

Search for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ Decays

Q. L. Xie,¹⁰ K. Abe,⁸ I. Adachi,⁸ H. Aihara,⁴⁶ D. Anipko,¹ K. Arinstein,¹ V. Aulchenko,¹ T. Aushev,^{18,13} T. Aziz,⁴²
 S. Bahinipati,⁴ A. M. Bakich,⁴¹ V. Balagura,¹³ E. Barberio,²¹ M. Barbero,⁷ A. Bay,¹⁸ I. Bedny,¹ K. Belous,¹²
 U. Bitenc,¹⁴ I. Bizjak,¹⁴ S. Bluth,²⁴ A. Bozek,²⁷ M. Bracko,^{8,20,14} T. E. Browder,⁷ M.-C. Chang,⁵ Y. Chao,²⁶
 A. Chen,²⁴ K.-F. Chen,²⁶ W.-T. Chen,²⁴ B. G. Cheon,³ R. Chistov,¹³ Y. Choi,⁴⁰ Y. K. Choi,⁴⁰ S. Cole,⁴¹
 J. Dalseno,²¹ M. Dash,⁵⁰ A. Datskovsky,⁴ S. Edelmann,¹ D. Epifanov,¹ N. Gabyshev,¹ T. Gershon,⁸ A. Go,²⁴
 G. Gokhroo,⁴² H. Ha,¹⁶ J. Haba,⁸ K. Hayasaka,²² H. Hayashii,²³ M. Hazumi,⁸ D. Heeman,³² T. Hokuue,²²
 Y. Hoshi,⁴⁴ S. Hou,²⁴ W.-S. Hou,²⁶ T. Iijima,²² K. Ikado,²² A. Imoto,²³ K. Inami,²² A. Ishikawa,⁴⁶ H. Ishino,⁴⁷
 R. Itoh,⁸ M. Iwasaki,⁴⁶ Y. Iwasaki,⁸ J. H. Kang,⁵¹ P. Kapusta,²⁷ N. Katayama,⁸ H. Kawai,² T. Kawasaki,²⁹
 H. Kichimi,⁸ H. J. Kim,¹⁷ Y. J. Kim,⁶ S. Korpar,^{20,14} P. Krizan,^{19,14} P. Krokovny,⁸ R. Kulasiri,⁴ R. Kumar,³³
 C. C. Kuo,²⁴ A. Kuzmin,¹ Y.-J. Kwon,⁵¹ S. E. Lee,³⁸ T. Lesiak,²⁷ S.-W. Lin,²⁶ Y. Liu,⁶ G. Majumder,⁴²
 F. Mandl,¹¹ T. Matsumoto,⁴⁸ S. Mönig,⁴¹ W. Mitaro,¹¹ K. Miyabayashi,²³ H. Miyake,³² H. Miyata,²⁹
 Y. Miyazaki,²² R. Mizuk,¹³ G. R. M. Oboney,²¹ J. Mueller,³⁵ Y. Nagasaka,⁹ E. Nakano,³¹ M. Nakao,⁸ Z. Natkaniec,²⁷
 S. Nishida,⁸ O. Nito,⁴⁹ T. Ohshima,²² S. Okuno,¹⁵ S. L. Olsen,⁷ Y. Onuki,³⁶ H. Ozaki,⁸ P. Pakhlov,¹³
 G. Pakhlova,¹³ H. Park,¹⁷ L. S. Peak,⁴¹ R. Pestotnik,¹⁴ L. E. Pihlunen,⁵⁰ A. Poluektov,¹ H. Sahoo,⁷ Y. Sakai,⁸
 N. Satoyama,³⁹ T. Schietinger,¹⁸ O. Schneider,¹⁸ J. Schumann,²⁵ K. Senyo,²² M. Shapkin,¹² H. Shibuya,⁴³
 B. Schwartz,¹ V. Sidorov,¹ A. Sokolov,¹² A. Somov,⁴ S. Stancic,³⁰ M. Staric,¹⁴ H. Stoeck,⁴¹ K. Sumisawa,⁸
 T. Sumiyoshi,⁴⁸ S. Y. Suzuki,⁸ F. Takasaki,⁸ K. Tamai,⁸ M. Tanaka,⁸ G. N. Taylor,²¹ Y. Teramoto,³¹ X. C. Tian,³⁴
 K. Trabelsi,⁷ T. Tsuboyama,⁸ T. Tsubamoto,⁸ S. Uehara,⁸ T. Uglov,¹³ Y. Unno,³ S. Uno,⁸ P. Urquijo,²¹
 Y. Usov,¹ G. Vamer,⁷ C. H. Wang,²⁵ M.-Z. Wang,²⁶ Y. Watanabe,⁴⁷ R. Wied,²¹ E. Won,¹⁶ A. Yamaguchi,⁴⁵
 Y. Yamashita,²⁸ M. Yamachi,⁸ C. C. Zhang,¹⁰ L. M. Zhang,³⁷ Z. P. Zhang,³⁷ V. Zhilich,¹ and A. Zupanc¹⁴

