## Quantum gravitational decoherence of matter waves

Charles H-T W ang<sup>1,2;a</sup>, R obert B ingham<sup>2;3;b</sup> and J T ito M endonca<sup>4;2;c</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Engineering and Physical Sciences,

University of Aberdeen, King's College, Aberdeen AB24 3UE, UK

 $^2\mathrm{R}$  utherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, D idcot, O xon O X 11 0Q X , U K

<sup>3</sup> (SUPA) Department of Physics,

University of Strathclyde, G lasgow G 4 ONG, UK

<sup>4</sup>CFP, Instituto Superior Tecnico, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

<sup>a</sup>cwang@abdnacuk,<sup>b</sup>rbingham@rlacuk,<sup>c</sup>titom end@istutlpt

PACS num bers: 04.60 D s, 03.65 Y z, 03.75.-b

## A bstract

O ne of the biggest unsolved problem s in physics is the uni cation of quantum mechanics and general relativity. The lack of experimental guidance has made the issue extremely evasive, though various attempts have been made to relate the loss of matter wave coherence to quantum spacetime uctuations. We present a new approach to the gravitational decoherence near the P lanck scale, made possible by recently discovered conform all structure of canonical gravity. This leads to a gravitational analogue of the B rownian motion whose correlation length is given by the P lanck length up to a scaling factor. With input from recent matter wave experiments, we show that the minimum value of this factor to be well within the expected range for quantum gravity theories. This suggests that the sensitivities of advanced matter wave interferom eters may be approaching the fundamental level due to quantum spacetime uctuations and that investigating P lanck scale physics using matter wave interferom etery may become a reality in the near future.

Physics on the large scale is based on E instein's theory of general relativity (GR), which interprets gravity as the curvature of spacetime. Despite its trem endous success as an isolated theory of gravity, GR has proved problem atic in integration with physics as a whole, in particular the physics of the very small governed by quantum mechanics. There can be no unication of physics, which does not include them both. Superstring theory [1] and its recent extension to the more general theory of branes is a popular candidate, but the links with experiment are very tenuous. Loop quantum gravity [2, 3] attempts to quantize GR without unication, and has so far received no obvious experimental veri cation.

O ne hundred years ago, when P lanck introduced the constant nam ed after him, he also introduced the P lanck scales, which combined this constant with the velocity of light c and N ew ton's gravitational constant G to give the fundam ental P lanck time  $T_{P \ lanck} = (\sim G = c^5)^{1=2}$ 10<sup>43</sup> s, P lanck length  $L_{P \ lanck} = cT_{P \ lanck}$  10<sup>35</sup> m and P lanck m assM  $_{P \ lanck} = \sim = (c^2 T_{P \ lanck})$ 10<sup>8</sup> kg. Experiments on quantum gravity require access to these scales. To access these scales directly using accelerators would require 10<sup>19</sup> G eV accelerators, well beyond any conceivable experiments. Nonetheless, there have been signi cant developments in exam ining possible signatures of quantum gravity. A number of phenomena have been proposed to be potentially observable, including deformations' of special relativity and 'imprints' in the cosm icm icrow ave background. For recent reviews, see e.g. [4] and [5] and references therein.

O ne possible way of accessing the P lanck scale is to use the concept developed by E instein in his study of them all uctuations of sm all particles through the B rownian motion [6]. M odem experimental methods are so much in advance of those of E instein's time, that we are now in a position to consider accessing the P lanck scales by a method analogous to B rownian motion, a concept rst pointed out by E llis et al [7]. The curvature of spacetime produces changes in proper time, the time measured by moving clocks. For su ciently short time intervals, near the P lanck time, the proper time uctuates strongly due to quantum uctuations. For longer time is therefore made up of the quantum uctuations plus the steady drift. The boundary separating the shorter time scale uctuations from the longer time scale drifts, is marked by a time  $_0 = T_{\rm P lanck}$  that denes the borderline between sem iclassical and fully quantum regimes of gravity, in terms of a dimensionless cut-o parameter .

