

IKDA 95/34  
hep-ex/9512006

UNIBAB, Version 2.2:  
Monte Carlo Event Generation for  
Large Angle Bhabha Scattering  
at LEP and SLC Energies

Harald Anlauf<sup>a,by</sup>  
Hans-Dieter Dahmen<sup>b</sup>  
Panagiotis Manakos<sup>a</sup>  
Thorsten Ohl<sup>a,z</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Technische Hochschule Darmstadt  
64289 Darmstadt, Germany

<sup>b</sup> Universität Siegen  
57076 Siegen, Germany

December 1995

Abstract

This manual describes version 2.2 of the Monte Carlo event generator UNIBAB for large angle Bhabha scattering at LEP and SLC. UNIBAB implements higher order electromagnetic radiative corrections and the effects of soft photon exponentiation in a photon shower approach. Weak corrections are included through the use of an electroweak library.

---

Supported by Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie, Germany.  
<sup>y</sup>email: <anlauf@crunch.ikp.physik.th-darmstadt.de>  
<sup>z</sup>email: <ohl@crunch.ikp.physik.th-darmstadt.de>

## Program Summary:

Title of program : UNIBAB, Version 2.2 (December 1995)

Program obtainable from :  
<ftp://crunch.ikp.physik.th-darmstadt.de/pub/anlauf/unibab>

Programming language used: FORTRAN-77

Computer/Operating System : Any with a FORTRAN-77 environment

Number of program lines in distributed program , including test data,  
etc.: 9000 (Including comments)

Keywords: radiative corrections, large angle Bhabha scattering, multiphoton radiation

Nature of physical problem : Higher order leading logarithmic QED radiative corrections to large angle Bhabha scattering ( $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^- + n \gamma$ ) including weak corrections at Z energies and beyond

Method of solution: Monte Carlo event generation

Restrictions on the complexity of the problem : The program assumes dominance of the s-channel Z exchange contribution and does not include the interference between initial and final state radiation. Therefore, the program is primarily designed to be used in the vicinity of the Z peak and only for not too small and not too large scattering angles ( $10^\circ < \theta < 170^\circ$ ). With somewhat limited accuracy, the program is also useful for LEP 2 energies. For cross checks, the contributions of the t-channel diagrams may be switched on, so that the program effectively simulates  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ . However, the latter mode is not very efficient.

Typical running time: Strongly dependent on the energy and the chosen cuts. The test run took approximately 55 CPU seconds on a DEC Alpha 3000-600 running Digital Unix, 65 CPU seconds on an SG Challenge running IRIX, 80 CPU seconds on an HP 9000/735 running HP/UX, 355 CPU seconds on an IBM RS/6000-520 running AIX, and 485 CPU seconds on an Intel 486DX50 running Linux.

# 1 Introduction

The study of electroweak physics in  $e^+e^-$  collisions close to the  $Z$  resonance has proven to be extremely fruitful [1,3]. The precision measurements of the  $Z$  parameters are very powerful in constraining the as yet unknown electroweak parameters and allow to put stringent limits on potential physics beyond the standard model.

In the context of these precision measurements, small angle Bhabha scattering plays a major role because all LEP/SLC experiments use it for their luminosity measurements. Consequently, from the beginning much effort has gone into the construction of semi-analytical programs [4] and Monte Carlo event generators [5] for Bhabha scattering in the small angle regime. With improved statistics, systematic errors begin to dominate, to which the theoretical uncertainties in the calculation of cross sections give an important, by now even sometimes dominating, contribution. At the level of a required precision of the order of 0.1%, the inclusion of higher order electromagnetic corrections is indispensable and is taken care of in state-of-the-art calculations and Monte Carlo programs, as reviewed in [2,6].

In contrast, the situation for large angle Bhabha scattering is less favorable. In the vicinity of the  $Z$  peak,  $s$ -channel  $Z$  exchange and  $t$ -channel photon exchange are of similar importance, thus the calculation of radiative corrections is more involved than in the case of fermion pair production or small angle Bhabha scattering. For typical experimental cuts, the radiative corrections to large angle Bhabha scattering are numerically much larger than to small angle Bhabha scattering, and higher order electromagnetic corrections are of much higher importance. Nevertheless, large angle Bhabha scattering at LEP 1 and SLC in principle provides a sensitivity to the parameters of the electroweak sector of the Standard Model comparable to the other charged lepton final states,  $e^+e^-$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ . In the energy regime of LEP 2, the contribution of  $Z$  exchange to the Bhabha cross section is much smaller than at LEP 1/SLC, hence it can be considered essentially as a general QED test.

The state-of-the-art for large angle Bhabha scattering is reviewed in [6]. While semi-analytical calculations [7-12] provide reliable predictions for a predefined set of cuts, it is nevertheless experimentally desirable to provide a comparable precision in a full Monte Carlo event generator, which will then allow to impose arbitrary cuts, and to simulate the response of the detector to  $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$  events.

The present paper describes the implementation and status of the Monte Carlo event generator UNIBAB 2.2 that provides a level of precision comparable to semi-analytical programs. It is an update of the first published version 2.0 [13], and has been compared with other available Monte Carlo event generators (BHAGENE3 [14] and BHWIDE [15]) as well as available semi-analytical calculations [6] both for LEP 1 and LEP 2 energies.

The distinguishing feature of UNIBAB from fixed order Monte Carlo event generators

is that it handles the leading logarithmic contributions

$$-\log \frac{s}{m_e^2} \approx 6\% \quad (\text{at LEP/SLC energies}) \quad (1)$$

to the electromagnetic radiative corrections to all orders in a Monte Carlo parton shower algorithm, which includes the very important exponentiation of the soft photon contributions automatically, as well as the contributions from multiple emission of hard collinear photons. In addition, UNIBAB contains the full kinematics from multiphoton emission, since the radiated photons are generated explicitly.

The first version of the Monte Carlo generator, UNIBAB89 [16,17], was a "QED dresser" in the sense of [1], i.e. it contained only the QED corrections to an effective Born cross section. It also suffered from several serious limitations (see appendix B). In version 2.0 of UNIBAB, most of these limitations were overcome using the experiences with the Monte Carlo event generators KRONOS [18] and KROWIG [19] for deep inelastic scattering at HERA. Weak corrections are included through the use of an electroweak library.