(The Belle Collaboration)

¹Budker Institute of Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk

²Chiba University, Chiba

³Chonnam National University, Kwangju

⁴University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

⁵Department of Physics, Fu Jen Catholic University, Taipei

⁶The Graduate University for Advanced Studies, Hayama, Japan

⁷University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

⁸High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK), Tsukuba

⁹Hiroshima Institute of Technology, Hiroshima

¹⁰Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing

¹¹Institute of High Energy Physics, Vienna

¹²Institute of High Energy Physics, Protvino

¹³Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow

¹⁴J. Stefan Institute, Ljubljana

¹⁵Kanagawa University, Yokohama

¹⁶Korea University, Seoul

¹⁷Kyungpook National University, Taegu

¹⁸Swiss Federal Institute of Technology of Lausanne, EPFL, Lausanne

¹⁹University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana

²⁰University of Maribor, Maribor

²¹University of Melbourne, Victoria

²²Nagoya University, Nagoya

²³Nara Women's University, Nara

²⁴National Central University, Chung-li

²⁵National United University, Miaoli

²⁶Department of Physics, National Taiwan University, Taipei

²⁷H. Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Krakow

²⁸Nippon Dental University, Niigata

²⁹Niigata University, Niigata

³⁰University of Nova Gorica, Nova Gorica

³¹Osaka City University, Osaka

³²Osaka University, Osaka

³³Punjab University, Chandigarh

³⁴Peking University, Beijing

³⁵University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260

³⁶RIKEN BNL Research Center, Upton, New York 11973

³⁷University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei

³⁸Seoul National University, Seoul

³⁹Shinshu University, Nagano

⁴⁰Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon

⁴¹University of Sydney, Sydney NSW

⁴²Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay

⁴³Toho University, Funabashi

⁴⁴Tohoku Gakuin University, Tagajo

⁴⁵Tohoku University, Sendai

⁴⁶Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo

⁴⁷Tokyo Institute of Technology, Tokyo

⁴⁸Tokyo Metropolitan University, Tokyo

⁴⁹Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology, Tokyo

⁵⁰Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061

⁵¹Yonsei University, Seoul

(Dated: April 15, 2024)

We report the results of searches for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays, using a sample of 388×10^6 $B\bar{B}$ pairs collected with the Belle detector at the $(4S)$ resonance. No statistically significant signal is found for either of the two decay modes and upper limits for the branching fractions are determined to be $\mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) < 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\mathcal{B}(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) < 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% confidence level.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Hw, 14.40.Gx, 14.40.Nd

Studies of exclusive B meson decays to charmonium play an important role in exploring CP violation [1] and in observations of new resonant states that include a (cc) pair [2] [3]. The decay $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ proceeds via a Cabibbo-allowed and color-suppressed transition ($b \rightarrow ccs$) with (ss) quark popping. A branching fraction comparable to that of the decay $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ [4] is therefore expected.

