

An approximate global solution of Einstein's equations for a finite body

J. A. Cabezas¹, J. Mart \acute{n} , A. Molina³ and E. Ruiz²

¹Departamento de Ingenier \acute{a} Mec \acute{a} nica,

²Departamento de F \acute{is} ica Fundamental,

Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Salamanca,

Plaza de la Merced s/n, 37008 Salamanca, Spain.

³Departament de F \acute{is} ica Fonamental,

Universitat de Barcelona,

Diagonal 647, Barcelona 08028.

November 1, 2021

Abstract

We obtain an approximate global stationary and axisymmetric solution of Einstein's equations which can be considered as a simple star model: a self-gravitating perfect fluid ball with constant mass density rotating in rigid motion. Using the post-Minkowskian formalism (weak-field approximation) and considering rotation as a perturbation (slow-rotation approximation), we find approximate interior and exterior (asymptotically flat) solutions to this problem in harmonic and quoharmonic coordinates. In both cases, interior and exterior solutions are matched, in the sense of Lichnerowicz, on the surface of zero pressure to obtain a global solution. The resulting metric depends on three arbitrary constants: mass density, rotational velocity and the star radius at the non-rotation limit. The mass, angular momentum, quadrupole moment and other constants of the exterior metric are determined by these three parameters. It is easy to show that this type of fluid cannot be a source of the Kerr metric.

keywords: relativistic astrophysics, two post-minkowskian approximation, harmonic coordinates, rotating stars

1 Introduction

Rotating stars have been an active field of research in General Relativity in the last sixty years (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] and references therein). Nevertheless, until the discovery of neutron stars, this research was mainly theoretical since the relativistic effects of rotation are negligible for normal stars. The high angular velocity and small radius of neutron stars have stimulated new efforts to understand the gravitational fields outside and inside a rotating star in a non-Newtonian framework.

Only a few exact solutions of the Einstein equations can describe the gravitational field inside a rotating star, i. e., the gravitational field of an axisymmetric rotating perfect fluid (see [6] for a brief review and [7, 8]). So far it has not been possible to match any of them to an asymptotically flat vacuum solution, the gravitational field outside the star, to obtain a global star model similar to those provided by Newtonian theory. This lack of examples does not mean the absence of theoretical results to this problem even in full nonlinear General Relativity. For instance, axisymmetric matching conditions have been largely revised and used to demonstrate the uniqueness of the exterior gravitational field to an isolated body [9]. Nevertheless the most relevant outcomes result from approximation methods and numerical computation. Both techniques have been applied to obtain useful descriptions of the gravitational field of a star obeying different equations of state (relativistic polytropes, hadronic fluids, etc...). They have also been used to study other related problems such as stability, normal modes of vibration and generation of gravitational waves (all these topics are extensively reviewed in [5]).

We are interested in analytic approximation methods. Obviously, models that can be handled with these methods have to be simpler than those amenable to numerical methods. Analytic approaches provide a better understanding of the basic features of relativistic rotating stars, so they be of some help to study more interesting and realistic models which deserve more powerful methods.

On these bases we introduce a new analytic approximation scheme and apply it to search for an approximate global solution to the gravitational field of a fluid with a very simple equation of state. We aim to establish an approximate solution of the Einstein equations which describes the gravitational field inside a ball of perfect fluid with constant mass density rotating in a rigid motion, and to match it, on the zero pressure surface, to an asymptotically flat approximate solution of the vacuum Einstein equations. We solve this problem in harmonic coordinates and quoharmonic coordinates (harmonic coordinates in the sense of the quotient metric). In both cases,

we require the metric to be of class C^1 on the matching surface, that is the metric should satisfy the Lichnerowicz matching conditions [10].

Two classes of analytic approximation methods are described in the literature. First, the post-Newtonian approximation scheme, which computes perturbations to well-known Newtonian solutions such as the McLaurin ellipsoids [11, 12]; second, the slow rotation approach, which calculates perturbations to spherically symmetric solutions of the Einstein equations [13]. The approximation scheme we propose is an intermediate way: we implement a slow rotation approximation on a post-Minkowskian algorithm (a first attempt can be found in [14]). We introduce two dimensionless parameters. One, ϵ , measures the strength of the gravitational field, the other, ω , measures the speed of rotation of the fluid. We also make some assumptions about how all the constants that appear in the post-Minkowskian metric depend on these two parameters. So we obtain an expansion of the metric in a double power series of ϵ and ω . Obviously, if there is no rotation ($\omega = 0$), we are faced to the post-Minkowskian perturbation to the Newtonian gravitational field of a spherically symmetric mass distribution. On the other hand, Newtonian deformation of the source due to rotation is included in first order terms up to some order in the rotation parameter. In other words, there is no exact Newtonian rotational model underlying our approach but only an approximate one. Nevertheless, we use these linear terms to compute non-linear contributions of rotation to the gravitational field.

We only evaluate metric terms of order less than or equal to ϵ^3 and no greater than ω^2 . However, since the algorithm is implemented by an algebraic computational program, our results can easily be enhanced, if so desired, by going farther in the approximation scheme. The values taken by the two control parameters for a definite system tell us which terms in the double expansion of the gravitational field are relevant to that particular problem.

In section 2 we list the properties we impose on the space-time and the energy-momentum tensor and we define the notation. Moreover we briefly review the post-Minkowskian approximation we use in the following sections.

In section 3 we obtain the first order post-Minkowskian metric up to the second order in the rotation parameter. We solve the linearized Einstein equations outside and inside the mass distribution. We discuss the dependence of the free constants in the linear solution on ϵ and ω . We use that information to cancel all terms in the solution of order higher than ϵ^3 . Finally we match those simplified exterior and interior solutions on the appropriate surface up to this order of approximation. Consequently we derive expressions for all the surviving free constants of the exterior and interior gravitational fields as functions of the parameters of the source, its mass density, mean radius and angular velocity. A global system of harmonic

coordinates is assumed.

In section 4 we work up the second-order approximation.

The role of frames of reference in General Relativity [15] leads us to focus our attention on the quoharmonic coordinates. In section 5 we show that our scheme can also be successfully applied by imposing on the metric this unusual but interesting coordinate condition.

2 Preliminaries: notation and other topics

2.1 Metric

We require space-time to be a stationary and axisymmetric Riemannian manifold admitting a global system of spherical-like coordinates $(t; r; \theta; \varphi)$ which verifies the following properties:

1. Coordinates are adapted to the space-time symmetry, ∂_t and ∂_φ are respectively the timelike and spacelike Killing vectors, so that the metric components do not depend on coordinates t or φ .
2. Coordinates $(r; \theta; \varphi)$ parametrize two dimensional surfaces orthogonal to the orbits of the symmetry group, that is the metric tensor has Papapetrou structure,

$$g = -dt^2 + dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2) + 2\alpha dt d\varphi + \beta d\theta d\varphi + \gamma d\theta^2 + \delta d\varphi^2; \quad (1)$$

where $dt = dt$, $dr = dr$, $d\theta = r d\theta$, $d\varphi = r \sin\theta d\varphi$ is the Euclidean orthonormal cobasis associated to these coordinates.

3. Coordinates $(t; x = r \sin\theta \cos\varphi; y = r \sin\theta \sin\varphi; z = r \cos\theta)$ associated with the spherical-like coordinates are Cartesian coordinates at spacelike infinity, that is the metric in these coordinates tends to the Minkowski metric in standard Cartesian coordinates for large values of the coordinate r .

All these properties are compatible with the two classes of coordinates we use in this paper, mainly harmonic and quoharmonic coordinates. Time coordinate t is always harmonic under assumptions 1 and 2, then we have only to check that spatial coordinates $(x; y; z)$ verify the coordinate condition we choose.

2.2 Energy-momentum tensor

We assume that the source of the gravitational field is a perfect fluid,

$$T = (\rho + p)u^\alpha u_\alpha + pg; \quad (2)$$

whose density ρ is a constant and whose pressure p depends only on r and θ coordinates. We also assume that the fluid has no convective motion, so its velocity u^α lies on the plane spanned by the two Killing vectors,

$$u = (\partial_t + \Omega \partial_\phi); \quad (3)$$

where

$$h = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2\Omega^2 r^2 \sin^2 \theta}} \quad (4)$$

is a normalization factor.

Let us consider Euler equations for the fluid (or, what is equivalent, the energy-momentum tensor conservation law) to gain information about the matching surface. Assuming the fluid rotates rigidly as we do, $\Omega = \text{constant}$, the equations read [16]

$$\partial_a p = (\rho + p)\partial_a \ln h \quad (a; b; \dots = r; \theta); \quad (5)$$

Since h depends neither on r nor on θ , we can integrate them to give a very simple expression for the pressure in terms of the normalization factor

$$p = -\int h \quad (6)$$

which in turn leads to the following implicit equation for the matching surface

$$p = 0 \quad (r) = \text{constant}; \quad (7)$$

here constant is an arbitrary constant.

