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## A bstract

W e consider a $(2+1)$-dim ensional $S U(\mathbb{N})$ lattioe gauge theory in an axial gauge w th the link eld $U_{1}$ set equal to one. The term in the $H$ am iltonian containing the square of the electric eld in the 1-direction is non-local. D espite this non-locality, we show that weak-coupling perturbation theory in this term gives a nite vacuum -energy density to second order, and suggest that this property holds to all orders. H eavy quarks are con ned, the spectrum is gapped, and the space-like W ilson loop has area decay.

## 1 Introduction

The central problem of QCD is con nem ent. It is not enough to prove that lattice gauge theories have a con ning phase - which is evident from strongcoupling expansions. It is necessary to see that the color is con ned at arbitrarily weak lattioe coupling.

W e nd here that $(2+1)$-dim ensional lattice gauge theories con ne for any dim ensionless bare coupling. The technique used is a weak-coupling expansion in an anisotropic lattioe gauge theory in an axialgauge. This is not the standard expansion utilizing Feynm an diagram s. Though the coupling constant of a $(2+1)$-dim ensional gauge theory is not in nitely renorm alized in $(2+1)$ dim ensions, the dim ensionless bare coupling on the lattioe m ust vanish in the continuum lim it. This is why a weak-coupling analysis is useful, even for this case. The dependence we nd of the string tension and the $m$ ass gap on the coupling constant does not agree w ith conventionalw isdom -our results for these physicalquantities don't behave as anticipated, as the lattioe spacing is taken to zero -but they are not zero.

The rst analytic dem onstration of con nem ent of heavy sources in (2 + 1)-dim ensional gauge theories was given by Polyakov for lattice com pact
 interesting in that color charges disappear com pletely from the spectnum. This is, how ever, di erent from the sort of con nem ent we expect for Q CD, in that $m$ atter elds play an im portant role. Feynm an argued that (2+1)dim ensional QCD is con ning ${ }_{\underline{-3}}^{1} 1$. Unfortunately, the orbit-space distance estim ates in Feynm an's paper are incorrect. N onetheless, his basic claim, that the diam eter of gauge-orbit space of ( $2+1$ )-dim ensional SU $\mathbb{N}$ ) Y angM ills theory forsm allm agnetic energy is nite, appears to be correct tind . N ew nonperturbative $m$ ethods which do not require a lattice have been derived by K arabali, K im and N air [5], (one of their form ulations of the H am iltonian


There is one special set of assum ptions we use to derive our results; the (1+1)-dim ensionalnon-A belian nonlinear sigm a m odels (w thout topological term s) have a mass gap, exponentially decaying correlation functions, and
 [ $\overline{9}]$ ]. Though no rigorous proof of these properties exists, we think that the evidence in their favor is overw helm ing.

O ur basic strategy is to w rite the lattice version of the H am iltonian as
the sum of two term $s$, nam ely

$$
H_{0}=d^{2} x \quad \frac{e^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 e^{2}} \operatorname{Tr} B^{2} ;
$$

and

$$
\frac{e^{2}}{2} V=\frac{e^{2}}{2} \quad d^{2} \times \operatorname{Tr} E_{1}^{2} ;
$$

where $E_{j}$ are the components of the electric eld conjugate to the gauge eld $\left.A_{j}, \mathbb{E}_{j}(x) ; A_{k}(y)\right]=i_{j k}{ }^{2}(x \quad y)$ and $B=i\left[G \quad i A_{1} ; @_{2} \quad i A_{2}\right]$ is the single space com ponent of the $m$ agnetic eld. W e then pick the gauge $A_{1}=0 . W$ hen this is done on the lattioe, $H_{0}$ is a set of decoupled chiral $S U(\mathbb{N}) \quad S U(\mathbb{N})$ nonlinear sigm a m odels for $w$ hich the $S m$ atrix and the spectnum are known. The quantity $V$ is non-local, but we show that perturbation theory in this term is sensible to second order. The vacuum state in this perturbation series con nes fundam ental color charges. O ur splitting of the H am iltonian is not explicitly rotationally invariant, but if the m ethod works to all orders of perturbation theory, rotational invariance should be restored.

Let us review axial gauges in the continuum. If the SU $(\mathbb{N})$-土ie-algebravalued gauge eld $A_{1}$ is set to zero, then $G$ auss's law $m$ ay be integrated to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{1}(x) & \left.=Z_{x^{1}} d y^{1} \mathbb{X}^{1} \mathbb{C}_{j} \quad i A_{j}\left(y^{1} ; x^{2} ;::: x^{d 1}\right) ; E_{j}\left(y^{1} ; x^{2} ;::: ; x^{d 1}\right)\right] \\
& =Z_{x^{1}} \quad d y^{1} D ?\left(y^{1} ; x^{?}\right) \quad E\left(y^{1} ; x^{?}\right) ;
\end{align*}
$$

