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#### Abstract

W e introduce a concept of sim ilarity betw een vertices of directed graphs. Let $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ be two directed graphs $w$ ith respectively $n_{A}$ and $n_{B}$ vertices. $W$ e de ne a $n_{B} \quad n_{A}$ sim ilarity $m$ atrix $S$ whose real entry $s_{i j}$ expresses how sim ilar vertex $j$ (in $G_{A}$ ) is to vertex $i$ (in $G_{B}$ ) : we say that $s_{i j}$ is their sim ilarity score. T he sim ilarity $m$ atrix can be obtained as the lim it of the norm alized even iterates of $S(k+1)=B S(k) A^{T}+B^{T} S(k) A$ where A and B are adjacency $m$ atrices of the graphs and $S(0)$ is a m atrix whose entries are all equal to one. In the special case where $G_{A}=G_{B}=G$, the $m$ atrix $S$ is square and the score $s_{i j}$ is the sim ilarity score betw een the vertices $i$ and $j$ of $G$. W e point out that K leinberg's \hub and authority" m ethod to identify web -pages relevant to a given query can be viewed as a special case of our de nition in the case where one of the graphs has tw o vertices and a unique directed edge betw een them. In analogy to $K$ leinberg, we show that our sim ilarity scores are given by the com ponents of a dom inant eigenvector of a non-negative $m$ atrix. P otential applications of our sim ilarity concept are num erous. W e ilhustrate an application for the autom atic extraction of synonym $s$ in a $m$ onolingual dictionary.
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1. G eneralizing hubs and authorities. E cient web search engines such as G oogle are often based on the idea of characterizing the most im portant vertioes in a graph representing the connections or links between pages on the web. O ne such $m$ ethod, proposed by $K$ leinberg [ $1 \overline{2} \overline{1}]$, identi es in a set of pages relevant to a query search the subset of pages that are good hubs or the subset of pages that are good authorities. For exam ple, for the query \university", the hom e-pages of x ford, H arvard and other universities are good authorities, whereas web pages that point to these hom e-pages are good hubs. G ood hubs are pages that point to good authorities, and good authorities are pages that are pointed to by good hubs. From these im plicit relations, $K$ leinberg derives an iterative $m$ ethod that assigns an \authority score" and a \hub score" to every vertex of a given graph. These scores can be obtained as the lim it of a converging iterative process which we now describe.

Let $G=(V ; E)$ be a graph $w$ ith vertex set $V$ and $w$ ith edge set $E$ and let $h_{j}$ and $a_{j}$ be the hub and authority scores of vertex $j$. W e let these scores be initialized by

[^0]som e positive values and then update them sim ultaneously for all vertices according to the follow ing $m$ utually reinforcing relation : the hub score of vertex $j$ is set equal to the sum of the authority scores of all vertioes pointed to by $j$ and, sim ilarly, the authority score of vertex $j$ is set equal to the sum of the hub scores of all vertices pointing to $j$ :

| $h_{j}$ | $P$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $a_{j}$ | $P_{i:(j ; i) 2 E} a_{i}$ |
| $i:(i ; j) 2 E$ | $h_{i}$ |

Let $B$ be the $m$ atrix whose entry $(i ; j)$ is equal to the num ber of edges betw een the vertices $i$ and $j$ in $G$ (the adjaœency $m$ atrix of $G$ ), and let $h$ and a be the vectors ofhub and authority scores. $T$ he above updating equations then take the sim ple form

| h |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ | $=$ |
| $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}}$ | B |
| 0 | $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |$\quad ; \quad \mathrm{k}=0 ; 1 ;:::$

which we denote in com pact form by

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad \mathrm{k}=0 ; 1 ;:::
$$

where

$$
x_{k}=\begin{aligned}
& h \\
& a_{k}
\end{aligned} ; \quad M=\begin{array}{cc}
0 & B \\
B^{T} & 0
\end{array}
$$

$N$ otige that the $m$ atrix $M$ is sym $m$ etric and non-negativerive $W$ e are only interested in the relative scores and we w ill therefore consider the norm alized vector sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}=x_{0}>0 ; \quad z_{k+1}=\frac{M z_{k}}{k M z_{k} k_{2}} ; \quad k=0 ; 1 ;::: \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k k$ is the Euclidean vector norm. Ideally, we would like to take the lim it of the sequence $z_{k}$ as a de nition for the hub and authority scores. There are two di culties $w$ ith such a de nition.

A rst di culty is that the sequence $\bar{z}$ does not alw ays converge. In fact, sequences associated $w$ th non-negative $m$ atrioes $M \quad w$ ith the above block structure alm ost never converge but rather oscillate betw een the lim its

$$
z_{\text {even }}=\lim _{\mathrm{k}!1} z_{2 \mathrm{k}} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{\mathrm{odd}}=\lim _{\mathrm{k}!1} z_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}:
$$

W e prove in $T$ heorem $\overline{2}$ that this is true in general for sym $m$ etric non-negative $m$ atrices, and that either the sequence resulting from ( $1 . \overline{1 d}_{-1}^{\prime}$ ) converges, or it doesn't and then the even and odd sub-sequences do converge. Let us consider both lim its for the $m$ om ent.

The second di culty is that the lim it vectors $z_{\text {even }}$ and $z_{\text {odd }}$ do in generaldepend on the initial vector $z_{0}$ and there is no apparently natural choice for $z_{0}$. The set of all lim it vectors obtained when starting from a positive in itial vector is given by

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{z}_{\text {even }}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right) ; \mathrm{z}_{\text {odd }}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right): \mathrm{z}_{0}>0 \mathrm{~g}
$$

[^1]and we w ould like to select one particular vector in that set. T he vector $z_{\text {even }}$ obtained for $z_{0}=1$ (we denote by 1 the vector, or $m$ atrix, whose entries are all equal to 1 ) has several interesting features that quali es it as a good choice: it is particularly easy to com pute, it possesses several nice properties (see in particular Section ('/'i'), and it has the extrem al property, proved in Theorem $\overline{1}$, of being the unique vector in $Z$ of largest possible 1 -norm (the 1 -norm of a vector is the sum of all the $m$ agnitudes of its entries). Because of these features, we take the two sub-vectors of $z_{\text {even }}$ (1) as de nitions for the hub and authority scores. In the case of the above $m$ atrix $M$, we have
\[

M^{2}=$$
\begin{array}{cc}
B B^{T} & 0 \\
0 & B^{T} B
\end{array}
$$
\]

and from this equality it follow s that, if the dom inant invariant subspaces associated with BB ${ }^{T}$ and $B^{T} B$ have dim ension one, then the norm alized hub and authority scores are sim ply given by the norm alized dom inant eigenvectors of $B B^{T}$ and $B^{T} B$. $T h$ is is the de nition used in "[1] for the authority and hub scores of the vertices of G. The arbitrary choice of $\mathrm{z}_{0}{ }^{-1}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ ade in $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is show n here to have an extrem al nom justi cation. N otice that when the invariant subspace has dim ension one, then there is nothing particular about the starting vector 1 since any other positive vector $z_{0}$ would give the sam e result.

