The Entropy of a Binary Hidden M arkov P rocess

 $O r Zuk^1$, Ido K anter² and E ytan D om any¹

¹Dept. of Physics of C om plex System s, W eizm ann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel ² Departm ent of Physics, B ar Ilan University, R am at G an, 52900, Israel

A bstract

The entropy of a binary symmetric Hidden Markov Process is calculated as an expansion in the noise parameter . We map the problem onto a one-dimensional Ising model in a large eld of random signs and calculate the expansion coe cients up to second order in . Using a conjecture we extend the calculation to 11th order and discuss the convergence of the resulting series.

Keywords: Hidden Markov Process, entropy, random - eld Ising model.

1 Introduction

H idden M arkov P rocesses (HMPs) have m any applications, in a wide range of disciplines – from the theory of communication [1] to analysis of gene expression [2]. Comprehensive reviews on both theory and applications of HMPs can be found in ([1], [3]). Recent applications to experimental physics are in ([4],[5]). The most widely used context of HMPs is, how ever, that of construction of reliable and e cient communication channels.

In a practical communication channel the aim is to reliably transmit source message over a noisy channel. Fig 1. shows a schematic representation of such a communication. The source message can be a stream of words taken from a text. It is clear that such a stream of words contains information, indicating that words and letters are not chosen random ly. Rather, the probability that a particular word (or letter) appears at a given point in the stream depends on the words (letters) that were previously transmitted. Such dependency of a transmitted symbol on the precedent stream is modelled by a Markov process.

The M arkov model is a nite state machine that changes state once every time unit. The manner in which the state transitions occur is probabilistic and is governed by a state-transition matrix, P, that generates the new state of the system. The M arkovian assumption indicates that the state at any given time depends only on the state at the previous time step. W hen dealing with text, a state usually represents either a letter, a word or a nite sequence of words, and the state-transition matrix represents the probability that a given state is followed by another state. E stim ating the state-transition matrix is in the realm of linguistics; it is done by m easuring the probability of occurrence of pairs of successive letters in a large corpus.

O ne should bear in m ind that the M arkovian assum ption is very restrictive and very few physical system s can expect to satisfy it in a strict m anner. C learly, a M arkov process in itates some statistical properties of a given language, but can generate a chain of letters that is gram m atically erroneous and lack logical m eaning. Even though the M arkovian description represents only som e lim ited subset of the correlations that govern a com plex process, it is the sim plest natural starting point for analysis. Thus one assumes that the original m essage, represented by a sequence of N binary bits, has been generated by som e M arkov process. In the sim plest scenario, of a binary sym m etric M arkov process, the underlying M arkov m odel is characterized by a single param eter - the ipping rate p, denoting the probability that a 0 is followed by 1 (the sam e as a 1 followed by a 0). The stream of N bits is transm itted through a noisy communication channel. The received string di ers from the transm itted one due to the noise. The sim plest way to m odel the noise is

known as the Binary Symmetric Channel, where each bit of the original message is ipped with probability . Since the observer sees only the received, noise-corrupted version of the message, and neither the original message nor the value of p that generated it are known to him, what he records is the outcom e of a H idden M arkov P rocess. Thus, HM P s are double embedded stochastic processes; the rst is the M arkov process that generated the original message and the second, which does not in uence the M arkov process, is the noise added to the M arkov chain after it has been generated.

E cient inform ation transmission plays a central role in modern society, and takes a variety of form s, from telephone and satellite communication to storing and retrieving information on disk drives. Two central aspects of this technology are error correction and compression. For both problem areas it is of central importance to estimate $_{\rm B}$, the number of (expected) received signals.

In the noise free case this equals the expected number of transmitted signals $_{s}$; when the M arkov process has ipping rate p = 0, only two strings (all 1 or all 0) will be generated and $_{s} = 2$, while when the ip rate is p = 1=2 each string is equally likely and $_{s} = 2^{N}$.

In general, _R is given, for large N, by $2^{N H}$, where H = H (p;) is the entropy of the process. The importance of knowing _R for compression is evident: one can number the possible messages i = 1;2; ..., R, and if _R < 2^{N} , by transmitting only the index of the message (which can be represented by $\log_2 R < N$ bits) we compress the information. Note that we can get further compression using the fact that the _R messages do not have equal probabilities.

