Decom posing F in ite Abelian G roups

Kevin K.H.Cheung Michele Mosca^y

April 15, 2024

A bstract

This paper describes a quantum algorithm for e ciently decomposing nite A belian groups. Such a decomposition is needed in order to apply the Abelian hidden subgroup algorithm. Such a decomposition (assuming the Generalized Riem ann Hypothesis) also leads to an e cient algorithm for computing class numbers (known to be at least as di cult as factoring).

1 Introduction

The work by Shor [9] on factoring and noting discrete logarithm s over Z_n can be generalized to solve the Abelian Hidden Subgroup Problem (see for example [10], [4], [7]). These algorithms nd the hidden subgroup of a function f: G ! S, where $G = Z_{N_1}$ N_1 ? for some integers $N_1; N_2; :::; N_1$. Any Abelian group G is isomorphic to such a product of cyclic groups, how ever it is not always known how to nd such an isomorphism e ciently. Consider for example the group Z_N , the multiplicative group of integers modulo N. This is an Abelian group we can compute in e ciently, yet no known classical algorithm can e ciently nd its decomposition into a product of cyclic groups will give us the size of the group and therefore the class number of the quadratic number eld. A s W atrous [11] points out, assum ing the generalized R iem ann Hypothesis we can apply the algorithm in this paper and e ciently nd class numbers (a problem known to be at least as hard as factoring).

In this paper, we show how we can make use of the solution to the Abelian Hidden Subgroup Problem to decompose a nite Abelian group. Such decompositions makes it possible to apply the Abelian Hidden Subgroup algorithm to a larger class of Abelian groups.

2 Integer A rithm etic B asics

A nonsingular integral matrix U is called unimodular if U is has determinant 1. It is easy to check that U is unimodular if and only if U¹ is unimodular.

The following operations on a matrix are called elementary (unimodular) column operations: 1. exchanging two columns; 2. multiplying a column by -1; 3. adding an integral multiple of one column to another column.

PhD.student, Department of Combinatorics and Optimization, Faculty of Mathematics, University of Waterbo, Waterbo, Ontario, N2L 3G1, Canada. E-mail: kkhcheun@mathuwaterbo.ca. Research of this author was supported by NSERC PGSB

^yD epartm ent of Com binatorics and Optim ization, Faculty of M athematics, U niversity of W aterbo, W aterbo, O ntario, N 2L 3G 1, C anada. E-m ail: m m osca@ cacrm ath uw aterbo.ca. Research of this author was supported by N SERC

W e de ne elementary row operations sim ilarly.

Theorem 1 For any integral matrix A, one can nd in polynom ial time using elementary row and column operations unimodular matrices U and V such that $UAV = \begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where D = D iag $(d_1; :::; d_k)$ with positive integers such that $d_1jd_2j:::jd_k$ and for each i, the product $d_1:::d_1$ is equal to the g.c.d. of the subdeterm inants of A of order i. We call the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ the Sm ith norm alform (abbreviated as SNF) of A.

Proof: See Kannan and Bachem [5].

3 Group Theory Basics

Recall that a group G is said to be Abelian if for all a; b 2 G, a b = b a. In this paper, all groups are nite Abelian unless otherwise stated. G is said to be cyclic if there exists a 2 G, such that $G = fa^n \dot{p} 2 Zg$. Here, we call a a generator of G. H G is called a subgroup of G if H is a group under the operation induced by G. In this case, we write H G. Let a 2 G. The set aH = fg 2 G $\dot{j}g$ = ah for som e h 2 H g is called a coset of H in G determ ined by a.

Let a 2 G. If $a^n = e$ for som $e n \ge N$, then a is said to have nite order. The smallest such n is called the order of a, denoted by ord (a). It is easy to see that the elements in fe;a; a^2 ; :::; a^{n-1} g form a subgroup. We call this subgroup the the cyclic subgroup generated by a and we denote it by hai.

Let G_1 ; G_2 be groups such that $G_1 \setminus G_2 = \text{feg.}$ The set $fa_1a_2 ja_1 2 G_1$, $a_2 2 G_2g$, denoted by $G_1 \quad G_2$, is called the direct sum of G_1 and G_2 . Note that $G_1 \quad G_2$ is a group under binary operation such that $(ab_1)(a_2b_2) = (a_1b_1)(a_2b_2)$.

