Sudden future singularities in FLRW cosm ologies

Kayll Lake [*]

Departm ent of Physics and Departm ent of M athem atics and Statistics, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada, K7L 3N6 (D ated: M arch 23, 2024)

The standard energy conditions of classical general relativity are applied to FLRW cosm ologies containing sudden future singularities. Here we show, in a model independent way, that although such cosm obgies can satisfy the null, weak and strong energy conditions, they always fail to satisfy the dom inant energy condition. They require a divergent spacelike energy ux in all but the com oving fram e.

Recently Barrow [1] has shown that a singularity can occurata nite future time in an expanding Friedmann-Lem altre-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) universe even when > 0 and + 3p > 0 (and p the com oving energy density and isotropic pressure respectively). This study was motivated by the m any recent investigations (see references in [1]) into \big rip" singularities which are precipitated by the \phantom " equation of state

$$+ p < 0:$$
 (1)

Barrow emphasized the fact that (1) is a su cient but not necessary condition for a singularity in the future of a non-contracting FLRW cosm ology by way of introducing sudden future singularities. Because of the possible in portance of such singularities they are exam ined here within the context of the standard energy conditions of classical general relativity. In particular we show that sudden future singularities always fail to satisfy the dom inant energy condition. The slightest deviation from the com oving fram e results in a divergent spacelike energy ux su ciently close to any sudden future singularity.

The standard energy conditions of classical general relativity are wellknown [2] [3] [4]. We pay special attention to the treatment of the cosm ological constant in these conditions here since the recent observations of R iess et al. [5] not only con mearlier reports that we live in an accelerating universe [6] [7], but also sam ple the transition from deceleration to acceleration. To begin we recall a rem arkable feature of four dim ensions: the fact that the only divergence free two index tensor A derivable from the metric tensor and its rst two derivatives is (up to a disposable multiplicative constant) [8]

$$A = G + c ; \qquad (2)$$

where G is the Einstein tensor and $_{\rm c}$ is a nite constant. W e w rite E instein's equations in the form [9]

$$G + _{c} = 8 _{M} T + 8 _{V} T ;$$
 (3)

where the total energy-m om entum tensor consists of the \m atter" contribution $_{\rm M}$ T and the vacuum contribution $_{\rm V}\,{\rm T}\,$. Here we simply assume that the latter is of v, va nite constant. Since the form $8_{\rm V} T$ the source of the R obertson-W alkerm etric can always be

taken (form ally) to be a perfect m athem atical uid with com oving velocity eld, care must be taken as to how the constants $_{\rm c}$ and $_{\rm v}$ are interpreted. Let us write the bare eld equations in the form

$$G + C = 8 T ;$$
 (4)

where C is a constant. In term s of the ow (congruence of unit timelike vectors u) and unit normal eld n (in the tangent space of the associated Lorentzian two-space) the energy density and isotropic pressure (including bulk viscosity) are given by Tuu and p Tnn respectively. W riting the R obertson-W alkerm etric in the form

$$ds^{2} = a^{2} \left(\frac{dr^{2}}{1 kr^{2}} + r^{2} d^{2} \right) dt^{2}; \qquad (5)$$

where a = a(t) and d^2 is the metric of a unit 2-sphere $(d^{2} + \sin(t)^{2} d^{2})$, the Friedmann equations follow as

$$3 = \frac{3}{a^2} (k + \underline{a}^2) \quad C;$$
 (6)

and

8 p =
$$\frac{1}{a^2}$$
 (k + \underline{a}^2 + 2aa) + C; (7)

where : d=dt.

There are three possible choices for C. (i) C = c

: In this procedure the vacuum contribution is extracted from the energy-momentum tensor and considered part of the geom etry. The apparent sm allness of the elective cosm ological constant arises out of the alm ost perfect cancellation of \rm_{c} and \rm_{v} an explanation of which constitutes a standard form of one of the \cosm ological constant problem s" [10]. This procedure is the common one and, for example, is the procedure used to M plane [11]. Wewrite construct the observers the associated energy density and isotropic pressure of the perfect uid source as and p respectively. These are given by (6) and (7). (ii) C = c: In this procedure the vacuum contribution is not extracted from the energy-momentum tensor but rather considered part of it. The perfect uid source now has an associated energy density and isotropic pressure given by ~ 8

and $p = p + \frac{v}{8}$ respectively. These are given by (6) and (7) with and p replaced by ~ and p. (iii) C = 0: In this procedure the geom etrical contribution from $_{\rm c}$ and the contribution from the vacuum v_v are both considered part of the energy-momentum tensor. The perfect uid source now has an associated energy density and isotropic $\frac{v}{s}$ and p p + $\frac{v}{s}$ repressure given by spectively. Again these are given by (6) and (7) with and p replaced by and p.

