

23rd March 2004
BARI-TH/00

Constraints to a Yukawa gravitational potential from laser data to LAGEOS satellites

Lorenzo Iorio^y

^yDipartimento di Fisica dell'Università di Bari, via Amendola 173, 70126, Bari, Italy. E-mail:
Lorenzo.Iorio@ba.infn.it

Abstract

In this paper we investigate the possibility of constraining the hypothesis of a fifth force at the length scale of two Earth's radii by investigating the effects of a Yukawa gravitational potential on the orbits of the laser-ranged LAGEOS and LAGEOS II satellites. The existing constraints on the Yukawa coupling α , obtained by fitting the LAGEOS orbit, are of the order of $|\alpha| < 10^{-5} - 10^{-8}$ for distances of the order of 10^9 cm. Here we show that with a suitable combination of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II data it should be possible to constrain α at a level of $4 \cdot 10^{-12}$ or less. Various sources of systematic errors are accounted for, as well. Their total impact amounts to $1 \cdot 10^{-11}$ during an observational time span of 5 years. In the near future, when the new data on the terrestrial gravitational field will be available from the CHAMP and GRACE missions, these limits will be further improved. The use of the proposed LARES laser-ranged satellite would yield an experimental accuracy in constraining α of the order of $1 \cdot 10^{-12}$.

1 Introduction

In this paper we investigate the constraints that can be posed on the existence of a possible fifth force by the analysis of the laser-ranged data to LAGEOS II and LAGEOS Earth satellites. We will consider a potential energy including a Yukawa term of the form [Phanian and Ruini, 1994; Ciufolini and Wheeler, 1995; Nordvedt, 1998]

$$U = U_0 + U_Y = \frac{GMm}{r} \left[1 + \alpha e^{-\frac{r}{\lambda}} \right]; \quad (1)$$

where G is the Newtonian gravitational constant, M is the mass of the central body, m is the mass of the orbiting test particle, r is the distance between the two bodies, $\alpha = \frac{Kk}{GMm}$ contains the couplings K and k of the new force to the two bodies¹ and λ is the finite range of the new force.

In checking the nature of the fifth force it is of the utmost importance to perform experiments spanning the widest range of length scales as possible [Nordvedt, 1998]: for experiments at laboratory scale see [Krauss and Fischbach, 2001] and references therein. Using the orbits of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II satellites implies that we are testing the hypothesis of the fifth force at a length scale of almost two Earth radii, i.e. 10^4 km: at this scale the constraints on α are of the order of $|\alpha| < 10^{-5} - 10^{-8}$ (see Fig. 3.2 (a) of [Ciufolini and Wheeler, 1995]) and are derived from a data analysis of LAGEOS.

Our analysis includes also an evaluation of the error budget in order to account for various systematic errors induced by several classical aliasing forces. We will show that it is possible to improve sensibly the present limits by using suitably the data from the existing LAGEOS and LAGEOS II satellites and the present or near future knowledge of the terrestrial gravitational field whose uncertainties represent, as we will see later, the main sources of systematic errors.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we derive the effects of the Yukawa gravitational potential on the orbit of a test body with the standard technique of the Gauss perturbative equations for the rates of change of the Keplerian orbital elements. In section 3 we apply the results obtained in section 2 to the Earth-LAGEOS system and discuss the constraints posed on α by using a suitable combination of the residuals of the perigee of LAGEOS II and

¹In general, K and k are not proportional to M and m .

the nodes of LAGEOS II and LAGEOS. The effects of various sources of systematic errors are investigated. The role of the proposed LARES satellite is considered as well. Section 4 is devoted to the conclusions.

2 The orbital effects of the Yukawa perturbation

The acceleration felt by a test body orbiting the mass M in the potential energy given by eq.(1) is

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}_0 + \mathbf{a}_{\text{pert}} = -\frac{GM}{r^2} \hat{\mathbf{r}} - GM \left(\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \hat{\mathbf{r}}; \quad (2)$$

In obtaining eq.(2) it has been assumed that $e \ll 1$, as it should be the case for an Earth orbiting satellite with $r \approx 10^7$ m. In eq.(2) $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the unit vector pointing from the central mass to the orbiting body.

