# Canonical Quantum Statistics of Schwarzschild Black Holes and Ising Droplet Nucleation

HA.Kastrup<sup>1</sup>
Institute for Theoretical Physics, RW TH Aachen
52056 Aachen, Germany

### A bstract

Recently it was shown [1] that the imaginary part of the canonical partition function of Schwarzschild black holes with an energy spectrum  $E_n = \frac{P_n}{n} E_P$ ;  $n = 1; 2; \ldots$ ; has properties which -naively interpreted -leads to the expected unusual therm odynam ical properties of such black holes (Hawking temperature, Bekenstein-Hawking entropy etc.).

The present paper interprets the sam e im aginary part in the fram ework of droplet nucleation theory in which the rate of transition from a metastable state to a stable one is proportional to the imaginary part of the canonical partition function. The conclusions concerning the emerging therm odynamics of black holes are essentially the same as before.

The partition function for black holes with the above spectrum was calculated exactly recently [1]. It is the same as that of the primitive Ising droplet model for nucleation in 1st-order phase transitions in 2 dimensions. Thus one might learn about the quantum statistics of black holes by studying that Ising model, the exact complex free energy of which is presented here for negative magnetic elds, too.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>E-M ail: kastrup@physik.rwth-aachen.de

### 1 Introduction

In a recent paper[1] I discussed properties of the canonical partition function for a quantum energy spectrum

$$E_n = {}^{p} - \frac{1}{nE_P}; n = 1; 2; ...; E_P = c^2 - \frac{1}{ch = G}; = 0 (1);$$
 (1)

where the n-th level has the degeneracy

$$d_n = q^n ; q > 1 :$$
 (2)

The main interest in this spectrum comes from the many, dierently justied, proposals (see the corresponding quotations in ref. [1]) that a quantized Schwarzschild black holem ight have such a spectrum! The associated canonical partition function

$$Z(t;x) = \sum_{n=0}^{x^{1}} e^{nt}e^{nt} = \sum_{n=0}^{y} E_{n} = \sum_{n=0}^{y} E_{n}$$
 (3)

converges only for jgj 1, whereas one is interested in its properties for g > 1. However, the function Z(g;x) can be continued analytically into the complex g-plane by means of the integral representation [1]

$$Z (g = e^{t}; x) = \frac{x}{2^{p}} = \int_{1}^{2} du \frac{e^{x^{2} = (4 \ln u)}}{\ln^{3=2} u} \frac{1}{u \cdot g}$$

$$= \frac{x}{2^{p}} = \int_{0}^{2} \frac{d}{u^{3=2}} e^{x^{2} = (4 \ln u)} \frac{1}{u \cdot g}$$
(4)

which exhibits a branch cut of Z (g;x) from g = 1 to g = +1. Approaching the cut from above yields a complex Z, with an imaginary part

$$Z_{i}(t;x) = \frac{P-x}{2t^{3-2}}e^{-x^{2}}=(4t)$$
 (6)

and the principal value integral

$$Z_r(t;x) = p.v.\frac{1}{0}^{Z_1} d Z_i(x) \frac{1}{1 e^t}$$
 (7)

as its realpart.

Am azingly one gets essentially all the expected them odynam ical properties of a black hole, including the H aw king tem perature, if one—naively—uses the imaginary part  $Z_i$  above for their derivation. This is strange and provocative and needs further analysis. If such an approach can be substantiated, one will have an indication which quantum states of a black hole could be responsible for its unusual therm odynam ical properties!

In the following I shall try to put the properties mentioned into a certain perspective and especially discuss their relationship to other approaches (which was not done in ref.[1]), namely the euclidean path integral (semiclassical) quantisation of black holes by Hawking and others and the theory of droplet nucleation associated with metastable states.

The paper is organized as follows: In chapter 2 the properties of the model are brie y sum merized and its theoretical and physical potentials and limitations sketched. Parts of it recall well-known material which serves to have the discussion more or less self-contained. Chapter 3 discusses the relationship of the model to Hawking's euclidean path integral approach to the thermodynamics of black holes, where he also gets a purely imaginary partition function [2]! Chapter 4 deals with the nucleation of droplets occurring when a system changes from a metastable state to a stable one, e.g. in 1st-order phase transitions or in the decay of "false" ground states. Langer[3] was the rst one to relate the imaginary part of the canonical partition function to the transition rate of such processes. Later Gross, Perry and Ya e[4] interpreted the imaginary part of the semiclassical euclidean path integral i.e. the canonical partition function – for the Schwarzschild black hole ("instanton") in this context, thus giving a different interpretation of the same quantity Hawking employed.