Let G be a group and p be a prime number. Let P G. Then P is called a Sybw p-subgroup of G if $\mathcal{P} = p$ for some 2 N such that p divides \mathcal{G} jbut p⁺¹ does not.

We rst quote a few classical results without proof. The interested reader can refer to a standard text on group theory.

Theorem 2 If N is a subgroup of an Abelian group G, then the set of cosets of N form s a group under the coset multiplication given by

aN bN = abN

for all a; b 2 G. The group is denoted by G = N.

Theorem 3 Let N be a subgroup of a nite Abelian group G. If a_1 ;:::; a_k generates G, then a_1N ;:::; a_kN generates G=N.

Theorem 4 A nite Abelian group can be expressed as a direct sum of its Sylow p-subgroups.

Theorem 5 Let K be a subgroup of $G = G_{p_1}$ p_1 Gwhere G_{p_i} is a Sybw p_i -subgroup for i = 1; :::; l and $p_1; :::; p_1$ are distinct primes. Then there exists K p_i G_{p_i} , i = 1; :::; l, such that $K = K_{p_1}$ $p_i K$

The next theorem is an important result on nite Abelian groups.

Theorem 6 (Fundamental Theorem of Finite Abelian Groups). Any nite Abelian group can be decomposed as a direct sum of cyclic subgroups of prime power order.

In this paper, we shall give an algorithm to nd the decomposition.

The next theorem is an integral part of the algorithm .

Theorem 7 Given a generating set $fa_1; ...; a_k g$ of a nite Abelian group G and a matrix M such that $a_1^{x_1}$ k = e if and only if $x = (x_1; ...; x_k)^T 2$ intcol(M) where intcol(M) denotes the set of vectors obtainable by taking integer linear combinations of columns of M, we can not in polynomial time (in the size of M) $g_1; ...; g_1$ with 1 k such that $G = hg_1 i$ line

Proof: (A dapted from A lgorithm 4.1.3 in [3].) By Theorem 1, we can not in polynomial time unimodular matrices U and V such that U¹ M V = $\begin{bmatrix} D & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ where D is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries d_1 ; :::; d_m . Since V is unimodular, intcol(M V) = intcol(M). Thus, $a_1^{x_1} = k \overset{x_k}{k} = e$ if and only if $x = (x_1; :::; x_k)^T$ 2 intcol(M V). For each i = 1; :::; k, set $a_i^0 = a_1^{U_{11}} = \sum_{k=1}^{U_{k}} a$. Then

$$a_1^{0x_1}$$
 $k^{0x_k}a = e$
 $(x_1;...;x_k)^T$ 2 intcol(U ¹ M V)
 $d_i\dot{y}_i$ for $i = 1;...;m$; and $x_i = 0$ for $i = m + 1;...;k$

Since G is nite, we must have m = k. O therwise, G will have an element of in nite order. Let j be the smallest index such that $d_j > 1$. Set $g_i = a_{i+j}^0$ for i = 1; ::; l where l = m j+1. It is clear that g_1 ; ::; g_1 still generate G and g_i has order d_{i+j1} for i = 1; ::; l. Therefore, if 0 $x_i < ord(g_i)$, then $g_1^{x_1}$ $1^{x_1}g_i$ e implies that $x_i = 0$ for all i. Hence $G = hg_1 i$ $1hg_i$

4 Hidden Subgroup Problem

Let $G = Z_{N_1}$ N_1Z where the N_j , j = 1; :::; lare prime powers. We are given f : G ! S for some nite set S that is constant on cosets of some K G but distinct on each coset. (The case when distinct cosets are not m apped to distinct elements is addressed in B oneh and Lipton [2] and in the Appendix of [7]. Here, we need m < K jwhere m is the maximum number of cosets that get m apped to the same output.) The hidden subgroup K is

$$fk 2 G jf(x) = f(x + k)$$
 for all x 2 G g:

The Hidden Subgroup Problem is to nd generators for K given only f and G .

There exist polynom ial-time quantum algorithms to solve this problem.

Corollary 8 Let a be an element of a group G. The order r of a can be found in random quantum polynom ial time.

Proof: Consider the function f from Z to the group G where $f(x) = a^x$. Then f(x) = f(y) if and only if x y 2 rZ. The hidden subgroup is K = rZ and a generator for K gives us the order r of a.

U sing C orollary 8, one can deduce the result by Shor [9].

Theorem 9 Factoring can be solved in random quantum polynom ial time.