W e now generalize this construction. The authority score of vertex jof $G$ can be thought of as a sim ilarity score betw een vertex $j$ of $G$ and vertex authority of the graph

```
hub ! authority
```

and, sim ilarly, the hub score of vertex $j$ of $G$ can be seen as a sim ilarity score betw een vertex $j$ and vertex hub. The $m$ utually reinforcing updating titeration used above can be generalized to graphs that are di erent from the hub-authority structure graph. The idea of this generalization is easier to grasp with an exam ple; we illustrate it
rst on the path graph w ith three vertioes and then provide a de nition for anbitrary graphs. Let G be a graph w ith edge set E and adjacency matrix B and consider the structure graph

1 ! 2 ! 3:
$W$ th each vertex $j$ of $G$ we now associate three scores $x_{i 1} ; x_{i 2}$ and $x_{i 3}$; one for each vertex of the structure graph. $W$ e initialize these scores $w$ th som e positive value and then update them according to the follow ing $m$ utually reinforcing relation


or, in $m$ atrix form ( $w$ e denote by $x_{j}$ the colum $n$ vector $w$ ith entries $x_{i j}$ ),




F ig. 1.1. A graph and its sim ilarity m atrix w ith the structure graph 1 ! 2 ! 3. $T$ he sim ilarity score of vertex 3 w ith vertex 2 of the structure graph is equal to 0.5540 .
which we again denote by $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{M} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}$. The situation is now identical to that of the previous exam ple and all convergence argum ents given there apply here as well. $T$ he $m$ atrix $M$ is sym $m$ etric and non-negative, the norm alized even and odd iterates converge and the lim it $z_{\text {even }}(1)$ is am ong all possible lim its the unique vector $w$ ith largest possible 1-norm. W e take the three com ponents of this extrem allim it $z_{\text {even }}$ (1) as de nition for the sim ilarity scores $s_{i} s_{2}$ and $s_{3}$ and de ne the sim ilarity $m$ atrix by $S=\left[\begin{array}{lll}S_{1} & S_{2} & S_{3}\end{array}\right]$. A num erical exam ple of such a sim ilarity $m$ atrix is show $n$ in $F$ igure ${ }_{11}^{11}$. N ote that we shall prove in $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$, that the score $s_{2}$ can be obtained $m$ ore directly from $B$ by com puting the dom inating eigenvector of the $m$ atrix $B B^{T}+B^{T} B$.
$W$ e now come to a description of the general case. A ssum e that we have two directed graphs $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ with $n_{A}$ and $n_{B}$ vertices and edge sets $E_{A}$ and $E_{B} \cdot W e$ think $\operatorname{ofG}_{A}$ as a structure graph that plays the role of the graphs hub ! authority and 1 ! 2 ! 3 in the above exam ples. We consider realscores $x_{i j}$ for $i=1 ;::: ; n_{B}$ and $j=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and sim ultaneously update all scores according to the follow ing updating equations


This equation can be given an interpretation in term $S$ of the product graph of $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$. The product graph of $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ is a graph that has $n_{A} \eta_{B}$ vertices and that has an edge betw een vertioes ( $i_{1} ; j_{1}$ ) and ( $i_{2} ; j_{2}$ ) if there is an edge between $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ in $G_{A}$ and there is an edge betw een $j_{1}$ and $j_{2}$ in $G_{B}$. The updating equation (1-1.1) is then equivalent to replacing the values of all vertioes of the product graph by the values of the outgoing and incom ing vertioes in the graph.

Equation (1, (1) can also be written in $m$ ore com pact $m$ atrix form. Let $X_{k}$ be the $n_{B} \quad n_{A} m$ atrix ofentries $x_{i j}$ at iteration $k$. Then the updating equations take the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\mathrm{BX}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~A} ; \quad \mathrm{k}=0 ; 1 ;::: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are the adjacency $m$ atrices of $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$. W e prove in Section that, as for the above exam ples, the norm alized even and odd iterates ofthis updating equation converge, and that the lim it $Z_{\text {even }}(1)$ is am ong all possible lim its the only onew ith largest 1 -nom. W e take this lim it as de nition of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix. A $n$ exam ple of tw o graphs and their sim ilarity $m$ atrix is show $n$ in $F$ igure ${ }^{1}$


| 2 | $0: 2720$ | $0: 2840$ | $0: 2700$ | $0: 1440$ | 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | $0: 1400$ | $0: 1390$ | $0: 0670$ | $0: 1390$ | 7 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $0: 2700$ | $0: 3120$ | $0: 2560$ | $0: 1650$ | 7 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 0 | 7 |  |  |  |
| 6 | $0: 2300$ | $0: 2440$ | $0: 2870$ | $0: 0720$ | 7 |
| 6 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | $0: 0660$ | $0: 0720$ | $0: 1030$ | 0 | 7 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $0: 2540$ | $0: 2480$ | $0: 2340$ | $0: 1460$ |  |

Fig. 1.2. Two graphs $G_{A} ; G_{B}$ and their sim ilarity $m$ atrix. The vertex of $G_{A}$ which is most sim ilar to vertex 5 in $G_{B}$ is vertex 3 .

It is interesting to note that in the database literature sim ilar ideas have been proposed $\left[\begin{array}{c}2 \\ \hline\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$. T he applications there are inform ation retrieval in large databases w ith which a particular graph structure can be associated. T he ideas presented in these conference papers are obviously linked to those of the present paper, but in order to guarantee convergence of the proposed iteration to a unique xed point, the iteration has to be slightly modi ed using e.g. certain weighting coe cients. $T$ herefore, the hub and authority score of $K$ leinberg is not a special case of their de nition. We thank the authors ofi[l_] for draw ing our attention to those references.

The rest of this paper is organized as follow. In Section $\overline{12}$, we describe some standard $P$ erron $F$ robenius results for non-negative $m$ atrices that are usefulin the rest of the paper. In Section $\stackrel{\overline{3}}{\mathbf{3}}, \mathbf{1}$, we give a precise de nition of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix together $w$ ith di erent altemative de nitions. The de nition im mediately translates into an approxim ation algorithm and we discuss in that section som e com plexity aspects of the algorithm. In Section $\overline{4} \mathbf{4}$ we describe sim ilarity $m$ atrices for the situation where one of the two graphs is a path graph of length 2 or 3 . In Section we consider the special case $G_{A}=G_{B}=G$ for which the score $s_{i j}$ is the sim ilarity betw een the vertioes $i$ and $j$ in a single graph $G$. Section ${ }^{\prime}$ deals with graphs whose sim ilarity $m$ atrix have rank one. W e prove there that if one of the graphs is regular or if one of the graphs is undirected, then the sim ilarity $m$ atrix has rank one. Regular graphs are graphs whose vertioes have the sam e in-degrees, and the sam e out-degrees; cycle graphs, for exam ple, are regular. In a nal section we report results obtained for the autom atic synonym extraction in a dictionary by using the central score of a graph. A short version of this paper appears as a conference contribution in [id].
2. $G$ raphs and non-negative $m$ atrices. $W$ th any directed graph $G=(V ; E)$ one can an associate a non-negative $m$ atrix via an indexation of its vertioes. The so-called adjaœncy $m$ atrix of $G$ is the $m$ atrix B $2 \mathrm{~N}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{n}$ whose entry $b_{i j}$ equals the num ber of edges from vertex $i$ to vertex $j$. Let $B$ be the adjacency $m$ atrix of som $e$ graph $G$; the entry $\left(B^{k}\right)_{i j}$ is equal to the num ber of paths of length $k$ from vertex $i$ to vertex $j$. From this it follow s that a graph is strongly connected if and only if for every pair of indices $i$ and $j$ there is an integer $k$ such that $\left(B^{k}\right)_{i j}>0 . M$ atrioes that satisfy this property are said to be irreducible.