where the dim ension of space is $d \quad 1, x^{3}=\left(x^{2} ;::: ; x^{d}\right)$, and $D$ ? are the last d 2 com ponents of the covariant derivative in the adjoint representation ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{d}} 1$ ). The term in the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e^{2}}{2} V=\quad d^{\mathrm{Z}}{ }^{1} x \frac{e^{2}}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ ust have a vacuum expectation value proportional to the volum e of (d 1)dim ensional space, if the theory is to be sensible. As discussed by $M$ andelstam $\left[1 \overline{1}_{-1}^{0}\right]$ this $m$ eans that the quantity

$$
K\left(y^{1} ; z^{1} ; x^{?}\right)=0 \text { 们 } D\left(y^{1} ; x^{?}\right) \quad E\left(y^{1} ; x^{?}\right) D ?\left(z^{1} ; x^{?}\right) \quad E\left(z^{1} ; x^{?}\right) j 0
$$

R
$m$ ust have the property that ${ }^{R} d y^{1} d z^{1} K\left(y^{1} ; z^{1} ; x^{?}\right)$ does not diverge $w$ th the spatial volum e. O nem ight think that if $K\left(y^{1} ; z^{1} ; x^{?}\right)$ falls o su ciently fast $w$ ith $\dot{y}^{1} \quad z j$ the problem can be am eliorated. $R$ apid fallo of $K$, however, is not enough. Even if the fallo is exponential, the result $m$ ay diverge as $\left(L^{1}\right)^{2}$ where $L^{1}$ is the range of $x^{1} . M$ andelstam recognized that the residual gauge invariance, rem aining after solving for $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ in ( $\left.\mathbf{I}_{-1}^{\prime} \mathbf{I}_{1}\right)$, nam ely

$$
\mathrm{Z} \mathrm{dx}^{1} \mathrm{D}_{?} \quad \mathrm{E}=0 \text {; }
$$

$m$ ust also be satis ed by the vacuum . W ithout both (1) and and su ciently rapid decay of $K\left(x^{1} ; y^{1} ; x^{?}\right)$, any conjecture for the vacuum $m$ ay have an unacceptable infrared-divergent energy, com ing from (12-2). Fortunately, we nd that in our perturbation schem $e$, both the unperturbed vacuum energy and the rst two corrections in our weak-coupling expansion obey the lattioe versions of both the rapid-decay criterion and (1, $\overline{3}^{\prime}$ ).

## 2 The lattice gauge H am ilton ian

The purpose of this section is to establish our de nitions and conventions. It is not an introduction to the Ham iltonian $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge theory. Such introductions can be found in the review article by $K$ ogut and in the book


C onsider a lattice of sites $x$ of size $L^{1} \quad L^{2}, w$ th sites $x$ whose coordinates are $x^{1}$ and $x^{2}$. W e require that $x^{1}=a$ and $x^{2}=a$ are integers, where $a$ is the lattice spacing. There are 2 space directions, labeled $j=1 ; 2$. Each link is a pair $x, j$, and joins the site $x$ to $x+\hat{j} a$, where $\hat{j}$ is a unit vector in the $j^{\text {th }}$ direction.

W e introduce basis vectors or generators $t,=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{N}^{2} \quad 1$, of the Lie algebra of $S U(\mathbb{N})$. Som etim es we use Rom an letters for the index, e.g. we may write to rather than $t$ (the purpose of using di erent alphabets is to distinguish between coordinate indiges on the $S U(\mathbb{N}) \mathrm{m}$ anifold and tangent-space vectors). The generators are de ned to be orthonorm al, so that $\operatorname{Tr} t=$. The structure coe cients of the Lie algebra, f , ; ; = $1 ;::: ; N^{2} \quad 1$, are, as usual, the com plex num bers de ned by $\left.t ; t\right]=$ if $t$. $T$ he identity $m$ atrix $w$ ill be denoted by 11 .

The H am iltonian lattice gauge theory is usually form ulated in tem poral gauge $A_{0}=0$. The basic degrees of freedom, before any further gauge xing,
are elem ents of the group $S U(\mathbb{N})$ in the fundam ental $(\mathbb{N} \quad N$ )-dim ensional $m$ atrix representation $U_{j}(x) 2 S U(\mathbb{N})$ at each link $x, j$. In addition, there are the $N^{2} \quad 1$ electric- eld operators at each $\operatorname{lin} k f(x)_{b}, b=1 ;::: ; N^{2} \quad$. The electric- eld operators are self-adjoint by construction. The com mutation relations on the lattice are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\square_{j}(x)_{b} ; I_{k}(y)_{c}\right]=i_{x y j k} f_{b c}^{d} I_{j}(x)_{d} ; \\
& \left.\square_{j}(x)_{b} ; U_{k}(y)\right]=\quad x y j k t_{b} U_{j}(x) ; \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

all others zero. In the Schrodinger representation, w ith the com ponents of $U_{j}(x)$ taken to be c-num bers, the latter of ( $\left.\overline{2} \overline{\bar{L}_{2}} \overline{1}\right)$ becom es

