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#### Abstract

The behavior of spin $1=2$ particle in a weak static gravitational eld is considered. The D irac H am iltonian is diagonalized by the Foldy-W outhuysen transform ation providing also the sim ple form for the $m$ om entum and spin polarization operators. The operator equations of $m$ om entum and spin $m$ otion are derived for a rst time. Their sem iclassical lim it is analyzed. The dipole spin-gravity coupling in the previously found (another) H am iltonian does not lead to any observable e ects. T he general agreem ent between the quantum and classical analysis is established, contrary to several recent claim s. The expression for gravitationalStem-G erlach force is derived. The helicity evolution in the gravitational eld and corresponding accelerated fram e coincides, being the $m$ anifestation of the equivalence principle.


PACS num bers: $04.20 . \mathrm{C} v, 03.65 . \mathrm{Ta}, 04.25 \mathrm{Nx}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

Interaction of elem entary particles with gravitational elds poses an interesting problem with im portant astrophysical applications. O ne of the approaches to this problem is provided by a corresponding $D$ irac equation in an extemal gravitational eld. It w as recently solved [1] using the exact diagonalization by an appropriate unitary transform ation for the $w$ ide class of static gravitational elds. H ow ever, the presence ofa dipole spin-gravity coupling in nal results of Refs. [1, 2] is controversial [3, [4]. For accelerated fram es, there is not any sim ilar coupling (see [5, [6, 7]).

There is also a related problem of disagreem ent betw een the classical form ula for the angle of particle deection by a gravitational eld and the corresponding expression for D irac particles claim ed recently by another author [8].

In the present article we resolve these contradictions. $T$ he diagonalization of $D$ irac equation is still insu cient to get the sem iclassicalequations ofspin $m$ otion form erly obtained in [9, 10]. The problem is that the derivation of the equations of $m$ otion requires also the know ledge of respective dynam ical operators, in particular, that of m om entum and spin. We investigate this problem and show that these operators have a rather com plicated form in the representation used in [1, [2], w hich is because that representation, although diagonal, does not possess all the properties of the Foldy $W$ outhuysen ( $F W$ ) one. A sa result, the dipole spin-gravity coupling appearing in [1, [2] does not lead to new observable e ects.

To bypass this di culty, we construct the \standard" FW representation where the dynam ical operators take

[^0]the simple form . W e derive (for the rst tim e, up to our know ledge) the operator equations ofm om entum and spin $m$ otion in a weak spherically sym $m$ etric gravitational eld and uniform ly accelerated fram e. W e study the sem iclassical lim it of these equations to get the mo$m$ entum, spin polarization and helicity evolution. The results fully agree w th the classical gravity (so that the disagreem ent found in [8] is not con m ed) and contain quantum corrections. In particular, the expression for the gravitationalStem-G erlach (SG) force acting on relativistic particles is found.

## II. D IRACEQUATION FOR PARTICLES IN A STATIC GRAVITATIONALFIELD

An interaction of a spin-1/2 particle with a gravitational eld is described by the covariant D irac equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (i } D \quad m \text { ) }=0 ; \quad=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where are the D irac matrioes. The system of units $\sim=c=1$ is used. The spinor covariant derivatives are de ned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \quad=h^{i} D_{i} ; \quad D_{i}=@_{i}+\frac{i}{4} \quad i \quad ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w^{2}$ here $h^{i}$ and $i_{i}$ are the cofram e and Lorentz connection coe cients, $\quad=i(\quad)=2$ (see Refs. [1, [2] and references therein). Follow ing these Refs. we lim it ourselves to the case of the static spacetim e

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s^{2}=V^{2}\left(d x^{0}\right)^{2} \quad W^{2}(d r \quad d r): \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here V; W are anbitrary functions of r. Particular cases belonging to this fam ily are pointed out in [1, 2] and include
(i) the at $M$ inkow skispacetim $e$ in accelerated fram e

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=1+a \quad r ; \quad W=1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (ii) Schw arzschild spacetim $e$ in the isotropic coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=1 \frac{G M}{2 r} \quad 1+\frac{G M}{2 r}{ }^{1} ; W=1+\frac{G M}{2 r}^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $r=j r j$. For $m$ etric (3), the $D$ irac equation can be brought to the H am ilton form [1, 2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t}=H \quad ; \quad H=m V+\frac{1}{2} f F ; \quad p g ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F=V=W$ and $f::: ;::: g$ denotes the antioom $m u-$ tator. $T$ his equation is the starting point of our analysis.