In chapter 5 the relation of the partition function (3) to that of the prim itive Ising droplet model for nucleation in 2 dimensions is discussed and it is very easy to see that both are exactly the same. The integral representation (4) therefore provides an exact free energy expression for this model, too, which as far as I know, is new [5]. Chapter 6 presents some conclusions.

### 2 Scope of the model

In the background of trying to push the quantum analysis – including its quantum statistics – of the simple isolated Schwarzschild gravitational system as far as possible are the following considerations: The quantized radiation emitted by a "classical" Schwarzschild black hole has a thermal (Planck) distribution governed by the (Hawking) temperature [6]

$$k_B T_H H^1 = \frac{c^3 h}{8 G M} ; (8)$$

where M is the mass of the black hole. Assuming that this temperature of the radiation arises from its thermal contact with the black hole of the same temperature, the immediate question was - and still is -, how does the black hole gets this temperature? As the temperature (8) is proportional to Planck's constant the quantum theory of gravity has to play a decisive role in its understanding. Especially one would like to identify them icroscopic quantum gravitational degrees of freedom which yield the corresponding quantum statistics and its macroscopic thermodynamics.

M any attempts have been made to achieve this and I shall mention only a few of them in the following. The most active and enthusiastic reseach in this area presently takes place in connection with string theory. I shall say nothing about that in the following and refer to the very recent review by H orow itz[7].

In view of the lack of a generally accepted quantum theory of Einstein's General Relativity it seems discult to extract those microscopic gravitational degrees of freedom within this fram ework. However, the situation is not quite es hopeless as it might appear. The reason is that Einstein's theory for a rotationally symmetric isolated gravitational system, Schwarzschild gravity, can consistently be quantized, namely by rst identifying its classical observables in the sense of Dirac by solving the constraints associated with the gauge (dieom orphims) degrees of freedom and then quantizing the remaining gauge-independent physical degrees of freedom afterwards. This has been done by Thiemann and myself[8] in the fram ework of Ashtekar's formalism and brie y afterwards by Kuchar[9] in the geometrodynamical fram ework, the results being equivalent. They have been brie y summerized in ref.[10].

The essential point is that this system classically has just one canonical pair

of (D irac) observables, namely its mass M and as the canonically conjugate quantity of M a time functional T [g; ] (of the metric g) which describes the dierence  $_{+}$  in proper time of two observers at the two (asymptotic) ends of the 1-dimensional spacelike hypersurface which, together with the 2-dimensional spheres S  $^{2}$ , provides a time slicing of the 4-dimensional manifold. Formally the quantity

$$= T [g; ] (_{+})$$
 (9)

is the constant D irac observable.

Thus, for an observer at the asym ptotic end where r!+1 on the Schwarzschild manifold with the time  $_+$  there are  $_-$  in the fram ework of this model  $_-$  only two quantities available in order to describe the system: its Mass Mand his proper time! Everything else has to be expressed by them (and some fundamental constants like G; c; h;  $k_B$ ). Examples are: the Schwarzschild radius  $R_S = 2M$   $G = c^2$  or any multiple thereof, the area A = 4  $R_S^2$  of the horizon, but also any time interval associated with the system, i.e.  $= R_S = c$ , where is some number, e.g. = 4 for the time period  $_H$  of the euclidean section [2] of the complex Schwarzschild manifold.

