Sem iclassical lim it for D irac particles interacting with a gravitational eld

A lexander J. Silenko

Institute of Nuclear Problems, Belarusian State University, Minsk 220080, Belarus

Oleg V. Teryaev^y

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna 141980, Russia (Dated: January 4, 2022)

The behavior of spin 1=2 particle in a weak static gravitational eld is considered. The D irac H am iltonian is diagonalized by the Foldy-W outhuysen transform ation providing also the simple form for the momentum and spin polarization operators. The operator equations of momentum and spin motion are derived for a rst time. Their semiclassical limit is analyzed. The dipole spin-gravity coupling in the previously found (another) H am iltonian does not lead to any observable elects. The general agreement between the quantum and classical analysis is established, contrary to several recent claims. The expression for gravitational Stern-Gerlach force is derived. The helicity evolution in the gravitational eld and corresponding accelerated frame coincides, being the manifestation of the equivalence principle.

PACS num bers: 04.20.C v, 03.65.Ta, 04.25.N x

I. INTRODUCTION

Interaction of elementary particles with gravitational elds poses an interesting problem with important astrophysical applications. One of the approaches to this problem is provided by a corresponding D irac equation in an external gravitational eld. It was recently solved [1] using the exact diagonalization by an appropriate unitary transformation for the wide class of static gravitational elds. However, the presence of a dipole spin-gravity coupling in nal results of Refs. [1, 2] is controversial [3, 4]. For accelerated frames, there is not any similar coupling (see [5, 6, 7]).

There is also a related problem of disagreement between the classical formula for the angle of particle deection by a gravitational eld and the corresponding expression for D irac particles claimed recently by another author [8].

In the present article we resolve these contradictions. The diagonalization of D irac equation is still insu cient to get the sem iclassical equations of spin m otion form only obtained in [9, 10]. The problem is that the derivation of the equations of motion requires also the know ledge of respective dynamical operators, in particular, that of momentum and spin. We investigate this problem and show that these operators have a rather complicated form in the representation used in [1, 2], which is because that representation, although diagonal, does not possess all the properties of the Foldy-Wouthuysen (FW) one. As a result, the dipole spin-gravity coupling appearing in [1, 2] does not lead to new observable e ects.

To bypass this di culty, we construct the \standard" FW representation where the dynamical operators take

the simple form. We derive (for the rst time, up to our know ledge) the operator equations of momentum and spin motion in a weak spherically symmetric gravitational eld and uniformly accelerated frame. We study the semiclassical limit of these equations to get the momentum, spin polarization and helicity evolution. The results fully agree with the classical gravity (so that the disagreement found in [8] is not con med) and contain quantum corrections. In particular, the expression for the gravitational Stem-Gerlach (SG) force acting on relativistic particles is found.

II. D IRAC EQUATION FOR PARTICLES IN A STATIC GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

An interaction of a spin-1/2 particle with a gravitational eld is described by the covariant D irac equation:

$$(i D m) = 0; = 0;1;2;3;$$
 (1)

where are the D irac matrices. The system of units \sim = c = 1 is used. The spinor covariant derivatives are dened by

$$D = h^{i} D_{i}; D_{i} = Q_{i} + \frac{i}{4}$$
 (2)

where h^i and $_i$ = $_i$ are the coframe and Lorentz connection coecients, = i()=2 (see Refs. [1,2] and references therein). Following these Refs. we lim it ourselves to the case of the static spacetime

$$ds^2 = V^2 (dx^0)^2 \quad W^2 (dr \quad dr)$$
: (3)

Here V;W are arbitrary functions of r. Particular cases belonging to this family are pointed out in $[1,\,2]$ and include

E lectronic address: silenko@ inp m insk by

^yE lectronic address: teryaev@thsun1.jinr.ru

(i) the at M inkow ski spacetime in accelerated fram e

$$V = 1 + a \quad r; \quad W = 1$$
 (4)

and (ii) Schwarzschild spacetime in the isotropic coordinates

$$V = 1 \frac{GM}{2r} 1 + \frac{GM}{2r}^{1}; W = 1 + \frac{GM}{2r}^{2}$$
 (5

with r = jrj. Form etric (3), the D irac equation can be brought to the H am ilton form [1, 2]

$$i\frac{\theta}{\theta +} = H$$
; $H = mV + \frac{1}{2}fF$; pg; (6)

where F = V=W and f:::;:::g denotes the anticom mutator. This equation is the starting point of our analysis.