$$
I_{j}(x)_{b} U_{k}(y)=\quad x y j k t_{0} U_{j}(x) ;
$$

The lattice H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=X_{x=1 \quad X^{2}=1}^{X X^{1}} \frac{g_{0}^{2}}{2 a}\left[l_{j}(x)_{b}\right]^{2} \quad X \quad \frac{1}{4 g_{0}^{2} a}\left[\operatorname{Tr} U_{12}(x)+\operatorname{Tr} U_{21}(x)\right] ; \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
U_{j k}(x)=U_{j}(x) U_{k}(x+\hat{j} a) U_{j}(x+\hat{k} a)^{y} U_{k}(x)^{y} ;
$$

and the bare coupling constant $g_{0}$ is dim ensionless. $N$ ote that the coe cient of the kinetic term can be written in term $s$ of the continuum coupling constant e, nam ely $g_{0}^{2}=(2 a)=e^{2}=2$. It is for this reason that hadron $m$ asses and the string tension evaluated in lattioe strong-coupling expansions all scale sensibly $w$ th $e$, in ( $2+1$ ) dim ensions.
$W$ e denote the adjint representation of the $S U(\mathbb{N})$ gauge eld by $R$ :

$$
X_{c=1}^{1} R_{b}{ }^{c} t_{c}=U t_{D} U^{y}:
$$

The matrix $R$ lies in the group $S U(\mathbb{N}) / Z_{N}$. This is a special orthogonal $m$ atrix $R^{T} R=1$, $\operatorname{det} R=1$, and $S U(\mathbb{N}) / Z_{N}$ is a subgroup of $S O\left(\mathbb{N}^{2} \quad 1\right)$.

Schrodinger wave functions are com plex-valued functions of all the link degrees of freedom $U_{j}(\mathrm{x})$. Physicalw ave functions (fU g ) satisfy G auss' law

$$
\text { (D) l) }(x)(f U g)=X_{j=1}^{X^{2}} \mathbb{D}_{j} l_{j}(x) \rrbracket_{0} \quad(f U g)=0 \text {; }
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}_{j} I_{j}(x) l_{b}=I_{j}(x)_{b} \quad{ }_{c=1}^{x^{2}} R_{j}(x \quad \hat{k} a)_{b}{ }^{c} l_{j}(x \quad \hat{k} a)_{c}: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Som etim es it is useful to introduce color charge operators at lattioe sites, denoted by $q(x)_{b}$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[q(x)_{b} ; q(y)_{c}\right]={i f\left(f_{b c}^{a}\right.}_{a}^{x} q(x)_{a}: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the presence of charges, G auss's law becom es

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{D} & \text { l) }(\mathrm{x}) \tag{2.6}
\end{array} \mathrm{q}\left(\mathrm{x}_{b}\right] \quad(\mathrm{fU} \mathrm{~g})=0:\right.
$$

Henceforth, we will drop the explicit sum $m$ ation sym bol for repeated group indioes and adopt the E instein sum $m$ ation convention. Som etim es we om it the lattioe site or link labels, provided no confusion should be caused by such om issions.

There is a natural geom etric intenpretation of the electric- eld operator. $T$ he $M$ aurer-C artan vector $e_{a}{ }^{a}$, on the $m$ anifold of $S U(\mathbb{N})$ de ned by

$$
e^{a} t_{a}=i U^{1} @ U ;
$$

is given explicitly by

$$
e^{a}=i \frac{11 e^{i A} T}{A} T^{a} ;
$$

in canonical coordinates $A, \quad=1 ;::: N^{2} \quad 1$, de ned by $U=e^{i A}$, and @ = @=@A . The coordinates A are related to the continuum gauge eld A by $A=a A$. The matrix $e$ is nonsingular (inchuding at $A=0$ ). O ne may view $e_{a}{ }^{a}$ as the linear $m$ ap from the group $m$ anifold to the tangent space; this is a particular choice of the vielbein, and in this case there is torsion. The electric- eld operators are given by

$$
l_{a}=i\left(e^{1}\right)_{a} @:
$$

## 3 The axialgauge on a cylinder

By xing an axial gauge, we will nd the gauge-invariant degrees of freedom, up to coordinate singularities ofm easure zero. Such gauge xings have been discussed $m$ any years ago, both in the continuum [1] the path-integral approach to gauge theories. T he advantage ofw orking w ith the H am iltonian instead of the path integral is that unphysical com ponents of the gauge eldsm ay bem ore easily elim inated using $G$ auss's law [ilin (this could also be done in a transfer $m$ atrix form alism ).

