A possible scaling region of chiral ferm ions on a lattice

She-Sheng X ue (a)

INFN - Section of Milan, Via Celoria 16, Milan, Italy

A bstract

We present the details of analyzing an SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral theory with multiferm ion couplings on a lattice. An existence of a possible scaling region in the phase space of multiferm ion couplings for dening the continuum \lim it of chiral ferm ions is advocated. In this scaling region, no spontaneous symmetry breaking occurs; the 'spectator' ferm ion $_R$ (x) is a free mode and decoupled; doublers are decoupled as massive D irac ferm ions consistently with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry, whereas the normal mode of $_L^i$ (x) is plausibly speculated to be chiral in the continuum \lim it. This is not in agreement with the general belief of the denite failure of theories so constructed.

March, 1996 PACS 11.15Ha, 11.30Rd, 11.30Qc

a) E-m ail address: xue@ m ilano.infn.it

1 Introduction

Since the \no-go" theorem [1] of Nielsen and Ninomiya was demonstrated in 1981 the problem of chiral ferm ion \doubling" and \vector-like" phenomenon on a lattice still exists if one insists on preserving chiral sym m etry. One of the ideas to get around this \no-go" theorem was proposed by Eichten and Preskill (EP) [2] ten years ago. The crucial points of this idea can be brie y described as follows. Multiferm ion couplings are introduced such that, in the phase space of strongcouplings, W eyl states com posing three elem entary W eyl ferm ions (three-ferm ion states) are bound. Then, these three-ferm ion states pair up with elementary W eyl ferm ions to be D irac ferm ions. Such D irac ferm ions can be m assive w ithout violating chiral sym metries due to the appropriate quantum numbers and chirality carried by these three-ferm ion states. The binding thresholds of such threeferm ion states depend on elem entary W eylm odes residing in di erent regions of the Brillouin zone. If one assumes that the spontaneous symmetry breaking of the Nambu-Jona Lasinio (NJL) type does not occur and such binding thresholds separate the weak coupling sym metric phase from the strong-coupling sym metric phase, there are two possibilities to realize the continuum lim it of chiral ferm ions in phase space. One is of crossing over the binding threshold the three-ferm ion state of chiral ferm ions. A nother is of a wedge between two thresholds, where the three-ferm ion state of chiral ferm ions has not been form ed, provided all doublers sitting in various edges of the Brillouin zone have been bound to be massive Dirac ferm ions and decouple.

To visualize this idea, EP proposed a model [2] of multiferm ion couplings with SU (5) and SO (10) chiral symmetries and suggested the possible regions in phase space to de ne the continuum limit of chiral fermions. However, the sam e m odel of multiferm ion couplings with SO (10) chiral symmetry was studied in ref. [3], it was pointed out that such models of multiferm ion couplings fail to give chiral ferm ions in the continuum lim it. The reasons 1 are that an NJL spontaneous sym metry breaking phase separating the strong-coupling sym metric phase from the weak-coupling symmetric phase, the right-handed Weyl states do not completely disassociate from the left-handed chiral ferm ions and the phase structure of such a model of multiferm ion couplings is similar to that of the Smit-Swift (Wilson-Yukawa) model [4], which has been very carefully studied and shown to fail. It is a general belief [5] that the constructions [2, 6, 15] of chiral ferm ions on the lattice with multiferm ion couplings must fail to give chiral ferm ions on the basis of a general opin ion that multiferm ion couplings and Yukawa couplings should be in the same universality class. In fact, this opinion is indeed correct if one considers multiferm ion couplings and Yukawa couplings only for continuous eld theory in the sense that two theories have the same spectrum and relevant operators at the ultra-violate x point [7]. However, it is hard to prove

 $^{^{1}\,\}mathrm{I}\,\mathrm{thank}\;\mathrm{M}\;\mathrm{F}\;\mathrm{L}\,.\mathrm{G}\,\mathrm{olterm}$ an and D N . Petcher for discussions on this subject.

this opinion by showing a one-to-one correspondence between two phase spaces of multiferm ion couplings and Yukawa couplings on a lattice, where they have the exactly same spectra and relevant operators not only for chiral ferm ions but also for doublers. Even for Yukawa couplings, models with dierent symmetries could be in dierent universality classes [8]. Generally speaking, multiferm ion couplings possess more symmetries than Yukawa couplings in a lattice theory. All symmetries of the Standard Model can possibly be preserved by multiferm ion couplings, but not Wilson-Yukawa couplings on a lattice.

We should not be surprised that a particular model of multiferm ion couplings does not work. This does not means that EP's idea is de nitely wrong unless there is another generalized \no-go" theorem on interacting theories [9] for a whole range of coupling strength; we will come back to this point in section 6. A ctually, N ielsen and N inom iya gave an interesting comment on EP's idea based on their intuition of anomalies [10]. As a matter of fact, the phase space of multiferm ion coupling models of the EP type [2, 3] has not been completely explored. To conclude, we believe that further considerations of constructing chiral fermions on the lattice with multiferm ion couplings and careful studies of the spectrum in each phase of theories so constructed are necessary.

Note that exploring a possible scaling region of the continuum lim it of lattice (non-gauged) chiral ferm ions in the phase space of multiferm ion couplings is the main goal of this paper ². This is tightly related to many problems in particle physics [11]. We are not pretending to solve all problems of lattice chiral gauge theories in this paper. If chiral ferm ions are gauged, the important questions concerning correct and consistent features of gauge bosons and the coupling between gauge bosons and chiral ferm ions are open, but beyond the scope of this paper. Other important questions [12] concerning about gauge anomalies and anomalous global currents (instanton elects and non-conservation of ferm ion currents), which EP [2] suggested to obtain by explicitly breaking the global symmetries associating to these currents, will be studied in separate papers.

In section 2, we present a model of chiral fermions with multifermion couplings on the lattice and discuss the $_{\rm R}$ (x) shift-symmetry and its related W and identity. The analyses of the weak-coupling phase and the strong-coupling phase are given in sections 3 and 4. The thresholds and wedges that EP expected are qualitatively determined and discussed in section 5. An existence of a possible scaling region for the continuum limit of lattice chiral fermions is advocated and discussed in section 6. In the last section, we make some remarks on problems and possible resolutions if this model is chirally gauged.

²The short version of this paper is published in Phys. Lett. B 381 (1996) 277.