Error correcting codes are commonly used in methods of information transmission to compensate for noise corruption of the data during transmission. These methods require the use of additional transmitted information, i.e., redundancy, together with the data itself. That is, one transmits a string of M > N bits; the percentage of additional transmitted bits required to recover the source message determines the coding e ciency, or channel capacity, a concept introduced and formulated by Shannon. The channel capacity for the BSC and for a random i.i.d. source was explicitly derived by Shannon in his sem inal paper of 1948 [6]. The calculation of channel capacity for a Markovian source transmitted over a noisy channel is still an open question.

Hence, calculating the entropy of a HMP is an important ingredient of progress towards deriving improved estimates of both compression and channel capacity, of both theoretical and practical importance for modern communication. In this paper we calculate the entropy of a HMP as a power series in the noise parameter .

In Section 2 we map the problem onto that of a one-dimensional nearest neighbor Ising model in a eld of xed magnitude and random signs (see [7] for a review on the Random Field Ising

3

Model). Expansion in corresponds to working near the in nite eld limit.

Note that the object we are calculating is not the entropy of an Ising chain in a quenched random eld, as shown in eq. (17) and in the discussion following it. In technical terms, here we set the replica index to n = 1 after the calculation, whereas to obtain the (quenched average) properties of an Ising chain one works in the $n ! 0 \lim it$.

In Sec. 3 we present exact results for the expansion coe cients of the entropy up to second order. W hile the zeroth and rst order term s were previously known ([8], [9]), the second order term was not [10]. In Sec 4. we introduce bounds on the entropy that were derived by C over and T hom as [11]; we have strong evidence that these bounds actually provide the exact expansion coe cients. Since we have not proved this statem ent, it is presented as a conjecture; on it's basis the expansion coe cients up to eleventh order are derived and listed. We conclude in Sec. 5 by studying the radius of convergence of the low-noise expansion, and sum marize our results in Sec 6.

2 A Hidden M arkov P rocess and the R andom - Field Ising M odel
2.1 De ning the process and its entropy

Consider the case of a binary signal generated by the source. B inary valued symbols, $s_i = 1$ are generated and transmitted at xed times it. Denote a sequence of N transmitted symbols by

$$S = (s_1; s_2; ::::s_N)$$
 (1)

The sequence is generated by a M arkov process; here we assume that the value of s_{i+1} depends only on s_i (and not on the symbols generated at previous times). The process is parametrized by a transition m atrix P, whose elements are the transition probabilities

$$P_{+}$$
; = $Pr(s_{i+1} = +1; s_i = 1)$ P_{+} = $Pr(s_{i+1} = 1; s_i = +1)$ (2)

Here we treat the case of a symmetric process, i.e. P_+ ; = P_{+} ; = p_{+} ; so that we have

$$s_{i+1} = \begin{cases} s_i & prob := 1 & p \\ s_i & prob := p \end{cases}$$
(3)

The rst symbol s_1 takes the values 1 with equal probabilities, $Pr(q = +1) = Pr(s_1 = -1) = 1=2$. The probability of realizing a particular sequence S is given by

$$Pr(S) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=2}^{V} Pr(s_i j_{i-1})$$
(4)

The generated sequence S is "sent" and passes through a noisy channel; hence the received sequence,

$$R = (r_1; r_2; :::r_N)$$
 (5)

is not identical to the transmitted one. The noise can ip a transmitted symbol with probability :

$$Pr(\mathbf{r}_{i} = \mathbf{g}_{j}\mathbf{\bar{p}}_{i}) =$$
(6)

Here we assumed that the noise is generated by an independent identically distributed (iid) process; the probability of a ip at time i is independent of what happened at other times j < i and of the value of i. We also assume that the noise is symmetric, i.e. the ip probability does not depend on s_i .