The second term of the right hand side of eq.(2) can be considered a small, central perturbation of the Newtonian monopole acceleration. It may be interesting to note that, since, in general, m may vary from a body to another in the field of the same mass², eq.(2) implies that different bodies may be accelerated differently in the field of M [Nordvedt, 1998].

Let us work out explicitly the effects of the Yukawa perturbing acceleration on the orbit of an artificial satellite. We will adopt the standard approach based on the Gauss perturbative equations and the projections of the disturbing acceleration $R; T; N$ onto the radial, along track and cross track mutually orthogonal directions [Milani et al., 1987], respectively. The Gauss equations are

$$\frac{da}{dt} = -\frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{1-e^2} R \sin f + T \frac{p}{r}; \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{de}{dt} = \frac{1}{na} \frac{1}{1-e^2} R \sin f + T \cos f + \frac{1}{e} \left(1 - \frac{r}{a} \right); \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{di}{dt} = -\frac{1}{na} \frac{1}{1-e^2} N \frac{r}{a} \cos(\omega + f); \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{d\omega}{dt} = -\frac{1}{na \sin i} \frac{1}{1-e^2} N \frac{r}{a} \sin(\omega + f); \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = \cos i \frac{d\omega}{dt} + \frac{1}{nae} \left(\frac{1}{1-e^2} \right) R \cos f + T \left(1 + \frac{r}{p} \right) \sin f; \quad (7)$$

²This happens if k is not proportional to m .

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = n - \frac{2}{na} R \frac{r}{a} - \frac{p}{1-e^2} \frac{d!}{dt} + \cos i \frac{d!}{dt}; \quad (8)$$

where a ; e ; i ; $!$ and M are the satellite's semimajor axis, eccentricity, inclination, longitude of the ascending node, argument of perigee and mean anomaly, respectively. Moreover, $p = a(1-e^2)$, f is the true anomaly and $n = \sqrt{\frac{GM}{a^3}}$ is the Keplerian mean motion. As can be noticed from eq.(2), the Yukawa disturbing acceleration has only the in-plane, radial component R , so that it can be straightforwardly inferred from eqs.(5)–(6) that the out-of-plane Keplerian orbital elements like the inclination i and the longitude of the ascending node are not affected by it. By evaluating the Yukawa acceleration on the unperturbed Keplerian ellipse, for which

$$r = \frac{a(1-e^2)}{1+e\cos f}; \quad (9)$$

inserting it in eqs.(3)–(8) and, then, averaging them over an orbital revolution, the long-term rates of change of the Keplerian orbital elements can be obtained. It turns out that, by neglecting terms of order $O(e^n)$, with $n \geq 2$, in the satellite's eccentricity, only the perigee $!$ and the mean anomaly M are affected by long-term Yukawa perturbations. Indeed, from eq.(2) and eqs.(7)–(8) it can be obtained

$$\frac{d!}{dt} = \frac{n}{(1-e^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}; \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{dM}{dt} = n + \frac{n}{(1-e^2)} - \frac{2GM}{na^2} \frac{(1-e^2)}{1+e\cos f}; \quad (11)$$

3 The constraint on

In order to constraint effectively the Yukawa coupling α , let us focus on eq.(10). It tells us that the Yukawa perturbation induces on the perigee of a near Earth satellite a secular rate which, for LAGEOS II, is proportional to $3.06658517 \cdot 10^{12}$ milliarcseconds per year (mas/y) via the coupling constant α . What is the constraint posed on α by the experimental accuracy with which it can be possible to measure the perigee rate? In the case of this Keplerian orbital element the observable quantity is $\dot{r} = e a \dot{!}$. So, by assuming an experimental error of, say,

$r_{\text{exp}}^{\text{II}} = 1$ cm over 1 year, for LAGEOS II, which has $e = 0.014$ and $a = 1.2163 \cdot 10^9$ cm, we

have $\dot{\Pi}_{\text{exp}} = 12 \text{ m as}^3$. This yields a relative accuracy on

$$\frac{\dot{\Pi}_{\text{exp}}}{4 \cdot 10^{12}}: \quad (12)$$

It is interesting to note that the same estimate for the proposed LARES satellite [Ciufolini and Matzner, 1998], which is planned to have a larger eccentricity, $e_{\text{LARES}} = 0.04$, would yield a relative accuracy of almost $1 \cdot 10^{12}$.