In the sequel, we shall need the notion of orthogonal projection on vector subspaces. Let $V$ be a linear subspace of $R^{n}$ and let $v 2 R^{n}$. The orthogonal projection of v on V is the vector in V w ith sm allest E uclidean distance to v . A m atrix representation of this pro jection can be obtained as follow $s$. Let $\mathrm{fv}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{g}$ be an orthonorm al basis for $V$ and arrange the colum $n$ vectors $V_{i}$ in a $m$ atrix $V$. The projection of $v$ on V is then given by $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{V} V^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{V}$ and the m atrix $=\mathrm{V} \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{T}}$ is the orthogonal projector
on V. P ro jectors have the property that ${ }^{2}=$
The Perron $\mp$ robenius theory [14] establishes interesting properties about the eigenvectors and eigenvalues for non-negative $m$ atrioes. Let the largest $m$ agnitude of the eigenvalues of the $m$ atrix $M$ (the spectral radius of $M$ ) be denoted by (M). A coording to the Perron $\mp$ robenius $T$ heorem, the spectral radius of a non-negative $m$ atrix $M$ is an eigenvalue of $M$ (called the Perron root), and there exists an associated non-negative vector $\mathrm{x}>0(\mathrm{x} \in 0$ ) such that $\mathrm{M} \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}$ (called the Perron vector). In the case of sym $m$ etric $m$ atrices, $m$ ore speci $c$ results can be obtained.

Theorem 1. Let $M$ be a sym $m$ etric non-negative $m$ atrix of spectral radius . Then the algebraic and geom etric $m$ ultiplicity of the $P$ erron root are equal; there is a non-negative basis $\mathrm{X}>0$ for the invariant subspace associated with the Perron root; and the elem ents of the orthogonalprojector on the vector space associated with the Perron root of $M$ are all non-negative.

Proof. W e use the facts that any sym $m$ etric non-negative $m$ atrix $M$ can be per$m$ uted to a block-diagonalm atrix with irreducible blocks $M_{i}$ on the diagonal 14,1 , and that the algebraic $m$ ultiplicity of the Perron root of an irreducible non-negative $m$ atrix is equal to one. From these com bined facts it follow sthat the algebraic and geom etric multiplicities of the Perron root of M are equal. M oreover, the corresponding invariant subspace of $M$ is obtained from the nom alized $P$ erron vectors of the $M_{i}$ blocks, appropriately padded with zeros. The basis $X$ one obtains that way is then non-negative and orthonom al. $\square$

The next theorem will be used to justify our de nition of sim ilarity $m$ atrix betw een two graphs. The result describes the lim it vectors of sequences associated $w$ ith sym $m$ etric non-negative linear transform ations.

Theorem 2. Let $M$ be a sym $m$ etric non-negative $m$ atrix of spectral radius . Let $z_{0}>0$ and consider the sequence

$$
z_{k+1}=M z_{k}=k M \quad z_{k} k_{2} ; \quad k=0 ;:::
$$

T wo convergence cases can occur depending on whether or not is an eigenvalue of M. W hen is not an eigenvalue of $M$, then the sequence $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$ sim ply converges to $z_{0}=k \quad z_{0} k_{2}$, where is the orthogonal pro jector on the invariant subspace associated $w$ ith the Perron root . $W$ hen is an eigenvalue of $M$, then the subsequences $z_{2 k}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{2 \mathrm{k}+1}$ converge to the lim its

$$
z_{\text {even }}\left(z_{0}\right)=\lim _{k!1} z_{2 k}=\frac{z_{0}}{k \quad z_{0} k_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad z_{\text {odd }}\left(z_{0}\right)=\lim _{k!1} z_{2 k+1}=\frac{M z_{0}}{k M z_{0} k_{2}} ;
$$

where is the orthogonal pro jector on the sum s of the invariant subspaces associated with and. In both cases the set of all possible lim its is given by

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{f} \mathrm{z}_{\text {even }}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right) ; \mathrm{zodd}_{\mathrm{od}}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right): \mathrm{z}_{0}>0 \mathrm{~g}=\mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{zk}: \mathrm{z}>0 \mathrm{~g}
$$

and the vector $z_{\text {even }}(1)$ is the unique vector of largest possible 1 -nom in that set.
Proof. W e prove only the case where is an eigenvalue; the other case is a trivialm odi cation. Let us denote the invariant subspaces of $M$ corresponding to , to and to the rest of the spectrum, respectively by $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}$ and V . A ssum e that these spaces are non-trivial, and that we have orthonom albases for them :

$$
\mathrm{MV}=\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{MV}=\mathrm{V} ; \mathrm{MV}=\mathrm{V} M ;
$$

$w$ here $M$ is a square $m$ atrix (diagonalifV is the basis ofeigenvectors) $w$ ith spectral radius strictly less than . T he eigenvalue decom position can then be rew ritten in block diagonal form :

It then follow s that

$$
M^{2}={ }^{2}+V^{2} V^{T}
$$

where $:=V V^{T}+V V^{T}$ is the orthogonal pro jector onto the invariant subspace V $V$ of ${ }^{2}$ corresponding to ${ }^{2}$. W e also have

$$
\mathrm{M}^{2 \mathrm{k}}={ }^{2 \mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{VM}^{2 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~V}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

and since $(M)=<$, it follows from multiplying this by $z_{0}$ and $M z_{0}$ that

$$
z_{2 k}=\frac{z_{0}}{k z_{0} k_{2}}+O(=)^{2 k}
$$

and
provided the initial vectors $z_{0}$ and $M z_{0}$ have a non-zero com ponent in the relevant subspaces, i.e. provided $z_{0}$ and $M z_{0}$ are non-zero. But the Euclidean norm of these vectors equal $z_{0}^{T} \quad z_{0}$ and $z_{0}^{T} M \quad M \quad z_{0}$ since $\quad{ }^{2}=$. These norm $s$ are both non-zero since $z_{0}>0$ and both and $M \quad M$ are non-negative and non-zero.

