# 0A Pictorial History of som e Gravitational Instantons

D ieter B rill and K ay-T hom as P irk<sup>y</sup>

#### Abstract

Four-dimensional Euclidean spaces that solve Einstein's equations are interpreted as W KB approximations to wavefunctionals of quantum geometry. These spaces are represented graphically by suppressing inessential dimensions and drawing the resulting gures in perspective representation of threedimensional space, some of them stereoscopically. The gures are also related to the physical interpretation of the corresponding quantum processes.

## 1. Introduction

Understanding General Relativity means to a large extent coming to term swith its most important ingredient, geometry. Among his many contributions, Charlie has given us new variations of this them e [1], fascinating because geometry is so familiar on two-dimensional surfaces, but so remote from intuition on higher-dimensional spacetimes. The richness he uncovered is shown nowhere better than in the 137 gures of his masterful text [2].

Today quantum gravity [3] leads to new geom etrical features. One of these is a new role for R iem annian (rather than Lorentzian) solutions of the E instein eld equations: such \instantons" can describe in W KB approximation the tunneling transitions that are classically forbidden, for example because they correspond to a change in the space's topology. In order to gain a pictorial understanding of these spaces we can try to represent the geom etry as a whole with less important dimensions suppressed; an alternative is to follow the ADM method and show a history of the tunneling by slices of codimension one.

We can readily go from equation to picture thanks to computer plotting routines, from the simpler ones as incorporated in spreadsheet programs [4] to the more powerful

Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>y</sup>D epartm ent of Physics, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742, USA and Max Planck Institut fur A strophysik, 8046 G arching, FRG. The second author was supported by a Feodor-Lynen fellow ship of the A lexander von Hum boldt-Foundation.

versions of M athem atica.<sup>1</sup> W e therefore decided it would be fun to see how well the computer can draw pictures associated with tunneling and instantons. In Section 2 we recall the idea behind these by an example of a two-dimensional potential. In the following sections we present and interpret several general relativity instantons, both sliced and unsliced.

## 2. Tunneling in Several D im ensions

The one-dim ensional potential (Fig. 1a)

$$V(x) = x^2 - x^3$$
 (1)

is typical of the class to which tunneling argum ents are often applied. The exponential

Figure 1. (a) P lot of the potential V (x) with a resonance at  $E_{res} = 0.06605$ . (Here we have put  $h^2 = m = .01$ .) (b) V intual state wavefunction (x) in this potential.

\barrierpenetration" coe cient, which governs the probability of escape for a particle that is initially trapped near x = 0, is related to the \resonance" (virtual state) solution of the time-independent Schrodinger equation in this potential (F ig. 1b). The maximum of this wavefunction occurs in the trapping region near the relative m inim um of the potential, and the oscillating \tail" in the exterior region is very sm all compared to this maximum. This property characterizes the virtual state. If the potential barrier is su ciently high, virtual states occur at rather well-de ned energies.

A priori the virtual state has nothing directly to do with the tunneling problem : it is stationary, real-valued (at t = 0) and yields no net ux into or out of the potential's trapping region. (These same properties also make this wavefunction easy to draw !) To obtain a wavefunction with nonzero ux we need to use neighboring energy states.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>M athem atica is a tradem ark of W olfram Research Inc., 100 Trade Center Drive, Cham paign, IL 61820-7237, USA. We also used the program showstereom available by e-mail from mathsource@wri.com. We thank Peter Hubner (MPI-Astro) and Dr.-Ing. Werner Rupp (DASA) for help with computer resources

The properties of their wavefunctions change rapidly as the energy is varied away from resonance: to rst order in the variation the exterior phase changes, and to second order the interior amplitude decreases. Fig. 2 shows two nearby energy states whose exterior phase di ers by =2. From these we can build an outgoing wave. Because