These last two equations, (6) and (7), play an important role in our scheme. We use them to derive approximate expressions for the pressure and the matching surface in a coherent way with the expansion of the metric we propose.

2.3 Einstein's equations: Post-Minkowskian approximation

The Einstein equations for any energy-momentum tensor read

$$R_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\alpha\beta} R = 8\pi T_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (8)$$

The deviation of the metric tensor from the Minkowski metric,

$$h_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\alpha\beta} + \delta h_{\alpha\beta}; \quad (9)$$

in a system of Cartesian-like coordinates, where $(\eta_{\alpha\beta}) = \text{diag}(-1;1;1;1)$, provides the natural object to work out a post-Minkowskian approximation. If we substitute the above expression for the metric into equation (8) and neglect all the quadratic and higher terms in $h_{\alpha\beta}$, we obtain the well known linear approximation to the Einstein equations [17], but if we do not neglect these terms we can use equation (8) to generate a set of successive approximations to the exact solution $g_{\alpha\beta}$. This approximation method is usually implemented in harmonic coordinates,

$$g_{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha} = 0; \quad (10)$$

although another type of coordinates could be used (for instance, those we shall use in section 5, i. e., quoharmonic coordinates).

Using the metric deviation, the Ricci tensor can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\alpha\beta} = & \frac{1}{2}\square h_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\beta}h - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}h_{\beta\gamma}\partial_{\beta}h^{\gamma\alpha} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\beta}\partial_{\alpha}h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_{\gamma}h_{\delta\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}h^{\gamma\delta}\partial_{\beta}h_{\delta\gamma} + N_{\alpha\beta}; \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $\square = \partial_{\alpha}\partial^{\alpha}$, $h = h^{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\alpha\beta}$, $\partial = \partial_{\alpha}\partial^{\alpha}$ and $N_{\alpha\beta}$ collects quadratic and higher terms in $h_{\alpha\beta}$. Analogously the harmonic condition (10) takes the form

$$g_{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha} = \partial_{\beta}h - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\beta}h + H_{\beta} = 0; \quad (12)$$

where H_{β} also takes account of non linear terms. These equations reduce to

$$\begin{aligned} 4h_{\alpha\beta}{}_{;\alpha} &= 2N_{\alpha\beta} - 8\partial_{\alpha}h_{\beta\gamma}\partial^{\gamma\alpha} - S_{\alpha\beta}; \\ \partial^j h_j &= \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\alpha}h^{\alpha\beta} = H; \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

when we look for stationary metrics, $\partial_0 h_{\alpha\beta} = 0$.

Let us remark that something must be said about how the energy-momentum tensor depends on the metric in order to obtain a true perturbation scheme from the above equations. Such information is given by formulas (2), (3), (4) and (6) for the type of fluids we are considering in this paper if we identify the Cartesian-like coordinates $ft; x; y; zg$ of our metric (1) with the coordinates $fx; g$ used in the post-Minkowskian algorithm.

3 First order metric in harmonic coordinates

3.1 Linear exterior solution

In the absence of matter, $t = 0$, equations (13) become linear if all the quadratic and higher order terms in the metric deviation h are neglected, that is $N = H = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta h &= 0; \\ \partial^k (h_k - \frac{1}{2}h_{kk}) &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

The general axisymmetric solution of these homogeneous equations which is compatible with the Papapetrou structure we have assumed for the metric [see formula (1)] and has a regular behavior at infinity is well known [18, 19]. It can be written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} h = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_n}{r^{n+1}} (T_n + D_n) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_n}{r^{n+1}} Z_n \\ + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{r^{n+1}} E_n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{r^{n+1}} F_n; \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= P_n(\cos \theta) !^t !^t \quad (n=0); \\ D_n &= P_n(\cos \theta)_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (n=0); \\ Z_n &= P_n^1(\cos \theta) (!^t !'^t + !'^t !^t) \quad (n=1); \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

are spherical harmonic tensors and

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \frac{2}{3}n D_n + \frac{2}{3}n H_n^0 + H_n^1 \quad (n=1); \\ F_n &= \frac{1}{2}n(n-1) H_n^0 + (n-1) H_n^1 - \frac{1}{2} H_n^2 \quad (n=2) \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

are two suitable combinations of D_n and these other three spherical harmonic tensors

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^0 &= P_n(\cos \theta) (_{ij} - 3e_i e_j) dx^i dx^j \quad (n=0); \\ H_n^1 &= P_n^1(\cos \theta) (k_i e_j + k_j e_i) dx^i dx^j \quad (n=1); \\ H_n^2 &= P_n^2(\cos \theta) (k_i k_j - m_i m_j) dx^i dx^j \quad (n=2) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

k_i, e_i and m_i stand for Euclidean unit vectors of standard cylindrical coordinates, $d = k_i dx^i, dz = e_i dx^i, d' = m_i dx^i$ (this is the set of spherical

harmonic tensors we use to write covariant tensors of rank-2 in this paper); M_n and J_n are the multipole moments of Thorne [18] or Geroch-Hansen [20] (both definitions are equivalent [21]) and A_n and B_n are other constants that can be related to "stress moments" of the source [22]. These last two sets of constants unlike M_n and J_n are not intrinsic, but they are necessary to solve the Lichnerowicz matching problem.

F_2 has spherical symmetry (therefore it must be included in the spherical symmetric linear solution besides the mass monopole term). This is easy to verify from the following alternative expression (indices are raised with the Euclidean metric)

$$F_2^{ij} = H_2^{0ij} + H_2^{1ij} - \frac{1}{2}H_2^{2ij} = \delta^{ij} - 3n^i n^j; \quad (19)$$

where n^i is the Euclidean unit radial vector of standard spherical coordinates.

We want the metric to have equatorial symmetry, so we set $M_{2n+1} = 0$, $J_{2n} = 0$, $A_{2n+1} = 0$ and $B_{2n+1} = 0$ in equation (15).

3.2 Multipole moments and control parameters

This section is the key to understanding the current analysis. We expect all those constants of the exterior metric (15) to be functions of the parameters included in the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid; i. e., the mass density ρ , the angular velocity Ω and the value α of the normalization factor on the zero pressure surface. Before making any assumption on the dependence of those exterior constants on these parameters, let us introduce another set of related parameters which will be more suitable to this end.

First let us denote by r_0 the typical size or mean radius of the source, it can also be viewed as the radius of the sphere towards which the matching surface would tend at the non-rotating limit. Therefore $m = \frac{4}{3} \rho r_0^3$ may provide a good estimate of the total mass of the source and the dimensionless parameter $\beta = m/r_0$ (the ratio of Schwarzschild's radius to the mean radius of the source) may give a measure of the strength of the gravitational field. We shall assume that this parameter is small and use it to control the post-Minkowskian expansion of the metric, in the sense that none of the terms of the linear exterior solution can be of order β^2 or greater.

Now let us take a look to the implicit equation of the matching surface. We expect that expression (7) defines a slightly deformed sphere, $r = r_0 + \delta r$, at the lowest order of approximation. Nevertheless, this is not compatible with the post-Minkowskian approach, because it imposes at this level $\delta r = 1 + O(\beta)$, $\delta t = O(\beta)$ and $\delta \phi = 1 + O(\beta)$ what leads to a cylinder, $r = \text{constant}$, not to a sphere, unless we assume that β^2 is at least of order

. That suggests that we should introduce another dimensionless parameter, such that $\omega^2 = r_0^2 \Omega^2$, instead of the apparently more physical parameter $r_0 \Omega$. This new parameter can be seen as an estimate of the ratio of centrifugal to gravitational forces or rotational to gravitational energies on the surface of the source [23]. At all extends Ω is a parameter that takes account of the deviation of the solution from spherical symmetry due to rotation.