It follow sfrom the non-negativity of $M$ and the form ula for $z_{\text {even }}\left(z_{0}\right)$ and $z_{\text {odd }}\left(z_{0}\right)$ that both lim its lie in $f \quad \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{k} \quad \mathrm{zk}$ : $\mathrm{z}>0 \mathrm{~g}$. Let us now show that every elem ent $\hat{z}_{0} 2 \mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{k} \mathrm{zk}_{2}: \mathrm{z}>0 \mathrm{~g}$ can be obtained as $\mathrm{z}_{\text {even }}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right)$ for some $\mathrm{z}_{0}>0$. Since the entries of are non-negative, so are those of $\hat{z}_{0}$. This vector $m$ ay how ever have som e of its entries equalto zero. From $\hat{z}_{0}$ we construct $z_{0}$ by adding to all the zero entries of $\hat{z}_{0}$. The vector $z_{0} \quad \hat{z}_{0}$ is clearly orthogonal to $V \quad V \quad$ and $w$ ill therefore van ish in the iteration of $\mathrm{M}^{2}$. Thuswe have $z_{\text {even }}\left(z_{0}\right)=\hat{z}_{0}$ for $z_{0}>0$, as requested.
$W$ e now prove the last statem ent. Them atrix and all vectors are non-negative and ${ }^{2}=$, and so,

$$
\frac{1}{\mathrm{k} 1 \mathrm{k}_{2}}=\mathrm{p} \overline{1^{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{2} 1}
$$

and also

$$
\frac{z_{0}}{k z_{0} k_{2}}=\frac{1^{1^{T}}{ }^{2} z_{0}}{z_{0}{ }^{T}{ }^{2} z_{0}}:
$$

A pplying the Schwarz inequality to $z_{0}$ and 1 yields

$$
j^{T} \quad{ }^{2} z_{0} j 6 \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{z_{0}^{T}} \quad{ }^{2} z_{0}: p \overline{1^{T} \quad{ }^{2} 1}:
$$

with equality only when $z_{0}=1$ for some 2 C . But since $z_{0}$ and 1 are both real non-negative, the proof easily follow s. $\square$
3. Sim ilarity between vertices in graphs. We now come to a form al definition of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix of two directed graphs $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$. The updating equation for the sim ilarity $m$ atrix is $m$ otivated in the introduction and is given by the linear $m$ apping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k+1}=B X_{k} A^{T}+B^{T} X_{k} A ; \quad k=0 ; 1 ;::: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $A$ and $B$ are the adjacency $m$ atrices of $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$. In this updating equation, the entries of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ depend linearly on those of $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}$. W e can m ake this dependance $m$ ore explicit by using the $m$ atrix-to-vector operator that develops a $m$ atrix into a vector by taking its colum ns one by one. This operator, denoted vec, satis es the elem entary property vec (CXD) $=\left(D^{T} \quad C\right)$ vec $(X)$ in which denotes the $K$ ronecker product (also denoted tensorial, direct or categorial product). For a proof of this property, see Lemma 4.3 .1 in [15]. Applying this property to (1) we im m ediately obtain

$$
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{A} & \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \quad B^{\mathrm{T}} \tag{32}
\end{array}\right) \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\operatorname{vec}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$. This is the form at used in the introduction. Combining this observation w ith Theorem ${ }^{2}$ we deduce the follow ing theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ be two graphs with adjacency $m$ atrices $A$ and $B$, $x$ som $e$ initial positive $m$ atrix $Z_{0}>0$ and de ne

$$
Z_{k+1}=\frac{B Z_{k} A^{T}+B^{T} Z_{k} A}{k B Z_{k} A^{T}+B^{T} Z_{k} A k_{F}} \quad k=0 ; 1 ;::::
$$

$T$ hen, the $m$ atrix subsequences $Z_{2 k}$ and $Z_{2 k+1}$ converge to $Z_{\text {even }}$ and $Z_{\text {odd }}: M$ oreover, am ong all the $m$ atrices in the set

$$
f Z_{\text {even }}\left(Z_{0}\right) ; Z_{\text {odd }}\left(Z_{0}\right): Z_{0}>0 g
$$

the $m$ atrix $Z_{\text {even }}(1)$ is the unique $m$ atrix of largest 1 -nom .
In order to be consistent $w$ ith the vector norm appearing in $T$ heorem the $m$ atrix norm $k k_{F}$ we use here is the square root of the sum of all squared entries (this norm is known as the Euclidean or Frobenius norm ), and the 1 -nom $k k_{1}$ is the sum of the $m$ agnitudes of all its entries. O ne can also provide a de nition of the set $Z$ in term $s$ of one of its extrem al properties.

Theorem 4. Let $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ be two graphs with adjacency $m$ atrices $A$ and $B$ and consider the notation of $T$ heorem ${ }_{1}^{2}$. The set

$$
Z=f Z_{\text {even }}\left(Z_{0}\right) ; Z_{\text {odd }}\left(Z_{0}\right): Z_{0}>0 g
$$

and the set of all positive $m$ atrices that $m$ axim ize the expression

$$
\frac{\mathrm{kBXA} \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{T}}+\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{XA} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}{\mathrm{kX} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}}:
$$

are equal. M oreover, am ong all $m$ atrices in this set there is a unique $m$ atrix $S$ whose 1 -nom is $m$ axim al. This $m$ atrix can be obtained as

$$
S=\lim _{k!+1} Z_{2 k}
$$

for $Z_{0}=1$.
P roof. The above expression can also be written as kL (X) $k_{2}=k X k_{2}$ which is the induced 2-norm of the linear mapping $L$ de ned by $L(X)=B X A^{T}+B^{T} X A$. It is well known [1] [1] ] that each dom inant eigenvector $X$ of $L^{2}$ is a maxim izer of this expression. It was show $n$ above that $S$ is the unique $m$ atrix of largest 1 -nom in that set. $]$
$W$ e take the $m$ atrix $S$ appearing in this $T$ heorem as de nition ofsim ilarity $m$ atrix betw een $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$. N otice that it follow $s$ from this de nition that the sim ilarity $m$ atrix betw een $G_{B}$ and $G_{A}$ is the transpose of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix betw een $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$.

A direct algorithm ic transcription of the de nition leads to an approxim ation algorithm for com puting sim ilarity $m$ atrices of graphs:

1. Set $Z_{0}=1$.
2. Iterate an even number of times

$$
Z_{k+1}=\frac{B Z_{k} A^{T}+B^{T} Z_{k} A}{k B Z_{k} A^{T}+B^{T} Z_{k} A k_{F}}
$$

and stop upon convergence of $Z_{k}$.
4. Output S.
$T$ his algorithm is a $m$ atrix analog to the classicalpow erm ethod (see [1] $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ ) to com pute a dom inant eigenvector of a $m$ atrix. The com plexity of this algorithm is easy to estim ate. Let $G_{A} ; G_{B}$ be two graphswith $n_{A} ; n_{B}$ vertioes and $e_{A} ; e_{B}$ edges, respectively. Then the products $B Z_{k}$ and $B^{T} Z_{k}$ require less than $2 n_{A}:_{B}$ additions and $m$ ultiplications each, while the subsequent products ( $\mathrm{B} \mathrm{Z}_{k}$ ) $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}$ and ( $\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{k}}$ )A require less than $2 n_{B} x_{A}$ additions and $m$ ultiplications each. T he sum and the calculation of the Frobenius norm requires $2 n_{A} n_{B}$ additions and $m$ ultiplications, while the scaling requires one division and $n_{A} n_{B} m$ ultiplications. Let us de ne

$$
\mathrm{A}:=e_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}} ; \quad \mathrm{B}:=e_{\mathrm{B}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

as the average num ber of non-zero elem ents per row of $A$ and $B$, respectively, then the total complexity per iteration step is of the order of $4\left({ }_{A}+B\right) n_{A} n_{B}$ additions and multiplications. A swas shown in Theorem the convergence of the even iterates of the above recurrence is linear w ith ratio $(=)^{2}$. The number of oating point operations needed to com pute $S$ to accuracy w ith the powerm ethod is therefore of the order of

$$
8 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{B}} \frac{(\mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{B}) \log }{(\log \log )}:
$$

O ther sparse m atrix m ethods could be used here, but we do not address such algorithm ic aspects in this paper. For particular classes of adjacency $m$ atrices, one can com pute the sim ilarity $m$ atrix $S$ directly from the dom inant invariant subspaces ofm atrices of the size ofA or B. W e provide explicit expressions for a few such classes in the next section.
4. H ubs, authorities and central scores. A s explained in the introduction, the hub and authority scores of a graph can be expressed in term $s$ of its adjacency $m$ atrix.