Figure 2. W avefunctions  $_1$  (dashed) and  $_2$  (dash-dotted) at energies  $E_1 = 0.0663$  slightly above resonance, and at  $E_2 = 0.0658$  slightly below resonance, showing the rapid phase change. The combination  $_1$  i  $_2$  has a net outgoing ux that is also shown (solid).

the am plitude of these waves is essentially the sam e as that of the virtual state, the tail of the virtual state is a measure of the outgoing ux. Of course this measure is rather crude, giving us only the main exponential factor in the decay probability. Just how long it takes for the wave to leak out depends on how rapidly the properties of the wavefunction change with energy; thus this \prefactor" to the exponential is not determ ined by the virtual state alone, and is rather more di cult to com pute.

In one dimension there is only one way for the particle to leak out of the potential in Fig. 1a (namely, toward positive x). To nd in more detail \how " the particle gets out of the trapping region, and the analogous issues in tunneling of elds, we must consider at least two-dimensional potentials. U sually one treats the rotationally symmetric case: angularmomentum is then conserved, and the problem reduces to a one-dimensional elective potential motion for each angularmomentum. Because of the symmetry no direction of tunneling away from the trapping region is preferred over any other. But when the potential is not symmetric, some tunneling \paths" can be considerably more probable than others.<sup>2</sup>

A simple two-dimensional potential, in which the classical and the quantum mechanical problem can be solved because the corresponding equations are separable, is given

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$ An optical analogon is the observation of \frustrated total internal rejection" [5]. The potential barrier can be provided by the air space between the hypotenuse faces of two 90 prism s. M ore light (and at larger wavelengths) gets through where this barrier is narrow est.

by

$$V(x;y) = x^2 + y^2$$
  $x^3$   $0:8y^3 = V_1(x) + V_2(y):$  (2)

(Here the factor 0:8 was chosen only for convenience of plotting.) Fig. 3 shows a plot of this potential. It has a trapping region that is separated from the \exterior"

Figure 3.3D plot of the potential V (x;y). This, and some of the subsequent gures, are stereoscopic pairs similar to those found in the text M ethods of Theoretical Physics by M orse and Feshbach. We know no better instructions how to view these gures than those found in the preface of that text.

region (x 0; y 0) by a barrier with two saddle points of di erent heights. The virtual state in this potential is simply the product,

 $(x;y) = _{1}(x) _{2}(y)$ 

where  $_{i}$  is the virtual state in potential V<sub>i</sub>. This two-dimensional wave function is plotted in Fig.4a. In Fig.4b the square of the wave function is indicated by increasing gray levels. We note that there is a path, namely along the x-axis, on which the wavefunction is generally (and particularly at the end of the exponential decrease) larger than along other paths leading from the trapping region to the exterior. This is the \m ost probable escape path" [6] that may be said to describe \how " the particle m ost likely tunnels through the barrier, in this sense: if the system were prepared in the virtual state and a position measurement were made in the barrier region, the particle would m ost likely be found near the most probable escape path. (O f course, after the measurement the particle would no longer be in the virtual state.)

The tunneling behavior of a virtual state is well approximated by a WKB wavefunction, and the analogous problem in classical mechanics [7]. For example, the most probable escape path is given by the \bounce" solution of particle motion in imaginary time | or equivalently, real time motion in the upside-down potential. The reader is encouraged to consider Fig. 3a turned upside down (for the less agile reader

Figure 4. (a) 3D log square plot of the virtual state wavefunction for the potential of F ig. 3. As in F ig. 2, the interference maxim a and minim a have little to do with the physical outgoing wave, in the case that this wavefunction is used to represent the decay of a virtual state. (b) G ray-level plot of the square of the wavefunction, show ing the  $\mbox{m}$  ost probable escape path" as a locus of relatively high density crossing the barrier region along the x-axis.

we have provided Fig. 5) and to imagine how a particle released at the central maximum would move in the resulting potential. Fig. 6 shows some of the orbits in such a potential. The bounce solutions are those that have a strict turning point, where the particle velocity vanishes; thus they are the only ones that reach the exterior zero equipotential, where energy conservation allows the particle that started at rest in the center to stop tunneling and again \become a classical particle."