## III. CONNECTION BETW EEN THE FOLDY-W OUTHUYSEN AND

## ERIKSENKORLSRUD REPRESENTATIONS

TheFW transform ation [11] provides the correct physical interpretation ofD irac $H$ am iltonians. The im portant advantage of the FW representation is the sim ple form [12] ofpolarization operator $O_{F w}$ being equalto the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{F W}==: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In principle, this form of polarization operator $m$ ay be considered as a de nition of the FW representation.

In Refs. [1], 2] , an exact block-diagonalization of H am itonian (6) by the Eriksen-K orlsnud (EK) m ethod [13] has been perform ed. H ow ever, a block-diagonalization of H am iltonian m ay be nonequivalent to the FW transform ation. There exists an in nite set of representations where all the operators are block-diagonal. Therefore, the equivalence of any representation to the FW one should be veri ed. For exam ple, the transform ation perform ed in $R$ ef. [14] for particles in a uniform $m$ agnetic eld has led to a block-diagonal H am iltonian. H ow ever, this H am iltonian di ers from the corresponding H am iltonian in the FW representation [15].

It is easy to prove the FW and EK representations are not equivalent even for free particles. T he unitary operator of transform ation from the $D$ irac representation to the EK one is given by [1, 2, 13]

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{D}!\text { ек }=\frac{1}{2}(1+J)(1+J) ; J=i_{5} ; \\
& \quad=\frac{\mathrm{H}}{\overline{\mathrm{H}^{2}}}=\frac{\mathrm{p}+\mathrm{m}}{} \quad=\quad=\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{p}^{2}}{}: \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The unitary operator of transform ation from the D irac representation to the FW one is equal to [11, 16]

$$
U_{D!F W}=\frac{+m+}{p} \frac{p}{2(+\mathrm{m})}
$$

Therefore, the operator providing the transform ation from the $F W$ representation to the EK one is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{F W}!E K=U_{E K} U_{F W}^{1}=\frac{+m+i(p)}{p(+m)}: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For free particles, this operator does not change the form of the H am iltonian. H ow ever, operator (9) is not equal to the unit $m$ atrix and therefore changes the $w$ ave eigenfunctions. C onsequently, the FW and EK representations are nonequivalent.

It is easy to see that the polarization operator in the EK representation is very di erent from the corresponding operator in the FW representation even for free particles:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{EK}}=\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{FW}} \text { !EK } \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{FW}}^{1} \text { !EK } \\
& =+\frac{\mathrm{p}}{(\mathrm{p}}+\frac{\mathrm{p})}{(+\mathrm{m})} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Forparticles in extemal elds, this circum stance brings a di erence between Ham iltonians, especially for the term s proportional to the polarization operator. T hus, the block-diagonalization of the H am iltonian needs to be ful led carefully.

It is im portant that the form s of the position operator in tw o representations also di er. In the FW representation, this operator is just the radius vector r [17, 18]. In the EK representation, it is given by

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{E K}=U_{F W}!E K U_{F W}^{1}!E K \\
\left.=r+\frac{p}{2(+m)}+\frac{p}{2} \frac{p^{2}(p}{2^{2}(+m)}:\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, the EK transform ation does not lead to the FW representation.
IV. FOLDY-W OUTHUYSEN

TRANSFORMATION FOR SP IN $-1 / 2$ PARTICLES IN A STATIC GRAVITATIONALFELD

Let us transform H am iltonian (6) to the FW representation. For this purpose, we apply the $m$ ethod of relativistic FW transform ation elaborated in Ref. [16]. The validity of this $m$ ethod is con $m$ ed by the consistency of results obtained by di erent $m$ ethods for the electro$m$ agnetic interaction of particles (see Ref. [16]). So, we expect it to be valid and provide the sim ple expression for dynam ical operators also for the gravitational eld.

U infortunately, we are unable to perform the exact FW transform ation. Therefore, we use the w eak- eld approxim ation which $m$ akes it possible to obtain the FW H am itonian as a pow er series in param eters ofan extemal eld. In our case this requires that $\ddagger V 1 j$; $\ddagger \mathrm{N}$ 1j 1:

H am ilton operator (6) can be written in the form

$$
H=m+E+O ; \quad E=E ; \quad O=O ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=m(V \quad 1) ; \quad O=\frac{1}{2} f F ; \tag{pg}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ean term $s$ com $m$ uting and anticom $m$ uting $w$ th the $m a-$ trix , respectively.
Other notations $=r V ; f=r F$ follow Refs. [1, [2].