Having elim inated the (in nite) gauge degrees of freedom classically one can now quantise the remaining physical degrees of freedom [8-10]. The extremely  $\sin p$  le Schrodinger equation for this system,

ih@ () = 
$$M \hat{c}$$
 (); (10)

has the plane wave solutions

$$(M;) = (M)e^{\frac{1}{h}M}c^2;$$
 (11)

where M is continuous and > 0. So at rst sight there is no such spectrum like (1). However, one can get it by changing the boundary conditions. My doing so in ref.[10] was stimulated by the paper of Bekenstein and Mukhanov [11], in which they discussed properties of the spectrum (1) with  $^2 = \ln g = (4)$ ; g = 2. A lready in 1974 Bekenstein [12] discussed this spectrum as arising from a Bohr-Sommerfeld type quantisation of the area of the horizon (A / nh). Since then many authors have proposed such a spectrum (see refs. [3-23] in ref.[1]).

One knows from elementary quantum mechanics how to make the continuous momentum in plane waves discontinuous by periodic boundary conditions in space. Applying corresponding boundary conditions in time (with period) to the plane waves (11) yields

Introducing such boundary conditions is easier than justifying them by physical arguments. In any case it means that the system is represented by the plane waves only for the time after which it is abruptly term inated, e.g., by forming of the horizon. This may happen, e.g., because the state described by the plane wave is a metastable one – see below. There are several physical time scales =  $R_S$ =c associated with a black hole all of which [10] have

### 3 Euclidean path integral as canonical partition function of a Schwarzschild black hole

First I want to recall how Hawking's path integral approach to the canonical partition function in a sem iclassical approximation leads to a purely imaginary partition function, too:

Shortly after Hawking's discovery that the quanta emitted by a collapsing black hole have a thermal distribution governed by the temperature (8) G ibbons and Hawking [13] employed the relationship between the canonical partition function of a system and the corresponding euclidean path integral in order to tackle the canonical quantum statistics of a black hole itself. For pure gravity that partition function Z is formally given by

$$Z = {}^{Z}$$
 D [g]e  ${}^{I_{E}}$  [g]=h;  $I_{E} = \frac{1}{16 \text{ G}}$   ${}^{Z}$   $d^{4}xR$  (g) (g) ${}^{1=2}$  + surface term s; (14)

where  $I_{\mathbb{E}}$  is the euclidean action of pure gravity (form ore details of the path integral approach to quantum gravity see the reprint collection in ref.[2]). In principle one has to take into account all eld con gurations g which are periodic in euclidean time with period h and which obey appropriate spatial

boundary conditions. In practice the path integral has been evaluated only sem iclassically: One puts

$$g = {\stackrel{\circ}{g}} + h$$
; (15)

where  $\overset{0}{9}$  is a classical solution of the euclidean eld equations compatible with the boundary conditions just mentioned and the hadenote (small) quantum uctuations around the classical solution. Inserting (15) into the action (14) and keeping only terms up to order 0 ( $h^2$ ) gives the semiclassical approximation

$$I_{E}^{scl} = I_{E}^{cl} \overset{0}{[g]} + I_{E}^{(2)}; \quad I_{E}^{(2)} = \overset{Z}{d^{4}x} \overset{0}{(g)}^{1=2} \text{ (hA } \overset{0}{(g)}h);$$
 (16)

where A  $\stackrel{0}{(g)}$  is a second order di erential operator depending on the classical metric  $\stackrel{0}{g}$  .

G ibbons and H aw king evaluated  $\mathbf{I}_{E}^{\text{cl}}$  for the euclidean Schwarzschild metric and obtained

$$I_{E}^{cl}[Schwarzschild] = \frac{1}{2}_{H} M c^{2}; \qquad (17)$$

where the period  $h_{\rm H}$  follows from the requirement that the euclidean Schwarzschild section has no conical singularities. The associated partition function

$$Z_{S}^{cl} = e^{-H} M c^{2} = 2 = e^{-E_{P}^{2} - H} = (16)$$
 (18)

gives the desired therm odynam ics of black holes, especially the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy

$$S_{BH} = k_B = \frac{A}{4l_B^2} ; l_P^2 = G h = c^3 :$$
 (19)