Theorem 5. Let B be the adjacency $m$ atrix of the graph $G_{B}$. The norm alized hub and authority scores of the vertices of $G_{B}$ are given by the nom alized dom inant eigenvectors of the $m$ atrices $B B^{T}$ and $B^{T} B$, provided the corresponding $P$ erron root is of $m$ ultiplicity 1 . O therw ise, it is the norm alized projection of the vector 1 on the respective dom inant invariant subspaces.

The condition on the $m$ ultiplicity of the $P$ erron root is not super uous. Indeed,
 cycle graphs for exam $p l e$, both $B B^{T}$ and $B^{T} B$ are the identity $m$ atrix.

A nother interesting structure graph is the path graph of length three:

$$
1!2!3
$$

As for the hub and authority scores, we can give an explicit expression for the sim ilarity score w ith vertex 2, a score that we will call the central score. This central score has been successfully used for the purpose of autom atic extraction of synonym s in a dictionary. This application is described in m ore details in Section $\overline{1}$,

Theorem 6. Let $B$ be the adjacency $m$ atrix of the graph $G_{B}$. The norm alized central scores of the vertioes of $G_{B}$ are given by the norm alized dom inant eigenvector of the $m$ atrix $B^{T} B+B B^{T}$, provided the corresponding $P$ erron root is of $m$ ultiplicity 1 . $O$ therw ise, it is the nom alized projection of the vector 1 on the dom inant invariant subspace.

P roof. The corresponding $m$ atrix $M$ is as follow $s$ :

$$
M=\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & 0 & B & 0 & 3 \\
B^{T} & 0 & B & 5 \\
0 & B^{T} & 0
\end{array}
$$

and so

$$
M^{2}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & & & \\
B^{T} & 0 & B B & 3 \\
& 0 & B^{T} B+B B^{T} & 0 & 5 \\
B^{T} B^{T} & 0 & B^{T} B
\end{array}
$$

and the result then follows from the de nition of the sim ilarity scores, provided the centralmatrix $B^{T} B+B B^{T}$ has a dom inant root ${ }^{2}$ of $M^{2}$. This can be seen as follow s. T he $m$ atrix $M$ can be perm uted to

$$
M=P^{T} \begin{array}{cc}
0 & E \\
E^{T} & 0
\end{array} \mathrm{P} \text {; where } \mathrm{E}=\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{B} \\
\mathrm{~B}^{T}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let now $V$ and $U$ be orthonorm albases for the dom inant right and left singular subspaces of E [1" ${ }^{\prime}$ '] :

```
EV = U; E T U = V;
```

then clearly $V$ and $U$ are also bases for the dom inant invariant subspaces of $E^{T} E$ and $E E^{T}$, respectively, since

$$
E^{\mathrm{T}} E V={ }^{2} V ; \quad E E^{T} U={ }^{2} U:
$$

M oreover,

$$
\mathrm{PM}^{2} \mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{T}}=\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{E} E^{\mathrm{T}} & 0 \\
0 & E^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{E}
\end{array}
$$

and the projectors associated to the dom inant eigenvalues of $E E^{T}$ and $E^{T} E$ are respectively ${ }_{v}:=V V^{T}$ and $u:=U U^{T}$. The projector $o f M^{2}$ is then nothing but $P^{T}$ diagf $v ; ~ u g P$ and hence the sub-vectors of 1 are the vectors $v_{1}$ and $u^{1}$, which can be com puted from the smaller matrioes $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{E}$ or $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}}$. Since $\mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{E}=$ $B^{T} B+B B^{T}$ the central vector ${ }^{\mathrm{V}} 1$ is the $m$ iddle vector of 1 . It is worth pointing out that (4.1.1) also yields a relation betw een the two sm aller pro jectors :

$$
2_{v}=E^{T} \quad u E ; \quad 2_{u}=E \quad{ }_{v} E^{T}:
$$

In order to illustrate that path graphs of length 3 m ay have an advantage over the hub-authority structure graph we consider here the special case of the \directed bow tie graph" $G_{B}$ represented in $F$ igure 41 . If we label the center vertex rst, then label the $m$ left vertices and nally the $n$ right vertioes, the adjacency $m$ atrix for this graph is given by


The $m$ atrix $B^{T} B+B B^{T}$ is equal to

$$
B^{T} B+B B^{T}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 \\
m+n & 0 & 0 & 3 \\
0 & 1_{n} & 0 & 5 ; \\
0 & 0 & 1_{m} &
\end{array}
$$

and, follow ing $T$ heorem $\bar{\prime} \bar{G}$, the $P$ erron root of $M$ is equal to $=P \overline{n+m}$ and the sim ilarity $m$ atrix is given by the $(1+m+n) 3 m$ atrix


Fig. 4.1. A directed bow tie graph. K leinberg's hub score of the center ${ }_{\mathrm{p}}$ vertex is equal to $1=\mathrm{p} \overline{2}$ if $m>n$ and to 0 if $m<n$. The central score of this vertex is equal to $1={ }^{\rho} \overline{2}$ independently of the relative values of $m$ and $n$.
$T$ his result holds irrespective of the relative value of $m$ and $n$. Let us call the three vertioes of the path graph, 1, center and 3, respectively. O ne could view a center as a vertex through which $m$ uch inform ation is passed on. This sim ilarity $m$ atrix $S$ indicates that vertex 1 of $G_{B}$ looks very much like a center, the left vertioes of $G_{B}$ look like $1^{\prime}$ 's, and the right vertices of $G_{B}$ look like $3^{\prime}$ 's. If on the other hand we analyze the graph $G_{B}$ w th the hub-authority structure graph of $K$ leinberg, then the sim ilarity scores $S$ di er for $m<n$ and $m>n$ :
$T$ his shows a weakness of this structure graph, since the vertices of $G_{B}$ that deserve the label of hub or authority com pletely change between $m>n$ and $m<n$.
5. Self-sim ilarity m atrix of a graph. W hen we com pare two equal graphs $G_{A}=G_{B}=G$, the sim ilarity $m$ atrix $S$ is a square $m$ atrix whose entries are sim ilarity scores betw een vertiges of $G$; this $m$ atrix is the self-sim ilarity $m$ atrix of $G$. Various graphs and their corresponding self-sim ilarity $m$ atrices are represented in $F$ igure 15.1 In general, we expect vertices to have a high sim ilarity score w ith them selves; that is, we expect the diagonalentries of self-sim ilarity $m$ atrioes to be large. W e prove in the next theorem that the largest entry of a self-sim ilarity $m$ atrix alw ays appears on the diagonal and that, except for trivial cases, the diagonal elem ents of a self-sim ilarity $m$ atrix are non-zero. A s can be seen from elem entary exam ples, it is how ever not true that diagonalelem ents alw ays dom inate all elem ents on the sam e row and colum $n$.