Let us perform the $F W$ transform ation for relativistic particles w ith an allowance for rst-order term $s$ in the $m$ etric tensor and its derivatives up to the second order.

A fter the rst transform ation w ith the operator (see Ref. [16])

$$
U=\frac{{ }^{0}+m+0}{\overline{2^{0}(0+m)}} ; \quad 0=p \overline{m^{2}+O^{2}} ;
$$

the $H$ am ilton operator takes the form :

$$
\mathrm{H}=0^{0}+\mathrm{E}^{0}+\mathrm{O}^{0} ; \quad \mathrm{E}^{0}=\mathrm{E}^{0} ; \quad \mathrm{O}^{0}=\mathrm{O}^{0} ;
$$

where

W e neglect the noncom $m$ utativity ofoperators in sm all term $s$ proportional to derivatives of the $m$ etric tensor. The calculation of $O^{0}$ is unnecessary because its contribution to the nalFW H am iltonian is oforder of $\left(\begin{array}{ll}V & 1\end{array}\right)^{2}$.
$T$ he quantity ${ }^{0}$ can be represented as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{0}=+\frac{1}{2} \quad \mathrm{p}^{2} ; \mathrm{F} \quad 1+\mathrm{T} \\
& +\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{f} & \mathrm{p}) \quad(\mathrm{p} \\
\mathrm{f})+\mathrm{r} & \mathrm{f}]:
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

To determ ine the operator $T$, it is necessary to square both parts of Eq. (11). A s a result of calculation,

$$
T=\frac{2^{2}+m^{2}}{4^{5}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p & r
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p & f
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

and the nal expression for the FW H am iltonian takes (1til) form

$$
1 \quad \frac{m}{4(+m)}
$$

$$
(p) \quad(p \quad)+r
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f \quad \mathrm{p}) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
p & f
\end{array}\right)+r \frac{\left({ }^{2}+m^{2}\right)}{4^{5}}(p \quad r)(p
$$

nonrelativistic approxim ation:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rrrr}
H_{F W}= & m V+\frac{p^{2}}{2 m} & \overline{4 m} \mathrm{p}^{2} ; V & 1 \\
+\frac{1}{2 m} \mathrm{p}^{2} ; F & 1+\frac{1}{4 m}[2 & (f \quad \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{r} & \mathrm{f}
\end{array}\right],
$$

FW Ham iltonians (12) and (14), contrary to EK H am itonian (13), do not contain the term ( )=2 but contain additionalterm sproportional to derivatives of $V$. T hese additionalterm s describeboth the spin-orbit and contact

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{F W}=\quad+\frac{m^{2}}{2} ; V \quad 1+\frac{p^{2}}{2} \quad ; F \\
& +\frac{m\left(2^{3}+2^{2} m+2 m^{2}+m^{3}\right)}{8^{5}(+m)^{2}}(p \quad r)(p)+[ \\
& \text { It is obvious that this expression di ers from the corre- } \\
& \text { sponding one derived in Refs. [1, 2]. To perform a m ore } \\
& \text { detailed analysis, we can rew rite Eq. (13) from Ref. [2] } \\
& \text { in the weak- eld approxim ation 19]: } \\
& H_{E K}=m V+\frac{p^{2}}{2 m} \quad \overline{4 m} \quad p^{2} ; V \quad 1 \\
& +\frac{}{2 m} \mathrm{p}^{2} ; \mathrm{F} \quad 1+\frac{}{4 m}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{[\mathrm{F}} & \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{r} \quad \mathrm{f}]
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{2}(\quad) \text {; } \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{0}=P \overline{m^{2}+O^{2}}=\overline{m^{2}+p^{2}+f p^{2} ; F} \quad 1 g+\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{llll}
f & p
\end{array} \quad\left(\begin{array}{l}
f
\end{array}\right)+r \quad f\right] ; \\
& E^{0}=\frac{m^{2}}{2} ; V^{2} \frac{m}{4(+m)}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
{[ } & p) & (p)+r \quad]
\end{array}\right. \\
& +\frac{-}{8} \frac{\left(2^{3}+2^{2} m+2 m^{2}+m^{3}\right) m}{5^{5}(+m)^{2}}(p \quad r)(p) \quad ; \quad p \overline{m^{2}+p^{2}}:
\end{aligned}
$$