The approach appears to become "unconventional", if one includes the term  $I_E^{(2)}$  for which the path integral (14) is a G aussian one which – formally – is proportional to the inverse square root of the product of the eigenvalues of the operator A (= (det(A)))  $^{1-2}$ ). For the Schwarzschild case the term  $I_E^{(2)}$  has been evaluated by G ibbons and Perry [14]. A crucial point is that the operator A has just one negative eigenvalue [4] which contributes a factor i to the partition function. The combined contribution to the partition function  $Z_S^{\rm scl}$  of the classical euclidean Schwarzschild solution and the uctuations

around it is given by [4, 14]

$$Z_{S}^{scl} = iZ_{g}Z_{GHP}$$
;  $Z_{GHP} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{V}{64^{-3} \frac{1}{2}} (a_{H})^{212=45} e^{E_{P}^{2} \frac{2}{H}} = (16)$ ;  $Z_{g} = e^{2V} = (c^{3}h^{3} \frac{3}{H})(2p)$ 

where V is the spatial volume of the system and a is a "cuto" associated with the —function regularization of det(A). (The index "GHP" stands for "Gibbons, Hawking, Perry".) The factor  $Z_g$  is the same as one would obtain for at space (g = 0). It represents the gas of free thermal gravitons surrounding the black hole.

Except for the spatial volume factor the structure of  $Z_{GHP}$  in eq. (20) is very similar to that of  $Z_i$  in eq. (6) above: If  $^2$  = t=(4) - see ref. [1] - then the exponentials in both cases are equal for =  $_H$ . The factors with the powers of -which in eq. (20) comes from short-distance uctuations around the classical solution - are dierent in both cases. However this is not surprising because both approaches are so dierent. These factors may give rise to logarithmic corrections[1] to the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy (19) which is dominated by the exponential. I shall come back to this in the next chapter. Hawking has argued [2, ch. 15.8] that the partition function (20) has to be imaginary in order for the density of states N (E) in the Laplace transforms

$$Z() = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dEN(E)e^{E}; N(E) = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{+i1} \\ i \end{bmatrix} dZ()e^{E}$$
 (21)

to be real if  $Z^{cl}$  from eq. (18) is inserted into the last integral and which exists only if the contour of integration is rotated by =2! Because of this Hawking has concluded [2] that the canonical ensemble is inappropriate for the description of black holes.

Recently Hawking and Horowitz have discussed [15] a more rened version of the relationship between the classical euclidean gravitational action (14) – especially its boundary terms—and the entropy of the system. This work is related to that of York and collaborators [16] who suggested to improve the approach of Gibbons and Hawking [13] and Gross, Perry and Yae [4] by employing a more microcanonical framework. Related is also the work of Iyer and Wald [17].

In any case, the imaginary parts  $Z_{GHP}$  of eq. (20) or  $Z_i$  of eq. (6), respectively, do seem to yield interesting though unconventional therm odynam ical

properties, if one treates them as if they were "norm al" partition functions. As they imply negative heat capacities they signal instabilities of the system. This leads us to a closely related interpretation of the imaginary part of a partition function, namely of being associated with the decay of a metastable state into stable one in the form of nucleation:

## 4 Imaginary part of partition functions and droplet nucleation

In a series of very in uential papers[3] Langer discussed the imaginary part of partition functions for systems with a 1st-order phase transition where the transition from a metastable state to a stable one is initiated by the "nucleation" of droplets. Langer suggested that the nucleation transition rate is proportional to the imaginary part of the partition function. Coleman and Callen [18] discussed this approach in the context of instanton solutions in the euclidean version of otherwise lorentzean eld theories and their role for the decay of "false" (metastable) vacua. The methods involved have found wide applications in dierent elds of physics[19].

The main procedure is very similar to the one described above for the euclidean section of the Schwarzschild solution: The instantons (or "bounces") are classical solutions of the "euclideanized" eld equations satisfying appropriate boundary conditions of a certain path integral. The quantum (or thermal) uctuations around these classical solutions leave the system stable if all the eigenvalues of the second-variation operator A (see above) are positive. However, if one of the eigenvalues is negative, then the classical solution is not related to a minimum of the euclidean action integral, but only to a saddle point and the uctuations can drive the system from its metastable state into a more stable lower one.

The transition rate is essentially determ ined by =  $(Z^{scl})=Z_0$ , where  $Z_0$  is the realpart of the canonical partition function (which represents the metastable state) and by the absolute value of the negative eigenvalue.