W e choose space to be a lattice cylinder of size $L^{1} \quad L^{2}$, $w$ th periodic boundary conditions in the 2 -direction only. Thism eans that for any function $f\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)$ of lattice sites $f\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}+L^{2}\right)=f\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)$. W e take com ponents of $x$ to have the values $x^{1}=0 ; a ; 2 a ;::: ; L^{1}$, and $x^{2}=0 ; a ; 2 a ;::: ; L^{2} \quad$ a. G auss's


$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{1} l_{1}(x)=\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & \left.x^{1} L^{1}\right) l_{1}(x) & (1 & \left.x^{1} 0\right) R_{1}\left(x^{1}\right. & \left.a ; x^{2}\right) I_{1}\left(x^{1}\right.
\end{array} \quad a ; x^{2}\right) ; \\
& D_{2} l_{2}(x)=l_{2}(x)  \tag{3.1}\\
& R_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

to take into account points on the boundary.
W e gauge- x the links in the 1 -direction by $\mathrm{U}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)=11$ everyw here and use ( $\overline{2} \overline{-} \overline{3})$ and (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{l}_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{y}^{1}=0}^{\mathrm{x}^{1}}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \quad 2 \mathfrak{l}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right):\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is som e non-A belian gauge invariance rem aining, nam ely that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2}\right)=x_{x^{1}=0}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}}\left(D_{2} \quad{ }_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)=0:\right. \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We split the H am iltonian into two term $\mathrm{sH}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{V}$, where eventually we set $=\frac{g_{0}^{2}}{2 a}$

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{0}= & \text { IX }^{2}\left(x^{1} \frac{g_{0}^{2}}{2 a} \square_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& x^{2}=0 \quad x^{1}=0  \tag{3.4}\\
& X^{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{a} \frac{1}{2 g_{0}^{2} a}\left[\operatorname{Tr} U_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)^{y_{U}} U_{2}\left(x^{1}+a ; x^{2}\right)+C: C:\right] ;
\end{align*}
$$

and

It w ill be im portant for the discussion in the next section that the constraint (

W e have assum ed until now that no charges are present. If a quark is placed at site $u$, then (2.-1) $m$ ay be solyed to give

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{1}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)=q\left(u^{1} ; u^{2}\right) x^{1} u^{1} x^{2} u^{2} \int_{y^{1}=0}^{x^{x^{1}}}\left(D_{2} \quad 2 \mathscr{l}\left(y^{1} ; x^{2}\right):\right. \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rem aining gauge invariance is

$$
\begin{equation*}
"_{x^{1}=0}^{\mathrm{x}^{1}} \mathrm{D}_{2} \quad 2 \mathfrak{l}\left(\mathrm{x}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right) \quad \mathrm{q}\left(u^{1} ; u^{2}\right) x^{2} u^{2} \quad=0: \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4 C on nem ent at leading order

 bation theory in $V$ m akes sense, this rotation invariance should be restored at su ciently high orders. N otice that $H 0$ is a set of decoupled ( $1+1$ )dim ensional lattioe chiral non-linear sigm a m odels, w th global sym m etry $\operatorname{SU}(\mathbb{N})_{\mathrm{I}} \quad S U\left(\mathbb{N} k\right.$, plus an extra term at the boundary $\mathrm{x}^{1}=0$ (this is a sum of unitary $m$ atrix-m odel H am iltonians). The on-shell properties of these sigm a m odels have been com pletely determ ined; the B ethe Ansatz [ $[\bar{\theta}]$ and analytic $S$-m atrix theory $\left[{ }_{-1}^{0}\right]$ determ ine the spectrum in the renorm alized continuum lim it.

Let usbrie y describe the particles of the chiralSU $\mathbb{N})_{L} \quad S U(\mathbb{N} k$ m odel. $T$ here are fundam ental particles $w$ ith $m$ ass $m_{1}$ transform ing as the fully antisym $m$ etric tensor representation of $S U(\mathbb{N})_{I} \quad S U(\mathbb{N} k$. The particles are
labeled by a quantum number $n=1 ;::$ :N 1. The particle with $n>1$ is a bound state of $n$ fundam ental particles. $W$ e $m$ ay regard the bound state of $n$ particles as a bound state of $N \quad n$ antiparticles. There is no singlet in the one-particle spectrum (which would correspond to $n=N$ ). The particles have $m$ asses

$$
m_{n}=m_{1} \frac{j \sin \frac{n}{N} j}{\sin \bar{N}} ; n=1 ;::: ; N \quad 1 ;
$$

$w$ here the $m$ ass gap $m_{1}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{1}=\frac{C}{a} g_{0}^{K_{2}} e^{\frac{K_{1}}{g_{0}^{2}}}+\quad=\frac{C}{a}\left(e^{2} a\right)^{\frac{K_{2}}{2}} \exp \frac{K_{1}}{e^{2} a}+\quad ; \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is a non-universal constant, $K_{1}=1$ and $K_{2}=4$ are determ ined from the one-and two-loop coe cients of the chiral-m odelbeta function [15 respectively, and the corrections are non-universal.

