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Abstract: The Gauss law needs to be im posed on quantum states to guarantee gauge invariance when one studies gauge theory in ham iltonian form alism. In this work, we propose an e cient variationalm ethod based on the $m$ atrix product ansatz for a $Z 2$ lattice gauge theory on a spatial ladder chain. G auge invariant low-lying states are identi ed by evaluating expectation values of the $G$ auss law operator after num erical diagonalization of the gauge ham iltonian.
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## 1. Introduction

The im portance of the rst-principle study in quantum chrom odynam ics is increasing largely because RH IC experim ent has started and LHC is also com ing. For precise description of high-energy heavy ion collisions, gauge theory needs to be studied at nite tem perature and density in a system atic way. Ideally, we should also have a m ethodology for tracing tim e-evolution of quantum states based on the Schrodinger equation because heavy ion collisions should be treated as non-equilibrium evolving system s rather than static. Lattioe gauge theory is the $m$ ost usefilm ethod for studying the quark-ghon system $s$ at zero and nite tem perature. H ow ever, $M$ onte $C$ arlo integration does not work for lattice gauge theory w ith large chem ical potential because of the severe sign problem. It would be worthw hile to pursue a system atic variational approach to gauge theory
 gauge theory on a spatial ladder lattice based on the previous work in a U (1) lattice gauge theory [6].

Them atrix product ansatz [i] is a variationalm ethod that originates in DM RG (density m atrix renorm alization group) [ir, ', gives the $m$ ost accurate results for spin and fem ion chain $m$ odels such as one-dim ensional
 DMRG is also useful for diagonalization of transfer $m$ atrices in tw o-dim ensional classical statistical system s [1]
 $m$ ethod based on diagonalization of ham iltonian and transfer $m$ atrioes, it is free from the sign problem. A ctually, DM RG has been successfiul in accurate study of -vacuum in the

[^0]$m$ assive Schw ingerm odel [1] $\overline{1} \overline{1}]$. There is an old prediction by S. C olem an that quarks deconne at $=[\underline{1} \overline{\underline{g}}]$. H ow ever, the $m$ odel has not been analyzed accurately $w$ th the $M$ onte C arlo $m$ ethod because the topological term $s$ give com plex action in the Euclidean theory.

T hem atrix product ansatz is a result of large sim pli cation oftrialw avefunction based on the know ledge established in the past D M RG studies. T herefore, the ansatz takes over the good points of DMRG. Besides, the m atrix product ansatz has advantage to DMRG because the form er can treat periodic one-dim ensional system $s$ accurately [2] $[$. Recent interesting progress of the $m$ atrix product ansatz is its application to non-equilibrium quantum physics and quantum inform ation theory $\left[\underline{[1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. The $m$ atrix product ansatz is a prom ising approach to further re nem ent and extension of the past DMRG studies.

In general, calculation of expectation values of ham iltonian becom es di cult exponentially as the system size increases. If the $m$ atrix product ansatz is introduced, the energy function has a sim ple $m$ atrix product form, which can be evaluated easily using a com puter if the $m$ atrix size is $s m$ all. It is expected that exponentially di cult problem s are reduced into $s m$ all tractable ones by the $m$ atrix product ansatz. A ctually, the ansatz has been successfulin giving accurate results in $m$ any one-dim ensionalquantum system $s, w$ here calculation errors can be controlled system atically. W e can say that the $m$ atrix product ansatz is a rst-principle variationalm ethod.

Lattice gauge ham iltonian is obtained by choosing tem poralgauge in partition function of Euclidean lattice gauge theory $[\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1}]$. In ham iltonian form alism, gauge invariance needs to be maintained explicitly by im posing the Gauss law on the Hilbert space. It is a
 the other hand, Euclidean lattice gauge theory can keep gauge invariance $m$ anifestly by construction. This is one of the reasons why ham iltonian version of lattioe gauge theory is not popular. In addition, no system atic $m$ ethods had been know n for diagonalization of gauge ham iltonian before the $m$ atrix product ansatz was applied to lattige gauge theory in ref. [6] $]$. If trial w avefiunction is constrained directly $w$ th the $G$ auss law, the advantage of the $m$ atrix product ansatz is com pletely spoiled because calculation of energy function becom es im possible in a practical sense. If the ham iltonian is diagonalized w thout the G auss law, all possible states are obtained including gauge variant states. H ow ever, it m ust be possible to extract gauge invariant states because alleigenstates of the ham ilton ian can be classi ed using generators of the considered gauge group. T herefore, if the $m$ atrix product ansatz is used, we better start from the whole H ilbert space and then identify gauge invariant states using the $G$ auss law operator after all calculations.