It is this fram ework in which Gross, Perry and Ya e[4] interpreted the contribution (20) of the euclidean Schwarzschild solution ("Schwarzschild instanton"):

Eq. (20) represents the "1-instanton" contribution to the partition function.

The next step is a dilute-gas approximation: Neglecting the "interactions" between the instantons, the contribution of N of them to the grand partition function  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathsf{G}}$  is

$$Z_{g} \frac{1}{N!} (iZ_{GHP})^{N} : \qquad (22)$$

Sum ming over all N gives

$$Z_{G} = Z_{G}e^{iZ_{GHP}}$$
 (23)

and the grand canonical potential

$$(;V;) = \ln Z_G = pV; d = Ud + p dV Nd;$$
 (24)

; : chem ical potential, p: pressure) here has the form

$$= \ln Z_{\alpha} + iZ_{GHP}; \qquad (25)$$

whereas the general structure is [3,19]

$$= \ln Z_0 + i = (Z^{scl}) = Z_0 :$$
 (26)

Because of the special form of  $Z^{scl}$  in (20) the factor  $Z_g$ , representing the metastable graviton gas (i.e.  $Z_g = Z_0$ ) drops out in eq. (26)!

A coording to Langer[3] (and others[18, 19]) the rate of transition per unit volume from the metastable state (here the graviton gas) to the stable state (here the black hole) is given by

$$= \frac{j \ j}{} = () = \frac{j \ j}{} = (Z \text{ scl}_{Z_0}) ; = V ; \tag{27}$$

were is the single negative eigenvaluem entioned above (that a large class of systems with possible negative eigenvalues has just one of them was proven by Coleman [20]).

The factor j jin eq. (27) is a dynam ical one, depending on nonequilibrium properties of the system [3,19]. As to more recent evaluations of j j for relativistic system see refs.[21].

The relation between the entropy (19) and the exponential factor  $\exp(^2=(16))$  in the transition rate (27) associated with eq. (25) is perhaps not so obvious anym ore. However, in the case of nucleation one writes [3,19]

= 
$$(Z^{scl})=Z_0 = e^{-(F^{scl} - F_0)}$$
;  $F^{scl} = -\frac{1}{2} \ln e (Z^{scl})$ ;  $F_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \ln Z_0$  (28)

and interprets  $\hat{F} = F^{\text{scl}}$   $F_0$  as the excess free energy of the critically large droplet. In our case we have  $Z_0 = Z_g$  and therefore  $\hat{F_S} = (1=) \ln Z_{GHP}$ . In this sense  $Z_{GHP}$  represents the partition function of the bare black hole, i.e. it describes the therm odynam ics of the black hole without the surrounding graviton gas.

Thus, the more sophisticated nucleation picture essentially leads to the same thermodynamical properties of Schwarzschild black holes as the "naive" use[1] of the imaginary part of the partition function!

Still, it seems desirable to have a more systematic analysis of the relationship between the two approaches.

Page [22] has given a detailed analysis of the rate (27) for the transition of a gas into a black hole. The picture that black holes are formed by nucleation from a gas was already discussed by G ibbons and Perry [23].

### 5 Schwarzschild black hole quantum statistics and Ising droplet nucleation in 2 dimensions

One of the most am azing parts of the whole analysis presented here is that the quantum partition function (3) associated with a Schwarzschild black hole is the same as that of the (prim itive) Ising droplet model for nucleation (in 1st-order phase transitions) in 2 dim ensions [24]:

Suppose a d-dimensional (d  $\,$ 2) lattice of N Ising spins below the critical temperature  $T_c$  to be in a positive magnetic eld H so that almost all the spins are up (+1). If one then slowly turns the magnetic eld negative, the system comes into a metastable state in which the total magnetization is still positive, before nally becoming negative, too. A simple model to describe the transition into that stable state is the following: Assume that (small) droplets containing 1 down-spins form, in a background of up-spins. The droplets are supposed to be noninteracting (dilute-gas approximation) and the number  $n_1$  of droplets of size 1 to be given by

$$n_1 = N e^{-1}; l = 1; \dots;$$
 (29)

The droplet form ation energy  $_1$  is assumed to consist of two (competing) term s, the bulk energy 2H land a surface energy term  $1^{(d-1)=d}$ , so that

$$_{1} = 2H 1 + 1^{(d 1)=d} :$$
 (30)