III. CONNECTION BETW EEN THE FOLDY-W OUTHUYSEN AND ERIKSEN-KORLSRUD REPRESENTATIONS

The FW transform ation [11] provides the correct physical interpretation of D irac H am iltonians. The important advantage of the FW representation is the simple form [12] of polarization operator O $_{\rm FW}$ being equal to the matrix

$$O_{FW} = = : (7)$$

In principle, this form of polarization operator m ay be considered as a de nition of the FW representation.

In Refs. [1,2], an exact block-diagonalization of H am iltonian (6) by the Eriksen-K orlsnud (EK) method [13] has been performed. However, a block-diagonalization of H am iltonian may be nonequivalent to the FW transformation. There exists an in nite set of representations where all the operators are block-diagonal. Therefore, the equivalence of any representation to the FW one should be veried. For example, the transformation performed in Ref. [14] for particles in a uniform magnetic eld has led to a block-diagonal H am iltonian. However, this H am iltonian diers from the corresponding H am iltonian in the FW representation [15].

It is easy to prove the FW and EK representations are not equivalent even for free particles. The unitary operator of transform ation from the D irac representation to the EK one is given by [1, 2, 13]

$$U_{D!EK} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + J)(1 + J); \quad J = i_5;$$

$$= \frac{H}{PH^2} = \frac{p + m}{H^2}; \quad P = \frac{p}{m^2 + p^2}; \quad (8)$$

The unitary operator of transform ation from the D irac representation to the FW one is equal to [11, 16]

$$U_{D ! FW} = \frac{+ m + }{p 2 (+ m)};$$

Therefore, the operator providing the transform ation from the FW representation to the EK one is

$$U_{FW ! EK} = U_{EK} U_{FW}^{1} = \frac{+m + i(p)}{2 (+m)}$$
: (9)

For free particles, this operator does not change the form of the Hamiltonian. However, operator (9) is not equal to the unit matrix and therefore changes the wave eigenfunctions. Consequently, the FW and EK representations are nonequivalent.

It is easy to see that the polarization operator in the EK representation is very di erent from the corresponding operator in the FW representation even for free particles:

$$O_{EK} = U_{FW!EK} \quad U_{FW!EK}^{1}$$

$$= + \frac{p}{(+m)} + \frac{p}{(+m)}$$
(10)

For particles in external elds, this circum stance brings a di erence between H am iltonians, especially for the terms proportional to the polarization operator. Thus, the block-diagonalization of the H am iltonian needs to be ful lled carefully.

It is important that the forms of the position operator in two representations also dier. In the FW representation, this operator is just the radius vector r [17, 18]. In the EK representation, it is given by

$$r_{EK} = U_{FW!EK} r U_{FW!EK}^{1}$$

= $r + \frac{p}{2(+m)} + \frac{p}{2} \frac{p(p)}{2^{2}(+m)}$:

Thus, the EK transform ation does not lead to the FW representation.

IV. FOLDY-WOUTHUYSEN
TRANSFORMATION FOR SPIN-1/2 PARTICLES
IN A STATIC GRAVITATIONAL FIELD

Let us transform H am iltonian (6) to the FW representation. For this purpose, we apply the method of relativistic FW transform ation elaborated in Ref. [16]. The validity of this method is con rmed by the consistency of results obtained by dierent methods for the electromagnetic interaction of particles (see Ref. [16]). So, we expect it to be valid and provide the simple expression for dynamical operators also for the gravitational eld.

Unfortunately, we are unable to perform the exact FW transform ation. Therefore, we use the weak-eld approxim ation which makes it possible to obtain the FW Hamiltonian as a power series in parameters of an external eld. In our case this requires that y = 1; y = 1:

Ham ilton operator (6) can be written in the form

$$H = m + E + O;$$
 $E = E;$ $O = O;$

w here

$$E = m (V 1); O = \frac{1}{2}fF; pg$$

m ean term s $\operatorname{com} m$ uting and anticom m uting with the matrix , respectively.