2 Form ulation and the $_{\rm R}$ shift-sym m etry

Let us consider the following action of chiral ferm ions with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) global chiral sym m etry on the lattice.

$$S = S_{f} + S_{1} + S_{2};$$

$$S_{f} = \frac{1}{2a} \sum_{x}^{X} \sum_{x}^{i} (x) D_{ij} \sum_{x}^{j} (x) + P_{x} (x) Q_{x} (x)$$

$$S_{1} = Q_{1} \sum_{x}^{i} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x)$$

$$S_{2} = Q_{2} \sum_{x}^{i} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x)$$

$$(1)$$

$$E_{1} = P_{2} P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x)$$

$$P_{1} = P_{2} P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x)$$

$$P_{1} = P_{x} P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x)$$

$$P_{1} = P_{x} P_{x} P_{x} (x) P_{x} (x)$$

$$P_{1} = P_{x} P_$$

In eq. (1), S_f is the naive lattice action of chiral ferm ions, a is the lattice spacing and the SU_L (2) chiral sym m etry is actually local and can easily be gauged

$$D = {}^{X} U (x)_{x,x+} U^{y}(x)_{x,x}); U (x) 2 SU_{L}(2); (2)$$

but we will im pose U (x) = 1 so that the $SU_L(2)$ is a global sym m etry. S_1 and S_2 are two external multiferm ion couplings, where

$$_{R}$$
 (x) $_{R}$ (x +) + $_{R}$ (x) $_{R}$ (x); $_{R}$ (x) + $_{R}$ (x) $_{R}$ (x) $_{R}$ (x) + $_{R}$ (x) + $_{R}$ (x) (3)

In the action (1), $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ (i = 1;2) is an SU_L (2) gauged doublet, $_{\rm R}$ is an SU_L (2) singlet and both are two-component W eyl ferm ions. $_{\rm R}$ is treated as a \spectator" ferm ion. $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ and $_{\rm R}$ elds are dimensionful [a $^{\frac{1}{2}}$]. The rst multiferm ion coupling S₁ in eq. (1) is a dimension-6 operator relevant both for doublers $p = p + _{\rm R}$ and for normal modes p = p of $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ and $_{\rm R}^{\rm c}$ elds. Note that all momenta are scaled to be dimensionless, the physical momentum (p) of normal modes and the momentum $p = p + _{\rm R}^{\rm c}$ of doublers are

$$p' 0; \qquad p = p + A; \qquad (4)$$

The action (1) has an exact local SU (2) chiral gauge sym metry, which is the sym metry that the continuum theory (the target theory) possesses. The global

avour sym m etry U_L (1) U_R (1) is not explicitly broken in eq. (1). When $g_1=0$, the action (1) possesses a R shift-sym m etry [13], i.e., the action is invariant under the transform ation:

$$_{R}(x) ! _{R}(x) + ; _{R}(x) ! _{R}(x) + ; (5)$$

where is independent of space-time.

To derive the W ard identity associated with this $_R$ (x)-shift-symmetry (5), we consider the generating functional W (;J) and partition functional Z (;J) of the theory,

where A (x) refers to the SU_L (2) gauge eld (2) de ned on the lattice. Then we de ne the generating functional of one-particle irreducible vertices (the e ective action ($_L^{0i}$; $_R^0$; $_R^0$; $_R^0$)) as the Legendre transform of W (;J),

$$(_{L}^{0i}; _{R}^{0}; A^{0}) = W (; J)$$

$$(_{L}^{0i}; _{R}^{0}; A^{0}) = W (; J)$$

$$(_{L}^{0i}; _{L}^{0i}; _{L}^{0i} + _{L}^{0}; _{L}^{0i} + _{R}^{0}; _{R}^{0} + _{R}^{0}; _{R}^{0} + _{R}^{0}; _{R}^{0} + _{R}^{0}; _{R}^{0})$$

$$(_{L}^{0i}; _{R}^{0}; A^{0}) = W (_{R}^{0}; A^{0})$$

with the relations

$$A^{0}(x) = hA(x)i = \frac{W}{J(x)};$$

$$A^{0}(x) = h^{i}_{L}(x)i = \frac{W}{\frac{i}{L}(x)};$$

$$A^{0}(x) = h^{i}_{L}(x)i = \frac{W}{\frac{i}$$

in which the ferm ionic derivatives are left-derivatives, and

$$J(x) = \frac{1}{A^{0}(x)};$$

$$\frac{i}{L}(x) = \frac{0i}{L}(x);$$

$$\frac{i}{L}(x) = \frac{0i}{L}(x);$$

$$R(x) = \frac{0}{R}(x);$$

$$R(x) = \frac{0$$

In eqs. (9,10), the h i indicates

h
$$\frac{1}{7}^{Z} = ($$
) expS + $\frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{L} + \frac{1}{R} \frac{1}{R} +$

which is an expectation value with respect to the partition functional Z (; J).

Making the parameter to be space-time dependent, and varying the generating functional (6) according to the transformation rules (5) for arbitrary $(x) \in 0$, we arrive at

$$(x)h\frac{1}{2a} = 0 \quad _{R} (x) + g_{1} \quad _{L}^{i} (x) \quad _{R} (x) \quad _{L}^{i} (x) + g_{2} \qquad _{L}^{i} (x) \qquad _{R} (x) \quad _{L}^{i} (x) + _{R} (x)i = 0$$

$$(14)$$

Together with (12), the W and identity in terms of the primed elds corresponding to the $_{\rm R}$ shift-symmetry of the action (1) is given as

$$\frac{1}{2a} \quad @ \quad {}_{R}^{0}(x) + g_{1}h \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \quad {}_{R}(x) \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \\ \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \\ \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \qquad {}_{R}(x) \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \qquad {}_{R}(x) \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \qquad {}_{R}(x) \stackrel{i}{{}_{L}}(x) \qquad {}_{R}(x)$$

$$(15)$$

Based on this W and identity, one can get all one-particle irreducible vertices containing at least one external $_{\rm R}$.

Taking the functional derivative of eq. (15) with respect to $_{R}^{0}$ (0) and then putting external sources = 0 and J = 0, we derive (see appendix I):

$$\frac{1}{2a}() @ P_{R} & gh_{L}^{i}(x) & i_{L}^{i}(x)i & g_{L}^{i}(x) & i_{L}^{i}(x)i & g_{L}^{i}(x)i & g_{L}^{i$$

where h is the expectation value (13) with vanishing external sources and J . In eqs. (126,127) of appendix I, we show

$$h_{L}^{i}(x)_{L}^{i}(x)i = 0;$$
 $h_{L}^{i}(x)_{L}^{i}(x)i = 0;$ (17)

Thus, the two-point function in eq. (16) is given as,

$$e^{ipx} = \frac{(2)}{\frac{0}{p}(x) + \frac{0}{p}(0)} = \frac{i}{a} \sin(p a);$$
 (18)

which shows that R does not receive wave-function renormalization.

3 The weak-coupling region

Our goal is to seek a possible regime, where an undoubled SU_L (2) chiral gauged ferm ion content is exhibited in the continuum \lim it in the phase space $(g_1;g_2;g)$, where g'' is the gauge coupling, regarded to be a truly small perturbation g! 0 at the scale of the continuum \lim it we consider. Thus, we impose g=0 and U(x)=1 in eq. (2). In the weak-coupling \lim it, $g_1=1$ and $g_2=1$ (indicated \lim in Fig. 1), the action (1) defines an SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral continuum theory with

a doubled and weakly interacting ferm ion spectrum that is not the continuum theory we seek.