In ham iltonian lattice gauge theory, the dim ension of spatial lattice needs to be tw o or larger because the plaquette operator is a tw o-dim ensional ob ject. In this paper, we study a $Z_{2}$ lattice gauge theory on a spatial ladder chain for sim plicity. T he length of the chain needs to be su ciently long for the $m$ atrix product ansatz to work well. T he ladder chain is squashed into a chain because one-dim ensional structure needs to be found in order to use the $m$ atrix product ansatz.

In the previous w ork [-0 m odels and a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ lattice gauge theory on a ladder chain using the m atrix product ansatz in a sim ilar way, where energy function is $m$ inim ized using the $P$ ow ell $m$ ethod. $W$ hen the
num ber of param eters is very large, such naive $m$ inim ization is not usefulbecause it takes long tim e to reach the bottom of the energy function. In this work, we use a diagonalization $m$ ethod introduced in ref. $\left.\underline{\underline{2}}_{\underline{1}}^{1} 1\right]$ to obtain su cient accuracy.

This paper is organized as follow s. In section ham iltonian lattice form ulation of the $Z_{2}$ lattioe gauge theory is brie $y$ review ed. In section ilin the $m$ atrix product ansatz is introduced and applied to a $Z_{2}$ lattice gauge ham ittonian. In the original construction, the $m$ atrix product states is assum ed to have translational invariance. In this work, that condition is not im posed on variational space before diagonalization of the gauge ham iltonian. In section '
2. Q uantum ham iltonian in the $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ lattice gauge theory

 $T$ hem odel cannot have non-vanishing $m$ agnetization because local gauge sym $m$ etry cannot break spontaneously, which is known as the E litzur's theorem $\left.{ }_{[2]}^{2} \overline{7}_{1}\right]$. H ow ever, the $m$ odel can have nontrivial phases depending on dim ensionality.

W e are interested in quantum ham iltonian of the $m$ odel. Statistical mechanics and quantum ham iltonian are connected through the transfer $m$ atrix form alism. In the $Z_{2}$ lattice gauge theory, quantum ham iltonian is obtained by choosing tem poral gauge in the partition function [2"]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\underbrace{x}_{n ; i} \quad x ; i) \quad X_{n ; i ; j} P(n ; i ; j) ; \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $z$ are spin operators

$$
x=\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} \quad ; \quad z=\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array} ;
$$

and $P$ is a plaquette operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(n ; i ; j) \quad z(n ; i) z(n+i ; j) z(n+i+j ; i) z(n+j ; i): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In eq. $(2,-1)$, the rst and second sum $m$ ations are taken on the spatial lattice for all possible link and plaquette operators, respectively. In general, arbitrary states can be represented as a superposition of products of $j i_{n ; i}$, which are eigenstates of the spin operator $z(n ; i)$

$$
z(n ; i) j i_{n ; i}=j i_{n ; i}:
$$

Let us introduce tim e-independent operators $G(n)$, each of whidh ips spins on all the links em erging from a site $n$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n)={ }_{i}^{Y} \times(n ; i): \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have

$$
\begin{aligned}
G^{1}(n) x(m ; i) G(n) & =x(m ; i) ; \\
G^{1}(n)_{z}(n ; i) G(n) & =z(n ; i) ; \\
G^{1}(n)_{z}(m ; i) G(n) & =z_{z}(m ; i) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last form ula applies only if the link ( $m$;i) is not contained in $G(n)$. The operator $G(n)$ de nes local gauge transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{n}){ }^{1} \mathrm{H} G(\mathrm{n})=\mathrm{H}: \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order for physical quantities to be gauge invariant, quantum states need to be invariant under gauge transform ation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(n) j i=j i: \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e need to im pose the G auss law [2] O therw ise, unphysical states $m$ ay be obtained because gauge invariance is not guaranteed.
 h j z (n) ji= h j $z(n) j i h o l d s$.