Other notations = rV; f = rF follow Refs. 11,21.

Let us perform the FW transform ation for relativistic particles with an allowance for rst-order terms in the metric tensor and its derivatives up to the second order.

A first the $\,$ rst transform ation $\,$ with the operator (see Ref. [16])

$$U = \frac{0 + m + O}{2^{0}(0 + m)}; \quad ^{0} = ^{p} \frac{m^{2} + O^{2}}{m^{2} + O^{2}};$$

the H am ilton operator takes the form:

$$H = {}^{0} + E^{0} + O^{0}; \quad E^{0} = E^{0}; \quad O^{0} = O^{0};$$

where

$${}^{0} = {}^{p} \frac{r}{m^{2} + O^{2}} = {}^{r} \frac{r}{m^{2} + p^{2} + fp^{2}; F} \qquad 1g + \frac{1}{2} [\qquad (f \quad p) \qquad (p \quad f) + r \qquad f];$$

$$E^{0} = \frac{r}{2} \frac{m^{2}}{r^{2}; V} \qquad 1 \qquad \frac{m}{4 \quad (+m)} [\qquad (p) \qquad (p \quad) + r \qquad]$$

$$+ \frac{(2^{3} + 2^{2}m + 2^{2}m^{2} + m^{3})m}{5 \quad (+m)^{2}} (p \quad r) (p \quad); \qquad p = \frac{r}{m^{2} + p^{2}};$$

W e neglect the noncom m utativity of operators in small terms proportional to derivatives of the metric tensor. The calculation of 0 0 is unnecessary because its contribution to the nalfW H am iltonian is of order of (V 1) 2 .

The quantity 0 can be represented as

$$^{0} = +\frac{1}{2} \frac{p^{2}}{}; F 1 + T$$

$$+\frac{1}{4} [(f p) (p f) + r f];$$

To determ ine the operator T, it is necessary to square both parts of Eq. (11). As a result of calculation,

$$T = \frac{2 + m^2}{4^5} (p r) (p f);$$

and the $\mbox{nal expression}$ for the FW $\mbox{H am illionian takes}$ (1th)e form

$$H_{FW} = +\frac{m^2}{2}; V + \frac{p^2}{2}; F + \frac{m}{4}; V + \frac{m}{4}; F + \frac{$$

It is obvious that this expression di ers from the corresponding one derived in Refs. [1, 2]. To perform a more detailed analysis, we can rewrite Eq. (13) from Ref. [2] in the weak-eld approximation [19]:

$$H_{EK} = mV + \frac{p^2}{2m} \frac{1}{4m} p^2; V 1$$
 $+\frac{1}{2m} p^2; F 1 + \frac{1}{4m} [2 (f p) + r f] + \frac{1}{2} (i); (13)$

and compare it with Eq. (12) of the present work in the

nonrelativistic approxim ation:

$$H_{FW} = mV + \frac{p^2}{2m} \frac{1}{4m} p^2; V 1$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2m} p^2; F 1 + \frac{1}{4m} [2 (f p) + r f] \frac{1}{8m} [2 (p) + r f]$$

FW Ham iltonians (12) and (14), contrary to EK Ham iltonian (13), do not contain the term ()=2 but contain additional term s proportional to derivatives of V. These additional terms describe both the spin-orbit and contact

interactions. To check the compatibility with [1,2], the sem i-relativistic transformation (with an accuracy up to v=c) of Hamiltonian (13) to the FW representation can be performed. With this accuracy, transformation operator (9) takes the form

$$U_{EK!FW} = U_{FW!EK}^{1} = 1 \frac{p^{2}}{8m^{2}} \frac{i(p)}{2m}$$
: (15)

As a result, Ham iltonian (13) is transformed by operator (15) to form (14).

This transform ation shows that the calculation ful lled in [1, 2] was correct. However, the Hamiltonian itself is insu cient for an analysis of observable spin e ects. O ne needs to know the spin operator as well. As the Ham iltonian was obtained in the EK representation, the spin operator (10) is rather complicated. At the same time, this operator acquires simple form (7) in the FW representation. Let us stress, that only for such a simple form of spin operator the term sofH am iltonian m ay be simply interpreted in terms of observable physical e ects. However, this is not true when a spin operator is complicated.)=2 in 18) describing the In particular, the tem (dipole spin-gravity coupling disappears after the transform ation to the FW representation. Therefore, this term does not lead to new observable e ects.

