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#### Abstract

We investigate the backreaction equations for an acoustic black hole formed in a Laval nozzle under the assumption that the motion of the fluid is one-dimensional. The solution in the near-horizon region shows that as phonons are (thermally) radiated the sonic horizon shrinks and the temperature decreases. This contrasts with the behaviour of Schwarzschild black holes, and is similar to what happens in the evaporation of (near-extremal) Reissner-Nordström black holes (i.e., infinite evaporation time). Finally, by appropriate boundary conditions the solution is extended in both the asymptotic regions of the nozzle.
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## 1 Introduction

In 1974 Hawking [1] announced to the physical community his famous result: black holes are not black at all, but emit thermal radiation at a temperature proportional to the horizon surface gravity. Hawking came to this remarkable conclusion by examining, within the framework of quantum field theory in curved space, the propagation of a massless scalar field in the geometry of a collapsing body forming a black hole. If one assumes spherical symmetry, the space-time in the region exterior to the body is described by the Schwarzschild solution (Birkhoff theorem). For these kinds of black holes the horizon is located at $r=2 M$ ( $M$ is the black hole mass) and its surface gravity is $k=1 / 4 M$. This yields an emission temperature $T_{H}=\frac{\hbar k}{2 \pi}=\frac{\hbar}{8 \pi M}$ (the velocity of light and Boltzman constant are set to one). As a consequence black holes, the final end-state of gravitational collapse according to classical General Relativity, are unstable.
The actual evolution of an evaporating black hole should in priciple be described (until quantum gravity effects become relevant) by the semiclassical Einstein equations (backreaction equations)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \beta}\right)=8 \pi\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right\rangle, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\mu \nu}$ is the Einstein tensor and the r.h.s. is the expectation value of the quantum stress tensor for the matter fields which drive the evaporation.
Eq. (1.1) has to be solved for the evaporating black hole metric $g_{\alpha \beta}$. This requires the knowledge of $\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right\rangle$ for an arbitrary (let's say spherically symmetric) metric. Unfortunately, because of the extreme difficulty of the problem, no such expression is available and the backreaction is usually modelled by extrapolating Hawkings's result, which is strictly valid only for static or stationary black holes. Therefore a spherically symmetric black hole of mass $M(t)$ is supposed to emit radiation at a temperature $T=\hbar(8 \pi M(t))^{-1}$. Because of this emission, it looses mass at a rate given by the Stefan-Boltzman law $\frac{d M}{d t} \simeq-A T^{4} \simeq-1 / M^{2}$, where $A=16 \pi M^{2}$ is the horizon area. As the mass decreases the black hole becomes hotter, as can be seen from the temperature formula given above, and eventually disappears (with a final explosion?). Its lifetime is of the order $M_{0}^{3}$ where $M_{0}$ is the initial mass of the black hole.
If the black hole possesses a conserved electric charge $Q(|Q|<M)$ the picture is quite different. In this case the space-time is described by the Reissner-Nordström solution for which the surface gravity reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\left(r_{+}-M\right) / r_{+}^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{+}$is the radius of the horizon, i.e. $r_{+}=M+\sqrt{M^{2}-Q^{2}}$. One sees that, for near-extremal black holes $(M \widetilde{>}|Q|)$, as the mass of the hole decreases because of the evaporation, the temperature $T=\frac{\hbar}{2 \pi} k$ drops costantly. When $M$ is reduced to $M=|Q|$ the temperature is zero. This final state (remnant) is reached in infinite time. This corresponds to the so called third law of black hole thermodynamics.

In 1981 a remarkable paper of Unruh [2] appeared showing that a quantum emission similar to the one predicted by Hawking for black holes is expected in a seemingly completely different physical context, namely fluids undergoing hypersonic motion. This far reaching result opened a continuously developing field of research (the so called black hole analogue models [3]) in condensed matter physics where, unike gravity, the hope to perform experimental test on these theoretical predictions does not seem so remote.
In this paper we will give a first insight on the backreaction this emitted radiation has on the fluid. The plan of the paper is the following.
In section II we report Unruh's analysis of the sound propagating in a hypersonic fluid within the action formalism which is then used to write the backreaction equations for the fluid motion.
In section III we introduce a lower dimensional model where the backreaction equations can be solved to find the first order in $\hbar$ correction to the classical flow.
In section IV we evaluate the quantum stress tensor for the classical background to be inserted in the backreaction equations.
In section V we solve the backreaction equations near the sonic horizon.
In section VI and VII the near horizon solution is extended in the asymptotic regions through the imposition of appropriate matching conditions.
Section VIII is devoted to the conclusions and some extrapolations of our results. In Appendix A and B we report tecnical details needed to perform the calculations.

## 2 Hypersonic flow and sonic black holes

Unruh considered an irrotational, homentropic fluid. In this case the Eulerian equations of motion can be derived from the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\int d^{4} x\left[\rho \dot{\psi}+\frac{1}{2} \rho(\nabla \psi)^{2}+u(\rho)\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density, $\psi$ the velocity potential, i.e. $\vec{v}=\vec{\nabla} \psi$, and $u$ the internal energy density. The overdot means differentiation with respect to (newtonian) time.

Varying $S$ with respect to $\psi$ yields the continuity equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\rho}+\vec{\nabla} \cdot(\rho \vec{v})=0, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas variation with respect to $\rho$ gives Bernoulli's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\psi}+\frac{1}{2} \vec{v}^{2}+\mu(\rho)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu(\rho)=\frac{d u}{d \rho}$.

One can now obtain the linearized wave equation for the propagation of sound waves in a background mean flow by replacing

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi & \rightarrow \psi+\psi_{1}  \tag{2.4}\\
\rho & \rightarrow \rho+\rho_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ and $\psi$ define the mean flow; they are assumed to satisfy the equations of motion and $\psi_{1}$ and $\rho_{1}$ are small amplitudes perturbations.
Expanding $S$ up to quadratic order in these perturbations one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =S_{0}-\int d^{4} x\left[\rho_{1} \dot{\psi}_{1}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{c^{2}}{\rho} \rho_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\vec{\nabla} \psi_{1}\right)^{2}+\rho_{1} \vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi_{1}\right]  \tag{2.5}\\
& =S_{0}+S_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The speed of sound $c$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c^{2}=\rho \frac{d \mu}{d \rho} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can derive the equation of motion for $\rho_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=-\frac{\rho}{c^{2}}\left(\dot{\psi}_{1}+\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi_{1}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\rho_{1}$ occurs quadratically in (2.5) we may use eq. (2.7) to eliminate it and obtain an action for the potential $\psi_{1}$ only