Once we have decided the parameters we are going to use in our approach, we have to elucidate how the constants in (15) depend on them. Our proposal can be summarized in the following set of substitutions (we use the same notation for old and new constants):

$$\begin{aligned}
M_n & \rightarrow m r_0^n M_n = r_0^{n+1} M_n \rightarrow r_0^{n+1} M_n ; \\
J_n & \rightarrow m r_0^{n+1} J_n = r_0^{3+2n} J_n \rightarrow r_0^{3+2n} J_n ; \\
A_n & \rightarrow r_0^{n+1} A_n \rightarrow r_0^{n+1} A_n ; \\
B_n & \rightarrow r_0^{n+1} B_n \rightarrow r_0^{2n} B_n :
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The first step drives multipole moments to a dimensionless form. An extra factor r_0 is included in all J_n multipole moments due to their clear dynamical character, they should vanish if there is no rotational motion of the fluid. This feature has also been taken into account in the second step, since all multipole moments except M_0 and B_2 (as it was pointed out at the end of the preceding section) should also vanish if the solution has spherical symmetry. The leading power of r_0 we assign to each constant in this second set of substitutions reflects just the order of the spherical harmonic polynomial to which it is related. This is partly explained by the structure of the mass multipole moments of the MacLaurin ellipsoids: the leading term in the expansion in powers of ω of these quantities shows the same dependence on this parameter [14].

Substituting (20) into expression (15) and neglecting terms of order equal or greater than ω^4 (slow rotation) we arrive at the following approximate expression for the exterior metric

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\text{ext}} & \approx 1 + 2 \frac{M_0}{r} T_0 + 1 + 2 \frac{M_0}{r} D_0 + \frac{B_2}{3} F_2 \\
& + 2 \frac{M_2}{3} (T_2 + D_2) + \frac{A_2}{3} E_2 + \frac{B_4}{5} F_4 \\
& + 2 \frac{J_1}{2} Z_1 + 2 \frac{J_3}{4} Z_3 ;
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $r = r/r_0$ is a dimensionless variable. Let us remark that up to this order of approximation M_2, J_3, A_2 and B_4 are pure numbers but M_0, J_1 and B_2 can be linear functions of ω^2 .

3.3 Matching surface and energy-momentum tensor

Let us assume the interior metric has the same dependence on r than the exterior metric (21) has,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{tt} &= 1 + f_{tt}; & g_{t'r'} &= \frac{3}{2} f_{t'}; & g_{\theta\theta} &= 1 + f_{\theta\theta}; \\ g_{rr} &= 1 + f_{rr}; & g_{r\theta} &= f_r; & g_{\phi\phi} &= 1 + f_{\phi\phi} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

(we shall not use labels to distinguish between exterior or interior metrics not even between first or second order approximate metrics whenever it can be clearly understood to which of them we are referring). Thus the normalization factor (4) up to first order in r reads,

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} f_{tt} + \frac{3}{2} r^2 \sin^2 \theta : \quad (23)$$

As far we are assuming that the metric components are continuous on the matching surface, we use their exterior expressions given by (21) to make up (7) into a true equation for such a surface. Then we search for a parametric form of the matching surface up to zeroth order in r by making the following assumption,

$$r = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} P_2(\cos \theta) \right) : \quad (24)$$

A simple calculation leads to

$$\frac{1}{M_0} M_2 = \frac{1}{3} : \quad (25)$$

We also obtain a similar expression for ρ in terms of the exterior constants,

$$1 + \frac{1}{3} M_0 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 : \quad (26)$$

To complete the linear interior equations we need an approximate expression of the energy-momentum tensor of the fluid. First of all, let us notice that the density $\rho = 3/(4r_0^2)$ is a quantity of order r^{-2} . Thus taking into account (23) and (26), it is easy to check that the pressure (6) is of order r^{-2} . Therefore the energy-momentum tensor (2) contributes to the right side of the Einstein equations by means of

$$8\pi T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{3}{r_0^2} (\Gamma_{\alpha\beta} + D_{\alpha\beta}) + 6 \frac{3}{r_0^2} Z_{\alpha\beta} ; \quad (27)$$

if terms of order equal or higher than r^{-2} are disregarded.

3.4 Linear interior solution

Let us consider the following system of linear differential equations

$$\begin{aligned} 4 h &= 16 t ; \\ \partial^k (h_k - \frac{1}{2} h_{kk}) &= 0 ; \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where t is given by (27). The general solution of these equations which is regular at the origin of the coordinate system $r = 0$ and has the Papapetrou structure (1) is

$$\begin{aligned} h &= h_{inh} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m_n r^n (\Gamma_n + D_n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n r^n Z_n \\ &+ a_0 D_0 + b_0 H_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n E_n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n r^n F_n ; \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where

$$h_{inh} = \frac{2}{3} (\Gamma_0 + D_0) + \frac{6}{5} r^2 Z_1 \quad (30)$$

is a solution of the inhomogeneous system. We have also introduced two new sets of spherical harmonic tensors,

$$\begin{aligned} E_n &= \frac{2}{3} (n+1) D_n - \frac{2}{3} (n+1) H_n + H_n^1 \quad (n \geq 1) ; \\ F_n &= \frac{1}{2} (n+1) (n+2) H_n - (n+2) H_n^1 + \frac{1}{2} H_n^2 \quad (n \geq 2) ; \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

which seem to be suited to the interior problem better than those we used to write the linear exterior metric.

The integration constants that appear in expression (29) should have some dependence on the physical parameters ρ , μ and r_0 similar to that we propose for the constants of the exterior metric (there we used capital letters to label the constants, here we use small case letters). We assign to m_n , j_n and a_n the same dependence on ρ and μ that we assumed for their corresponding capitals. This rule must be slightly modified for the constants b_n , in the sense that they differ from their capitals in a factor r_0^2 (F_2 is not a spherical symmetric tensor). There are two exceptions, a_0 and b_0 , because they have not counterpart in the exterior metric, we assume that both are linear in ρ and zeroth order in μ (in fact b_0 must be of second order in ρ since H_0 has not spherical symmetry). Finally, we must include a factor r_0^n

in all constants with an index n as they are associated to r^n terms of the interior metric. It can be summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= m_0; \quad m_2 = \frac{2m_2}{r_0^2}; \quad j_1 = \frac{j_1}{r_0}; \quad j_3 = \frac{j_3}{r_0^3}; \\ a_0 &= a_0; \quad b_0 = b_0; \quad a_2 = \frac{2a_2}{r_0^2}; \quad b_2 = \frac{2b_2}{r_0^2}; \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Constants we have not mentioned above whether depend on higher powers of r or are incompatible with the equatorial symmetry requirement, so they can be ignored. As before, these new dimensionless constants are pure numbers, m_2, j_3, a_2 and b_2 , or linear functions of r_0^2, m_0, j_1, a_0 and b_0 .

Finally, substituting these values for the constants into equation (29), we arrive to the following approximate expression for the interior metric:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{int}} &= (1 + m_0 r^2) T_0 + (1 + m_0 + a_0 r^2) D_0 + b_0 H_0 \\ &+ m_2 r^2 (T_2 + D_2) + a_2 r^2 E_2 + b_2 r^2 F_2 \\ &+ \frac{j_1}{5} r^2 Z_1 + \frac{j_3}{3} r^3 Z_3 : \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

3.5 First order global solution

Let us remember the matching conditions we use in this paper: the metric components and their first derivatives have to be continuous through the hypersurface of zero pressure. Imposing these conditions on metrics (21) and (33) on the surface given by (24) and (25) and keeping in mind the order of approximation we are concerned, a straightforward calculation leads to the following values for the exterior constants,

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 &= 1; \quad M_2 = \frac{1}{2}; \quad J_1 = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{3} r^2; \quad J_3 = \frac{1}{7}; \\ A_2 &= B_2 = B_4 = 0; \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

these values for the interior constants,

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 &= 3; \quad m_2 = 1; \quad j_1 = 2 + \frac{2}{3} r^2; \quad j_3 = \frac{2}{7}; \\ a_0 &= b_0 = a_2 = b_2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

and this expression, $\ell = 6$, for the unknown function in the surface equation. They all ensure that the matching has been properly done.

The above results lead to the following simple expression for the global metric:

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\text{int}} &= (1 + 3^{-2})T_0 + (1 + 3^{-2})D_0 + 2^{-2}(T_2 + D_2) \\
&+ 2^{-3} \left[1 + \frac{1}{3} \right] Z_1 + \frac{2}{7} Z_3; \\
g_{\text{ext}} &= 1 + 2^{-2} T_0 + 1 + 2^{-2} D_0 + \frac{2}{3} (T_2 + D_2) \\
&+ 2^{-\frac{3}{2}} \left[\frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{3} \right] Z_1 + 2^{-\frac{3}{4}} Z_3;
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

4 Second order metric in hamonic coordinates

4.1 Non-linear exterior solution

First of all we have to compute the right hand side of the equation (13), that is H and S . We just need approximate expressions of these quantities which can be obtained by means of the first order metric (36) we worked out in the preceding section. In a standard post-Minkowskian approximation, it deserves nothing but a direct calculation keeping in mind we have only to retain terms of order less or equal to 2 . However let us remember we have made a slow rotation assumption, so we also have to drop out terms that depend on powers of θ higher than 3 . Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
S &= 4 \frac{2}{r_0^2} T_0 + \frac{2}{3} D_0 + 4 \frac{2}{r_0^2} \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{7} \right] F_2 \\
&+ 4 \frac{2}{r_0^2} 3T_2 + \frac{16}{7} D_2 + \frac{3}{14} E_2 + \frac{1}{7} F_4; \\
H &= 4 \frac{2}{r_0^3} \left[1 + \frac{2}{10} \right] V_1 + 4 \frac{2}{r_0^3} \left[1 + \frac{2}{5} \right] W_1 \\
&+ 12 \frac{2}{5r_0^5} (V_3 + 3W_3);
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
V_n &= P_n^1(\cos \theta) k_i dx^i \quad (n = 1); \\
W_n &= P_n(\cos \theta) e_i dx^i \quad (n = 0)
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

are spherical hamonic vectors.