V. EQUATIONS OF PARTICLE M OM ENTUM AND SPIN MOTION

The problem of quantum description of particle and spin motion is very important. However, quantum equations of momentum and spin motion in a gravitational eld were never derived.

The FW representation dram atically simplies the derivation of quantum equations. The operator equations of motion obtained via commutators of the Hamiltonian with the momentum and polarization operators take the form

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = i [H_{FW}; p] = \frac{m^2}{2}; \frac{p^2}{2}; f$$

$$+ \frac{m}{2(+m)} r \qquad (p) \frac{1}{2} r \qquad (f p) (16)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} = i \mathbb{H}_{FW}; \quad] = \frac{m}{(+m)} \quad (p)$$

$$\frac{1}{-} \quad (f \quad p); \quad (17)$$

respectively. These equations constitute our principal new result.

It is possible to prove that EK H am iltonian (13) leads to the spin motion equation consistent with Eq. (17). Within the sem i-relativistic approximation, the polarization operator in the EK representation takes the form

$$O_{EK} = + \frac{p}{m} + \frac{p}{2m^2}$$
:

C om m uting H am iltonian (13) with the polarization operator O $_{\rm E\,K}$ leads to the approximate equation of spin motion

$$\frac{dO_{EK}}{dt} = i[H_{EK}; O_{EK}] = \frac{1}{2m}O_{EK} \qquad (p)$$

$$\frac{-O_{EK}}{m}O_{EK} \qquad (f p) \quad (18)$$

that agrees with Eq. (17). This explicitly shows, that dipole spin-gravity coupling cancels with the extra term s in the spin operator in the EK representation and does not a ect observable quantities.

Let us pass to the studies of sem iclassical lim it of these equations. The contribution of the lower spinor is negligible and the transition to the sem iclassical description is performed by averaging the operators in the equations for the upper spinor [16]. It is usually possible to neglect the commutators between the coordinate and momentum operators. As a result, the operators—and p should be substituted by the corresponding classical quantities: the polarization vector (doubled average spin),—, and the momentum. For the latter quantity, we retain the notation p. The sem iclassical equations of motion are

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{m^{2}}{dt} = \frac{p^{2}}{1} f + \frac{m}{2(+m)} r \qquad (p)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} r \qquad (f p) (19)$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{m}{(+m)} \qquad (p) \frac{1}{} \qquad (f p); \qquad (20)$$

respectively. In Eq. (19), two latter terms describe a force dependent on the spin. This force is similar to the electrom agnetic Stem-Gerlach force (see Ref. [16]). Because it is weak, the approximate semiclassical equation of particle motion takes the form

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{m^2}{} \frac{p^2}{} f: \qquad (21)$$

Eq. (20) can be represented as

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \qquad ; \tag{22}$$

where the angular velocity of spin rotation is given by

$$=\frac{m}{(+m)}(p)+\frac{1}{m}(f p)$$
: (23)

We can nd similar equations describing a change of the direction of particle m om entum, n = p = p:

$$\frac{dn}{dt} = !$$
 n; $! = \frac{m^2}{p}$ n + $\frac{p}{}$ f n: (24)

Therefore, the spin rotates with respect to the momentum direction and the angular velocity of this rotation is

$$o = ! = \frac{m}{p}$$
 n: (25)

The quantity o does not depend on f and vanishes for massless particles. Therefore, the gravitational eld cannot change the helicity of massless D irac particles. The evolution of the helicity $j_k j = n$ of massive particles is de ned by the form ula

$$\frac{d}{dt} = (!) _{?}(n) = \frac{m}{p} (?);$$
 (26)

where ? = k.

VI. PARTICLE IN A SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC FIELD

Let us consider the interaction of particles with a spherically sym metric gravitational eld and compare the obtained formulae with previous results. This eld is a weak limit of the Schwarzschild one which yields

$$V = 1 - \frac{GM}{r}; \quad W = 1 + \frac{GM}{r}; \quad (27)$$

Correspondingly,

$$F = 1 - \frac{2GM}{r}$$
; $f = 2 = \frac{2GM}{r^3}r = 2g$;

where g is the Newtonian acceleration.

