Reporte Interno # 166 Departam ento de M atem aticas CINVESTAV del IPN M exico City, 1994 To appear in M athem atical M ethods in Applied Sciences

The Riesz-Cli ord Functional Calculus for Non-Commuting Operators and Quantum Field Theory

V ladim ir V . K isil^y
e-m ailvkisil@mvax1.red.cinvestav.mx

Enrique Ram rez de A rellano em aileramirez@mvax1.red.cinvestav.mx

Departamento de Matematicas, CINVESTAV del IPN., Apartado Postal 14-740, 07000, Mexico, D.F. Mexico fax: (525)-752-64-12

November 24, 1994

A bstract

We present a Riesz-like hyperholom orphic functional calculus for a set of non-commuting operators based on the Cli ord analysis. Applications to the quantum eld theory are described.

This work was partially supported by CONACYT Project 1821-E 9211, Mexico.

^yOn leave from the O dessa State University.

K eywords and phrases. Functional calculus, W eyl calculus, R iesz calculus, C li ord analysis, quantization, quantum eld theory.

¹⁹⁹¹ M athem atical Subject C lassi cation. Primary: 47A 60; Secondary: 81T 10.

C ontents

1	Intr	roduction	2
2	Dі	erent Approaches to Functional Calculus	4
	2.1	Two Procedures of Functional Calculus	4
	2.2	Functional calculus: The Weylapproach	5
	2.3	Functional calculus: The Riesz approach	6
3	R iesz-C li ord C alculus for N on-C om m uting O perators		8
	3.1	Cli ord Algebras and Cli ord Analysis	8
	3.2	Riesz-Cli ord calculus: a Polynom ial Procedure	11
	3.3	Riesz-Cli ord Calculus: an Integral Representation Procedure	13
4	Riesz-Cli ord Calculus and Quantum Field Theory		16
	4.1	Quantum Field Theory and Cliord Algebras	16
	4.2	Quantum Field Theory and Riesz-Cliord Calculus	17

1 Introduction

The quantum mechanical connection was certainly the strongest of the motivations for the development of operator algebra.

Irving E. Segal, [26]

The quantization procedure [3], [5], [13], [17] requires the construction of some mapping from an algebra of real valued functions (the algebra of classic observables) to an algebra of self-adjoint operators (the algebra of quantum observables). A nalogously, one should be able (in some sense) to construct functions from self-adjoint (non-commuting) operators. The importance of this problem in the physics and the non-triviality of the corresponding mathematical problems has been amply illustrated during the last 50 years (in addition to the above mentioned papers see also recent ones [11], [24], [26] and their rich bibliographies).

The problem of quantization may be reformulated mathematically as a problem on construction of a functional calculus. The already classical holomorphic calculus, which is based on analysis of several complex variables, allows only the situation of mutually commuting operators (see the milestone papers of J. L. Taylor [29], [30]). Other approaches also lead only to

the commuting case (see, for example, [23]). Such constructions do not meet the needs of quantum mechanics, where non-commutativity of observables is a principal assumption.

The main goal of the present paper is a construction of a Cli ord hyperholom orphic calculus of several non-com muting operators and to show its applicability to quantum eld theory.

It should be mentioned that functional calculus may be considered at two dierent levels. The rst one is the original problem of quantum mechanics of constructing functions from the operators of coordinates Q_j and impulses P_j , which satisfy the well known Heisenberg commutation relations

$$[Q_{j};P_{i}] = i \sim_{ij}I:$$
 (1)

In this context there are many di erent approaches to quantization with a large number of nice results (for example, the PDO calculus [13], [14], [27], [31] and the Toeplitz operators in the Segal-Bargman space [5], [6]). The richness of the calculus is rooted in the structure of the Heisenberg group [15], [16]. At a second level one can construct a functional calculus from an arbitrary nite set of self-adjoint operators $fT_jg;1$ j m. In this case the number of possible quantizations and the precise results obtained is much smaller [22] and sometimes only a more-or-less form alpower series may be established in such a setting. In [18] it was shown that one can preserve the essential features at the rst level if the assumption is made, that the operators $fT_jg;1$ j m represent some Lie algebra g.