Substituting these expressions of S and H into equations (13), we have a linear system of partial differential equations. It is a rather easy task to find a solution of this problem applying standard techniques, we choose that

$$h_{\text{inh}} = \frac{2}{2} T_0 - \frac{2}{3} D_0 - \frac{2}{3^2} 1 - \frac{2}{7^2} F_2 + \frac{2}{4} 2T_2 - \frac{32}{21} D_2 - \frac{1}{7} E_2 + \frac{1}{14} F_4 : \quad (39)$$

This is not the best choice we can make because we need a lot of arbitrary constants if we attempt to match an exterior to an interior metric even in an approximate way. The linear exterior solution (15), that is a solution of the homogeneous system (13), give us all the constants we need. Therefore, our second order approximation to the exterior metric is a sum of three terms: the first order global exterior metric (36) outside the source, a part of the solution to the exterior linear problem, mainly (21) after having dropped out the Minkowski metric and the Z_1 and Z_3 terms and having multiplied the result by γ to obtain a second order expression, and the solution to the second order post-Minkowskian system (39). In fact, the first and second contributions to that expression can be obtained by substituting every constant in formula (21) by its value after first order matching (34) plus a new constant times (we give to these new constant the same name since they can be seen as corrections to the previous ones); that is,

$$\begin{aligned} M_0 & \rightarrow 1 + M_0; & M_2 & \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + M_2; \\ A_2 & \rightarrow A_2; & B_2 & \rightarrow B_2; & B_4 & \rightarrow B_4; \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

J_1 and J_3 have not to be corrected because we stop our calculation at order 2 . We arrive at the following expression for the exterior metric,

$$\begin{aligned} g_{\text{ext}} &= \left(1 + 2\frac{M_0}{2} T_0 + \frac{2}{3} (1 - 2M_2) (T_2 + D_2) + \frac{2}{3} B_2 F_2 \right. \\ &+ \frac{2}{3} A_2 E_2 + \frac{2}{5} B_4 F_4 + h_{\text{inh}} \\ &\left. + 2\frac{Z_1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} Z_1^2 - 2\frac{Z_3}{7^4} Z_3 \right) : \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

As far as we have redefined the first order solution to introduce the second order solution constants, we can say that there are not other constants in our

approach than those of the linear solution. Moreover, they can be written as double series in powers of the source parameters α and β . This is the kind of expressions we shall find for the multipole moments of the vacuum exterior metric.

4.2 Non-linear interior solution

First of all let us say something about the matching surface. The normalization factor (4) up to order α^2 reads

$$1 + \frac{1}{2} f_{tt} + \alpha^2 \sin^2 \theta + \frac{1}{2} \alpha^2 \left(\frac{3}{4} f_{tt}^2 + k_{tt} \right) + 2 f_{t\prime} \sin \theta + \alpha^2 \left(\frac{3}{2} f_{tt} + f_{,\prime\prime} \right) \sin^2 \theta ; \quad (42)$$

where k_{tt} is the α^2 term in the expansion of f_{tt} . Following what we did at first order, we use the continuity of the metric components on the matching surface to obtain an equation for this surface from the above expression. From the formula (41) we obtain the following expressions for the exterior metric components we need:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{tt} &= \frac{2}{3} P_2(\cos \theta) ; \\ k_{tt} &= \frac{2}{3} M_0 + \frac{1}{3} + 2 \frac{2}{3} M_2 + \frac{1}{3} P_2(\cos \theta) ; \\ f_{t\prime} &= 4 \frac{1}{5} P_1^1(\cos \theta) ; \\ f_{,\prime\prime} &= \frac{2}{3} P_2(\cos \theta) ; \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

We substitute them into (42) and search for an explicit equation of the matching surface as a slight perturbation of the first order matching surface (24),

$$r = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{5}{6} + \alpha^2 P_2(\cos \theta) \right) \quad (44)$$

(the notation goes in the same way we have been using). Then, we find

$$\frac{4}{5} + \frac{5}{6} M_0 + M_2 ; \quad (45)$$

The value of α on the matching surface up to the order we need is already known, we have just to set $M_0 = 1$, its first order matching value, in its

expression (26). Moreover γ is known too. We simply read out f_{tt} from the expression of the first order global metric inside the source (36) and substitute it into (23) to obtain it. Therefore we also have the expression for the pressure we need to write down the energy-momentum tensor up to order r_0^{-2} . That is,

$$p = \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{r_0^2} (3 - 2\gamma^2)(1 - \gamma^2) - 5\gamma^2 P_2(\cos\theta) : \quad (46)$$

Let us proceed to obtain the second order interior solution. First we evaluate the right hand side of equation (13) using the known first order solution (36). Now this task implies not only to obtain the non-linear terms in the metric but the energy-momentum tensor up to second order, i. e. neglecting terms in r_0^{-5} and r_0^{-4} . The result is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \frac{1}{r_0^2} (9 - 6\gamma^2 - 5\gamma^4 + 14\gamma^2\gamma^2) T_0 \\ &+ \frac{1}{r_0^2} (33 + 2\gamma^2 - \frac{35}{3}\gamma^4 + \frac{2}{3}\gamma^2\gamma^2) D_0 + 4\frac{1}{3r_0^2} (1 - 4\gamma^2) F_2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{r_0^2} (15T_2 + 23D_2 + 6E_2) ; \\ H &= 2\frac{1}{r_0} (3 - \frac{3}{2}\gamma^2 - \gamma^4 + \frac{7}{10}\gamma^2\gamma^2) V_1 - 2\frac{1}{r_0} (3 + 3\gamma^2 \\ &+ \frac{7}{5}\gamma^2\gamma^2) W_1 - 2\frac{1}{5r_0} (V_3 - 3W_3) \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Then, we build up the second order interior solution with the same ingredients we did the exterior solution: we obtain an exact solution of the inhomogeneous system defined by equations (13) and (47),

$$\begin{aligned} h_{inh} &= \gamma^2\gamma^2 \left(\frac{3}{2} + \gamma^2 + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^2 - \frac{7}{10}\gamma^2\gamma^2 \right) T_0 \\ &+ \gamma^2\gamma^2 \left(\frac{11}{2} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma^2 - \frac{7}{12}\gamma^2 + \frac{1}{30}\gamma^2\gamma^2 \right) D_0 \\ &+ \gamma^2\gamma^2 \left(\frac{1}{5} + \frac{4}{3}\gamma^2 + \frac{2}{21}\gamma^2 - \frac{8}{21}\gamma^2\gamma^2 \right) F_2 \\ &+ 3\gamma^2\gamma^2\gamma^2 \left(\frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{7}\gamma^2 \right) E_2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{14}\gamma^2\gamma^2\gamma^4 (15T_2 + 23D_2 + \frac{1}{9}F_4) ; \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

to which we add an adapted version of the general solution of the homogeneous system and the first order interior solution (36). Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\text{int}} = & (1 + 3r^2 + 2m_0)T_0 + (1 + 3r^2 + 2m_0)D_0 \\
& + (1 - m_2)r^2(\Gamma_2 + D_2) + a_0D_0 + b_0H_0 \\
& + a_2E_2 + b_2F_2 + h_{\text{inh}} \\
& + 2^{-3} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}r^2 - \frac{3}{5}r^2 \right) Z_1 - \frac{2}{7}r^{3-2} Z_3 : \quad (49)
\end{aligned}$$

Here the free constants can also be thought as corrections of order r^2 to the the first order interior solution constants, in the same way we suggested when we were solving the non-linear exterior problem,

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0 & \rightarrow 3 + m_0; \quad m_2 \rightarrow 1 + m_2; \\
a_0 & \rightarrow a_0; \quad b_0 \rightarrow b_0; \quad a_2 \rightarrow a_2; \quad b_2 \rightarrow b_2; \quad (50)
\end{aligned}$$

j_1 and j_3 do not need to be changed.

