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#### Abstract

Three-dim ensional scalar electrodynam ics, with a local U (1) gauge sym m etry, is believed to be dualto a scalar theory w ith a globalU (1) sym m etry, near the phase transition point. The con jectured duality leads to de nite predictions for the scaling exponents of the gauge theory transition in the type II region, and allows thus to be scrutinized em pirically. W e review these predictions, and carry out num erical lattice $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ easurem ents to test them : a num ber of exponents, characterising the two phases as well as the transition point, are found to agree w ith expectations, supporting the con jecture. W e explain why som e others, like the exponent characterising the photon correlation length, appear to disagree w ith expectations, unless very large system sizes and the extrem e vicinity of the transition point are considered. Finally, we rem ark that in the type I region the duality im plies an interesting quantitative relationship betw een a m agnetic ux tube and a 2-dim ensional non-topological soliton.


## 1. Introduction

D ualties constitute one of the very few analytic tools available for studying non-perturbative properties of system $s$ w ith $m$ any degrees of freedom. T hey have found applications in w idely di erent physical settings, ranging from spin models to quantum eld and string theories. A dually transform ation typically m aps topological defects to fundam ental elds, and vice versa, and can therefore translate a non-perturbative problem to a solvable perturbative one, either in the sam e or in a di erent theory.

In som e spin $m$ odels, the duality transform ation can be carried out explicitly, $m$ apping the partition function of one theory to that of another (see, e.g., Refs. [1] $\overline{1}]\left\{\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]\right.$ ) T he duality is therefore a $m$ athem atical identity, and one can see exactly how the param eters and observables of the two theories relate to each other. Interacting continuum eld theories, on the other hand, are generally fairly di cult to treat exactly. O ne reason is that they contain uctuations on $m$ any di erent length scales, which do not decouple from each other, and this leads, am ong other things, to ultraviolet divergences. T he duality $m$ ay then be approxim ate rather than exact, and precisely valid only in a certain lim it in the param eter space.

O ne way to lim it the e ect of ultraviolet divergences is to low er the dim ensionality, and for instance the sine-G ordon and $T$ hirring $m$ odels in $1+1$ dim ensions have fam ously been show $n$
 is to consider supersym $m$ etric theories, where they are weak or even absent. For instance, the
 electric charges and $m$ agnetic $m$ onopoles. R ecently there has also been a great dealof interest in dualities betw een the large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \lim$ it of four-dim ensional $\mathrm{N}=4$ super-Yang -M ills theory and string theory in ve-dim ensional anti-de $S$ itter background [10 $\bar{O}_{1}^{1}$ ].

C learly, it would be interesting to extend a quantitative understanding of dualities to$w$ ards dim ensions closer to the physical $3+1$, or to less supersym $m$ etric theories, in order to approach, for instance, a consolidation of the 't H ooft M andelstam dual superconductor picture of con nem ent in QCD (for a recent review, see Ref. [1] $\overline{1} 1])$. So far, how ever, rather few exam ples are available in these directions. In this paper, we study one of them, a duality between two non-supersymmetric continuum eld theories, nam ely scalar electrodynam ics (SQED ) and com plex scalar eld theory (SF T ), in three Euclidean dim ensions [ $T$ he duality $m$ aps the Coulomb and H iggs phases of SQED to the broken and sym m etric phases of SFT, respectively. The microscopic details of the theories are not dual to each other, but as one approaches the transition point, the duality should becom e a better and better approxim ation at long distances. In principle, the duality becom es exact at the transition point (in the so-called type II region), and also describes correctly the approach to the transition point.

The nature of the duality becom es $m$ ore transparent if one considers the theories in $2+1$ dim ensionalM inkow ski space. Then the duality $m$ aps the fundam ental elds of one theory to vortiges of the other: the vortex lines can be understood as world lines of particles in the
dualtheory. O ne can easily $m$ ake som e elem entary observations that hint tow ards the duality. First, both the C oulom b phase of SQ ED and the broken sym m etry phase of SF T have one $m$ assless degree of freedom, nam ely the photon and the $G$ oldstone $m$ ode, respectively [1] $\bar{q}]$, $w$ hile in the other phase these degrees of freedom go over into tw o degenerate $m$ assive $m$ odes, in both cases. Furtherm ore, both the interaction betw een vortices in SF $T$ and the C oulom b interaction betw een electric charges in SQED have the sam e logarithm ically con ning form. In contrast, the A brikosov-N ielsen-O lesen vortioes in the type II region of SQ ED and the fundam ental particles of SF T w ith a positive quartic coupling, have an exponentially decreasing repulsive Yukaw a interaction.

A full duality would, of course, be a stronger statem ent than sim ply a counting of the degrees of freedom, and $m$ ean that the two theories have identical dynam ics as quantum system s . If all the operators allow ed by the sym $m$ etries were kept in the two theories and one knew exactly the $m$ apping between their param eters, the dually would predict that for any observable there is a corresponding observable in the dual theory w th the sam e value. For instance, the $m$ ass spectra of the tw o theories should be identical.

In practice, how ever, one of the theories in question is truncated by dropping an in nite series of high-dim ensional operators, and the exact $m$ apping betw een the param eters related to the operators kept is not known, because there is no supersym $m$ etry to cancel radiative corrections. Therefore, the best w ay to see the duality is to calculate universalquantities such as critical exponents, which describe the scaling properties of the system as the transition point is approached. M any critical exponents of SFT are known to a high accuracy [2] ${ }_{2}^{1}$ ], because the theory is in the sam e universality class as the three-dim ensionalX Y model. T he dually predicts that each of these exponents has a dual counterpart in SQ ED , which should have exactly the sam e value? ${ }^{?}$

In this paper, we invoke previously developed num erical techniques to study topological defects $[2$ ical exponents w ith lattioe M onte C arlo sim ulations in the type II region, and com pare them w ith predictions follow ing from the duality conjecture. T he purpose is to dem onstrate that these techniques yield results precise enough to serve as very non-trivial checks of this conjecture. T he paper is organ ised as follow s. In Sec.i ${ }_{2}$, , we form ulate the duality transform ation and show how it relates the critical exponents of the two theories. W e describe our num erical sim ulations and present their results in Sec. interesting qualitative $m$ anifestations of the duality con jecture in the case of a $m$ acroscopic extemalm agnetic eld, both in the type I and in the type II regions of SQ ED, although we have not carried out any new simulations for this situation. Finally, we discuss our ndings and present our conclusions in Sec. ${ }_{1}^{15} .1$.

[^0]2. D uality

### 2.1. B asic setup

The gauge theory under investigation, three-dim ensional (3d) SQ ED, is form ally de ned by

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{S Q E D} & =\frac{1}{4} F_{k I}^{2}+\left(D_{k}\right)\left(D_{k}\right)+m^{2}+()^{2} ;  \tag{2.1}\\
Z_{S Q E D} & =D_{k} D \quad D \quad \exp \quad{ }_{x} L_{S Q E D} ; \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{k l}=@_{k} A_{l}{ }_{R} @_{A_{k}}, D_{k}=@_{k}+i e A_{k}, k ; l=1 ; 2 ; 3$, repeated indioes are assum ed to be sum $m$ ed over, and ${ }_{x} \quad d^{3} x$. This theory is super-renom alisable, $w$ ith the only divergences appearing in the param eter $\mathrm{m}^{2}$. U nless otherw ise stated, we assum e $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ to denote the bare param eter, and the theory to be regulated, for the $m$ om ent, $w$ ith dim ensional regularisation. $N$ ote that all the argum ents that follow are non-perturbative in nature, and there is thus no need for gauge xing in Eq. $\left(\overline{2} \mathbf{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$.