Since the quantum to classical transition of gravity is expected to occur at a length scale  $_{0} = L_{P \mid anck}$  larger than  $L_{P \mid anck}$  by a few orders of magnitude, the parameter should approximately satisfy  $> 10^{2}$ . Its actual value is model dependent. E.g. the extra-dimensional gravity model as per ArkaniH amed et all has the fundamental scale of gravity in the TeV range [8], which would place to be as large as around  $10^{16}$ . Below, the motion of a quantum wave packet will be examined on a length scale larger than  $_{0}$  where the time-varying background metric is assumed to be well described as a classical eld. Markopoulou et all have recently developed a theoretical framework where particles are described as hoiseless subsystem s' in a background independent manner [9]. However, we assume that at an appropriate low-energy limit of such a theory, the classical picture of geometry will emerge.

M atter wave interferom eters are ideal in m easuring decoherence e ects and will be able to put upper limits on quantum uctuations which will help guide the theoretical work. An atom is a quantum clock with a very high frequency proportional to its mass. In contrast, the proper time intervals of massless particles like photons are unally ected as they travel along null geodesics. In an atom interferom eter, an atom ic wavepacket is split into two coherent wavepackets that follow dienent paths before recombining. The phase change of each wavepacket is proportional to the proper time along its path, resulting in constructive or destructive interference when the wavepackets recombine. The detection of the decoherence due to spacetime uctuations on the P lanck scale would provide experimental access to quantum gravity elects analogous to accessing to atom ic scales provided by B row nian motion. A number of authors [10, 11, 12] have suggested investigating decoherence in the two-path atom interferom eter where the separation of the wavepackets is large compared to the width of the wavepacket. There have also been studies of decoherence models using non-propagating conform all uctuations of spacetime by Sanchez-G om ez [13], and using N ewtonian gravity by K ay [14]. Recent work by Power and Percival [10] considered a model of the conformal eld'A that conform ally deforms the M inkowski spacetime metric = diag(1;1;1;1) (using ; = 0;1;2;3) as spacetime coordinate indices) into the curved spacetime metric g via

$$g = (1 + A)^2$$
 : (1)

They assumed that the incoherent bonform alwaves' of A are produced by their own quantum mechanical zero point uctuations. These waves then interact with wavepackets of massive particles through the electrice New tonian potential using the weak eld and slow motion approximation. The nonlinear contribution from conform alleld causes a decoherence of the quantum wavepackets. The random walk of the conform alleld is modelled by a Gaussian correlation function with  $_0$  as the correlation time. In terms of the density matrix of the wavepacket at time t = 0 and its change due to spacetime uctuations at time t = T, the decoherence is represented by the loss of contrast of the form [10]:

$$\frac{1}{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{M^{2} c^{4} T A_{0}^{4}}{\sim^{2}}$$
(2)

where M is the mass of the atom and  $A_0$  is the amplitude of the uctuating A, assumed to be much less than unity. The conformal eld is assumed to have frequency contributions from 0 up to a cut-o frequency  $!_0 = 2 = _0$ . Based on atom interferometer experiments using caesium atoms with M = 133 amu separated for T = 0.32 s resulting in a loss of contrast of = (0) = 3% [15], Power and Percival estimate the lower bound on to be of order 10, outside the expected range.

P revious m odels [10, 11, 12] su er from a num ber of serious draw backs and are too crude to m ake predictions [16]. They suspend all but the conform al degrees of freedom of gravity at the cost of violating E instein's equations. Consequently, most recent investigations of gravitational decoherence have focused on gravitational waves (GW s) of astrophysical and cosm ological origins [17, 18]. The sm allness of these waves have, how ever, rendered the chance of detecting their decoherence e ects very slim. Here we introduce for the rst time new components of the gravitational eld describing shearing actions on spacetim e geom etry, com m only referred to as the spin-2 GW s [19, 20]. These components de ne the conform al geom etry of spacetim e that yields the full geom etry when com bined with the conform al edd. C areful initial data analysis reveals that the spin-2 GW s carry the true dynam ical degrees of freedom of GR [21], just like the spin-1 EM waves carrying the true dynam ical degrees of freedom of M axwell's theory of electrom agnetism. GW s are believed to be quantized into spin-2 gravitons and hence have zero point energy.