The $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decay modes are of particular interest in the search for hybrid charmonium states, χ_{cJ} , which are excited gluonic ($c\bar{c}g$) states, which may decay to $J/\psi + (\chi_{c0}; \chi_{c1})$ [5]. These hybrid states are expected to be produced in B decays such as $B \rightarrow \chi_{cJ} K$. A near-threshold J/ψ enhancement in $B \rightarrow J/\psi K$ decays observed by Belle [3] has some properties similar to those predicted for $c\bar{c}$ -gluon hybrid states [5]. A state, $Y(4260)$, recently observed by BaBar in $e^+e^- \rightarrow Y(4260)_{(ISR)}$ transitions [6], is also a candidate for such a χ_{cJ} state [7], where $_{(ISR)}$ denotes an initial state radiation photon. An enhanced decay rate to J/ψ modes would be a supporting evidence for this assignment.

In this paper, we report results on searches for the decay modes $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ [8] in a sample of 357×10^6 $B\bar{B}$ pairs accumulated at the $(4S)$ resonance with the Belle detector [9] at the KEKB energy asymmetric e^+e^- collider [10]. These are the first results ever presented for these decay modes.

The Belle detector is a large-solid-angle magnetic spectrometer that consists of a silicon vertex detector, a 50-layer central drift chamber (CDC), an array of aerogel threshold Cherenkov counters (ACC), a barrel-like arrangement of time-of-flight scintillation counters (TOF),

and an electromagnetic calorimeter comprised of CsI(Tl) crystals (ECL). These detectors are located inside a superconducting solenoid coil that provides a 1.5 T magnetic field. An iron flux-return located outside of the coil is instrumented to detect K_L^0 mesons and to identify muons.

Candidates for these two decay modes are reconstructed with the decay chains $J/\psi \rightarrow l^+l^-$ ($l = e; \mu$), $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, and $K_S^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$.

Events are required to pass a basic hadronic event selection [11]. To suppress continuum background ($e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$, where $q = u; d; s; c$), we require the ratio of the second to zeroth Fox-Wolfgram moments [12] to be less than 0.5 and the absolute value of the cosine of the angle between the B meson and beam direction in the center-of-mass system to be less than 0.85.

The selection criteria for the J/ψ decaying to l^+l^- are identical to those used in our previous papers [11]. J/ψ candidates are pairs of oppositely charged tracks that originate from a region within 5 cm of the nominal interaction point (IP) along the beam direction and are positively identified as leptons. In order to reduce the effect of beamstrahlung or final state radiation, photons detected in the ECL within 0.05 radians of the original e^- or e^+ direction are included in the calculation of the e^+e^- invariant mass. Because of the radiative low-mass tail, the J/ψ candidates are required to be within an asymmetric invariant mass window: $150(-60) \text{ MeV}/c^2 < M_{e^+e^-}(\text{inv}) - (M_{J/\psi} + \delta) < +36(+36) \text{ MeV}/c^2$, where $M_{J/\psi}$ is the nominal J/ψ mass [13]. In order to improve the momentum resolution of the J/ψ signal, a vertex and mass constrained fit to the reconstructed J/ψ candidates is then performed and a loose cut on the vertex fit quality

is applied.

In order to identify hadrons, a likelihood L_i for each hadron type i ($i = \pi, K$ and p) is formed using information from the ACC, TOF and CDC ($dE = dx$). Charged tracks that were previously identified as electrons or muons are rejected in the hadron identification procedure. The kaon from the B meson and the pions from ϕ^0 are selected with the requirements of $L_K = (L_K + L_\pi) > 0.4$ and $L = (L + L_K) > 0.1$, which have efficiencies of 82.5% and 89.4%, respectively.

The ϕ^0 decay is reconstructed by combining two photons that do not match to any charged track. The calorimeter cluster energy, E_γ , is required to exceed 50 MeV for both photons. To veto photons from ϕ^0 , we combine each photon candidate with another photon (with $E_\gamma > 50$ MeV) and reject it if the invariant mass is consistent with a ϕ^0 : $M_{\phi^0} = m_{\phi^0} < 18$ MeV/ c^2 . For ϕ^0 candidates, $\cos \theta_{hel}$ is used to suppress background, where θ_{hel} is defined as the angle between the photon momentum in the rest frame and the boost direction of ϕ^0 in the laboratory frame. Signal events have a uniform $\cos \theta_{hel}$ distribution, whereas random photon pairs have a distribution that peaks near 1. We require $|\cos \theta_{hel}| < 0.94$ (0.97) for charged (neutral) B decay. The invariant mass of the ϕ^0 candidate is required to be within 0.496 MeV/ $c^2 < M_{\phi^0} < 0.582$ MeV/ c^2 (3 σ of the nominal mass). To improve the momentum resolution of the ϕ^0 , we apply a mass constrained fit to the reconstructed ϕ^0 .