Figure 5. Fig. 3 turned upside down.

Figure 6. The equipotentials of V (x; y) and some particle orbits in this potential.

A long the bounce path we can solve a one-dimensional time-independent Schrodinger equation to nd the fall-o of the wavefunction, and hence obtain the tail amplitude and the exponential factor in the decay rate. To essentially the same approximation we can use the W KB estimate of this factor via the classical \Euclidean" action  $S_E$  of the bounce path (in the potential V). Since this is to be computed for a motion in imaginary (\Euclidean") time, the action  $S = iS_E$  itself is imaginary, and the lowest order W KB wavefunction,  $e^{iS} = e^{-S_E}$  becomes a decreasing exponential. If we compute the action for the complete bounce, from the origin to the escape path, which when exponentiated gives the probability (rather than the amplitude).

In fact, we can get a continuous picture of the particle's history if we allow the time parameter to change between real and imaginary at turning points. Fig. 7 shows such a picture of the nonrelativistic penetration history of the barrier of Eq. (1) (but with time plotted upward, as usual in relativity). We note that the in nite imaginary time needed for the tunneling has nothing to do with the real time needed for the physical process (which is vanishingly short in this approximation). The W K B wavefunction is given by the action evaluated along this entire history. The Euclidean part of the motion contributes an imaginary part to the action, and the real-time m otion contributes a real part. Thus the W K B wavefunction exhibits exponential decrease in the Euclidean region, and oscillation in the real time region. (However,

Figure 7. The sem iclassical history of the decay from the virtual state of the 1D potentialV (x). The particle starts at the classical ground state at x = 0, but moves in in aginary time, it, starting at it = 1,  $x = \cosh^2(\text{it} = 2\text{m})$ . (This motion does not correspond to any passage of real, physical time, but signals the probabilistic nature of the process.) At the bounce (or \nucleation") time it = 0 the motion stops momentarily at x = 1, and then continues in real time,  $x = \cos^2(\text{t} = 2\text{m})$ . In real time the particle seem s to appear suddenly at x = 1, and then continues on a classical escape orbit.

this wavefunction does not have continuous derivatives at the turning points; this problem is usually solved by nding \transition formulas", but we neglect it in this lowest approximation.)

In general, as in our example, there may be more than one bounce and probable escape path. Because the probability is an exponential, the one with the least Euclidean action is generally overwhelmingly more probable (however, also see [8]). Of course, in order to get a non-zero decay probability, this least action must be nite (this is part of the denition of a bounce or instanton solution). Of the three bounces shown in Fig. 6, the diagonal one has the largest action (most improbable). In fact, it is really a combination of the other two bounces, rather than a di erent way for the particle to escape. This can be seen from the behavior of nearby particle orbits: they converge tow and this bounce, showing that there is a second zero mode in the second variation of the orbits intersect, the second variations vanish at the turning points, so that there will be two variations that low er the action. Only bounces with one negative mode should be counted as independent decay channels.

A nother kind of Euclidean solution (\instanton") is useful for potentials in which the escape region is replaced by a second trapping region whose minimum is degenerate with the rst (Fig. 8a). If one starts out with a wavepacket concentrated in one of the regions, it will at a later time be concentrated in the other region and vice versa, so that in general it has a uctuating behavior. The two lowest energy eigenstates that most importantly contribute to this behavior are approximated by a sum resp. a di erence between two W KB wavefunctions (of the type  $e^{S_E}$  and  $e^{S_E}$ ). These wavefunctions can again be evaluated by nding the action of a Euclidean solution of the classical equations of motion. But this instanton does not exhibit a bounce. Instead the particle takes an in nite imaginary time to move away from the center of the rst region, and an in nite time to reach the center of the second region (Fig. 8b). A corresponding two-dimensional potential and its lowest energy wavefunction is shown in Fig. 9a. A gain the wavefunction is relatively large on the instanton path, making it the \m ost probable connecting path" (Fig. 9b).

