

# The Equivalence Principle and g-2 Experiments

C. Alvarez<sup>1</sup> and R.B. Mann<sup>2</sup>

Dept. of Physics, University of Waterloo Waterloo, ONT N2L 3G1, Canada

PACS numbers: ??? 13.15.-f, 14.60.Gh, 04.80.+z

March 24, 2022

## Abstract

We consider the possibility of using measurements of anomalous magnetic moments of elementary particles as a possible test of the Einstein Equivalence Principle (EEP). For the class non-metric theories of gravity described by the  $TH\epsilon\mu$  formalism we find several novel mechanisms for breaking the EEP, and discuss the possibilities of setting new empirical constraints on such effects.

---

<sup>1</sup>email: calvarez@avatar.uwaterloo.ca

<sup>2</sup>email: mann@avatar.uwaterloo.ca

An attractive feature of metric theories of gravity is that they endow spacetime with a second-rank symmetric tensor field  $g_{\mu\nu}$  that couples universally to all non-gravitational fields, thereby affording a unique operational spacetime geometry. This feature is a consequence of the Einstein Equivalence Principle (EEP), which states that the outcomes of nongravitational test experiments performed within a local, freely falling frame are independent of the frame's location (local position invariance, LPI) and velocity (local Lorentz invariance, LLI) through a gravitational field. Non-metric theories of gravity break this universality by coupling additional gravitational fields to matter, and so violate either LPI or LLI. Limits on such effects are imposed by gravitational redshift and atomic physics experiments respectively: laser experiments set tight limits on violations of LLI ( $\sim 10^{-22}$ ) [1], while an upcoming generation of gravitational redshift experiments could exceed the precision of previous experiments [2] by as much as five orders of magnitude [3].

In order to empirically check the universal behavior of gravity, it is important to probe as diverse a range of non-gravitational interactions as possible for potential EEP-violating behavior. To this end, quantum electrodynamics (QED) provides one such arena in that it allows one to investigate the behavior of physical systems whose existence is contingent upon quantum field theoretic radiative corrections. We began this endeavor in a previous paper by analyzing the behavior of Lamb shift transition energies within the context of nonmetric theories of gravity [4]. This energy shift, along with anomalous magnetic moments ( $g-2$ ) of fundamental fermions constitutes the most striking evidence in support of QED [5, 6].

We report in this paper the results of an investigation of the possibility of using measurements of anomalous magnetic moments of elementary particles as a possible test of the EEP. Details will appear in a forthcoming paper [7]. The high precision attained in  $g-2$  experiments motivated earlier work by Newman *et al.* which set new bounds on the validity of special relativity [8]. We find here that a non-metric spacetime structure induces qualitatively new effects in the behavior of anomalous magnetic moments that leave distinctive physical signatures, allowing the possibility of setting new bounds on the validity of the EEP.

We follow the approach given in ref.[4], in which a gravitationally modified (GM) QED was developed within the context of the  $TH\epsilon\mu$  formalism [9]. This formalism encompasses a wide class of non-metric theories of gravity, and deals with the dynamics of charged particles and electromagnetic fields in a static, spherically symmetric gravitational field.

The spatial variations of the  $TH\epsilon\mu$  functions can be neglected within atomic scales, which along with a proper rescaling of coordinates and field lead to the (GM) QED action:[10]

$$S = \int d^4x \bar{\psi}(i \not{\partial} + e \not{A} - m)\psi + \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x (E^2 - c^2 B^2), \quad (1)$$

where local natural units are used,  $\not{A} = \gamma_\mu A^\mu$ ,  $\vec{E} \equiv -\vec{\nabla} A_0 - \partial \vec{A}/\partial t$ ,  $\vec{B} \equiv \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}$  and  $c^2 = H_0/T_0\epsilon_0\mu_0$  with the subindex “0” denoting the functions evaluated at  $\vec{X} = 0$ . The set of parameters  $T$ ,  $H$ ,  $\epsilon$ , and  $\mu$  are arbitrary functions of the Newtonian gravitational potential  $U = GM/r$ , which approaches unity as  $U \rightarrow 0$ , and the metric is assumed to be

$$ds^2 = T(r)dt^2 - H(r)(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2) \quad . \quad (2)$$