When we neglect the terms of order of $\frac{(p + r)(p + g)}{2}$, Hamiltonian (12) takes the form

$$H_{FW} = \frac{2 + p^2}{2}; \frac{GM}{r}$$

$$\frac{(2 + m)}{4 (+ m)} 2 \qquad (g p) + r : g \qquad (28)$$

In this case, the operator equations of m om entum and spin m otion are given by

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = \frac{GM}{2} \frac{^2 + p^2}{^2}; \frac{r}{r^3}$$

$$GM \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)} r \frac{(r p)}{r^3}; \qquad (29)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{GM}{r^3} \frac{2 + m}{(+m)}$$
 (r p): (30)

In Eq. (29), the last term determ ines the gravitational SG force. The sem iclassical formula for this post-New tonian force is [20]

$$F_{SG} = GM \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)} r \frac{(r p)}{r^3}$$
: (31)

This form ula can be transform ed to a more convenient form where the quantities \sim and care kept explicit for a moment:

$$F_{SG} = \frac{GM \sim \frac{2+1}{r^2}}{\frac{3r r [}{r^2}]};(32)$$

= v=c and $\,$ is the Lorentz factor. The SG force is of order of $\frac{\sim}{m~cr}$ with respect to the Newtonian one.

Neglecting the SG force, one get the sem iclassical equations of momentum and spin motion:

$$\frac{\mathrm{dp}}{\mathrm{dt}} = \frac{^2 + \mathrm{p}^2}{}\mathrm{g};\tag{33}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} = \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)}$$
 (g p): (34)

The sem iclassical expressions for the angular velocities of rotation of unit m om entum vector, n = p=p, and spin are

$$! = \frac{^2 + p^2}{p^2}g \quad p = \frac{GM}{r^3} \quad \frac{^2 + p^2}{p^2}l;$$
 (35)

$$= \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)}g \quad p = \frac{GM}{r^3} \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)}l; \quad (36)$$

where l = r p is the angular m om ent.

Eqs. (35) and (36) agree with the classical gravity. Eq. (35) leads to the expression for the angle of particle de ection by a gravitational eld

$$= \frac{2GM}{r^2} + 2 + \frac{m^2}{r^2} = \frac{2GM}{r^2} + 1 + r^2$$
 (37)

coinciding with Eq. (13) of Problem 15.9 from Ref. [21] (see also Ref. [22]). This directly proves the full compatibility of quantum and classical consideration and disagrees with the results obtained in [8].

Eq. (36) and the corresponding equation obtained in Ref. [9] by the very di erent method coincide, up to the sign due to the di erent de nition of angular velocity [23]. For an imm ovable particle, the angular velocity of spin rotation is described by the same formula as the de Sitter one for a classical gyroscope [24]. Such a similarity [25] of classical and quantum rotators is a manifestation of the equivalence principle (see e.g. [26, 27] and Refs. therein). In the nonrelativistic approximation, the last term in Hamiltonian (28) describing the spinorbit and contact (Darwin) interactions coincides with the corresponding term in Ref. [28].

The momentum and spin rotate in the same direction. Formula (25) for the angular velocity of spin rotation with respect to the momentum direction, de ning the evolution of particle helicity, takes the form

$$o = ! = \frac{m}{p^2} g p$$
 (38)

The ratio of particle momentum and spin de ection angles (and , respectively) is constant and equal to

$$- = \frac{(2 + m)(m)}{2^2 m^2}$$
:

If these angles are small, the helicity of particle, whose helicity is originally +1, is given by

$$= 1 \quad \frac{(\quad)^2}{2} : \tag{39}$$

Therefore, the evolution of the helicity is described by the equation

$$= 1 \quad \frac{2}{2(2 \quad ^{1})^{2}}; \tag{40}$$

where = =m is the Lorentz factor. This equation agrees with [26, 27] (see Eqs. (17),(19) from [27]) obtained by the full quantum treatment. At the same time, the expression obtained earlier by the similar method [29] contains the dependence on graviton source mass M and looks much more complicated. We found that the large M behavior of numerical values as presented at Fig. 3 of that reference is at reasonable agreement with (40), while their asymptotic formula (12) is at variance with us. Note also that in [29] the disagreement with the semiclassical treatment [30] was stated, while we observe the full agreement between the semiclassical and quantum approaches.