Thus, in a multidimensional setting we may again say that the instanton tells us \how " the particle gets from one region to the other during a uctuation. The instanton action will again give us the main exponential factor in the probability that a particle initially present in one region will be found in the other. In particular, the existence of an instanton with nite action indicates that the uctuation in question does take place. For the details of the uctuation, such as its frequency, one would again need inform ation about more than one energy eigenstate.<sup>3</sup>

 $<sup>^{3}</sup>$  In this connection, uctuation means a transition between two or several states that are \classically allowed" and have the same energy. We do not mean the kind of virtual uctuation that produces, for example, a virtual pair in the vacuum state. (O ne could how ever say that the barrier makes the virtual uctuation real by preventing an immediate return to the initial state.)

Figure 8. (a) The potential V (x) =  $x^2 + x^4$  has two degenerate m in in a, separated by a tunneling region. (b) The sem iclassical history of the tunneling in this potential, x =  $(1 = \frac{1}{2}) \tanh(it = \frac{1}{m})$ . The particle starts from one of the m in in a at it = 1, and reaches the other m in in um at it = 1, with the entrire m otion taking place in in aginary time.

O ne assumes that an analogous sem iclassical approximation is valid in eld theory. That is, to see whether a classically forbidden transition is possible in the quantum theory one tries to nd a nite action solution of the Euclidean eld equations that connects the relevant classical initial and nal states of the transition. If the connection is by a bounce solution, in which the initial state is reached only asym ptotically, but the nal state occurs at the turn-around \point" (surface of im aginary-time sym - m etry, or nucleation surface [9]), the transition is interpreted as a decay. If the connection is by an instanton (without a bounce), in which both the initial and nal states are reached only asym ptotically, the transition is a uctuation. In general relativity, Euclidean solutions of the E instein equations are R iem annian (rather than Lorentzian) m anifolds.

### 3. Gravitational Bounces

If the classical initial state for tunneling has sym m etry, the W KB tunneling statem ay or m ay not exhibit the sam e sym m etry. If the Euclidean equations of m otion adm it a tunneling solution with the sam e sym m etry, we expect this solution to have the lowest action. (If the sym m etry is broken by the tunneling, then there is no unique instanton of sm allest action, and one should sum over all of them .) For example, a

Figure 9. (a) A two-dimensional potential, V  $(x;y) = x^2 + x^4 + y^2$  with two degenerate m inim a. (b) The ground state wavefunction is relatively large along the most probable connecting path.

constant electric eld is invariant under boosts in the eld direction, and the instanton describing pair production by this eld [10] has the same invariance. The electric eld is also invariant under translation, but the instanton is not. However, the tunneling events related by translation are all equally probable.

In accordance with this expectation the \bubbles" of true vacuum expanding into false vacuum, both of which vacua are Lorentz invariant, do exhibit invariance under the hom ogeneous Lorentz group, and the corresponding Euclidean solutions is invariant under the rotation group O (4). A simple example of a similar gravitational situation is provided by the \tunneling from nothing" into a deSitter universe [11], shown in Fig. 10 (with two dimensions suppressed). As in Fig. 7, in the lower part

Figure 10. The sem iclassical history of deSitter space, in the same spirit as Fig. 7.

time is imaginary, and in the upper part it is real. Each part solves the equation G = g on its appropriate, R iem annian resp. Lorentzian, m anifold. The nucleation surface is the equator; relation of either part about this surface would give a complete m anifold of each type, either a R iem annian bounce (a complete 4-sphere) or a complete Lorentzian deSitter universe (that bounces at some m inim um radius).