interactions. To check the com patibility w ith [1], 2]], the sem i-relativistic transform ation (w ith an accuracy up to $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{C}$ ) of H am iltonian (13) to the FW representation can be perform ed. W ith this accuracy, transform ation operator (9) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{E K!F W}=U_{F W}^{1}!E K=1 \frac{p^{2}}{8 m^{2}} \frac{i(p}{2 m} \text { ): } \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a result, H am iltonian (13) is transform ed by operator (15) to form (14).
$T$ histransform ation show $s$ that the calculation ful led in [1, 2] w wa correct. H ow ever, the H am iltonian itself is insu cient for an analysis ofobservable spin e ects. O ne needs to know the spin operator as well. As the H am iltonian was obtained in the EK representation, the spin operator (10) is rather com plicated. At the sam e tim e, this operator acquires sim ple form (7) in the FW representation. Let us stress, that only for such a sim ple form of spin operator the term sof H am iltonian $m$ ay be sim ply interpreted in term s of observable physicale ects. H ow ever, this is not true w hen a spin operator is com plicated. In particular, the term ( $)=2$ in 1B) describing the dipole spin-gravity coupling disappears after the transfor$m$ ation to the FW representation. Therefore, this term does not lead to new observable e ects.

## V. EQUATIONSOFPARTICLEMOMENTUM AND SP IN M OTION

The problem of quantum description of particle and spin $m$ otion is very im portant. H ow ever, quantum equations of $m$ om entum and spin $m$ otion in a gravitational eld were never derived.
The FW representation dram atically simpli es the derivation ofquantum equations. The operatorequations of $m$ otion obtained via com $m$ utators of the $H$ am iltonian $w$ ith the $m$ om entum and polarization operators take the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\frac{d p}{d t}=i \mathbb{H}_{F W} ; p\right]=\quad \overline{2} \quad \frac{m^{2}}{2} ; \quad \overline{2} \quad \underline{p^{2}} ; f \\
& +\frac{m}{2(+m)} r \quad(\quad \mathrm{p}) \frac{1}{2} r \quad \text { (f p) (16) }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left.\frac{d}{d t}=i \mathbb{H}_{F W} ; \quad\right]=\frac{m}{(+m)} \quad(\quad p)  \tag{17}\\
\underline{1} \quad(f \quad p) ;
\end{array}
$$

respectively. These equations constitute our principal new result.

It is possible to prove that EK H am iltonian (13) leads to the spin $m$ otion equation consistent $w$ ith Eq. (17). $W$ thin the sem i-relativistic approxim ation, the polarization operator in the EK representation takes the form

$$
\left.O_{E K}=+\frac{p}{m}+\frac{p(p}{2 m^{2}}\right):
$$

C om m uting Ham iltonian (13) w ith the polarization operator $O_{E K}$ leads to the approxim ate equation of spin m otion

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{d O_{E K}}{d t}=i \mathbb{H}_{E K} ; O_{E K}\right]=\overline{2 m}_{O_{E K}} \quad(\quad \mathrm{p}) \\
& \bar{m}_{\mathrm{EK}} \quad(\mathrm{f} \quad \mathrm{p}) \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

that agrees w ith Eq. 17). This explicitly show s , that dipole spin-gravity coupling cancels w ith the extra term $s$ in the spin operator in the EK representation and does not a ect observable quantities.