W hile the duality relation can be expressed explicitly in a certain deform ation of SQED, nam ely when the theory is discretised and the so-called London lim it (a! 1 , where a
 continuum theory ofEqs. $\left(\overline{2}-\bar{I}_{1}\right),(\underline{2}-2)$, where is nite and a! 0. In fact, the phase diagram of SQED is even qualitatively di erent from that of the London lim it, where the transition is of the second order. In SQED the transition is of the rst order if the ratio $=e^{2}$ is sm all [ַ̄]_] (the type I region), and is believed to be of the second order for a large $=e^{2}$ above the B ogom olny point (the type II region). This belief is based, apart from the apparent vicinity of the London lim it, on 3d renorm alisation group studies $\left.\overline{2}_{-1}^{7}\right]\left\{\left[\bar{\beta}_{1}^{0}\right]\right.$ previous lattice


To now form ulate the duality con jecture, let us choose a speci c set of observables to act as probes for the properties of the system. De ning a magnetic eld through

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i}(x) \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad{ }_{i j k} F_{j k}(x) ; \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we w illm ostly consider ob jects related to the two-point function (see also Refs. [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k l}(x \quad y) \quad{ }^{D} \quad B_{k}(x) B_{1}(y)^{E}: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To com pute this kind of ob jects, let us generalise Eq. (2-2) and de ne
where $H_{i}(x)$ is an arbitrary source function. The ob ject in Eq. $\left.\overline{2} \overline{2}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is in principle well de ned for a com plex $H_{i}(x)$, but in the follow ing we restrict ourselves to a stripe in the com plex plane

[^1]where $H_{i}(x)$ consists of a real constant part (or zero-m ode), representing a physical extemal $m$ agnetic eld strength, and a purely im aginary space-dependent part, used as a probe to de ne various $G$ reen's functions. (If one wishes, the zerom ode could also be considered to be purely im aginary to start w ith, and analytically continued to realvalues only in the end, at least as long as the volum e is nite.) The reason for this choige is that it tums out to sim plify the form of the corresponding SF T, w ithout posing any restrictions on the physical observables that we can address. The correlator of Eq. $\overline{2}$. second functional derivative of $\ln Z_{S Q E D}\left[H_{i}\right]$, and setting $H_{i}=0$ afterw ards.

T hebasic observation behind the duality is that SQ ED allow s to de ne an exactly conserved \charge", the $m$ agnetic ux through som e surface. This conservation law can be expressed in a local form by noting that, identically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{i} B_{i}(x)=0: \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, there should exist som e global sym m etry for which $B_{i}$ is the $N$ oether current [1d d. $T$ his global sym $m$ etry should be broken in the \norm al" C oulom b phase where the $U$ (1) gauge sym $m$ etry is restored, the $m$ assless photon representing the $G$ oldstone boson [1] $\overline{1} 1]$. In the \superconducting" H iggs phase w here the $U$ (1) gauge sym $m$ etry is broken, on the other hand, the global sym $m$ etry should be restored, and the particle num ber of SF T, representing the num ber of A brikosov-N ielsen-O lesen vortices in SQ ED, locally conserved.

If we were to stick to the C oulom b phase only, which has a single exactly m assless degree of freedom, then the fact that an e ective description exists can be $m$ ade rather rigorous, follow ing the general argum ents for e ective theories transition point, how ever, other (non-G oldstone) degrees of freedom also becom e m assless, and the situation is less clear.
$T$ he assertion of the duality con jecture is that $\left.Z_{S Q E D} \mathbb{H}_{i}\right]$ equals the partition function of a scalar eld theory (SFT), w th explicit global U (1) sym m etry and a m ass param eter m ${ }^{2}$ which is (alm ost) the reverse of $\mathrm{m}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}=c_{0} \quad q m^{2}+:::: \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{1}$ is dim ensionless and positive, and $c_{0}$ is constrained by the requirem ent that (w ith a given regularisation), $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}=\mathrm{c}_{0} \quad \mathrm{qm}{ }_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}+:::$, where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} ; \mathrm{m}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}$ are the values of the $m$ ass param eters at the respective transition points. The quartic coupling $\sim$ of SF $T$ is also constrained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim=d_{0}+d_{1}+::: ; \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $d_{1}$ is dim ensionless and positive, and $d_{0}$ is constrained by the requirem ent that ${ }_{c}=$ $d_{0}+d_{1} c^{+}:::$, where $c$ is the \tricritical" value separating the type I and type II regions in SQED $[\overline{1} \bar{G}]$, while $\sim_{c}=0$ is the value at which scalar particles tum from attractive to
repulsive in $\mathrm{SF} \mathrm{T}{ }_{\mathbf{L}}^{31} \mathrm{~T}$ The con jecture then reads that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{Z}_{\text {SQED }}\left[\mathbb{H}_{i}\right]=\mathrm{Z}_{\text {SFT }} \mathbb{H}_{i}\right] ; \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the form in which $H_{i}$ appears in the partition function $Z_{S F T}$ rem ains to be determ ined.
In order to $m$ ake progress, let us note that the sym $m$ etry underlying the duallity can be considered to be a local one, if we assign a transform ation law also to the source eld $H_{i}$ (cf., e.g., the analogous procedure in the case of chiralsym m etry in Q CD;Ref. $[\underline{3} \overline{\underline{9}}]$ and references therein). Indeed, we can allow, in general, the source term $H_{i}$ to change by a local total derivative, since this leaves $Z_{S Q E D}$ invariant, due to Eq. (2...), provided that the boundary integral em erging vanishes. Let us inspect this issue in som em ore detail.

To understand the signi cance of the boundary term, let us place the system in a nite box of volum e V, and choose boundary conditions such that all physical elds, like $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{x})$, are periodic, in order to preserve translational invariance. In accordance with our choige for the analytic structure of $H_{i}(x)$, the transform ation property of $H_{i}$ has now to be purely im aginary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{i}(x)!H_{i}^{0}(x)=H_{i}(x) \quad i Q_{i}(x) ; \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ( $x$ ) is an arbitrary real function, de ned, up to a so far un xed proportionality constant, to be the generator of the local $U(1)$ sym $m$ etry transform ation. T he partition function de ned in Eq. (2, 2.5 ) has in fact a larger sym m etry than $U(1)$, corresponding to a complex function (x), but aswe shall see, it is only transform ations of the type in Eq. (2.10) which $m$ atch the properties of the $U(1)$ sym m etry on the side of SFT.Now, since ( $x$ ) is not directly a physical eld, we can in generalconsider the possibility that itsboundary conditions are not strictly periodic, but are non-periodic in som e direction, by an am ount which we call
for the m om ent. T his leads, in what one m ight call \large gauge transform ations", to

$$
\left.Z_{S Q E D}\left[\mathbb{H}_{i}^{0}\right]=Z_{S Q E D} \mathbb{H}_{i}\right] \exp i^{Z} \quad d^{2} s \quad \begin{align*}
& i  \tag{2.11}\\
& i
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral is over that boundary of the box at which $(x)$ is discontinuous by . W e observe that if we choose $=m e$, where $m$ is an integer, and the usual ux quantisation condition $e^{R} d^{2} s \quad B=2 n$, w ith $n$ an integer, then the system is indeed fully invariant $w$ ith in each \topological" sector, characterised by $n$. (T he whole partition function contains a sum over all the sectors). In the follow ing we set $m$ to its low est non-trivial value, $m=1$, so that the discontinuity is $=e$.

On the SFT side, then, we assum e the sym m etry transform ation generated by (x) to operate explicitly on the dual eld variable, ~:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sim!\sim 0=e^{\text {ie } \sim} \sim!\quad \sim 0=e^{\text {ie } \sim} \sim \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where we have introduced a proportionality constant e. We now see, rst of all, that e is de ned only modulo 2 . Therefore, boundary conditions can also only be im posed module 2 fore, and we obtain a relation to the constant introduced above,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
e=\frac{2}{e}=\frac{2}{e}: \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Furtherm ore, since $\left.Z_{S F T} \mathbb{H}_{i}\right] m$ ust be invariant under the local transform ation of Es . $(2.10)$, [2.12) like $Z_{S Q E D}\left[H_{i}\right]$ is, we can nally $x$ its structure:

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{S F T}\left[H_{i}\right]=D{ }^{\sim} D^{\sim} \exp \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SFT}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right) ; \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kl}} \quad @_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}} \quad @_{1} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}$.
Inspecting Eq. (2, 1 Choosing $H_{k}$ a real constant and coordinates so that $H_{k} \in 0$ only in one direction, say $x_{3}$,
 just the Euclidean path integral expression (cf., e.g., R ef. [ $[\underline{[0]}]$ ) for a com plex SF T w th the chem icalpotential $=\mathrm{eH}_{3}$ related to the conserved current

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{J}_{\mathrm{k}} \quad 2 \operatorname{Im}\left[@_{\mathrm{k}} \sim\right]=\sim_{1} @_{\mathrm{k}} \sim_{2} \quad \sim_{2} @_{\mathrm{k}} \sim_{1} ; \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have w ritten $\underset{R}{\sim}$ in term s of real com ponents as $\sim\left(\sim_{1}+i \sim{ }_{2}\right)={ }^{p} \overline{2}$. The corresponding total particle num ber $\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{J}} 3$ thus represents in SF T the sam e object as to which $=\mathrm{eH}_{3}$ couples in SQED, nam ely the integer-valued conserved $u x(e=2)^{R} d^{2} s \quad B$, where ${ }^{2} s$ is the spatial volum e elem ent.