Theorem 7. The self-sim ilarity $m$ atrix of a graph is positive sem i-de nite. In particular, the largest elem ent of the $m$ atrix appears on diagonal, and if a diagonal entry is equal to zero the corresponding row and colum $n$ are equal to zero.

Proof. Since $A=B$, the iteration of the nom alized $m$ atrioes $Z_{k}$ now becom es

$$
Z_{k+1}=\frac{A Z_{k} A^{T}+A^{T} Z_{k} A}{k A Z_{k} A^{T}+A^{T} Z_{k} A k_{F}} ; \quad Z_{0}=1:
$$



| 2 | $0: 408$ | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 |  | $0: 816$ | 0 | 7 |
| 6 | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| 4 | 0 | 0 | $0: 408$ |  |



F ig. 5.1. G raphs and their corresponding self-sim ilarity m atrix. T he self-sim ilarity m atrix of a graph gives a m easure of how sim ilar vertioes are to each other.

Since the scaled sum of two positive sem i-de nite $m$ atrices is also positive sem ide nite, it is clear that all matrioes $Z_{k} w$ ill be positive sem i-de nite. M oreover, positive sem i-de nite $m$ atrices are a closed set and hence the lim it $S$ will also be positive sem i-de nite. $T$ he properties $m$ entioned in the statem ent of the theorem are well know $n$ properties of positive sem i-de nite $m$ atrices. $\square$

W hen vertices of a graph are sim ilar to each other, such as in cycle graphs, we expect to have a self-sim ilarity $m$ atrix $w$ ith all entries equal. $T$ his is indeed the case as $w$ ill be proved in the next section. W e can also derive explicit expressions for the self-sim ilarity $m$ atrices of path graphs.
$T$ heorem 8. The self-sim ilarity $m$ atrix of a path graph is a diagonalm atrix.
Proof. The product of two path graphs is a disjoint union of path graphs and so the $m$ atrix $M$ corresponding to this graph can be pem uted to a block diagonal arrangem ent of Jacobim atrices

$$
J_{j}=\begin{array}{ccccc}
2 & 0 & 1 & & \\
6 \\
6 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & 7 \\
6 & & 7 \\
4 & & \ddots & 0 & 1 \\
7 \\
& & & 1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

of dim ension $j=1 ;::: `$ where ' is the dimension of the given path graph. The largest of these blocks corresponds to the Perron root of $M$. There is only one largest block and its vertices correspond to the diagonal elem ents of $S$. A s show $n$ in [19] $=2 \cos (=(`+1))$ but $M$ has both eigenvalues and the corresponding vectors have the elem ents ( $)^{j} \sin (j=(`+1)) ; j=1 ;::: ; `$ from which 1 can easily be com puted. 1
6. Sim ilarity $m$ atrices of rank one. In this section we describe tw o classes of graphs that lead to sim ilarity $m$ atrices that have rank one. $W$ e consider the case w hen
one of the tw o graphs is regular (a graph is regular if the in-degrees of its vertices are allequal and the out-degrees are also equal), and the case when the adjacency $m$ atrix of one of the graphs is norm al (a m atrix $A$ is norm al if it satis es $A A^{T}=A^{T} A$ ). In both cases we prove that the sim ilarity $m$ atrix has rank one. G raphs that are not directed have a sym $m$ etric adjacency $m$ atrix and sym $m$ etric $m$ atrices are norm al, therefore graphs that are not directed alw ays generate sim ilarity $m$ atrioes that have rank one.

Theorem 9. Let $G_{A} ; G_{B}$ be two graphs of adjacency $m$ atrices $A$ and $B$ and assum e that $G_{A}$ is regular. Then the sim ilarity matrix between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ is a rank one $m$ atrix of the form

$$
S=v 1^{T}
$$

where $v=1$ is the projection of 1 on the dom inant invariant subspace of $\left(B+B^{T}\right)^{2}$, and is a scaling factor.

Proof. It is known (see, e.g. $m$ atrix A w ith Perron root of algebraic multiplicity 1 and that the vector 1 is the corresponding $P$ erron vector of both $A$ and $A^{T}$. It easily follow sfrom this that each $m$ atrix $Z_{k}$ of the iteration de ning the sim ilarity $m$ atrix is of rank one and of the type $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} 1^{\mathrm{T}}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{A}}}$, where

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}+1}=\left(\mathrm{B}+\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\right) \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{k}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{v}_{0}=1:
$$

This clearly converges to $1=k \quad 1 k_{2}$ where is the pro jector on the dom inant invariant subspace of $\left(B+B^{T}\right)^{2}$. $\square$

Cycle graphs have an adjacency matrix A that satis es A A ${ }^{T}=I$. This property corresponds to the fact that, in a cycle graph, all forw ard-backward paths from a vertex retum to that vertex. M ore generally, we consider in the next theorem graphs that have an adjacency $m$ atrix $A$ that is norm al, i.e., that have an adjacency $m$ atrix $A$ such that $A A^{T}=A^{T} A$.

Theorem 10. Let $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ be two graphs of adjacency matrioes $A$ and $B$ and assum $e$ that one of the adjacency $m$ atrices is norm $a l$. $T$ hen the sim ilarity $m$ atrix between $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ has rank one.

Proof. Let A be the norm alm atrix and let be its P erron root. T hen there exists a unitary $m$ atrix $U$ which diagonalizes both $A$ and $A^{T}$ :

$$
A=U \quad U ; \quad A^{T}=U^{-} U
$$

and the colum $n s u_{i} ; i=1 ;::: ; n_{A}$ of $U$ are their com $m$ on eigenvectors (notige that $u_{i}$ is real only if $i$ is real as well). Therefore
$\left(\begin{array}{lll}(U & I\end{array}\right) M \quad(U \quad I)=(U$
I) $\left(A \quad B+A^{T}\right.$
$\left.B^{T}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}U & I\end{array}\right)=$
$B+{ }^{-} \quad B^{T}$
and the eigenvalues of $M$ are those of the $H$ erm itian $m$ atrices

$$
H_{i}:={ }_{i} B+{ }_{i} B^{T} ;
$$

which obviously are bounded by $j_{i} j$ where is the Perron root of $\left(B+B^{T}\right) . M$ oreover, if $v_{j}^{(i)} ; j=1 ;::: ; n_{B}$ are the eigenvectors of $H_{i}$ then those of $M$ are given by

$$
u_{i} \quad v_{j}^{(i)} ; \quad i=1 ;::: n_{A} ; \quad j=1 ;::: ; n_{B}
$$

and they can again only be real if $i$ is real. Since we want real eigenvectors corresponding to extrem al eigenvalues of $M$ we only need to consider the largest real eigenvalues of A, i.e. where is the P erron root of A. Since A is norm alwe also have that its real eigenvectors are also eigenvectors of $A^{T}$. Therefore

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}=; \quad \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}}=\quad:
$$

It then follow s that

$$
\left(\mathrm{A} B+\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{T}}\right)^{2}((++))=2(++) \quad 2 \text {; }
$$

and hence $=\left(++\quad\right.$ ) is the projector of the dom inant root ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ ofm ${ }^{2}$. A pplying this pro jector to the vector 1 yields the vector

$$
(++\quad) 1 \quad 1 ;
$$

which corresponds to the rank one $m$ atrix

$$
S=(++) 1 \quad:
$$

W hen one of the graphs $G_{A}$ or $G_{B}$ is regular or has a norm al adjacency matrix, the resulting sim ilarity $m$ atrix $S$ has rank one. A djacency $m$ atrices of regular graphs and norm alm atrices have the property that the pro jector on the invariant subspace corresponding to the $P$ erron root of $A$ is also the projector on the subspace of $A^{T}$. As a consequence $\left(A+A^{T}\right)=2$ ( $A$ ). In this context we form ulate the follow ing con jecture.