However, this estimate does not include any systematic errors. As it is well known from various proposed or performed tests of General Relativity with LAGEOS satellites [Ciufolini et al., 1997; 1998; Ciufolini, 2000; Iorio and Pavlis, 2001; Iorio, 2001; Iorio et al., 2002; Iorio, 2002], in such kind of measurements there are lots of competing classical forces which may act as superimposed biases affecting sensibly the precision of the measurements. Then, the evaluation of the systematic errors induced by them is of the utmost importance.

The main source of systematic errors is represented by the mismodelled precessions of the even zonal harmonics of the static part of the geopotential. In particular, the first two even zonal harmonics J_2 and J_4 are the most insidious. In order to cancel their impact, as proposed in the PPN LAGEOS experiment [Iorio et al., 2002], the following combination of orbital residuals could be used⁴

$$\dot{\Pi} + c_1 \dot{\Pi} + c_2 \dot{\Pi} = x_{\text{Yuk}}; \quad (13)$$

where

$$c_1 = 0.86; \quad (14)$$

$$c_2 = 2.85; \quad (15)$$

$$x_{\text{Yuk}} = 3.06658517 \cdot 10^{12} \text{ m as}^2; \quad (16)$$

The coefficients of eq.(13) depend on the orbital parameters of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II and are obtained in order to cancel the contributions of the first two even zonal harmonics of the

³The other existing passive geodetic laser-ranged satellites are unsuitable because their eccentricities are smaller than LAGEOS II, except for Starlette whose orbit, however, is known less accurately than that of LAGEOS II for various reasons.

⁴Notice that, since LAGEOS enters the combination of eq.(13) only with its node Ω , and since the Yukawa perturbation does not affect such Keplerian orbital element, eq.(13) is insensitive to the possible differential falling of LAGEOS and LAGEOS II in the terrestrial gravitational field.

geopotential to the measurement of $\dot{\theta}$. It is intended that the residuals would account for the Yukawa perturbation in the sense that it would be viewed as an unmodelled feature not included in the force models adopted in fitting the satellites' orbits. According to the covariance matrix of the most recent available Earth gravity model EGM 96 [Lemoine et al., 1998], the systematic error induced by the uncanceled even zonal harmonics of the geopotential amounts to⁵

$$\frac{\sigma_{\dot{\theta}}^{\text{zonals}}}{\dot{\theta}} \approx 7 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (17)$$

Regarding the time-dependent part of the Earth gravitational field, the estimates of [Iorio et al., 2002], adapted to this context, yield for an observational time span of 5 years

$$\frac{\sigma_{\dot{\theta}}^{\text{harmonics}}}{\dot{\theta}} \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (18)$$

The most relevant non-gravitational perturbations are the direct solar radiation pressure and the Earth's albedo. Their impact can be evaluated from [Lucchesi, 2001] for 5 years as

$$\frac{\sigma_{\dot{\theta}}^{\text{non grav}}}{\dot{\theta}} \approx 8 \cdot 10^{-12} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (19)$$

The errors induced by the direct solar radiation pressure and the Earth's albedo have been added quadratically, as suggested in [Lucchesi, 2001]. Regarding other subtle non-gravitational perturbations of thermal origin acting on the orbits of the LAGEOS satellites, their effects on the perigee of LAGEOS II are currently under accurate evaluation.

Then, a reliable estimate of the total systematic error induced by various classical perturbations on the measurement of $\dot{\theta}$ through eq.(13) over a 5 years time span yields

$$\frac{\sigma_{\dot{\theta}}^{\text{total}}}{\dot{\theta}} \approx 1 \cdot 10^{-11} \text{ s}^{-1} \quad (20)$$

In obtaining eq.(20) we have summed in a root-sum-square fashion the gravitational and non-gravitational errors assumed to be independent. Instead, the gravitational error due to the static and time-dependent parts of the Earth's gravitational field have been simply summed up in view of a reciprocal correlation.