Let us consider the phase of a spontaneous sym m etry breaking in the weak-coupling $g_1;g_2$ lim it. Based on the analysis of large-N $_f$ (N $_f$ is an extra ferm ion index, e.g., color, N $_c$) weak-coupling expansion, we show that the multiferm ion couplings in the action (1) undergo N am bu-Jona Lasinio (N JL) spontaneous chiral-sym m etry breaking [14]. In this sym m etry breaking phase indicated 2 in Fig. 1, the SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral sym m etry is violated by

$$\frac{1}{2} i(p) = g_1^{Z} d^4x e^{ipx} h_L^{i}(0) R(x) i \in 0:$$
 (19)

A ssum ing that the sym m etry breaking takes place in the direction 1 in the 2-dim ensional space of the SU_L (2) chiral sym m etry (1 (p) $\mbox{\ensuremath{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath}\ansuremath{\ambox{\ensuremath{\ansurem$

$$S_{bl}^{1}(p) = \frac{i^{X}}{a} \quad \sin p \ Z_{2}(p)P_{L} + \frac{i^{X}}{a} \quad \sin p \ P_{R} + ^{1}(p)$$
 (20)

$$S_{b2}^{1}(p) = \frac{i X}{a} \sin p Z_{2}(p) P_{L};$$
 (21)

The SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry is realized to be U_L (1) U (1) with three G oldstone m odes and a massive H iggs mode that are not presented in this report [15]. The fermion self-energy function $^{-1}$ (p) (19) for i=1 is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} {}^{1}(p) = {}^{Z} d^{4}xe^{ipx} - \frac{{}^{(2)}}{{}^{(1)}_{L}(x) {}^{(2)}_{R}(0)};$$
 (22)

The wave-function renormalization Z_2 (p) of i_L (x) eld is dened as

$$\frac{i}{a} \sin p \quad _{ij} Z_{2} (p) = \int_{0}^{z} d^{4}x e^{-ipx} \frac{(2)}{\int_{0}^{0} (x) \int_{0}^{0} (0)} :$$
 (23)

The wave-function renormalization of R (x) eld is xed by eq. (18).

Based on the W ard identity (15) of the $_{\rm R}$ shift-sym m etry, one can obtain an identity for the self-energy function $^{\rm i}$ (p) (19). Perform ing a functional derivative of eq. (15) with respect to $_{\rm L}^{\rm Oi}$ (0) and then putting external sources = 0 and J = 0, and we obtain (see eqs. (128, 129) in appendix I)

$$g_1 h_L^i(x) = R(x)i + g_1 h_L^i(x)$$
 $R(x) (x) i = \frac{2}{R(x)(x)} = 0$: (24)

Transforming into momentum space, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} i(p) = g_1 h_L^i(0) R(0) i + 2g_2 w(p) h_L^i(0) R(0) i;$$
 (25)

where the well-known W ilson factor [16] is

$$w(p) = {}^{X}(1 \cos(pa));$$
 Z
 $Zw(p) = (p) = {}^{Z}d^{4}xe^{ipx}(x);$
(26)

As for the four-ferm ion interaction vertex, analogously, one takes functional derivatives of the W ard identity (15) with respect to $_{\rm L}^{\rm Oi}$ (0), $_{\rm L}^{\rm Oi}$ (y) and $_{\rm R}^{\rm O}$ (z) and obtains

$$\sum_{\text{xyz}} e^{\frac{iyq \text{ ixp izp }^{0}}{2}} = \sum_{\text{i}} \frac{(4)}{(0)} = g_1 + 4g_2 w \text{ (p + } \frac{q}{2}) w \text{ (p}^{0} + \frac{q}{2}); (27)$$

where $p+\frac{q}{2}$ and $p^0+\frac{q}{2}$ are the momenta of $_R$ (x) eld; $p-\frac{q}{2}$ and $p^0-\frac{q}{2}$ are the momenta of $_L^i$ (x) eld (q is the momentum transfer as shown in Fig. 2.). These two identities eqs(25,27) show us two consequences of the $_R$ shift-symmetry when $g_1=0$: (i) the normal modes of $_L^i$ and $_R$ are massless

$$i(0) = 0;$$
 (0 (a)); (28)

(ii) the norm almodes of $_R$ (x) and $_L^i$ (x) are free (O (a^2)) from the four-ferm ion interaction, only the doublers of $_R$ (x) and $_L^i$ (x) have a non-vanishing four-ferm ion interacting. We will come back to these two points in sections 5 and 6.

Owing to the four-ferm ion interaction vertex (27), the ferm ion self-energy function 1 (p) in eqs. (19) and (22) obeys the NJL gap-equation in the large-N $_{\rm f}$ weak-coupling expansion (N $_{\rm f}$! 1) as shown in Fig. 3,

$${}^{1}(p) = 4 \frac{{}^{2}}{q} \frac{{}^{1}(q)}{den(q)} (g_{1} + 4g_{2}w(p)w(q))$$
 (29)

w here

$$\frac{Z}{q}$$
 $\frac{Z}{q}$ $\frac{d^4q}{(2)^4}$ $\frac{X}{\sin^2q + (^1(q)a)^2}$ $\frac{d^4q}{(2)^4}$ $\frac{1}{\sin^2q + (^1(q)a)^2}$

We adopt the parametrization [3]

$$^{1}(p) = ^{1}(0) + g_{2}v^{1}w(p);$$
 $^{1}(0) = v^{1};$ (30)

where depends only on couplings g_1 ; g_2 , and v^1 plays a role as the v.e.v. violating SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry. We can solve the gap-equation (29) by using this parametrization. For $v^1 = O(\frac{1}{a})$, one obtains (see appendix II)

$$= \frac{g_1 g_2 I_1}{1 \quad \text{gI}}; \qquad = \frac{1 \quad 4g_1 I_2}{4I_1}; \qquad (31)$$

where the functions $I_n(v^1)$; (n = 0;1;2), are de ned as

$$I_{n}(v^{1}) = 4 \sum_{q=0}^{Z} \frac{w^{q}(q)}{\sin^{2}q + (\frac{1}{q}(q)a)^{2}};$$
(32)

eq. (31) leads to a crucial result:

$$g_1 = 0;$$
 = 0 and $^1(0) = 0;$ (33)

this is due to eq. (25), resulting from the W ard identity (15). This means that on the line $g_1=0$, the normal modes (p = p ' 0) of $_{\rm L}^1$ and $_{\rm R}$ are massless and their 15 doublers (p = p + $_{\rm A}$) acquire chiral-variant masses

1
 (p) = $q_{2}v^{1}w$ (p) (34)

through them ultiferm ion coupling g_2 only. In this case $(g_1 = 0)$, the gap-equation is then given by eq. (31) for = 0,

1
$$4g_1I_2(v^1) = 0$$
; in: $1 = 16g_2 \frac{v^2(q)}{v^2(q)} = \frac{v^2(q)}{\sin^2 q + (g_2v^1w(q)a)^2}$: (35)

The W ilson factor w^2 (q) contained in integral (35) indicates that only doublers contribute to the NJL gap-equation.