4.3 Second order global solution

Second order interior metric (49) can be matched to the exterior metric (41) on the surface defined by (44) and (45) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned}
M_0 & = 3 + \frac{2}{5}r^2; \quad M_2 = \frac{69}{70}; \\
A_2 & = 0; \quad B_2 = \frac{8}{35} \left(1 + \frac{1}{6}r^2 \right); \quad B_4 = \frac{4}{63} \quad (51)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
m_0 & = \frac{1}{4}(21 + 2r^2); \quad m_2 = \frac{73}{70}; \\
a_0 & = \frac{1}{3}(21 + 2r^2); \quad b_0 = 0; \quad a_2 = \frac{43}{15}; \quad b_2 = \frac{86}{105} : \quad (52)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (51) into (45), we obtain the definite equation of the matching surface,

$$r = r_0 \left(1 - \frac{5}{6}r^2 + \frac{6}{7}r^4 \right) {}^2P_2(\cos \theta) : \quad (53)$$

The global second order solution reads,

$$g_{\text{int}} = \left(1 + 3r^2 + \frac{1}{4}r^4(21 + 2r^2) - \frac{3}{2}r^2 \right) dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} r^2 + \frac{7}{5} r^2 \right)^2 T_0 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \left(1 + 3 r^2 + \frac{7}{12} r^2 \right)^2 \left(21 + 2 r^2 \right)^2 \\
& + \frac{11}{2} r^2 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \left(2 r^2 + \frac{1}{6} r^2 + \frac{7}{2} r^2 + \frac{1}{5} r^2 \right)^2 D_0 \\
& + \frac{73}{70} r^2 + \frac{15}{14} r^2 T_2 + \frac{1079}{210} r^2 + \frac{23}{14} r^2 D_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{5} r^2 \left(1 + \frac{18}{7} r^2 + \frac{2}{21} r^2 \right)^2 F_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{7} r^2 \left(2 r^2 + \frac{4}{3} r^2 \right)^2 E_2 + \frac{1}{126} r^2 \left(2 r^2 + \frac{4}{3} r^2 \right)^2 F_4 \\
& + 2 \frac{3=2}{r^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 + \frac{3}{5} r^2 \right)^2 Z_1 + \frac{2}{7} \frac{3=2}{r^3} \left(3 + \frac{3}{5} r^2 \right)^2 Z_3 ; \\
& \text{"} \\
g_{\text{ext}} & \quad 1 + 2r^2 + 2r^2 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} r^2 + 2 \frac{2}{r^2} T_0 \\
& \text{"} \\
& + \left(1 + 2r^2 + 2r^2 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} r^2 + 4 \frac{2}{3 r^2} \right)^2 D_0 \\
& \quad \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{69}{35} r^2 + 2r^2 \right)^2 T_2 + \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{69}{35} r^2 + 32 \frac{2}{21} r^2 \right)^2 D_2 \\
& \text{"} \\
& + \frac{2}{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{3} r^2 + \frac{8}{35} r^2 + 1 + \frac{1}{6} r^2 + 2 \frac{2}{21 r^2} \right)^2 F_2 \\
& \quad \frac{2}{7 r^4} E_2 + \frac{2}{14 r^4} \left(1 + \frac{8}{9} r^2 \right)^2 F_4 \\
& + 2 \frac{3=2}{r^2} \left(\frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \right)^2 Z_1 + 2 \frac{3=2}{r^4} \left(3 + \frac{3}{5} r^2 \right)^2 Z_3 : \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that the first order metric plays a relevant role in the above matching. When we evaluate the first order metric or their derivatives on the matching surface, substituting r by its expression (44), there are generated terms of order higher than that can not be ignored in the matching process.

To end this subsection let us say something about the constants we have used to make the Lichnerowicz matching. The more interesting among them are obviously M_0, M_2, J_1 and J_3 . They are Thorne-Geroch-Hansen multipole moments, so they form part of an intrinsic (coordinate independent) characterization of vacuum asymptotically at space-times. We must go back to the very beginning of the paper and try to join all the pieces into which we have split those constants if we want to write down them as they were introduced in formula (15). The tour starts in equation (20), goes through formulas (34), (40), and (51) to arrive at

$$M_0 = r_0 \left(1 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} r^2 \right) ;$$

$$\begin{aligned}
M_2 &= \frac{1}{2} r_0^3 \left(1 + \frac{69}{35} \right); \\
J_1 &= \frac{2}{5} r_0^2 \left(1 + \frac{5}{6} \right); \\
J_3 &= \frac{1}{7} r_0^4;
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

and

$$A_2 = 0; \quad B_2 = \frac{8}{35} r_0^3 \left(1 + \frac{1}{6} \right); \quad B_4 = \frac{4}{63} r_0^5; \tag{56}$$

Even though A_2 , B_2 and B_4 are not intrinsic, they may be of some interest since they are set up in an unambiguous way by the Lichnerowicz matching conditions: they may carry some extra information about the source of the gravitational field, that is to say the kind of matter we are considering.

4.4 Schwarzschild and Kerr metrics

The static limit of our metric (54),

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{\text{int}} &= \left(1 + 3 \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{21}{4} \frac{r^4}{r_0^4} \right) T_0 \\
&+ \left(1 + 3 \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{49}{4} \frac{r^4}{r_0^4} + \frac{11}{2} \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} + \frac{7}{12} \frac{r^4}{r_0^4} \right) D_0 \\
&+ \frac{1}{5} \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} F_2; \\
g_{\text{ext}} &= \left(1 + 2 \frac{r}{r_0} + 6 \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} - 2 \frac{r^3}{r_0^3} \right) T_0 + \left(1 + 2 \frac{r}{r_0} + 6 \frac{r^2}{r_0^2} + 4 \frac{r^3}{r_0^3} \right) D_0 \\
&+ \frac{1}{3} \frac{r}{r_0} F_2;
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

must be an approximation to the global metric that results from matching Schwarzschild's metric to its well known interior metric (an exact solution of the Einstein equations for a perfect fluid having constant mass density) [24]. However, this metric is usually written in standard coordinates, therefore a direct comparison of this metric to (57) is not allowed. Nevertheless, it has recently been shown [25] that Schwarzschild's metric admits a global system of hamonic coordinates. Taking into account some formulas given in [25], we can work out an approximate change of the radial coordinate which brings the Schwarzschild metric in standard coordinates to the form shown in (57).

It reads,

$$R(r_0) = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} r_0^2 + \frac{5}{2} r_0^4 + \frac{21}{10} r_0^6 + \frac{3}{14} r_0^8 \right); \quad (58)$$

where R is the standard radial coordinate.

Equation (58) also relates the source radius in standard coordinates to the source radius in harmonic coordinates, that is,

$$R_0 = R(1) = r_0 \left(1 + \frac{109}{35} r_0^2 \right); \quad (59)$$

We need this information to rewrite the Schwarzschild metric in harmonic coordinates, even outside the source, since the Schwarzschild mass is a function of R_0 ,

$$M = \frac{4}{3} R_0^3 = r_0 (1 + 3 r_0^2); \quad (60)$$

M is equal to the mass monopole moment M_0 as expected.

We can establish that Kerr's metric does not fit our exterior metric by comparing the first multipole moments of the former [18, 26],

$$M_0 = m; \quad M_2 = m a^2; \quad J_1 = m a; \quad J_3 = \frac{1}{3} m a^3; \quad (61)$$

(here m and a stand for the usual parameters of the Kerr metric) to those of our metric. They cannot be matched for any choice of the free parameters α , β , and r_0 : the following combination of multipole moments,

$$\frac{J_1}{M_0} - 3 \frac{J_3}{M_2} - \frac{16}{35} r_0^2 \quad (62)$$

does not vanish in our approach but it is equal to zero if we evaluate it using the Kerr multipole moments.

4.5 McLaurin ellipsoids

The linear equation (28) that must be satisfied by the component of the metric deviation h_{tt} looks like the equation for the Newtonian gravitational field of a compact body with constant mass density ρ . The classical solution to this problem is given by Poisson's integral; that is, a scalar field which tends to zero at infinity and such that the field and its first derivatives are

continuous on the surface of the body. Moreover, we know that the field outside of the body is uniquely determined by some constants, the multipole moments of the mass distribution.