This write-up is organized as follows: In section 2 we outline the physics underlying the algorithms implemented in UNIBAB. The actual implementation is described in section 3. The parameters controlling the execution of UNIBAB are discussed in detail in section 4, and the FORTRAN-77 interface is presented in section 5. Section 6 contains our conclusions. Distribution rules, the revision history, a listing of all external symbols and an example can be found in the appendices.

## 2 Bhabha Scattering at High Energies

In the structure function formalism [7,20{22] the factorized expression for the differential cross section for the process

$$e^+(p_+)e^-(p_-) \rightarrow e^+(p_+)e^-(p_-) + (k_1) \quad (2)$$

reads

$$\frac{d^4}{dt_+ dt_- du_+ du_-} = \int_0^1 dx_+ dx_- dy_+ dy_- (x_+; Q^2) (x_-; Q^2) D(y_+; Q^2) D(y_-; Q^2) \frac{\hat{\sigma}(\hat{t}_+; \hat{t}_-; \hat{u}_+; \hat{u}_-)}{\sigma(t_+; t_-; u_+; u_-)} \frac{d^4 \hat{\sigma}}{d\hat{t}_+ d\hat{t}_- d\hat{u}_+ d\hat{u}_-} \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{\sigma}$  is the Born level cross section of the hard process,  $(x_i; Q^2)$  are the structure functions for initial state radiation,  $D(y_i; Q^2)$  are the fragmentation functions used for final state radiation, and  $Q^2$  is the factorization scale. The invariants are defined as

follows

$$s = (p_+ + p_-)^2 \quad (4)$$

$$\hat{s} = (x_+ p_+ + x_- p_-)^2 = x_+ x_- s \quad (5)$$

$$t = p_+^2 p_-^2 \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{t} = x_+ p_+^2 \frac{p_-^2}{y} = \frac{x_+}{y} t \quad (7)$$

$$u = p_+^2 p_-^2 \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{u} = x_+ p_+^2 \frac{p_-^2}{y} = \frac{x_+}{y} u \quad (9)$$

where the electron mass has been neglected. In the absence of photon radiation we have of course  $t_+ = t_-$  and  $u_+ = u_-$ .

Here we restrict ourselves to the leading log approximation (LLA) where the structure functions  $F_2(x; Q^2)$  and  $D(x; Q^2)$  are identical. The structure functions  $D$  satisfy the evolution equation [20,23,24]

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} D(x; Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ee}(z) D\left(\frac{x}{z}; Q^2\right) \quad (10)$$

$$P_{ee}(z) = \frac{1+z^2}{1-z} \quad (11)$$

with initial condition

$$D(x; m_e^2) = (1-x) \quad (12)$$

An explicitly regularized version of (10) which is used in the Monte Carlo implementation is given by

$$Q^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial Q^2} D(x; Q^2) = \frac{1}{2} \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{ee}(z) D\left(\frac{x}{z}; Q^2\right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 dz P_{ee}(z) D(x; Q^2) : \quad (13)$$

## 2.1 The Algorithms for Multiphoton Radiation

### 2.1.1 Initial State Radiation

From (4)-(9) it is obvious that the implementation of (3) in a Monte Carlo event generator amounts to solving (13) by iteration and taking into account the energy loss in the hard cross section.

The initial state branching algorithm, which is implemented as a photon shower algorithm, has already been described in ref. [18]. It has been taken over essentially unchanged, the only modification is that we require conservation of four-momentum at every emission vertex and allow the electron momentum to take on on-shell values after initial state radiation. The on-shell momenta of the electron and positron after initial state branching are then used as the input momenta for the subgenerator of the hard Bhabha cross section. In the present version, the factorization scale used for initial state radiation is fixed to  $Q^2 = s$ .

### 2.1.2 Final State Radiation

In the vicinity of the Z peak and for not too small scattering angles, the cross section is dominated by s-channel Z exchange. Therefore we use the following approach. If we neglect interference between initial- and final-state radiation, the radiative corrections in the final state will then be well approximated by the radiative corrections to Z decay.

The differential decay rate for one-photon emission  $Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-$  is related to the decay  $Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-$  at lowest order [25]:

$$\frac{d^{(3)}}{dx_1 dx_2 d_{1/2}} = C_1(x_1; x_2) \frac{d^{(2)}}{d_{1/2}} + C_2(x_1; x_2) \frac{d^{(2)}}{d_{1/2}} \quad (14)$$

where

$$C_1(x_1; x_2) = \frac{x_1^2}{2(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}$$

$$C_2(x_1; x_2) = \frac{x_2^2}{2(1-x_1)(1-x_2)}$$

Here  $d^{(2)}$  denotes the differential decay width of Z into  $e^+ e^-$  ( $e^+ e^-$ ),  $d_{1/2}$  refers to the direction of the outgoing  $e^+$  ( $e^-$ ) in the Z rest frame, and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are the energy fractions of  $e^+$  and  $e^-$  for the radiative decay. For a more detailed description we refer the reader to [25].

It is easily verified that, in the limit of emission of soft photons or photons (almost) collinear with the outgoing fermions, eq. (14) reduces to

$$\frac{1}{d^{(2)}} \frac{d^{(3)}}{dx_1 dx_2} = s^2 \frac{x_1^2 + x_2^2}{(2p_+^0 - k)(2p_-^0 - k)}; \quad (15)$$

which exhibits the leading soft and collinear singularities that have to be resummed to all orders.

We choose the phase space boundary such that the leading logarithms are correctly reproduced,

$$1 - x_3(1 - x_3) < x_{1/2} < 1 - x_3; \quad x_3 < 1 \quad (16)$$

with

$$x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 2 :$$

The parameter  $\mu$  is therefore related to the factorization scale  $Q^2$  associated with final state radiation:

$$\ln \frac{1}{\mu^2} = \ln \frac{Q^2}{m_e^2} - 1 \quad (17)$$

In the case of multiphoton final state radiation approximations are necessary. Our main concern is the proper infrared and collinear limit of the effective radiation matrix element, plus a good approximation for the photon that carries the largest transverse momentum relative to the outgoing fermions, while we want to neglect the interference between successive emissions, and assume that the radiated photons are widely separated in phase space. One can easily see that iteration of the single photon emission algorithm satisfies these criteria and reproduces the leading logarithms, provided the following virtuality ordering conditions are met. Denote by  $x_1^{(i)}, x_2^{(i)}, i = 1, \dots, n$ , the phase space variables for the case of emission of  $n$  photons. The phase space variables are then required to satisfy

$$\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_1^{(i)}; 1 - x_2^{(i)}\} > \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_1^{(i)}; 1 - x_2^{(i)}\} > \dots > \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_1^{(n)}; 1 - x_2^{(n)}\} \quad (18)$$

which are the analogue of the ordering conditions (15) resp. (17) of ref. [18], except that they are reversed. This, together with the phase space (16), guarantees the proper normalization of the leading logarithmic terms.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{PS} \prod_{i=1}^n dx_1^{(i)} dx_2^{(i)} & \int_0^1 C_1(x_1^{(i)}; x_2^{(i)}) + C_2(x_1^{(i)}; x_2^{(i)}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 [C_1(x_1; x_2) + C_2(x_1; x_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \left( -\ln \frac{1}{2} - 2 \ln \frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} (n_f)^n \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