We reconstruct ϕ^0 candidates by combining the ϕ^0 and selected ϕ^0 candidates. We require the invariant mass to be 0.940 MeV/ $c^2 < M_{\phi^0} < 0.975$ MeV/ c^2 . To improve the momentum resolution, we apply a vertex and mass constrained fit on the ϕ^0 [14].

For K_S^0 candidates, we impose momentum-dependent requirements on the impact parameters from the IP for both K_S^0 daughter tracks, the distance between the daughter tracks along the beam axis at the K_S^0 vertex, the difference of azimuthal angles between the K_S^0 momentum and the direction of the K_S^0 vertex from the IP, and the flight length of the K_S^0 . The invariant mass of the K_S^0 candidate is required to be within 16 MeV/ c^2 (3 σ) of the K_S^0 mass. We apply vertex and mass constrained fits for the K_S^0 candidates to improve the momentum resolution.

These criteria maximize $N_{sig} = \frac{P}{N_{sig} + N_{bkg}}$, where N_{sig} is the number of expected signal events from signal Monte Carlo (MC) samples with assumed branching fractions of $1.0 \cdot 10^{-5}$ for both $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ modes, and N_{bkg} is the number of expected background events estimated from a MC sample of BB -pairs with a J/ψ in the final state.

B mesons are reconstructed by combining a J/ψ , an ϕ^0 and a K candidate. We identify B candidates using two widely used kinematic variables calculated in the center-of-mass system: the beam-energy constrained

mass ($M_{bc} = \sqrt{(E_{beam} - E_B)^2 - (P_B)^2}$) and the energy difference ($E = E_B - E_{beam}$), where E_{beam} is the beam energy, P_B and E_B are the reconstructed momentum and energy of the B candidate. We select both B^+ and B^0 candidates within the range $|E| < 0.20$ GeV and $M_{bc} > 5.20$ GeV/ c^2 for the final analysis. The signal regions are defined to be 5.27 GeV/ $c^2 < M_{bc} < 5.29$ GeV/ c^2 and $|E| < 0.03$ GeV for both $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ modes, which corresponds to three standard deviations based on the MC simulation.

After all selection requirements, about 26.5% of the $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ candidates have more than one entry per event; this occurs for 30.0% of the $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ candidates. For these events, the B candidate with the best vertex fit quality is used. From a study of MC simulated events, we conclude that around 81.5% of multiple $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ candidates and 70.0% of multiple $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ candidates are selected correctly by this procedure.

The signal yields are extracted by maximizing the two dimensional extended likelihood function,

$$L = \frac{e^{-N}}{N!} \prod_{i=1}^N \sum_k P_k(M_{bc}^i; E^i);$$

where N is the total number of candidate events, i is the identifier of the i -th event, N_k and P_k are the yield and probability density function (PDF) of the component k , which corresponds to the signal and background.

The signal PDFs for the two decay modes are modeled using a sum of two Gaussians for M_{bc} and a sum of two Gaussians plus a bifurcated Gaussian that describes the tail of the distribution in E . The PDF parameters are initially determined using signal MC and subsequently the primary Gaussian parameters are corrected using a control data sample of $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ decays with $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 K^+$. The signal shape parameters are kept fixed in the fits to the data.

The dominant background comes from random combination of J/ψ , ϕ^0 , and K candidates in BB events. The background from continuum is found to be small (a few %). A threshold function [15] is used for the M_{bc} PDF. For E , we use a first-order polynomial function. The MC study shows that the background from the decays with similar topology that would make a peak in M_{bc} or E distributions is negligible.