In Section 2 of this work we discuss general properties and known results of functional calculus. In Section 3 we construct the Riesz-like hyperholom orphic functional calculus for a set of non-commuting operators based on Cli ord analysis. To extend the calculus from commuting operators to non-commuting ones we restrict the family of functions from smooth ones to hyperholom orphic functions. It should be noted that hyperholom orphic functions do not form an algebra (at least under usualmultiplication) and this is rejected at De nition 3.6 of Riesz-Cli ord calculus. In Section 4 it is shown that the Riesz-Cli ord functional calculus is a relevant model in quantum eld theory corresponding to the Weyl calculus in quantum mechanics.

We consider only the case of self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space H (or of elements in an abstract C -algebra). It is also possible to consider the more general setting of self-adjoint operators in a Banach space [2] (or of elements in an abstract Banach algebra).

We are grateful to V.V.K ravchenko, J.R yan, and I.Spitkovsky for their valuable comments.

2 Dierent Approaches to Functional Calculus

In this Section we give a short overview of dierent approaches to functional calculus.

2.1 Two Procedures of Functional Calculus

The following de nition is prelim inary.

De nition 2.1 A functional calculus (A;T) for an m-tuple of self-adjoint operators $T=(T_1;\ldots;T_m)$ on the Hilbert space H is a continuous mapping :A! B(H) of a linear space A of functions into a linear space of operators on H with some natural properties.

We do not specify yet the algebra A and the natural properties. In many cases the algebra A should at least contain all smooth functions with compact support (space C_c^1 ()) in set R^m depending of the spectra of the operators T_j . The natural conditions usually include some combinations of the following items:

- 1. (1) = I, i.e., the image of the function identically equal to 1 is the identity operator on H;
- 2. (f) = (f);
- 3. $(x_{i}) = T_{i}$;
- 4. k (f) k_H kf k_A ;
- 5. (A;T) depends continuously on the set T.

It is notable, that the algebraic properties $1\{3 \text{ usually in ply the m etric ones } 4.5.$

Generally speaking there are two dierent but closely connected ways to construct a functional calculus.

Procedure 2.2 The hom om orphism is dened on some dense subalgebra A_0 of the algebra A. The algebra A_0 should have a simple algebraic structure (for example, the algebra of polynomials), which allows a simple denition of . After that extends to the whole of A by continuity.

Procedure 2.3 Consider a reproducing formula for functions from A of the form

$$f(x) = K(x;y)f(y)dy:$$
 (2)

For example, a Cauchy type formula (see Subsection 2.3) or a Fourier type transform (see Subsection 2.2). If the kernel K(x;y) can be extended in a natural way to an operator K(T;y), then we do not the functional calculus by the formula

$$(f) = f(T) = K(T;y)f(y)dy:$$
 (3)

The rst Procedure is sometimes easier, but unlike the second one it does not give us an explicit form ula for functions outside A_0 . Usually it is possible to construct, the function calculus in both ways (see examples bellow). The rst Procedure is carried out for the holomorphic calculus in [30] and the second one in [29].

2.2 Functional calculus: The Weylapproach

Let us recall that a PDO Opa (x;) [14], [27], [31], [33], with the W eyl symbol a (x;), is defined by the formula:

[Dpa](x;)u(y) =
$$\sum_{R^n = R^n}^{Z} a(\frac{x+y}{2};)e^{ihy \cdot x; i}u(x) dx d$$
: (4)

This formula may be obtained by Procedure 2.3 from the formula of the inverse Fourier transform

$$f(x) = (2)^{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(t) e^{ix} dt$$
:

Namely, let us take the set of self-adjoint operators

$$T_{j} = y_{j}; T_{j+n} = \frac{1}{i} \frac{0}{0} ; 1 \quad j \quad n;$$
 (5)

which operate on $S = R^N$ in the obvious way. Note that these operators have exactly the commutators (1). Then we have (see [32], x 1.3)

$$[K f](y) = (2)^{N} \sum_{Z^{R^{2N}}}^{Z} k(x;) e^{i(\frac{P}{1} x_{j}y_{j})^{\frac{P}{1}} \frac{\theta}{1} \frac{\theta}{10(y_{j})}} f(y) dx d$$

$$= (2)^{N} \sum_{R^{2N}} k(\frac{x+y}{2};) e^{ihy} x; if(x) dx d;$$

i.e. it de nesexactly the W eylfunctional calculus (or the W eylquantization). PDO calculus is a very important tool for the theory of dierential equations and quantum mechanics.