As we have w ritten SFT in Eq. (2.14), it only contains a few term S . In principle, how ever, Eq. (2.14) should include an in nite series of (gauge invariant) higher order operators, starting with $\left(\sim^{\sim}\right)^{3}$. In the type II region ( $\left.\sim>0\right)$, they are just at $m$ ost $m$ arginal, and do not m odify any of the critical exponents at the transition point. In other words, their contributions are suppressed by som e power of $M=$, where $M$ denotes the dynam icalm ass scales inside the truncated action of Eq. (2,i4), while $e^{2}$ is a lcon nem ent" scale related to excitations w ithin 3d SQED that rem ain non-critical at the transition point. In the type I region ( $\sim<0$ ), on the other hand, the higher order operators are im portant. In fact, $\sim 0$ together w ith a positive ( $\sim \sim)^{3}$ tem provides just the usualprototype for a rst order phase transition, as is the case in the type I region. In m ost of the discussion that follow $s$, we consider the type II region, and can thus ignore the higher order operators. T hese argum ents also im plicitly assum e that the extemalm agnetic eld $e_{k}{ }_{k}$ is $\backslash$ sm all", i.e. at $m$ ost of the sam e order of $m$ agnitude as the dynam ical $m$ ass scale $M$. Note that for a constant $\mathrm{eH}_{\mathrm{k}}$, term $s$ like $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{kl}}^{\sim 2}$ vanish.

At the end of the day, the extemal source eld $H_{i}$ is often set to zero, and in that case, the Lagrangian $L_{S F T}$ of Eq. (214) simpli es to the standard one,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{SFT}}=@_{\mathrm{k}} \sim @_{\mathrm{k}} \sim+\mathrm{mr}^{2 \sim \sim}{ }^{\sim} \sim(\sim \sim)^{2}+:::: \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general form in Eq. (2,in) is still im portant, how ever, because typical predictions of the
 setting $H_{i}$ ! 0 afterw ards. In particular, the second functional derivative produces for our m ain probe, Eq. (2, $\left.\mathrm{E}_{2}^{-1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{k 1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
x & y
\end{array}\right)={\frac{}{2} \ln Z_{\text {SQED }}\left[\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right]}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{l}}(\mathrm{y})}^{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=0} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the expectation values on the right-hand side are evaluated with the Lagrangian of
 to contribute to \contact" term sonly, (x y), but it is signi cant if the corresponding susceptibility (integral over all space of the two-point correlation function) is considered, which thus is not determ ined by the dual theory alone. N on-contact term s , on the other hand, are fully predicted in term sof the param eters of Eq. $\overline{\left(2-1 \eta_{1}\right)}$.

To conclude, we should reiterate that in certain lim its, for instance when SQED is replaced with a \frozen superconductor", or integer valued gauge theory, relations of the type in Eq. $(2,-18)$ can be $m$ ade exact, for any values of the param eters (the only one being the inverse tem perature in that case) $[\underline{[ }, \underline{2} \overline{2}]$ ]. In our case, on the other hand, the relations betw een the param eters are largely open, and the param eters even get renorm alised di erently in the two theories. It is only the infrared properties of the theory, that is correlations of the type in Eq. $(\overline{2}-18)$ at non-zero distances and close to the transition point, which can be


### 2.2. G eneral structure of the photon two-point correlator

De ning now the Fourier transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k}(p) \quad{ }_{x}^{z} e^{i p x_{B}}(x) ; \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

the ob ject we will m ostly consider is the Fourier transform of Eq. (2.4),

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k 1}(p) \quad \frac{1}{V}{ }^{D} B_{k}(p) B_{1}(p)^{E}={ }_{x}^{Z} e^{i p(y x)^{D}} B_{k}(x) B_{1}(y)^{E} \quad k 1 \quad \frac{p_{k} p_{1}}{p^{2}} G(p) ; \tag{220}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $V$ is the volum $e$. $W$ e choose to $m$ easure the correlator in the $x_{3}$-direction and use a $m$ om entum transverse to that direction, $p \quad p$ (for instance, $p=2 n \hat{i}=L$, where $\hat{i}$ is the unit vector in the $x_{1}$-direction, $L$ is the linear extent of the system, and $n$ is an integer), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{33}(\mathrm{p})=\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{p}): \tag{221}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general structure of $G(p)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=\frac{p^{2}}{p^{2}+(p)} ; \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, on the tree-level in SQED, the selfenergy is just a constant, (p) $=\mathrm{m} \underset{\mathrm{V}}{2}$, with $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{V}}$ the inverse of the vector (or photon) correlation length. M ore generally, we expect that close to the critical point,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (p) } m^{2}+A \dot{p} \mathfrak{j}^{2}+O(\dot{p} \dot{j}) ; \tag{223}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is som e (dim ensionfiul) constant, is the anom alous dim ension, and $>2$.
Taking a Fourier transform of Eq. (2-18), ${ }^{R} e^{i p(y x)}(:::)$, we obtain now a prediction based on dually for the observable of our interest, $G(p)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p)=Z p^{2}+2 e^{2} h^{\sim} \sim_{i} e^{2} \frac{1}{V}^{D} \tilde{J}_{3}(p)_{3}(p)^{E}+:::: \tag{2,24}
\end{equation*}
$$

D E
T he non-trivial ob ject here, $\tilde{J}_{3}(\mathrm{p})^{J_{3}}(\mathrm{p})$, has properties determ ined by SFT alone, and leads thus to a de nite structure of $G(p)$, including corrections to scaling, etc. Form ulating Eq. (2 $2 \overline{2} \overline{4})$ in such an explicit form is, as far as we know, a new result in the present context.

In principle, Eq. (2-2 $2-2$ by inserting the num erically m easured properties of SF T on the right-hand side. In practice, this is not quite straightforw ard, because the relations of the param eters are not xed by the con jecture. W hat can be done, how ever, is to use Eq. (2-2 4 ) to obtain predictions for various critical exponents, since such predictions are param eter-free. SF $T$ is known to be in the sam e universality class as the three-dim ensionalXY model, many critical exponents of which are know n num erically very well [2]

### 2.3. C ritical exp onents

W hile SQ ED in the type II region allow s to de ne a large num ber of criticalexponents, we w ill in this paper restrict only to a few of them, related in one way or the other to the $\backslash m$ agnetic" properties of the theory, such as in Eq. (2.4). T he reason is that the corresponding observables can be $m$ easured w ith controllable statistical and system atic errors, thanks to various num erical techniques introduced in Refs. [2] $\overline{2}]\{[\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$, and that analytic predictions for these observables, follow ing from the duality conjecture (through Eq. (2 2 W e start by considering the sym $m$ etric phase.

Sym m etric phase: m agnetic perm eability. M agnetic perm eability can be de ned by considering a constant source $H_{3} \quad H$, and the response of the $m$ agnetic eld strength B $V^{1}{ }_{x} B_{3}(x)$ to $H$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{@ B}{@ H}=\frac{1}{V} \frac{@^{2}}{@ H^{2}} \ln Z_{S Q E D}=\lim _{p!0} G(p): \tag{2,25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the free A belian theory we would have $H=B$ and $=1$, as can be seen by setting ! 0 in Eq. (2-22), or directly by starting from the free energy $F(B)=\frac{1}{2} B^{2} V . W$ hen interactions


$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \frac{\mathrm{e}^{2}}{24} \frac{m^{2}()}{m^{2}}+::: ; \tag{226}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{m}^{2}()$ is the renorm alised $m$ ass param eter in dim ensional regularisation (in the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ schem e , for concreteness). Thus, decreases as we approach the transition point $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( ) 0.