Once the underlying Markov process S has been generated, the probability of observing a particular sequence R is given by

$$Pr(Rj_{5}) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{N} Pr(r_{i}j_{5})$$
 (7)

and the joint probability of any particular S and R to occur is given by

$$Pr(R;S) = Pr(R;S)$$
(8)

The original transmitted signal, S, is "hidden" and only the received (and typically corrupted) signal R is "seen" by the observer. Hence it is meaningful to ask - what is the probability to observe any particular received signal R? The answer is

$$Q(\mathbf{R}) = \sum_{s}^{X} Pr(\mathbf{R}; s)$$
(9)

Furtherm ore, one is interested in the¹ Shannon entropy H of the observed process,

$$H_{N} = \bigvee_{R}^{X} Q(R) \log Q(R)$$
(10)

and in particular, in the entropy rate, de ned as

$$H = \lim_{N \downarrow 1} \frac{H_N}{N}$$
(11)

2.2 Casting the problem in Ising form

It is straightforward to cast the calculation of the entropy rate onto the form of a one-dimensional Ising model. The conditional M arkov probabilities (3), that connect the symbols from one site to the next, can be rewritten as

$$Pr(s_{i+1}; p_i) = e^{Js_{i+1}s_i} = (e^J + e^J) \quad \text{with} \quad e^{2J} = (1 \quad p) = p$$
 (12)

 $^{^{1}}$ The Shannon entropy is de ned using \log_{2} ; we use natural log for sim plicity

and sim ilarly, the ip probability generated by the noise, (6), is also recapitulated by the Ising form

$$Pr(r_{i}; \dot{p}_{i}) = e^{K r_{i}s_{i}} = (e^{K} + e^{K}) \quad \text{with} \quad e^{2K} = (1) = (13)$$

The joint probability of realizing a pair of transmitted and observed sequences (S;R) takes the form ([12],[13])

$$Pr(R;S) = A \exp \int_{i=1}^{N_{X}} s_{i+1}s_{i} + K r_{i}s_{i}$$
(14)

where the constant A is the product of two factors, $A = A_0A_1$, given by

$$A_0 = \frac{1}{2} e^J + e^J (N^{-1}) \qquad A_1 = e^K + e^K (15)$$

The rst sum in (14) is the H am iltonian of a chain of Ising spins with open boundary conditions and nearest neighbor interactions J; the interactions are ferrom agnetic (J > 0) for p < 1=2. The second term corresponds, for sm all noise < 1=2, to a strong ferrom agnetic interaction K between each spin s_i and another spin, r_i, connected to s_i by a "dangling bond" (see F ig 2).

D enote the sum m ation over the hidden variables by \mathbf{Z} (R):

$$Z (R) = \begin{cases} X & & \stackrel{N_{X} \ 1}{s} & & \stackrel{X^{N}}{s_{i+1}} s_{i} + K & \stackrel{1}{s_{i}} \\ s & & \stackrel{i=1}{s} & & \stackrel{i=1}{s} \end{cases}$$
(16)

so that the probability Q (R) becomes (see eq. (9)) Q (R) = A Z (R). Substituting in (10), the entropy of the process can be written as

$$H_{N} = \begin{pmatrix} X \\ A Z (R) \log [A Z (R)] = \\ R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d \\ dn \\ R \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} m \\ A Z (R) \end{bmatrix}^{n}$$
(17)

The interpretation of this expression is obvious: an Ising chain is submitted to local elds $h_i = K r_i$, with the sign of the eld at each site being with equal probabilities, and we have to average Z $(h_1; :::h_N)^n$ over the eld con gurations. This is precisely the problem one faces in order to calculate properties of a well-studied model, of a nearest neighbor Ising chain in a quenched random eld of uniform strength and random signs at the di erent sites (there one is interested, however, in the lim it n ! 0). This problem has not been solved analytically, albeit a few exactly solvable simplied versions of the model do exist ([14], [15], [16], [17]), as well as expansions (albeit in the weak eld lim it [18]).

One should note that here we calculate the entropy associated with the observed variables R. In the Ising language this corresponds to an entropy associated with the random ly assigned signs of the local elds, and not to the entropy of the spins S. Because of this distinction the entropy

 H_N has no obvious physical interpretation or relevance, which explains why the problem has not been addressed yet by the physics community.

We are interested in calculating the entropy rate in the limit of small noise, i.e. 1. In the Ising representation this limit corresponds to K 1 and hence an expansion in corresponds to expanding near the in nite eld limit of the Ising chain.