Let us pass to the studies of sem iclassical lim it of these equations. The contribution of the lower spinor is negligible and the transition to the sem iclassical description is perform ed by averaging the operators in the equations for the upper spinor [16]. It is usually possible to neglect the com $m$ utators betw een the coordinate and $m$ om entum operators. As a result, the operators and p should be substituted by the corresponding classical quantities: the polarization vector (doubled average spin), , and the m om entum. For the latter quantity, we retain the notation $p$. The sem iclassicalequations of $m$ otion are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{m^{2}}{} \quad \frac{p^{2}}{f+\frac{m}{2(+m)^{r}}} \begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} r & (f
\end{aligned} \quad \text { p) }(19)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{m}{(+m)} \quad(\quad \text { p) } \stackrel{1}{-} \quad(f \quad p) ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. In Eq. [19), tw o latter term s describe a force dependent on the spin. This force is sim ilar to the electrom agnetic Stem-G erlach force (see R ef. [16]). Because it is weak, the approxim ate sem iclassicalequation of particle $m$ otion takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\quad \frac{m^{2}}{} \quad \underline{p}^{2} f: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Eq. (20) can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}= \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the angular velocity of spin rotation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.=\frac{m}{(+m)}(\quad p)+\frac{1}{(f} \quad p\right): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can nd sim ilar equations describing a change of the direction of particle $m$ om entum, $n=p=p$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d n}{d t}=!\quad n ; \quad!=\frac{m^{2}}{p} \quad n+\underline{p} f \quad n: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the spin rotates $w$ ith respect to the $m$ om entum direction and the angular velocity of this rotation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\quad!=\frac{m}{p} \quad n: \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\circ$ does not depend on $f$ and vanishes for $m$ assless particles. T herefore, the gravitational eld cannot change the helicity of $m$ assless $D$ irac particles. The evolution of the helicity $\quad j_{k} j=\quad n$ of $m$ assive particles is de ned by the form ula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}=(\quad!) \quad(\quad n)=\frac{m}{p}(? \quad) ; \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ? $=\quad \mathrm{k}$.
VI. PARTICLE IN A SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC FIELD

Let us consider the interaction of particles with a spherically sym $m$ etric gravitational eld and com pare the obtained form ulae w ith previous results. This eld is a weak lim it of the Schw arzschild one which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=1 \quad \frac{G M}{r} ; \quad W=1+\frac{G M}{r}: \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

C orrespondingly,

$$
\mathrm{F}=1 \quad \frac{2 \mathrm{GM}}{\mathrm{r}} ; \quad \mathrm{f}=2=\frac{2 \mathrm{GM}}{\mathrm{r}^{3}} \mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{~g} ;
$$

$w$ here $g$ is the $N$ ew tonian acceleration.
$W$ hen we neglect the term s of order of $\left.\frac{(\mathrm{pr})(\mathrm{p}}{2}, \mathrm{~g}\right)$ H am iltonian (12) takes the form

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{FW}}= & \overline{2} & \frac{2+\mathrm{p}^{2}}{} ; \frac{\mathrm{GM}}{\mathrm{r}} \\
\frac{(2+\mathrm{m})}{4(+\mathrm{m})} 2 & (\mathrm{~g} \quad \mathrm{p})+\mathrm{r} & : g \tag{28}
\end{array}
$$

In this case, the operator equations ofm om entum and spin $m$ otion are given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{G M}{2} \frac{2+p^{2}}{i} ; \frac{r}{r^{3}} \\
G M \frac{2+m}{(+m)} \quad r \frac{(r \quad p)}{r^{3}} ;  \tag{29}\\
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{G M}{r^{3}} \frac{2+m}{(+m)} \quad(r \quad p): \tag{30}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Eq. (29), the last term determ ines the gravitational SG force. The sem iclassical form ula for this postN ew tonian force is 20]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{SG}}=\mathrm{GM} \frac{2+\mathrm{m}}{(+m)} \quad r \frac{(r}{r^{3}}{ }^{\mathrm{p})}: \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his form ula can be transform ed to a $m$ ore convenient form where the quantities ~ and c are kept explicit for a m om ent:

$$
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{SG}}=\frac{\mathrm{GM} \sim}{\mathrm{Cr}} \mathrm{r}^{3} \frac{2+1^{\prime \prime}}{+1} \quad \frac{3 \mathrm{rr}\left[\mathrm{r}^{2}\right.}{\mathrm{r}^{2}} \text {;(32) }
$$

$=\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{C}$ and is the Lorentz factor. T he SG force is of order of $\frac{\sim}{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{cr}} \mathrm{w}$ ith respect to the N ew tonian one.