To understand the critical behaviour of , note that in the broken phase of SFT, the
 $\mathrm{h}^{\sim} \sim_{i} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{p}^{2}$, and consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\mathrm{p}!\mathrm{m}_{0} \mathrm{H}_{3}(\mathrm{p})_{3}(\mathrm{p}) \mathrm{i}=0:} \tag{227}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the dually in Eq. (2-24i) predicts that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 e^{2} h^{\sim} \sim_{i}: \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Essentially the sam e argum ent was presented recently by Son ${ }^{2}$-d]: he considered an e ective theory for the Goldstone m ode alone, (x) ( $1=\overline{2}$ )f $\exp (i \quad(x))$, and thus obtained
$=e^{2} f^{2}$, where $f^{2}$ is the helicity m odulus, or sti ness, or decay param eter, related to the G oldstone $m$ ode, and equals $2 h^{\sim} \sim i$ in our notation. $N$ ote that we have im plicitly assum ed the use of continuum (dim ensional) regularisation in writing dow $n$ the duality relation, and Eq. (2-2 2
$T$ here are various ways to derive the critical scaling exponent of $h^{\sim} \sim_{i}$. For instance, given that the action contains $S_{S F T} \quad d^{3} x @_{k} \sim @_{k} \sim$, wem ay dim ensionally expect [īily that
 point, ,by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m^{2} m_{c}^{2}}{e^{4}}: \tag{229}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ther argum ents leading to the sam e result can be put forward (Ref. $\left.{ }_{2} \bar{Q}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ and references therein), and will also be $m$ et below. Thus, if ( ) j j for ! ${ }^{+}$, we obtain $\left[\overline{2 n}_{2} \overline{1}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=x y ; \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x y$ is the exponent of the correlation length SFT in SFT.
To test th is criticalbehaviourw e can devise, follow ing [1] [] a nite-size scaling procedure for $m$ easuring the exponent. C lose to the critical point, for box sizes larger than the correlation length of non-G oldstone $m$ odes, the system behaves as if it were alm ost in in nite volum e, so that ( $p_{m}$ in $2 \hat{l}=\mathrm{L}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right)={ }^{1}() \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}^{2}+0\left(p_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}^{4}\right): \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For box sizes sm aller than (but still large com pared with the $m$ icroscopic scales), on the other hand, the system behaves as if it were already at the critical point,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right)=A p_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}^{2}+O\left(p_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right): \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }} \mathrm{G}^{1}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right) \quad \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}^{2}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right) \quad \mathrm{j}_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{~L} \quad ; \mathrm{L}>  \tag{2.33}\\
\mathrm{A} & ; \mathrm{L}<
\end{array}
$$

The function has to be continuous at $L \quad j j^{x y}$; thus, using Eq. (2030), $=1$. The functional form close to the critical point should be universal, and according to Eq. (2, ${ }^{2} 3$ ) for $=1$, only dependent on $j$ j $\mathrm{j}^{1=}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}{ }^{1}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}\right) \quad \mathrm{f}\left(j \text { j } \mathrm{j}^{1=}\right) ; \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $f(x)=A, x \quad 1$, and $f(x) \quad x \quad, x \quad 1$. Subsequently at the critical point, $j j!0$, it has a xed point value, A. M oreover, ifwe take a derivative $w$ ith respect to at the critical point, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d}^{h} p_{m \text { in }}{ }^{1}\left(p_{m \text { in }}\right)^{i}=0 \quad L^{1=}: \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$



Transition point: anom alous dim ension. In the previousparagraph, we already found a speci c value for the anom alous dim ension , = 1, assum ing that them agnetic perm eability scales w ith the sam e exponent as the correlation length, as argued in Eq. $[2$ now show that the same value for the anom alous dim ension can be obtained w thout any assum ption for the behaviour of ( ). The reasoning could then be reversed, to provide yet $m$ ore evidence for the scaling of ( ) according to Eq. (12-3

The anom alous dim ension related to $\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{p})$ can be found in a particularly sim ple way w ith an argum ent sim ilar to one by Son [2] $\left.{ }_{2}^{0}\right]$. Let us consider $\left.Z_{\text {SFT }} \mathbb{H}_{i}\right]$ at the transition point. Factoring out the term multiplied by $\mathbb{Z}$, the system should be \conform ally invariant" at the critical point, or have no scales. For dim ensional reasons, the quadratic part of $\ln Z_{\text {SFT }}$, which is a function of $\mathrm{eH}_{\mathrm{i}}$ on $\mathrm{ly}, \mathrm{m}$ ust thus have the structure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\ln Z_{\text {SFT }} \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right] \int_{\mathrm{p}}^{\mathrm{kl}} \frac{\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{p}_{1}}{\mathrm{p}^{2}}\left[\mathrm{eH} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{p})\right]\left[e \mathrm{H}_{1}(\mathrm{p})\right] \mathrm{pj} ; \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }_{p}^{R}={ }^{R} d^{3} p=(2)^{3}$. U sing this to com pute $G(p)$ through the Fourier transform of the
 w th Eq. (2-23) for $\mathrm{m}^{2}=0$, it follow s that $=1$.

The structure of Eq. (2, the side of SQED, the com putation was carried out in Ref. [4]i-] in the C oulom b phase, w ith the result:1

$$
\begin{equation*}
(p)=\frac{1}{16} e^{2} p j ; \text { for } p^{2} m^{2}(): \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

H igher loop corrections are non-vanishing, how ever, and even diverge at the critical point $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ ( ) 0, whereby there is really no hard prediction for the critical exponent. On the

[^3]other hand, one could also carry out the com putation on the side of SFT, as we just did, and possibly com bine w ith the $W$ ilson renorm alisation group there: this line of reasoning can in principle also be used to understand that $=1$ (see, e.g., Ref. [1] [1]). For a lattice study w thin a certain version of the dual theory, again leading to $=1$, see Ref . [ $[4 \vec{l}-3]$.

B roken sym m etry phase: inverse vector propagator at zero $m$ om entum. The quantity we w illm easure in the broken sym $m$ etry phase is the infrared lim it of the inverse of the vector propagator,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{p!0} p^{2} G^{1}(p)=\lim _{p!0}(p) \quad m^{2}: \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur aim is to determ ine the exponent associated $w$ ith $\mathrm{m}^{2}, \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{j} j$. To relate to more physical quantities, note that according to Eq. (2-23), for $s m$ all $p$ and $m^{2}$ the structure of the inverse propagator should be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}^{2} \mathrm{G}^{1}(\mathrm{p}) \quad \mathrm{m}^{2}+\mathrm{A} \dot{\mathrm{p}}{ }^{2}: \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ herefore the inverse of the physical vector correlation length, or the \photon $m$ ass" $m v$, which is de ned by the position of the singularity in $G(p)$, or zero in $P^{2} G{ }^{1}(p)$, scales as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{v}=\dot{p}_{p o l e} j \quad\left(m^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad j \text { j} \quad, \quad v=\frac{}{2}: \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus, a determ ination of combined $w$ th the know $n$ am ounts to a determ ination of $v$. C onversely, given a prediction for v , as follow s in the next paragraph, we have a prediction for $\quad[\underline{2} \overline{2} \bar{i}]$, which w ill be tested below.

B roken sym m etry phase: vector correlation length. As just mentioned, the vector correlation length is determ ined by the position of the singularity in $G(p)$. A coording to Eq. $(2-24)$, it is determ ined in SF T by the singularity structure in the current \{current correlator in the sym $m$ etric phase. Since the current represents a tw o-particle state ( $\quad \sim_{1} @ \sim_{2}$, cf. Eq. (2.1̄])), it is natural to expect that the singularity is placed at the tw o-particle threshold, $m_{v}=2 M$, where $M$ denotes the inverse of the scalar correlation length; this is certainly the behaviour obtained w ith a 1-loop com putation in SF T. T herefore, we expect that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{V}=2 M \quad 2 j \neq Y ; \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

 that $\left[\underline{2} \overline{n_{1}}\right]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=X Y: \tag{2.42}
\end{equation*}
$$




Figure 1: Left: E xam ples of rew eighted susceptibilities ( $\left.j^{\wedge}{ }^{\jmath}\right)$. R ight: D eterm ination of the in nite volum e critical point $y_{c}$ (triangle) from the positions of the susceptibility maxim a.