C on jecture 11. The sim ilarity $m$ atrix of two graphs has rank one if and only if one of the graph has the property that its adjacency $m$ atrix $D$ is such that $\left(D+D^{T}\right)=$ 2 (D).
7. A pplication to autom atic extraction of synonym s. We ilhustrate in this last section the use of the central sim ilarity score introduced in Section '"' for the autom atic extraction of synonym sfrom a m onolingualdictionary. O urm ethod uses a graph constructed from the dictionary and is based on the assum ption that synonym s have $m$ any words in com $m$ on in their de nitions and appear both in the de nition of $m$ any words. We brie y outline our $m$ ethod below and then discuss the results obtained $w$ th the $W$ ebster dictionary on four query words. $T$ his application given in this section is based on [1] $]$, to which we refer the interested reader for a com plete description.

The $m$ ethod is fairly simple. Starting from a dictionary, we rst construct the associated dictionary graph $G$; each w ord of the dictionary is a vertex of the graph and there is an edge from $u$ to $v$ if $v$ appears in the de nition of $u$. Then, associated w ith a given query word w, we construct a neighborhood graph $G_{w}$ which is the subgraph of $G$ whose vertices are pointed to by $w$ or are pointing to $w$ (see, e.g., Figure ${ }_{\underline{1}}^{1 / 7}$ ). $F$ inally, we com pute the sim ilarity score of the vertices of the graph $G_{w} w$ th the central vertex in the structure graph

$$
1!2!3
$$

and rank the w ords by decreasing score. B ecause of the w ay the neighborhood graph is constructed, we expect the words w ith highest central score to be good candidates for synonym $y$.


Fig. 7.1. P art of the neighborhood graph associated with the word \likely". The graph contains allword used in the de nition of \likely" and allwords using \likely" in their de nition. Synonym s are identi ed by selecting in this graph those vertioes of highest central score.

B efore proceeding to the description of the results obtained, webrie $y$ describe the dictionary graph. W e used the O nline P lain Text English D ictionary $\left.\bar{L}_{1}\right]$ w hich is based on the \P ro ject G utenberg E text of W ebster's U nabridged D ictionary" which is in tum based on the 1913 U S W ebster's U nabridged D ictionary. T he dictionary consists of 27 HTML les (one for each letter of the alphabet, and one for severaladditions). These les are freely available from the web site http: //www.gutenberg.nety. T he resulting graph has 112;169 vertioes and 1;398;424 edges. It can be dow nloaded from the web-page http://www.eleves.ens.fr/home/seneliar

In order to be able to evaluate the quality of our synonym extraction $m$ ethod, we have com pared the results produced w ith three other lists of synonym s. Two of these ( $D$ istance and A rcRank) were com piled autom atically by two other synonym extraction $m$ ethods (see [1] $]$ ] for details; the $m$ ethod $A r a R$ ank is described in $\left.[1]_{1}\right]$ ), and one of them lists synonym s obtained from the hand-m ade resource $W$ ordN et freely available on the W W W, [ill 1 . The order of appearance of the w ords for th is last source is arbitrary, whereas it is well de ned for the three other m ethods. W e have not kept the query word in the list of synonym $s$, since this has not $m u c h$ sense except for our $m$ ethod, where it is interesting to note that in every exam ple we have experim ented, the originalw ord appears as the rst w ord of the list; a point that tends to give credit to our $m$ ethod. W e have exam ined the rst ten results obtained on four query words chosen for their variety:

1. disappear: a word w th various synonym s such as van ish .
2. parallelogram : a very speci $c$ word $w$ th no true synonym sbut $w$ th som $e$ sim ilar w ords: quadrilateral, square, rectangle, rh om b...
3. sugar: a com $m$ on word $w$ ith di erent $m$ eanings (in chem istry, cooking, dietetics...). O ne can expect glu cose as a candidate.
4. science: a com $m$ on and vague word. It is hard to say what to expect as synonym. P erhaps know ledge is the best candidate.
In order to have an ob jective evaluation of the di erent $m$ ethods, we have asked a sam ple of 21 persons to give a m ark (from 0 to 10) to the lists of synonym s , according to their relevance to synonym $y$. T he lists w ere of course presented in random order for each word. The results obtained are given in the Tablesin 1 tw o lines of each of these tables gives the average $m$ ark and its standard deviation.
$C$ onceming disappear, the distance $m$ ethod and our $m$ ethod do pretty well; vanish, cease, fade, die, pass, dissipate, faint are very relevant (one m ust not forget that verbs necessarily appear w thout their postposition); dissip ate or faint are relevant too. Som e words like light or p ort are com pletely irrelevant, but they appear only in 6th, 7th or 8th position. If we com pare these two m ethods, we observe that ourm ethod is better: an im portant synonym like pass gets a good ranking, whereas port or appear are not in the top ten words. It is hard to explain this phenom enon,

|  | D istance | O ur m ethod | A rcR ank | W ordnet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | van ish | van ish | ep idem ic | van ish |
| 2 | wear | pass | disappearing | go aw ay |
| 3 | die | die | port | end |
| 4 | sail | wear | dissipate | nish |
| 5 | faint | faint | cease | term inate |
| 6 | light | fade | eat | cease |
| 7 | port | sail | gradually |  |
| 8 | absorb | light | instrum ental |  |
| 9 | appear | dissipate | darkness |  |
| 10 | cease | cease | e ace |  |
| M ark | 3.6 | 6.3 | 1.2 | 7.5 |
| Std dev. | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 1.4 |
|  |  | Table 7.1 |  |  |

Proposed synonym s for disappear

|  | D istance | O urm ethod | A rcRank | W ordnet |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | square | square | quadrilateral | quadrilateral |  |  |  |
| 2 | parallel | rhom b | gnom on | quadrangle |  |  |  |
| 3 | rhom b | parallel | right-lined | tetragon |  |  |  |
| 4 | prism | gure | rectangle |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | gure | prism | consequently |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | equal | equal | parallelepiped |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | quadrilateral | opposite | parallel |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | opposite | angles | cylinder |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | altitude | quadrilateral | popular |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | parallelepiped | rectangle | prism |  |  |  |  |
| M ark | 4.6 | 4.8 | 3.3 | 6.3 |  |  |  |
| Std dev. | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.5 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Proposed synonyms for parallelogram |  |

but we can say that the mutually reinforcing aspect of our $m$ ethod has apparently a positive e ect. In contrast to this, A rcR ank gives rather poor results w ith w ords such as eat, in strum ental or ep idem ic that are not to the point.