## 3. M atrix product ansatz on a ladder lattice

W e are going to introduce the m atrix product ansatz, which is a variational m ethod inspired from density $m$ atrix renorm alization group (DMRG).DMRG is a variationalm ethod that can reproduces very accurate results in one-dim ensional quantum system $s$ [ $\overline{0}_{1}, 1 \overline{1}_{1}, 1$ In DMRG, wavefunction is represented as a product of orthogonal m atrioes because basis states are rotated for optim ization $w$ th orthogonal $m$ atrices that diagonalize density $m$ atrices. The success of DM RG allow s us to param etrize wavefunction as a product of nite-dim ensionalm atrices from the beginning. This sim pli cation ofw avefunction is called the m atrix product ansatz [ī1]. A though D M RG has slow convergence in one-dim ensional quantum system sw ith periodicboundary conditions, them atrix product ansatz gives m uch better accuracy ² $_{2} \overline{0}_{1}$ ].


Figure 1: A spatial chain w th lattice size L. The open circles indicate periodicity. The site variables are dynam ical. The sam e set ofm atriges A [s] is assigned to all the sites.

In the original construction, the $m$ atrix product state is param etrized as follow $s$ [in $\left[\begin{array}{l}1,1\end{array}\right.$ :

$$
j i=\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}}^{\mathrm{Y}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{n}}{ } \mathrm{~A}\left[\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}\right] \dot{\xi}_{\mathrm{n}} i \quad ;
$$

where $f \dot{\jmath}_{n}$ ig is a complete set ofbasis states for the $n$-th site (see gure $\underset{\sim}{\bar{\eta}}$ ) . $H$ am iltonian needs to have periodicity for consistency $w$ ith the trace operation in the variational state. A s a result, energy becom es a function of the $m$ atrices $A$ [ $s$ ]. Them in im um of the energy function corresponds to the ground state. $W$ e are going to apply the ansatz to a $Z_{2}$ gauge theory and see its com patibility w ith gauge sym m etry.

Since this work is the rst application of the $m$ atrix product ansatz to $\mathrm{Z}_{2}$ gauge theory, wew ould like to consider a sim plem odel. $T$ he sim plest one is a $Z_{2}$ ham iltonian lattiae gauge
theory on a spatial ladder lattice (see gure $\frac{\text { 'V). }}{\text { I }}$. W e assum e periodicity in the horizontal direction on the ladder for later convenience. In gure periodicity is denoted w th the open circles.


Figure 2: A spatial ladder chain with lattioe size L. T he open circles indicate periodicity. T he link variables are dynam ical. D i erent sets ofm atrioes are assigned to links.

In the free case $=0$, the ham iltonian $(\overline{2}-\overline{1})$ (1) can be diagonalized analytically. T he vacuum state is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jvaci }={ }_{n ; i}^{Y} \frac{1}{2}\left(j+i_{n ; i}+j i_{n ; i}\right): \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Vacuum expectation values of the ham iltonian and plaquette operators are hHi=L $=3$ and $\mathrm{hP} i=0$, respectively. In this case, one-dim ensionalm atrices are su cient to represent the vacuum state $(\overline{3} \hat{2})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A[+]=A[]=\frac{1}{P}: \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen the coupling constant is very large ! 1 , the rst term of the ham iltonian [2.1) can be neglected. A s a result, the ham iltonian has a diagonal form . T he vacuum state is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { jvaci }=p \frac{1}{2^{2 L+1}} \mathbb{X}_{m=0}^{X^{L}}{ }^{(m)^{Y}} \underset{n ; i}{j} i_{n ; i} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the operator $G(m)$ represents a sum $m$ ation ofallpossible products that are com posed ofm pieces of di erent $G$ auss-law operators $G$. The operator $G{ }^{(m)}$ has ${ }_{2 L} C_{m}$ term $S\left(G{ }^{(0)}\right.$ 1). In eq. $(\overline{3}-4 \overline{1})$, the tw o de nitions are identical. Then, we have hH $i=L!$ and hP i! 1