B roken sym m etry phase: vortex tension. C onsider nally the vortex tension. Follow ing again an elegant argum ent by Son [2] the gauge theory side. B ecause of the $M$ eissner e ect, the system does not respond (Z SQED does not change) until $H \quad H_{c 1}=e T=2$, where $T$ is the tension of an in nitely long vortex. On the SFT side, on the other hand, a constant eH corresponds to a relativistic chem ical potential in a ( $2+1$ )-dim ensional theory, $=e H$. Therefore, the system does not respond until $M$, where $M$ is the particle mass. W e thus obtain $M=e H_{c 1}=T$, i.e., that the vortex tension should again scale $w$ ith the sam e exponent $x y$ as M does: if $T$ j $\begin{aligned} & \text { f then }\end{aligned}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=X Y: \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Simulations

### 3.1. D iscretised action

W e discretise the action in Eq. (2,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{k l}(x) \quad{\frac{1}{e a^{2}}}^{h} k(x)+{ }_{l}(x+a \hat{k}) \quad \underset{k}{ }(x+a \hat{l}) \quad{ }_{l}(x)^{i} \quad \frac{1}{e a^{2}} k l(x) ; \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2: Left: The behaviour of $G\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $)$ at a few representative volum es. The lled circles and the solid curve correspond to the in nite volum e lim it. R ight: The function $p_{m}^{2}$ in $^{1}\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $)$. The solid curve corresponds to the in nite volum e lim it.
 param eter is written in a form which guarantees that the $\overline{M S}$ renorm alised $m$ ass param eter $m^{2}()$, evaluated at the scale $=e^{2}$, rem ains nite in the continuum lim 边 $\left[\underline{4} \overline{6}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
m^{2} \quad m^{2}\left(e^{2}\right) \quad\left(e^{2}+2\right) \frac{3: 175911535625}{2 a} \\
& \frac{1}{16^{2}}\left(4 e^{4}+8 e^{2} \quad 8^{2}\right) \ln \frac{6}{a e^{2}}+0: 08849 \quad 1: 1068 e^{4}+4: 6358 e^{2} \quad \tag{3.4}
\end{array}
$$

The couplings $e^{2}$; , on the other hand, do not require renorm alisation in three dim ensions (for $O$ (a)-corrections, see R ef. [4]-1]). O nce we also rew rite $\hat{e}$, the action becom es dim ensionless, param eterised only by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \quad \overline{e^{2}} ; y \quad \frac{m^{2}\left(e^{2}\right)}{e^{4}} ; \quad G \quad \frac{1}{e^{2} a}: \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
=y \quad y: \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elds that are updated are the real variables $k(x)$ and the complex ones ${ }^{\wedge}(x)$. For
 the system is put on the lattice, one also has to im pose boundary conditions. In the follow ing paragraphs we em ploy periodic boundary conditions for all the elds. This im plies that the net w inding (or ux) through the lattioe in any con guration, w $e^{R} d^{2} s \quad B$, vanishes, rather
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Figure 3: Left: Exam ples of in nite volum e extrapolations for $p_{m}{ }^{2}$ in ( $p_{m}$ in ) in the sym $m$ etric phase. $W$ e $t$ to the 1-loop perturbative expression, $w$ ith the $m$ ass of the scalar particle as a free param eter. T he extrapolation range increases rapidly while approaching the critical point. R ight: The corresponding behavior for $G(0)=\lim _{p_{m} \text { in }}!0\left[1+p_{m}{ }^{2} \text { in }\left(p_{m \text { in }}\right)\right]^{1}$. The dashed line represents the perturbative prediction in Eq. (220), with $\mathrm{m}^{2}()!(y \quad y) e^{4}$.
 point this choice a ects som e quantities, like am plitude ratios, but it is not expected to a ect the critical exponents on which we concentrate here.

The observables are discretised in a straightforw ard way. In particular, denoting again $p=2 n \hat{1}=\mathrm{L}$, the quantity $G(p)$ in $E q \cdot(2 \hat{2} \overline{1})$ is m easured as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(p) \quad G_{x}^{X} e^{i p x_{h}} 12(0) \quad 12(x) i: \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the gures we alw ays plot the $m$ om entum in the form in which it appears in discrete space,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \quad \frac{2}{a} \sin \frac{\mathrm{a} \dot{\mathrm{p} j}}{2} ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}} \text { in } \quad \frac{2}{\mathrm{a}} \sin \frac{\mathrm{a} \dot{p}_{m} \text { in } j}{2}=\frac{2}{a} \sin \frac{}{N} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where p w as assum ed to point along the $\mathrm{x}_{1}$-axis, and the lattioe size was denoted by $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{N}$ a. W e often use furtherm ore lattice units, $\mathrm{a}=1$.

### 3.2. Sim ulation param eters

A ll the sim ulations in this paper have been carried out w ith a single lattice spacing, $\mathrm{G}=1$. $T$ he reason is that since we are interested in universal critical behaviour and are using the non-com pact form ulation, there is no need for a continuum extrapolation, as long as there is no phase transition in between the $G$ used and $G_{G}=1$; this indeed is the case. The scalar coupling is chosen to lie com fortably in the type II region (i.e., beyond the B ogom olny point, $x=1=2$ ), $x=16 . W$ e have also perform ed som e sim ulations at $x=2$, which still lies in the type II region $[\underline{3} \overline{3} \overline{4}]$, con $m$ ing the qualtative pattem observed at $x=16$ but w ith


Figure 4: The derivative $Q_{y}\left[p_{m}\right.$ in $\left.^{1}\left(p_{m \text { in }}\right)\right]$ at the critical point, as a function of the volum e, and a determ ination of the exponent of magnetic perm eability via Eq. (2,
signi cantly less resolution. Volum es have been chosen in the range $6^{3}:: 52^{3}$, and for each param eter value we collect from $1 \delta^{\delta}$ to 210 sw eeps. D i erent param eter values are joined together w ith Ferrenberg-Sw endsen $m$ ultihistogram rew eighting [4]

### 3.3. Location of the critical point

$T$ he rst task is to locate the critical point, $\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{c}} . \mathrm{W}$ hile there are m any possibilities for doing this, allare in principle equivalent in the lim it V ! 1 , and we choose here to use the location of the $m$ axim um of the \speci cheat", or the susceptibility related to $j^{\wedge}$ f,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(j^{\wedge} \text { § }\right) \quad N^{3} h(\overline{j \mathcal{j}})^{2} i \quad \overline{h j \xi^{3}} i^{i} ; \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{j \mathcal{J}} V^{1}{ }_{x}^{R} \wedge \wedge$. O ur data at a few representative volum es are shown in $F$ ig. in (left), and an in nite volum e extrapolation based on the positions of the susceptibility $m$ axin a in Fig. ${ }_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ ' (right). The extrapolation has been carried out w th the nite-size scaling ansatz

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{c}(\mathbb{N}=1)=y_{c}(\mathbb{N})+c_{1} \frac{1}{N^{1=}}+c_{2} \frac{1}{N^{1=}+!}+::: ; \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponents have been xed to their SF T values, $X_{Y}=0: 67155(27),!\quad!_{X Y}=$


$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{c}=17: 749(5): \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This value will be frequently referred to below, in the form yc 17:75.
The criticalpoint is of course clearly visible also in the observable we are actually interested in, $G(p)$. In $F$ ig. $\overline{1}$, we show the structure of $G\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $)$ (left) and $p_{m}^{2}$ in $^{1}\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $)$ (right), w ith $p_{m}$ in $=2 \hat{1}=L$. Both becom e order param eters in the in nite volum e lim it $p_{m}$ in 0 , vanishing on one side of $y_{c}$.


Figure 5: Left: The photon selfenergy (p) at the critical point. C ircles denote $m$ om enta $\dot{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $j=2=\mathrm{L}$ at di erent volum es; triangles denote larger m om enta. T he solid curve is a linear $t$ determ ining the anom alous dim ension . $R$ ight: A $n$ altemative determ ination of as well as of the xed-point coe cient $g$ (cf. Fig.'-10), based on Eq. (2,

### 3.4. Sym m etric phase: m agnetic perm eability

T hem agnetic perm eability, as de ned in Eq. (225i), could in principle be determ ined directly from an in nite volume ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in ! 0) extrapolation of the data in F ig. $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{L}}$ (left). Such an extrapolation cannot be carried out in practice, how ever, because the fiunction approaches its in nite volum e lim it very slow ly close to the critical point. $T$ his is ilhustrated in F ig. $\mathrm{B}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ (left) at tw o selected $y$-values well inside the $C$ oulom b phase, $y>y_{C}$. The corresponding extrapolated values are show $n$ in $F$ ig. $1 i_{1}^{1}$ (right) (w ithout any estim ate of the system atic uncertainties introduced by the extrapolation), but are reliably extracted only so far above yc that no $m$ eaningfiul $t$ for a critical exponent can be carried out.