In the next section, we shall show how to use the algorithm for nding hidden subgroup to decompose nite Abelian groups.

5 Decomposing Abelian Groups

By Theorem 6, we know that we can decompose a nite Abelian group into a direct sum of cyclic groups of prime power order. This problem was discussed brie y in [6]. We make four assumptions on the group G:

- 1. We have a unique binary representation for each element of G and we can e ciently recognize if a binary string represents an element of G or not.
- 2. Using the binary representation, for any a 2 G, we can e ciently construct a quantum network for implementing U_a: jui! jayi.
- 3. We can e ciently nd a generating set for G.
- 4. The orders of the generators are of prime power order.

To meet the third assumption, it su ces to have an upper bound of 2^{k} on the size of the groups we work with for som e k 2 (log jG j) and that we can e ciently sample elements of G uniform ly at random. (If we do not have such a bound, we can easily devise a procedure that tries an increasing sequence of values for k and still has expected running time in O (poly log jG j). Let K be a proper subgroup of G. Then there are at least two cosets of K. If we random ly sample an element x from G, then with probability at least 1=2, the subgroup spanned by x and K will have size at least twice that of K because the elements xk for all k 2 K are in the span. Hence, it takes an expected number of at most (1=(1=2))k = 2k samples to obtain a generating set G for G and therefore 2k + c k samples will not a generating set with probability in 1 ^c for some 2 (0;1) (by a Chemo bound.)

Now we may assume that the order of the elements are of a prime power. Let a be an element in G with order pq where $(p;q) = 1, p \in 1$ and $q \in 1$. Note that p and q can be determined e ciently as a result of C orollary 8 and T heorem 9. By the Euclidean algorithm, we can nd r;s such that rp + sq = 1. Thus $(a^p)^r (a^q)^s = a$. Hence, replacing a with a^q and a^p still leaves us with a generating set. We repeat this procedure until each element in G has prime power order.

Since we know the order pq of a, we can e ciently compute $a^{-1} = a^{pq-1}$ and therefore e ciently perform the necessary uncomputation in order to satisfy the second assumption.

By Theorem 4, we have $G = G_{p_1}$ p_1 Gwhere p_i is a prime for all i = 1; :::; and G_{p_i} is a Sylow p_i -subgroup of G. Let S_j be the set of all the elements in G having order a power of the prime p_j . For a 2 S_j , let K_a denote the (cyclic) subgroup generated by a. By Theorem 5, we have $K_a = K_{p_1}$ p_1 Kwhere K_{p_i} G_{p_i} for all i = 1; :::; Since $K_a j$ is a power of p_j , we must have $K_a G_{p_j}$. Thus $S_j 2 G_{p_j}$. Since G generates G, S_j generates G_{p_j} . Hence, we can rst nd the decom position for each of the Sylow p-subgroups of G and then take their product to obtain a decom position of G.

There are two prim ary reasons why we want to have the fourth assumption. One reason is that we want to minimize the amount of quantum computing resources required in any implementation. It is therefore advisable to decompose the problem whenever it is possible. The second reason is that working with p-groups can greatly simplify the amount of algebra one needs to perform to recover the generators. This latter point will be elaborated at the end of the section. In the m eantime, we present the algorithm given in [6] which nds generators of a group G with prime power order.

A lgorithm 10 Decom pose_G roup (a₁; :::; a_k) Input: A generating set $fa_j:::;a_kg$ of the group G.

The maximum order $q = p^{2}$ of the elements a_{1} ; ...; a_{k} .

Output:

A set of elements $q; :::; q_1, 1$ k, from the group G.

P rocedure:

- 1. Define $g:\mathbb{Z}_q^k$! G by mapping $(x_1; \dots; x_k)$! $g(x) = a_1^{x_1}$ k^{x_k} a Find generators for the hidden subgroup K of Z_{α}^{k} as de ned by the function g.
- 2. Compute a set y_1 ; :::; y_1 2 Z_q^k =K of generators for Z_q^k =K .
- 3. Output $fg(y_1)$; :::; $g(y_1)g$.

To see the correctness of this algorithm, observe that the hidden subgroup K is the set $x_k \approx eg. W \in therefore have an isomorphism between <math>Z_q^k = K$ and G. If $f(x_1; :::; x_k) j a_1^{x_1}$ y_1 ; :::; y_1 are generators for $Z_q^k\!=\!\!K$, then fg ($\!y_1$) ; :::; g ($\!y_1$)g are generators for G .