The action (1) has been written with respect to the preferred frame, as defined by the rest frame of the external gravitational field  $U$ . In order to analyze effects in systems moving with respect to that frame, we assume that Lorentz transformations relate coordinates and fields from one system to another, under which the pure fermion and interaction terms in the action (1) remain invariant (as do the fermion propagator and the vertex rule as a consequence). All nonmetric effects on the moving system arise from the electromagnetic sector proportional to  $\xi \equiv 1 - c^2$ . This factor is a dimensionless parameter that scales according to the magnitude of the dimensionless Newtonian potential, which turns out to be much smaller than unity for actual experiments. We are therefore able to compute effects that break local Lorentz invariance via a perturbative analysis about the familiar and well-behaved  $c \rightarrow 1$  or  $\xi \rightarrow 0$  limit. Up to  $O(\xi)$ , the photon propagator is (after a proper choice of the gauge fixing term) [4]:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -(1+\xi)\frac{\eta_{\mu\nu}}{k^2} + \xi\frac{\gamma^2}{k^2} \left[ \eta_{\mu\nu} \frac{(\beta \cdot k)^2}{k^2} + \beta_\mu \beta_\nu \right], \quad (3)$$

where  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is the Minkowski tensor with a signature  $(+ - - -)$ ;  $\gamma^2 \equiv 1/(1 - \vec{u}^2)$ , with  $\vec{u}$  as the velocity of the moving frame with respect to the preferred system, and  $\beta^\mu \equiv (1, \vec{u})$ ; henceforth  $\beta^2 \equiv 1 - \vec{u}^2$ .

Eq. (3) along with the unmodified fermion propagator  $S_F(p)$ , and vertex rule form the basis of the Feynman rules of GMQED. Radiative corrections affecting those quantities are defined in terms of the photon self energy  $\Pi^{\mu\nu}(k)$ , fermion self energy  $\Sigma(p)$ , and vertex function  $\Gamma^\mu$  respectively. These insertions involve the calculation of loop integrals as given by the Feynman rules up to a given order.

We shall consider the lowest order radiative correction associated with the elastic scattering of electrons by a static external field  $A^\mu$ . These one loop

contributions can be summarized in terms of the Feynman diagrams illustrated in Fig. 1. It is straight-




Figure 1: One loop corrections for the elastic scattering of an electron by an external electromagnetic source

forward to check that the Ward identity  $\frac{\partial \Sigma(p)}{\partial p_\mu} = \Gamma^\mu(p, p)$  relating the self energy and vertex function is satisfied. This result is a consequence of gauge invariance, and therefore it holds even in the absence of Lorentz invariance.

We have evaluated the amplitudes for the diagrams which follow from the Feynman rules, giving the total result  $\Lambda^\mu$ . To lowest order, the Feynman amplitude related to the elastic scattering of an electron by a static external field, is given by  $i e \bar{u}(p') A(q) u(p)$ . In the nonrelativistic limit of slowly moving particles ( $|\vec{q}| \rightarrow 0$ ) and a static magnetic field  $e A(q) \rightarrow -\frac{e}{2m} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ . If we include the radiative corrections ( $\Lambda \cdot A$ ), we can, up to  $O(\xi)O(\alpha)$ , write the spin magnetic field interaction part as

$$H_\sigma = -\frac{e}{2m} \{ (g - g_* \vec{u}^2) \vec{S} \cdot \vec{B} + g_* \vec{S} \cdot \vec{u} \vec{B} \cdot \vec{u} \} \equiv -\Gamma^{ij} S_i B_j \quad (4)$$

with  $g \equiv 2 + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} [1 + \xi \frac{7}{6}]$ , and  $g_* \equiv -\frac{\alpha}{\pi} \xi \frac{4}{3}$ , where we have identified  $\vec{S} \equiv \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$ , and  $\hat{u} = \vec{u}/|\vec{u}|$ . The presence of preferred frame effects induces a new type of coupling between the magnetic field and the spin as described by (4). This interaction stems purely from radiative corrections, and generalizes the gyromagnetic ratio of a fermion to a tensorial coupling described by  $\Gamma_{ij}$