Remark 2.4 Feynm an proposed in [12] an extension of this functional calculus the functional calculus of ordered operators \mid in a very similar way. Anderson [2] introduced a generalization of the Weyl calculus for an arbitrary set fT_ig of self-adjoint operators in a Banach space by the formula

$$K = (2)^{N=2} \begin{cases} X & \text{if } (x_1; x_2; \dots; x_N) e^{i \sum_{j=1}^{p} x_j T_j} dx; \end{cases}$$
 (6)

A description of several dierent operator calculus may be found in [22]. It was shown by R. Howe [15], [16] that the success of the original Weyl calculus is intimately connected with the structure of the Heisenberg group and its dierent representations.

Remark 2.5 It should be noted, that besides the denition of the Weyl functional calculus in accordance with the Procedure 2.3 it can be dened also by the Procedure 2.2. As subalgebra A_0 should be taken the algebra of symmetric polynomials of the variables x_j and the homomorphism \insert" the operators T_j instead of the variables x_j [2], Theorem 2.4. We will use this observation to establish connection between the Weyl and the Riesz-Cli ord calculus.

2.3 Functional calculus: The Riesz approach

For a pair of self-adjoint operators T_1 ; T_2 , the R iesz calculus [25], Chap.XI can be also developed in accordance with the Procedure 2.3. The starting point is the Cauchy integral formula for a function f(z) holomorphic in z =

 $x_1 + ix_2$ near the spectrum (T) of the operator $T = T_1 + iT_2$ and a nice contour containing (T):

$$z$$
 $f(x_1 + ix_2) = (2)^{-1} f()((x_1 + ix_2))^{-1}d$:

Thus if we are able to de ne the function K $(z;) = (I (x_1 + ix_2))^{-1}$ for an operator $T = T_1 + iT_2$, then the functional calculus will be given by the formula

$$f(T_1 + iT_2) = (2)^{1} f()(I (F_1 + iT_2))^{1} d:$$
 (7)

By Runge's theorem, the Riesz calculus may also be obtained by Procedure 22 as the closure of the polynomials in T in the space of holomorphic functions. This de nition, as was shown in [2], Theorem 5.1, gives that the Riesz and the Weyl calculus are essentially the same in the case of a pair of bounded operators $(T_1;T_2)$.

To generalize the R iesz calculus from a pair of operators to arbitrary m - tuples, one should use a generalization of complex analysis in one variable. There are m any essentially dierent theories which can be considered as generalizations. The principal contributions to holomorphic functional calculus based on the analysis of several complex variables were made in [29], [30]. By the very nature of complex analysis, this approach can work only for a commuting set of operators.

A nother approach to the R iesz calculus based on C li ord analysism ay be found in [23], but there again it was found that such a functional calculus is possible only for an m-tuple of commuting generalized scalar operators with real spectra [23], Theorem 9.1.

Despite of the rich mathematical aspects of these theories, it should be noted that quantum mechanics requires a calculus of essentially noncommuting operators. Moreover, by the von Neumann theorem [1], x 90 it follows, that for any metuple fT_jg of commuting self-adjoint operators, there are such metuple of real valued functions ff_jg and an operator S such that

$$T_{i} = f_{i}(S)$$
:

Thus a calculus for m -tuple of commuting operators is (in some sense) the calculus of only one operator. Therefore one is forced to look for another de nition of a non-trivial functional calculus of a set of non-commuting operators.

3 Riesz-Cli ord Calculus for Non-Commuting Operators

To extend the R iesz calculus to an arbitrary m -tuples of bounded operators fT_jg it seems natural to use C li ord analysis (see for example [8]), which is a non-commutative analogy to one-dimensional complex analysis. Then one can de ne a function from an arbitrary m -tuple of bounded self-adjoint operators fT_jg , either by the Cauchy integral formula (compare with (7) and [18], Remark 4.11) or by the subset of symmetric hyperholomorphic polynomials [21].

In this Section we will system atically develop such a point of view.