3 Expansion to order ²: exact results

W e are interested in calculating the entropy rate

$$H = \lim_{N \leq 1} \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{R} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{R} \frac{X}{R} + \frac{1}{N} \frac{X}{R} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{R} + \frac{1}{N$$

to a given order in . A few technical points are in order. First, we will actually use

$$e^{2K} = = (1)$$
 (19)

as our small parameter and expand to order 2 afterwards. Second, we will calculate H_N and take the large N limit. Therefore we can replace the open boundary conditions with periodic ones (setting $s_{N+1} = s_1$) - the difference is a surface elect of order 1=N. The constant A₀ becomes

$$A_0 = e^J + e^J \qquad (20)$$

and the interaction term Js_1s_N is added to the rst sum in eq. (14), which contains now N pairs of neighbors.

Expanding Z (R): Consider Z (R) from (16). For any xed $R = (r_1; r_2; :::r_N)$ the leading order is obtained by the S conguration with $s_i = r_i$ for all i. For this conguration each site contributes K to the "eld term "in (16). The contribution of this conguration to the summation over S in (16) is

$$Z (R)^{(0)} = e^{N K} exp J \prod_{i=1}^{X^{N}} r_{i+1}r_{i}$$
 (21)

The next term we add consists of the contributions of those S con gurations which have $s_i = r_i$ at all but one position. The eld term of such a con guration is K from N 1 sites and K from the single site with $s_j = r_j$. There are N such con gurations, and the total contribution of these terms to the sum (16) is

$$Z (R)^{(1)} = e^{NK} e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} p J r_{i+1}r_i e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} r_{j+1}r_i e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} r_{j+1}r_i e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} r_{j+1}r_i e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} e^{2K} r_{j+1}r_i e^{2K} e^{2$$

The next term is of the highest order studied in this paper; it involves con gurations S with all but two spins in the state $s_i = r_i$; the other two take the values $s_j = r_j$; $s_k = r_k$, i.e. are ipped with respect to the corresponding local elds. These S con gurations belong to one of two classes. In class a the two ipped spins are located on nearest neighbor sites, e.g. k = j + 1; there are N such con gurations. To the second class, b, belong those con gurations in which the two ipped spins are not neighbors – there are N (N 3)=2 such terms in the sum (16), and the respective contributions are²

$$Z (R)^{(2a)} = e^{NK} e^{4K} e^{4K} e^{4K} e^{4K} \int_{i=1}^{X^{N}} e^{iX^{N}} e^{iX^{N}$$

$$Z (R)^{(2b)} = e^{NK} e^{4K} exp \int_{i=1}^{X^{N}} r_{i+1}r_{i} \frac{1}{2} \frac{X^{N}}{r_{j-1}r_{j-1}} \exp\left[2Jr_{j}(r_{j-1}+r_{j+1}) 2Jr_{k}(r_{k-1}+r_{k+1})\right]$$
(24)

Calculation of H is now straightforward, albeit tedious: substitute AZ into eq. (18), expand everything in powers of , to second order, and for each term perform the summation over all the r_i variables. These summations involve two kinds of terms. The rst is of the "partition-sum-like" form

$$\begin{array}{c} X \\ e^{H (R)} & \text{where} & H (R) = \begin{array}{c} X \\ j J r_{j} r_{j+1} & \text{with} \\ j = 1 \end{array}$$
(25)

For the case studied here we encounter either all bonds $_{j}J > 0$, or two have a ipped sign (corresponding to eq. (22,23)), or four have ipped signs (corresponding to (24)). These "partition-sum-like" terms are independent of the signs of the $_{j}$; in fact we have for all of them

$$A_0 \mathop{\sim}_{R}^{X} e^{H(R)} = 1$$
 (26)

The second type of term that contributes to H is of the "energy-like" form :

$$e^{H(R)}r_{k}r_{k+1}$$
(27)

The absolute value of these terms is again independent of the $_j$, but one has to keep track of their signs. Finally, one has to remember that the constant A_1 also has to be expanded in . The calculation nally yields the following result (here we switch from J to the "natural" variable p using eq. (12)):

$$H (p;) = \prod_{k=0}^{k} H^{(k)} (p)^{k}$$
(28)

²W e use the obvious identi cations in posed by periodic boundary conditions, e.g. $r_{N+1} = r_1$; $r_{N+2} = r_2$

with the coe cients H $_{\rm k}$ given by :

$$H^{(0)} = p \log p \quad (1 \quad p) \log (1 \quad p) \qquad H^{(1)} = 2 (1 \quad 2p) \log \frac{1 \quad p}{p}$$
 (29)

$$H^{(2)} = 2(1 \quad 2p) \log \frac{1 \quad p}{p} \quad \frac{(1 \quad 2p)^2}{2p^2 (1 \quad p)^2}$$
(30)

The zeroth and rst order terms (29) were known ([8], [9]), while the second order term is new [10].