We now elaborate on how it is possible to nd generators for Z $_q^k$ =K . Observe that $e_1\textit{;:::;}e_k$ generate Z_q^k where e_i is a 0,1-vector with a 1 in the ith co-ordinate. Further, if we let M = qIwhere I is the k k identity matrix, then $x_1e_1 + \dots + x_ke_k = 0$ (in Z_{α}^k) if and only if x 2 intcol(M). By Theorem 3, $e_1 + K$; :::; $e_k + K$ generate $Z_a^k = K$. Note that

$$v_1 (e_1 + K) + \dots + v_k (e_k + K) = K$$

if and only if Iv 2 K where I is the matrix $[e_1 :::e_k]$ and $v = (v_1; :::;v_k)^T$. Let A be the matrix the columns of which generate K. Note that Iv 2 K if and only if there exists a vector x such that

> Iv = Ax, Iv = IAx, I(v Ax) = 0v Ax2 intcol(M) , v 2 intcol($M \xrightarrow{} A$):

Applying Theorem 7 to $fe_i + K$ $ji = 1; ...; kg and M^0 = M_j A$, we obtain $y_1; ...; y_1 2 Z_q^k = K$ such that $Z_{\alpha}^{k} = K = hy_{1}i$ lihys desired.

Technically, we need not work with each Sylow p-subgroup of G separately. Suppose a_{i1} ; ...; a_{ik_i}

generates the group G_{p_i} . Let $q_i = p_i^{r_i}$ be the maximum order of a_{i1} ; \dots ; a_{ik_i} . De neg : $Z_{q_i}^{k_1}$ $\stackrel{k_1}{q_i}Z!$ G by mapping $(x_{11}; \dots; x_{1k_1}; \dots; x_{1k_1}; \dots; x_{1k_1})$ to $g(x) = Q_{i=1}^1 a_{i1}^{x_{i1}}$ $\stackrel{x_{ik_i}}{\underset{k_i}{\ldots}}$. Proceed as before. The only di erences are that we need to build a huge quantum network to solve the hidden subgroup problem and that in computing generators for $\mathbf{Z}_{q_1}^{k_1}$ $a_1^{k_1}$ ZK where K is the hidden subgroup K de ned by g, we need to work with a huge matrix when applying Theorem 7 if the block structure of the matrix is not exploited. In practice, it is therefore desirable to avoid this approach. Furtherm ore, for each prime p, instead of using Z_q^k where $q = p^r$ is the maximum order of the elements a_j (i.e. $r = m axft_1; t_2; :::; t_k g$ where the order of a_j is p^{t_j}), we could use $Z_{p^{t_1}} \quad Z_{p^{t_2}} \quad ::: \quad Z_{p_{t_k}}$

References

- J.A.Beachy and W.D.Blair. Abstract algebra with a concrete introduction. Prentice-Hall Inc., New Jersey, 1990.
- [2] D.Boneh and R.J.Lipton. Quantum cryptanalysis of hidden linear functions (extended abstract). Lecture Notes on Computer Science, 963:424 (437. Springer, Berlin, 1995.
- [3] H. Cohen. Advanced Topics in Computational Number Theory. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.
- [4] P.H yer. Conjugated operators in quantum algorithm s. IM ADA preprint, 1997.
- [5] R.Kannan and A.Bachem. Polynom ial algorithms for computing the Sm ith and Herm ite norm alform s of an integer matrix. SIAM Journal on Computing, 8(4):499(507, 1979.
- [6] M. Mosca. Quantum Computer Algorithm s. D. Phil Thesis, University of Oxford, 1999.
- [7] M.Mosca and A.Ekert. The hidden subgroup problem and eigenvalue estimation on a quantum computer. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 1509:174{188, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [8] A. Schrijver. Theory of Linear and Integer Program m ing. W iley and Sons, England, 1986.
- [9] P.W. Shor. A lgorithm s for quantum computations: D iscrete logarithm s and factoring. In S.G oldwasser, editor, Proceedings of the 35th Annual Symposium on Foundations of C om puter Science, pages 124{134. EEE C om puter Society Press, N ovem ber 1994.
- [10] U.Vazirani. UC Berkeley Course CS294-2 Quantum Computation Fall 1997.
- [11] J.W atrous. Quantum algorithms for solvable groups. preprint, 2000.