3.1 Cli ord Algebras and Cli ord Analysis

We need some notation from [8]. Let the euclidean vector space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} have the orthonormal basis e_0 ; e_1 ; ...; e_n . The Cli ord algebra Cl(n;0) is generated by the elements e_0 ; e_1 ; ...; e_n with the usual vector operations and the multiplication dened on the elements of the basis by the following equalities

$$e_{j}^{2} = e_{0}$$
 (j = 1;:::;n);
 $e_{j}e_{k} + e_{k}e_{j} = 0$ (j;k = 1;:::;n;j \(\frac{1}{2}\) k);

and then extended linearly to the whole space. An element of C l(n;0) can be written as a linear combination with coe cients a 2 R of the monomials $e = e_1^{j_1} e_2^{j_2}$ m = e

The conjugate a of an element a is de ned by the rule:

$$a = X \qquad X \qquad X \qquad a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} e_m^{j_m} e_m^{j_{m-1}} \qquad j_1 = 0 \text{ (9)}$$

where $e_j=e_j$; $e_0=e_0$; 1 j n. A C li ord algebra valued function f of the variables $(x_0;x_1;\dots;x_n)$, is called hyperholom orphic in an open domain R $^{n+1}$ if it satis es the D irac (or W eyl [8]) equation

$$D f = \sum_{j=0}^{X^{n}} e_{j} \frac{@f}{@x_{j}} = 0:$$
 (10)

The main results of Cli ord analysis (Cauchy theorem, Cauchy integral formula etc.) have a structure closer that of the complex analysis of one variable than to standard complex analysis of several variables.

Note one very important feature. In C li ord analysis not all polynom ials are hyperholom orphic functions. Instead one has to consider the sym metric polynom ials of the monom ials having the form

$$\mathbf{x}_{j} = (\mathbf{e}_{j}\mathbf{x}_{0} \quad \mathbf{e}_{0}\mathbf{x}_{j}); 1 \quad j \quad n:$$
 (11)

The role of such monomials (\regular variables" [7]) is described for quaternionic analysis in [28], for Cli ord analysis in [21], for Fueter-Hurwitz analysis in [19], and for solutions of the general Dirac type equation in [18], [20].

We use the following facts and notation. Let H () denote the space of all hyperholom orphic functions in the domain and by P the space of all hyperholom orphic polynom ials. The space P has the linear subspaces P_j ; 0 j < 1 of hom ogeneous polynom ials degree j. We will show that P consist of sym metric polynom ials constructed from the monom ials of the form (11) by sym metric products [21]

$$a_1 \quad a_2 \quad k = \frac{1}{k!} \quad a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \quad j_k \neq a$$
 (12)

where the sum is taken over all of permutations of $(j_1; j_2; \dots; j_k)$. Cli ord valued coe cients are written on the right-hand side.

Lem m a 3.1 The linear subspace P_j has a basis consisting of symmetric polynomials.

Proof. Let V (x) be the following hom ogeneous hyperholom orphic polynomial of degree $j \neq k$ (see [8], Chap. II, De nition 1.5.1):

$$V (x) = \sum_{j=0}^{X^{jj}} \frac{(1)^{j} x_{0}^{j}}{j!} [(1)^{j} x_{0}^{n} (2)^{j} (1)^{j} (1)^{j}$$

Then, the V with $j \neq k$, form a basis of V_k . It is easy to check that

$$V(\mathbf{x}) = (1)^{jj} (e_0 \mathbf{x}_1 - e_1 \mathbf{x}_0)^{-1} - (e_0 \mathbf{x}_2 - e_2 \mathbf{x}_0)^{-2} \qquad 0 \mathbf{x} (e_0 \mathbf{x}_0)^{-n} = \mathbf{x} : (14)$$

This gives the assertion.

Let

E
$$(y x) = \frac{(\frac{n+1}{2})}{2^{(m+1)=2}} \frac{\overline{y} x}{\overline{y} x^{n+1}}$$
 (15)

be the Cauchy kernel [8], p. 146 and

$$d = \sum_{j=0}^{X^{n}} (1)^{j} e_{j} dx_{0} \cdot ... \cdot [dx_{j}]^{n} ... \cdot dx_{m} :$$
 (16)

be the di erential form of the \oriented surface element" [8], p. 144. Then for any f(x) 2 H () we have the Cauchy integral formula [8], p. 147

Z
$$E (y x) d_y f(y) = \begin{cases} f(x); x 2 \\ 0; x \mathcal{Z} \end{cases}$$
(17)

We should point out the universality (with respect to domains) of both the Cauchy kernel $E(y \mid x)$ and the Cauchy formula in the Cli ord analysis, in contrast to the case of several complex variables.

