G$ with prim $e$ pow er order.

A lgorithm 10 D ecom pose_G roup ( $a_{1}$;::; ; $a_{k}$ )
Input:

A generating set fa; :::; $a_{k} g$ of the group $G$.
The $m$ axim um order $q=p^{k}$ of the elem ents $a_{1} ;::: ; a_{k}$ •
O utput:
A set of elem ents $q ;::: ; g_{1}, l k$, from the group $G$.
Procedure:

1. De ne $g: Z_{q}^{k}$ ! $G$ by mapping ( $x_{1} ;::: ; x_{k}$ ) ! $g(x)=a_{1}^{x_{1}} \quad{ }_{k}^{x_{k}}$ a $F$ ind generators for the hidden subgroup $K$ of $Z_{q}^{k}$ as de ned by the function $g$.
2. Com pute a set $\mathrm{y}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{y}_{1} 2 \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{K}$ of generators for $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{K}$.
3. O utput $f g\left(y_{1}\right) ;::: ; g\left(y_{1}\right) g$.

To see the correctness of this algorithm, observe that the hidden subgroup $K$ is the set $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{x}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right) \mathrm{j} a_{1}^{\mathrm{x}_{1}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}}$ af eg. We therefore have an isom orphism between $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{K}$ and G . If $y_{1} ;::: ; y_{1}$ are generators for $Z_{q}^{k}=K$, then $f g\left(y_{1}\right) ;::: ; g\left(y_{1}\right) g$ are generators for $G$.
$W$ e now elaborate on how it is possible to nd generators for $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{K}$. Observe that $e_{1} ;$ :::; $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}$ generate $Z_{q}^{k}$ where $e_{i}$ is a 0,1-vector $w$ ith a 1 in the ith co-ordinate. Further, if we let $M=q I$ $w$ here $I$ is the $k \quad k$ identity $m$ atrix, then $x_{1} e_{1}+:::+x_{k} e_{k}=0$ (in $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}}$ ) if and only if x 2 intool $(\mathrm{M}$ ). By Theorem 'ī1, $e_{1}+K ;:: ; e_{k}+K$ generate $Z_{q}^{k}=K . N$ ote that

$$
v_{1}\left(e_{1}+K\right)+:::+v_{k}\left(e_{k}+K\right)=K
$$

if and only if Iv 2 K where $I$ is the $m$ atrix $\left[e_{1}:: \mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}\right]$ and $\mathrm{v}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Let A be the $m$ atrix the colum ns of which generate K.N ote that Iv 2 K if and only if there exists a vector x such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Iv}=A \mathrm{x} \\
& \text {, } \quad I v=I A x \\
& \text {, } I(v A x)=0 \\
& \text {, } \mathrm{V} \text { Ax } 2 \text { intcol(M) } \\
& \text {, } \left.\left.\quad \mathrm{V} 2 \text { intcol( } \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{~A}\right]\right) \text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

 that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{q}}^{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{h}_{1} \mathrm{i} \quad \quad \quad$ ihas desired .

Technically, we need not work w ith each Sylow p-subgroup of $G$ separately. Suppose $a_{i 1} ;::: ; a_{i k_{i}}$ generates the group $G_{p_{i}}$. Let $q_{i}=p_{i}^{r_{i}}$ be the $m$ axim um order of $a_{i 1} ;::: ; a_{i k_{i}}$. De ne $g: Z_{q_{1}}^{k_{1}}$
 as before. The only di erences are that we need to build a huge quantum netw ork to solve the
 hidden subgroup $K$ de ned by $g$, we need to work $w$ th a huge $m$ atrix when applying Theorem $\underline{l}_{1}$ if the block structure of the $m$ atrix is not exploited. In practioe, it is therefore desirable to avoid this approach. Furthem ore, for each prim e $p$, instead of using $Z_{q}^{k} w$ here $q=p^{r}$ is the $m$ axim um order of the elem ents $a_{j}$ (ie. $r=m a x f t_{1} ; t_{2} ;::: ; t_{k} g$ where the order of $a_{j}$ is $p^{t_{j}}$ ), we could use $Z_{p^{t_{1}}} \quad Z_{p^{t_{2}}} \quad:: \quad Z_{p_{t_{k}}}$.
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