Suppose that there are no charges present. The rem aining gauge invari-
 the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{x^{1}=0}^{\mathrm{E}_{2}^{1}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} \quad \text { a) } \neq\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} \quad \text { a) }=x_{x^{1}=0}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}} l_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right): ~\right.\right.} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Them eaning of ( $x^{2}$ transform $s$ as a vector $w$ ith som e set of weights under $S U(\mathbb{N})_{I}$, then the state of the chiralm odel at $x^{2}+$ a transform $s$ the sam e way under $S U(\mathbb{N})_{R}$.

For the ground state ${ }_{0}^{(0)}$, which is a product of chiral m odel ground states, each side of ( $\overline{4}-\overline{2}$ ) is autom atically zero; for the $H$ ohenberg $M$ erm inW agner theorem guarantees that it is a singlet under both the left global SU $(\mathbb{N})_{\mathrm{I}}$ and under the right global $S U(\mathbb{N})_{R}$ invariances.

The leading-order H am iltonian describes a theory which con nes funda$m$ entalcharges separated in the 2-direction. $W$ ew ill show that this is true by two di erent lines of reasoning. The rst proof is m ore in line $w$ th the way people usually think about phenom ena in gauge theories. T he second proof is a direct utilization of the conœepts we have used in the previous section and this one.

Here is the rst proof: suppose that a quark is placed at $u^{1} ; u^{2}$ and an anti-quark at $u^{1} ; y^{2} \quad u^{2}$. G auge-invariant states are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X C>=A\left(u^{1} ; y^{2}\right)^{y}{ }_{\text {link2 } C}^{Y} U(\text { link }) B\left(u^{1} ; u^{2}\right)^{y} j 0>; \tag{4,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for som e path $C$ oflinks joing the quark to the anti-quark, whose creation operators are $A^{Y}$ and $B^{Y}$, respectively. The low est-energy state in the presence of the souroes is a supenposition ofsuch states. The H ohenberg $-M$ erm in $W$ agner theorem states that for a H am iltonian w ith a global continuous sym $m$ etry, there is no spontaneous sym m etry breaking. In the unperturbed vacuum, therefore, $\left\langle 0 \mathrm{JJ}_{2} \mathrm{j} 0\right\rangle=0$. This m eans that the action of $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ on the chiralsigm a-m odel ground state produces a superposition of excited states only. $T$ hus the expectation value of $H_{0}$ in any state ( $\overline{4}-\bar{Z}$ ) m ust be bounded below by the gap tim es the separation of the fundam ental charges, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
<C H H_{0} \mathcal{X}>\quad \frac{m_{1}}{a} \dot{y}^{2} \quad u^{2} j ; \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which $m$ eans that there is con nem ent of fundam ental charges, $w$ ith string tension $\mathrm{m}_{1}=\mathrm{a}$. W e call this phenom enon \vertical con nem ent", because con nem ent occurs in the 2 -direction.

N ow for the second proof: the constraint (3). (8) has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{£^{1}} R_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} \quad \text { a) } \neq\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} \quad\right. \text { a) }\right. & q\left(u^{1} ; u^{2}\right) u^{2} x^{2}+q\left(u^{1} ; u^{2}\right) u^{2} y^{2} \\
& =\underbrace{x^{1}} l_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

This tells us that if the chiralm odel at $u^{2} \quad a$ is in an $S U(\mathbb{N}$, singlet state (such as the vacuum ), then the chiralm odel at $u^{2}$ cannot be in an $S U(\mathbb{N})_{R}$ singlet. Thus the chiralm odel at $u^{2}$ is in an excited state. By continuing to use (4.-는) we conclude that all the chiralm odels for $x^{2}$ satisfying $u^{2} \quad x^{2} \quad Y^{2}$ are excited. In this way, we obtain the sam e result for the vertical string tension as that given above.

A rectangular $W$ ilson loop of size $S_{1} \quad S_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
A\left(S_{1} \quad S_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Tr} W\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} ; S_{2}\right)^{Y} W\left(x^{1}+S_{1} ; x^{2} ; S_{2}\right) ; \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

in our gauge, where

$$
\left.W\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} ; S_{2}\right)=U_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}+S_{2}\right) \quad 2\left(x^{1}\right\} x^{1}\right):
$$

C orrelation functions of $\mathrm{U}_{2}$ decay exponentially. W e expect that for large $\mathrm{S}_{1}$

$$
\left.<0 j \mathbb{U}_{2}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)^{y}\right]_{a}^{b} U_{2}\left(x^{1}+S_{1} ; x^{2}\right)_{c}^{d} j 0>D_{a c}^{b d} \exp \left(m_{1} S_{1}\right):
$$

The $W$ ilson loop expectation value is a product of $S_{2}=$ a such correlation functions, and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
<0-A\left(S_{1} \quad S_{2}\right) j 0>r \exp \frac{m_{1}}{a} S_{1} S_{2}: \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his is an area law, $w$ ith the sam $e$ string tension $m_{1}=a$ found above.
There is no \horizontalcon nem ent" - that is, there is no con nem ent in the 1 -direction - yet. H orizontal con nem ent will only appear if the perturbation $V=g_{0}^{2} V=(2 a)$ is taken into account. $T$ his is because the constraint consistent $w$ ith the presence of a quark at $x^{1} ; x^{2}$ and an anti-quark at $y^{1} ; x^{2}$ w th $y^{1} \quad x^{1}$ is (3 3 ), which is satis ed by the unperturbed vacuum . Thus, if V is neglected, there is no force between a quark-anti-quark in the 1direction. The appearance of horizontal con nem ent in perturbation theory w ill be dem onstrated in Section 6.