To obtain a history of the deSitter evolution we norm ally slice the upper part of F ig. 10 by horizontal, spacelike surfaces. For a history of the tunneling it is natural to slice the lower part similarly by horizontal planes. This history indeed shows nothing as long as the plane is below the \south pole" of the hem isphere; when the plane touches the pole, the universe originates as a point, then expands into increasing 3-spheres (represented in the gure by circles) until it reaches the maximum radius of the virtual evolution, which is also the minimum radius of the deSitter space, and the real evolution starts.

W e have tacitly assumed that Fig. 10 is to be rotated about two other axes to get the 4-m anifolds (4-sphere, deSitter space) that we really intended. But we can just as well in agine the product of Fig. 10 with  $S^2$ , to generate the Nariai metric [12]

by tunneling from nothing. In this case the nucleation surface, represented by the same horizontal plane in Fig. 10 as before, has the topology  $S^2 = S^1$  of a worm hole universe. The Euclidean part of the action turns out to be larger (corresponding to sm aller tunneling probability) than for tunneling into the more symmetric deSitter space [9] (cf. the y axis vs. the x axis bounce of Fig. 6).

Further examples of 0 (4) symmetric bounces are associated with vacuum decay. Since the ordinary 4-dimensional M inkowski space vacuum is stable [13], we have to consider compacti ed higher-dimensional cases. To be realistic the spacetime should be at least 5-dimensional, but in our gures we will have to suppress at least two of these dimensions: each point will represent a 2-sphere (with metric d<sup>2</sup> if it is a unit 2-sphere).<sup>4</sup>

Figure 11a shows the \5-dim ensional Schwarzschild instanton,"

$$ds^{2} = (1 (R=r)^{2})d^{2} + (1 (R=r)^{2})^{1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d^{2} + r^{2}\sin^{2}d^{2}; r R (3)$$

used by W itten [14] to discuss the decay of the Kaluza-K lein vacuum, with the compactication direction. (Since the picture is only qualitatively correct, it could also represent the 4-dimensional Schwarzschild instanton, which is usually taken to describe the therm al properties of a Schwarzschild black hole, but which in this connection would describe the decay of the 4-dimensional vacuum, compacti ed in one direction [15].) In the lower part of this gure we have plotted r and in a vertical plane, and in the orthogonal horizontal direction. Since the latter is to be identied with period 2, the front and back boundaries of the Figure are to be identied. That this is possible without singularity is shown in Fig. 11b, where this identication is performed on a horizontal slice of Fig. 11a, resulting in a \test tube" that is smoothly closed on one end, which represents the metrically correct em bedding of the r; section of this metric.<sup>5</sup> The upper part of the Figure shows the analytic continuation to a Lorentzian manifold.

The surface = =2 in the W itten instanton (3) (or any rotation of it) is a nucleation surface; it represents the nal state of the tunneling. Since the instanton is asymptotically at, the initial state, which it reaches asymptotically, is the vacuum. Once we have chosen a nucleation surface, the initial vacuum is most appropriately represented by the hyperplane at large distance from the origin that does not intersect the nucleation surface. One can then 11 in other sections between these that give a reasonable tunneling history [16]. At rst the topology of these sections is R<sup>3</sup> S<sup>1</sup>, like that of a spacelike surface of the compacti ed vacuum. W hen the minimum r on such a section reaches R, the section represents the singular instance at which the

 $<sup>^{4}</sup>$ A ctually, points related by sym m etry about the vertical plane perpendicular to the paper correspond to the sam e 2-sphere.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Since the test tube is topologically  $R^2$ , the whole m etric of Eq. (3) is  $R^2 = S^3$ , but one should rem em ber that the  $R^2$  does not have the standard in nity, but instead becomes cylindrical | like the test tube.