N eglecting the SG force, one get the sem iclassicalequations of $m$ om entum and spin $m$ otion:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\frac{{ }^{2}+p^{2}}{} g ;  \tag{33}\\
\frac{d}{d t}=\frac{2+m}{(+m)} \quad(g \quad p): \tag{34}
\end{gather*}
$$

$T$ he sem iclassical expressions for the angular velocities of rotation of unit $m$ om entum vector, $n=p=p$, and spin are

$$
\begin{align*}
& !=\frac{{ }^{2}+p^{2}}{p^{2}} g \quad p=\frac{G M}{r^{3}} \quad \frac{{ }^{2}+p^{2}}{p^{2}} l ;  \tag{35}\\
& =\frac{2+m}{(+m)} g \quad p=\frac{G M}{r^{3}} \frac{2+m}{(+m)^{1}} ; \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $l=r \quad p$ is the angular $m$ om ent.
Eqs. (35) and (36) agree w th the classical gravity. Eq. (35) leads to the expression for the angle of particle de ection by a gravitational eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{2 G \mathrm{M}}{2} 2+\frac{\mathrm{m}^{2}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}}=\frac{2 G \mathrm{M}}{\mathrm{v}^{2}} 1+\mathrm{v}^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

coinciding w ith Eq. (13) of P roblem 15.9 from Ref. [21] (see also $R$ ef. [22]). This directly proves the full com patibility of quantum and classical consideration and disagrees w ith the results obtained in [8].

Eq. (36) and the corresponding equation obtained in $R$ ef. [g] by the very di erent $m$ ethod coincide, up to the sign due to the di erent de nition of angular velocity [23]. For an im $m$ ovable particle, the angular velocity of spin rotation is described by the same form ula as the de Sitter one for a classical gyroscope [24]. Such a sim ilarity [25] of classical and quantum rotators is a m anifestation of the equivalence principle (see e.g. [26, 27]] and $R$ efs. therein). In the nonrelativistic approxim ation, the last term in H am iltonian (28) describing the spinorbit and contact ( D arw in) interactions coincides with the corresponding term in Ref. [28].

Them om entum and spin rotate in the sam e direction. Form ula (25) for the angular velocity of spin rotation $w$ ith respect to the $m$ om entum direction, de ning the evolution of particle helicity, takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
o=\quad!=\frac{m}{p^{2}} g \quad p: \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio of particle $m$ om entum and spin de ection angles ( and , respectively) is constant and equal to

$$
-=\frac{(2+m)(\quad m)}{2^{2} m^{2}}:
$$

If these angles are sm all, the helicity of particle, whose helicity is originally +1 , is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \quad \frac{(\quad)^{2}}{2}: \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

T herefore, the evolution of the helicity is described by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1 \quad \frac{2}{2\left(2 \quad{ }^{1}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $==\mathrm{m}$ is the Lorentz factor. This equation agrees w ith [26, 27] (see Eqs. (17),(19) from [27]) obtained by the fullquantum treatm ent. A the sam e tim e, the expression obtained earlier by the sim ilarm ethod [29] contains the dependence on graviton source $m$ ass $M$ and looks $\mathrm{m} u$ uch m ore com plicated. W e found that the large $M$ behavior of num erical values as presented at $F$ ig. 3 of that reference is at reasonable agreem ent $w$ th (40), while their asym ptotic form ula (12) is at variance w ith us. $N$ ote also that in [29] the disagreem ent $w$ ith the sem $i-$ classical treatm ent [30] w as stated, while we observe the full agreem ent betw een the sem iclassical and quantum approaches.

W em ay conclude for three of the $m$ ost im portant problem s form ulae (35), (36), and (40) are in the best agree$m$ ent $w$ ith previous results. W e also have established a consent betw een the classical and quantum theories and found the new quantum corrections to the Newtonian force.

> VII. PARTICLE IN A UN IFORM LY
> ACCELERATED FRAME AND THE EQU IVALENCE PR INC PLE

C onsideration of the particle $m$ otion in an accelerated fram e perm its to relate the gravity and acceleration. T he sim plest case is the at $M$ inkow ski spacetim e in a uniform ly accelerated frame (see item (i) of Section II). For this problem, the exact D irac $H$ am iltonian derived by H ehl and Ni [ [5] is given by

$$
\mathrm{H}=(1+a \quad r) m+\frac{1}{2} f(1+a \quad r) ; \quad \mathrm{pg} ;
$$

where $a$ is the particle acceleration. In this case, the $m$ etric tensor corresponds to the choice (4). $M$ etric (4) corresponds to the follow ing form of FW H am iltonian (12):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{FW}}=\quad+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{f} ; \mathrm{a} \quad r g+\frac{(\mathrm{a} p}{2(+\mathrm{m})} ; \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=p \overline{m^{2}+p^{2}}$. The contact (D arw in) interaction does not appear because the e ective eld $a$ is uniform. Equation (42) show sthat the particle energy ism ultiplied by the factor $V$ except for the last term that is of a purely quantum origin. An appearance of this term describing
the inertial spin-orbit coupling has been discovered by Hehl and Ni [5]. In the present work, generalizing the result of this reference, the relativistic expression for the H am iltonian has been derived. This expression happens to agree w ith the nonrelativistic ones from [5, 6].