Let us consider an axisymmetric ellipsoid whose center is at the origin of the coordinate system and its axis lies on the z-axis. Then its mass and quadrupole moment are

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{4}{3} a^2 b; \\ Q &= \frac{1}{5} M (b^2 - a^2); \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where a and b stand, respectively, for the length of the ellipsoid semi-axis on the xy-plane and on the z-axis. These two parameters are constrained in a self-gravitating rotating ellipsoid by the equation (see for instance [27])

$$\frac{(1 + \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2)}{(1 - \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2)^{3/2}} \arccos \frac{3 \Omega^2}{1 - \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2} - \frac{2}{3} \Omega^2 = 0; \quad (64)$$

where $\Omega = \omega a$, and ω is related to the constant angular velocity of rotation of the ellipsoid ω in the same way as the control parameter we have been using. Solving that equation for small values of Ω ,

$$1 - \frac{5}{4} \Omega^2; \quad (65)$$

and introducing for convenience a new parameter r_0 defined by $a = r_0^{1/3}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{4}{3} r_0^3 = r_0; \\ Q &= \frac{1}{5} r_0^{3-2} (\frac{2}{3} - 1) = \frac{1}{2} r_0^3; \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

If we identify the parameters Ω , ω and r_0 of the ellipsoid with those of our approximation scheme, these two expressions become identical with the terms of the multipole moments M_0 and M_2 corresponding to our approximate metric. Therefore, we can say that the Newtonian limit of the metric (54) describes the gravitational field of a slowly rotating McLaurin's ellipsoid.

Let us point out that the first dynamical multipole moment J_1 is just the McLaurin ellipsoid angular momentum,

$$J = \frac{2}{5} M a^2 \omega = \frac{2}{5} r_0^{3-2} r_0^2 \omega = \frac{2}{5} r_0^{3-2} r_0^2 \omega + \frac{5}{6} \Omega^2; \quad (67)$$

and J_3 can also be related to the "quadrupole moment" of the angular momentum density of the McLaurin ellipsoid.

5 Quo-hamonic coordinates

Quo-hamonic coordinates were introduced some years ago by L.L. Bel (see [26] for a brief review). They share with hamonic coordinates the property of being an admissible set of local coordinates for any space-time, since they are both defined by differential constraints that have sense whatever the metric is. However, quo-hamonic coordinates unlike hamonic coordinates require a timelike vector field to be properly defined. That field is used to set the time coordinate and to introduce the projector into the plane orthogonal to the field (quotient metric), which in turn provides spatial coordinates as three independent hamonic functions of that three dimensional positive definite Riemannian metric (quo-hamonic coordinates). If these functions are chosen appropriately, they may look like Cartesian coordinates in the same grounds as hamonic coordinates can be thought of as a generalization of Minkowski's coordinates to curved space-times.

It is sometimes possible to choose these coordinates as first integrals of the timelike vector field. That property singles out a class of vector fields in any Riemannian manifold [28]. Those fields yield to introduce what Bel calls quo-hamonic congruences, an attempt to understand rigid motion in a framework less restrictive than that of Bom's [29, 30].

5.1 Quo-hamonic coordinates and post-Minkowskian approximation

The timelike Killing vector defines a quo-hamonic congruence in any space-time whose metric is given by (1). The assumptions we have made on the coordinates (see section 2) identify the t coordinate with the time we can associate with that Killing field, since ∂_t has canonical form in this system of coordinates. This coordinate is a hamonic function of the four dimensional metric. This constraint on the time coordinate is not required by the quo-hamonic scenario, which does not define this coordinate, but it is given as a bonus by the Papapetrou structure we assume for the metric. Moreover, the projector operator associated with

$$g = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (68)$$

does not depend on t : it is a true three-dimensional metric. This is more evident in our notation,

$$g = g_{rr} dr^2 + g_{\theta\theta} d\theta^2 + g_{\phi\phi} d\phi^2 + g_{ij} dx^i dx^j \quad (69)$$

where x^i, g^i are the Cartesian-like coordinates we introduce in section 2. Then, if we want them to be quoharmonic coordinates, we have to impose the following condition on the Riemannian connection of the three dimensional metric (69)

$$g^{jk} \Gamma_{jk}^i = 0 \quad (70)$$

From the point of view of a post-Minkowskian perturbation approach, this coordinate condition is as admissible as the harmonic condition (10). We obtain a well defined system of differential equations that can be formally solved by iteration. The first-order approximation to the metric unambiguously determines the inhomogeneous part of the system of equations which can be solved to work out the second-order metric and so on.

The starting point is a system of linear partial differential equations that are slightly more complex than those mentioned before, say (14) or (28),

$$\begin{aligned} 4 h_{00} &= 16 t_{00}; \\ 4 h_{0i} &= 16 t_{0i}; \\ 4 h_{ij} - \partial_{ij} h_{00} &= 16 t_{ij}; \\ \partial^k h_{ki} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{ki} h_{lm} &= 0: \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Their solution can be written using the basis of spherical harmonic tensors we introduced in the harmonic case. Outside the source, $t = 0$, it reads,

$$\begin{aligned} h &= 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{M_n}{r^{n+1}} T_n + 2 \frac{n+2}{2n+3} D_n + \frac{1}{2(2n+3)} F_{n+2} \\ &+ 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{J_n}{r^{n+1}} Z_n + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{r^{n+1}} E_n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{r^{n+1}} F_n; \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

inside the source, it reads

$$\begin{aligned} h &= h_{inh} + m_0 T_0 + m_1 r T_1 + m_2 r^2 T_2 + \frac{2}{3} D_2 + \frac{1}{6} H_0 \\ &+ m_3 r^3 T_3 + \frac{4}{5} D_3 + \frac{3}{10} H_1 + H_1^1 \\ &+ \sum_{n=4}^{\infty} m_n r^n T_n + 2 \frac{n}{2n-1} D_n + \frac{1}{2(2n-1)} F_{n-2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} j_n r^n Z_n \\ &+ a_0 D_0 + b_0 H_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r^n E_n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n r^n F_n; \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

The notation for the constants is the same as that used in the harmonic case because they are required to have the same structure on \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}^1 we

assumed there: constants that are not compatible with equatorial symmetry must be set equal to zero, the other constants are functions of the physical parameters, μ , ν , and r_0 like those we introduced by formulas (20) and (32). This implies that t is given by (27) up to the first order in ϵ (even to order $\epsilon^{3=2}$). Though its formal expression coincides with that of the harmonic case, of course it does not lead to the same inhomogeneous solution,

$$h_{\text{inh}} = \epsilon^2 T_0 + \frac{4}{3} D_0 + \frac{2}{15} F_2 + \frac{6}{5} \epsilon^{3=2} Z_1 : \quad (74)$$

Following the scheme we introduced in the harmonic case, we obtain the first-order exterior and interior metrics by substituting (20) and (32) into expressions (72) and (73) and neglecting terms in ϵ^2 and ϵ^4 , we find out the equation of the matching surface at this order of approximation, we impose the continuity of the metric on that surface, etc... The procedure is as before. Therefore, we shall merely report the final results and comment on them.