## 5 W eak-coupling perturbation theory and infrared $n$ iteness

If $L^{1}$ and $L^{2}$ are kept nite, the spectrum of the $H$ am iltonian $H_{0}+V$ is purely discrete. Let us consider this spectrum to second order in R ayleighSchrodinger perturbation theory:

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}+{ }^{2} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}+
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{(1)}=<{ }_{n}^{(0)} j V j{ }_{n}^{(0)}>; E_{n}^{(2)}=X_{m \notin n} \frac{j<{ }_{n}^{(0)} J V j_{m}^{(0)}>\mathcal{j}}{E_{m}^{(0)} E_{n}^{(0)}} ;::: ; \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $j{ }_{n}^{(0)}>$ are the eigenvectors of $H_{0} w$ th eigenvalues $\mathrm{E}_{n}^{(0)}$. The purpose of this section is to show that the corrections to the vacuum energy $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{(1)}$ and $E_{0}^{(2)}$ are proportional to $L^{1} \quad L^{2}$. This happens for two reasons: 1) the ground state of the chiralm odel is \disordered", i.e. tw o-point functions fall - exponentially, and 2) the unperturbed vacuum is a singlet, sim pli es the form of V acting on this vacuum to ( $\overline{3} . \mathrm{Z})$. O ur philosophy is close to that of M andelstam $[1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ in this regard.

The rst correction to the vacuum energy is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{0}^{(1)}=<{ }_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \mathrm{XX}^{1} \quad \mathrm{X}^{1}} \quad \mathbb{D}_{2} \mathbb{Z}_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& x^{1}=0 y^{1}=0 z^{1}=x^{1}+a \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2} I_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{j}{ }_{0}^{(0)}>\text { : }
\end{aligned}
$$

C orrelation functions of $l_{2}$ and $R_{2} l_{2} m$ ust decay exponentially $w$ ith the distance, and therefore this quantity w ill have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{0}^{(1)}, \quad \mathrm{L}^{\text {巩 } a X^{1}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathbb{E}^{1}} e^{\mathrm{m}_{1} \dot{y}^{1} z^{1} j} ; \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dom inant contribution to this expression com es from $y^{1} \quad z$. Since $y^{1} \quad x^{1}<z^{1}, E_{0}^{(1)}$ is proportional to the volum e $L^{1} L^{2}$.

N ext we sketch the proof that the second-order correction to the vacuum energy also scales linearly w th the volum e. N otice that the coe cient of each energy denom inator in the second correction ( $\left.5 . \mathbf{5}^{-1}\right)$ is non-positive. Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{0}^{(2)} j<{\frac{1}{m_{1}}}_{\mathrm{m} \in 0}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{j}<\quad 0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{jV} j_{m}^{(0)}>\mathrm{J}^{\mathrm{f}} \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The connected vacuum expectation value on the right-hand-side of (5.J) has the follow ing form :

$$
\Psi_{0}^{(2)} j<{\frac{L^{2}}{m_{1}}}_{X^{1}=0 w^{1}=0}^{\mathbb{X}^{1}} C\left(x^{1} ; w^{1} ; x^{2}\right) ;
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& C\left(x^{1} ; w^{1} ; x^{2}\right)=\begin{array}{llll}
X & x^{1} & X^{1} \quad X^{1} \quad X^{1} \quad h &
\end{array} 0_{0}^{(0)} j \\
& \text { r } y^{1}=0 z^{1}=x^{1}+a u^{1}=0 v^{1}=w^{1}+a \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} h_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right) \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{l}_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{l}_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) \mathrm{j}{ }_{0}^{(0)}> \\
& \left.<\left.0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right) \mathrm{j}\right|_{0} ^{(0)}\right\rangle \\
& \left.<0_{0}^{(0)} D_{2} l_{2}\left(u^{1} ; x^{2}+r a\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) \mathrm{j}_{0^{(0)}}\right\rangle^{i} \text {; } \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and where $r=0 ; 1$. The chiral $m$ odel is a massive local quantum eld theory, so that vacuum correlation functions $m$ ust cluster for the dom inant part of the sum $m$ ations in (5.4'). Therefore this expression is approxim ated well by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X} \quad \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \quad \mathrm{X}^{1} \quad \mathrm{X}^{1} \quad \mathrm{X}^{1} \quad \mathrm{~h} \\
& C\left(x^{1} ; w^{1} ; x^{2}\right) X<0_{0}^{(0)} D_{2} l_{2}\left(y^{1} ; x^{2}\right)^{T} \\
& \text { r } y^{1}=0 z^{1}=x^{1}+a u^{1}=0 v^{1}=w^{1}+a \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2} I_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) \mathrm{j}{ }_{0}^{(0)}> \\
& \left.<0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) j{ }_{0}^{(0)}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\langle 0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{v}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) \mathrm{j} 0_{0}^{(0)}\right\rangle_{i} \\
& \left.<0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{z}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) \mathrm{j} 0_{0}^{(0)}\right\rangle \text { : } \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