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=-\int d^{4} x\left[\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\vec{\nabla} \psi_{1}\right)^{2}-\frac{\rho}{2 c^{2}}\left(\dot{\psi}_{1}+\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \psi_{1}\right)^{2}\right] . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The remarkable thing is that $S_{2}$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{1} \partial_{\nu} \psi_{1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, following Unruh, we have introduced the acoustic metric

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{\rho}{c}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c^{2}-v^{2} & \vec{v}^{T}  \tag{2.10}\\
\vec{v} & -I
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $I$ is the three-dimensional identity matrix.
In the form of eq. (2.9) $S_{2}$ is completely equivalent to the action for a massless scalar field $\psi_{1}$ propagating in a curved space-time whose line element is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=g_{\mu \nu} d x^{\mu} d x^{\nu} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Varying the action (2.9) with respect to $\psi_{1}$ gives the sound wave equation which can be written in a simple and elegant geometrical way

$$
\square \psi_{1}=0,
$$



Figure I: A Laval nozzle. The waist of the nozzle represents the sonic horizon $(|\vec{v}|=c)$. In the region on the right of the waist $|\vec{v}|<c$ and on the left $|\vec{v}|>c$ (sonic hole).
where $\square=\nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the acoustic metric $g_{\mu \nu}$. Variation of $S_{2}$ of eq. (2.9) with respect to the acoustic metric gives the so called "pseudo energy momentum tensor" (PEMT) $T_{\mu \nu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{2}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

As discussed by Stone [4] the invariance of $S_{2}$ under diffeomorphisms implies the covariant conservation of $T_{\mu \nu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\nu}^{\mu ; \nu}=0 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes in a nice compact form the exchange of energy and momentum between the waves and the fluid reproducing various known laws of classical fluid motion. Of particular interest are fluids undergoing hypersonic motion. In this case the region of the fluid for which $\vec{v}^{2}>c^{2}$ is called acoustic black hole, its boundary $\vec{v}^{2}=c^{2}$ defines the sonic horizon. From this region sound waves cannot propagate upstream since the fluid velocity is bigger than the sound velocity. Sound is dragged by the fluid and cannot excape.
Using the same arguments of Hawking, Unruh, quantizing the field $\psi_{1}$, showed that in the formation of a sonic hole one expects a thermal emission of phonons at a temperature

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{\hbar k}{2 \pi c} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is the surface gravity of the sonic horizon [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{d n}\left(c^{2}-\left|\vec{v}_{\perp}\right|^{2}\right)\right|_{H}, \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n$ is the normal to the horizon.
A tipical situation where this is supposed to occur is a Laval nozzle depicted in Fig.1. The fluid flows from right to left; at the waist of the nozzle the fluid velocity
reaches the speed of sound. This is the location of the sonic horizon.
Expanding into the left region the velocity increases and the fluid becomes hypersonic; this region describes the acoustic black hole. In the asymptotic right region a thermal radiation of phonons is expected according to Unruh's analysis.
The aim of this paper is to give a first qualitative description of the effects this emitted radiation has on the fluid dynamics, i.e. the backreaction for acoustic black holes.
To this end we have to establish the analogue of the semiclassical Einstein equations (1.1), i.e. the evolution equation for the fluid driven by the quantum fields.

Using standard background field formalism one writes the fundamental quantum fields as a sum of background fields $(\rho, \psi)$ (not necessarily satisfying the classical equations of motion) plus quantum fluctuations. Integrating out the quantum fluctuations one obtains the one-loop effective action formally defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=S+\frac{1}{2} \hbar \operatorname{Tr} \ln \left[\square_{g(\rho, \vec{v})}\right]+O\left(\hbar^{2}\right) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the classical action (2.1) and $\square_{g(\rho, \vec{v})}$ is the DAlembertian calculated from an acoustic metric $g_{\mu \nu}(\rho, \vec{v})$ of the functional form of eq. (2.10) [6]. We assume the divergences in the determinant of the above effective action to be removed by employing a covariant regularization scheme. This is the key hypothesis of our work. We will comment on it in the conclusion section. One can therefore write $\Gamma=S+$ $S_{q}\left(g_{\mu \nu}(\rho, \vec{v})\right)$, where the quantum part of $\Gamma$ depends on the dynamical variables $\rho, \vec{v}$ only through the acoustic metric (this is a direct consequence of our hypothesis) and coincides with the effective action of a massless scalar field propagating in a curved background whose metric is $g_{\mu \nu}(\rho, \vec{v})$.
Given this it follows that, using the chain rule,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta S_{q}}{\delta \rho} & =\frac{\delta S_{q}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} \frac{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}{\delta \rho}=-\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle \frac{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}{\delta \rho}=\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) g^{\mu \nu}  \tag{2.17}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\rho}\langle T\rangle=\frac{1}{2}\langle T\rangle \frac{\rho}{c}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle \equiv-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta S_{q}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\langle T\rangle=\left\langle T_{\mu}^{\mu}\right\rangle$.
Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta S_{q}}{\delta v_{i}} & =\frac{\delta S_{q}}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}} \frac{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}{\delta v_{i}}=-\frac{\sqrt{-g}}{2}\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle \frac{\delta g^{\mu \nu}}{\delta v_{i}}=  \tag{2.19}\\
& =\frac{\rho}{c}\left(\left\langle T_{t i}\right\rangle+v_{i}\left\langle T_{i i}\right\rangle\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

In the above expression $g^{\mu \nu}$ is the inverse metric, i.e.

$$
g^{\mu \nu}=-\frac{1}{\rho c}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \vec{v}^{T}  \tag{2.20}\\
\vec{v} & \vec{v} \vec{v}^{T}-c^{2} I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The backreaction equations are therefore (taking into account that $g_{\mu \nu}$ does not depend on $\dot{\psi}$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
\dot{\rho}+\vec{\nabla} \cdot(\rho \vec{v})-\nabla_{i}\left(\frac{\rho}{c}\left(\left\langle T_{t i}\right\rangle+v_{i}\left\langle T_{i i}\right\rangle\right)\right)=0  \tag{2.21}\\
\dot{\psi}+\frac{1}{2} \vec{v}^{2}+\mu(\rho)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\rho}{c}\langle T\rangle=0 \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

The $\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle$ appearing in the backreaction equations should be considered as expectation value of the quantum pseudo energy momentum tensor operator taken in a quantum state appropriate for the radiation process, namely the analogue of the Unruh state [7] (the quantum state suitable to describe black hole evaporation at late times), in which in the remote past prior to the formation of the sonic hole the quantum field is in its vacuum state.
Inspection of the backreaction equations reveals that the continuity equation (associated to the symmetry $\psi \rightarrow \psi+$ const. of the effective action) gets modified by including the divergence of the phonons momentum density $\left(\sqrt{-g}\left\langle T_{i}^{0}\right\rangle\right)$, whereas the Bernoulli equation gets an additional contribution to the chemical potential given by a term proportional to the trace of the pseudo energy momentum tensor of the phonons. These equations reflect the underlying two-component structure of the system as in the Landau-Khalatnikov theory of superfluidity [8].
As in the black hole case the general expression of $S_{q}$ and $\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\left(g_{\alpha \beta}\right)\right\rangle$ is completely unknown and no explicit solution of the backreaction equations (2.21), (2.22) can be given.