If we de ne as in [4], x 18.6 and [8], Chap. II, De nition 1.5.5

$$W^{(a)}(x) = (1)^{jj} @ E(x a);$$
 (18)

then, for jx j jy jwe obtain [8], Chap. II, (1.16)

$$E (y x) = \begin{matrix} 0 & 1 \\ X^{1} & X \\ 0 & V (x)W (y)^{A} : \end{matrix}$$
 (19)

Let M $_{(r)}$ () be the unitary right C li ord module of all left hyperholom orphic functions in . For xed a R $_{(r)}$ (a) denotes the right C li ord module generated by functions W $^{(a)}$ (x). If S = fa $_i$ ji 2 N g is a nite or countable subset of R $^{n+1}$, put R $_{(r)}$ (S) = [$_i$ $_1$ R $_{(r)}$ (a $_i$).

The following result plays an important role latter.

Theorem 3.2 (Runge type) [4], x 18 Let K be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and let S be a subset of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} n K having one point in each bounded component of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} n K. The neach function which is hyperholomorphic in a neighborhood of K can be approximated uniformly on K by the functions in M (r) (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) R (r) (S), i.e. M (r) (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) R (r) (S) is uniformly dense in H (K).

3.2 Riesz-Cli ord calculus: a Polynom ial Procedure

Fix an m-tuple of bounded self-adjoint operators $T=(T_1;:::;T_m)$ on the Hilbert space H . It is well known [8], Chap. I that any Cli ord algebra (particularly, Cl(n;0)) can be realized as an algebra of endom orphisms of some nite-dimensional vector space H_0 . Let $F=H=H_0$. Then if n m we can associate with T an operator F:F=H by the formula

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{array}{c} X^{m} \\ T_{j} \\ e_{j} \end{array}$$

We use the notation B (H) and B (\mathbb{F}) for the algebra of bounded operators in the corresponding Hilbert space.

Denition 3.3 Let A be an algebra with the operations of addition $+_A$ and multiplication $_A$ which is generated by a nite set of elements $a_1; :::; a_k$. Deneanew operation $_A$ of symmetric multiplication associated with them by the formula (12). The resulting set will be called an -a-lgebra. Let A and B be two -a-lgebras. We say that :A ! B is an -h-hom om orphism of two -a-lgebras if the following holds

- 1. $(a_j) = b_j$; 1 j k, where a_1 ; ...; a_k and b_1 ; ...; b_k are generators of A and B correspondingly.
- 2. $(a_1 + a_2) = (a_1) + (a_2)$ for any $a_1; a_2 2 A$ and 2 C.
- 3. $(a_1 n) = (a_1) n$ (afor any set $a_1; :::; a_n$ of (not necessarily distinct) generators of A.

By the de nition of the symmetric product one can obviously deduce

Lem m a 3.4 Any hom om orphism of two algebras is a —hom om orphism of the corresponding —algebras.

The converse, of course, is not true:

Exam ple 3.5 Let A be the algebra of polynom ials in two variables x_1 and x_2 , and B be the algebra generated by two operators P and Q with the commutator $[P;Q] = i \sim I$. Then the mapping de ned on the generators of algebra A by

$$:x_1 \ 7 \ P; :x_2 \ 7 \ Q$$

cannot be extended to an homomorphism of algebras A and B but de nes a homomorphism, which coincides with the Weyl quantization.

The following is a de nition of Riesz-Cli ord hyperholomorphic calculus (compare with [23]).

De nition 3.6 We say that $\mathbf{\hat{P}}$ has a functional calculus (A;) based on R m whenever the following conditions hold: A is a topological vector space of hyperholomorphic functions from R m to C l(0;n) (m n), with addition and (symmetric) multiplication de ned pointwise, and : A! B($\mathbf{\hat{P}}$) is a continuous mapping and its restriction to symmetric polynomials is a homomorphism such that

$$: \mathbf{x}_{j} (= \mathbf{e}_{j} \mathbf{x}_{0} \quad \mathbf{e}_{0} \mathbf{x}_{j}) \ \mathbf{7} \quad \mathbf{T}_{j}; \ 1 \quad j \quad m$$
 (20)

There, to extend calculus from commuting operators to non-commuting we ones we relax the requirement from homomorphism to homomorphism.