The algorithm for final state multiphoton radiation is thus defined as follows. i) Determine the number of photons  $n_f$  emitted from the final state according to (19). ii) In the case of single-photon emission, use distribution (14), with phase space limits (16). iii) For emission of multiple photons, iterate the algorithm for single photon radiation, taking into account the phase space conditions (18).

## 2.2 The Effective Born Amplitude

The calculation of the effective Born amplitude follows the BHM /W O H approach as described in [2,28]. The amplitude for  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow e^+ e^-$  including virtual corrections can be

cast into a form close to the lowest order amplitude:

$$A(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-) = A_s^{(\gamma)} + A_s^{(Z)} + A_t^{(\gamma)} + A_t^{(Z)} + (\text{box}) ; \quad (20)$$

where  $A^{(\gamma)}$  denotes the dressed photon,  $A^{(Z)}$  the dressed Z exchange amplitudes, and  $(\text{box})$  the terms from box diagrams, which can be essentially neglected around the Z peak.

The dressed photon exchange amplitude is written as

$$A_s^{(\gamma)} = \frac{e^2}{1 + \hat{\Pi}(s)} \frac{Q_e^2}{s} [(1 + F_V^e) F_A^e] [(1 + F_V^e) F_A^e] ; \quad (21)$$

while the Z exchange amplitude is given by

$$A_s^{(Z)} = e^2 D_Z(s) \begin{pmatrix} h & i \\ (v_e + F_V^{Ze} + Q_e \hat{\Pi}^Z(s)) & (a_e + F_A^{Ze}) \\ h & i \\ (v_e + F_V^{Ze} + Q_e \hat{\Pi}^Z(s)) & (a_e + F_A^{Ze}) \end{pmatrix} \quad (22)$$

Here  $\hat{\Pi}^Z(s) = \hat{\Pi}^Z(s) = (s + \hat{\Pi}(s))$ . For more details on the notation we refer the reader to the literature.

### 2.3 QED Virtual Corrections

Besides the weak virtual corrections that are easily included in the effective Born amplitude (20), we have to include the QED virtual corrections (vertex corrections, box corrections). In addition, we have to properly include the contributions from soft-photon emission beyond leading logs.

The method of inclusion of nonleading QED corrections in the structure function formalism is well known (see e.g. ref. [29]). However, to preserve a fully factorized form of the cross section similar to (3), which is mandated by our implementation of initial- and final-state radiation, we refrain from introducing K-factors and proceed as follows.

The effective Born amplitude is multiplied by (helicity-dependent) form-factors, as given explicitly e.g. in the appendix of ref. [7]. The photon-mass singularities in the form-factors are explicitly canceled against the soft-photon contribution. The infrared-singular parts are factored out and absorbed into the structure functions and fragmentation functions, thus uniquely determining an infrared-finite nonleading correction.

The cross section determined by this matching procedure in principle fully reproduces the  $O(\alpha_s)$  corrections in the soft limit. However, since the actual photon shower algorithm does not yet include initial-final interference, we have to drop the infrared-finite pieces of the QED boxes and corresponding terms from the soft-photon corrections for a consistent treatment.



## 2.4 Implementation of the Bhabha Cross Section

The differential cross section for the hard subprocess is implemented in a standard way, using importance sampling techniques. As a majorant function for the differential cross section, we use ( $\hat{t} = \hat{s} = (1 - \cos \theta_{cm})/2$ )

$$\frac{d}{d} (s; \theta) = A(s) + \frac{B(s)}{2} : \quad (23)$$

The functions  $A(s)$  and  $B(s)$  are chosen such that the peaking behaviour of the full cross section for small angles as well as the resonant behaviour for large angles in the vicinity of the  $Z$  peak is efficiently reproduced:

$$A(s) = \frac{4}{s^2} \frac{(s)^2}{2} \frac{g_Z^2(s)}{4} v_e^2 + a_e^2 + \frac{s}{s} \frac{M_Z^2}{s} + \frac{M_Z^2}{s^2} \quad (24)$$

$$B(s) = \frac{4}{s^2} \frac{(s)^2}{2} \frac{1}{1 + C} \frac{g_Z^2(s)}{2} v_e^2 + a_e^2 \frac{M_Z^2}{s} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\frac{g_Z^2}{2}(s) = \frac{s^2}{(s - M_Z^2)^2 + M_Z^2 \Gamma_Z^2} \quad (26)$$

Here  $g_Z(s)$  is the running QED coupling constant, and  $v_e$  and  $a_e$  denote the vector and axial vector couplings of the electron to the  $Z$ . The parameter  $C = 0.1$  has been empirically adjusted so that  $d = d_{Bom}$  is a majorant of the effective Born cross section.

The angular distribution is generated by a combined mapping and rejection algorithm with mapping function

$$\frac{A(s)}{B(s)} \frac{1}{2} = \langle \theta \rangle = \langle \theta_{max} \rangle + \langle \theta_{min} \rangle (1 - \theta); \quad (27)$$

and corresponding event weight,

$$w = \frac{d(s; \theta)}{d(s; \theta)}; \quad (28)$$

where  $d$  is calculated using the effective Born amplitude, as described in the previous sections.

## 3 Implementation of UNIBAB 2.2

Like almost all Monte Carlo event generators, UNIBAB is divided into three parts: initialization, generation, termination. These are described in this section.

### 3.1 Initialization of UNIBAB

The initializations in UNIBAB are used for computing the value of variables that will be used frequently during event generation. The primary example is the calculation of electroweak couplings, widths, and masses from a set of input parameters. To this end, the generic initialization routine `ubinit` calls the subroutine `ubigsw` which contains a simple interface to the initialization routine of the electroweak library.