As v^1 ! 0, eq. (31) gives a critical line g_1^c (g_2^c):

$$g_1^c = \frac{1}{4g_2^c I_1^2(0) + I(0)} \frac{4g_2^c I_2(0)}{4g_1^c I_1(0) + I(0)};$$
(36)

characterizing the N JL spontaneous sym m etry breaking. W ith I (0) = $2:48; I_1(0) = 4I(0)$ and $I_2(0) = 20I(0)$ 4, the critical points are given by:

$$g_1^c = 0.4;$$
 $g_2^c = 0;$ $g_1^c = 0;$ $g_2^c = 0.0055;$ (37)

as indicated 2 in Fig. 1. These critical values are su ciently small even for N $_{\rm f}$ = 1.

As for the wave-function renormalization Z_2 (p) in eq. (23), it depends on the dynamics of the left-handed W eyl ferm ion $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ in this region. By the large-N $_{\rm f}$ calculation at weak-couplings (see appendix II and Figs.4,5), we are able to evaluate the wave-function renormalization Z_2 (p), which is given by

$$Z_{2}(p) = 1 + \frac{2}{N_{f}} \sum_{k,q}^{Z} g_{1} + 4g_{2}w(p + k)w(k + \frac{q}{2})^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{\sin(p + k)\sin p}{\sin^{2}(p + k)\sin^{2}p} R(k;q)$$

$$R(k;q) = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \frac{\sin(k + \frac{q}{2})}{\sin^{2}(k + \frac{q}{2})} \frac{\sin(k + \frac{q}{2})}{\sin^{2}(k + \frac{q}{2})}$$
(38)

This broken phase cannot be a candidate for a real chiral gauge theory (e.g., the Standard M odel) for the reasons that (i) $^2_{\rm L}$ is doubled (21); (ii) the

spontaneous sym m etry breakdown of the SU_L (2) chiral sym m etry is caused by the hard breaking W ilson term [16] (20) (dim ension-5 operator), which m ust contribute to the interm ediate gauge-boson m assess through the perturbative gauge interaction and disposal of G oldstone m odes. The interm ediate gauge boson m assess turn out to be O $(\frac{1}{a})$. This, however, is phenom enologically unacceptable.

4 The strong-coupling region

We turn to the strong-coupling region, where $g_1(g_2)$ are su ciently larger than a certain critical value $g_1^c(g_2^c)$ (indicated 3 in Fig. 1). We can show that the i_L and i_R in (1) are bound to form the three-ferm ion states

$$_{R}^{i} = \frac{1}{2a} (_{R} \quad _{L}^{i})_{R}; \qquad _{L}^{n} = \frac{1}{2a} (_{L}^{i} \quad _{R})_{L}^{i}; \qquad (39)$$

These three-ferm ion states are W eyl ferm ions and respectively pair up with $_{\rm R}$ and $_{\rm L}^{i}$ to be massive, neutral $_{\rm n}$ and charged $_{\rm c}^{i}$ D irac modes,

$$\stackrel{i}{c} = (\stackrel{i}{L}; \stackrel{i}{R}); \qquad \qquad n = (\stackrel{n}{L}; \stackrel{R}{R}):$$
 (40)

These three-ferm ion states (39) carry the appropriate quantum numbers of the chiral group that accommodates i_L and $_R$. i_R is SU_L (2)-covariant and U_R (1) invariant. n_L is SU_L (2)-invariant and U_R (1)-covariant. Thus, the spectrum of the massive composite D irac ferm ions i_C and i_R is vector-like, consistent with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry.

In order to study 1PI vertex functions containing the external legs of three-ferm ion states (39), we do not the composite \primed" elds: the right-handed and charged three-ferm ion states as

$$h_{R}^{i} = \frac{1}{2a} \frac{(3)W()}{(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)};$$
 (41)

and the left-handed and neutral three-ferm ion states as

$$^{0n}_{L}$$
 $h_{L}^{n}i = \frac{1}{2a} \frac{^{(3)}W ()}{_{L}^{i}(x)_{R}(x)_{L}^{i}(x)}$: (42)

Thus, 1PI vertex functions containing the external legs of three-ferm ion states (39),

$$\frac{\binom{(2)}{\binom{n}{k}}(\mathbf{x})\binom{0j}{k}(\mathbf{y})}{\binom{n}{k}}(\mathbf{x})\binom{0j}{k}(\mathbf{x})\binom{0j}{k}(\mathbf{y})};$$
(43)

are the truncations of the G reen functions

$$h_{R}^{i}(x)_{L}^{j}(0)i = \frac{1}{2a} \frac{(4)W(0)}{(2a)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)_{R}(x)_{L}^{j}(0)};$$

$$h_{L}^{n}(x)_{R}(0)i = \frac{1}{2a} \frac{(4)W(0)}{(2a)^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)_{R}(x)_{L}^{j}(x)_{R}(0)};$$

$$(44)$$

eqs. (43) are the most simple couplings between three-ferm ion states and elementary elds.

Now, we study the propagators of composite D irac ferm ions (40). As for the neutral composite D irac ferm ion, its propagator is given as 3

$$h_{n}(0)_{n}(x)i = h_{L}^{n}(0)_{L}^{n}(x)i + h_{L}^{n}(0)_{R}(x)i + h_{R}(0)_{L}^{n}(x)i + h_{R}(0)_{L}^{n}(x)i + h_{R}(0)_{R}(x)i$$
(45)

This propagator can be determined up to a wave renormalization function by the W ard identity of the $_{\rm R}$ shift-symmetry. Taking a functional derivative of the W ard identity eq. (15) with respect to $_{\rm L}^{\rm th}$ (x) and then putting external sources = 0 and J = 0, we can derive

$$\stackrel{Z}{=} e^{ipx} \frac{(2)}{\int_{1}^{0} (x) \int_{R}^{0} (0)} = \frac{1}{2} M (p);$$
(46)

w here

$$M (p) = 2a (g_1 + 4g_2 w^2 (p))$$
: (47)

On the basis of the 1P I vertex functions eqs. (18,46), we can determ in the inverse propagator (45) of the neutral composite D irac ferm ion $_n$ (x) to be,

$$S_n^{-1}(p) = \frac{i^X}{a} \qquad \sin p \ Z_2^n(p) P_L + \frac{i^X}{a} \qquad \sin p \ P_R + M(p);$$
 (48)

where the unknown Z_2^n (p) is a wave-function renormalization for L^n (x) eld. The propagator of the charged composite D irac ferm ion (40) is

$$h_{c}^{i}(0) f_{c}^{j}(x)i = h_{L}^{i}(0) f_{c}^{j}(x)i + h_{R}^{i}(0) f_{c}^{j}(x)i + h_{L}^{i}(0) f_{R}^{j}(x)i + h_{R}^{i}(0) f$$

which we have to calculate by adopting the strong-coupling expansion. For the purpose of understanding three-ferm ion bound states, we henceforth focus on the region $(g_1 1; g_2 = 0)$. We make a rescaling of the ferm ion elds,

$$_{L}^{i}(x) ! (g_{1})^{\frac{1}{4}} _{L}^{i}(x); \qquad _{R}(x) ! (g_{1})^{\frac{1}{4}} _{R}(x);$$
 (50)

and rewrite the action (1) and partition function in terms of the new ferm ion elds

$$S_{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2aq_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}} X \qquad i_{L}(x) = 0 \quad i_{L}(x) + i_{R}(x) = 0 \quad i_{R}(x)$$
 (51)

$$S_1(x) = {}^{i}_L(x) {}_R(x) {}_R(x) {}^{i}_L(x)$$
: (52)

For the coupling g_1 ! 1 , the kinetic term $s\,S_f$ (x) can be dropped and we consider this strong-coupling lim it. W ith S_2 (x) given in eq. (52), the integral of $e^{\,S_2\,(x)}$ is

³ I thank Y. Sham ir for discussions on these propagators.

given (see eq. (95) with (x) = 1 and $g_2 ! g_1$ in appendix III) by

$$Z = \underset{xi}{\text{xi}} [d_R(x)d_R(x)][d_L^i(x)d_L^i(x)] = 2^{4N};$$
 (53)

where \N " is the number of lattice sites. eq. (53) shows a non-trivial strongcoupling lim it.