## 3 The dimensional reduction model

Lower dimensional models are very useful in physics as they allow explicit solutions of the dynamical equations to be found, providing at least a qualitative description of the evolution of real four-dimensional systems. This attitude has been largely used in studying quantum effects in black hole physics, where two-dimensional models enable investigation of the so called "s-wave sector" [9]. The most popular one is the one proposed by Callan-Giddings-Harvey-Strominger (CGHS) [10] in which the 4D quantum stress tensor is replaced by a 2D one associated to a minimally coupled massless scalar field described at the quantum level by the Polyakov action. This approximation neglects backscattering of the quantum fields caused by the potential barrier located near the horizon.
Within this philosophy a qualitative insight in the backreaction going on in a hypersonic fluid can be obtained by assuming a one dimensional flow for the fluid; the relevant physical quantities depend only on the $z$ coordinate running along the axis of the Laval nozzle of Fig. 1 (i.e. velocity components along $x$ and $y$ are negligible with respect to the $z$ component).

The effective action for the CGHS-like model for the fluid quantum dynamics can be given as $\Gamma^{(2)}=S^{(2)}+S_{p o l}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{(2)}=-\int d^{2} x A\left[\rho \dot{\psi}+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\partial_{z} \psi\right)^{2}+u(\rho)\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained integrating $S$ over the transverse coordinates $x, y$ and $A$ is the area of the transverse section of the nozzle. Using the chain rule as before one can write the backreaction equations as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A \dot{\rho}+\partial_{z}(A \rho v)+\partial_{z}\left[-\frac{1}{c}\left(\left\langle T_{t z}^{(2)}\right\rangle+v\left\langle T_{z z}^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)\right]=0  \tag{3.2}\\
& A\left(\dot{\psi}+\frac{v^{2}}{2}+\mu(\rho)\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\left\langle T^{(2)}\right\rangle\right)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{a b}^{(2)}\right\rangle=-\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g^{(2)}}} \frac{\delta S_{p o l}}{\delta g^{(2) a b}} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $g_{a b}^{(2)}$ is the $(t, z)$ section of the acoustic metric (2.9)

$$
g_{a b}^{(2)}=-\frac{\rho}{c}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c^{2}-v^{2} & v  \tag{3.5}\\
v & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Our aim is to solve these backreaction equations to first order in $\hbar$ to calculate the first quantum corrections to the classical fluid configuration. Therefore we need the quantum source terms calculated at zero order in $\hbar$, i.e. for the classical solution.

## 4 The quantum pseudo stress tensor for static sonic black holes

Before undertaking the study of the backreaction in eqs. (3.2), (3.3) let us describe the solution of the classical equations of motion which describes a static acoustic black hole and then find the corresponding $\left\langle T_{a b}^{(2)}\right\rangle$ in the Unruh state for this background. The classical equations of motion are given by eqs. (3.2), (3.3) neglecting the phonon quantum contribution.
Assuming steady flow, i.e. no time dependence, the continuity equation (3.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z) \rho(z) v(z)=\text { const } \equiv D \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the Bernoulli equation simplifies to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{v^{2}}{2}+\mu(\rho)=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating both equations and taking into account the definition of the velocity of sound (eq. (2.6)) one easily gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{A^{\prime}}{A}=-\frac{v^{\prime}}{v}\left(1-\frac{v^{2}}{c^{2}}\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the famous nozzle equation. From this one deduces important features of the fluid motion. First of all the horizon $(|v|=c)$ forms at the waist of the nozzle $\left(A^{\prime}=0\right) .{ }^{*}$ Furthermore in the hypersonic region $(|v|>c)$ the velocity increases together with the section of the nozzle, just the opposite of what happens in the more familiare subsonic $(|v|<c)$ case.
Let us further assume the sound velocity $c$ constant. This allows the Bernoulli equation (4.2) to be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} v^{2}+c^{2} \ln \frac{\rho}{\rho_{0}}=0 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho_{0} e^{-\frac{v^{2}}{2 c^{2}}} . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose the profile of the Laval nozzle as

$$
A=\left\{\begin{align*}
A_{0}+\beta z^{2} & \text { for }|z| \leq z_{0}  \tag{4.6}\\
A_{+}=\text {const } & \text { for }|z|>z_{0}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $A_{0}, \beta$ and $z_{0}$ are constants.
From the continuity equation (4.1) one has for $|z| \leq z_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\frac{D}{\rho_{0}} \frac{e^{v^{2} / 2 c^{2}}}{v}-A_{0}\right] . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sonic horizon is located at $z=0$ where $v=-c$ (remember that the fluid is moving from right to left). The constant $D$ in eq. (4.1) can be evaluated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A_{0} \rho_{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}} c=D \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which when inserted in eq. (4.7) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{2}=\frac{A_{0}}{\beta}\left[\frac{c}{|v|} e^{\frac{v^{2}-c^{2}}{2 c^{2}}}-1\right] . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implicitly defines $v=v(z)$ and from eq. (4.5) we have $\rho(z)$; so for $|z| \leq z_{0}$ the acoustic metric $g_{a b}^{(2)}(z)$ of the static sonic black hole is determined.
For $|z|>z_{0}$ the solution is simply $v(z)=$ const $=v\left(z_{0}\right)$ for $z>z_{0}$ and $v(z)=$ const $=$ $v\left(-z_{0}\right)$ for $z<-z_{0}$. Similarly for $\rho(z)$. Note that $|v(z)|$ increases as $z$ decreases, while

[^1]$\rho(z)$ decreases as $z$ decreases. This resulting acoustic metric should be regarded as the asymptotic configuration of the fluid resulting from the (time dependent) formation of the sonic hole (see for example [11]).
This dynamical evolution of the fluid excites the modes of the quantum field, which is supposed to be in its vacuum state before the process of formation of the sonic hole begins, yielding nontrivial expectation values for the quantum PEMT. At late times these may be approximated by $\left\langle T_{a b}^{(2)}\right\rangle$ in the Unruh state evaluated in this background.