Fortunately, we can determ ine the exponent directly, by carrying out a nite-size scaling study exactly at $y=y_{c}$, employing Eq. (2 $\left.\overline{3} 5\right)$. In order to $m$ easure the derivative, we construct explicitly the corresponding operator,
$T$ he data are show $n$ in $F$ ig. $\overline{1 / 2}(l \mathrm{left})$, and a $t$ to the led circles produces a value

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0: 659(26): \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is well consistent $w$ th the SF $T$ value $x y=0: 67$, as predicted by Eq. (2,



Figure 6: Left: D eterm ination of the xed point value of the photon selfenergy from the function ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in ) $=\dot{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $\mathfrak{j}$ by a com parison oftw $\circ$ volum es. $R$ ight: A $n$ extrapolation to in nite volum $e$ and a com parison $w$ ith the determ ination of $g$ in $F$ ig. '5:1 (open triangle).

### 3.5. Transition point: anom alous dim ension

O ur next task is to determ ine the anom alous dim ension. In Fig. 'ineft), we do this directly
 A to the data at $p_{m}$ in produces

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0: 98 \text { (6) ; } \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

in perfect agreem ent $w$ th the prediction follow ing from Eq. (
An altemative determ ination of can be obtained by using Eq. (2, in ). In Fig. $\overline{1}$ (right), we show $\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $) p_{m}$ in at $y=y_{c}$, observing that a xed-point value in the in nite-volum e ( $p_{m}$ in ! 0) lim it is only obtained with $\quad 1 . W$ e have also estim ated the xed-point value $g$ of $\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $)=p_{m}$ in , corresponding to $A=e^{2}$ in the notation of $E q$. (2,
$T$ he fact that the function $\left(p_{m}\right.$ in $)=p_{m}$ in approaches a xed-point value, allow $s$ also for an altemative determ ination of $g$. Indeed, follow ing the standard procedure, we can estim ate the in nite-volum e lim it by com paring data for ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in ) $=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in at twolattice sizes, N and 2 N , for various N. T he procedure is ilhustrated in $F$ ig. 'ig (left), and produces values for $g$ (cf. Fig. ${ }^{\prime}(\underline{1}(r i g h t))$ consistent $w$ ith but m ore precise than in $F$ ig. linear $t$ yields $g \quad 0: 13(1)$. This value w ill nd applications in Sec.in.7.
3.6. B roken sym $m$ etry phase: inverse vector propagator at zero $m$ om entum

W e next consider the param eter $\mathrm{m}^{2}$, de ned in Eq. (2, 2 . ${ }^{2}$ ). The in nite-volum e extrapolation of $p_{m \text { in }}^{2} G^{1}\left(p_{m ~ i n}\right)$ is ilhustrated in $F$ ig. $\mathbf{I}_{1}^{-1}(l e f t)$, and a $t$ as a function of $y$ is shown in
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Figure 7: Left: In nite volum e extrapolations for $p^{2} G^{1}$ (p) at tw o values ofy in the broken symmetry phase. Circles denote $m$ om enta $\dot{p}_{m}$ in $j=2=L$ at di erent volum es; triangles denote larger $m$ om enta. $R$ ight: $T$ he scaling behavior for the in nite volum e extrapolated $p^{2} G^{1}(p)$. The inlay show $s$ the dependence of the critical exponent on the value of $y_{c}$.

Fig. $\bar{T}_{1}$ (right). We nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
=0: 651 \text { (33) ; } \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

in perfect agreem ent w th Eq. (2,
3.7. B roken sym $m$ etry phase: vector correlation length
 $m$ easurem ents of the vector correlation function struggle to show criticalbehaviour according to the exponent $x$ y as predicted by Eq. (2 $2 \cdot \overline{4} \overline{1})$, producing rather the exponent $x y=2$. In the light of the data presented in this paper, how ever, such a behaviour is well understandable. Indeed, close to the critical point the form of the vector propagator is as show $n$ in $E$ qs. (2 2,2 ), [2-23), w ith $=1$. W e have just determ ined that A $g e^{2} 0: 13 e^{2}$. This m eans that in order for true criticalbehaviour to show up in in nite volum e, we would need to require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}}^{2} \quad 0: 13 \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{v}} ; \text { or } \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{v}} \quad 0: 13 \mathrm{e}^{2}: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $M$ oreover, even when $m_{v} \quad 0$, nite-volum e corrections to the asym ptotic form of the photon correlator are im portant, unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }}^{2} \quad 0: 13 \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m} \text { in }} ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

which at ${ }_{G}=1$ transform $s$ to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N} \quad \frac{2}{0: 13} \quad 48: \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 8: The photon correlation function at the critical point, w ith transverse $m$ om entum
 well as w ith Fourier transform sof $1=p^{2}$ (dashed), $1=$ jpj (dotted), in each case w th an overall constant chosen so that data points are $m$ atched at $=a N=0: 5$.

It is not easy to satisfy this inequality in practioe, how ever. A w ay from the transition point $m_{v} \quad 0$, or in volum es sm aller than Eq. $\left.\overline{(1)} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$, on the other hand, the free term $p^{2} w$ ill dom inate the inverse vector propagator in Eq. (2-2 2 ), and solving for the pole position w ith the free part alone, we recover the exponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\mathrm{V}}{\left(\mathrm{e} \text { ective) } \frac{1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{xy}: ~\right.} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For com pleteness, the highly non-trivial form of the vector correlation function is illustrated in $F$ ig. ${ }^{1} \bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}$ at $y=y_{c}$. It is seen clearly how the free term $p_{m}^{2}$ in and the linear term in ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ in ) are both needed in order to reproduce the data points, even at volum es as large as $52^{3}$.

If the $m$ apping between the param eters of SQED and SFT were known, one would not need to rely on the asym ptotic criticalbehaviour to dem onstrate the dually betw een the tw o theories, but one could com pare the data directly, staying aw ay from the transition point and keeping the volum e nite. $W$ thout the $m$ apping such a com parison is not available on a quantitative level, but wem ay still note that the data obtained for the vector correlation length in the \frozen superconductor" $m$ odel, w here the duality is exact, show a behaviour very sim ilar to $w$ hat we have argued for here and observed in Refs. [3] least apart from the extrem e vicin $\mathbb{t} y$ of the transition point $\underline{2}_{\left.\underline{2} \bar{S}_{1}^{\prime}\right] .} \mathrm{T}$ his provides further qualitative support for the duality con jecture, through sim ilar corrections to asym ptotic scaling in both theories.



Figure 9: Left: A n exam ple of an in nite volum e extrapolation (triangle) for the tension $T=e^{2}$ in the broken sym $m$ etry phase. $T$ he ansatz is as explained in $R$ ef. $[\underline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}]$, and is only applicable in large volum es (solid curve). R ight: T he scaling behavior for the in nite volum e extrapolation. The inlay show $s$ the dependence of the critical exponent on the value of $y_{c}$.

### 3.8. B roken sym m etry phase: vortex tension

W e nally consider the vortex tension, $T{ }_{2}^{7,1}$ U nlike for the observables so far, its determ ination requires that we depart from strictly periodic boundary conditions for all the elds. In fact,
 $\ln Z_{S Q E D}(w=0) \quad \ln Z_{S Q E D}(w=2)$, where the $w$ inding number $w=e d^{2} s \quad B=2$ corresponds to the presence of a single vortex through the lattice. Param etrizing $w=2 \mathrm{z}$, we can write $\ln Z_{S Q E D}(w=2)=\ln Z_{\text {SQED }}(0)+{ }_{0}^{R_{1} d z h W}(z) i$, where hV (z)i represents a speci c expectation value, which can be $m$ easured by M onte $C$ arlo $m$ ethods. For m ore details, we refer the reader to our earlier work $R$ [2 $\overline{2} \overline{2}, \overline{2}, \overline{2} \overline{3} 1]$.

In the earlier work $m$ entioned, the integral ${ }_{0}^{R_{1}} \mathrm{dzhW}(z)$ i was approxim ated by a sum over independent and consecutive $M$ onte $C$ arlo $m$ easurem ents at a discrete set $z_{i}, i=1 ;:: ; ; n_{z}$, $w$ here the param eter $n_{z}$ had typically values $n_{z}=11 ;::: ; 21$. For the current work, we have im plem ented \parallel tem pering" in the param eters $z_{i}$, along the lines of $R$ ef. [4] attem pt to sample interm ediate con gurations w ith non-integer winding numbers $0<z<$ 1 more e ciently. U sing again $n_{z}=21$, we nd a sizable error reduction, as com pared w th our earlier approach. This is illustrated by the single data point w ith large error bars in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{19}$ (right), at y 17:9, obtained w ith the unim proved algorithm. W e have not investigated the precise $m$ echanism behind this algorithm ic im provem ent in great detail, how ever.