Figure 11. (a) The sem iclassical history of the decay of a compactified false" 5D vacuum geometry is represented by the 3D region bounded above by the surface shown. Each point of this region represents a two-sphere, obtained by rotating in two additional dimensions about the center of the gure. The front and back parts of the surface are to identified in such a way that points along the middle curve C are regular (non-conical) origins at r = R in the r; plane. (b) The actual geometry obtained from a slice of Fig. 11a by a plane perpendicular to the paper, with the identification carried out.

topology changes. Later sections have the topology  $R^2 = S^2$ , like that of the nal state. In the Figure this boks like two disconnected spaces moving apart, but they are of course connected through the two dimensions that were suppressed<sup>4</sup>, so that in fact a spherical hole has appeared in the nal state, and expands to in nity in the subsequent Lorentzian development.

As a nalexample we show the creation of two magnetically charged W heeler worm - hole mouths by the magnetic eld in an initially M elvin universe [17]. This remark-

able topology-changing bounce has two axes of sym metry: one is the line pining the worm holes, and the other corresponds to invariance under boosts of the created pair. W e suppress the form er to reduce the Euclidean space dimensions to three, and show only the latter as a symmetry of the three-dimensional space in which the qure is drawn, namely the rotational symmetry of Fig. 12a about the horizontal axis, part of which is labeled \horizon." (Except for this symmetry the Figure is qualitative only.<sup>6</sup>) For ease of visualization we show only the lower, Euclidean half of the history the space within the rectangular box and outside the sem ispherical cavities. As in the other qures, the upper part should consist of the analytic continuation of the lower half to a Lorentzian spacetime. Points on the inner surface of the two cavities are to be identied by reaction about the mid-plane. The top horizontal plane is the nucleation surface. It contains the axis of sym metry, where the rotational K illing vector vanishes. The part between the cavities is the worm hole's (Euclidean) K illing horizon. Its Lorentzian extension will as usual consist of two lightlike horizon surfaces, on which the boost K illing vector that is the continuation of the rotational K illing vector is lightlike. (The part of the axis outside the cavities, not drawn in the F igure, corresponds to the R inder horizon" that separates the two created worm hole mouths.)

On each horizontal section of Fig. 12a in agine a vector eld like that representing a lam inar uid ow from left to right, avoiding the cavities. This can be taken as a representation of the magnetic eld.<sup>7</sup> In the bottom surface this eld is approximately constant and represents the eld lines of the (asymptotic) M elvin universe's central region [19]; away from this central region the M elvin magnetic ux actually falls o with distance. At the nucleation surface some of this ux crosses the worm hole horizon and so has become trapped in the W heeler worm hole. To show this in more detail we have drawn in Fig. 12b only the top, nucleation surface, but with the two circular curves in it identi ed as required. The worm hole's K illing horizon now occurs, as expected, at the narrow est part (throat) of the worm hole.

It is now not di cult to imagine other horizontal slices of Fig. 12a to obtain the rest of the Euclidean history of the worm hole form ation. The singular slice is the one that rst touches the cavities, so that only a pair of points are to be identied, rather than two circular curves. It is the rst slice in which some ux has been trapped, and this trapped ux is conserved in the subsequent development. This ux is maxim al in the sense that, after the worm hole mouths have moved far enough apart in their Lorentzian development to be compared to single black holes, they correspond to extrem al Reissner-Nordstrom black holes. It is interesting that the spacelike distance through the worm hole is nite on the nucleation surface; it increases

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>For another way of representing this geometry | in which two dimensions are suppressed | see Banks et al. [18].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In a spacetime the electrom agnetic eld tensor should in general be represented by a honeycom b structure [2], not by lines. But once one has chosen a \spacelike" surface, the usual electric and m agnetic eld lines of course m ake sense.