In the fit, the value of N_k and the parameters for the combinatoric background are allowed to float. Figures 1 and 2 show the (M_{bc}, E) scatterplots and their projections for candidates after all selections are applied. The fit results are superimposed on the projections. There are 37 candidate events in the signal region for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and two for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$.

Table I summarizes the maximum-likelihood fit results for the signal (Y) and signal-region background (b) yields




FIG. 1: (a) The (M_{bc}, E) scatterplot of $B^+ ! J = {}^0K^+$ candidates and its projections onto (b) E with $5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{bc} < 5.29 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and (c) M_{bc} with $|jEj| < 0.03 \text{ GeV}$. The dashed lines and solid boxes indicate the signal regions. The curves are the result of the fit as described in the text.




FIG. 2: (a) The (M_{bc}, E) scatterplot of $B^0 ! J = {}^0K^0_S$ candidates and its projections onto (b) E with $5.27 \text{ GeV}/c^2 < M_{bc} < 5.29 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and (c) M_{bc} with $|jEj| < 0.03 \text{ GeV}$. The dashed lines and the solid box indicate the signal regions. The curves are the result of the fit.

TABLE I: Summary of the results. Y and b are the signal and expected total background yields in the signal region, Sig. is the statistical significance, n_0 is the observed number of candidate events in the signal region, Y_{90} is the upper limit on the signal yield at 90% confidence level, $(\text{error includes systematic error})$ is the detection efficiency and B is the branching fraction.

Mode	Y	b	Sig.	n_0	Y_{90}	$(\%)$	B
$B^+ ! J = {}^0K^+$	$6.0^{+4.5}_{-3.9}$	22.0 ± 0.7	1.8	37	$28.1^{+3.9}_{-0.6}$	< 8.8	10^{-5}
$B^0 ! J = {}^0K^0_S$	$1.1^{+1.9}_{-1.2}$	4.2 ± 0.3	0.9	2	$3.7^{+2.7}_{-0.7}$	< 2.5	10^{-5}

and their statistical errors. The statistical significance is defined as $\sqrt{2 \ln(L_0/L_{\text{max}})}$, where L_{max} and L_0 denote the maximum likelihood with the fitted signal yield and with the yield fixed at zero, respectively.

No significant signal is found for either the $B^+ ! J = {}^0K^+$ or $B^0 ! J = {}^0K^0_S$ decay mode. We obtain upper limits on the yield at 90% confidence level (Y_{90}) from number of observed candidates in the signal region (n_0) and b using the Feldman-Cousins method [16]. We account for systematic uncertainty due to uncertainties in the signal reconstruction efficiency and background estimate using the method of Ref. [17].

The branching fraction is determined with $N_S = [N_{BB} B(J = ! l^+ l^-) B({}^0 ! +) B({}^0 ! +)]$ for $B^+ ! J = {}^0K^+$ and $N_S = [N_{BB} B(J = ! l^+ l^-) B({}^0 ! +) B({}^0 ! +) B(K^0_S ! +)]$ for $B^0 ! J = {}^0K^0_S$. Here N_S is the signal yield, N_{BB} is the number of BB pairs. We use the world averages [13] for the branching fractions of $B(J = ! l^+ l^-)$, $B({}^0 ! +)$, $B({}^0 ! +)$ and $B(K^0_S ! +)$. The efficiencies $(\%)$ are determined from the signal MC sample with the same selection as used in the data. A three-body phase space model is employed for all three decay modes. The fractions of neutral and charged B mesons produced

TABLE II: Summary of systematic uncertainties (%) of the denominator in branching fraction calculation.

Source	$B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$	$B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$
Tracking error	7.4	8.9
PID (π and K)	2.7	1.4
Lepton ID	3.8	3.8
π^0 veto	3.0	3.0
reconstruction	4.3	4.3
K_S^0 reconstruction	–	3.7
Branching fractions	3.3	3.3
MC statistics	0.2	0.3
3-body decay model	+9.4/–11.3	+17.5/–22.8
$N_{B/B}$	1.4	1.4
Total	+14.3/–15.6	+21.4/–25.9

in $(4S)$ decays are assumed to be equal.