We now can perform the strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{q_1}$ about this strong-coupling lim it to calculate eq. (49). To all orders in this expansion, the spectrum of the theory only contains massive states (49,45) even though the U_R (1) chiral sym m etry is exact. We de ne two-point functions in the propagator of the charged D irac particle (49) to be

$$S_{11}^{ij}(x) \qquad h_{1}^{i}(0); \quad j(x)i;$$
 (54)

$$S_{ML}^{ij}(x) \qquad h_L^i(0); \begin{bmatrix} j \\ L \end{pmatrix}(x) \qquad R(x) \end{bmatrix}_R(x) i;$$
 (55)

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(x) \qquad h_{L}^{i}(0); \, {}_{L}^{j}(x)i; \qquad (54)$$

$$S_{ML}^{ij}(x) \qquad h_{L}^{i}(0); \, {}_{L}^{j}(x) \qquad {}_{R}(x)]_{R}(x)i; \qquad (55)$$

$$S_{MM}^{ij}(x) \qquad h_{L}^{i}(0) \qquad {}_{L}^{i}(0)]_{R}(0); \, {}_{L}^{j}(x) \qquad {}_{R}(x)]_{R}(x)i; \qquad (56)$$

We compute these two-point functions by the strong-coupling expansion in powers of O $(\frac{1}{g_1})$. Using relations (152,154) with (x) = 1 and $g_2 ! g_1$ in appendix III, in the lowest non-trivial order, we obtain the following recursion relations

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{1}{2a} S_{ML}^{ij}(x+) ;$$
 (57)

$$S_{ML}^{ij}(x) = \frac{(x)_{ij}}{2g_1} + \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{1}{2a} S_{LL}^{xy}(x+)$$
 (58)

$$S_{MM}^{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{1}{2a} S_{ML}^{ijy}(x+);$$
 (59)

where for an arbitrary function f (x),

$$X^{Y}$$
 f(x) = X (f(x +) f(x)): (60)

Transform ing these two-point functions (54,55,56) into momentum space, (X =LL; M L; M M respectively)

$$S_X^{ij}(p) = \int_{0}^{\infty} d^4x e^{ipx} S_X^{ij}(x);$$
 (61)

one gets three recursion relations in m om entum space

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{q_1} \frac{i}{4a^3} \sin p S_{ML}^{ij}(p) ;$$
 (62)

$$S_{ML}^{ij}(p) = \frac{ij}{2g_1} + \frac{i}{g_1 a}^{X} \sin p S_{LL}^{ij}(p)$$
 (63)

$$S_{MM}^{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{q_1} \frac{i}{a} \sin p \qquad S_{ML}^{ijy}(p) :$$
 (64)

W e solve these recursion relations (62,63, 64) and obtain

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(p) = P_L \frac{ij\frac{1}{2a}^P \sin p}{\frac{1}{a^2} \sin^2 p + M_1^2} P_R;$$
 (65)

$$\frac{1}{2a}S_{ML}^{ij}(p) = P_{L} \frac{p}{\frac{1}{a^{2}}} \frac{1}{2}M(p) + M_{1}^{2}P_{L};$$
 (66)

$$\frac{1}{2a} {^{2}S_{MM}^{ij}}(p) = P_{R} \frac{\frac{ij}{2a} {^{2}Sin} p}{\frac{1}{a^{2}} \sin^{2} p + M_{1}^{2}} P_{L};$$
 (67)

where the chiral-invariant mass is

$$M_1 = 2g_1a$$
: (68)

The second two-point function in eq. (49) is given by,

$$\frac{1}{2a}h[_{R}(x) \quad _{L}^{j}(x)]_{R}(x); \quad _{L}^{i}(0)i = \frac{1}{2a} \quad S_{ML}^{Yij}(x) = P_{R} \frac{ij^{\frac{1}{2}}M_{1}}{\frac{1}{a^{2}}P_{1} + M_{1}^{2}}P_{R};$$
(69)

W e substitute eqs. (65,66,67,69) into eq. (49), in the lowest non-trivial order of the strong-coupling expansion and obtain the massive propagator of the charged D irac ferm ions $\frac{i}{c}$,

$$S_{c}^{ij}(p) = {^{Z}} d^{4}xe^{ipx} h_{c}^{i}(0) {_{c}^{j}(x)}i = {_{ij}\frac{i}{a^{2}}}^{P} \frac{\sin p + M_{1}}{\sin^{2}p + M_{1}^{2}};$$
(70)

A nalogously, the massive propagator (45) of the neutral D irac fermions $_{\rm n}$ can be calculated in the same way

$$S_{n}(p) = \int_{0}^{Z} d^{4}x e^{ipx} h_{n}(0) + \int_{0}^{R} (x) i = \frac{\frac{1}{a} P_{n}}{\frac{1}{a^{2}} \sin^{2}p + M_{1}^{2}};$$
 (71)

which coincides, for $g_2=0$ and Z_2^n (p) = 1, with eq. (48) that is derived by using the W ard identity (15) of the $_R$ (x) shift-symmetry. eqs. (70,71) show that the spectrum is vector-like and massive, consistent with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry. In this strong coupling symmetric phase, all fermion modes including doublers and normal modes of $_L^i$ (x) and $_R$ (x) are bound to be three-fermion states and then form massive D irac fermion states. The spectrum of normal modes and doublers is massive and vector-like. This is certainly not what we desire.

5 Wedges: dierent thresholds of forming threefermion states

The three-ferm ion states (39) are composed of three elementary W eyl modes through the multiferm ion couplings S_1 (x) and S_2 (x) (1). As expected by Eichten

and P reskill [2], due to the fact that the multiferm ion coupling $S_2(x)$ gives different contributions to the elective value of g_1 at large distances for the sixteen W eyl modes of $\frac{i}{L}$ and $\frac{i}{R}$ in the action (1), these sixteen modes have dierent thresholds $g_1^c(g_2^c)$ of forming three-fermion states. In fact, we can explicitly see this point by looking at the four-fermion 1PI vertex function (27), which is exactly obtained by the W and identity (15) of the $R_1(x)$ shift-symmetry,

⁽⁴⁾ (p;p⁰;q) =
$$g_1 + 4g_2w$$
 (p + $\frac{q}{2}$)w (p⁰ + $\frac{q}{2}$); (72)

where $p+\frac{q}{2}$ and $p^0+\frac{q}{2}$ are momenta of $_R$ (x) eld; $p-\frac{q}{2}$ and $p^0-\frac{q}{2}$ are momenta of $_L^i$ (x) eld (q is the momentum transfer as shown in Fig. 2). In the case of $g_1=0$, the multiferm ion coupling associated with the normal modes of $_R$ (x) and $_L^i$ (x) is very small (O (a^2)).

