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#### Abstract

The irreducible representations of the cubic space group are described and used to determine the mapping of continuum states to lattice states with non-zero linear momentum. The Clebsch-Gordan decomposition is calculated from the character table for the cubic space group. These results are used to identify multiparticle states which appear in the hadron spectrum on the lattice.


## 1 Introduction

A question of great interest in lattice QCD is how rotational states on the lattice correspond to states of definite angular momentum in the continuum limit. This problem has been discussed in several contexts previously - in solid state physics, the "cubic harmonics" are formed by a projection of the continuum spherical harmonics onto the lattice, e.g. [1]. In lattice QCD, the reduction of continuum states to the hypercubic lattice was given by Mandula et al. [2], and the reduction of the full continuum symmetry group (including Poincaré, color, flavor, and baryon number symmetries) to the lattice for the case of staggered fermions was given by Golterman and Smit [3, 4] and expanded to include non-zero momentum by Kilcup and Sharpe [5].

We are interested in the classes of lattice actions with unbroken flavor symmetries (i.e. Wilson and overlap). In particular, we focus on the IRs of the symmetry group of the lattice Hamiltonian. Johnson [6] considered the mapping of continuum $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ states to the octahedral group and its double cover, and Basak et al. [7, 8] considered the inclusion of parity in these groups. We expand this work to include states at non-zero momentum [9].

In addition, we consider multiparticle states on the lattice by calculating the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of direct products of lattice IRs. In many lattice calculations, the operators used to compute correlation functions are constructed to transform irreducibly under the symmetry group of
continuum QCD Hamiltonian. As above, it is well known that these operators need not transform irreducibly under the symmetry group of the lattice QCD Hamiltonian. Thus, it is important to understand how such continuum operators transform on the lattice in order to correctly determine what multiparticle states will appear in the lattice spectrum. When calculating ground state masses, ignoring this fact usually does not lead to confusion. One possible exception is the $I\left(J^{P}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}^{+}\right)$and $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{5}{2}^{+}\right)$baryons, whose lowest-lying resonances should correspond to the experimentally observed $N(1720) P_{13}$ and $N(1680) F_{15}$, respectively [10].

We demonstrate for each set of quantum numbers in the center-ofmass frame what two-particle decompositions are possible, including states with non-zero relative momentum.

## 2 The symmetry groups and their representations

The continuum spacetime symmetries of the QCD action are given by the Poincaré group, $\mathscr{P}$. The spatial symmetries of the QCD Hamiltonian correspond to a subgroup of $\mathscr{P}$ which is the semidirect product of the group of orthogonal transformations in three dimensions and the group of translations, $\mathscr{T}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}(3)$ (pure time inversion is also a symmetry element, but its addition is trivial since it commutes with all other group elements). On the lattice, the symmetry group is $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}$, where the rotational group is reduced to a subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(3)$ with only a finite number of rotations and reflections, and where the subgroup of $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3}$ contains only lattice translations. We consider the double covers of the rotational groups since the double valued IRs correspond to fermionic states in the continuum.

The group of proper rotations of a cube in three dimensions is the octahedral group, O . We are also interested in its double cover, $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{D}}$, and we consider the inclusion of parity by forming the group $\mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}=\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{D}} \times \mathrm{C}_{2}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ consists of the identity and a parity element $I_{s}$, corresponding to inversion of all three coordinate axes through the origin. The inclusion of parity is straightforward because $I_{s}$ commutes with all proper rotations in three dimensions.

For $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$, we can then write the group elements $\left\{R_{i}, \mathbf{n}\right\}$, where $R_{i}$ denotes a rotation in one of the lattice rotation groups discussed above, followed by a lattice translation by $\mathbf{n}$. The subgroup of translations, $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3}$, is normal, so we can easily use this subgroup to induce the IRs of the full group. We can construct the characters for the IRs of the continuous group

Table 1. Reduction of the double cover of $\mathrm{O}(2)$ to the possible little groups.

| $m_{j}$ | $\mathrm{Dic}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Dic}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{Dic}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0^{+}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A_{1}$ | $A$ |
| $0^{-}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A_{2}$ | $A$ |
| $\frac{1}{2}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E$ | $E$ | $2 B$ |
| 1 | $E_{2}$ | $E_{2}$ | $B_{1} \oplus B_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $2 A$ |
| $\frac{3}{2}$ | $E_{3}$ | $B_{1} \oplus B_{2}$ | $E$ | $E$ | $2 B$ |
| 2 | $B_{1} \oplus B_{2}$ | $E_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $2 A$ |
| $\frac{5}{2}$ | $E_{3}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E$ | $E$ | $2 B$ |
| 3 | $E_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $B_{1} \oplus B_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $2 A$ |
| $\frac{7}{2}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E_{1}$ | $E$ | $E$ | $2 B$ |
| 4 | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $E_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $A_{1} \oplus A_{2}$ | $2 A$ |

analogously. We then subduce a representation of the cubic space group by considering the IRs of the continuum group restricted to the subgroup of elements which are in the lattice group. By the orthogonality properties of characters for finite groups, we can decompose the subduced continuum representation into lattice IRs. However, the group $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ allows arbitrarily large translations, so we must consider the 3-torus formed by the boundary conditions $\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{r}$ for all vectors $\mathbf{r}$ and some constant vector $\mathbf{N}=(N, N, N)$.