5.2 Second-order global solution

The metric can be written in quoharmonic coordinates as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\text{int}} &= 1 + 3\epsilon^2 + \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{153}{20} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^2 + \frac{5}{2} \epsilon^2 \right] \\
 &+ \frac{2}{10} \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{9}{2} \epsilon^2 + 7 \epsilon^2 + 4 T_0 + 1 + 4 \epsilon^2 + \frac{4}{3} \epsilon^2 + \frac{223}{10} + \frac{4}{3} \epsilon^2 \right] \\
 &+ \frac{32}{3} \epsilon^2 + \frac{4}{15} \frac{28}{5} \epsilon^2 + 4 D_0 + \frac{2}{15} \epsilon^2 + \frac{5}{7} \epsilon^2 \\
 &+ 2 \epsilon^4 \left[\frac{41}{21} \epsilon^2 - \frac{68}{7} \frac{131}{5} \epsilon^2 + \frac{131}{14} \epsilon^2 \right] F_2 \\
 &+ \frac{1}{6} \epsilon^2 \left[\frac{521}{70} \epsilon^2 + \frac{2207}{210} \epsilon^2 + \frac{331}{100} \epsilon^4 \right] H_0 \\
 &+ \frac{8}{7} \epsilon^2 \left[1 + \frac{499}{210} \epsilon^2 + \frac{109}{70} \epsilon^2 \right] T_2 \\
 &+ \frac{8}{7} \epsilon^2 \left[1 + \frac{185}{24} \epsilon^2 + \frac{18}{7} \epsilon^2 \right] D_2 \\
 &+ \frac{1}{7} \epsilon^2 \left[1 + \frac{82}{15} \epsilon^2 + \frac{103}{70} \epsilon^2 \right] E_2 + \frac{169}{7350} \epsilon^2 \epsilon^2 \epsilon^4 F_4 \\
 &+ 2 \epsilon^{3=2} \left[1 + \frac{1}{3} \epsilon^2 + \frac{3}{5} \epsilon^2 \right] Z_1 + \frac{2}{7} \epsilon^{3=2} \epsilon^3 \epsilon^3 Z_3 ; \\
 &'' \\
 g_{\text{ext}} &= 1 + 2\epsilon^2 + 2\frac{\epsilon^2}{5} + 21 + 2\epsilon^2 + 3\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{5^4} T_0
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \left[1 + 8\frac{1}{3} + 8\frac{1}{5} + 7 + \frac{2}{3} \right]^2 + 11\frac{1}{6^2} + \frac{1}{30^4} + 3\frac{1}{50^6} D_0 \\
& + \left[-\frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{5} + \frac{2}{21} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{5} + 7 + \frac{2}{3} + 5\frac{1}{12} \\
& \frac{1}{35^2} \left[31 + \frac{102}{7} \right]^2 + \frac{1}{3^3} \left[\frac{1}{10} + \frac{4}{21} \right]^2 + \frac{9}{5^5} \frac{16}{49} \\
& 3\frac{1}{49^7} F_2 + \frac{1}{3} \left[1 + \frac{139}{35} \right] + 11\frac{1}{3} + 18\frac{1}{35^3} T_2 \\
& \frac{1}{7^3} \left[8 + \frac{1112}{35} + 23\frac{1}{3} + 5\frac{1}{7^3} + 33\frac{1}{35^5} \right] D_2 \\
& + \frac{1}{7^3} \left[1 + \frac{118}{35} + 2\frac{1}{3} + 24\frac{1}{35^3} + 9\frac{1}{14^5} \right] E_2 \\
& \frac{1}{14^3} \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{139}{35} + 9\frac{1}{10} + 67\frac{1}{15^2} + 118\frac{1}{175^3} + 53\frac{1}{70^5} \right] F_4 \\
& \frac{1}{20^4} \left[\frac{29}{18} + \frac{13}{35^2} + \frac{3}{7^4} \right] H_0 \\
& + 2\frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{3} Z_1 + 2\frac{1}{7^4} Z_3 : \tag{75}
\end{aligned}$$

The equation of the matching surface, on which the metric and their first derivatives are continuous up to the order of approximation we are concerned, is

$$r = r_0 \left[1 + \frac{5}{6} + \frac{22}{35} \right]^2 P_2(\cos \theta) : \tag{76}$$

The pressure of the fluid has the same expression we found in the harmonic case but obviously the meaning of the coordinates and the parameters is not the same. It suggests that quo-harmonic coordinates differ from harmonic coordinates at least in first order terms.

5.3 Multipole moments

Quo-harmonic coordinates are asymptotically Cartesian and mass-centered coordinates in the sense of Thorne [18] (see Appendix). Then we can read the multipole moments of the vacuum exterior field from the g_{tt} and $g_{t\tau}$ components of the metric (75). However we do not need to do this search because they must be equal to the constants of the exterior metric that appear in these two components. Lichnerowicz's matching provides for them

the following values:

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_0 &= r_0 \left(1 + \frac{2}{5} r_0^2 \right); \\
 M_2 &= \frac{1}{2} r_0^3 \left(1 + \frac{139}{35} r_0^2 \right); \\
 J_1 &= \frac{2}{5} r_0^3 \left(1 + \frac{5}{6} r_0^2 \right); \\
 J_3 &= \frac{1}{7} r_0^4.
 \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

These expressions look like those we obtained for the multipole moments in the harmonic case but differ from them in r_0^2 terms. Nevertheless, multipole moments are coordinate independent quantities so both expressions must lead to the same numerical values for any set of the source parameters we choose. Let us be more precise. The parameters α and β are clearly constants that characterize the fluid but r_0 , the mean source radius, is a constant of a different class: it can be defined as the value taken by the radial coordinate on the matching surface corresponding to the static limit of our metric. Therefore r_0 does not have to be the same in quo-harmonic coordinates and in harmonic coordinates. However they both must be linked if they parametrize the same surface of the space-time; that is, if we are talking about just a single member of this one-parameter family of metrics. We can obtain some insight into the relationship between quo-harmonic and harmonic values of r_0 which lead to the same metric by identifying the expressions of multipole moments in both systems of coordinates. Equation (77) can be transformed into (55) by means of the following substitutions

$$r_0 = \alpha r_0', \quad \beta = 1 + \frac{4}{5} \alpha^2. \tag{78}$$

Let us note that α is a function of r_0 , then it has different values in one or another system of coordinates this is the meaning of the second substitution. On the other hand β is just a function of α and β so it does not change.

The above comment points to the change from quo-harmonic to harmonic coordinates for the Schwarzschild metric to be in the origin of the substitution rule (78). That is true. If we substitute the quo-harmonic radial coordinate in the static limit of the quo-harmonic metric (75) up to order r_0^4 ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_{\text{int}} &= 1 + 3 \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} T_0 + \frac{1 + 4 \alpha^2}{\beta} D_0 + \frac{2}{15} \alpha^2 F_2; \\
 g_{\text{ext}} &= 1 + 2 \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta} T_0 + \frac{1 + 8 \alpha^2}{3} D_0 + \frac{1}{3} \frac{3}{5^2} F_2;
 \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

in the following way,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^4; \quad \text{for } g_{\text{int}} \\
 & \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^3 = 1 - \frac{r_0}{2r} + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{r_0}{r} \right)^3; \quad \text{for } g_{\text{ext}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

which implies that r_0 and r have to be substituted at the same time in the way we suggested in (78). If so we reach the static limit for the harmonic case metric (57).

The substitutions (78) make no sense when we apply them to other exterior or interior constants. In fact, $A_2, B_2, B_4, a_0, b_0, a_2$ and b_2 , which were at least of order r^2 in the harmonic case, are of order r in the quoharmonic case. It makes all the calculations more cumbersome and the final expression of the metric more involved, as one can see by comparing (75) to (54).

Acknowledgments

This study was supported partly by Projects BFM 2003{02121 and BFM 2003{07076 from Ministerio de Educacion y Ciencia and Project SA 010C 05 from Junta de Castilla y Leon. The authors thank J.M. Aguirregabiria and M. Mars for helpful comments and discussions.

Appendix: Approximate metric

Here we write down the components of the metric in the original Papapetrou form (1). This is a standard way to introduce stationary axisymmetric metrics. Therefore, some interesting properties of the metric structure can be easier recognized looking at the following expressions than they could be by examining the formulas of the preceding sections, even though they are more suitable to run the perturbation algorithm. We use the obvious shorthand notation $P_1^m = P_1^m(\cos \theta)$.

Harmonic coordinates

A.1 Interior metric components in harmonic coordinates

$$g_{tt} = - \left(1 + 3 \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^4 \right) \left(21 + 2 \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^4 \right) + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{r}{r_0} \right)^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{1}{2} \frac{7}{5} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{73}{70} \frac{15}{14} r^2 P_2; \\
t_r &= 2^{-3=2} \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \frac{3}{5} r^2 P_1^1 \quad \quad \quad \frac{2}{7}^{-3=2} \quad \quad \quad P_3^1; \\
r_r &= 1 + 3 + \frac{7}{2} r^2 \frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{57}{10} \frac{19}{105} r^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{7} r^2 \frac{19}{4} \frac{128}{45} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{1079}{210} \frac{106}{63} r^2 P_2; \\
r_r &= 1 + 3 + \frac{7}{2} r^2 \frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{51}{10} + \frac{3}{5} r^2 \\
& + \frac{11}{14} r^2 \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{253}{70} \frac{17}{42} r^2 P_2; \\
r &= \frac{1}{3} r^2 \frac{4}{7} \frac{1}{3} r^2 P_2^1; \\
& = 1 + 3 + \frac{7}{2} r^2 \frac{7}{2} + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{57}{10} + \frac{61}{105} r^2 \\
& + \frac{1}{7} r^2 \frac{19}{4} + \frac{47}{45} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{919}{210} \frac{71}{63} r^2 P_2;
\end{aligned}$$