These di erent thresholds $g_1^c(g_2^c)$ can be qualitatively determ ined by the following discussion. We consider a complex composite eld,

$$A^{i} = {}_{R} \quad {}_{L}^{i}; \tag{73}$$

and its real and im aginary parts are four composite scalars (i = 1;2)

$$A_{1}^{i} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ L \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i \\ R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i \\ L \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A_{2}^{i} = \frac{i}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ L \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i \\ R \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} i \\ L \end{pmatrix} \cdot (74)$$

These composite scalars and their propagators are determined by the two-point function of the theory,

$$G^{ij}(x) = hA^{i}(0); A^{yj}(x)i$$

= $h_{R}(0) = \int_{L}^{i}(0); R(x) = \int_{L}^{j}(x)i;$ (75)

For sim plicity, we put $g_2 = 0$ and eq. (72) becomes

⁽⁴⁾
$$(p;p^0;q) = g_1:$$
 (76)

A dopting the strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{g_1}$ (g_1 1) and the relation (157) with (x) = 1 and g_2 ! g_1 in appendix III, we obtain the following recursion relation

$$G^{ij}(x) = \frac{(x)_{ij}}{g_1} + \frac{1}{g_1} \frac{1}{2a} G^{ij}(x+):$$
 (77)

Going to momentum space, we have

$$G^{ij}(q) = d^4xe^{iqx} G^{ij}(x);$$
 (78)

where q is the momentum of the composite scalar $A^i = {}_R \quad {}_L^i$. The recursion relation (77) in momentum space is given by

$$G^{ij}(q) = \frac{ij}{g_1} + \frac{1}{2a^2} \frac{1}{g_1}^X \cos q G^{ij}(q);$$
 (79)

As a result, we not the propagators for these fourm assive composite scalarm odes of $A^i = {}^i_R {}^i_L$,

$$G^{ij}(q) = 4 \frac{1}{a^2} \sin^2 \frac{q}{2} + 2;$$
 (80)

$$^{2} = 4 g_{1} \frac{2}{a^{2}} ;$$
 (81)

which are degenerate owing to the exact SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral sym m etry. Thus,

2
A i A iy (82)

e ectively gives the mass term of the composite scalar eld A i in the e ective Lagrangian. We assume that the 1PI vertex A j A yj A i A yi is positive and the energy of ground states of the theory is bound from the bellow. Then, we can qualitatively discuss [3] the second order phase transition (threshold) from the strong coupling symmetric phase to the weak-coupling NJL broken phase by exam ining them ass term of these composite scalars (82). Spontaneous symmetry breaking SU (2)! U (1) occurs, where 2 > 0 turns to 2 < 0. eq. (81) for 2 = 0 gives rise to the critical point:

$$g_1^c a^2 = 2;$$
 $g_2 = 0;$ (83)

(as indicated in Fig. 1) where a phase transition takes place between the NJL symmetry-breaking phase and the strong-coupling symmetric phase.

As for the case $g_2 \in 0$, the second multiferm ion coupling in eq. (72) has to be taken into account. We should not doubt that the thresholds $g_1^c(g_2^c)$ depend on the sixteen modes of $\frac{i}{L}$ and R. In order to see this phenomenon, we can electively replace the coupling g_1 in eq. (81) by the coupling (72) involving g_2 . As a result, the thresholds of binding three-ferm ion states can be qualitatively determined by

$$^{2} = 4 g_{1} + 4g_{2}w (p + \frac{q}{2})w (p^{0} + \frac{q}{2}) \frac{2}{a^{2}} = 0$$
: (84)

Let us rst consider the multiferm ion couplings of each mode p" of the L and R, namely, we set $p = p^0$; q = 1 in the four-point vertex (72). We obtain

$$^{2} = 4 g_{1} + 4g_{2}w^{2} (p) \frac{2}{a^{2}}$$
 : (85)

Thus, $^2 = 0$ gives rise to the critical lines (thresholds):

$$q_1^c a^2 = 2; q_2 = 0; \quad q_1 = 0; a^2 q_2^{c;b} = 0.008;$$
 (86)

where the rst binding threshold of the doubler p = (;;;) is located, and

$$g_1^c a^2 = 2; g_2 = 0; \quad g_1 = 0; a^2 g_2^{c;a} = 0:124;$$
 (87)

where the last binding threshold of the doublers p=(;0;0;0) is located. In between (indicated 4 in Fig. 1) there are the binding thresholds of the doublers p=(;;0;0) and p=(;;0) in eq. (85), and the binding thresholds of the di erent doublers $p \in p^0$ in eq. (84). Above $g_1^{c;a}$ all doublers are supposed to be bound. As for the norm alm odes (p) of $\frac{i}{L}$ and $\frac{i}{R}$, when $g_1=1$, the multiferm ion coupling (72), $\frac{i}{R}=g_1+4g_2w^2$ (p), is no longer strong enough to form the three-ferm ion states ($\frac{i}{L}=\frac{i}{R}$) $\frac{i}{L}$ and ($\frac{i}{R}=\frac{i}{L}$) $\frac{i}{R}$ unless a^2g_2 ! 1. It is conceivable that the threshold for binding norm alm odes, which is given by eq. (85) for p=p,

$$g_1 + ag_2 O(p^2) \frac{2}{a^2} = 0;$$
 (88)

analytically continues to the lim it

$$g_2^{c;1} ! 1 ; g_1 ! 0;$$
 (89)

as indicated in Fig. 1. Certainly, these thresholds cannot be regarded as quantitative results obtained by precise computations.

Thus, as expected in ref. [2], several wedges open up as $g_1;g_2$ increase in the NJL phase (indicated 5 in Fig. 1), in between the critical lines along which three-ferm ion states of normal modes and doublers of $\frac{i}{L}$ and R respectively approach their thresholds. In the initial part of the NJL phase (the deep NJL broken phase), the normal modes and doublers of the $\frac{i}{L}$ and R undergo the NJL phenomenon and contribute to eqs. (20,21), as discussed in section 2. As $g_1;g_2$ increase, all these modes, one after another, gradually disassociate from the NJL phenomenon and no longer contribute to eqs. (20,21). Instead, they turn to associate with the EP phenomenon and contribute to eqs. (70,71). The rst and last doublers of $\frac{i}{L}$ and R making this transition are $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ (86) and $P = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ (87) respectively. At the end of this sequence, normal modes $P_2 = P_3$ make this transition (89), due to the fact that they possess the dierent expect to have the continuum limit of chiral fermions defined either on one of these thresholds or within one of these wedges.