De nition 3.7 The support of the hom om orphism is the smallest closed set in R^n such that (f) = 0 for all $f \ge A$ with supp \ supp f = ;. The joint -resolvent set R (T) of T is the largest open subset of R^m such that for any point $= (_1; :::;_m) \ge R$ (T), the -algebra generated by the operators T_j e_j $_jI$ $e_j;_1$ j m contains at least one invertible element in f^n . The joint spectrum (T) of the operators $T_1;:::;_{T_m}$ is the set R^m n (T).

Theorem 3.8 (Uniqueness) In the case of n=m for a given sim ply-connected domain and an m-tuple of operators T, there exists no m ore than one hyperholom orphic functional calculus.

Proof. By the -hom om orphism conditions one can extend the correspondence (20) to the space P of hyperholom orphic polynom ials in only one way. Then the denseness of P in H () in plies the assertion.

Theorem 3.9 For any m-tuple T of bounded self-adjoint operators there exist a hyperholom orphic calculus on \mathbb{R}^m .

Proof. Any hyperholom orphic function on f(x) 2 H (\mathbb{R}^m) can be represented as the Taylor series [8], Chap. Π , x 1.6.3, R em ark 2

$$f(x) = \int_{j=1}^{x^{1}} V(x)c; c 2 C l(n;0):$$

We have already seen what is V (T), thus the functional calculus m ay be de ned by the form ula

$$f(T) = X^{1}$$
 $y = 1$
 $y = 1$
 $y = 1$

The convergence of this series is evident.

3.3 Riesz-Cli ord Calculus: an Integral Representation Procedure

We now deduce the explicit formula for hyperholom orphic calculus. A coordingly to Procedure 2.3 we should do not be Cauchy kernel of the operators $T_{\rm i}$ as follows.

De nition 3.10 Let n m. Then (cf. 19)

$$E (y;T) = \begin{cases} X^{1} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & X \\ 0 & y = 0 \end{cases} V (T)W (y)^{A}$$
 (21)

w here

$$V (T) = T_1^{-1} \qquad m^{m}T I \qquad I;$$
 (22)

i. e., we have formally substituted in (14) for $(x_1; :::; x_n)$ the n-tuple of operators $(T_1; :::; T_m; I; :::; I)$.

Remark 3.11 For the sake of the simplicity we will consider in this Section only the case m = n. Consideration of the more general case n = m is analogous and will be needed Section 4.

Lem m a 3.12 Let jT = max_jfk T_j kg. Then for xed jy > jT j the equation (21) de nes a bounded operator in \mathbb{P} .

Proof. Consideration of the real valued series

and the properties of the C auchy kernels shows that for the mentioned y the series (21) converges in the uniform operator topology to a bounded operator.

De nition 3.13 The maximal open subset $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ (T) of \mathbb{R}^n such that for any y 2 $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ (T) the series in (21) converges in the uniform operator topology to a bounded operator on \mathbb{F} will be called the (C li ord) resolvent set of T. The complement of $R_{\mathbb{C}}$ (T) in \mathbb{R}^n will be called the (C li ord) spectral set of T and denoted by \mathbb{C} (T).

From Lemma 3.12 it follows that R $_{\rm C}$ (T) is always non-empty and from De nition 3.13 one can see that $_{\rm C}$ (T) is closed. M oreover, it is easy to see that

Lem m a 3.14 The Cli ord spectral set $_{\rm C}$ (T) is compact.

Proof. C (T) is a closed subset of R^n which is bounded due to Lem m a 3.12.

Lem m a 3.15 Let r > jT jand let be the ball B (0; r) 2 R m . Then for any sym m etric polynom ial P (x) we have

$$P(T) = E(y;T)d_{y}P(y)$$
 (23)

where P (T) is the sym m etric polynom ial of the m -tuple T.

Proof. First, consider the case of the polynomial P(x) = V(x). Recall the formula [8], Chap. II, Lemma 1.5.7(i)

$$W (y) d V (y) =$$
 (24)

Let A be an operator de ned by the right-hand side of (23), since the convergence in (21) is uniform, we obtain

Finally, by linear extension we get the assertion.

Lem m a 3.16 For any domain $\,$ which does not contain $\,$ $_{\text{C}}$ (T) and any f 2 H (), we have $\,$ $_{\text{Z}}$

Proof. If we apply a bounded linear functional w on the space B (P) to the integral at left-hand side of the assertion, we will obtain an integral of the hyperholom orphic function

$$w \times (y;T))d_v f(y);$$

which is equal to 0 by the Cauchy theorem. The arbitrariness of w gives the assertion.