W em ay conclude for three of the most im portant problems formulae (35),(36), and (40) are in the best agreement with previous results. We also have established a consent between the classical and quantum theories and found the new quantum corrections to the Newtonian force.

VII. PARTICLE IN A UNIFORM LY ACCELERATED FRAME AND THE EQUIVALENCE PRINCIPLE

Consideration of the particle motion in an accelerated frameperm its to relate the gravity and acceleration. The simplest case is the at M inkowski spacetime in a uniform ly accelerated frame (see item (i) of Section II). For this problem, the exact D irac H am iltonian derived by Hehl and N i [5] is given by

$$H = (1 + a \quad r) \quad m + \frac{1}{2} f(1 + a \quad r); \quad pg; \quad (41)$$

where a is the particle acceleration. In this case, the metric tensor corresponds to the choice (4). Metric (4) corresponds to the following form of FW Hamiltonian (12):

$$H_{FW} = +\frac{1}{2}f$$
; a rg+ $\frac{(a p)}{2(+m)}$; (42)

where = $\frac{p}{m^2 + p^2}$. The contact (D arw in) interaction does not appear because the elective eld a is uniform. Equation (42) shows that the particle energy is multiplied by the factor V except for the last term that is of a purely quantum origin. An appearance of this term describing

the inertial spin-orbit coupling has been discovered by Hehl and Ni [5]. In the present work, generalizing the result of this reference, the relativistic expression for the Ham iltonian has been derived. This expression happens to agree with the nonrelativistic ones from [5, 6].

Eq. (42) for the Ham iltonian of relativistic particle in a uniform ly accelerated frame agrees with the corresponding nonrelativistic expressions from [5, 6].

The equations of particle and spin motion are given by

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = a; \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{(a p)}{+ m}$$
 (43)

In the uniform ly accelerated fram e, the SG force does not exist.

The sem iclassical transition brings Eq. (43) to the form

$$\frac{dp}{dt} = a; \frac{d}{dt} = \frac{(a \quad p)}{+m}$$
 (44)

The angular velocities of rotation of unit m om entum vector and spin are equal to

$$! = \frac{a}{p^2} a \quad p; \quad = \frac{a}{+m} :$$
 (45)

The relative angular velocity de ning the helicity evolution is given by

$$o = ! = \frac{m}{p^2} a p :$$
 (46)

When a = g, values of o in Eqs. (46) and (38) are the same. It is the manifestation of the equivalence principle which was discussed with respect to helicity evolution in [26, 27].

At the same time, the manifestation of the equivalence principle for the spin rotation is not so trivial. In particular, the spin of nonrelativistic particles in the spherically symmetric gravitational eld rotates three times more rapidly in comparison to the accelerated frame.

To trace the origin of this di erence, let us compare the rotation of the momentum direction in these cases. Although it is the same in the nonrelativistic limit, the (41) expressions for the relativistic particles di er. To understand this from the point of view of equivalence principle, the approach of [26, 27] is convenient. Let us consider [26, 27] the matrix element M of particle scattering in the external gravitational eld

$$M = \frac{1}{2} h p^0 T$$
 jpih (q); $q = p$ p^0 ; (47)

where T is the Belinfante energy-momentum tensor and h is a Fourier component of a deviation of the metric tensor from its Minkowski value. The particle momentum evolution is fully determined by the forward matrix element xed by the momentum conservation

$$hp T \quad \dot{p}i = 2p p :$$
 (48)

Them atrix element for the particle at rest takes the form

$$M = m^2 h_{00} (q)$$
: (49)

Coincidence of the (00) components of the metric in the gravitational eld and accelerated frame proves the equivalence principle, appearing in such an approach as a low-energy theorem rather than postulate.