A.2 Exterior metric components in harmonic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
t_t &= 1 + 2 - 1 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} r^2 \quad \quad \quad - \frac{2}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{69}{35} r^2 P_2; \\
t_r &= 2^{-3=2} \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{3} r^2 P_1^1 \quad \quad \quad 2^{-3=2} \frac{3}{7} P_3^1; \\
r_r &= 1 + 2 - 1 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} r^2 + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{1}{35} r^2 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \\
& + \frac{2}{12} r^3 \quad \quad \quad \frac{2}{63} r^4 \quad \quad \quad \frac{2}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{69}{35} + 7 \frac{1}{6} + 20 \frac{1}{63} r^2 P_2; \\
r_r &= 1 + 2 - 1 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} r^2 + - 4 \frac{1}{35} r^2 + \frac{1}{3} r^2 \\
& \quad \quad \quad \frac{2}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 + \frac{69}{35} + 2 - 16 \frac{1}{21} r^2 P_2; \\
r &= \frac{2}{3} r^2 \quad \quad \quad 1 \quad \quad \quad \frac{16}{21} r^2 P_2^1;
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 1 + 2 - 1 + 3 + \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{2} + 2 \frac{2}{35} + 2 + \frac{1}{3} \\
&\quad \frac{2}{12} + 2 \frac{2}{63} - \frac{2}{3} + 1 + \frac{69}{35} + \frac{5}{6} + 4 \frac{2}{9} P_2;
\end{aligned}$$

Quo-ham onic coordinates

A.3 Interior metric components in quo-ham onic coordinates

$$\begin{aligned}
t_t &= 1 + 3 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{153}{10} + \frac{5}{2} + \frac{1}{10} + \frac{9}{2} + \frac{499}{210} + \frac{109}{70} P_2; \\
t_r &= 2^{-3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{3}{5} P_1 + \frac{2}{7} P_3; \\
r_r &= 1 + 4 + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{10} + 223 + \frac{13}{14} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{5}{14} + 48 + \frac{517}{84} + \frac{1}{35} + \frac{216}{5} + \frac{557}{24} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{7} + 8 + \frac{185}{3} + \frac{593}{30} P_2; \\
r &= 1 + 4 + \frac{223}{10} + \frac{4}{3} + \frac{8}{5} (1 + 8) + \frac{1}{35} + \frac{352}{5} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{521}{210} + \frac{1}{7} + 13 + \frac{3023}{30} + \frac{683}{140} P_2; \\
r &= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{521}{210} + \frac{1}{7} + 3 + \frac{169}{6} + \frac{89}{84} P_2; \\
&= 1 + 4 + \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{10} + 223 + \frac{1081}{42} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{5}{14} + 48 + \frac{517}{84} + \frac{1}{35} + \frac{216}{5} + \frac{173}{24} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{521}{210} + 1 + \frac{1333}{210} + \frac{821}{420} P_2;
\end{aligned}$$

A.4 Exterior metric components in quo-ham onic coordinates

$$t_t = 1 + 2 - 1 + \frac{21}{5} + 2^2 + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2}{3} \left(1 + \frac{139}{35} \right) \left(11 \frac{1}{3} + 18 \frac{1}{35} \right) P_2 ; \\
t' &= 2 \frac{3=2}{2} \frac{2}{5} + \frac{1}{3} P_1^1 \quad 2 \frac{3=2}{7} \frac{3}{4} P_3^1 ; \\
r' &= 1 + \frac{3}{5} \left(21 + 2^2 + 9 \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} \left(2 + \frac{31}{35} \right) + \frac{7}{10} P_2 ; \\
& \quad 5 \frac{2}{24} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{14} \frac{2}{4} \left(1 + \frac{67}{15} \right) \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{70} \frac{2}{6} ; \\
& \quad \frac{2}{3} \frac{3}{2} + \frac{417}{70} + 17 \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{14} \frac{1}{2} + 5 + \frac{67}{3} + 12 \frac{1}{35} \frac{1}{3} + 19 \frac{1}{140} \frac{1}{5} P_2 ; \\
r'' &= 1 + 2 \frac{1}{5} \left(21 + 2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{5} + \frac{31}{35} \right) + \frac{2}{21} P_2 ; \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{20} \frac{2}{3} + 3 \frac{2}{25} \frac{2}{5} \frac{2}{3} + \frac{254}{105} + 5 \frac{6}{12} \frac{1}{7} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{67}{15} ; \\
& \quad 7 \frac{1}{10} \frac{1}{3} + 15 \frac{1}{14} \frac{1}{5} P_2 ; \\
r &= \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{136}{105} \right) + 5 \frac{2}{24} \frac{2}{7} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{67}{15} \right) + 5 \frac{1}{28} \frac{1}{3} + 23 \frac{1}{140} \frac{1}{5} P_2^1 ; \\
& \quad = 1 + \frac{3}{5} \left(21 + 2^2 + 9 \frac{1}{4} \right) \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{6} \left(2 + \frac{31}{35} \right) + \frac{107}{210} P_2 ; \\
& \quad + 5 \frac{2}{24} \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{14} \frac{2}{4} \left(1 + \frac{67}{15} \right) \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{5} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{70} \frac{2}{6} ; \\
& \quad \frac{2}{2} \frac{11}{3} + \frac{43}{3} + 11 \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{67}{15} \right) + 19 \frac{1}{35} \frac{1}{3} + 23 \frac{1}{70} \frac{1}{5} P_2 ;
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] Krasinski, A . 1974, Acta Phys. Pol., B 5, 411.
- [2] Krasinski, A . 1975, Acta Phys. Pol., B 6, 223.
- [3] Krasinski, A . 1975, Acta Phys. Pol., B 6, 239.
- [4] Krasinski, A . 1975, J. Math. Phys., 16, 125.
- [5] Stergioulas, N . 2003, Living Reviews in Relativity, Rotating Stars in Relativity, <http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2003-3>.

- [6] Senovilla, J. M. M. 1993, in *Lecture Notes in Physics*, 423, *Rotating objects and Relativistic Physics*, ed. F. J. Chinea & L. M. Gonzalez-Romero (Berlin: Springer-Verlag), 73.
- [7] Mars, M., & Senovilla, J. M. M. 1994, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 11, 3049.
- [8] Mars, M., & Senovilla, J. M. M. 1996, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 13, 2763.
- [9] Mars, M., & Senovilla, J. M. M. 1998, *Rev. Mod. Phys. Lett.*, A13, 1509.
- [10] Lichnerowicz, A. 1955, *Theories relativistes de la Gravitation et de l'Electromagnetisme* (Paris: Masson).
- [11] Chandrasekhar, S. 1965, *ApJ*, 142, 1488.
- [12] Chandrasekhar, S., & Nutku, Y. 1969, *ApJ*, 158, 55.
- [13] Hartle, J. B. 1967, *ApJ*, 150, 1005.
- [14] Cabezas, J. A., & Ruiz, E. 1988, in *Publicaciones del IAC, Serie C, No. 6, Encuentros Relativistas Espanoles*, ed. J. Buitrago, E. Mediavilla, & F. Atrio (La Laguna: Instituto Astrofisico de Canarias), 215.
- [15] Bel, L. L. 1999, in *Proceedings of the Spanish Relativity Meeting 1988, Relativity and Gravitation in General*, ed. J. Martn, E. Ruiz, F. Atrio, & A. Molina (Singapore: World Scientific), 3.
- [16] Boyer, R. H. 1965, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.*, 61, 527.
- [17] Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., & Wheeler, J. A. 1973, *Gravitation* (San Francisco: Freeman).
- [18] Thorne, K. S. 1980, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 52, 299.
- [19] Hernandez-Pastora, J. L., Martn, J., & Ruiz, E. 2003, in *Advances in General Relativity and Cosmology (in memory of A. Lichnerowicz)*, ed. G. Ferrarese (Bologna: Pitagora Editrice), 147.
- [20] Geroch, R. 1970, *J. Math. Phys.*, 11, 1955.
- [21] Gursel, Y. 1983, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 15, 737.
- [22] Martn, J., & Ruiz, E. 1985, *Phys. Rev.*, D 32, 2550.
- [23] Thorne, K. S. 1971, in *International School of Physics Enrico Fermi, Course 47 (1969), General Relativity and Cosmology*, ed. R. K. Sachs (New York: Academic Press), 237.

- [24] Kramer, D., Stephani, H., MacCallum, M., & Herlt, E. 1980, *Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- [25] Hernandez-Pastora, J.L., Martn, J., & Ruiz, E. 2002, *gr-qc/0109031*.
- [26] Aguirregabiria, J.M., Bel, L.L., Martn, J., Molina, A., & Ruiz, E. 2001, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 33, 1809.
- [27] Landau, L.D., & Lifshitz, E.M. 1966, *Theorie du Champ* (Moscou: Mir).
- [28] Bel, L.L., & Coll, B. 1993, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 25, 613.
- [29] Bel, L.L., & Lbsa, J. 1995a, *Gen. Rel. Grav.*, 27, 1089.
- [30] Bel, L.L., & Lbsa, J. 1995b, *Class. Quantum Grav.*, 12, 1949.