Furthermore, internal steering parameters are derived from the cuts specified by the user. Finally, a standard `/hepevt/` initialization record is written [1], which can be read by the analysis program.

### 3.2 Event Generation

The routine `ubgen` produces an event on every call. The four momenta are written to a standard `/hepevt/` [1] event record, where they can be read by user supplied analyzers (the default configuration uses `hepawk` [26]). See section 5 for details on UNIBAB's use of `/hepevt/`.

After generation of the initial state radiation by the branching routine `ubbini`, a raw Bhabha event corresponding to the approximate cross section (`ubxtot`) is generated at reduced lepton energies with the corresponding angular distribution (`ubgt`). This event will be given a weight according to the ratio of the full differential cross section including weak corrections (`ubxdif`) to the approximate one (`ubxtri`).

Next, the routine `ubgppr` constructs the four-vectors of the leptons after the hard scattering in the effective c.m.s. frame. Finally, after generation of the final state radiation by the branching routine `ubbfm`, the event will be accepted in `ubgacc` if it passes the given experimental cuts, otherwise it will be rejected and the above algorithm repeated.

### 3.3 Termination

The cross section for the generated events, and its error, are obtained from the standard formulae

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) = \max_{s^0 > s_0} f(s^0) g \frac{\# \text{ of successful trials}}{\text{total \# of trials}} \quad (29)$$

$$\sigma_{\text{tot}}(s) = \max_{s^0 > s_0} f(s^0) g \frac{\sum_{\text{cut}} (\text{total \# of trials} - \# \text{ of successful trials})}{(\text{total \# of trials})^3} \quad (30)$$

(where  $s_0$  is the minimal invariant mass squared of the outgoing electron positron pair as determined from the given cuts) and placed into `/hepevt/` for inspection by the analysis program, which might use it to normalize its histograms at this point.

## 4 Parameters

The parameters controlling UNIBAB version 2.2 are summarized in table 1.

### 4.1 Electroweak Parameters

Starting with UNIBAB version 2.0, the electroweak corrections are included through calls to an electroweak library.

The electroweak library of UNIBAB 2.2 is based on the library that is distributed with ALIBABA 2.0 [7] and assumes the minimal standard model. This library is based on ref. [27], which uses the on-shell renormalization scheme [28]. The input parameters are the masses of the  $Z$  (`masslz`), the top-quark (`masslt`) and the Higgs (`masslh`). During initialization, the mass of the  $W$  (`masslw`) as well as  $\alpha_Z$  (`gammlz`) and  $\sin^2 \theta_W$  (`sin2w`) are calculated from these parameters. As of version 2.2, the electroweak library has been updated to include QCD-corrections to the  $\alpha_Z$  and the leading  $m_t^4$ -corrections to the  $\alpha_Z$ -parameter (see e.g., [2]).

UNIBAB has several switches that control the "physics" entering the hard scattering cross section. The `rst`, `tchann`, may be used to switch off the contributions of the  $t$ -channel diagrams to the cross section, which is useful for the application of the so-called "t-channel subtraction" to experimental data, and to compare with programs that describe the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$  including higher order QED corrections, like e.g. KORALZ [30]. With `tchann` set to `.false.`, the program effectively simulates the process  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ ; however, this mode of operation is not very efficient.

The switches `qedvtx` and `qedbox` control the inclusion of the finite pieces of the QED vertex and box corrections. The QED vertex corrections are enabled by default, however, as explained in section 2.3, the box corrections are disabled. The box corrections may be switched on to estimate the size of the neglected initial-state interference effects, but should not be used for physics simulations, since initial-state interference is not included for hard photon emission.

The next switch, `weak`, may be used to switch off the pure weak corrections in the electroweak library. According to standard terminology, this includes the propagator corrections to the exchanged photon and  $Z$ . It should be noted, however, that  $\alpha_Z$  as well as  $\sin^2 \theta_W$  are computed from the input parameters even if the weak corrections are switched off. A final switch, `boxes`, controls the inclusion of the pure weak box diagrams. The relative contribution of these diagrams is of the order of at most 0.1{0.2% in the energy range of LEP, whereas their computation may be quite time consuming.

### 4.2 Control of QED corrections

The photon shower algorithms are controlled by the following switches:

`isrtyp`: Key for initial state radiation

Variable name	semantics	Default value
ahpla	$1 = \alpha_{\text{QED}}$	137.0359895
massle	$m_e$	0.51099906 $10^3$ GeV
masslz	$M_Z$	91.1887 GeV
masslt	$m_t$	174.0 GeV
masslh	$M_H$	300.0 GeV
alphas	$s(M_Z)$	0.124
ebeam	e beam energy	46 GeV
epol	e beam polarization	0
ppol	$e^+$ beam polarization	0
ctsmi	minimum cos	0.9
ctsmx	maximum cos	+ 0.9
acocut	maximum $e^+e^-$ acollinearity angle	20
ecut	minimum outgoing e energy	20 GeV
evisct	minimum invariant mass of final state	0
nevent	Number of events	1000
tchann	Switch for t-channel diagrams	true.
qedvtx	Switch for QED vertex corrections	true.
qedbox	Switch for QED box corrections	false.
weak	Switch for weak corrections	true.
boxes	Switch for weak box diagrams	true.
isrtyp	Key for initial state radiation	1
fsrtyp	Key for final state radiation	3
epsiln	Internal infrared cuto	$10^{-5}$
rseed	Random number seed	54217137
errmax	maximum error count	100
verbos	verbosity	0
runid	run identification	
stdin	standard input	5
stdout	standard output	6
stderr	standard error	6

Table 1: Parameters controlling UNIBAB. These range from standard model parameters ( $M_Z; m_t; M_H; \dots$ ), over experimental cuts to purely technical parameters like input/output units.

0 Initial state radiation disabled.

1 Initial state radiation enabled, factorization scale  $Q^2 = s$ .

fsrtyp: Key for final state radiation

0 Final state radiation disabled.

1 Final state radiation enabled, factorization scale  $Q^2 = \hat{s}$  (c.f. (17)).

2 Same, but with  $Q^2 = \hat{t} - m_e$ , so that  $\ln(1 - ) = \ln(\hat{t} - m_e)$

3 Same, but with  $Q^2 = \hat{s} - m_e$

The different choices for the factorization scale for final state radiation, which are of course completely equivalent at the leading logarithmic level, can be used to estimate the effect of the missing initial-final interference effects in UNIBAB.