The essential requirement for the theoretical framework in which the conformal led interacts with GW s at zero point energy is a conformally decomposed Hamiltonian formulation of GR. Such a theoretical framework has been established in recent papers [19, 20]. It allows us to consider a general spacetime metric of the form

$$g = (1 + A)^2$$
 : (3)

in terms of the conformal eld A and the rescaled metric . We shall work in a standard laboratory frame where the direction of time is perpendicular to space. A coordingly, we set  $_{00} = 1$  and  $_{0a} = 0$  (using a; b = 1;2; 3 as spatial coordinate indices.) The spatial part of the metric is denoted by  $_{ab}$  and is normalized using det( $_{ab}$ ) = 1. Hence,  $_{ab}$  will be referred to as the conform almetric' as it speci es the conform algeometry of space. It's inverse is denoted by  $_{ab}$ . The spacetime metric (3) therefore accommodates both the conformal eld, as does the metric in (1), and in addition the spin-2 GW sencoded in the deviation of the conform almetric  $_{ab}$ .

A dopt, for a m om ent, units where 16 G = c = 1. In Refs [19, 20], the canonical theory of general relativity has been constructed in terms of the conform al classes of spatial metrics by extending the A mow itt-D eser-M isner (ADM) phase space consisting of the spatial metric  $g_{ab}$  and its m om entum  $p^{ab}$ , (a; b = 1; 2; 3). The canonical transform ation  $(g_{ab}; p^{ab})$ ! ( $_{ab}$ ;  $^{ab}$ ; ;) is perform ed using a conform ally transform ed spatial metric  $_{ab}$ , its m om entum  $^{ab}$ , the scale factor =  $^{P} det g_{ab}$  and York's mean extrinsic curvature variable [21, 19]. The new canonical framework has the H am iltonian constraint H, di eom orphism (m om entum) constraint: D<sub>a</sub> and conform al constraint C [20]. In terms of these constraints, the H am iltonian for gravity is given by [19, 20]: Z

$$H = [N H + X^{a}D_{a} + Z C]d^{3}x$$
(4)

using the lapse function N, shift vector X<sup>a</sup> and Lagrange multiplier Z to e ect the vanishing of the conform alconstraint C. We choose coordinate and scaling gauge conditions to facilitate the study of the coupling between the conform al and gravitational wave parts of gravity. In addition, these gauge xings should allow our spacetime metric to be expressed in terms of a deviation from the M inkow skim etric for ease of comparison with earlier approaches to the conform al edd. We x the conform algauge by normalizing the conform al 3-metric according to det( $_{ab}$ ) = 1. The momentum  $^{ab}$  is then required to be traceless with respect to  $_{ab}$ . It is possible to nd parameterizations to satisfy the above properties of  $_{ab}$  and  $^{ab}$ . However, their explicit construction is not required for our present analysis.

The norm alized conform al3-m etric <sub>ab</sub> can be extended into a norm alized conform al4-m etric by adopting the G aussian coordinate conditions for the tim e-tim e and tim e-space m etric components <sub>00</sub> = 1 and <sub>0a</sub> = 0. Using the bonform al ekd'A, we can relate the physical and conform alm etrics simply by Eq. (3). This was the lapse function and shift vector to be N = 1 + A and X<sup>a</sup> = 0 respectively, so that the direction of time is chosen as perpendicular to space. We then perform the canonical transform ation (<sub>ab</sub>; <sup>ab</sup>; ;)! (<sub>ab</sub>; <sup>ab</sup>; A; P) where P is the momentum of A. In term s of these variables, the gravitational H am iltonian (4) becomes

$$H = H^{(CF)} + H^{(GW)} d^{3}x$$
(5)

where

$$H^{(CF)} = \frac{1}{24} P^{2} + 6^{ab} A_{a} A_{b}$$
(6)

is the Ham iltonian density for the conform al eld and

$$H^{(GW)} = (1 + A)^{2} ab^{ab} (1 + A)^{2}R$$
(7)

is the H am iltonian density for the GW s, where R is the Ricci scalar curvature of  $_{\rm ab}$ . A lthough we have adopted a canonical description, the covariance of our approach can be rea med by means of a Legendre transform ation on the H am iltonian (5), yielding the action for gravity of the covariant form :

$$S = {}^{Z} h$$
 i  
 $S = {}^{G} A; A; + (1 + A)^{2}R d^{4}x$ 

where R is the Ricci scalar curvature of the 4-m etric . In arriving at this action, we have used the momentum -velocity relations \_ab = 2 (1 + A)  $^2$  ab and A-= P=12, where the overdot denotes a time derivative. By using the latter relation, we can eliminate the momenta in H  $^{(CF)}$  and write it, after restoring the full units, as

$$H^{(CF)} = \frac{3c^4}{8 G} c^2 A^2 + {}^{ab}A_{;a}A_{;b} :$$
(8)

This Ham iltonian density has a remarkable feature of being similar to that of a massless scalar eld but with a wrong sign', i.e. negative energy density, which has important physical consequences to be explored below.