Had these thresholds separated the two symmetric phases, (strong-couplings and the weak-coupling symmetric phases) we would have found a threshold over which an EP phase transition takes place, namely, the massless normal modes of the $\frac{i}{L}$ and $_R$ are becoming massive, while the doublers of $\frac{i}{L}$ and $_R$ have

acquired chiral-invariant m asses and decoupled (70,71). We would de ne a continuum theory of m assless free chiral ferm ions [2] on such a threshold. However, this is not the real case [3] for $g_2 = 0$, as has been seen in eq. (81), $^2 > 0$ turning to $^2 < 0$ at $g_1 = g_1^c = 2$ indicates a phase transition between the strong-coupling sym m etric phase and the spontaneous sym m etry breaking phase, which separates the strong-coupling and weak coupling sym m etric phases. Namely, there is no EP phase transition taking place over any one of those thresholds. This can be clearly seen (as shown in Fig. 1) by comparison of the thresholds of three-ferm ion states (83,86,87) and the NJL phase transition (37).

Had one of these wedges contained a spectrum in which all doublers of $\frac{i}{L}$ and R decouple as D irac ferm ions by acquiring chiral-invariant masses (70,71) and the normal modes of $\frac{i}{L}$ and R remain massless and free, and within that wedge we would have obtained a continuous theory of massless free chiral fermions [2]. However, this seems not really the case for the reason that all these wedges from the deep NJL broken phase to the deep EP symmetric phase are continuously connected. These thresholds and wedges qualitatively determined cannot be considered to be very distinct for the spectrum of the theory, since there may be the coexistence of less tightly bound three-fermion states of doublers and unbound doublers, the possible strong uctuations of these three-fermion states and mixing between different modes.

Even within the last wedge, as indicated 5 in Fig. 1, if we assume that there is a such region where the couplings g_1 and g_2 are sulciently larger than the threshold (87) where all doublers are supposed to be strongly bound 4 , we should have the undoubled low-energy spectrum that involves only the normal modes of i_L and i_R . However, because of the multiferm ion coupling $g_1 \in 0$, these normal modes of i_L and i_R still remain in the NJL broken phase, the SU_L (2) chiral symmetry is still violated by $^i(0) = v^i(30)$, to which only normal modes contribute. The propagators of the normal modes in this wedge should be the same as eqs. (20,21) for $p = p_1$. Due to the fact that chiral gauge symmetry is broken by the NJL phenomenon associated with the normal modes, the propagator of gauge bosons will not have the correct properties. Furthermore, when $g_1 \in 0$, the normal mode of i_R is not guaranteed to completely decouple from that of i_L .

So far, we have alm ost no possibility to nd a distinct threshold for the second-order phase transition to de ne the continuum limit for chiral fermions and a distinctly chiral-symmetric region in the phase space where a desired spectrum of chiral fermions exists. Nevertheless, a possible resolution of this undesirable situation could probably take place in a particular symmetric phase that is a segment in the phase diagram, where the doublers of $^{\rm i}_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ have formed three-fermion states ($_{\rm R}$ $^{\rm i}_{\rm L}$) $_{\rm R}$ and ($^{\rm i}_{\rm L}$ $_{\rm R}$) $^{\rm i}_{\rm L}$ via the EP phenomenon, while the normal modes of $^{\rm i}_{\rm L}$ and $_{\rm R}$ have neither formed such bound states yet and

 $^{^4}$ H ere, by the notion of strongly bound, we mean that all doublers are completely and tightly bound into three-ferm ion states and no doublers are left in the spectrum.

nor are they associated with the NJL-phenomenon. If this case happened, we might not a scaling region of the continuum limit of lattice chiral fermions.

6 A possible scaling region of chiral ferm ions

The possible scaling region of the continuum \lim it of lattice chiral ferm ion can probably be found once we go onto the line where $g_1=0$ and g_2 is in a certain segment A indicated in Fig. 1. Assuming that above $g_2^{c;a}$ all doublers are strongly bound, above $g_2^{c;1}$ all modes are strongly bound and $g_2^{c;1} > g_2^{c;a}$ 1, we give the de nition of this peculiar segment A:

$$A = g_1 = 0; g_2^{c;a} < g_2 < g_2^{c;1} :$$
 (90)

In order to show this segment could be a possible candidate for the scaling region of the continuum limit of lattice chiral fermions, we are bound to demonstrate the following properties of the theory in this segment:

- 1. the norm alm ode of R is a free mode and decoupled;
- 2. no spontaneous chiral sym m etry breaking occurs;
- 3. all doublers are strongly bound to be massive Dirac fermions and decoupled consistently with chiral symmetry;
- 4. the norm alm odes of $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ (x) and $_{\rm R}$ (x) have not yet been bound to the three-ferm ion state ($_{\rm R}$ $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$) $_{\rm R}$, an undoubled chiralm ode of $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ (x) exists in the low-energy spectrum .

We have already discussed the set property in section 2. In the case of $g_1=0$, the normal mode of $_R$ (x) is massless and does not receive the wavefunction renormalization,

$$S_{RR}^{1} = i \mathfrak{p} : \tag{91}$$

Furtherm ore, in section 4 based on the W ard identity (15) associated with the $_{\rm R}$ (x) shift-sym m etry, we have determ ined the propagator of the neutral D irac particle (48), which is made up of the $_{\rm R}$ (x) and its left-handed composite partner $_{\rm L}^{\rm n}$ (x) (39), up to a wave function renormalization for $_{\rm L}^{\rm n}$ (x) eld.

As for the other properties, since $g_1 = 0$; $g_2 = 1$ in this segment, we can adopt the strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{g_2}$ to calculate 1PI functions that describe those properties of the theory. Thus, we make a rescaling of the ferm ion elds,

$$_{L}^{i}(x) ! (g_{2})^{\frac{1}{4}} _{L}^{i}(x); \qquad _{R}(x) ! (g_{2})^{\frac{1}{4}} _{R}(x); \qquad (92)$$

and rewrite the action (1) and partition function in terms of new ferm ion

$$S_{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} X \qquad i_{L}(x) \quad 0 \quad i_{L}(x) + R(x) \quad 0 \quad R(x)$$

$$S_{2}(x) = i_{L}(x) \quad [R(x)] \quad R(x) \quad i_{L}(x)$$
(93)

$$S_{2}(x) = \int_{L}^{1} (x) \left[R(x) \right]_{R}^{1} (x) \int_{L}^{1} (x) dx \qquad (94)$$

For the coupling g_2 ! 1, the kinetic term $sS_f(x)$ can be dropped and we consider this strong-coupling lim it. W ith $S_2(x)$ given in eq. (94), the integral of $e^{S_2(x)}$ can be computed (see the beginning of appendix III)

$$Z = \sum_{x_{1}}^{Z} [d_{R}(x)d_{R}(x)][d_{L}^{i}(x)d_{L}^{i}(x)] \exp(S_{2}(x))$$

$$= 2^{4N} \det^{2}(x)^{4}; \qquad (95)$$

where the determent is taken only over the lattice space-time and N is the number of lattice sites. For the non-zero eigenvalues of the operator 2(x), eq. (95) shows an existence of the sensible strong-coupling lim it. However, as for the zero eigenvalue of the operator 2 (x), this strong-coupling lim it is trivial and should not be analytic and the strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{m}$ breaks down.