 exponentially aw ay from $x^{1}=w^{2}$. For exam ple, consider the rst factor of the rst term:

$$
\text { F irst Factor }=\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{x}^{1}} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{x}^{1}}<0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) j{ }_{0}^{(0)}>: \text { (5.6) } u^{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x^{1} \quad z$, we may write this as

$$
F F:=\quad \begin{align*}
& X^{x^{1}} X^{1}  \tag{5.7}\\
& y^{1}=0 u^{1}=z^{1}+a
\end{align*}<0_{0}^{(0)} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{y}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}_{2} l_{2}\left(\mathrm{u}^{1} ; \mathrm{x}^{2}+\mathrm{ra}\right) \mathrm{j}_{0}^{(0)}>;
$$

and we see that this expression is nite as $L^{1}$ ! $1 . O n$ the other hand, if $x^{1} \quad z$, we rew rite ( 5 .-6) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F F:=\quad X^{\Psi^{1}} X^{x^{1}}<x^{1}+a u^{1}=0<0_{2}^{(0)} D_{2} l_{2}\left(y^{1} ; x^{2}\right)^{T} D_{2} l_{2}\left(u^{1} ; x^{2}+r a\right) j 0_{0}^{(0)}>; \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and reach the sam e conclusion. Since each factor of each term behaves this way, we can conclude that the second-order correction to the vacuum energy can increase at $m$ ost linearly with $L^{1}$.

Infrared niteness of the vacuum energy to rst and second order in perturbation theory inspires con dence that it should hold to all orders. T he
$m$ ain com plication beyond the second order is the lack of non-positivity or non-negativity of products of $m$ atrix elem ents. W e believe that careful application of the linked-cluster expansion, assum ing clustering in the chiral sigm a m odel, can provide a proof to all orders.

## 6 H orizontal con nem ent

In Section 4 we showed that quarks are con ned vertically, in the 2 -direction, but not horizontally, in the 1-direction, at the zeroth order of the weakcoupling expansion. To see what happens beyond this order, it is necessary to exam ine the quark-anti-quark potential in perturbation theory. This is very straightforw ard to do.

If a quark is located at $u^{1} ; u^{2}$, and an anti-quark is located at $v^{1} ; u^{2} w$ ith $\mathrm{v}^{1}>\mathrm{u}^{1}$, the electric- eld operator in the 1-direction is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{1}\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right)=q\left(u^{1} ; u^{2}\right) x^{1} u^{1} x^{2} u^{2} \quad & q\left(y ; u^{2}\right) x^{1} v^{1} x^{2} u^{2} \\
& x^{x^{1}} \\
& \mathrm{D}_{2} \quad{ }_{2}\left\{\left(y^{1} ; x^{2}\right):\right. \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The constraint ( $\overline{3}=\frac{1}{2}$ ) is unm odi ed. Thus, the unperturbed states and energies are una ected by these tw o charges, aswe claim ed in Section 4. H ow ever, to rst order in perturbation theory, there is a new contribution to $\mathrm{E}_{0}^{(1)}$ equal to

$$
\begin{equation*}
E{ }_{0}^{(1)}=C_{N} \dot{j}^{1} \quad u^{1} j ; \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $C_{N}$ is the $s m$ allest eigenvalue of the $C$ asm ir of $S U(N), q^{2}=C_{N} 11$, by (2.-5. tension is ${ }_{a} C_{N}$. W hat is especially rem arkable about this result is that we can see clearly an electric string form ing along the shortest path connecting the two quarks.

W hat is happening physically is that the vacuum rem ains undisturbed by the charges and prevents the penetration of electric ux. To this low order of perturbation theory, we have a cost of at least $m_{1}$ to excite the chiralm odel at $x^{2}$ and $x^{2} \quad a$. Thus there is a string tension equal to the ( $1+1$ )-dim ensional string tension through an electric $M$ eissner e ect. W e do not have to appeal to the condensation of som e kind ofm agnetic charge
to $m$ ake this interpretation. At higher orders of perturbation theory, the string of electric ux can presum ably uctuate; these corrections are needed to reliably set $=\frac{9_{0}^{2}}{2 a}$.