The remaining QED corrections (vertex, boxes) are described in subsection 4.1.

The former switch bstyle (UNIBAB versions 2.0 and 2.1) is no longer available, it has been superseded by isrtyp and fsrtyp.

### 4.3 Cuts

The region of phase space where UNIBAB generates events is controlled by specifying kinematical cuts. For the sake of simplicity and efficiency, there are five parameters:

ctsmín: minimum  $\cos \theta$  (see below),

ctsmáx: maximum  $\cos \theta$ ,

acocut: maximum acollinearity angle of the outgoing leptons,

ecut: minimum energy of either of the outgoing leptons,

eviscut: minimum invariant mass of final state.

The meaning of the angle  $\theta$  is the following. Let  $\theta_+$  and  $\theta_-$  be the angle between the outgoing positron and electron and the incoming electron beam, respectively. The angle  $\theta$  is then defined by

$$\cos \theta = \frac{\cos \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ + \theta_-)}{\cos \frac{1}{2}(\theta_+ - \theta_-)} \quad (31)$$

If all emitted photons are emitted strictly collinearly with respect to the radiating lepton, equals to the scattering angle in the partonic subsystem.

Since UNIBAB is designed for the calculation of QED corrections in the LLA in the large angle regime, the angular cuts are restricted by the requirement that the factorization scale

be the c.m.s. energy  $s$ , so that terms of the order  $\propto \log(t=s)$  and  $\propto \log(u=s)$  remain small compared to the leading logarithmic terms  $\propto \log(s=m_e^2)$ . We require (cf. eqs. (4{9}))

$$= \log(\hat{s}) ; j = \log(\hat{u}=s)j < 1\% : \quad (32)$$

Therefore, the angular cuts in UNIBAB are restricted to the range

$$0.985 \leq \cos \theta_{in} < \cos \theta_{max} \leq 0.985 ; \quad (33)$$

which corresponds to

$$10^\circ < \theta < 170^\circ \quad (34)$$

While the parameter  $ecut$  has a physical meaning, the cut  $eviscut$  has a technical interpretation and is to be understood in the following way. Due to the implementation, each radiated photon may be associated with either initial state or final state. Thus one can define an "invariant mass of the final state". It should be noted, however, that this quantity is unphysical and should be used only for optimization of the Monte Carlo speed for a given set of physical cuts. An example is the calorimetric measurement of the Bhabha cross section, where one does not discriminate between the outgoing lepton and an accompanying photon if the latter lies within a narrow cone of the former, but where one cuts on the sum of their energies.

Although most of the above-mentioned cuts have a simple physical meaning, their implementation in the Monte Carlo generator is not without problems. For strictly collinear photon emission, the relations (4{9) between laboratory variables and the variables for the hard scattering subprocess allow a straightforward derivation of the Monte Carlo's internal steering parameters from these cuts in a strict manner. If one allows for finite angles between the photon and the radiating lepton, these relations get modified, and one has to weaken the internal cuts; in fact, one cannot even derive these parameters any more in a strict manner, since the multi-particle phase space becomes too complicated. In the actual implementation, we have used empirical relations to derive these internal steering parameters from those valid for collinear emission, so that the contribution to the systematic error on inclusive observables like cross sections is well below the overall precision, which is of the order of 1%. For less inclusive observables, we would recommend that the user convinces himself by a variation of the cuts that the generated distribution does not change in the region of interest.

It should be noted that setting the lepton energy cut  $ecut$  to very small values or setting the acollinearity cut  $acocut$  close to  $180^\circ$  makes the Monte Carlo generator very slow. The latter also implies that non-logarithmic terms, which are not implemented in UNIBAB, become more important.

```

* unibabappl.f
...
call ubdcmnd ('init')           initialize the generator
...
call ubdcmnd ('generate 10000') generate 10000 events
...
call ubdcmnd ('close')          cleanup
...

```

Figure 1: FORTRAN-77 interface

#### 4.4 Monte Carlo Parameters

The remaining, more technical Monte Carlo parameters should be almost self explaining. Since our photon shower algorithm automatically includes soft photon exponentiation, the results will not depend on the value of the internal infrared cut-off  $\epsilon_{\text{soft}}$  (which is measured in units of the beam energy), provided it is kept well below the experimental energy resolution. However, it is not advisable to set it many orders of magnitude lower than the default value, because this may result in too high photon multiplicities which will overflow internal tables.

## 5 FORTRAN-77 Interface

UNIBAB version 2.2 provides two application program interfaces on different levels. The higher (preferred) level consists of the command interpreter `ubdcmnd` which accepts commands in form of character\*(\*) strings. This driver communicates with the analyzer `hepawk` [26] by default. The lower level consists of two FORTRAN-77 subroutine calls: `ubpsrv` and `unibab`.

### 5.1 Higher Level Interface

The simple commands understood by `ubdcmnd` are (here keywords are typeset in typewriter font and variables in *italics*; vertical bars denote alternatives)

`initialize`

Force initialization of UNIBAB and write an initialization record into the `/hepevt/` event record, which should trigger the necessary initializations in the analyzer.

`generate [n]`

Generate `nevent` events and call `hepawk` to analyze them. If the optional parameter `n` is supplied, `nevent` is set to its value.

`close`

Write a termination record to `/hepevt/`, which should trigger the necessary cleanups in the analyzer.

`statistics`

Print performance statistics (this is usually only useful for the UNIBAB developers, who are tuning internal parameters).

`quit`

Terminate UNIBAB without writing a termination record.

`exitbye`

Write a termination record and terminate UNIBAB.

`set variable ivaljval`

Set physical or internal parameters. See the table 1 for a comprehensive listing of all variables. For example, the command `'set ahpla 128.0'` will set the QED fine structure constant to  $1/128$ .

`print variable jall`

Print the value of physical or internal variables. Specifying the special variable `all` causes a listing of all variables known to UNIBAB.

`debug;nodebug ag`

Toggle debugging flags.

`testran`

Test the portability of the random number generator. We use a generator of the Marsaglia-Zaman variety [31], which should give identical results on almost all machines.

`banner`

Print a string identifying this version of UNIBAB.