4 Upper Bounds derived using a system of nite length

W hen investigating the lim it H, it is useful to study the quantity $C_N = H_N = H_N = H_N$, which is also known as the conditional entropy. C_N can be interpreted as the average amount of uncertainty we have on r_N , assuming that we know $(r_1; :::; r_N = 1)$. Provided that H exist, it easily follows that

$$H = \lim_{N \downarrow 1} C_N$$
(31)

M or eover, according to [11], $\mbox{C}_{\rm N}$ $\,$ H , and the convergence is m onotone :

$$C_N \& H (N ! 1)$$
 (32)

We can express C_N as a function of p and by using eq. (17). For this, we represent Z using the original variables p; (note that from this point of we work with open boundary conditions on the Ising chain of N spins):

$$Z(R) = \begin{pmatrix} X & P_{N-1} & P_{N-1} & P_{N-1} & P_{N-1} \\ (1 & p)_{i=1}^{i-1} 1_{S_{i}=S_{i+1}} p^{N-1} & I_{S_{i}=S_{i+1}} (1 &)_{i=1}^{i-1} 1_{S_{i}=R_{i}} & P_{n-1} \\ S & S & S & S \end{pmatrix}$$
(33)

where we denote $1_{s;s^0} = (1 + ss^0) = 2$. Eq. (33) gives Z (R) explicitly as a polynom ial in p and with m axim aldegree N, and can be represented as :

$$Z(R) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} Z_{i}(R)^{i}$$
(34)

Here $Z_i = Z_i(\mathbb{R})$ are functions of p only.

Substituting this expansion in eq. (17), and expanding $\log Z$ (R) according to the Taylor series $\log (a + x) = \log (a)$ $P_{n=1}^{2} \frac{(x)^{n}}{na^{n}}$, we get

$$H_{N} = \begin{array}{c} X & X^{N} \\ R & Z_{i}(R) & i \\ R & i=0 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} X^{k} & \frac{P_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}(R) & i}{jZ_{0}(R)^{j}} \\ j=1 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} 3 \\ \frac{P_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}(R) & i}{jZ_{0}(R)^{j}} \\ \frac{P_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i}(R) & i}{jZ_{0}(R)^{j}} \\ \end{array}$$
(35)

W hen extended to term s of order k, this equation gives us precisely the expansion of the upperbound C_N up to the k-th order,

$$C_{N} = \sum_{i=0}^{X^{k}} C_{N}^{(i) \ i} + O(^{k+1})$$
(36)

For example, stopping at order L = 2 gives

$$H_{N} = \begin{array}{c} X \\ Z_{0}(R) \log Z_{0}(R) + [Z_{1}(R)(1 + \log Z_{0}(R))] + \\ Y \\ \frac{Z_{1}(R)^{2}}{2Z_{0}(R)} + Z_{2}(R)(1 + \log Z_{0}(R)) \\ \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \# \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} (37) \\ \end{array}$$

The zeroth and rst order term s can be evaluated analytically for any N; beyond rst order, we can compute the expansion of H_N symbolically ³ (using M aple [19]), for any nite N. This was actually done, for N 8 and k 11. For the rst order we have proved ([10]) that $C_N^{(1)}$ is independent of N (and equals H ⁽¹⁾). The symbolic computation of higher order terms yielded similar independence of N, provided that N is large enough. So, $C_N^{(k)} = C^{(k)}$ for large enough N. For example, $C_N^{(2)}$ is independent of N for 3 N 8 and equals the exact value of H⁽²⁾ as given by eq. (30). Similarly, $C_N^{(4)}$ settles, for N 4, at some value denote by C⁽⁴⁾, and so on. For the values we have checked, the settling point for $C_N^{(k)}$ turned out to be at N = $d\frac{k+3}{2}e$. This behavior is, how ever, unproved for k 2, and, therefore, we refer to it as a

C on jecture: For any order k, there is a critical chain length $N_c(k) = d\frac{k+3}{2}e$ such that for $N > N_c(k)$ we have $C_N^{(k)} = C^{(k)}$.