We introduce a set of null coordinates

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{-}=c\left(t-\int \frac{d z}{c+v}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
& x^{+}=c\left(t+\int \frac{d z}{c-v}\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of these coordinates the acoustic metric is

$$
g_{a b}^{(2)}=-\frac{\rho}{c} \frac{c^{2}-v^{2}}{c^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{1}{2}  \tag{4.12}\\
\frac{1}{2} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $a, b=x_{+}, x_{-}$and the quantum part in eq. (3.2) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{c}\left(\left\langle T_{t z}\right\rangle+v\left\langle T_{z z}\right\rangle\right)=-c^{2}\left[-\frac{\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle}{(c+v)^{2}}+\frac{\left\langle T_{++}\right\rangle}{(c-v)^{2}}\right] . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Polyakov $\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle$in the Unruh state is [12]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle=-\frac{\hbar}{12 \pi} C^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{,---}^{-\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{\hbar \kappa^{2}}{48 \pi}, \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T_{++}\right\rangle=-\frac{\hbar}{12 \pi} C^{\frac{1}{2}} C_{,++}^{-\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the conformal factor of the metric $C$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\frac{\rho}{c} \frac{c^{2}-v^{2}}{c^{2}} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\kappa$ is related to the surface gravity $k$ on the horizon

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=c^{2} \kappa=\left.c \frac{d}{d z} v\right|_{H} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For our particular chioce of $A(z)$ (see eq.(4.6)), the surface gravity is $k=c^{2} \kappa=$ $c^{2} \sqrt{\beta / A_{0}}$. The trace $\left\langle T^{(2)}\right\rangle$ is completely anomalous and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle T^{(2)}\right\rangle \frac{\hbar}{24 \pi} R^{(2)} \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R^{(2)}$ is the Ricci scalar for the metric $g_{a b}^{(2)}$.
Note also that in the Unruh state $\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle$is regular on the horizon (where $v=-c$ ) making the r.h.s. of eq. (4.13) finite.
Explicit calculation of the components of $\left\langle T_{a b}^{(2)}\right\rangle$ are given in Appendix I. We recall here only the asymptotic limits

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle\right|_{z \rightarrow \infty} & =\frac{\hbar \kappa^{2}}{48 \pi}  \tag{4.19}\\
\left.\left\langle T_{++}\right\rangle\right|_{z \rightarrow 0} & =-\frac{\hbar \kappa^{2}}{48 \pi}
\end{align*}
$$

## 5 The backreaction equations near the horizon

As said before our aim is to find the first order correction in $\hbar$ to the classical sonic black hole solution $\rho(z), v(z)$ described in eqs. (4.5)-(4.7). To this end we define the quantum corrected velocity potential and density by

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{B} & =\psi(z)+\epsilon \psi_{1}(z, t)  \tag{5.1}\\
\rho_{B} & =\rho(z)+\epsilon \rho_{1}(z, t) \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the subscript "B" stays for backreaction, $v=\partial_{z} \psi$ and we have introduced a dimensionless expansion parameter $\epsilon=\hbar /\left(|D| A_{0}\right)$. These values are found by solving the backreaction eqs. $(2.21),(2.22)$ linearized in $\epsilon$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \epsilon\left[A \dot{\rho}_{1}+\partial_{z}\left(A\left(\rho_{1} v+\rho \partial_{z} \psi_{1}\right)\right)\right]=c^{2} \partial_{z}\left[-\frac{\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle}{(c+v)^{2}}+\frac{\left\langle T_{++}\right\rangle}{(c-v)^{2}}\right] \equiv \epsilon F_{2}(z)  \tag{5.3}\\
& \epsilon\left[A\left(\dot{\psi}_{1}+v \partial_{z} \psi_{1}+\frac{c^{2}}{\rho} \rho_{1}\right)\right]=\frac{\left\langle T^{(2)}\right\rangle}{2} \equiv \epsilon G_{2}(z) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The explicit expressions for $F_{2}$ and $G_{2}$ are given in Appendix I.
From eq. (5.4) one can obtain $\rho_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\frac{\rho}{c^{2}}\left[\frac{G_{2}}{A}-\dot{\psi}_{1}-v \psi_{1}^{\prime}\right], \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we indicate ${ }^{\prime} \equiv \partial_{z}$.
Taking the time derivative of this equation and substituting it in the continuity eq. (5.3) one obtains the following wave equation for $\psi_{1}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{v} \ddot{\psi}_{1}-2 \dot{\psi}_{1}^{\prime}-v^{\prime}\left(1+\frac{c^{2}}{v^{2}}\right) \psi_{1}^{\prime}+\left(\frac{c^{2}}{v}-v\right) \psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}= \\
=\left[\frac{c^{2} F_{2}}{D}-\left(\frac{G_{2}}{A}\right)^{\prime}\right] \equiv H(z) \tag{5.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

The l.h.s. is simply proportional to $\nabla_{a}^{(2)}\left(\frac{A \rho}{c} \nabla^{(2) a} \psi_{1}\right)$ and the r.h.s. $H(z)$ represents the quantum source evaluated for the classical static solution.