It is worth stressing that only physical degrees of freedom have zero point energies. In principle, 3 conditions can be used to elim inate the coordinate redundancy in the conform al metric <sub>ab</sub> containing 5 components. Assuming small deviation from the M inkowski spacetime, the vacuum energy due to H <sup>(G W)</sup> in the sem iclassical domain can be estimated by considering the quantization of weak GW s in a box of unit volume. In this case, the number of modes per frequency is given by

n (!) = 
$$\frac{4!^2}{(2 c)^3}$$
: (9)

The energy density H  $_0^{(GW)}$  due to zero point energy gravitons with 2 polarizations and frequencies up to the cut-o value !  $_0 = 2 = _0$  is

$$H_{0}^{(GW)} = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_{!0}} \frac{1}{2} \sim ! n (!) d! = \frac{2^{-2}c^{2}}{4} \frac{M_{P \text{ lanck}}}{L_{P \text{ lanck}}^{3}}$$
(10)

since each frequency mode has energy  $\frac{1}{2} \sim !$ . For  $> 10^2$ , the above H<sub>0</sub><sup>(GW)</sup> value amounts to a vacuum mass density of  $10^{68} \{10^{84} \text{ metric tons per litre! However, we must also take$ 

into account the contribution from the conformal eld, which can be determined using the Ham iltonian constraint to be:

$$H^{(CF)} = H_0^{(GW)};$$
(11)

The relation (11) adm its a very simple picture: Every pair of gravitons having the same zero point energy  $\frac{1}{2}$ ~! but two di erent helicities is accompanied by a 'quantum' of the conform al eld with a compensating energy of ~!. However, a further implication is that the resulting conform al eld may be observable through an atom wave decoherence experiment. Since all components of the metric deviating from the M inkow ski values are assumed to be sm all, the N ew tonian approximation for the slow motion of a matter wave packet applies. How ever, in this limit, only the conform alleld contributes to the eld contributes to the eld of the set of the set of the metric of the set of the s

 $(g_{00} + 1)=2$  but not the GW elds. The amplitude of the uctuating conformal eld A can be derived from the energy density condition (11) together with Eqs (8) and (10) in a mode-by-mode fashion as follows:

$$\frac{3c^4}{8 G} \overset{D}{c} ^{2}A_{-}^{2} + jc A_{j}^{2} d! = ~! n (!) d!$$

where h i denotes averaging over space and the vector modulus j j is approximated using the Euclidean norm. Let A (!) be the amplitude of A at frequency !. Using relation (9), the above relation yields A (!)<sup>2</sup> =  $2T_{P \ lanck}^{2}$ !=3 which shows a clear spectral distribution of the conformal eld towards higher frequencies. From this, the overall amplitude squared for A due to quantum vacuum uctuations is

$$A_0^2 = \int_0^{2} A(!)^2 d! = \frac{4}{3^2};$$
(12)

W ithin the Newtonian approximation for the atom wave motion, we nally obtain an expression for the cut-o parameter by substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (2) to be

$$= \frac{8^{p} \overline{2^{5}}}{9} \frac{M^{2} c^{4} T_{P \text{ lanck}} T}{\sim^{2} (= (0))}$$
 (13)

For the mentioned atom interferom eter experimental data using caesium atom swith M = 133 amu separated for 0:32 s resulting in a loss of contrast of about 3% [15], formula (13) yields a lower bound on to be:

The inequality counts for any other causes of decoherence. This lower bound is well within the expected range  $> 10^2$  for low energy quantum gravity.