It is known that $C_N ! H$, and C_N and H are analytic functions of at $= 0^4$, so that we can expand both sides around = 0, and conclude that $C_N^{(k)} ! H^{(k)}$ for any k 1 when N ! 1. Therefore, if our conjecture is true, and $C_N^{(k)}$ indeed settles at some value C $^{(k)}$ independent of N (for N > N_c(k)), it immediately follows that this value equals H $^{(k)}$. Note that the settling is rigourously supported for k = 0;1, while for k = 2 we showed that indeed C $^{(2)} = H^{(2)}$, supporting our conjecture.

The rst orders up to H ⁽¹¹⁾, obtained by identifying H ^(k) with C ^(k), are given in the Appendix, as functions of = 1 2p, for better readability. The values of H ⁽⁰⁾; H ⁽¹⁾ and H ⁽²⁾ coincide with the results that were derived rigorously from the low-tem perature/high-eld expansion, thus giving us support for postulating the above C on jecture.

³The computation we have done is exponential in N, but the complexity can be in proved.

⁴See next section on the Radius of Convergence

Interestingly, the nom inators have a simpler expression when considered as a functions of , which is the second eigenvalue of the M arkov transition m atrix P. N ote that only even powers of appear. A nother interesting observation is that the free element in $[p(1 \ p)^{\frac{2}{3}(k-1)}H^{(k)}$ (when treated as a polynom ial in p), is $\frac{(-1)^k}{k(k-1)}$, which m ight suggest some role for the function $\log(1 + \frac{(2p(1-p))^2}{(2p(1-p))^2})$ in the rst derivative of H. All of the above observations led us to conjecture the following form for H^(k) (for k 3):

$$H^{(k)} = \frac{2^{4(k-1)} \int_{j=0}^{p} a_{jk}}{k(k-1)(1-2)^{2(k-1)}}$$
(38)

where $a_{j,k}$ and d_k are integers that can be seen in the Appendix for H $^{(k)}$ up to k = 11.

5 The Radius of Convergence

If one wants to use our expansion around = 0 for actually estimating H at some value , it is important to ascertain that lies within the radius of convergence of the expansion. The fundamental observation m ade here is that for p = 0, the function H () is not an analytic function at = 0, since its rst derivative diverges. As we increase p, the singularity points 'm oves' to negative

values of , and hence the function is analytic at = 0, but the radius of convergence is determ ined by the distance of = 0 from this singularity. Denote by (p) the radius of convergence of H () for a given p; we expect that (p) grows when we increase p, while for p ! 0, (p) ! 0.

It is useful to rst book at a simpler model, in which there is no interaction between the spins. Instead, each spin is in an external eld which has a uniform constant component J, and a sitedependent component of absolute value K and a random sign. For this simple i.i.d. model the entropy rate takes the form

$$H = h_{b}[p(1) + (1 p)]$$
(39)

where $h_b[x] = [x \log x + (1 x) \log (1 x)]$ is the binary entropy function. Note that for = 0 the entropy of this model equals that of the Ising chain. Expanding eq. (39) in (for p > 0) gives :

$$H = (p \log p + (1 \quad p) \log (1 \quad p)) + (1 \quad 2p) \log \frac{1}{p} \frac{p}{p} + \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{k + 2} \frac{1}{k + (k - 1)} \frac{(2p \quad 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{p^{k}} + \frac{(1 \quad 2p)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{(1 \quad p)^{\frac{1}{2} - 1}} \frac{\#}{k}$$
(40)

The radius of convergence here is easily shown to be $p=(1 \ 2p)$; it goes to 0 for p ! 0 and increases monotonically with p.

Returning to the HMP, the orders H $^{(k)}$ are (in absolute value) usually larger than those of the simpler i.i.d. model, and hence the radius of convergence may be expected to be smaller. Since we

could not derive (p) analytically, we estimated it using extrapolation based on the rst 11 orders. We use the fact that (p) = $\lim_{k \ge 1} \frac{H^{(k)}}{H^{(k+1)}}$ (provided the limit exists). The data was tted to a rational function of the following form (which holds for the i.i.d. model):

$$\frac{H^{(k)}}{H^{(k+1)}} = \frac{ak+b}{k+c};$$
(41)

For a given t, the radius of convergence was simply estimated by a. The resulting prediction is given in Fig. 3 for both the i.i.d. model (for which it is compared to the known exact (p)) and for the HMP.W hile quantitatively, the predicted radius of the HMP is much smaller than this of the i.i.d. model, it has the same qualitative behavior, of starting at zero for p = 0, and increasing with p.