The interesting part of the canonical partition function  $\mathbf{Z}_{N}$  is the  $\,$  nite sum

$$Z_{N} = N = {}^{X} e^{2H \cdot 1} \qquad {}^{(d \cdot 1) = d} : \qquad (31)$$

Letting N go to 1 and employing the grand canonical ensemble in exactly the same way as for the instanton gas above yields the following grand canonical potential per spin

$$(H) = \sum_{l=0}^{X^{l}} e^{2lH} e^{l^{(d-1)=d}}$$
 : (32)

The theoretical investigations of the function have focussed mainly on its behaviour for negative H (where the sum no longer converges!) in the neighbourhood of H = 0. It is clear that must have a singularity at H = 0, the so-called "condensation point". Many approximate analytical calculations and rigorous estimates[25] suggested that has an essential singularity there, a supposition supported by numerical calculations[26]. Now for d = 2 the series (32) is just the same as (3) which has been summed exactly in ref.[1] by using Lerch's observation [27] that the relation

$$e^{\frac{p}{nx^{2}}} = \frac{\dot{x}\dot{j}^{Z}}{\dot{p}^{Z}} dve^{x^{2}v^{2}=4} n=v^{2}$$

$$= \frac{\dot{x}\dot{j}^{Z}}{2^{p}} \frac{d}{dz} e^{x^{2}=4} n = v^{2}$$
(33)

turns the series into a geom etrical one which can be sum med under the integral sign and then continued analytically. We only have to put t = 2 H; x = and then get from eq. (6) the exact result

$$= ()(;H < 0) = \frac{p_{-}}{4 2} \qquad ^{1=2} H j^{3=2} e \qquad (^{2}) = (8 H j) : \qquad (34)$$

Thus, there is indeed an essential singularity at H = 0. Instead of  $H j^{3=2}$  in front of the exponential factor the approximation methods of Langer[3]

and Gunther et al.[25] yield the factor H j. The modi ed method used by Harris [26] gives the correct H j-dependence!

The real part of is to be calculated by m eans of the principal value (dispersion) integral (7) (if the sum (32) starts with l=1 etc. corresponding changes have to be made[1]).

In ref.[1] I pointed out that the real part (7) can become negative for small x. The droplet nucleation picture m ight help to understand the (physical?) background of this.

For a recent discussion of metastability in the 2-dimensional Ising model itself see ref. [28].

#### 6 Conclusions

It appears that there can be hardly any doubt that the square root spectrum (1) is closely related to the expected them odynam ics of black holes. It is therefore important to understand its physical meaning and the theoretical background better. Because it implies that the area A of the horizon is proportional to hone should probably look here for an interpretation [11]. This corresponds to other recent investigations which stress the importance of bifurcate horizons and the associated surfaces for understanding the therm odynam ics of black holes [29].

Furtherm ore, the discussion above shows that a "naive" and a droplet nucleation interpretation, respectively, of the imaginary part of a partition function provide just two dierent aspects of the therm odynam ical properties of metastable systems.

Sim ilarly in portant is the physical understanding of the degeneracy (2) which is equally essential for the derivation of the therm odynam ics. In the Ising droplet model above g is replaced by the factor  $\exp$  H which represents the in uence of the driving external (negative) magnetic eld. The same role can have a (positive) them ical potential [3,19]. Bekenstein and M ukhanov [11] used information theoretical arguments to put g=2. However, the arguments above go through with any g>1. The physical background of the degeneracies (2) probably needs further understanding. (The "driving eld" behind g>1 is most likely the gravitational attraction which leads to the black hole.)

In addition it will be interesting to see whether and how the above considerations can be extended to the therm odynam ics of the Reissner-Nordstr m model[30] where investigations similar to those of refs.[8,9] for the Schwarzschild case exist [31].

Very exciting is the possibility to map the quantum statistics of a Schwarzschild black hole onto the statistics of the 2-dimenionsal Ising model for droplet nucleation. This might allow to learn from a known eld of physics for an unknown one.

F inally it is surprising that the pursuit of black hole physics leads to an exact mathematical solution for an old condensed-matter problem.