Figure 12. (a) The imaginary-time part of the history of W heeler worm hole production. Beyond the top (nucleation) surface the real-time history could be represented in 2+1 M inkowski space, with the rotational symmetry replaced by a boost symmetry. (b) The worm hole at the nucleation surface is the geometry of the top surface of part (a), with the identication of the two circles carried out. (These circles are the vertical, circular grid line that is partically hidden behind the worm hole's throat.) The grid lines can be taken to be equipotentials and eld lines of the worm hole's eld; to them should be added those of the Melvin universe background to get the

net equipotentials and elds.

as the worm hole m ouths m ove apart after nucleation, and asym ptotically approaches the in nite value that one expects from the \hom" structure of the isolated R eissner-N ordstrom black hole [20]. It seems that other interesting features of the Lorentzian analytic continuation have been explored only to a limited extent, and they are too far rem oved from the instanton to be treated here [18].

## 4. Fluctuation Instantons

The solution of the Euclidean Einstein-Maxwell equations,

$$ds^{2} = V^{2}dt^{2} + V^{2}(dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2}) \qquad V = {}^{A} m_{i} = r_{i}; \qquad F = d(V^{1})^{A} dt (4)$$

v

can be interpreted as an instanton [21]. Here  $r_i$ ; i = 1:::n are the distances in at Euclidean 3-space from n di erent origins to the eld point. This solution is similar to the multi-extrem all eissner-N ordstrom solution, except that it has no asymptotically at region; instead it becomes cylindrical in the lim it r ! 1, just as it does in each hom",  $r_i ! 0$ . If we place all the origins in the xy plane and suppress the z and t directions, the geometry of the remaining dimensions can be shown embedded in at Euclidean space as in Fig. 13. (The Gaussian curvature of this 2-surface

Figure 13. Imaginary time history of a uctuation by which a maximally charged black hole throat splits into two.

is negative, reaching zero asymptotically; it appears remarkably di cult to nd an accurate and unique embedding of such a surface, so Fig. 13 is correct only in its main features.) The way M athematica sliced this gure suggests that this is an instanton that interpolates between a single universe and two or several daughter (or baby) universes. Indeed, the geometry and elds of Eq. (4) approach the Euclidean version of a Bertotti-R obinson universe [22] in all asymptotic regions. The fact that there is

no bounce or nucleation surface, and that all connections to Lorentzian solutions are made in the asymptotic regions, indicates that this is a uctuation-type instanton.

M any of the details of this instanton have been given elsewhere [21], where it is argued that it should also describe the quantum uctuations of the region near the horizon of an extrem al Reissner-Nordstrom black hole. Here we want to show the result of slicing this instanton for n = 2 and  $m_1 = m_2$  by 3-surfaces. A simple choice consists of the surfaces on which V is constant. These slices are shown in Fig. 14. They are metrically accurate (except for the suppression of the axis direction). For small V, and hence large r, these surfaces of constant V have the topology of a single sphere. For large V, one or the other of the  $r_i$  has to be small, so one obtains two separate spheres. The critical, singular surface occurs when V = m = d, where d is the distance in Euclidean 3-space between the two origins. Depending on the interpretation, Fig. 14 then gives the imaginary-time history of the break-up of a Bertotti-Robinson universe, or of an extrem al Reissner-Nordstrom black hole's horizon.

## 5. Conclusions

W hen instanton solutions were rst investigated in general relativity, they were regarded primarily as a mathematical device, not to be interpreted in the same way as Lorentzian solutions | it was a bold step even to draw a Riemannian and a Lorentzian manifold connected in the same diagram. Since then it has become clear that many of the traditional methods work in both arenas. In particular, we have seen above that slicing by 3-dimensional surfaces can help the physical interpretation, just as it did for classical relativity when ADM pioneered this method.

Figure 14. (next page) Slices of constant V of the instanton of Fig. 13, with only one direction suppressed, (a) \before" the topology change (b) singular slice at the instant of topology change (c) after" the topology change.