We compared the analytic expansion to estimates of the entropy rate based on the lower and upper bounds, for two values of (see Fig. 4). First we took = 0.01, which is realistic in typical communication applications. For pless than about 0.1 this value of exceeds the radius of convergence and the series expansion diverges, whereas for larger p the series converges and gives a very good approximation to H (p; = 0.01). The second value used was = 0.2; here the divergence happens for p 0.37, so the expansion yields a good approximation for a much smaller range. We note that, as expected, the approximation is much closer to the upper bound than to the lower bound of [11].

6 Summary

Transm ission of a binary message through a noisy channel is modelled by a Hidden M arkov P rocess. We mapped the binary symmetric HMP onto an Ising chain in a random external eld in therm al equilibrium. Using a low-temperature/high-random – eld expansion we calculated the entropy of the HMP to second order k = 2 in the noise parameter . We have shown for k 11 that when the known upper bound on the entropy rate is expanded in , using nite chains of length N, the expansion coe cients settle, for N $_{\rm c}$ (k) N 8, to values that are independent of N. Posing a conjecture, that this continues to hold for any N, we identied the expansion coe cients of the entropy up to order 11. The radius of convergence of the resulting series was studied and the expansion was compared to the the known upper and lower bounds.

By using methods of Statistical Physics we were able to address a problem of considerable current interest in the problem area of noisy communication channels and data compression.

12

A cknow ledgm ents

IK .thanks N .M erhav for very helpful com m ents, and the E instein C enter for T heoretical P hysics for partial support. This work was partially supported by grants from the M inerva Foundation and by the European C om m unity's H um an Potential Program m e under contract H PRN-C T -2002-00319, ST PCO.

References

- Y.Ephraim and N.Merhav, "Hidden Markov processes", EEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 48, p.1518–1569, June 2002.
- [2] A. Schliep, A. Schonhuth and C. Steinho, "U sing hidden M arkov m odels to analyze gene expression time course data", B ioinform atics, 19, Suppl. 1 p. i255-i263, 2003.
- [3] L.R.Rabiner, "A tutorial on hidden Markov models and selected applications in speech recognition", Proc. IEEE, vol. 77, p. 257286, Feb 1989.
- [4] I.K anter, A.Frydm an and A.Ater, "Is a multiple excitation of a single atom equivalent to a single ensemble of atom s?" Europhys. Lett., 2005 (in press).
- [5] I. Kanter, A. Frydm an and A. Ater, "Utilizing hidden Markov processes as a new tool for experim ental physics", Europhys. Lett., 2005 (in press).
- [6] C.E.Shannon, "A mathematical theory of communication", Bell System Technical Journal, 27, p. 379-423 and 623-656, Juland Oct, 1948.
- [7] T.Nattermann, "Theory of the Random Field Ising Model", in Spin Glasses and Random Fields, ed.by A P.Young, World Scientic 1997.
- [8] P. Jacquet, G. Seroussi and W. Szpankowski, "On the Entropy of a Hidden M arkov P rocess", D ata C om pression C onference, Snowbird, 2004.
- [9] E.Ordentlich and T.W eissman, "New Bounds on the Entropy Rate of Hidden Markov Processes", San Antonio Information Theory Workshop, Oct 2004.
- [10] A prelim inary presentation of our results is given in O.Zuk, I.K anter and E.D om any, "A sym ptotics of the Entropy Rate for a Hidden Markov Process", Data Compression Conference, Snow bird, 2005.
- [11] T.M. Cover and J.A. Thom as, "E lem ents of Inform ation Theory", W iley, New York, 1991.
- [12] L.K. Saul and M. I. Jordan, "Boltzm ann chains and hidden M arkov m odels", Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 7, M IT Press, 1994.
- [13] D. J.C. MacKay, "Equivalence of Boltzmann Chains and Hidden Markov Models", Neural Computation, vol. 8 (1), p. 178–181, Jan 1996.