We are mainly interested on the fate of the sonic horizon, so we will solve the wave equation (5.6) near $z=0$. To this end we need an expansion of the background quantities $\rho$ and $v$, and hence $\left\langle T_{a b}^{(2)}\right\rangle$, for $\kappa z \ll 1$. The results of this tedious calculation are given in Appendix II. We give here only the final results. Eq. (5.6) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\frac{1}{c}(1+\kappa z) \ddot{\psi}_{1}-2 \dot{\psi}_{1}^{\prime}-2 c \kappa\left(1+\frac{2}{3} \kappa z\right) \psi_{1}^{\prime}-2 c \kappa z \psi_{1}^{\prime \prime}= \\
=H_{0}+H_{1} \kappa z \tag{5.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0} \simeq 0.038 \frac{\kappa^{3} c^{2} A_{0}}{\pi}  \tag{5.8}\\
& H_{1} \simeq 1.128 \frac{\kappa^{3} c^{2} A_{0}}{\pi} \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall write the solution in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}=f_{0}(t)+\kappa z f_{1}(t)+\frac{\kappa^{2} z^{2}}{2} f_{2}(t)+\ldots \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(t), i=1,2,3 \ldots$ are functions of $t$ only, to be determined.
The zero order (in $\kappa z$ ) equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c} \ddot{f}_{0}(t)-2 \kappa \dot{f}_{1}(t)-2 c \kappa^{2} f_{1}(t)=H_{0} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas the first order equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c}\left[\ddot{f}_{0}(t)+\ddot{f}_{1}(t)-2 \kappa \dot{f}_{2}(t)\right]-4 c \kappa^{2}\left[f_{2}(t)+\frac{1}{3} f_{1}(t)\right]=H_{1} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now define the boundary conditions on the solution. We require that at some given time, let's say $t=0$, the evaporation is switched on, i.e. we require $\psi_{1}(t=0)=v_{1}(t=0)=\rho_{1}(t=0)=0$.
The vanishing of $\psi_{1}$ and $v_{1}=\partial_{z} \psi_{1}$ at $t=0$ implies from eq. (5.10) that $f_{i}(t=0)=0$. Remembering now the relation between $\rho_{1}$ and $\psi_{1}$ (see eq. (5.5)) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{1}(t=0)=0 \Rightarrow \dot{\psi}_{1}(t=0)=\frac{G_{2}}{A} \\
& \kappa^{i} \frac{\dot{f}_{i}(t=0)}{i!}=\left(\frac{G_{2}}{A}\right)_{i} \quad i . e .  \tag{5.13}\\
& i=0,1,2 \ldots \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

where the expression on the r.h.s. means the coefficients of the $i$-th term of the expansion in $z$ of $G_{2} / A$.
Evaluating eqs. (5.11), (5.12) and the time derivative of eq. (5.11) at $t=0$ one can obtain the following approximate expression for $\psi_{1}$ (see Appendix II for details)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}=a_{1} t+\frac{a_{2}}{2} t^{2}+\frac{a_{3}}{3!} t^{3}+\ldots .+\kappa z\left(b_{1} t+\frac{b_{2}}{2} t^{2}+\ldots .\right)+\frac{\kappa^{2} z^{2}}{2}\left(c_{1} t+\ldots\right) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numerical coefficients are reported in the same Appendix.
Since we have calculated the quantum source only for the static classical metric there is no time evolution of the source itself. This limits the validity of our solution for small values of $t$ only $(c \kappa t \ll 1)$. For this reason we have just given a power expansion of the functions $f_{i}(t)$. Therefore we are only able to predict how the backreaction starts, i.e. to connect the static fluid configuration at time $t_{0}=0$ to a quasi static fluid configuration at time $t_{0}+\Delta t$. With this in mind we can now give the quantum correction to the velocity field

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(z, t)=\kappa b_{1} t+(\kappa z) c_{1} \kappa t=\kappa t\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z\right) . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $b_{1}>0$ (see Appendix II) and being $\kappa z \ll 1$ for the validity of our solution, we can conclude that $v_{1}>0$. The background velocity is negative, so we conclude that the backreaction has the net effect to decrease the modulus of the velocity, i.e. the fluid is slowing down.
The quantum corrected velocity is therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{B}=v+\epsilon v_{1}=-c+c \kappa z-\frac{1}{6} c \kappa^{2} z^{2}+\epsilon\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z\right) \kappa t . \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The acoustic horizon is defined $\left|v_{B}\right|=c$; this yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{H}=-\frac{\epsilon \kappa b_{1} t}{c \kappa+\hbar c_{1} \kappa^{2} t} \simeq-\frac{\epsilon b_{1} t}{c} . \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation shows that the horizon is moving to the left with respect the classical location $z_{H}=0$. Therefore, as the evaporation proceeds, the hypersonic region gets smaller and smaller. This is the behaviour one would have naively expected. The coefficient $b_{1}$ determining the quantum correction to the velocity and hence the evolution of the horizon is just the gradient of the additional chemical potential related to the expectation value of the trace evaluated at $z=0$. This should be compared to the black hole case where the evolution of the horizon is determined by the energy flux ( $\dot{M} \propto\left\langle T_{t}^{r}\right\rangle$ in spherical symmetry). While in the latter case Hawking radiation occurs at the expense of the gravitational energy of the black hole, in the fluid phonons emission takes away kinetic energy from the system.
Finally, from eq. (5.5) we can calculate $\rho_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\frac{\rho_{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{c^{2}}(\alpha+\delta \kappa z) t \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha & =-a_{2}+c \kappa b_{1}  \tag{5.19}\\
\delta & =-b_{2}-a_{2}+c \kappa c_{1} \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

This yields $\rho_{1}<0$, i.e. $\rho_{B}=\rho+\epsilon \rho_{1}$ is decreasing.

## 6 The backreaction equation in the region $z>z_{0}$

In the region $z>z_{0}$, assuming $\kappa z_{0} \ll 1$, the background solutions can be approximated as

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =-c+c \kappa z_{0}  \tag{6.1}\\
\rho & =\rho_{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\kappa z_{0}\right) \tag{6.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The backreaction equation (5.6) becomes the simple flat space wave equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{-} \partial_{+} \psi_{1}=0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since in this region $\left\langle T^{(2)}\right\rangle=\left\langle T_{+-}\right\rangle=0$ and $\left\langle T_{--}\right\rangle=$const, then $F_{2}=G_{2}=0$. The null coordinates $x^{-}, x^{+}$are defined as follows (see eqs. (4.10), (4.11))

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{-} & =c\left(t-\frac{z}{c \kappa z_{0}}\right)  \tag{6.4}\\
x^{+} & =c\left(t+\frac{z}{2 c-c \kappa z_{0}}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The most general solution of (6.3) is a linear combination of two arbitrary functions of the kind $\xi\left(x^{-}\right)$and $\eta\left(x^{+}\right)$.

