

A Fixed-Length Coding Algorithm for DNA Sequence Compression(Draft,using *Bioinformatics* L^AT_EX template)

Jie Liu ^a, Sheng Bao ^{b*}, Zhiqiang Jing ^c, Shi Chen ^c

^aDept. of Computer Science and Technology, Nanjing Univ. of P & T, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210046, CHINA,

^bDept. of Information Engineering, Nanjing Univ. of P & T, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210046, CHINA,

^cSchool of Life Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 210093, CHINA

ABSTRACT

Summary: While achieving a compression ratio of 2.0 bits/base, the new algorithm codes non-N bases¹ in fixed length. It dramatically reduces the time of coding and decoding than previous DNA compression algorithms and some universal compression programs.

Availability: <http://grandlab.cer.net/topic.php?TopicID=50>

Contact: forrestbao@gmail.com glascholar@263.net jzq8255@sina.com

1 INTRODUCTION

File compression reduces file redundancy in order to represent more information in less signs in accordance with information theory (Shannon, 1948). As specified algorithm for image, audio and video are devised, it is necessary to devise the algorithm specified for DNA compression since huge amounts of DNA sequences need to be stored and communicated to a large number of people. (Korodi *et al.*, 2005) (Cohen, 2005) Although some universal compressors (Ziv *et al.*, 1977) are used in bioinformatics field, new DNA sequence compressors are being devised, such as Biocompress (Grumbach *et al.*, 1993), Biocompress-2 (Grumbach *et al.*, 1994), GenCompress (Chen *et al.*, 2001), Cfact (Rivals *et al.*, 2000), DNACompress (Chen *et al.*, 2002), CTW-LZ (Matsumoto *et al.*, 2000) and GeNML (Korodi *et al.*, 2005).

But they have a big problem, too slow execution. We improve our LUT (Bao *et al.*, 2005) and use new file structure to identify different types of segment. The most advantage of this algorithm is fast execution and easy implementation. The compression and decompression speed is much faster than many newly-devised DNA-specified and well-known universal compression algorithms. Since the compression ratio is not much higher than existing ones and the compression speed is impressively fast, our algorithm is an applicable algorithm for fast DNA sequence compression, especially for database records compression.

2 METHODS

2.1 Coding non-N bases

non-N bases have four possibilities: A, T, G or C. Each of them corresponds to a unique combination of two binary numbers. We code them as A to 00, T to 01, G to 10, C to 11. Thus, we take 1 Byte (8 bits) to store 4 bases.

*to whom correspondence should be addressed

¹ “non-N bases” refers to bases except N. Thus A, T, G or C. N stands for unknown base.

2.2 File format of compressed file

We will begin discussing file structure with the definition of “section”, a DNA segment. “section” contains a series of successive Ns and ends at the last non-N base ahead the next series of successive Ns. “section” is the basic element to which we consider in compression and decompression.

Each DNA section corresponds to a “file section” which contains the information of both N and non-N bases in this section. Each file section starts with an 8 Bytes head. The first 4 Bytes records the amount of N bases whereas the following 4 Bytes records the number of non-N bases in this section. This means that each section corresponds to a real DNA segment which has at most 2^{32} N bases and 2^{32} non-N bases respectively.

The coded values of non-N bases locate after the head. The coded information is written into destination file Byte by Byte. Considering the number of non-N bases in a section may not be a multiple of 4, the second 4 Bytes in head provides accordance for decompression program about how many bit values are effective and where the next section begins.

2.3 Compression algorithm

The compression program reads characters from source file and writes coded binary values into destination file, restricted by the file format defined above. Steps of compression algorithm is as below.

1. Preserve 8 Bytes at the beginning of file section.
2. Count the number of Ns in a successive N bases segment (To a sequence starts from non-N bases, this value is 0.) until the first non-N base is encountered. Write the number of Ns into the first 4 Bytes of the section head.
3. Code all following successive non-N bases into destination file while count their number until the next N is encountered. Write the number of non-N bases into the second 4 Bytes of the section head.
4. Move the file writing pointer to the beginning of next Byte in destination file.
5. Repeat all the above until the end-of-file is encountered.

2.4 Decompression algorithm

Steps of decompression algorithm is as below.

1. Read the head (the first 8 Bytes) to obtain information about how many Ns are in this section and how many non-N bases are effective.
2. Write Ns into destination file, the decompressed file, according to the number written in the first 4 Bytes of the head.
3. Read the following 4 Bytes to determine how many bits should be decoded then and where the next section begins. The next section begins from the most nearby next Byte of compressed file.
4. Decode effective bits whose amount is recorded in last 4 Bytes of this section's head. Move reading pointer to the next section.
5. Repeat all the above from the beginning of the next section until the end-of-file is encountered.

2.5 Algorithm implementation

The C++ and C source codes of algorithm implementation are available at the website provided in Abstract of this paper.

3 EXPERIMENTS

Experiments are operated to test our algorithm. Codes for testing the algorithm are continually revising.(Liu *et al.*, 2005)These tests are performed on a computer whose CPU is AMD Duron 750MHz and operating system is MagicLinux 1.2 (Linux Kernel 2.6.9) without swap partition. Testing programs are executed at multiuser text mode and compiled by gcc 3.3.2 with optimization level O3. The file system is ext3.Files are stored on a 4.3 GB Quantum Fireball hard disk with 5400 RPM. Table 1 compares compression ratio while table 2 compares running time.