At the same time, for the moving particle, the space components of metric $h_{zz}=h_{xx}=h_{yy}=h_{00}$ (see e.g. [24]) also contribute. As a result, the matrix elements in the gravitational eld (M $_g$) and in the accelerated frame (M $_a$) dier by the obvious kinematical factor:

$$M_{g} = (^{2} + p^{2})h_{00}(q); M_{a} = ^{2}h_{00}(q);$$
 (50)

The ratio of these matrix elements

$$R = \frac{{}^{2} + p^{2}}{2} \tag{51}$$

is exactly equal to the ratio of the rh.s. of the equations of particle momentum motion, and, consequently, to the ratio of the angular velocities of rotation of their directions. It is now clearly seen that this dierence is a direct kinematical consequence of the equivalence principle.

Note that general expression (26) for the helicity evolution is insensitive to the space components of the metric which is the entire origin of the kinematical factor diering in the gravitational led and accelerating frame. This provides the additional argument for the simple form of the equivalence principle when helicity is considered. Namely, the helicity evolution in any static gravitational eld and corresponding accelerating frame merely coincides.

Let us consider the e ect of the mentioned kinematical factor for the spin motion. Eqs. (35) and (45) describing the angular velocity of momentum motion can be written in the form

$$!_{g} = \frac{m}{p^{2}} + \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)} g p$$

$$= o \frac{2 + m}{(+ m)} g p$$
 (52)

for the spherically sym metric gravitational eld and

$$!_{a} = \frac{m}{p^{2}} + \frac{1}{+m}$$
 a $p = o + \frac{1}{+m}$ a p (53)

for the uniform ly accelerated frame. Here the relative angular velocity o, common for two cases, is extracted. The remaining terms in the rhs. are just the angular velocities of spin rotation. The current derivation explicitly shows that their dierence is the consequence of the equivalence principle and kinematical factors in (50).

It is interesting that in the nonrelativistic lim it both ! and o diverge as $1=p^2$. Their nite di erences in Eqs. (52) and (53) provide the nonrelativistic lim it of the angular velocities of spin rotation. In this lim it the momentum rotation in the gravitational eld and accelerating frame coincides, as it is seen from (51). However,

the mentioned divergence of the angular velocities \com pensates" the in nitesimal deviation of R from unity. In more detail, one is dealing with the low p^2 expansion of two expressions

$$!_g = \frac{^2 + p^2}{p^2} g p \frac{m}{p^2} + \frac{3}{2m} g p$$
 (54)

and

$$!_{a} = \frac{1}{p^{2}} a \quad p \quad \frac{m}{p^{2}} + \frac{1}{2m} \quad a \quad p :$$
 (55)

W hile the lhs of these expressions has the same nonrelativistic limit, the mentioned e ect provides the ratio 3 for nite terms in rhs.

For completeness let us also consider the operator equation for the particle acceleration:

$$r = H; [H;r] = \frac{1}{2} (1+a r); a \frac{2p(a p)}{2}$$
: (56)

In this equation, small terms depending on the spin matrix are om itted. In the semiclassical approximation,

$$r = (1 + a r)a \frac{2p (a p)}{2}$$

$$= (1 + a r)a \frac{2v (a v)}{1 + a r} (57)$$

A fter substitution of standard nonrelativistic expressions for r and v we reach the full agreem ent with the approximate result of H uang and N i ([7], Eq. (82)).

VIII. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

We showed that the elegant exact EK transform ation [1,2] does not provide a simple form for dynam ical operators and therefore does not allow for a straightforward derivation of quantum and sem iclassical equations of motion. We constructed the FW transformation leading to simple dynam ical operators and derived the quantum (which is our main new result) and sem iclassical equations of momentum and spin motion. For the case of weak spherically symmetric eld the sem iclassical limit reproduces all the known results for the momentum, spin and helicity evolution and resolve the existing contradictions. The new quantum corrections provide, in particular, the post-New tonian gravitational SG force. We found that sem iclassical equations are in full agreement with classical gravity.

We checked that the derived equations of motion are compatible with those obtained from Hamiltonian of [1, 2] and the respective (complicated) dynamical operators. However, the dierence between the FW and EK representations means that the physical interpretation of the approach [1, 2] should be made carefully. Say, the term in Hamiltonian [1, 2] describing the dipole spingravity coupling does not appear in the FW Hamiltonian.