We now impose standard energy conditions on the m athem atical uid. The local energy conditions considered here are the null energy condition (NEC), weak energy condition (WEC), strong energy condition (SEC) and dominant energy condition (DEC). In the present context we are always dealing with a form alperfect uid and in that case the conditions are given in case (i) by

NEC ()
$$(+p 0);$$
 (8)

WEC () (0) and (+p)0); (9)

SEC () (+3p 0) and (+p)0); (10)

and

DEC () (0) and (
$$p$$
 0); (11)

where and p are replaced by ~ and p in case (ii) and by and p in case (iii). From (6) and (7) for case (i) we nd

$$+ 3p 0 () C \frac{3a}{a};$$
 (12)

+ p 0 ()
$$k + \underline{a}^2$$
 aa; (13)

p 0 () C
$$\frac{2}{a^2}(k + \underline{a}^2) + \frac{a}{a};$$
 (14)

and

0 () C
$$\frac{3}{a^2}(k + \underline{a}^2);$$
 (15)

again with and pare replaced by ~ and p in case (ii) and by and p in case (iii).

A sudden future singularity is de ned informally as follows [1]:

$$t_{s} > 0; \ 0 < a(t_{s}) < 1;$$
(16)
$$0 < \underline{a}(t_{s}) < 1; \ a(t! \ t_{s})! \ 1:$$

2

(A form alde nition can be given in any spacetime which is not Ricci- at in term s of Ricci invariants [12].) Equations (11) and (14) now make it clear that there is no choice of C for which the DEC is satis ed at a sudden future singularity. We now exam ine this failure more cloæly.

Recall that the dom inant energy condition states that the energy m om entum tensor T must satisfy

over the set of all possible timelike 4-vectors W . That is, the local energy density appears non-negative and the local energy ow vector (T W) is not spacelike. Note that if T decomposes into a perfect uid (of energy density and isotropic pressure p) with respect to a unit timelike vector eld u , then with respect to any unit timelike vector eld W we have $T W W = (u W)^2 (p+) pand T W T W =$ $(u W)^2 (p^2)^2$, p^2 .

For an arbitrary unit timelike 4-vector W and com oving u it follows from (5) that $(W u)^2 = 1 + w^2$ where $w^2 = \hat{W}_a \hat{W}^a$ and \hat{W}^a is the proper spacial three velocity $(\underline{r_{j}}, -, -), \stackrel{:}{=} \frac{d}{d}$, the proper time). In case (i) we have T W W = $w^2(p +)$ + and T W T W = $w^2 (p^2 2) ^2$ with cases (ii) and (iii) treated in the m anor explained above. In all three cases it follows from (17) that for $w^2 \in 0$ ast! t_s

$$\Gamma W W w^{2} (\frac{a}{4 a})! + 1$$
 (18)

and

T W T W
$$+w^{2}(\frac{a}{4a})^{2}!+1:$$
 (19)

W e conclude that sudden future singularities de ned by (17) violate the dom inant energy condition as strongly as possible. The slightest deviation from the comoving fram e (any w² \in 0) results in a divergent spacelike energy ux ast! t_s .

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by a grant from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. It is a pleasure to that M .V isser and E .P oisson for helpful rem arks.

[*] E lectronic A ddress: lake@ astro.queensu.ca

[1] J.D.Barrow, Class. Quantum Grav. 21 179 (2004). (gr-

qc/0403084) For a som ew hat more general consideration and updated references see S.Nojiri and S.D.O dintsov, $\The nalstate and therm odynam ics of dark energy universe" (2004). (hep-th/0408170)$

- [2] S.W. Hawking and G.F.R. Ellis, The Large Scale Structure of Space-Time (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1973)
- [3] M. Visser, Lorentzian W orm holes (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996)
- [4] E. Poisson, A Relativist's Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black-hole Mechanics (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004).
- [5] A. G. Riess et al, ApJ, 607, 665 (2004). (astroph/0402512)
- [6] A. G. Riess et al., A. J., 116 1009 (1998). (astroph/9805201)
- [7] S. Perlmutter et al, Ap. J., 517, 565 (1999). (astroph/9812133)
- [8] D.Lovelock, J.M ath. Phys. 13, 874 (1972).
- [9] W e use geom etrical units and a signature of +2.
- See, for exam ple, S.W einberg astro-ph/9610044 and citations thereto and T.Padm anabhan, Phys.Rept. 380, 235 (2003). (hep-th/0212290)

- [11] See, for example, K . Lake, Cosm ological observations and their representation in the M plane" (2004). (astro-ph/0404319)
- [12] In any spacetim e which is not R icci at the R icci invariants of lowest degree are usually taken to be the R icci scalar R (R, R the R icci tensor of the spacetime) and the rst R icci invariant R1 (S S =4 S^2 , S the trace-free R icci tensor R R =4). W ithout any loss in generality we can also take two independent invariants to be I₁ and I₂ de ned as follow s:

8 I₁ R=4 + sign (S)
$$\frac{p}{3S^2}$$
 C; (20)

and

8 I₂ R=4 + sign (S)
$$rac{p}{S^2=3}$$
 + C; (21)

where C is a constant. In the coordinates of (5) these evaluate to (6) and (7) respectively, that is $I_1 = \text{ and } I_2 = p$. A sudden future singularity can be de ned form ally by the divergence of I_2 while I_1 remains nite. R ather than \sudden" these singularities m ight be refrerred to more generally as \pressure dom inated".