The source term given by the quantum pseudo stress tensor is discontinuous in $z=z_{0}$, so our model resembles a sandwich of space-time regions glued across singular hypersurfaces. We can find a solution of (6.3) for $z>z_{0}$ by requiring continuity for the $v_{1}(z, t)$ field across the boundary $z=z_{0}$. Because of the discontinuity in $\left\langle T_{a b}^{(2)}\right\rangle$, there is no way to make $v_{1}$ and $\rho_{1}$ simultaneously continuous across $z_{0}$. Our choice leads to a quantum corrected acoustic metric wich is continuous in $z=z_{0}$ up to a conformal factor.
For $z<z_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}(z, t)=\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z\right) \kappa t \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}\left(z_{0}, t\right)=\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right) \kappa t . \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As seen from the curved space point of view, the $z=z_{0}$ surface is a timelike surface of the acoustic metric of eq. (3.5). It is crossed in the future only by outgoing rays. Therefore for $z>z_{0}$ the solution of the wave equation assumes a retarded "Vaidya form" $\psi_{1}=\psi_{1}\left(x^{-}\right)$. Now from eq. (6.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=\partial_{z} \psi_{1}\left(x^{-}\right)=-\frac{1}{\kappa z_{0}} \partial_{-} \psi_{1} \equiv F\left(x^{-}\right) . \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $x^{-}\left(t=0, z=z_{0}\right)=-1 / k \equiv x_{0}^{-}, F\left(x^{-}\right)$can be approximated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(x^{-}\right)=l\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right) \Theta\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)+O\left(\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)^{2}\right), \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $l$ is a constant to be fixed by requiring continuity in $z=z_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F\left(x^{-}\right)\right|_{z=z_{0}}=l c t=v_{1}\left(z_{0}, t\right)=\left(b_{1}+c \kappa z_{0}\right) \kappa t \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l=\frac{\kappa}{c}\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right), \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is positive definite.
Therefore for $z>z_{0}, x^{-}>x_{0}^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=v_{1}\left(x^{-}\right)=\frac{1}{c}\left(\kappa b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa^{2} z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)+\ldots, \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas for $x^{-}<x_{0}^{-}$it vanishes identically.
The quantum corrected velocity field reads therefore, for $z>z_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{B}=v+\hbar v_{1}=-c\left(1-\kappa z_{0}\right)+\frac{\kappa}{c}\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right), \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing a velocity decreasing in modulus with the advanced time $x^{-}$.
Integrating $v_{1}=\partial_{z} \psi_{1}\left(x^{-}\right)$one can get $\psi_{1}\left(x^{-}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{1}=-\frac{\kappa z_{0}}{2 c}\left(\kappa b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa^{2} z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)^{2} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{1}=\frac{\rho}{c^{2}}\left(-\dot{\psi}_{1}-v v_{1}\right)=\frac{\rho_{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{c^{2}}\left(1+\kappa z_{0}\right)\left(\kappa b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa^{2} z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right) \Theta\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right), \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which increases in terms of the advanced time.
As already said $\rho_{1}$ cannot be made continuous across $z=z_{0}$. Evaluating the limits from both sides of the hypersurface $z=z_{0}$ one can find the jump in $\rho_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \rho_{1}=\frac{\rho_{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{c^{2}}\left[a_{2}+\kappa z_{0}\left(\kappa b_{1}+b_{2}+a_{2}\right) c t\right] . \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 7 The backreaction equation in $z<-z_{0}$

In this region the backreaction equation is $\partial_{-} \partial_{+} \psi_{1}=0$, as in the previous case. Now the null coordinates are

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{-} & =c\left(t+\frac{z}{c \kappa z_{0}}\right)  \tag{7.1}\\
x^{+} & =c\left(t+\frac{z}{2 c+c \kappa z_{0}}\right) . \tag{7.2}
\end{align*}
$$

As one can see from the acoustic metric (3.5), the surface $z=-z_{0}$ is now a spacelike surface; it is crossed in the future direction from both ingoing $x^{+}=$const and outgoing $x^{-}=$const characteristics of the wave equation. So the solution will depend on both $x^{-}$and $x^{+}$.

The classical background quantities are now for $z<-z_{0}$

$$
\begin{align*}
v & =-c-c \kappa z_{0}  \tag{7.3}\\
\rho & =\rho_{0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-\kappa z_{0}\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The boundary conditions we will impose to find the solution in this region are the continuity for both $v_{1}$ and $\rho_{1}$. Unlike the $z=z_{0}$ case, here this is possible being $z=-z_{0}$ a spacelike surface. Now we impose continuity of $v_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z} \psi_{1}\left(-z_{0}^{-}\right)=\partial_{z} \psi_{1}\left(-z_{0}^{+}\right) \equiv \varphi_{1}(c t) \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the r.h.s. is calculated on the solution obtained in Section 5. Continuity of $\rho_{1}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\rho}{c^{2}}\left[-\dot{\psi}_{1}-v \partial_{z} \psi_{1}\right]\right|_{z=-z_{0}^{-}}=\frac{\rho}{c^{2}}\left[\frac{G_{2}}{A}-\dot{\psi}_{1}-v \partial_{z} \psi_{1}\right] \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Being the background quantities (7.3), (7.4) continuous across $z=-z_{0}$ and taking account of eq. (7.5) we require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{z} \psi_{1}\left(-z_{0}^{-}\right)=\left.\left(\dot{\psi}_{1}-\frac{G_{2}}{A}\right)\right|_{z=-z_{0}^{+}} \equiv c \varphi_{2}(c t) . \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Section 5

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{1}(c t) & =\frac{\kappa}{c}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right) t  \tag{7.8}\\
c \varphi_{2}(c t) & =\left(\frac{a_{2}}{c}-\frac{b_{2} \kappa z_{0}}{c}\right) c t \tag{7.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and using standard technique for solving the wave equation one eventually arrives at

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}= & {\left[\frac{\kappa^{2} z_{0}}{2 c}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{2 c^{2}}\left(a_{2}-b_{2} \kappa z_{0}\right)\right]\left[\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right) \Theta\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)\right.} \\
& \left.-\left(x^{+}-x_{0}^{+}\right) \Theta\left(x^{+}-x_{0}^{+}\right)\right]+\frac{\kappa}{c}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right) \Theta\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right), \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{0}^{-}=x^{-}\left(z=-z_{0}, t=0\right)=\frac{1}{\kappa}  \tag{7.11}\\
& x_{0}^{+}=x^{+}\left(z=-z_{0}, t=0\right)=-\frac{z_{0}}{2+\kappa z_{0}} . \tag{7.12}
\end{align*}
$$

So we have a Vaidya ingoing solution for $x^{-}<x_{0}^{-}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{1}=-\left[\frac{\kappa z_{0}}{2 c}\left(\kappa b_{1}-z_{0} \kappa^{2} c_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2 c^{2}}\left(a_{2}-\kappa z_{0} b_{2}\right)\right]\left(x^{+}-x_{0}^{+}\right) \Theta\left(x^{+}-x_{0}^{+}\right) \tag{7.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence for $x^{-}>x_{0}^{-}$