Hence eq. (4) describes the interaction (as seen from the particle rest frame) between the particle spin and an external homogeneous magnetic field. We can extract from this the energy difference between electrons with opposite spin projection in the direction of the magnetic field as:

$$\Delta E_\sigma = -\frac{eB}{2m} [g - g_* u^2 (1 - \cos^2 \Theta)] \quad (5)$$

where  $\Theta$  is the angle between the magnetic field and the preferred frame velocity. The influence of the radiative corrections (coming from  $g - 2$  and  $g_*$ ) in this energy shift is negligible in comparison to the dominant factor 2 in  $g$ .

A more useful means of isolating the effects of these non-metric corrections is to study the oscillation of the longitudinal spin polarization in a magnetic field. In the metric case, this frequency is proportional to the factor  $g - 2$ , and so is a signal effect of radiative corrections.

The observable quantity in  $g - 2$  experiments is actually the electron polarization, which is proportional to the quantum mechanical expectation value of  $\vec{S}$ . The quantum-mechanical equation of motion for this value is given by

$$\frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} \Big|_{R.F.} = -i [\vec{S}, H_\sigma] = \vec{S} \times \frac{e}{2m} [(g - g_* \vec{u}^2) \vec{B}' + g_* (\vec{B}' \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u}] \quad (6)$$

where the spin expectation value is implicit and the primed variables are referred explicitly to the particle rest frame (*R.F.*). Note that preferred frame effects will distinctly manifest themselves as a temporal variation of the spin component parallel to the magnetic field.

In general we want to know the spin precession relative to some specific laboratory system, with respect to which the particle is moving with some velocity  $\vec{\beta}$ . *A-priori* this frame does not need to be the previously defined preferred frame, and so  $\vec{\beta} \neq \vec{u}$ .

Since the  $TH\epsilon\mu$  formalism does not (locally) change the fermion electromagnetic field interaction, we assume that a charged particle in the presence of an homogeneous magnetic field will still satisfy the equation  $\frac{d\vec{\beta}}{dt} = \vec{\beta} \times \vec{\Omega}_c$ , with the cyclotron frequency  $\vec{\Omega}_c = \frac{e}{m\gamma} \vec{B}$  and  $\gamma = (1 - \vec{\beta}^2)^{-1/2}$ . Using Lorentz transformations to relate the primed variables in (6) to the laboratory ones and including the effect of Thomas precession, we obtain  $d\vec{S}/dt|_{Lab} = \vec{S} \times \vec{\Omega}_s$  with  $\vec{\Omega}_s = \frac{e}{2m} [(g - 2 + 2/\gamma - g_* \vec{u}^2) \vec{B} + g_* (\vec{B} \cdot \vec{u}) \vec{u}]$ , where we have set  $\vec{E} = 0$  and considered the case of orbital motion perpendicular to the magnetic field ( $\vec{\beta} \cdot \vec{B} = 0$ ). Note that the spin precession about  $\vec{\Omega}_s$  is no longer parallel to the magnetic field (axial direction), but has a component parallel to  $\vec{u}$  that comes from radiative and non-metric effects.

At this point it is necessary to define the preferred coordinate system. There are several candidates (such as the rest frame of the cosmic microwave background) for this frame [3]. To study this issue it is sufficient to assume that the laboratory system (Earth) moves with a non-relativistic velocity ( $\vec{V}$ )

with respect to the preferred frame, and so we can identify  $\vec{u} = \vec{V} + \vec{\beta}$ .