## 7 C onclusions

In this paper, we have shown that lattice gauge theories in two space and one tim e dim ension con ne charges, through an anisotropic weak-coupling expansion. Though we cannot exactly evaluate the term $s$ in this expansion, by just using som e general know ledge of the chiral nonlinear sigm a m odels, we can $m$ ake precise statem ents about these term $s$.
$T$ he astute reader $m$ ay wonder if the $m$ ethods developed here can work for the oldest known example of non-trivial con nem ent: lattice com pact ( QED$)_{2+1}$ 階]. The answer is that they do not. In this A belian gauge theory, we would expand about the states of the $U$ (1) nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel. This m odelhas a m assless phase at w eak coupling, so we w ould not obtain vertical con nem ent and area-law behavior of the space-like $W$ ilson loop. In fact, our perturbation $m$ ethod $m$ akes no sense at all for lattice (Q ED $)_{2+1}$. The reason is that correlations of the operator $l\left(x^{1} ; x^{2}\right) \quad l\left(x^{1} ; x^{2} \quad\right.$ a) (the adjointrepresentation covariant derivative is sim piy the ordinary lattice derivative) do not fallo su ciently fast to make $l_{1}^{2}$ directly proportional to the volum e. The infrared divergence in the vacuum energy, which concemed us so much, really happens in the Abelian theory. This divergence is not real, but is an artifact of ourm ethods. O ur weak-coupling expansion seem $s$ peculiarly suited to non-A belian theories in this regard.

W e have assum ed that a m ass gap exists in the (1+1)-dim ensionalSU $(\mathbb{N})$ chiralm odel. At strong coupling, this can be proved rigorously w ith a cluster expansion, in the Euclidean lattioe form ulation. Perhaps a fully rigorous proof can be $m$ ade of con nem ent w ith $g_{0}$ large, but small.

Of the questions raised by our analysis we think that six stand out as im portant. W e suspect, how ever, that only the rst, second and possibly the third can be answered in the near future.
$T$ he rst and probably easiest im portant question is w hether the in frared niteness of our perturbation series exists beyond the second order. W e hope to be ab le to settle this issue soon. If settled a m atively, the series probably does not converge, but $m$ ay be $B$ orel sum $m$ able.

The second question is whether adjoint $m$ atter is con ned for nite $N$.

This is certainly happening at rst order in the horizontal direction. We believe that this property will disappear at higher orders.

The third question is raised by the fact that our m ass scales are set by ( $(\overline{4} \cdot-\overline{1})$ ), w ith one exception (the horizontal string tension). A ll these quantities are non-zero for any positive value of a. W e believe, how ever, that we should still have a m ass gap and gap con nem ent as a! 0, provided the continuum coupling constant $g_{0}=(\bar{a})$ is kept xed. O ur vertical string tension, found in Section 4 is too sm all, and our horizontal string tension found in Section 6 is know $n$ only for sm all . T hese num bers should both be proportionalto $g_{0}^{2}=a$, the square of the continuum coupling constant. Perhaps this di culty can be rem oved by resum $m$ ation of the pertunbation series or by a renorm alizationgroup argum ent.

The fourth question is whether we can do a better job of calculating energies and states. Perhaps we could accom plish this, if B ethe's A nsatz for the chiral m odel could be carried out in a form alism where both the leftand right-handed $S U(\mathbb{N})$ sym $m$ etries are $m$ anifest in the $H$ am iltonian. In the work of Polyakov and $W$ iegm ann [-] $]$ only one of these is $m$ anifest; the other appears in the $S$ m atrix, but its interpretation is obscure. If a version of B ethe's A nsatz w ith both sym $m$ etries $m$ anifest can be found, there is the possibility of a better understanding of the ( $2+1$ )-dim ensional gauge theory. O ne could use whatever regularization ism ost expedient fordiagonalising the H am iltonian, instead of the lattice. It $m$ ay be a long tim e before this question can be seriously addressed (perhaps never). W e believe a m ore likely path to success is to expand som e version of the axial-gauge $H$ am iltonian about a system of ( $1+1$ )-dim ensional eld theories other than chiral sigm a m odels. It would be a stroke of good luck, to have an expansion about exactly solvable eld theories where the sym $m$ etries are easy to understand.

The fth question is whether our results can be understood in the context of condensation of $m$ agnetic charge. If a picture of condensing com posite operators could work in the ( $1+1$ )-dim ensional chiral m odels (no one has succeeded in show ing this), then operators de ned on sets of points of one dim ension higher should be im portant for con nem ent in (2+1) dim ensions.

The last and most important question is whether ( $Q C D)_{3+1}$ could be studied by our methods. This is, we hope to no one's supprise, a much harder problem. A lattice gauge theory in $(2+1)$ dim ensions is particularly am enable to the $m$ ethods discussed here, because if the square of electric eld in the 1 -direction is dropped from the $H$ am iltonian, it easily breaks apart into ( $1+1$ )-dim ensional Ham iltonians we know a lot about. This
does not happen in $3+1$ dim ensions. The H am iltonian breaks into (2 + 1)dim ensional Ham iltonians with both gauge elds and $m$ atter in the adjoint representation. These m odels are probably not even renorm alizable, but seem worthy of investigation.
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