⁵This estimate has been obtained by considering the geopotential harmonics up to degree $l = 20$. This is well justified by the insensitivity of LAGEOS satellites to the higher degree terms. Moreover, this fact makes our estimate reliable because the higher degree terms of geopotential in EGM 96 are not particularly well determined.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have shown that by using a suitable combination of the orbital residuals of the perigee of LAGEOS II and the nodes of LAGEOS II and LAGEOS laser-ranged Earth satellites it would be possible to constrain effectively the Yukawa coupling of a possible fifth force at a length scale of almost two Earth's radii. The experimental sensitivity in measuring the perigee, which would be affected by the Yukawa force, of LAGEOS II would allow a precision on of the order of almost 4×10^{-12} over a time span of 1 year. According to the present knowledge of the terrestrial gravity field, the constraint posed by the systematic errors is of the order of 1×10^{-11} over an observational time span of 5 years. These estimates should greatly improve when the new and more accurate data on the Earth gravity field from the CHAMP and GRACE missions will be available in the near future. The use of the proposed LARES satellite, with its larger eccentricity, would allow to improve the experimental constraint to 1×10^{-12} .

References

- [Ciufolini and Wheeler, 1995] Ciufolini, I., and J.A. Wheeler, Gravitation and Inertia, Princeton University Press, New York, 1995.
- [Ciufolini et al., 1997] Ciufolini, I., F. Chiappa, D. Lucchesi, and F. Vespe, Test of Lense-Thirring orbital shift due to spin, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 14, 2701-2726, 1997.
- [Ciufolini and Matzner, 1998] Ciufolini, I., and R. Matzner, LARES, LAser RElativity Satellite, in LARES Phase A study, pp. 16-33, Rome, 1998.
- [Ciufolini et al., 1998] Ciufolini, I., E.C. Pavlis, F. Chiappa, E. Fernandes-Vieira, and J. Perez-Mercader, Test of General Relativity and Measurement of the Lense-Thirring Effect with Two Earth Satellites, *Science*, 279, 2100-2103, 1998.
- [Ciufolini, 2000] Ciufolini, I., The 1995-99 measurements of the Lense-Thirring effect using laser-ranged satellites, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 17, 2369-2380, 2000.
- [Iorio, 2001] Iorio, L., Earth tides and Lense-Thirring effect, *Celest. Mech.*, 79, 201-230, 2001.

- [Iorio and Pavlis, 2001] Iorio, L. and E.C. Pavlis, Tidal Satellite Perturbations and the Lense-Thirring Effect, *J. of the Geod. Soc. of Japan*, 47, 169-173, 2001.
- [Iorio et al., 2002] Iorio, L., I. Ciufolini and E.C. Pavlis, measuring the relativistic pericenter advance in the gravitational field of the Earth with Satellite Laser Ranging, submitted to *Class. and Quantum Grav.*, preprint gr-qc/0103088, 2002.
- [Iorio, 2002] Iorio, L., Testing General Relativity with LAGEOS, LAGEOS II and Ajisai laser-ranged satellites, *J. of the Geod. Soc. of Japan*, 48, 13-20, 2002.
- [Krause and Fischbach, 2001] Krause, D.E., and E. Fischbach, Searching for Extra Dimensions and New String-Inspired Forces in the Casimir Regime, in Lammerzahl, C., C.W.F. Everitt, and F.W. Hehl (eds), *Gyros, Clocks, Interferometers....Testing Relativistic Gravity in Space*, Lecture Note in Physics 562, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [Lemoine et al., 1998] Lemoine, F.G. et al., The Development of the Joint NASA GSFC and the National Imagery Mapping Agency (NIMA) geopotential Model EGM 96, NASA/TP-1998-206861, 1998.
- [Lucchesi, 2001] Lucchesi, D., Reassessment of the error modelling of non-gravitational perturbations on LAGEOS II and their impact in the Lense-Thirring determination. Part I, *Plan. and Space Sci.*, 49, 447-463, 2001.
- [Milani et al., 1987] Milani, A., P., Farinella, and A.M. Nobili, *Non-Gravitational Perturbations and Satellite Geodesy*, Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1987.
- [Nordvedt, 1998] Nordvedt, K., LARES and Tests on New Long Range Forces, in LARES Phase A study, pp. 34-37, Rome, 1998.
- [Ohanian and Ruffini, 1994] Ohanian, H.C., and R. Ruffini, *Gravitation and Spacetime*, W.W. Norton & Company, 2nd edition, 1994.