In Fig. $\underline{1}_{-1}^{-1}$ (left) we show an exam ple of the in nite volum e extrapolation of the tension in

[^6]the broken phase, and in Fig. ${ }_{1}^{(\text {gright }) ~ a ~} t$ to the extrapolated values. T he $t$ yields
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=0: 671(38) ; \tag{320}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

in perfect agreem ent w th Eq. 2
4. $M$ acroscopic externalm agnetic elds

Up to this point, we have $m$ ostly considered the case that the $m$ agnetic eld is set to zero, $H_{i}=0$, after taking derivatives of the partition function (cf. Eq. (2.1])); the $m$ ain exception was the discussion of the vortex tension, leading to Eq. (2. 4. this section, we w ish to $m$ ake som efurther qualitative rem arks on the case that the extemal $m$ agnetic eld is not set to zero but kept nite.

To begin with, let us reiterate the duality relation for this situation, in rather explicit form . W e choose again coordinates such that the constant $m$ agnetic eld is pointing in the third direction, $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{H}$, and consider the system to live in a nite box (or hypertorus), now of extent $L$ in the third direction. Then $\left.Z_{\text {SQED }} \mathbb{H}\right]$ represents the classical Euclidean partition function for 3-dim ensionalSQED, w ith the extemal param eters $H$; $L$. At the sam e tim e, the corresponding $Z_{\text {SFT }}[\mathbb{H}]$, Eqs. $\left.(2,14),(21)^{1}\right)$, is just the im aginary tim equantum partition function for a 2 -dim ensional SFT, in the presence of a nite chem ical potential
$=$ eH (written in a relativistic form) and a nite tem perature $T$ ( xed by the extent of the im aginary tim e direction). Thus, the relations between $H ; L$ on one side and ; $T$ on the other, read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\frac{\mathrm{e}}{2} \quad ; \quad \mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{hc}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}: \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the box cubic and sending L! 1, corresponds to com puting the quantum partition function for the 2 -dim ensional SFT at zero tem perature. W hile the relations in Eq. (4) ${ }^{1}$ ) have been expressed in a canonical ensem ble w ith respect to $H$; , it should be clear that the correspondence rem ains true also in a microcanonical ensemble (i.e., xed magnetic ux in SQED / xed particle num ber in SFT ), w ith a proper choice of boundary conditions.

Im plications for the type I region. In the type I region of SQ ED, vortioes attract each other. Therefore, if we are in the broken sym $m$ etry phase and force a $m$ agnetic ux through the system, the vortioes form a ux tube that penetrates through the $M$ eissner phase. $W$ hat is the analogue for this in SFT? The type I region corresponds to ${ }^{\sim}<0$ (and the presence of further stabilising term s), and as is well known, in this case the system adm its non-
 as non-dispersive bound states of particles held together by the attractive interaction. We thus observe a perfect analogy, w th the role of ux quanta in SQED played by the attractive particles in SFT .N ote that even though SFT appears in a ( $2+1$ dim ensionalim aginary tim e)
relativistic form in Eqs. (2, $\overline{1} 4),(2 \overline{1})$, the sam e solutions appear there even if we approach the non-relativistic lim it $[5$
 condensates (see, e.g., R ef. [15]']).

Im plications for the type II region. In the type II region of SQ ED, on the other hand, vortices repeleach other. Therefore, if we place the system in a m agnetic eld, they form (at least on them ean eld level) an A brikosov vortex lattice. It has been a long-standing issue to study whether, w ithin SQED, the vortex lattice indeed exists in a strict sense for low enough $m$ agnetic elds, and then $m$ elts to a vortex liquid possibly through a rst order transition, as observed experim entally [ the transition observed in experim ents would be a m an ifestation of som e physics beyond the pure SQ ED (see, e.g., Ref. [] whether a 2 -dim ensional dihute system of atom $s$ w ith repulsive interactions, form s a lattice, or $m$ elts due to quantum and them al uctuations. In principle, this issue could be studied w ith SFT, m ore easily than directly w ith SQ ED as attem pted in Ref. [ has few er dynam ical length scales. In practice, though, the inclusion of a chem ical potential in SFT $m$ akes the action complex for a generic con guration (this is just the generic \sign problem " for $\in 0$ ), rendering im portance sam pling ine ective.

## 5. Conclusions

In th is paper, we have used num erical lattice $M$ onte $C$ arlo sim ulations to $m$ easure a num ber of critical exponents in three-dim ensional scalar electrodynam ics (SQ ED ) in the type II region, or $=e^{2}>1$. W e have also reiterated which exponents of the dual scalar eld theory (SFT) they should correspond to. O urm easurem ents agree wellw ith the SF T values, known to high accuracy from previous studies of the XY m odel. This provides strong lem pirical" evidence for the existence of the con jectured duality betw een the two theories.

W e have also elaborated on the qualitative im plications of the duality for the case of $m$ acroscopic extemalm agnetic elds, both in the type I and in the type II regions. Even though we have no new sim ulations to report in this regim e, we nd it rem arkable that for all the know $n$ phenom ena observed in SQED som ew hat below the phase transition tem perature, one indeed nds a perfect counterpart in 2-dim ensional quantum SFT, but at a di erent tem perature, as determ ined by the physical geom etry of the SQED sam ple.

The duality predicts that the only im portant degrees of freedom near the transition point in SQED are vortex lines, and the quantities we have $m$ easured are sensitive to their properties. The agreem ent w ith the dual theory therefore also dem onstrates that the $m$ ethods we have used in these sim ulations give an accurate description of the dynam ics of topological defects. Sim ilar $m$ ethods can also be used in $m$ ore com plicated theories, e.g., in the case of
 techniques to carry out sim ilar studies in those system s.

In the past, the study of dualities has often been restricted to spin $m$ odels, low dim ensions, or supensym $m$ etric theories. The reason for this has $m$ ostly been that only in these cases has one been able to carry out controllable analytic calculations to test and $m$ ake use of the dualities. O ur results show how the study of dualities can in principle be extended tow ards $m$ ore realistic system $s$, by invoking num erical techniques to corroborate analytic argum ents based on very general principles only, such as sym m etries. The sam e num erical techniques could also be used to determ ine \experim entally" the m appings betw een the param eter sets. It should be $m$ entioned that $m$ any other types of num erical avenues tow ards duality have, of course, been explored and extensively tested in the case of 4 -dim ensional $Y$ ang $-M$ ills theory (see, e.g., R ef. $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$ and references therein) .

To conclude, let us nally recall that apart from the theoretical issues on w hidh w e have concentrated in this paper, the critical properties of three-dim ensional SQ ED have also physical signi cance of their own, given that this theory is the e ective theory of superconductiv-
 electrodynam ics at high tem peratures the substantial corrections to scaling that we have observed for the photon correlation length (also called the penetration depth), m ay also have phenom enological signi cance [6]íl, given that $\mathbb{t}$ is di cult to probe very precisely the extrem e vicin ity of the transition point and that experim ental sam ples are necessarily fairly restricted in size.

## A cknow ledgem ents

W e acknow ledge useful discussions, over the years, with P. de Forcrand, H. K leinert, A. K ovner, ID. Law rie, D. Litim, F S. N ogueira, R D. P isarski, A M J. Schakel, A. Sudb , B. Svetitsky, and G E. Volovik. This work was partly supported by the RTN network Supersym metry and the Early Universe, EU contract no. HPRN-CT-2000-00152, by the ESF C O SLA B program me, and by the A cadem y off inland, contracts no. 77744 and 80170. A R . w as supported by C hurchill C ollege, C am bridge.

## R eferences

[1] H A. K ram ens and G H.W annier, P hys. Rev. 60 (1941) 252.
[2] R . Balian, J M . D rou e and C. Itzykson, Phys. Rev. D 11 (1975) 2098; C P. K orthals A ltes, Nucl. Phys. B 142 (1978) 315; T. Yoneya, Nucl. Phys. B 144 (1978) 195.
[3] M E.Peskin, A nnals P hys. 113 (1978) 122.
[4] S. H ands and J.B. K ogut, Nucl. Phys. B 462 (1996) 291 [hep
[5] S R. Colem an, Phys. Rev.D 11 (1975) 2088.
[6] S.M andelstam , Phys. Rev.D 11 (1975) 3026.
[7] N. Seiberg and E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B 426 (1994) 19; ibid. B 430 (1994) 485 (E)

[8] C . M ontonen and D .I. O live, P hys. Lett. B 72 (1977) 117.
[9] H.O sbom, P hys. Lett. B 83 (1979) 321.