The sources and sizes of systematic uncertainties in branching fraction calculation are summarized in Table II. The dominant sources are uncertainties in the three-body decay model, tracking efficiency and particle identification. For the error due to uncertainty in decay modeling for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ (B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0)$, the distribution in phase space is unknown. We conservatively assign the maximum variation of efficiency among the slices of $M(J/\psi, \pi^0)$, $M(J/\psi, K)$ and $M(\pi^0, K)$ [$M(J/\psi, \pi^0)$, $M(J/\psi, K_S^0)$ and $M(\pi^0, K_S^0)$] as a systematic uncertainty. The uncertainties in the tracking efficiency are estimated by linearly adding the momentum-dependent single track systematic errors. We assign uncertainties of about 1.3% per kaon, about 0.7% per pion, and about 1.9% per lepton for the particle identification. The reconstruction uncertainty is determined by measuring the efficiency ratio between data and MC sample in the inclusive sample. The K_S^0 reconstruction is checked by comparing the ratio of $D^+ \rightarrow K_S^0 \pi^+$ and $D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^0$ yields.

The systematic errors for the background yield are evaluated by varying each of the PDF parameters by its statistical error from the fit.

In summary, we searched for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$ and $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0$ decays. No statistically significant signal was found for either of the two decay modes; upper limits for the branching fractions are determined to be $B(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) < 8.8 \times 10^{-5}$ and $B(B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K_S^0) < 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$ at 90% confidence level.

We thank the KEKB group for the excellent operation of the accelerator, the KEK cryogenics group for the efficient operation of the solenoid, and the KEK computer group and the NII for valuable computing and Super-SINET network support. We acknowledge support from MEXT and JSPS (Japan); ARC and DEST (Australia); NSFC and KIP of CAS (contract No. 10575109 and IHEP-U-503, China); DST (India); the BK21 program of MOEHRD, and the CHEP SRC and BR (grant No. R01-2005-000-10089-0) programs of KOSEF (Ko-

rea); KBN (contract No. 2P03B 01324, Poland); MIST (Russia); MHEST (Slovenia); SNSF (Switzerland); NSC and MOE (Taiwan); and DOE (USA).

-
- [1] Babar Collaboration, B. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 091801 (2001); Belle Collaboration, K. Abe et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 091802 (2001).
 - [2] Belle Collaboration, S.-K. Choi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 102001 (2002) [Erratum-ibid. 89, 129901 (2002)]; Belle Collaboration, S.-K. Choi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 262001 (2003).
 - [3] Belle Collaboration, S.-K. Choi et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 182002 (2005).
 - [4] CLEO Collaboration, A. Anastassov et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1393 (2000); Babar Collaboration, B. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 071801 (2003).
 - [5] F.E. Close et al, Phys. Rev. D 57, 5653 (1998); F.E. Close and S. Godfrey, Phys. Lett. B 574, 210 (2003).
 - [6] Babar Collaboration, B. Aubert et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 142001 (2005).
 - [7] F.E. Close and P.R. Page, Phys. Lett. B 628, 215 (2005).
 - [8] Inclusion of the charge conjugate state is implied throughout this paper.
 - [9] Belle Collaboration, A. Abashian et al, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 479, 117 (2002).
 - [10] S. Kurokawa and E. Kikutani, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 499, 1 (2003).
 - [11] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe et al, Phys. Rev. D 67, 032003 (2003).
 - [12] G.C. Fox and S. Wolfram, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 1581 (1978).
 - [13] W.-M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), Journal of Physics G 33, 1 (2006).
 - [14] Belle Collaboration, K. Abe et al, Phys. Lett. B 517, 309 (2001).
 - [15] ARGUS Collaboration, H. Albrecht et al, Phys. Lett. B 241, 278 (1990).
 - [16] G.J. Feldman and R.D. Cousins, Phys. Rev. D 57, 3873 (1998).
 - [17] J. Conrad et al, Phys. Rev. D 67, 012002 (2003).