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}= & {\left[\frac{\kappa z_{0}}{c}\left(\kappa b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa^{2} z_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{c^{2}}\left(a_{2}-b_{2} \kappa z_{0}\right) \frac{z+z_{0}}{\kappa z_{0}\left(2+\kappa z_{0}\right)}+\right.} \\
& \left.+\frac{\kappa}{c}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)\right] \tag{7.14}
\end{align*}
$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{1}=\frac{\rho}{c^{2}}\left\{\left(b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right)\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right) \Theta\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)+\left[\frac{\kappa^{2} z_{0}}{2}\left(b_{1}-c_{1} \kappa z_{0}\right)-\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-\frac{1}{2}\left(a_{2}-b_{2} \kappa z_{0}\right)\right]\left[\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right) \Theta\left(x^{-}-x_{0}^{-}\right)-\left(x^{+}-x_{0}^{+}\right) \Theta\left(x^{+}-x_{0}^{+}\right)\right]\right\} . \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

## 8 The fate of the acoustic black hole

The aim of this paper was to face the backreaction problem for acoustic black holes. First we have found the equations for the fluid driven by the linear quantum fluctuations, i.e. the linearized "backreaction equations".
In a lower dimensional case, keeping the Polyakov approximation for the stress tensor, we have solved these equations near the horizon, under the assumption that the quantum source corresponds to the pseudo stress tensor of the quantum fields evaluated for the static classical background.
The information we can gain is just preliminary: we obtain an indication on how the quantum solution starts to depart from the classical configuration, once the quantum effects are switched on.
It's not possible to do anything better even for the gravitational black hole evaporation. However very interesting indications seem to emerge even from this simplified model.
We have shown that the sonic horizon moves to the left with respect to the nozzle and the hypersonic region shrinks. From the expression of the quantum corrected fluid velocity

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{B}=-c+c \kappa z-\frac{1}{6} c \kappa^{2} z^{2}+\epsilon\left(b_{1}+c_{1} \kappa z\right) \kappa t \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the equation for the horizon

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{H}=-\epsilon \frac{b_{1}}{c} t \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can evaluate how the emission temperature of these quasi static configuration varies with time, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & \left.\frac{\hbar c}{2 \pi} \frac{\partial v_{B}}{\partial z}\right|_{z_{H}}= \\
& =\frac{\hbar c}{2 \pi}\left(\kappa-\frac{1}{3} \kappa^{2} z_{H}+\frac{\epsilon \kappa^{2} c_{1}}{c} t\right) . \tag{8.3}
\end{align*}
$$

This, using eq.(8.2), yields

$$
\begin{align*}
T= & \frac{\hbar c}{2 \pi} \kappa\left[1+\frac{\epsilon \kappa}{c}\left(\frac{b_{1}}{3}+c_{1}\right) t\right]= \\
& =\frac{\hbar c}{2 \pi} \kappa\left[1-\frac{563}{720 \pi} \epsilon \kappa^{3} c A_{0} t\right] . \tag{8.4}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression is rather significative: it tells us that the emission temperature of the sonic black hole decreases in time. Unlike Schwarzschild black holes, the sonic black hole gets cooler as it evaporates. Its behaviour is therefore much more similar to a Reissner-Nordström black hole.

It's very interesting to try to extrapolate this behaviour in time. From eq. (8.4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta T \propto-\kappa^{4} c^{2} A_{0} \Delta t \simeq \propto-T^{4} \Delta t \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This yields approximatively

$$
\begin{equation*}
t \sim \frac{1}{T^{3}} \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that as the sonic black hole radiates, its temperature decreases reaching asymptotically zero value in an infinite time.

For a near extremal Reissner-Nordström black hole $\left(r_{+} \simeq M \simeq|Q|\right)$ a similar analysis yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d M}{d t} & \simeq-A_{H} T_{H}^{4} \propto-Q^{2}(M-Q)^{2} Q^{2}  \tag{8.7}\\
\frac{1}{M-Q} & =t \sim \frac{1}{T^{2}} \tag{8.8}
\end{align*}
$$

So sonic balck holes resemble near extremal R-N black holes. The end-state of the evaporation process corresponds in both cases to a zero temperature configuration, reached asymptotically in time.
One should mention that other analog models like a thin film of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$-A with a moving domain wall [13] seem to show a non-vanishing end-temperature of the evaporation process.
In a similar way one can treat sonic black holes formed by a Bose-Einstein condensate [14]. The major difference comes from the fact that the sound velocity $c$ is not constant, but proportional to $\rho^{\frac{1}{2}}$. This will be discussed elsewhere.

## 9 Conclusion

The basic question which the analysis presented here leaves unanswered is to what extent does the behaviour we have obtained for the quantum corrected evolution depend on the linear dispersion relation used (free scalar field to describe phonons), which ignores short distance corrections due to the molecular structure of the fluid. The same uncertainty exists for any study in curved space quantum field theory which involves high frequency modes like the Hawking effect or in general renormalization calculations. One does not know how the yet unknown behaviour of the spacetime at the Planck scale may influence the results. So far many studies have been devoted to investigate the robustness of the thermal radiation predicted by Hawking both in the gravitational context and in the analogue models. Looking at 2D models one has mainly analyzed the behaviour of the Bogolubov $\beta_{w}$ coefficient giving the created particles number once nonlinear dispersion relations are introduced in the high frequency regime. This is supposed to model the breaking of local Lorentz (or Galilean, in the analogue model context) invariance here expected. The scale at which this happens is the Planck scale for gravity, whereas for sonic black holes it is the intermolecular size. As a result of these studies there is now some consensus (see however the recent paper [15] where interesting counterexamples are given) that the $\beta_{w}$ coefficient is basically unaffected by the dispersion relation [16]. However no one knows yet what happens to observables like $\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle$, which are in addition sensible to the regularization scheme used. In a pure 2D context and for massless scalar fields, Jacobson [17] has argued that, within a covariant regularization, no significant deviation from the usual expression for the trace anomaly (eq. (4.18)) and the flux (eq. 4.19)) are expected if one introduces a cutoff at high frequencies. However, for the hydrodynamical system we have considered, covariance is a symmetry of the phonons low energy effective theory only, which is broken at short distance. Hence non covariant terms depending on the microscopic physics are expected to show up in the effective action and are crucial for a correct description of the unperturbed quantum vacuum of the fluid. However the expectation values $\left\langle T_{\mu \nu}\right\rangle$ entering the backreaction equations $(2.21,2.22)$ do not represent the energy momentum of the fluid quantum vacuum. They describe instead the perturbation of the stationary vacuum (whose energy is strictly zero [18]) induced by inhomogeneities and by the time dependent formation of the sonic hole which triggers the phonons emission. In this paper we have assumed that these deviations can be computed within the low energy theory. This situation is not unusual. Casimir effects are well known examples of vacuum disturbances caused by the presence of boundaries. It happens that the Casimir energy is often (but not always, see G. Volovik in Ref. [3]) independent on the microscopic physics and can be calculated within the framework of the low energy theory. This happens because, while low frequency modes are reflected by the boundaries, for the high energy ones the wall is transparent. They produce a divergent contribution to the vacuum energy which is canceled by a proper regularization scheme and does not
affect the finite result. We have assumed that a similar decoupling happens for the acoustic black hole. The check of our hypothesis would require an analysis of the quantum system within the microscopic theory which takes into account the time dependent non homogeneous formation of the sonic hole. This is for the moment beyond computational capability.
More efforts are therefore necessary to fully understand the role played by the highfrequency modes in the above scenarios; in particular what is needed is a proper description of the way these modes interact with the underlying medium.
To this end the study of black hole analogue models seems much more promising, since there the underlying physics is at least in principle known. Progress in this area may then be used as "theoretical laboratory" for ideas to be exported and tested in quantum gravity.
Given the the present limited understanding, our work is of course not the end story but it should be regarded simply as a first attempt to attack the backreaction problem in sonic black holes, an attempt performed using available technical tools developed in quantum field theory in curved space.
It is obvious that more detailed analysis are needed and will hopefully be performed in the not too distant future. However being the behaviour we have found for the evolution of a sonic hole physically quite reasonable, we would expect these features to be confirmed.
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## A Appendix I