`echo message`



```

* ubdcmnd.f
  subroutine ubdcmnd (cmdlin)
  character*(*) cmdlin
  ...
  else if (cmdlin.eq.'init')
    call unibab (0)                                initialize UNIBAB
    call hepawk ('scan')                           print initialization record
  else if (cmdlin.eq.'generate')
    do 10 n = 1, nevent
      call unibab (1)                               generate an event
      call hepawk ('scan')                           analyze the event
10    continue
  else if (cmdlin.eq.'close')
    call unibab (2)                                get total cross section, etc.
    call hepawk ('scan')                           nalize analysis
  else
    ...
  end

```

Figure 2: Event generation loop

Print message on standard output.

Unique abbreviations of the keywords are accepted, i.e. 'g 1000' generates 1000 events. The tokens are separated by blanks. Blank lines and lines starting with a # are ignored and may be used for comments. For portability, only the first 72 characters of each line are considered.

On UNIX systems UNIBAB reads default startup files .unibab in the user's home directory and the current directory, if they exist.

## 5.2 Lower Level Interface

The subroutine unibab(code) has a single integer parameter. The parameter code is interpreted as follows:

- 0: initialize the generator and write an initialization record to /hepevt/.
- 1: generate an event and store it in /hepevt/. If UNIBAB has not been initialized yet, the necessary initializations are performed, but no initialization record is written.
- 2: perform final calculations and write the results to /hepevt/.

Figure 2 displays excerpts from a simplified version of `ubdcmd` which make the correspondences between the two levels of the FORTRAN-77 interface explicit.

UNIBAB's parameters can be accessed on the lower level by the subroutine `ubpsrv` (`result`, `action`, `name`, `type`, `ival`, `rval`, `dval`, `lval`). The parameter is specified by its (lowercase) name in the character\*(\*) string `name`. The string `action` is either 'read' or 'write' corresponding to whether the parameter is to be inspected or modified. The type of the parameter ('int', 'real', 'dble', or 'lgcl') is returned in `type`, if `action` is set to 'read'; if `action`='write', the type must be specified in `type`. Depending on this type the value is passed in `ival`, `rval`, `dval`, or `lval`, respectively. The following error codes will be returned in the string `result`: '': no error, 'enoarg': invalid action, 'enoent': no such parameter, 'enoperm': permission denied, and 'enotype': invalid type.

The protection scheme implemented with this parameter handling has been described in [18]. Its main purpose is to guarantee consistency of user defined and computed parameters in the generation phase of the Monte Carlo.

### 5.3 Additional information in /hepevt/

Because UNIBAB uses since version 2.2 the standard /hepevt/ event record internally, not only stable particles with `isthep(i) = 1` will be present. Adapting the conventions of the HERWIG Monte Carlo [32], we use the following status codes

```

101: e beam ,
102: ē beam ,
103: ē e center of mass system ,
110: ē e hard scattering center of mass system ,
111: e before hard scattering,
112: ē before hard scattering,
113: e after hard scattering,
114: ē after hard scattering.
```

However, these entries have no physical significance and should never be used in any analysis. An exception to this rule are the beam particles 101 and 102, which are convenient for defining the reference frame (positive  $z$  axis pointing in the direction of the incoming electron) and are used e.g. by `hepawk` [26] for this purpose. Only the particles with status code 1 belong to the final state as predicted by UNIBAB.

## 6 Conclusions

We have presented the new version 2.2 of the Monte Carlo event generator UNIBAB for Bhabha scattering at LEP/SLC. The distinguishing feature of UNIBAB is the inclusion of higher order electromagnetic corrections, including exponentiated soft photons, in a photon shower approach. In contrast to fixed order calculations which have to be exponentiated by hand, UNIBAB handles the multiphoton effects explicitly.

## Acknowledgements

One of the authors (H.A.) would like to thank Guido Montagna and Oreste Nicrosini for stimulating discussions during the LEP 2 workshop.

## Availability

The latest release of UNIBAB is available from the authors upon request. In addition, UNIBAB is available by anonymous ftp from the directory `ftp://crunch.ikp.physik.th-darmstadt.de/pub/anlauf/unibab`. It is nevertheless recommended to notify the authors, in order to be informed of future bug fixes and enhancements.

UNIBAB is distributed in PATCHY/CMZ card format [34, 35], but machine specific and plain FORTRAN-77 versions are made available on request. A more modern (auto-compiling) and self-contained version of the Monte Carlo generator will be made available in a future release.

## Revision History

Version 2.2, December 1995

- Update of the electroweak library.

- Improved final state photon shower algorithm.

- Different choices of factorization scale for final state radiation.

- QED virtual corrections.

- Speed-up of electroweak corrections.

- Fixed minor bug in initial-state photon shower.

Version 2.1, September 1994

Beam polarization.

Version 2.0, June 1993

weak corrections included.

problems with energy non-conservation of old final-state radiation algorithm fixed.

more user-friendly: physical cuts replace "bare" Monte Carlo steering parameters.

Version 1.3, September 1992

improved form of initial state radiation, as implemented in [18].

final state radiation.

Version 1.2, July 1992

Complete internal rewrite

/hepevt/ support (also used internally now),

double precision arithmetic (which is the native floating point mode on most modern machines),

new driver program (using KRONOS [18] routines).

The physics algorithms have not changed from version 1.1. The purpose of this release is to provide a usable baseline for further improvements.

Version 1.1, September 1989

Maintenance release.

Version 1.0, July 1989

First official release.

## C C o m m o n B l o c k s a n d S u b r o u t i n e s

To avoid possible name clashes with other packages, all external symbols exported by UNIBAB proper begin with the two letters UB, except for the routine `unibab` itself and the `/hepevt/` common block. The names of the subroutines and common blocks of the provided electroweak library have been taken over unchanged.

### C o m m o n B l o c k s:

- { `/ubpcom/`: Main parameter common block, holds all physical parameters. Application programs should access this common block through the `ubpsrv` routine (cf. section 5.2).
- { `/ubcborn/`: holds the maximum Born cross section in the available energy interval.
- { `/ubcevt/`: passes the particle momenta between subroutines.
- { `/ubcmsc/`: internal parameters.
- { `/ubcsta/`: holds statistics on UNIBAB's performance.
- { `/ubctri/`: lookup table for driver keywords.

### P r i m a r y e n t r y p o i n t:

- { `unibab`: main entry point, described in section 5.2.

### B r a n c h i n g:

- { `ubbini`: initial state branching for one lepton.
- { `ubbfm`: final state radiation for one lepton.