$T$ he $Z_{2}$ lattige gauge $m$ odel has only link variables. In our construction, each link is assigned a di erent set of $m$ atrioes $A_{n}, B_{n}$, and $C_{n}$ for param etrization of $w$ avefunction (see gure ${ }^{2}$ ). The index $n$ represents the $n$-th square on the ladder chain and runs from 1 to $L$. The dim ension of the $m$ atrices is M. Then, ourm atrix product state is

$$
\begin{align*}
& j i=\operatorname{tr} \begin{array}{llllll}
Y^{\Psi} & X & X & X & A_{n}\left[S_{n} \mathbb{B}_{n} \mathbb{L}_{n}\right] C_{n}\left[u_{n}\right] j_{n} i_{n} \dot{J}_{n} i_{n} j_{n} i_{n} \quad ;
\end{array}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \mathrm{n}=1 \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}=
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the $m$ atrioes are $m$ ultiplied in ascending order keeping the order of $A_{n} B_{n} C_{n}$, and the basis states $j i_{n}, f i_{n}$, and $j u i_{n}$ are eigenstates of the spin operator $z$ asbefore. In this
expression, the variables $s, t$, and $u$ are used instead of the index ito denote the position of the links. T he im plem entation of the $m$ atrix product ansatz $m$ eans that a ladder lattice has been represented as a one-dim ensional system with non-nearest neighbor interactions. G auge invariance of $m$ atrix product states $w$ illbe discussed in the next section.

If we require orthogonality of optim um basis states according to ref. [ī1], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{M} \quad X \\
& \left(X_{n}[\mathrm{~s}]\right)_{i j}\left(X_{n}[\mathrm{~S}]\right)_{\mathrm{i}^{0} j}=\mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}^{0} ;  \tag{3.6}\\
& j=1 \mathrm{~s}= \\
& X^{M} \quad X \\
& \left(X_{n}[s]\right)_{i j}\left(X_{n}[s]\right)_{i j^{0}}=j j^{0} ; \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $X$ stands for $A ; B$, and C. If these conditions are not im posed, norm of the $m$ atrix product state (3) $\overline{3}$ ) $m$ ay becom es very $s m a l l$, which results in num erical instability.

E nergy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{h j j i}{h j i} ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a function of the $m$ atrioes $A_{n}[s], B_{n}[t]$, and $C_{n}[u]$. The num erator and denom inator can be calculated by evaluating trace of a product of 3 L m atrices num erically :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X^{L} \quad n \quad 1+\Psi m o d L \\
& h j j i=\quad \operatorname{tr}\left(a_{n=1} y_{n} z_{n}+x_{n} b_{n} z_{n}+x_{n} Y_{n} C_{n}\right) \underset{m=n+1 m o d L}{ } w_{m}+ \\
& n=1 \quad \begin{array}{c}
m=n+1 m \text { od L } \\
n \quad 1+\Psi \bmod L
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}+2 \mathrm{mod} \mathrm{~L} \\
& h j i=\operatorname{tr} \quad Y_{m=1}^{\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{m}}} \quad \text {; } \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where


The dim ension of the $m$ atrices on the left hand side is $M^{2}$. By the outer product sym bol , wemean

$$
a_{(i ; k) ;(j ; 1)}=X_{s ; s^{0}}^{X} \quad s s^{0} A_{i j}[s] A_{k l}\left[s^{0}\right]:
$$

Them inim um of the energy function (3). corresponds to the ground state, which can be obtained based on $m$ atrix diagonalization as explained below. W e can reduce the $m$ ini$m$ ization problem (3̄.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{H} v=E \mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{~N} v ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ and $N$ are $2 M^{2}$ by $2 M^{2}$ matrioes. To understand what is going here, let us consider how energy can be $m$ inim ized by varying $A_{n}[s]$ when other $m$ atrices are xed. $N$ ote that equations $[\overline{3}-9)$ and $(3 \overline{1}-\overline{1} 0)$ are bilinear of the $m$ atrix $A_{n}[s]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { X X } \\
& \text { h } \left.\mathrm{H} j \mathrm{j} i=\quad\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}}[\mathrm{~s}]\right)_{i j} \mathrm{H}_{(\mathrm{i} ; j ; \mathrm{s}) ;(\mathrm{k} ; i, t)}\left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{t}\right]\right]_{\mathrm{kl}} \text {; } \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& h j i=\quad\left(A_{n}[s]\right)_{i j} N_{(i ; j ; s) ;(k ; i, t)}\left(A_{n}[t]\right)_{k l} ;  \tag{3.13}\\
& \text { i;j;k; s }
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here the $m$ atrix $N$ is diagonal for the indices $s$ and $t$. O nce these expressions are obtained and the variational param eters $A_{n}[s]$ are regarded as a vector $v$, the $m$ in im ization problem (3-8) reduces to ( 3 (1) 1