Due to this Lem m a we can replace the domain B (0;r) at Lem m a 3.15 w ith an arbitrary domain—containing the spectral set—C (T): An application of Lem m a 3.15 gives the main

Theorem 3.17 Let $T=(T_1;:::;T_m)$ be an m-tuple of bounded self-adjoint operators. Let the domain w ith piecew ise smooth boundary have a connected complement and suppose the spectral set $_C$ (T) lies inside a domain . Then the m apping

de nes a hyperholom orphic calculus for T.

Proof. We have already seen (Lemma 3.15) that formula (26) de ness a functional calculus for functions in M $_{(r)}$ (R $^{n+1}$). Application of Theorem 3.2 allows us to extend this calculus to the whole of H ().

4 Riesz-Cli ord Calculus and Quantum Field Theory

4.1 Quantum Field Theory and Cli ord Algebras

The step from a quantum system with one particle to quantum ensemble with m particles depends on the kind of particles we have. For example, photons satisfy to Bose-E instein statistics, but electrons satisfy to Ferm in D irac statistics [9]. This means that observables of a system of photons are symmetrical operators relative to equivalent particles; but observables of a system of electrons are anti-symmetric ones. This involves, in particular, the Pauli's exclusion principle: there is only one or no Ferm iparticle in each state. Such a distribution of particles among dierent states can be easily described with the help of the C li ord algebra C l(n;0). The monom iale $\frac{1}{1}$ $e_2^{\frac{1}{12}}$ $e_2^{\frac{1}{12}}$ ean be considered as the description of a physical system, in which the only states enumerated by k, for which $\frac{1}{1}$ e_3 e_3 e_3 e_4 e_5 e_5 e_6 e_6 e_7 e_8 e_8 e_8 e_9 e_9 e

$$a = X$$
 $a = X$ $a_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_m} e_1^{j_1} e_2^{j_2}$ $m = 1$

of C l(m;0) can be interpreted as a probability distribution among \pure" states de ned by such monom ials¹ [9].

¹W e consider only the case of a nite number of di erent states. The di culties occurred in the in nite case is described for example in [26].

4.2 Quantum Field Theory and Riesz-Cli ord Calculus

Non-commutativity of quantum observables generate the following question: Let A and B be quantum analogues of classic observables a and b. W hat corresponds to the classic observable ab, the result of simultaneous measurement of a and b?

Jordan (see for example [17], x 1 2) proposed the answer

A B =
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 (AB + BA) = $\frac{A + B}{2}$ $\frac{A - B}{2}$: (27)

One can interpret this formula in the following way. Simultaneous measurement of A and B from the macroscopic point of view is not simultaneous from the microscopic one. It is natural to assume that two dierent processes (measurement of AB and BA) will occur with equal probability $\frac{1}{2}$. This is explicitly described by (27).

To prolong such a point of view for three operators A, B, C we can accept neither (A B) C nor A (B C) (the Jordan multiplication (27) is not associative!). We again should select the equal probability $\frac{1}{6}$ for six dierent processes ABC, ACB, BAC, BCA, CAB, CBA and take the value

$$\frac{1}{6}(ABC + ACB + BAC + BCA + CAB + CBA) = A \quad B \quad C:$$

Note also that A B = A B.

Prolonging this line we should agree that the sym metric monomial

$$A_1 \quad A_2 \qquad \qquad _k A$$

correspond to the monomial of classic observables

$$a_1$$
 a_2 $k:a$

Thus the symmetric polynom ials are a quantum version of classic polynom ials. Then the natural condition of continuity leads us to the Riesz-Cli ord functional calculus.

 $^{^2}$ In spite of som e advantages of the Jordan algebras, they were not too successful and have almost disappeared in the modern literature.

One can sum marize the main point of this Section the following way: To describe of an ensemble of Fermi particles we have to study the algebra of operators on the space $H^0 = H^0$, where the Hilbert space H is a space of states of one particle and H is a (nite-dimensional in our consideration) space of lling numbers. The Riesz-Cli ord functional calculus based on the symmetric product naturally describes functions of non-commuting quantum observables in quantum eld theory.