In order to single out the effects of radiative corrections, we study the spin precession relative to the rotational motion of the electron, that is:

$$\left. \frac{d\vec{S}}{dt} \right|_{rot} = \vec{S} \times \vec{\Omega}_D \quad (7)$$

with  $\vec{\Omega}_D = \vec{\Omega}_s - \vec{\Omega}_c$ . In the following we refer to the difference frequency ( $\Omega_D$ ) as the anomalous frequency (given its connection with the anomalous magnetic moment in the metric case). It is convenient to rewrite

$$\vec{\Omega}_D = \Omega_a \hat{B} + \Omega_a^* \left[ \cos \Theta (\vec{V}_\perp + \vec{\beta}) - 2\hat{V}_\perp \cdot \vec{\beta} \hat{B} \right] \quad (8)$$

with

$$\Omega_a = \frac{eB}{2m} \left[ g - 2 - g_* (\vec{V}^2 + \vec{\beta}^2 - \vec{V}^2 \cos^2 \Theta) \right] \quad (9)$$

and  $\Omega_a^* = \frac{eB}{2m} g_* V$ , where  $\Theta$  represents the angle between  $V$  and the magnetic field, and  $V_\perp$  the component of the velocity perpendicular to  $B$ . In  $\Omega_a$  we group all the constant terms parallel to the magnetic field that contribute to the anomalous frequency (including non-metric effects). The remaining terms correspond to nonuniform or off-axial terms (proportional to  $\Omega_a^*$ ) that arise from non-metric effects only.

Since  $\Omega_a^*$  is proportional to  $\xi$ , we can solve for each component in (7) perturbatively, with  $S = S^0 + S^*$ . Taking, for example, the initial condition  $\vec{S}(0) = S\hat{\beta}$  and  $\vec{V}$  on the  $XZ$  plane, we find that the spin components perpendicular to the magnetic field precess with frequency  $\Omega_a$  about the axial direction, and that the parallel component follows the motion:

$$S_\parallel = S_\parallel^* = S \frac{\Omega_a^*}{\Omega_a} \beta \cos \Theta (\cos \Omega_a t - 1) + \dots \quad (10)$$

where we have considered  $\Omega_a \ll \Omega_c$ , and so neglected terms proportional to  $(\Omega_a/\Omega_c)$ .

The fact that  $\Omega_a$  was (in the metric case) proportional to  $g - 2$  (or  $\alpha$ ), motivated the very precise  $g - 2$  experiments which were designed to measure specifically that anomalous frequency. We see that this frequency is modified by from its metric value by the additional terms present in (9). A comparison of two electron  $g - 2$  experiments (one at electron relativistic energy ( $\beta = 0.57$ ) [11] and the other nearly at rest ( $\beta = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ ) [12]) therefore yields the constraint  $|\xi| < 10^{-5}$ . A similar analysis can be carried out for muon  $g - 2$  experiments, but the results are less precise than those for electrons.

Newman *et al.* analyzed these experiments [8] in order to find new bounds for the validity of special relativity. They assumed that the parameter  $\gamma$  involved in the electron motion had a different value ( $\tilde{\gamma}$ ) from that which arises kinematically (in Thomas precession and Lorentz transformations). The equivalent equation for (9) is in that case

$$\Omega_a^{NFRS} = \frac{eB}{m} \left( \frac{g}{2} - \frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\gamma}} \right) \quad (11)$$

and by comparing with electron  $g - 2$  experiments, they obtained the constraint  $\delta\gamma/\tilde{\gamma} < 5.3 \times 10^{-9}$ . Our approach is qualitatively different from theirs, in that we assume  $\gamma = \tilde{\gamma}$  but include preferred frame effects in the evaluation of the anomalous magnetic moment.