For finite lattices, we find that the projection formula reduces to the projection of the continuous rotation group to the little group given by $\mathbf{k}$, independent of the lattice size. We also find that representations labeled by different stars are orthogonal. Therefore, the reduction of an arbitrary continuum IR labeled by $\left(\mathbf{k}, m_{j}\right)$ contains IRs of the discrete group labeled by $\mathbf{k}$, and by $\alpha$ which correspond to the reduction of $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{D}}(2)$ to the little group [9].

If $\mathbf{k}=0$, one reduces $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{D}}(3)$ to $\mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$. These results can be read off those given by Johnson [6] using the result that IRs of $\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{D}}(3)$ with positive parity, $\pi=+1$, correspond to the "gerade" IRs $\left(e . g . A_{1 g}\right)$ of $\mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ only, and those with $\pi=-1$ correspond to the "ungerade" IRs (e.g. $A_{1 u}$ ) only .

## 3 Clebsch-Gordan Decomposition

Additionally, we wish to calculate the decomposition of the direct product of IRs of $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ into a direct sum of IRs. Using the character table for $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$, the character of a group element $g \in \mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ in the direct product representation $\Gamma_{i} \otimes \Gamma_{j}$ is given as $\chi^{\Gamma_{i}, \Gamma_{j}}(g)=\chi^{\Gamma_{i}}(g) \chi^{\Gamma_{j}}(g)$. The direct
product representations are then decomposed into lattice IRs using their orthogonality properties.

As we expect, we see that linear momentum is conserved, i.e. the product of two representations with momenta $\left|\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}\right|$ and $\left|\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}\right|$ gives only representations labeled by $|\mathbf{k}|$ which are the sum of some vector in the star of $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and some vector in the star of $\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}$. Thus, the direct product of two IRs of $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ contain IRs labeled by $|\mathbf{k}|=0$ (the IRs of $\mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ ) if and only if $\left|\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{1}}\right|=\left|\mathbf{k}_{\mathbf{2}}\right|$. Complete tables of the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition for each of the possible lattice momenta are given in [11].

## 4 Multiparticle States

Since continuum IRs with $J \leq \frac{3}{2}$ remain irreducible under the reduced symmetries of the lattice, the continuum relations are recovered for low spins. For example, the $\pi$ with $J^{P}=0^{-}$lies in the irreducible representation $A_{1 u}$, and the $\pi \pi$ multiparticle state lies in $A_{1 u} \otimes A_{1 u}=A_{1 g}$, which as expected corresponds to $J^{P}=0^{+}$.

Higher spin states are less straightforward since multiple lattice IRs appear for each spin. A spin 2 continuum state could lie in either the $E$ or $T_{2}$ representations on the lattice, which leads to different possibilities for multiparticle states depending on the particular decomposition of the spin 2 continuum IR into lattice IRs.

When combining states with non-zero momentum, the continuum relations are not as easily recovered. Here, the continuum representations are no longer labeled by $J$, but by the projection of $J$ along the momentum vector, $m_{j}$. However, we know that a particle with a given $m_{j}$ has $J \geq m_{j}$, so the lowest spin state for a given irreducible representation of $\mathscr{T}_{\text {lat }}^{3} \rtimes \mathrm{O}_{h}^{\mathrm{D}}$ will be $J=m_{j}$. In addition, the reduced symmetry of the little groups leads to fewer distinct lattice IRs than at zero momentum. Since the continuum IRs are mapped to fewer lattice representations, it is more difficult to assign a particular spin to a given lattice irreducible representation.

Thus, as we go to higher spins or non-zero momentum deviations will occur from the continuum behavior. For example, a $J^{P}=2^{+}$state in the continuum can lie in either $E_{g}, T_{2 g}$, or some combination of both on the lattice. In the continuum, an $f_{2}(1270)$ meson with $J^{P}=2^{+}$has the decay modes $\pi \pi, 4 \pi$, and $K \bar{K}[12]$, and we expect to see multiparticle states in the lattice spectrum corresponding to these decay modes. Indeed, the multiparticle state $\{n, 0,0\} ; A_{2} \otimes\{n, 0,0\} ; A_{2}$ corresponding to these decay modes occurs
in the $E_{g}$ channel, but not in the $T_{2 g}$ channel. We must calculate exactly how the particular spin 2 continuum state we are interested in subduces to the lattice to determine whether these multiparticle states will appear. In this case, it is possible that states we expect from the continuum rules for addition of angular momentum would be absent from the lattice spectrum. Additionally, since each lattice IR corresponds to many continuum states, the multiparticle states expected for each of the corresponding continuum states appears in the lattice channel. This leads to additional states in the lattice spectrum.

## 5 Conclusion

We have found the mapping of continuum IRs to lattice states by decomposing subduced continuum representations into the discrete lattice IRs. In general, we find that a single continuum IR decomposes into multiple IRs under the reduced symmetry of the lattice.

These results were used to calculate the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition and determine what multiparticle states can appear on the lattice. For low spins and zero momentum, we recover the continuum behavior. However, as we go to higher spins or non-zero momentum, it is important to understand how our operators transform irreducibly on the lattice since deviations from the continuum behavior can occur.
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