R eferences

- 1. N. I. Akhiezer and I.M. Glazman. Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space, volume 1. Pitm an Advanced Publishing Program, London, 1981.
- 2. R. F. V. Anderson. The Weyl functional calculus. J. Funct. Anal, 4240 (267, 1969.
- 3. F.A. Berezin. Quantization. Math. USSR Izvestija, 8:1109{1165, 1974.
- 4. F.Brackx, R.Delanghe, and F.Sommen.Cli ord Analysis, volume 76 of Research Notes in Mathematics.Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, 1982.
- 5. L.A. Cobum. Berezin-Toeplitz quantization. In Algebraic Mettods in Operator Theory, pages 101 (108. Birkhauser, New York, 1994.
- 6. L. A. Coburn and J. Xia. Toeplitz algebras and Rie el deformation. Comm. Math. Phys., 1994. to appear.
- 7. R. Delanghe. On the singularities of functions with values in a Cli ord algebra. Math. Ann. 196 (1972), pages 293 (319.
- 8. R. Delanghe, F. Sommen, and V. Soucek. Cli ord Algebra and Spinor-Valued Functions. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992.
- 9. P.A.M.Dirac.Lectures on Quantum Field Theory. Yeshiva University, New York, 1967.
- 10. R. Doran, editor. C -A lgebras: 1943{1993. Number 167 in Contemporary Mathematics. AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1994.

- 11. E.G.E ros. Some quantizations and reections inspired by the Gelfand-Naim ark theorem. In Doran [10], pages 99{113.
- 12. R.P. Feynman. An operator calculus having applications in quantum electrodynamics. Phys. Rev., 84(2):108(128, 1951.
- 13. G.B. Folland. Harm onic Analysis in Phase Space. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989.
- 14. L. Horm ander. The Analysis of Linear Partial Di erential Operators III: Pseudodi erential Operators. Springer (Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokyo, 1985.
- 15. R. Howe. On the role of the Heisenberg group in harm on ic analysis. Bull. of the AMS (New Series), 3(2):821{843,1980.
- 16. R. Howe. Quantum mechanics and partial dierential equations. J. Funct. Anal., 38:188(254, 1980.
- 17. A. A. K irillov. Geometric Quantization, volume 4 of Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences, pages 137 (172. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990.
- 18. V. V. K isil. Relative convolutions. I. Properties and applications. Reporte Interno # 162, Departamento de Matematicas, CINVESTAV del IPN., Mexico City, 1994 (to appear in Advances in Mathematics).
- 19. W . K rolikowski and E.Ram rez de Arellano. Polynom ial solutions of the Fueter-Hurwitz equation. In A.Nageland E.L.Stout, editors, The Madison Symposium on Complex Analysis, number 137 in Contemprorary Mathematics, pages 297{305.AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1992.
- 20. G. Laville. Sur un calcul symbolique de Feynmann. In Seminar d'Analyse, volum e 1295 of Lect. Notes in Math., pages 132 {145. Springer { Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- 21. H. R. Malonek. Hypercom plex dierentiability and its applications. In F. et al., editor, Cli ord Algebras and Applications in Mathematical Physics, pages 141 (150. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Netherlands, 1993.
- 22. V.P.M aslov. Operational Methods. \Nauka", Moscow, 1973.

- 23. A.M cIntosh and A.Pryde. A functional calculus for several commuting operators. Indiana Univ. M ath. J., 36:421 (439, 1987.
- 24. M. A. Rie el. Quantization and C-algebras. In Doran [10], pages 68 [97.
- 25. F. Riesz and B. Sz-Nagy. Functional Analysis. Ungar, New York, 1955.
- 26. I. Segal. C -algebras and quantization. In Doran [10], pages 55 (65.
- 27. M. A. Shubin. Pseudodi erential Operators and Spectral Theory. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- 28. A. Sudbery. Quaternionic analysis. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 85:197{225, 1979.
- 29. J. L. Taylor. The analytic-functional calculus for several commuting operators. Acta M ath., 125:1{38,1970.
- 30. J.L. Taylor. A general fram ework for a multioperator functional calculus. Adv. M ath., 9:183{252, 1972.
- 31. M.E. Taylor. Pseudodi erential Operators, volum e 34 of Princeton Mathematical Series. Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1981.
- 32. M.E. Taylor. Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis, volume 22 of Math. Surv. and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1986.
- 33. H. Weyl. The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics. Dover, New York, 1950.