There is one more trick that needs to be im plem ented. A s explained in equations
 the above procedure is used as it is. This is because the $m$ atrix $N$ may have very $s m$ all eigenvalues if the $m$ atrices $A_{n}[s], B_{n}[s]$, and $C_{n}[s]$ are varied freely. For this reason, we need to im pose one of the conditions [ $\overline{3} . \mathrm{G})$ or $(\overline{3} \cdot \overline{2})$ ) on the $m$ atrioes.

A $m$ atrix can be decom posed into a product of three $m$ atrioes, which is called singular value decom position. For exam ple, if we regard a tensor A [s] as a matrix $A_{i ;(j ; s)}=$ $(A[s])_{i j}=A_{I J}$, singular value decom position of $A_{I J}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{I J}=X_{K=1}^{X^{1}} U_{I K} D_{K} V_{K J J}: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $D_{K}$ are the singular values of the $m$ atrix $A$ [2] $T$. $T$ he $m$ atrices $U$ and $V$ are orthonorm al

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{I}=1}^{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IK}} \mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{IK} 0}=\mathrm{Kk}^{0} ;{ }_{\mathrm{X}=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{M}}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{K} \mathrm{~J}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{K} 0} 0_{\mathrm{J}}=\mathrm{Kk}^{0} \text { : } \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he decom position $A=U^{Q} V$, where $U^{0}=U D$ is a square $m$ atrix, is the key of the trick. $C$ onsider a part of the $m$ atrix product $w$ avefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
C[\mathrm{u}] \mathrm{A}[\mathrm{~s}]=\mathrm{C}^{0}[\mathrm{u}] \mathrm{A}^{0}[\mathrm{~s}] ; \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $\left(A^{0}[s]\right)_{i j}=V_{i ;(j ; s)}$ and $C^{0}[u]=C[u] U^{0}$. If the decom position (3.14) is accurate, the both representations give the sam e result. B ased on this trick, the eigenvalue problem $\left.[3.1]^{1}\right)$ is solved successively for all the sets of the $m$ atrioes starting from the right end in gure

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}\left[\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{L}}\right]!\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{L}}\right]!\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{L}}\left[\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{L}}\right]!\quad!_{1}\left[\mathrm{a}_{1}\right]!\mathrm{B}_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}\right]!\mathrm{A}_{1}\left[\mathrm{~s}_{1}\right] ; \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

 process is repeated several tim es, energy of low -lying states converges to som e value. C alculation error can be controlled system atically by increasing the $m$ atrix dim ension $M$. See A ppendix ${ }_{\underline{L}}^{1}$ Al for generation of in itialm atrices.

## 4. N um erical results

The $m$ atrix product ansatz assum es large lattige. O ur lattice size $L=500$ is su ciently
 states, realm atrices are su cient for param eterizing the $m$ atrix product state ( $\left\{\begin{array}{l}-\overline{5})_{1}^{\prime} \text {. C on- }\end{array}\right.$ vergence of energy needs to be checked for the num ber of sw eeps and the $m$ atrix dim ension M . Energy density $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{L}$ converges in accuracy of ve digits or higher after two sweeps $w$ hen the $m$ atrix size $M$ is xed.

| M | $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{3}=\mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{4}=\mathrm{L}$ | $E_{5}=\mathrm{L}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| = 0:1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3:001 | 2:997 | 2:997 | 2:997 | $2: 993$ | 2:993 |
| 3 | 3:001 | 2:997 | 2:997 | 2:997 | 2:994 | 2:993 |
| 4 | 3:001 | 2:997 | 2:997 | 2:997 | 2:997 | 2:995 |
| $=1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 3:124 | 3:121 | 3:121 | 3:118 | 3:114 | 3:112 |
| 3 | 3:124 | 3:121 | 3:121 | 3:118 | 3:114 | 3:112 |
| 4 | 3:124 | 3:121 | 3:121 | 3:118 | 3:114 | 3:112 |
| $=10$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 10.27 | 10:27 | 10:27 | 10:27 | 10.23 | 10:23 |
| 3 | 10:27 | $10 \cdot 27$ | 10.27 | 10:27 | 10.26 | 10.23 |
| 4 | 10:27 | 10:27 | $10: 27$ | 10:27 | $10: 26$ | 10.23 |

Table 1: E nergy density $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{L}$ of six low-lying states is listed for $=0: 1 ; 1$, and 10 when lattice size is $L=500$. G ood convergence of energy is obtained $w$ ith $s m$ all M .