Eq. (42) for the H am iltonian of relativistic particle in a uniform ly accelerated fram $e$ agrees w th the corresponding nonrelativistic expressions from [5, 6].
$T$ he equations of particle and spin $m$ otion are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\quad a ; \frac{d}{d t}=\frac{(a \mathrm{p})}{+m}: \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the uniform ly accelerated fram e, the SG force does not exist.

The sem iclassical transition brings Eq. (43) to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d p}{d t}=\quad a ; \frac{d}{d t}=\frac{(a \quad p)}{+m}: \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The angular velocities of rotation of unit $m$ om entum vector and spin are equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
!=\frac{p^{2}}{} a \quad p ; \quad=\frac{a \quad p}{+m}: \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative angular velocity de ning the helicity evohution is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\quad!=\frac{m}{p^{2}} a \quad p: \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen $a=g$, values ofo in Eqs. (46) and (38) are the sam $e$. It is the $m$ anifestation of the equivalence principle which was discussed w th respect to helicity evolution in [26, 27].

At the sam etim e, the $m$ anifestation of the equivalence principle for the spin rotation is not so trivial. In particular, the spin of nonrelativistic particles in the spherically sym $m$ etric gravitational eld rotates three tim es more rapidly in com parison to the accelerated fram e.

To trace the origin of this di erence, let us com pare the rotation of the m om entum direction in these cases. A though it is the same in the nonrelativistic lim it, the 41) expressions for the relativistic particles di er. To understand this from the point ofview ofequivalence principle, the approach of [26, 27] is convenient. Let us consider [26, 27] the $m$ atrix elem ent $M$ of particle scattering in the extemalgravitational eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\frac{1}{2} h \mathrm{p}^{0} \mathrm{j} \quad \text { pih } \quad(\mathrm{q}) ; \quad \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{p} \quad \mathrm{p}^{0} ; \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is the Belinfante energy m om entum tensor and $h$ is a Fourier com ponent of a deviation of the $m$ etric tensor from its $M$ inkow ski value. The particle m om entum evolution is filly determ ined by the forw ard $m$ atrix elem ent xed by the $m$ om entum conservation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { hojT } \dot{p} i=2 p p: \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them atrix elem ent for the particle at rest takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=m^{2} h_{00}(q): \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

C oincidence of the (00) components of the $m$ etric in the gravitational eld and accelerated fram e proves the equivalence principle, appearing in such an approach as a low-energy theorem rather than postulate.

At the sam e tim e, for the $m$ oving particle, the space com ponents of $m$ etric $h_{z z}=h_{x x}=h_{y y}=h_{00}$ (see e.g. [24]) also contribute. A s a result, the $m$ atrix elem ents in the gravitational eld ( M g ) and in the accelerated fram e $(M$ a) di er by the obvious kinem atical factor:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{g}=\left({ }^{2}+p^{2}\right) h_{00}(q) ; \quad M_{a}={ }^{2} h_{00}(q): \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he ratio of these $m$ atrix elem ents

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=\frac{2+\mathrm{p}^{2}}{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

is exactly equal to the ratio of the rh.s. of the equations of particle $m$ om entum $m$ otion, and, consequently, to the ratio of the angular velocities of rotation of their directions. It is now clearly seen that this di erence is a direct kinem atical consequence of the equivalence principle.
$N$ ote that generalexpression (26) for the helicity evolution is insensitive to the space com ponents of the $m$ etric which is the entire origin of the kinem atical factor di ering in the gravitational led and accelerating fram e. This provides the additional argum ent for the sim ple form of the equivalence principle when helicity is considered. N am ely, the helicity evolution in any static gravitational eld and corresponding accelerating fram e m erely coincides.