[11] G . R ipka, D ual superconductor m odels of color con nem ent, hiep
[12] T.Banks, R.M yerson and J.B. K ogut, N ucl. Phys. B 129 (1977) 493.
[13] P R. Thom as and M . Stone, N ucl. Phys. B 144 (1978) 513.
[14] R.Savit, P hys. Rev. B 17 (1978) 1340.
[15] C . D asgupta and B .I. H alperin, P hys. Rev. Lett. 47 (1981) 1556.
[16] H. K leinert, Lett. N uovo C im . 35 (1982) 405; M . K iom etzis, H. K leinert and A M J. Schakel, Fortsch. P hys. 43 (1995) 697 [cond m at/950814Z̄].
[17] H . K leinert, G auge Fields in C ondensed M atter, vol. 1 (W orld Scienti C, Singapore, 1989).
[18] A. K ovner, B . R osenstein and D.E liezer, Nucl. P hys. B 350 (1991) 325; A. K ovner, P. K urzepa and B. R osenstein, M od. Phys. Lett. A 8 (1993) 1343; ibid. A 8 (1993) 2527 (E ) hep-th/9303144].
[19] IF . H erbut, J. P hys. A : M ath. Gen. 30 (1997) 423 [conden at/9610052'].
[20] D.T. Son, JHEP 02 (2002) 023 hapenh
[21] R.G uida and J.Z inn-Justin, J.P hys.A :M ath. G en. 31 (1998) 8103 [condmat/980324 M . H asenbusch and T. Torok, J. Phys. A : M ath. Gen. 32 (1999) 6361 [ond
 Phys. Rev.B 63 (2001) 214503 [condm at/ $001036 \bar{d}]$.
[22] K . K a jantie, M . Laine, T. N euhaus, J. P eisa, A. R a jantie and K . R um m ukainen, Nucl.

[23] K. K a jantie, M. Laine, T. Neuhaus, A. R a jantie and K . R um m ukainen, N ucl. Phys. B 559 (1999) 395 hep
[24] P. O lsson and S. Teitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 1964 [cond mat $\left.9710200^{\prime}\right]$.
[25] T.Neuhaus, A.Rajantie and K.Rum m ukainen, Phys. R ev.B 67 (2003) 014525 [

[26] B .I. H alperin, T .C . Lubensky and S.K.M a, P hys. Rev. Lett. 32 (1974) 292.
[27] R.Folk and Yu. Holovatch, J. Phys.A 29 (1996) 3409;
[28] B. Bergerho , F.Freire, D F.Litim, S.Lola and C.W etterich, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 5734 hep-ph/9503334].
[29] I. Herbut and Z . Tesanovic, P hys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 4588 [condenat 9605185].

[31] J. B artholom ew, P hys. Rev. B 28 (1983) 5378.
[32] Y.M unehisa, P hys. Lett. B 155 (1985) 159.
[33] K . K a jantie, M . K arjalainen, M . Laine and J. P eisa, P hys. R ev. B 57 (1998) 3011 [ōō' "---mat/970
 J. H ove and A. Sudb , Phys. Rev.B 65 (2002) 104501 [condm at/010926d].
[35] K . K a jantie, M . Laine, T . N euhaus, A. R a jantie and K . R um m ukainen, N ucl. P hys. B (P roc. Suppl) 106 (2002) 959 隹
[36] J. M arch R ussell, P hys. Lett. B 296 (1992) 364 "hep-ph/9208215].
[37] ID . Law rie, N ucl. Phys. B 200 (1982) 1; ID. Law rie and C. Athome, J. Phys. A 16 (1983) L587.
[38] S.W einberg, P hysica A 96 (1979) 327.
[39] J. G asser and H . Leutw yler, A nnals P hys. 158 (1984) 142; N ucl. P hys. B 250 (1985) 465.
[40] J.I.K apusta, $F$ inite $T$ em perature $F$ ield $T$ heory ( $C$ am bridge U niversity $P$ ress, $C$ am bridge, 1989).
[41] B D. Josephson, P hys. Lett. 21 (1966) 608; M E.F isher, M N . B arber and D. Jasnow, Phys. Rev.A 8 (1973) 1111.
[42] T.Appelquist and R D. P isarski, P hys. Rev. D 23 (1981) 2305.

[44] A M . Polyakov, Phys. Lett. B 59 (1975) 82; Nucl. Phys. B 120 (1977) 429.
[45] K. K ajantie, M . K arjalainen, M . Laine and J. Peisa, N ucl. Phys. B 520 (1998) 345 hep-ati/971104d].
[46] M . Laine and A. R a jantie, N ucl. P hys. B 513 (1998) 471 hep-iat/9705003i].
[47] G D. M oore, N ucl. P hys. B 523 (1998) 569 [hep-at/97090
[48] A M . Ferrenberg and R H. Swendsen, P hys. Rev. Lett. 63 (1989) 1195.
[49] E.M arinari and G . P arisi, E urophys. Lett. 19 (1992) 451 ,hep
[50] G. R osen, J.M ath. Phys. 9 (1968) 996; R . Friedberg, T D. Lee and A. Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 13 (1976) 2739.
[51] T D. Lee and Y. P ang, P hys. Rept. 221 (1992) 251, and references therein .
[52] K. Enqvist and M . Laine, JCAP 08 (2003) 003 [00nd at/030435-1]
[53] G E.V olovik, $T$ he U niverse in a H elium D roplet, C hapter 3.3 (C larendon $P$ ress, $O$ xford, 2003); V .V . D m itriev, V B.E ltsov, M . K rusius, J J. R uohio and G E. Volovik, Phys. Rev.B 59 (1999) 165 [icondmat $98051 \overline{1} 9$
[54] L. K haykovich et al, Science 296 (2002) 1290 (ond-m at/0205378]; K E. Strecker et al, N ature 417 (2002) 150 [condmat/0204532].
[55] E. Zeldov et al, N ature 375 (1995) 373; A . Schilling et al, N ature 382 (1996) 791.
 references therein.
[57] A.C.D avis, T .N B. K ibble, A. R a jantie and H. Shanahan, JHEP 11 (2000) 010 (heper
'-(2002) 125008 hep-at/0110154].
[58] P .G . de G ennes, Solid State C om m un. 10 (1972) 753; B .I. H alperin and T .C . Lubensky, ibid. 14 (1974) 997.
[59] K. Farakos, K. K ajantie, K. Rum mukainen and M. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. B 425 (1994) 67 "
[60] J.O . A ndersen, P hys. Rev.D 59 (1999) 065015.
[61] S.K am alet al, Phys. Rev.Lett. 73 (1994) 1845; S.K am alet al, Phys. Rev.B 58 (1998) R 8933; K M . Paget, B R . B oyce and T R . Lem berger, P hys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 6545.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To be precise, the SQED observables we consider are sensitive only to the SF T exponent $X Y$ as well as a certain anom alous dim ension, while for instance the exponents $X Y ; X Y$ related to the response of SF T to an extemalm agnetic eld, do not play a role in the follow ing [ ${ }_{3}^{1}$

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ A $s$ is well known, the renorm alisation group in 4 dim ensions, with 1 , continues to predict a rst-order transition even at large $=e^{2}$ [26, 137].

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ If SFT is used for describing weakly interacting atom ic BoseE instein condensates, then the scalar selfcoupling is usually written as ${ }^{\sim}=2 h^{2} a=m$, where $a$ is the $s-w$ ave scattering length and $m$ the atom $m$ ass; the transition from attractive to repulsive corresponds to the $s$-w ave scattering length changing sign.

[^3]:    

[^4]:    ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that in Eq. $\left(\frac{1}{3} . \overline{1}\right)$ we use the non-com pact form ulation for the $U(1)$ gauge eld. $W$ e do this to avoid topological artifacts, $m$ onopoles, which appear in the so-called com pact form ulation $\left.{ }_{[14}^{-1} 1\right]$ unless $e^{2}$ a 1 , and
    

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ T he xed-point value $g$, unlike critical exponents, is possibly sensitive to the boundary conditions used. Let us reiterate that our sim ulations at this point correspond to a vanishing winding (or ux), w $=0$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7} \mathrm{~W}$ e use the sam e notation for the vortex tension and the tem perature, but there should be no danger of confusion, since the two are never discussed in the sam e context.