#### References

[1] A few examples are:

C. W. Misner and J. A. Wheeler, \Classical physics as geometry", Ann. Phys. (NY) 2,525 (1957)

C.W.M isner, D i erential geometry and di erential topology," in Relativity, G roups, and Topology G ordon and B reach, New York 1964

D. Finkelstein and C. W. Misner, \Some new conservation laws", Ann. Phys. (NY) 6 230 (1959)

C.W.M isner, \W orm hole initial conditions", Phys. Rev. 118, 1110 (1960)

C.W.M isner, \The atter regions of Newman, Untiand Tam burino's generalized Schwarzschild space," J.M ath. Phys. 4, 924 (1963)

C.W.Misner, \The method of images in geometrostatics," Ann.Phys. (NY) 24,102 (1963)

C.W.Misner, \Taub-NUT space as a counterexample to almost anything" Lect.Applied Math. 8, 160 (1967)

C.W.M isner, \M ixm aster universe" Phys. Rev. Letters 22, 1071 (1969)

C.W.Misner, \Absolute zero of time" Phys. Rev. 186, 1328 (1969)

C.W. M isner and J.A. W heeler, \Conservation laws and the boundary of a boundary" in Gravitation: Problems and Prospects, Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1972)

C.W.M isner, \M inisuperspace" in M agic without M agic, Freem an 1972

- [2] C.W.Misner, K.S.Thome and J.A.W heeler, Gravitation, Freem an 1973
- [3] Feynm an quantization was rst applied to general relativity in

C.W.Misner, Rev.Mod. Phys. 29, 497 (1957)

For a sum m ary of later work see, for exam ple,

S.W .Hawking in GeneralRelativity, an Einstein Centenary Survey, Cambridge 1979

[4] Using spreadsheets for physics was pioneered by M isner, see e.g.

C.W. Misner and P.A. Cooney, Spreadsheet Physics, Addison-Wesley, 1991

- [5] see for example Heavens and Ditchburn, Insight into Optics, Wiley 1991
- [6] Banks, Bender and Wu, Phys. Rev. D 8, 3346 and 3366 (1973)
- [7] S.Coleman, Phys. Rev. D 15, 2929 (1977)
- [8] S.Carlip, Phys. Rev. D 46, 4387 (1992)
- [9] G.W.Gibbons and J.B.Hartle, Phys. Rev. D 42, 2458 (1990)
- [10] A.Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D 27, 2848 (1983)

C.Stephens, Ann. Phys. (USA) 193, 255 (1989) and Phys. Lett. A 142, 68, 1989

- [11] A.Vilenkin, Phys. Lett. 117B, 25 (1982)
- [12] H. Nariai, Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ., Series 1 34, 160 (1950); ibid., 35, 62 (1951)
- [13] D.Brill and S.Deser, Ann. Phys. (USA) 50, 548 (1968)

P.Schoen and S.T.Yau, Phys. Rev. Lett. 42 547 (1979)

E.W itten, Comm.Math.Phys. 80, 381 (1981)

- [14] E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B195, 481 (1982)
- [15] A.S.Lapedes, Phys. Rev. D 22, 1837 (1980)
- [16] D.Brill, Found. Phys. 16, 637 (1986)
- [17] D.Garnkle and A.Strominger, Phys. Lett. B256, 146 (1991)
- [18] Banks, O 'Loughlin, and Strom inger, B lack Hole Rem nants and the Information Puzzle, Preprint RU-92-40 (hep-th/9211030)
- [19] M.A.Melvin, Phys. Lett. 8, 65 (1964)
- [20] B.Carter, \Black hole equilibrium states" in Black Holes, Gordon and Breach (1973)
- [21] D.Brill, Phys. Rev. D 46, 1560 (1992)
- [22] T. Levi-Civita, R. C. A cad. Lincei (5) 26, 519 (1917)

B.Bertotti, Phys. Rev. 116, 1331 (1959)

I.Robinson, Bull. Akad. Polon. 7, 351 (1959)