### C r o s s s e c t i o n s:

- { `ubxdif`: differential cross section  $d\sigma/d\cos\theta$  (in pbarn). This function contains a very simple interface to the electroweak library.
- { `ubxtri`: approximate differential cross section used for mapping.
- { `ubxtot`: integral of the approximate cross section used for mapping.
- { `ubxcof`: coefficients of the approximate cross section.
- { `ubffac`: QED vertex form factors and box corrections.

### I n i t i a l i z a t i o n:

- { `ubinit`: initialize UNIBAB.

- { ubigsw: setup standard model parameters. This subroutine also calls the initialization routines of the electroweak library and transfers parameters between these two modules.
- { ubibmx: calculate the maximal Born cross section in the considered energy interval.
- { ubibn: negative Born cross section.
- { ubeeni: enters an initialization record into /hepevt/.

#### Event generation:

- { ubgen: main event generation routine.
- { ubgppr: construct four momenta of hard subprocess in the effective cm. system.
- { ubgt: generate momentum transfer in the hard subprocess.
- { ubgacc: checks if current raw event satisfies physical cuts.
- { ubeent: enter a particle in /hepevt/.
- { ubenew: start a new /hepevt/ record.
- { ubenul: zero a /hepevt/ entry.

#### Termination:

- { ubclos: write a final record to /hepevt/.
- { ubeens: enters a termination record into /hepevt/.
- { ubstat: print statistics on UNIBAB's performance.

#### Driver program :

- { ubdriv: main driver program, calling the comm and loop.
- { ubdllo: comm and loop, reading commands from the terminal or from files.
- { ubdcmd: execute a single command (cf. section 5.1).
- { ubdlxs: get the next string from the command line.
- { ubdlxd: get the next floating point number from the command line.
- { ubdlxi: get the next integer from the command line.

#### Parameter management:

- { ubpsrv: inspect or modify parameters (cf. section 5.2).
- { block data ubpini: initialize parameters with their default values.

{ ubpprn: print parameters.

General utility routines:

{ ubrgen: random number generator of the Marsaglia-Zaman type [31].

{ ubrtst: selftest routine for ubrgen.

{ ububoo: boost a four-vector.

{ ubulwr: convert string to lower case.

{ ubumin: minimize function.

{ ubumsg: print a message.

{ ubuort: construct three orthogonal three-vectors for a given z direction.

{ ubupro: project a pair of four-vectors onto mass shell.

{ ubutim: check remaining CPU time.

Keyword search (using the dynamic tries described in [36]):

{ ubtins: insert a new keyword.

{ ubtlup: look up a (possibly abbreviated) keyword.

{ ubtnew: insert a new node into the trie.

{ ubtlen: calculate length of keyword.

{ ubtc2a: convert keyword from character\*(\*) to integer(\*) .

Electroweak library entry points:

{ ewinit: initialize electroweak library.

{ eeeew: effective cross section including virtual corrections.

## References

- [1] G. Altarelli, R. Kleiss, C. Verzegnassi, editors, *Z Physics at LEP 1*, CERN 89-08, Geneva 1989.
- [2] D. Bardin, W. Hollik, G. Passarino, editors, *Reports of the Working Group on Precision Calculations for the Z Resonance*, CERN 95-03, Geneva 1995.
- [3] A. Olshchanski, *EPS Conference on High Energy Physics*, Brussels, July 1995.
- [4] W. Beenakker, F. A. Berends, S. C. van der Marck, *Nucl. Phys. B* 355 (1991) 281.
- [5] S. Jadach, E. Richter-Was, B. F. L. Ward, *Z. Was, Comp. Phys. Comm.* 70 (1992) 305.
- [6] S. Jadach, O. Nicrosini, convenors, *Report of the Subgroup on "Event Generators for Bhabha Scattering"*, CERN Workshop on Physics at LEP 2, CERN Yellow Report, to appear in 1996.
- [7] W. Beenakker, F. A. Berends, S. C. van der Marck, *Nucl. Phys. B* 349 (1991) 323.
- [8] M. Martinez, R. Miquel, *Z. Phys. C* 53 (1992) 115;  
P. Comas, R. Martinez, *Z. Phys. C* 58 (1993) 15.
- [9] D. Bardin et al., *FORTTRAN program ZFITTER*, CERN-TH. 6443/92, hep-ph/9412201; *Z. Phys. C* 44 (1989) 493, *Nucl. Phys. B* 351 (1991) 1, *Phys. Lett. B* 255 (1991) 290.
- [10] G. Montagna, F. Piccinini, O. Nicrosini, G. Passarino, R. Pittau, *Comp. Phys. Comm.* 76 (1993) 328;  
G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, G. Passarino, F. Piccinini, R. Pittau, *Nucl. Phys. B* 401 (1993) 3;  
G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, G. Passarino, F. Piccinini, CERN-TH. 7463/94, to appear in *Comp. Phys. Comm.*
- [11] M. Cacciari, G. Montagna, O. Nicrosini, F. Piccinini, *Comp. Phys. Comm.* 90 (1995) 301.
- [12] M. Cao, H. Czyz, E. Remiddi, *Nuovo Cim.* 105A (1992) 277, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* 4 (1993) 591, *Phys. Lett. B* 327 (1994) 369, and program BHAGEN 95, in preparation.
- [13] H. Anlauf, H. D. Dahmen, P. Manakos, T. Mannel, H. Meinhart, T. Ohl, *Comp. Phys. Comm.* 79 (1994) 466.