I am very much indebted to Malcolm Perry for drawing my attention to refs. [3] and [4].

Note added in response to a question of the referee:

If one considers a Schwarzschild black hole in d+1 space-time dimensions [32], d 3, then its Schwarzschild radius  $R_S$  is proportional to  $M^{1=(d-2)}$ , where M is the mass of the system. Thus, if we again assume the time interval in eq. (12) above to be proportional to  $R_S$ , then  $\frac{p}{n}$  in eq. (13) is replaced by  $n^{(d-2)=(d-1)}$  and the resulting partition function is the same as that of the Ising droplet model for nucleation in d-1 dimenions (see eq. (32))!

#### R eferences

[1] H A .K astrup, Canonical quantum statistics of an isolated Schwarzschild black hole, gr-qc/9707009, to appear in Phys. Lett. B; to the list of

- quoted authors discussing the spectrum (1) the following should be added: T.Brotz and C.Kiefer, Phys.Rev.D 55 (1997)2186
- [2] SW. Hawking in: General Relativity, an Einstein centenary survey, ed. by SW. Hawking and W. Israel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge etc. 1979)ch. 15; (reprinted in: Euclidean Quantum Gravity, ed. by GW. Gibbons and SW. Hawking (World Scientic, Singapore etc. 1993)p. 29; this book contains reprints of the refs. [4], [13], [20] and [23] of the present paper, too)
- [3] J.S. Langer, Ann. Physics 41 (1967)108; 54 (1969)258; Physica 73 (1974)61; in: System s Far from Equilibrium (Lecture Notes in Physics 132), ed. by L. Garrido (Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1980)p.12
- [4] D J. Gross, M J. Perry and L.G. Ya e, Phys. Rev. D 25 (1982) 330
- [5] I thank H.M uller-K rum bhaar and D. Stau er for corresponding private communications
- [6] S.W. Hawking, Nature 248 (1974) 30; Comm. Math. Phys. 43 (1975) 199
- [7] G.T. Horow itz, gr-qc/9704072
- [8] T.Thiem ann and H.A.Kastrup, Nucl. Phys. B 399 (1993)211; H.A.Kastrup and T.Thiem ann, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994)665; H.A.Kastrup and T.Thiem ann, in: Geometry of Constrained Dynamical Systems, ed. by J.M. Charap (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1995)p.158 (this paper contains a brief comparison with Kuchar's article (ref. [9]))
- [9] K. Kuchar, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) 3961; see also D. Louis-Martinez, J. Gegenberg and G. Kunstatter, Phys. Lett. B 321 (1994) 193; D. Louis-Martinez and G. Kunstatter, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 5227; T. Strobl, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1994) 7346
- [10] H.A.Kastrup, Phys. Lett. B (1996)75
- [11] JD. Bekenstein and V.F. Mukhanov, Phys. Lett. B 360 (1995)7
- [12] J.D. Bekenstein, Lett. Nuovo C im . 11 (1974) 467
- [13] G.W. Gibbons and S.W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D 15 (1976) 2752