Table '11 show s energy spectra of six low -lying states for three values of the coupling constant: $=0: 1 ; 1$, and 10. The sw eep process has been repeated $t w$ ice. In this $m$ odel, convergence of energy is very fast in contrast to $H$ eisenberg chains [G][ dim ension is su cient for good convergence. Since we have obtained low -lying states w ithout im posing the G auss law on the variational space, gauge variant states are contained. In table'1, gauge invariant states are denoted w ith underlines. The other states are gauge variant. A s we will see, gauge invariant physical states can be identi ed by calculating expectation values of the $G$ auss law operator.

In the ladder chain $m$ odel, the $G$ auss law operator $G(n)$ is a product of three $z$ operators (tw o horizontaland one vertical). W e evaluate expectation values of $G(n)$ on the upper lattice sites show in gure $\underset{\underset{V}{2}}{ }$. T Then, the num ber of the $G$ auss law operators to be evaluated is L. Expectation values on the low er sites are sam e as the upper ones because of re ection sym $m$ etry. Figures ${ }_{1}^{-1}$ plots expectation values of the $G$ auss law operator h ( $n$ )i in the case of $=10$ for the states (a) $E_{0}$, (b) $E_{1}$, (c) $E_{2}$, and (d) $E_{3}$. In gures ${ }_{-1}^{1.1}$ (a) and (d), the Gauss law $G(n)=1$ is satis ed uniform ly on every lattioe sites. Therefore, the obtained states $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ are gauge invariant. On the other hand, in gures $\underline{N}_{1}$ (b) and (c), the states $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are gauge variant because gauge sym $m$ etry is de ntely broken at the site $n=500$. The position of this special lattioe site depends on where the sweep process


Figure 3: Expectation values of the $G$ auss law operator is plotted for the four low-ling states (a) $\mathrm{E}_{0}$, (b) $\mathrm{E}_{1}$, (c) $\mathrm{E}_{2}$, and (d) $\mathrm{E}_{3} \mathrm{w}$ th $=10, \mathrm{~L}=500$, and $\mathrm{M}=4$. T he circles are the calculated values. The states (a) and (d) are gauge invariant because the $G$ auss law is satis ed on every lattice sites. On the other hand, the states (b) and (c) are gauge variant because hg (500) i=1. These statem ents hold in accuracy of seven digits or higher.
ends. The relation hG $(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{i}=1$ or 1 holds for the obtained low-lying states in accuracy of seven digits or higher when $M=4$.

A ccording to the E lizur's theorem, gauge variant operators have vanishing expectation values. W e have checked that expectation values of single spin operators $z(n)$ vanish for the gauge invariant states in accuracy of ten digits or higher when $\mathrm{M}=4$. We also have checked that the gauge variant states have vanishing expectation values of $z(n)$ in the sam e accuracy. T hese statem ents apply to the low -lying states show $n$ in table $\frac{11}{11}$.

In this way, we can classify the obtained states into gauge invariant states and others.


Figure 4: Vacuum energy density $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{L}$ is plotted as a function of the coupling constant with lattioe size $L=500$. The circles are the calculated values, which are consistent $w$ th the exact ones given in sec.