- [14] J.H. Field, Phys. Lett. B 323 (1994) 432;  
J.H. Field, T. Riemann, "BHAGENE3 a Monte Carlo Event Generator for Lepton Pair Production and Wide Angle Bhabha Scattering in  $e^+e^-$  Collisions near the Z Peak", UGVA-DPNC 1995/6-166, DESY 95-100, to be published in Comp. Phys. Comm.
- [15] S. Jadach, W. Placzek, B.F.L. Ward, "BHWIDE 1.00:  $O(\alpha_s^2)$  YFS exponentiated Monte Carlo for Bhabha scattering at wide angles for LEP/SLC and LEP2", University of Tennessee preprint UTHEP-95-1001 (unpublished).
- [16] H.D. Dahnén, P. Manakos, T. Mannel, T. Ohl, Preprint IKDA 89/28, SI 89-8, June 1989 (unpublished).
- [17] H.D. Dahnén, P. Manakos, T. Mannel, T. Ohl, Z. Phys. C 50 (1991) 75.
- [18] H. Anlauf, H.D. Dahnén, P. Manakos, T. Mannel, T. Ohl, Comp. Phys. Comm. 70 (1992) 97.
- [19] T. Ohl, DESY 92-097, July 1992 (unpublished).
- [20] E.A. Kuraev, V.S. Fadin, Yad. Fiz. 41 (1985) 733.
- [21] G. Altarelli, G. Martinelli, in J. Ellis, R. Peccei (eds.), Physics at LEP, CERN 86-02, Geneva 1986.
- [22] W. Beenakker, F.A. Berends, W.L. van Neerven, Contribution to the Ringberg workshop, April 1989.
- [23] L.N. Lipatov, Yad. Fiz. 20 (1974) 181.
- [24] G. Altarelli, G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126, (1977) 298.
- [25] R. Kleiss, Phys. Lett. B 180 (1986) 400.
- [26] T. Ohl, Comp. Phys. Comm. 70 (1992) 120, and IKDA 95/15, hep-ex/9504007, April 1995 (unpublished).
- [27] W. Beenakker, Ph.D. thesis, University of Leiden, 1989.
- [28] W. Hollik, Fortsch. Phys. 38 (1990) 165.
- [29] M. Greco, O. Nicrosini, Phys. Lett. B 240 (1990) 219.
- [30] S. Jadach, B.F.L. Ward, Z. Was, Comp. Phys. Comm. 66 (1991) 276.
- [31] G. Marsaglia, A. Zaman, W.-W. Tsang, Stat. Prob. Lett. 9 (1990) 35.

- [32] G .M archesini, B .R .W ebber, et. al., Comp. Phys. Comm . 67 (1992) 465.
- [33] R .K leiss, Nucl. Phys. B 347 (1990) 67.
- [34] H .J. K lein, J. Zoll, PATCHY Version 4.13, Reference Guide, CERN , Geneva 1988.
- [35] CM Z Version 1.41 User's Guide & Reference Manual, CodeM E S A R L ., St Genis-Pouilly (France), 1993.
- [36] J. A .D undas III, Software{P ractice and Experience, 21 (1991) 1027.
- [37] R .B run, D .L ienhard, HBOOK Version 4, User Guide, CERN , Geneva 1987.

## Test Run

UNIBAB version 2.2 is distributed together with a sample command file and hepawk script, which are given below. To run this example, the user will need to link UNIBAB with the CERN library, because histogramming is done by HBOOK [37].

The file sample.unibab is read from standard input (unit stdin, which is initialized to 5), and sample.hepawk is read from the file SCRIPT (i.e. under MVS from the file which has been allocated to the DDNAME SCRIPT and under UNIX from the file script or from the value of the environment variable SCRIPT).

After UNIBAB has been successfully built and linked with hepawk on a supported UNIX system, running either make check or make test should validate the build.

### sample.unibab

Here is a sample UNIBAB command file, setting up parameters and generating 10000 events.

```
# sample.unibab -- sample UNIBAB command file

# kinematical cuts (e+e-)
set ctsmin -0.8
set ctsmax  0.8
set ecut    20
set acocut  30
set ebeam   48

# run
init
gen 10000
close
quit
```

## sample.hepawk

This is a small hepawk script that plots the acollinearity distribution for Bhabha events, for which the acollinearity is larger than 0.01 radians.

```
# sample.hepawk -- sample HEPAWK analyzer for UNIBAB.
```

```
BEGIN
```

```
{
    printf ("\nWelcome to the UNIBAB test:\n");
    printf ("*****\n\n");
    printf ("Monte Carlo Version: %s\n", REV);
    printf ("                Run: %d\n", RUN);
    printf ("                Date: %s\n\n", DATE);

    lower_angle_cut = 20/DEG;
    upper_angle_cut = PI - lower_angle_cut;
    minimum_acollinearity_cut = 0.01;

    h_acollinearity
        = book1 (0, "electron acollinearity", 50, 0, PI/6);

    incut = 0;                # initialize counter
}

{
    # Collect the outgoing electron and positron
    $electron = $positron = $NULL;
    for (@l in LEPTONS)
        if (@l:id == _pdg_electron)
            $electron = @l:p;
        else if (@l:id == - _pdg_electron)
            $positron = @l:p;
    }

    lower_angle_cut <= angle ($electron, @B1:p) <= upper_angle_cut
    && lower_angle_cut <= angle ($positron, @B1:p) <= upper_angle_cut
    {
        # sample the acollinearity, but cut out Born-like events
        acollinearity = PI - angle ($electron, $positron);
        if (acollinearity > minimum_acollinearity_cut)
        {
            incut++;
            fill (h_acollinearity, acollinearity);
        }
    }
}
```

```

    }
}

END
{
    # Dump some numbers
    printf ("\nRESULTS:\n");
    printf ("*****\n\n");
    printf ("generated events:   %d, generated cross section: %g nb\n",
            NEVENT, XSECT * 1e6);
    printf ("events within cuts: %d, cross section:           %g nb\n",
            incut, (incut/NEVENT) * XSECT * 1e6);

    printf ("\nHISTOGRAMS:\n");
    printf ("*****\n\n");
    scale (XSECT/NEVENT*1e6);
    plot (); # plot the histograms

    printf ("\ndone.\n");
}

```

## sample.output

The following output should result from the input les above, modulo small roundo errors.

ubdcmnd: message: Starting UNIBAB, Version 2.01/08, (build 951206/1241)

hepawk: message: starting HEPAWK, Version 1.6

Welcome to the UNIBAB test:

\*\*\*\*\*

Monte Carlo Version: v02.01 (Dez 06 00:00:00 1995)

Run: 1035996352

Date: Dez 06 13:07:00 1995

RESULTS:

\*\*\*\*\*

generated events:	10000,	generated cross section:	.2484	nb
events within cuts:	5904,	cross section:	.1467	nb

HISTOGRAMS:

\*\*\*\*\*

1electron acollinearity

HBOOK ID = 1

DATE 06/12/95

1.36	I	
1.32	I	I
1.28	0	IO
1.24	I	II
1.2		OI
1.16		I
1.12		I
1.08	II	0
1.04	00	I
1	II	
.96	I	
.92	I I	
.88	II0	
.84	00I	
.8	II	I