- [14] G.W. Gibbons and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B146 (1978)90; see also G.W. Gibbons, S.W. Hawking and M. J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. B138 (1978)141
- [15] S.W. Hawking and G.T. Horowitz, Class. Quantum Grav. 13 (1996) 1487
- [16] JW .York, Jr., Phys.Rev.D 33 (1986)2092; HW .Braden, BF.W hiting and JW .York, Jr., Phys.Rev.D 36 (1987)3614; JD .Brown and JW .York, Jr., Phys.Rev.D 47 (1993)1407, 1420; gr-qc/9405024; JD .Brown, Phys.Rev.D 52 (1995)7011
- [17] V. Iyer and R.M. Wald, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995)4430
- [18] S. Colem an, Phys. Rev. D 15(1977)2929, D 16(1977)1248(E); C.G. Callan, Jr. and S. Colem an, Phys. Rev. D 16(1977)1762; S. Colem an, in: The W hys of Subnuclear Physics, ed. by A. Zichichi (Plenum Press, New York and London 1979)p.805 (reprinted in S. Colem an, A spects of Symmetry (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge etc. 1985)p.265)
- [19] See, e.g., J.D. Gunton, M. San Miguel and P.S. Sahni, in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, vol. 8, ed. by C. Domband J.L. Lebowitz (A cademic Press, London etc. 1983)p. 267; J.D. Gunton and M. Droz, Introduction to the Theory of Metastable and Unstable States (Lecture Notes in Physics 183, Springer-Verlag, Berlin etc. 1983); P. Hanggi, P. Talkner and M. Borkovec, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62 (1990)251; J. Zinn-Justin, Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena, 3rd Edition (Oxford University Press, Oxford etc. 1997) chs. 37-42; H. Kleinert, Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics and Polymer Physics, 2nd Edition (World Scientic, Singapore etc. 1995)ch. 17
- [20] S.Colem an, Nucl. Phys. B 298 (1988)178
- [21] L.P. C. semai and J.I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) 1379; M. E. Carrington and J.I. Kapusta, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 5304; J. Garriga, Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 5497, 6327
- [22] D. N. Page, in: Black Hole Physics, ed. by V. De Sabbata and Z. Zhang (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht etc. 1992)p. 185
- [23] G.W. Gibbons and M.J. Perry, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A. 358 (1978) 467

- [24] J. Frenkel, Kinetic Theory of Liquids (Dover Publications, Inc., New York 1955)ch. VII; F.F. Abraham, Homogeneous Nucleation Theory (A cadem ic Press, New York and London 1974); K. Binder and D. Stauffer, Adv. in Physics 25 (1976)343; J.S. Langer, ref.[3]; Gunton et al., ref.[19]; K. Binder, Rep. Prog. Phys. 50 (1987)783
- [25] A F. Andreev, Sov. Phys. JETP 18 (1964)1415; J.S. Langer, ref.[3]; M E. Fisher, Physics 3 (1967)255; K. Binder, Ann. Physics 98 (1976)390; N.J. Gunther, D.A. Nicole and D.J. Wallace, J. Phys. A 13 (1980)1755; D.J. Wallace in: Phase Transitions, Cargese 1980, ed. by M. Levy, J.-C. Le Guillou and J. Zinn-Justin (Plenum Press, New York and London 1982)p. 423; R.K. P. Zia, Zeitschr. Phys. B 41 (1981)129; rigorous results give: S.N. Isakov, Comm. Math. Phys. 95 (1984)427; R.H. Schonmann, Comm. Math. Phys. 161 (1994)1
- [26] K.Binder and D. Stau er, ref.[24]; M. J. Lowe and D. J. W. allace, J. Phys. A. 13(1980)L381; C.K. Harris, J. Phys. A. 17(1984)L143; D. Stau er, Int. J. M. od. Phys. C. 3(1992)1052; P.A. Rikvold, H. Tomita, S. M. iyashita and S.W. Sides, Phys. Rev. E. 49(1994)5080; the last two articles contain further references
- [27] M. Lerch, Monatshefte fur Mathematik und Physik 8 (1897) 377
- [28] E.N.M. Cirillo and J.L. Lebow itz, cond-m at/9705311; this paper contains references to previous work.
- [29] G. 't Hooft in: SALAM FEST SCHRIFT, A Collection of Talks from the Conference on Highlights of Particle and Condensed Matter Physics, ed. by A.Ali, J. Ellis and S. Rand bar-Daem i (World Scientic, Singapore etc. 1994)p. 284, = gr-qc/9310026; gr-qc/9402037; Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 11 (1996) 4623; L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995) 6377; R. M. Wald, gr-qc/9702022; J.D. Brown, gr-qc/9704071
- [30] S.W. Hawking, G.T. Horowitz and S.F. Ross, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4302; C. Teitelboim, Phys. Rev. D 51 (1995) 4315
- [31] T. Thiem ann, Nucl. Phys. B 436 (1995) 681; J. Louko and S.W. W inters-Hilt, Phys. Rev. D 54 (1996) 2647; T. Brotz and C. Kiefer, ref.[1]; J. Makela and P. Repo, gr-qc/9708029

[32] F.R. Tangherlini, Nuovo C im .27 (1963) 636; R.C. M yers and M. J. Perry, Ann. Physics 172 (1986) 304