Let us consider the e ect of the $m$ entioned kinem atical factor for the spin $m$ otion. Eqs. (35) and (45) describing the angular velocity ofm om entum $m$ otion can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
!_{g}= & \frac{m}{p^{2}}+\frac{2+m}{(+m)} g \quad p \\
& =0 \frac{2+m}{(+m)} g \quad p \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

for the spherically sym $m$ etric gravitational eld and

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{a}=\frac{m}{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{+m} \quad a \quad p=0+\frac{1}{+m} a \quad p \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the uniform ly accelerated fram e. H ere the relative angular velocity 0 , com $m$ on for tw o cases, is extracted. $T$ he rem aining terms in the rhs. are just the angular velocities of spin rotation. T he current derivation explicitly show s that their di erence is the consequence of the equivalence principle and kinem atical factors in (50).

It is interesting that in the nonrelativistic lim it both $!$ and $o$ diverge as $1=\mathrm{p}^{2}$. Their nite di erences in Eqs. (52) and (53) provide the nonrelativistic lim it of the angular velocities of spin rotation. In this lim it the mo $m$ entum rotation in the gravitational eld and accelerating fram e coincides, as it is seen from (51). H ow ever,
the $m$ entioned divergence of the angular velocities \com pensates" the in nitesim aldeviation of $R$ from unity. In $m$ ore detail, one is dealing $w$ ith the low $p^{2}$ expansion of tw o expressions

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{g}=\frac{{ }^{2}+p^{2}}{p^{2}} g \quad p \quad \frac{m}{p^{2}}+\frac{3}{2 m} \quad g \quad p \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{a}=\frac{1}{p^{2}} a \quad p \quad \frac{m}{p^{2}}+\frac{1}{2 m} \quad a \quad p: \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hile the lh s of these expressions has the sam e nonrelativistic lim it, the mentioned e ect provides the ratio 3 for nite term $s$ in rhs.

For completeness let us also consider the operator equation for the particle acceleration:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=H ; H ; r]=\frac{1}{2}(1+a \quad r) ; a \quad \frac{2 p(a \quad p)}{2}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation, sm all term $s$ depending on the spin $m$ atrix are om itted. In the sem iclassical approxim ation,

$$
\begin{align*}
r= & \left(1+a \quad r a \quad \frac{2 p(a \quad p)}{2}\right. \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1+a & r) a \frac{2 v(a \quad v}{1+a}
\end{array}\right) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

A fter substitution ofstandard nonrelativistic expressions for $r$ and $v$ we reach the fill agreem ent $w$ th the approxim ate result of Hung and Ni ( (П], Eq. (82)).

## V III. D ISCUSSION AND SUM M ARY

W e show ed that the elegant exact EK transform ation [1, 2] does not provide a sim ple form for dynam icaloperators and therefore does not allow for a straightforw ard derivation ofquantum and sem iclassicalequations ofm otion. W e constructed the FW transform ation leading to sim ple dynam ical operators and derived the quantum (which is our main new result) and sem iclassical equations ofm om entum and spin $m$ otion. For the case of w eak spherically sym $m$ etric eld the sem iclassical lim it reproduces all the know $n$ results for the $m$ om entum, spin and helicity evolution and resolve the existing contradictions. T he new quantum corrections provide, in particular, the post-N ew tonian gravitational SG force. W e found that sem iclassicalequations are in full agreem ent w ith classical gravity.

W e checked that the derived equations of $m$ otion are compatible w th those obtained from $H$ am iltonian of [1, 2] and the respective (com plicated) dynam ical operators. H ow ever, the di erence between the FW and $E K$ representations $m$ eans that the physical interpretation of the approach [1, 2] should be m ade carefully. Say, the term in H am iltonian [1, [2] describing the dipole spingravity coupling does not appear in the FW H am iltonian.

As soon as physical e ects are dependent on both the H am iltonian and dynam icaloperators, the correspondent term in the EK representation is cancelled, when com plicated spin operator (10) is used. C onsequently, there is no reason for the precession of spin of particles being at rest, which is explicitly seen from Eq. (17).
$T$ he equivalence principle, understood asm inim alcoupling of ferm ions to gravity (1), (47) is alw ays valid. H ow ever, its speci cm anifestations depend on the observable. From this point ofview, the sim plest observable forD irac particle is helicity. T he helicity evolution in the gravitational eld and accelerated fram e is the sam e. Them anifestation of the equivalence principle form om entum and spin $m$ otion in these two cases is a ected by kinem atical
corrections due to the space com ponents ofm etric tensor. In particular, this leads to the enhancem ent by the factor 3 of the frequency of spin precession in the gravitational eld w ith respect to the accelerating fram e.
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