Figure $\overline{4}$ plots vacuum energy density as a function of the coupling constant.
In order to see how spatialdistribution look like, we evaluate expectation values of the plaquette operator for the vacuum and the excited states. $F$ igure of the plaquette as functions of the spatial lattice coordinate $n$ for the gauge invariant low lying states (a) $E_{0},(b) E_{3}$, and (c) $E_{5}$ in the case of $=10$. A s expected, the vacuum state $\mathrm{E}_{0}$ has com plete uniform ity. On the other hand, the excited states $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ have lum ps around $n=500$. T he higher excited states have sim ilar lum ps around the boundary. T he obtained solutions have periodicity because the plaquette distributions are continuous around the boundary. T he position of the hum ps can be m oved w thout changing energy in the sw eep process as explained in gure $\bar{S}_{1}^{1}$. . Figure ${ }_{1}^{\prime 6} 1$ the plaquette operator as a function of the coupling constant. For sm all and large , the tendency of energy and plaquette is consistent w ith the exact values given in section $\bar{\sim}$

## 5. Sum m ary

W e have extracted gauge invariant physical states in a $Z_{2}$ ham ittonian lattice gauge theory on a spatial ladder chain. T he calculations are based on the $m$ atrix product ansatz, which gives su ciently convergent energy and wavefiunction for low-lying states. In the future studies, sim ilar calculations should be tested in higher dim ensional lattice gauge theory including supersym $m$ etric cases [3] $\left.\overline{1}_{1}\right]$. T he proposed $m$ ethod $w$ ill be usefiul especially for non-perturbative analysis of vacuum structure at the am plitude level.
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Figure 5: Vacuum expectation values of the plaquette operator are plotted for the gauge invariant states (a) $E_{0}$, (b) $E_{3}$, and (c) $E_{5} w$ ith $=10$ and $L=500$. The excited states (b) and (c) have non-uniform ity around the boundary $n=500$.

## A. Generation of in itial $m$ atrices

Before starting sw eep process, we need to prepare in itial values of $m$ atrioes for the variational state ( $\overline{3} \overline{-5})$. A s explained in section ${ }^{\prime} \overline{3}_{1}$, the $m$ atriges need to satisfy one of the
 is also useful form inim ization of energy function $w$ th the $P$ ow ell $m$ ethod [G]].

Let us consider realm atrices A [s] that satisfy the follow ing norm alization condition

$$
{\underset{j=1 ~}{X^{M}=1} X^{K} A[s]_{i j} A[s]_{i^{0} j}=i_{i}{ }^{0} ; ~}_{\text {i }}
$$

$w$ here $M$ is the dim ension of the $m$ atrices $A[s]$ and $K$ is the degrees of freedom of each site or link. To param etrize the $m$ atriges A [s], we introduce K M -dim ensional vectors $v^{(n)}$

These vectors are linearly independent and can be orthonorm alized using the G ram -


Figure 6: Vacuum expectation value of the plaquette operator is plotted as a function of the coupling constant $w$ th lattice size $L=500$. The circles are the calculated values, which are consistent $w$ ith the exact ones given in sec.

Schm idt m ethod

$$
\begin{align*}
a^{(1)} & =\frac{v^{(1)}}{\dot{j}^{(1)} j}  \tag{A.3}\\
b^{(k+1)} & =v^{(k+1)} \quad X^{k} h v^{(k+1)} ; a^{(n)} i a^{(n)}  \tag{A.4}\\
a^{(k+1)} & =\frac{b^{(k+1)}}{D_{(k+1)} j}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{k}=1$;:::;M 1 and the brackets represent inner product. The orthonorm alized vectors $a^{(n)}$ are used to param etrize the $m$ atriges $A[s]_{i j}=A_{i ;(j ; s)}$

$$
A_{i ;(j ; s)}=\begin{gather*}
0 \\
\mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{B}} \quad \begin{array}{c}
(1)^{1} \\
a^{(M)}
\end{array}, \tag{A.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

which satisfy the conditions $[\bar{A}-\overline{1} \overline{1})$. W hen eq. ( $\left.\bar{A} \mathbf{A}_{-} \overline{I_{1}}\right)$ is satis ed, the num ber of independent degrees of freedom associated $w$ th the $m$ atrioes $A[s]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K M^{2} \frac{M(M+1)}{2} ; \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equal to that of the vectors $v^{(n)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M } 1 \\
& \text { ( } \mathrm{K} M \mathrm{M} \text { ) } \mathrm{M} \text { : } \\
& \mathrm{n}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

In eq. $(\overline{\bar{A}}-\overline{-} \overline{-})$, the rst term counts the num ber of the param eters $v_{i}^{(n)}$ and the second term
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ By $\backslash d$-dim ensional", we $m$ ean $(1+d)$-dim ensional spacetim $e$.