- [14] B.Derrida, M.M. France and J.Peyriere, "Exactly Solvable One-Sim ensional Inhom ogeneous M odels", Journal of Stat. Phys. 45 (3-4), p. 439-449, Nov 1986.
- [15] D.S.Fisher, P.LeDoussaland P.Monthus, "Nonequilibrium dynamics of random eld Ising spin chains: Exact results via real space renormalization group" Phys. Rev. E, 64 (6), p. 066-107, Dec 2001.
- [16] G.Grinstein and D.Mukamel, "Exact Solution of a One D in ensional Ising-M odel in a Random M agnetic Field", Phys. Rev. B, 27, p. 4503-4506, 1983.
- [17] T.M. Nieuwenhuizen and JM. Luck, "Exactly soluble random eld Ising models in one dimension", J. Phys. A: Math. Gen., 19 p. 1207–1227, May 1986.
- [18] B.Derrida and H.J.Hilhorst, J.Phys.A 16 2641 (1983)
- [19] http://wwwmaplesoft.com/

Appendix

O rders three to eleven, as function of = 1 2p. (O rders 0 2 are given in equations (29 - 30)):

$$H^{(3)} = \frac{16(5^{4} \ 10^{2} \ 3)^{2}}{3(1^{2})^{4}}$$

$$H^{(4)} = \frac{8(109^{8} + 20^{6} \ 114^{4} \ 140^{2} \ 3)^{2}}{3(1^{2})^{6}}$$

$$H^{(5)} = \frac{128(95^{10} + 336^{8} + 762^{6} \ 708^{4} \ 769^{2} \ 100)^{4}}{15(1^{2})^{8}}$$

$$H^{(6)} = 128(125^{14} \ 321^{12} + 9525^{10} + 16511^{8} \ 7825^{6} \ 17995^{4} \ 4001^{2} \ 115)^{4} = 15(1^{2})^{10}$$

H $^{(7)}$ = 256 (280 ¹⁸ 45941 ¹⁶ 110888 ¹⁴ + 666580 ¹² + 1628568 ¹⁰ 270014 ⁸ 1470296 ⁶ 524588 ⁴ 37296 ² 245) ⁴=105 (1 ²)¹²

 $H^{(8)} = 64(56^{22} 169169^{20} 2072958^{18} 5222301^{16} + 12116328^{14} +$

35666574 ¹² + 3658284 ¹⁰ 29072946 ⁸ 14556080 ⁶ 1872317 ⁴ 48286 ² 49) ⁴=21(1 ²)¹⁴

 $H^{(9)} = 2048 (37527^{22} + 968829^{20} + 8819501^{18} + 20135431^{16} 23482698^{14}$ $97554574^{12} \quad 30319318^{10} + 67137630^{8} + 46641379^{6} + 8950625^{4} + 495993^{2} + 4683)^{6} = 63 (1^{2})^{16}$

 $H^{(10)} = 2048 (38757^{26} + 1394199^{24} + 31894966^{22} + 243826482^{20} + 571835031^{18} 326987427^{16} 2068579420^{14} 1054659252^{12} + 1173787011^{10} + 1120170657^{8} + 296483526^{6} + 26886370^{4} + 684129^{2} + 2187)^{6} = 45 (1^{2})^{18}$

H ⁽¹¹⁾ = 8192 (98142 ³⁰ 1899975 ²⁸ + 92425520 ²⁶ + 3095961215 ²⁴ + 25070557898 ²² + 59810870313 ²⁰ 11635283900 ¹⁸ 173686662185 ¹⁶ 120533821070 ¹⁴ + 74948247123 ¹² + 102982107048 ¹⁰ + 35567469125 ⁸ + 4673872550 ⁶ + 217466315 ⁴ + 2569380 ² + 2277) ⁶=495 (1 ²)²⁰



Figure 1: Schem atic drawing of message transmission through a noisy channel.



Figure 2: An Ising model in a random eld. The solid lines represent interactions of strength J between neighboring spins S_i while the dashed lines represent local elds K r_i acting on the spin S_i .



Figure 3: Radius of convergence for the i.i.d. model (estimated and exact, see text), and HMP (estimated) for $0.05 \, p \, 0.35$.



Figure 4: Approximation using the rst eleven orders in the expansion, for = 0.01 (left) and = 0.2 (right), for various values of p. For comparison, upper and lower bounds (using N = 2 from [11]) are displayed. For each there is some critical p below which the series diverges and the approximation is poor. For larger p the approximation becomes better.