Preferred effects not only modify the anomalous frequency according to (9), but also induce oscillations in the spin component parallel to  $B$ . As stated above, this is a qualitatively new signature of EEP violations due solely to radiative corrections in GMQED. We can estimate this effect by taking the temporal average of  $S_\parallel$  over the main oscillation given by  $\Omega_a$ , which gives  $\langle S_\parallel \rangle / S = \frac{2}{3} \xi V \cos \Theta \beta \sim 10^{-25}$ , where we consider a typical experiment with  $V \sim 10^{-3}$  and  $\beta \sim 0.5$ ; and the present constraint for  $\xi$ . The novelty of the  $S_\parallel$  oscillation suggests the possibility of putting tighter constraints on the non-metric parameter, once appropriate experiments are carried out. The same goes for the analysis of  $\Omega_a$  at different values of  $\Theta$  (the angle between the magnetic field and the velocity of the laboratory system with respect to the preferred frame). The rotation of the Earth will have the effect of converting this orientation dependence into a time-dependence of the anomalous magnetic moment, with a period related to that of the sidereal day.

The previous analysis was concerned with effects related to spatial anisotropy. We turn now to a consideration of possible violations of LPI. Position dependence in the former procedure was implicit in the redefinitions of charge, mass and fields. These quantities were rescaled in terms of the local values of the  $TH\epsilon\mu$  functions, which were considered constant throughout the computation. In LPI violating experiments, a given frequency is measured at two different points within the same reference system, where differences in the gravitational potential  $\Delta U$  could be significant. The gravitational redshift parameter ( $Z$ ) accounts for the relative frequency difference via  $Z = \Delta U(1 + \Xi)$ , where  $\Xi$  signal any violation of LPI related to the frequency involved. Using standard techniques [3] we can derive from (9) the correspond-

ing parameter as

$$\Xi^a = \frac{11}{6}\Gamma_0 - \frac{13}{6}\Lambda_0 \quad (12)$$

where  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Lambda_0$  parameterize possible LPI violations, being equal to zero in the metric case. A search for possible position dependence of anomalous spin precession frequencies provides another qualitatively new test of LPI that is sensitive to radiative corrections.

Refined measurements of anomalous magnetic moments can provide an interesting new arena for investigating the validity of the EEP in physical systems where radiative corrections are important. We have considered this possibility explicitly for the class of non-metric theories described by the  $TH\epsilon\mu$  formalism. The non-universal character of the gravitational couplings in such theories affects the one loop corrections to the scattering amplitude of a free fermion in an external electromagnetic field in a rather complicated way, giving rise to several novel effects. It will be a challenge to set new empirical bounds on such effects in the next generation of experiments.

We are grateful to M. Haugan for drawing our attention to ref. [8]. This work was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

## References

- [1] J. D. Prestage et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2387 (1985); S. K. Lamoreaux et al., *ibid.* **57**, 3125 (1986); T. E. Chupp et al., *ibid.* **63**, 1541 (1989).
- [2] R. F. C. Vessot and M.W. Levine, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **10**,181 (1979).
- [3] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, 2nd edition (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992).
- [4] C. Alvarez and R.B. Mann, “Test of the Equivalence Principle by Lamb Shift Energies” WATPHYS-TH95/02.
- [5] S. R. Lundeen and F. M. Pipkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 232 (1981).
- [6] R. S. van Dyck, Jr., in *Quantum Electrodynamics*, edited by T. Kinoshita (World Scientific, Singapore,1990)
- [7] C.Alvarez and R. B. Mann, in preparation.
- [8] D. Newman, G. W. Ford, A. Rich, E. Sweetman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 1355 (1978)
- [9] A. P. Lightman and D. L. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **8**, 364 (1973).
- [10] M. D. Gabriel and M. P. Haugan, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 2943 (1990).
- [11] J. Wesley and A. Rich, Phys. Rev. A **4**, 1341 (1971)
- [12] R. Van Dyck, P. Schwinberg, and H. Dehmelt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 310 (1977)