Table 1. Comparison on compression ratio

sequence	size	ours	DNA	Gzip	bzip2
atatsgs	9647	2.0068	–	2.1702	2.15
atef1a23	6022	2.0113	–	2.0379	2.15
atrndaf	10014	2.0068	–	2.2784	2.15
atrndai	5287	2.0125	–	1.8846	1.96
chmpxx	121024	2.0005	1.6716	2.2821	2.12
chntxx	155939	2.0004	1.6127	2.3349	2.18
hehcmvcg	229354	2.0003	1.8492	2.3278	2.17
hsg6pdgen	52173	2.0013	–	2.2444	2.07
humdystrop	38770	2.0018	1.9116	2.3633	2.18
humghcsa	66495	2.0010	1.0272	2.0655	1.31
humhdabcd	58864	2.0011	1.7951	2.2399	2.07
humhprtb	56737	2.0012	1.8165	2.2670	2.09
mmzp3g	10833	2.0065	–	2.3225	2.13
mpomtcg	186609	2.0004	1.8920	2.3291	2.17
mtpacg	100314	2.0007	–	2.2922	2.12
vaccg	191737	2.0004	1.7580	2.2520	2.09
xlxfg512	19338	2.0035	–	1.8310	1.80
chr10(rice)	22432531	2.0000	–	2.4498	2.3033
Average	–	2.0031	1.7037	2.3224	2.0674

Compress ratio of other algorithms are cited from their original papers.As the compression ratio of newly-devised algorithms are similar,we take DNACompress as an example."ours" refers to our algorithm.DNA stands for DNACompress.The unit of file size is bit rather than Byte.

4 DISCUSSION

The performance of a compression algorithm has two sides,the compression ratio and the running time.Many newly-devised DNA compression algorithms focus on compression ratio while ignore the running time.But the time occupation of obtaining a little lower compression ratio is very high. Many of them run 100 times slower than universal compression algorithm,according to Table 2 of Chen's paper (Chen *et al.*, 2002).Our algorithm runs many times faster than Gzip which is 100 times faster than newly-devised algorithms.Considering the compression ratio and running time both advance traditional compressors(Gzip and bzip2) considerably,our algorithm is a wise choice of replacing them.It is more useful in those fields which need fast running,such as database.

Table 2. Comparison on running time

sequence	Gzip(s)	encode(CLK)	decode(CLK)	encode(s)	decode(s)
atatsgs	0.013	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
atef1a23	0.011	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
atrndaf	0.014	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
atrndai	0.010	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
chmpxx	0.105	10000	10000	0.01	0.01
chntxx	0.135	20000	20000	0.02	0.02
hehcmvcg	0.198	30000	30000	0.03	0.03
hsg6pdgen	0.044	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
humdystrop	0.037	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
humhdabcd	0.050	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
humghcsa	0.055	10000	10000	0.01	0.01
humhprtb	0.049	<10000	<10000	0.01	0.01
mmzp3g	0.014	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
mpomtcg	0.100	20000	30000	0.02	0.03
mtpacg	0.088	10000	10000	0.01	0.01
vaccg	0.164	30000	20000	0.03	0.02
xlxfg512	0.018	<10000	<10000	<0.01	<0.01
chr10(rice)	9.5	3460000	3510000	3.46	3.51

"Gzip" includes the total of time elapsed in both compression and decompression by Gzip.More experiments indicate that bzip2 takes more time to perform same operation.Following four fields list the time elapsed in compression and decompression respectively."encode" means compression while "decode" means decompression.Each operation is evaluated in two units,CPU clock and second.

REFERENCES

- Bao,S., Chen,S., Jing,Z.-Q., Ren,R. A DNA Sequence Compression Algorithm Based on LUT and LZ77(2005) [Online] <http://arxiv.org/cs/0504100>
- Chen,X.,Kwong,S. and Li,M. (2001) A compression algorithm for DNA sequences *IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology* **20**,61-66
- Chen,X., Li,M., Ma,B. and Tromp,J.(2002) DNACompress:fast and effective dna sequence compression, *Bioinformatics*, **18**,1696-1698
- Cohen,J.(2005) Computer science and bioinformatics, *COMMUNICATIONS OF THE ACM*, **48**,72-78.
- Grumbach,S. and Tahi,F. (1993) Compression of DNA sequences.In *Proceedings of the Data Compression Conference*,340-350
- Grumbach,S. and Tahi,F. (1994) A new challenge for compression algorithms:Genetic sequences. *J. Inform.Process.Manage* **30**,875-886
- Korodi,G. and TABUS,I.(2005) An efficient normalized maximum likelihood algorithm for DNA sequence compression,*ACM Transactions on Information Systems*, **23**, 3-34
- Liu,J. and Bao,S. (2005) C and C++ implementation of algorithm and binary executable files for Linux [Online] <http://grandlab.cer.net/topic.php?TopicID=50>
- Matsumoto,T.,Sadakane,K. and Imai,H. (2000) Biological sequence compression algorithms *Genome Informatics Workshop* Universal Academy Press ,43-52
- Rivals, E., Delahaye, J.,Dauchet, M., AND Delgrange,O. (1995) A guaranteed compression scheme for repetitive DNA sequences. *Tech. Rep. IT285, LIFL Lille I Univ.*

Shannon,C.E. A mathematical theory of communication(1948) *The Bell System Technical Journal*, 27, 379-423,623-656
Ziv,J. and Lempel,A. A Universal Algorithm for Sequential Data Compression(1977) *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*,23,337-343