As soon as physical e ects are dependent on both the H am iltonian and dynam ical operators, the correspondent term in the EK representation is cancelled, when complicated spin operator (10) is used. Consequently, there is no reason for the precession of spin of particles being at rest, which is explicitly seen from Eq. (17).

The equivalence principle, understood as m in in alcoupling of ferm ions to gravity (1), (47) is always valid. However, its specient anifestations depend on the observable. From this point of view, the simplest observable for Dirac particle is helicity. The helicity evolution in the gravitational eld and accelerated frame is the same. The manifestation of the equivalence principle for momentum and spin motion in these two cases is a ected by kinematical

corrections due to the space com ponents of metric tensor. In particular, this leads to the enhancement by the factor 3 of the frequency of spin precession in the gravitational eld with respect to the accelerating frame.

A cknow ledgem ents

We are indebted to FW. Hehl, IB. Khriplovich, C.K iefer, L.Lusanna and YuN.O bukhov for discussions and correspondence. We acknow ledge a nancial support by the BRFFR (Grant 03-242) and RFBR (Grant 03-02-16816).

- [1] Yu. N. Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 192 (2001), arX iv gr-qc/0012102.
- [2] Yu. N. Obukhov, Fortsch. Phys. 50, 711 (2002), arX iv gr-qc/0112080.
- [3] N. Nicolaevici, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 068902 (2002).
- [4] Yu.N.Obukhov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 068903 (2002).
- [5] F.W .Hehland W .T.Ni, Phys. Rev. D 42, 2045 (1990).
- [6] J.C. Huang, Annalen Phys. 3, 53 (1994).
- [7] Y . H uang and W . N i, arX iv gr-qc/0407115.
- [8] A. Accioly, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 11, 1579 (2002).
- [9] A.A. Pom eransky and I.B. Khriplovich, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 86, 839 (1998); arX iv gr-qc/9710098.
- [10] A.A.Pom eransky, R.A.Senkov, and I.B.Khriplovich, Phys. Usp. 43, 1055 (2000).
- [11] L.L.Foldy, S.A.W outhuysen, Phys. Rev. 78, 29 (1950).
- [12] D.M. Fradkin and R.H. Good, Rev. Mod. Phys. 33, 343 (1961).
- [13] E.Eriksen and M.Korlsrud, Nucl. Phys. B 18, 1 (1960).
- [14] W .Tsai, Phys.Rev.D 7, 1945 (1973).
- [15] A.J. Silenko, Phys. Atom. Nucl. 61, 60 (1998).
- [16] A.J. Silenko, J. Math. Phys. 44, 2952 (2003).
- [17] T.D.Newton and E.P.W igner, Rev. M od. Phys. 21, 400 (1949).
- [18] J.P.Costella and B.H.J.McKellar, Am. J. Phys. 63, 1119 (1995).
- [19] In the case considered, (p) = (p);

- (p f) = (f p).
- [20] Our denition of the force corresponds to $F = \frac{dp}{dx^0}$, while other ones may be also used [see C.W.M isner, K.S. Thome, and J.A.W heeler, Gravitation, (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973)].
- [21] A. P. Lightman, W. H. Press, R. H. Price, and S. A. Teukolsky, Problem book in relativity and gravitation, (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1975).
- [22] D. Fargion, Lett. Nuovo Cim. 31, 49 (1981).
- [23] We are grateful to I.B. Khriplovich for clarication of this point.
- [24] L.D. Landau and E.M. Lifshitz, The classical theory of elds, (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1987).
- [25] I.Yu.K obzarev and V.I.Zakharov, Annals of Phys. 37, 1 (1966).
- [26] O.V. Teryaev, arX iv:hep-ph/9904376 (1999).
- [27] O. V. Teryaev, Czech. J. Phys. 53, 47 (2003), arX iv hep-ph/0306301.
- [28] J.H.Donoghue and B.R.Holstein, Am. J.Phys. 54, 827 (1986).
- [29] R. Aldrovandi, G. E. A. Matsas, S. F. Novaes and D. Spehler, Phys. Rev. D 50, 2645 (1994),
- [30] Y. Q. Cai and G. Papini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1259 (1991).