In this appendix we give the relevant expressions needed to evaluate the r.h.s. of the backreaction equations.

The stress tensor components are

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{--}^{(2)}= & -\frac{\hbar}{12 \pi} \frac{\left(c^{2}-v^{2}\right)}{4 c^{4}}\left[\left(-\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}}{2 \rho}+\frac{3}{4} \frac{\rho^{\prime 2}}{\rho^{2}}\right)\left(c^{2}-v^{2}\right)+\right. \\
& \left.+v^{\prime 2}+v v^{\prime \prime}+\frac{\left(v v^{\prime}\right)^{2}}{c^{2}-v^{2}}\right]+\frac{\hbar \kappa^{2}}{48 \pi},  \tag{1.1}\\
T_{++}^{(2)}= & T_{--}^{(2)}-\frac{\hbar \kappa^{2}}{48 \pi} . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The anomalous trace is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{(2)}=\frac{\hbar}{24 \pi} R^{(2)} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=-\frac{1}{\rho c}\left[\left(\frac{\rho^{\prime \prime}}{\rho}-\frac{\rho^{\prime 2}}{\rho^{2}}\right)\left(c^{2}-v^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{2 v v^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}}{\rho}+2 v^{\prime 2}+2 v v^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] . \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

One needs an expansion of the background velocity field till the fifth order in $\kappa z$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \simeq-c+c \kappa z-\frac{1}{6} c \kappa^{2} z^{2}-\frac{11}{36} c \kappa^{3} z^{3}+\frac{77}{1080} c \kappa^{4} z^{4}+\frac{769}{4320} c \kappa^{5} z^{5} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly for the energy density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \simeq \rho_{o} e^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\kappa z-\frac{1}{6} \kappa^{2} z^{2}-\frac{23}{36} \kappa^{3} z^{3}+\frac{167}{1080} \kappa^{4} z^{4}+\frac{485}{864} \kappa^{5} z^{5}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting the expansions (1.5) and (1.6) it is possible to obtain the following expressions, entering in the backreaction equations

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{2} & \simeq \frac{\kappa^{2} c^{2} A_{0}^{2}}{24 \pi}\left(\frac{1}{3}+\frac{9}{2} \kappa z-\frac{49}{5} \kappa^{2} z^{2}\right)  \tag{1.7}\\
F_{2} & \simeq \frac{|D| A_{0} \kappa^{3}}{48 \pi}\left(-\frac{649}{60}-\frac{4909}{360} \kappa z\right),  \tag{1.8}\\
H(z) & \simeq \frac{\kappa^{3} c^{2} A_{0}}{48 \pi}\left(\frac{109}{60}+\frac{19501}{360} \kappa z\right) . \tag{1.9}
\end{align*}
$$

## B Appendix II

The coefficients entering in the solution of the backreaction equations are given as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{1} & =\left.\dot{f}_{0}\right|_{t=0}=\frac{1}{3} \gamma  \tag{2.10}\\
a_{2} & =\left.\ddot{f}_{0}\right|_{t=0}=\frac{1189}{120} \gamma c \kappa  \tag{2.11}\\
a_{3} & =\left.\dddot{f_{0}}\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{10337}{360} \gamma(c \kappa)^{2}  \tag{2.12}\\
b_{1} & =\left.\dot{f}_{1}\right|_{t=0}=\frac{9}{2} \gamma  \tag{2.13}\\
b_{2} & =\left.\ddot{f}_{1}\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{16817}{120} \gamma c \kappa  \tag{2.14}\\
c_{1} & =\left.\dot{f}_{2}\right|_{t=0}=-\frac{304}{15} \gamma \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma=\frac{\kappa^{2} c^{2} A_{0}}{24 \pi}$. The first time derivatives are calculated from the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\kappa^{i} \frac{\dot{f}_{i}}{i!}\right|_{t=0}=\left(\frac{G_{2}}{A}\right)_{i} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whereas $\left.\ddot{f}_{0}\right|_{t=0}$ is calculated from eq. (5.11) evaluated at $t=0$ and $\left.\ddot{f}_{1}\right|_{t=0}$ is calculated from eq. (5.12) using the former result for $\ddot{f}_{0}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\ddot{f}_{0}\right|_{t=0}=c\left(H_{0}+2 \frac{G_{2}^{(1)}}{A_{0}}\right)  \tag{2.17}\\
& \left.\ddot{f}_{1}\right|_{t=0}=c\left(\frac{H_{1}}{\kappa}-H_{0}-2 \frac{G_{2}^{(1)}}{A_{0}}+\frac{4}{\kappa}\left(\frac{G_{2}}{A}\right)_{2}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, differentiating eq. (5.11) and evaluating at $t=0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\dddot{f}_{0}\right|_{t=0}=2 c^{2} \kappa\left[\frac{H_{1}}{\kappa}-H_{0}+\frac{4}{\kappa}\left(\frac{G_{2}}{A}\right)_{2}\right] . \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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