For alm ost a century it has been widely perceived that the lack of experim ental evidence for quantum gravity has presented, and will continue to present, a major barrier to its breakthrough. How ever, arm ed with the sensitivity of modern matter wave interferom eters at the quantum level, the possibility of using a macroscopic' instrum ent to investigate P lank scale physics is now a real

possibility. Following recently form ulated conform aldecom position in full canonical gravity, we have developed a new approach to gravitational decoherence due to ground state gravitons and have demonstrated that the resulting conform all eld can lead to observable elects by causing quantum matter waves to loss coherence.

Further in proved m easurem ent m ay decrease the upper bound of decoherence resulting in an increased . A space mission similar to the proposed HYPER atom wave interferom eter can provide such in provem ents [22]. A dvanced m atter interferom eters using m olecules under active development [23, 24, 25] are designed to enhance decoherence e ects using particles of larger m ass. However, the additional decoherence e ects due to the structure of a m olecule m ust be controlled. Recent experiments using  $C_{70}$  fullerene molecules with M = 70 12 amu, separated for 0:004 s result in a loss of contrast of 4% [25]. A coording to form ula (13), this yields 5500, close to the lower bound given in Eq. (14). For every 3 orders of m agnitude in provem ent in the loss of contrast, there is only 1 order of magnitude change in the lower bound of . Therefore, its possible rise with any decrease of decoherence measurement is rather slow. This, combined with the fact that the lower bound of in the range 5500 {7600 calculated using current experimental data is already within the expected range  $> 10^2$ , is a very good sign. It strongly suggests that the m easured decoherence e ects are converging towards the fundam ental decoherence due to quantum gravity. The experimental determination of will be compelling evidence for the quantum behaviour of spacetime and set a stringent benchmark in our search for the ultimate theory of quantum gravity.

## A cknow ledgm ents

We would like to thank M Sandford, G Am elino-Cam elia, K Homberger, B Kent, IC Percival and J W York for fruitful discussions. The work was supported by the CCLRC Centre for Fundam ental Physics.

## References

- G reen M, Schwarz J and W itten E 1987, Superstring theory, (C am bridge U niversity P ress, C am bridge)
- [2] Ashtekar A 1986, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2244
- [3] Rovelli C and Sm olin L 1995, Phys. Rev. D 52, 5743
- [4] Am elinoCam elia G, Lam m erzahlC, M acias A and M uller H 2005, P reprint gr-qc/0501053
- [5] Sm olin L 2006, P reprint hep-th/0605052
- [6] Einstein A 1905, Annd Physik, 17, 549

- [7] Ellis J, Hagelin JS, Nanopolous DV and Srednicki M1984, Nucl. Phys. B 241, 381
- [8] ArkaniHam ed N, D in opoulos S and D valiG 1998, Phys. Lett. B 429, 263
- [9] Kribs DW and Markopoulou F 2005, Preprint gr-qc/0510052
- [10] Power W L and PercivalIC 2000, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 456, 955
- [11] PercivalIC and StrunzW T 1997, Proc.R. Soc. Lond.A 453, 431
- [12] Power W L 1999, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A 455, 991
- [13] Sanchez-Gom ez J L 1994, ed. L D iosi, G B Lukacs, W orld Scienti c, Singapore
- [14] Kay B 1998, Class. Quantum Grav. 15, L89
- [15] Peters A, Chung K Y, Young B, Hensley J and Chu S 1997, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A 355, 2223
- [16] Am elino-Cam elia G 2005, in Planck scale e ects in astrophysics and cosm ology, edited by G.Am elino-Cam elia and J.K owalski-G likm an (Springer, Berlin)
- [17] Reynaud S, Lam ine B, Lam brecht A, Neto P M and Jaekel M-T 2004, Gen. Rel. G rav. 36, 2271
- [18] Lam ine B, Herve R, Lam brecht A and Reynaud S 2006, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 050405
- [19] W ang C H-T 2005, Phys. Rev. D 71, 124026
- [20] W ang C H-T 2005, Phys. Rev. D 72, 087501
- [21] York JW 1972, Phys. Rev. Lett. 28, 1082
- [22] HYPER, Hyper-precision cold atom interferom etry in space, ed. A sæssm ent study report ESA-SCI (2000).
- [23] Homberger K et al 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 160401
- [24] Hackerm ueller L et al 2003, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 090408
- [25] Hackerm ueller L, Homberger K, Brezger B, Zeilinger A and Amdt M 2004, Nature 427, 711