B ecause the neighborhood graph of parallelogram is rather sm all (30 vertices), the rst tw o algorithm s give sim ilar results, which are reasonable : square, rhom b, quadrilateral, rectangle, gure are rather interesting. O ther words are less relevant but still are in the sem antic dom ain of parallelogram. A rcR ank which also w orks on the sam e subgraph does not give results of the sam equality : con sequently and popu lar are clearly irrelevant, but gnom on is an interesting addition. It is interesting to note that $W$ ordnet is here less rich because it focuses on a particular aspect (quadrilateral).

O ncem ore, the results given by A rcR ank for sugar arem ainly irrelevant (p roperty, grocer, ...). O urm ethod is again better than the distance m ethod: starch, su crose, sw eet, dextrose, glu cose, lactose are highly relevant words, even if the rst given near-synonym (cane) is not as good. $N$ ote that ourm ethod has $m$ arks that are even better than those ofW ordnet.
$T$ he results for scien ce are perhaps the $m$ ost di cult to analyze. The distance $m$ ethod and ours are com parable. A rcR ank gives perhaps better results than for other w ords but is still poorer than the two other m ethods.

As a conclusion, the rst two algorithm s give interesting and relevant words, whereas it is clear that A rcR ank is not adapted to the search for synonym $s$. The use of

|  | D istance | O urm ethod | A rcR ank | W ordnet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ju ice | cane | granulation | Sw eeten ing |
| 2 | starch | starch | shrub | sw eetener |
| 2 | cane | sucrose | sucrose | carbohydrate |
| 4 | m ilk | m ilk | preserve | saccharide |
| 5 | molasses | sweet | honeyed | organ ic compound |
| 6 | sucrose | dextrose | property | saccarify |
| 7 | wax | m olasses | sorghum | sw eeten |
| 8 | root | juice | grocer | du lcify |
| 9 | crystalline | glucose | acetate | edu lcorate |
| 10 | con fection | lactose | saccharine | dulcorate |
| M ark | 3.9 | 6.3 | 4.3 | 6.2 |
| Std dev. | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.9 |


|  | D istance | O urm ethod | A rcR ank | W ordnet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | art | art | form ulate | know ledge dom ain |
| 2 | branch | branch | arithm etic | know ledge base |
| 3 | nature | law | system atize | discipline |
| 4 | law | study | scienti c | sub ject |
| 5 | know ledge | practice | know ledge | sub ject area |
| 6 | principle | natural | geom etry | sub ject eld |
| 7 | life | know ledge | philosophical | eld |
| 8 | natural | learn ing | learn ing | eld of study |
| 9 | electricity | theory | expertness | ability |
| 10 | biology | principle | mathem atics | pow er |
| M ark | 3.6 | 4.4 | 3.2 | 7.1 |
| Std dev. | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.9 | 2.6 |
|  |  |  | Table 7.4 |  |

the centralscore and itsm utually reinforcing relationship dem onstrates its superiority on the basic distance $m$ ethod, even if the di erence is not obvious for allw ords. $T$ he quality of the results obtained $w$ ith these di erent $m$ ethods is still quite di erent to that of hand-m ade dictionaries such as $W$ ordnet. Still, these autom atic techniques show their interest, since they present $m$ ore com plete aspects of a w ord than hand$m$ ade dictionaries. They can pro tably be used to broaden a topic (see the exam ple of parallelogram ) and to help w ith the com pilation of synonym s dictionaries.
8. C oncluding rem arks. In this paper, we introduce a new concept of sim ilarity $m$ atrix and explain how to associate a score $w$ ith the sim ilarity of the vertices of two graphs. We show how this score can be com puted and indicate how it extends the concept of hub and authority scores introduced by K leinberg. W e prove several properties and illustrate the strength and weakness of this new concept. Investigations of properties and applications of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix of graphs can be pursued in severaldirections. W e outline som e possible research directions.

O ne natural extension of our concept is to consider netw orks rather than graphs; this am ounts to consider adjacency $m$ atrioes $w$ ith arbitrary realentries and not just integers. The de nitions and results presented in this paper use only the property that the adjacency $m$ atrioes involved have non-negative entries, and so all results rem ain valid for networks w ith non-negative weights. The extension to networks $m$ akes a sensitivity analysis possible: H ow sensitive is the sim ilarity $m$ atrix to the weights in the netw ork? Experim ents and qualitative argum ents show that, form ost
netw orks, sim ilarity scores are alm ost everyw here continuous functions of the netw ork entries. P erhaps this can be analyzed form odels for random graphs such as those that appear in $\left[\overline{7}_{1}\right]$ ? These questions can probably also be related to the large literature on


It appears natural to investigate the possible use of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix of two graphs to detect if the graphs are isom onphic. (T hem em bership of the graph isom orphism problem to the com plexity classes P or NP-com plete is so far unsettled.) Iftw o graphs are isom orphic, then their sim ilarity $m$ atrix can be $m$ ade sym $m$ etric by colum $n$ (or row) perm utation. It is easy to check in polynom ial tim e if such a perm utation is possible and if it is unique (w hen all entries of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix are distinct,迷 can only be unique). In the case where no such perm utation exists or when only one perm utation is possible, one can im m ediately conclude by answ ering negatively or by checking the proposed perm utation. In the case where $m$ any perm utation render the sim ilarity $m$ atrix sym $m$ etric, all of them have to be checked and this leads to a possibly exponential num ber of perm utations to verify. It appears interesting to see how this heuristic com pares to other heuristics for graph isom onphism and to investigate if other features of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix can be used to lim it the num ber of perm utations to consider.
$M$ ore speci c questions on the sim ilarity $m$ atrix also arise. O ne open problem is to characterize the pairs of $m$ atrioes that give rise to a rank one sim ilarity $m$ atrix. $T$ he structure of these pairs is con jectured at the end of Section 6. Is this conjecture correct? A long-standing graph question also arises when trying to characterize the graphs whose sim ilarity $m$ atrioes have only positive entries. T he positive entries of the sim ilarity $m$ atrix betw een the graphs $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ can be obtained as follow $s$. $F$ irst construct the product graph, sym $m$ etrize it, and then identify in the resulting graph the connected com ponent(s) of largest possible P erron root. T he indices of the vertices in that graph correspond exactly to the nonzero entries in the sim ilarity m atrix of $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{B}}$. The entries of the sim ilarity m atrix w ill thus be all positive if and only if the sym $m$ etrized product graph is connected; that is, if and only if, the product graph of $G_{A}$ and $G_{B}$ is weakly connected. The problem of characterizing all pairs of graphs that have a weakly connected product was introduced and analyzed in 1966 in [1] [1]. That reference provides su cient conditions for the product to be weakly connected. D espite several subsequent contributions on this question (see, e.g. [12ㄹㄱㄱ] , the problem ofe ciently characterizing all pairs of graphs that have a weakly connected product is a problem that, to our know ledge, is still open.

A nother topic of interest is to investigate how the concepts proposed here can be used, possibly in $m$ odi ed form, for evaluating the sim ilarity between tw o graphs, for chustering vertices or graphs, for pattem recognition in graphs and for data $m$ ining purposes.
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