W e consider the second property that this segment is entirely symmetric. The vanishing of the 1PI self-energy function (p) (19) indicates no spontaneous symmetry breaking of the theory. In sections 2 and 3, on the basis of both the W and identity (25) of the $_R$ shift-symmetry and the explicit computation in the large-N_f weak-coupling expansion (33), we show that this 1PI self-energy function (p) vanishes at zero m om entum, provided $g_1 = 0$,

$$(p) = 0;$$
 $p = 0:$ (96)

O bviously, we need to show that this 1P I self-energy function (p) vanishes for p € 0 in this segment as well. For this purpose, we have to calculate the following two-point functions

$$S_{RL}^{j}(x) \qquad h_{R}(0); \quad j(x)i;$$
 (97)

$$S_{MR}^{j}(x) \qquad h_{R}(0); [_{L}^{j}(x) \qquad _{R}(x)]_{R}(x) i:$$
 (98)

In appendix III, using the strong-coupling expansion in powers $0 (\frac{1}{c_0})$ above the non-trivial strong-coupling \lim it (95) for the non-zero eigenvalues of 2 (x), we obtain the relations (152,154). A rm ed with these relations (152,154), in the lowest non-trivial order, one gets the following recursion relations

$$S_{RL}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{q_{2}^{2}(x)} \frac{1}{2a} S_{MR}^{j}(x+) ;$$
 (99)

$$S_{MR}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{g_{2}^{2}(x)} \frac{1}{2a} S_{RL}^{j}(x+) :$$
 (100)

The Fourier transform ations of these two-point functions (97,98) into mom entum space are

$$S_{RL}^{j}$$
 (p) = Z_{Z}^{j} $d^{4}xe^{ipx} S_{RL}^{j}$ (x)
 S_{MR}^{j} (p) = $d^{4}xe^{ipx} S_{MR}^{j}$ (x):

For $p \in 0$ and the non-zero eigenvalue $(p) = 2w(p) \in 0$ (??), one gets two recursion relations in momentum space,

$$S_{RL}^{j}(p) = \frac{1}{4c_{2}w^{2}(p)} \frac{i}{4a^{3}} \times \sin p S_{MR}^{j}(p) ;$$
 (101)

$$S_{MR}^{j}$$
 (p) = $\frac{1}{gw^{2}}$ (p) $\frac{i}{4a}$ $\sin p S_{RL}^{j}$ (p) : (102)

The solution to these recursion relations is

$$(8ag_2w^2(p))^2 + \frac{1}{a^2} x \sin^2 p S_{RL}^{j}(p) = 0;$$
 (103)

For p \u2208 0, clearly we must have

$$S_{RL}^{j}(p) = 0; \quad S_{MR}^{j}(p) = 0:$$
 (104)

Sim ilarly, we can prove the vanishing of the following two point functions

$$h[_{L}^{i}(0) \quad _{R}(0)]_{L}^{i}(0); \quad _{L}^{j}(x)i;$$
 $h[_{L}^{i}(0) \quad _{R}(0)]_{L}^{i}(0); [_{L}^{j}(x) \quad _{R}(x)]_{R}(x)i:$

This demonstration can be straightforwardly generalized to show the vanishing of all n-point G reen functions that are not SU_L (2) U_R (1) chirally sym m etric. As a consequence, the segment is entirely sym m etric and no spontaneous sym m etry breaking takes place.

Now we turn to discussions of the third properties that all doublers are decoupled as massive D irac ferm ions consistently with chiral symmetry. Analogously to the case g_1 1; $g_2 = 0$ in section 4, we need to compute the two-point functions (54,55,56) in the propagator of the charged D irac ferm ion (49). Performing a strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{g_2}$ above the non-trivial strong-coupling limit (95) for non-zero eigenvalues of $\frac{1}{g_2}$ (x), we compute these two-point functions. Armed with the relations (152), (154) and (155) that are obtained in appendix III, in the lowest non-trivial order, we obtain following recursion relations

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{g_2^2(x)} \frac{1}{2a} S_{ML}^{ij}(x+) ;$$
 (105)

$$S_{ML}^{ij}(x) = \frac{(x)_{ij}}{2q_2^2(x)} + \frac{1}{q_2^2(x)} \frac{1}{2a} S_{LL}^{xy}(x+y) :$$
 (106)

$$S_{MM}^{ij}(x) = \frac{1}{Q_2^{2}(x)} \frac{1}{2a} S_{ML}^{ijy}(x+) :$$
 (107)

Upon the non-trivial strong-coupling lim it (95) of $p \in 0$ and $(p) = 2w^2$ $(p) \in 0$, Fourier transform ation (61) casts three recursion relations in momentum space,

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{4g_2w^2(p)} \frac{i}{4a^3} \sin p S_{ML}^{ij}(p) ;$$
 (108)

$$S_{ML}^{ij}(p) = \frac{ij}{8g_2w^2(p)} + \frac{i}{4g_2w^2(p)a}^X \sin p S_{LL}^{ij}(p)$$
: (109)

$$S_{MM}^{ij}$$
 (p) = $\frac{1}{4q_2 w^2}$ (p) $\frac{i}{a}$ x $\sin p$ S_{ML}^{ijy} (p) : (110)

We solve these recursion relations (108,109, 110) and obtain

$$S_{LL}^{ij}(p) = P_L \frac{\frac{i}{12}}{\frac{1}{22}} \frac{p}{\sin^2 p} \frac{\sin p}{\sin^2 p + M_2^2(p)} P_R;$$
 (111)

$$\frac{1}{2a}S_{ML}^{ij}(p) = P_{L}\frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{P}{\sin^{2}p + M_{2}^{2}(p)} P_{L}; \qquad (112)$$

$$\frac{1}{2a} {^2S_{MM}^{ij}}(p) = P_R \frac{\frac{1}{2a} P \sin p}{\frac{1}{a^2} P \sin^2 p + M_2^2(p)} P_L;$$
 (113)

where the chiral-invariant mass is given as

$$M_{2}(p) = 8g_{2}aw^{2}(p); p \in 0 (114)$$

The second two-point function in eq. (49) is given by,

$$\frac{1}{2a}h[_{R}(x) \quad _{L}^{j}(x)]_{R}(x); \quad _{L}^{i}(0)i = \frac{1}{2a} \quad S_{ML}^{Yij}(x) = P_{R}\frac{ij\frac{1}{2}M_{2}(p)}{\frac{1}{a^{2}} \sin^{2}p + M_{2}^{2}(p)}P_{R};$$
(115)

W e substitute eqs. (111,112,113,115) into eq. (49) and obtain the propagator of the charged D irac doublers $_{\rm c}^{\rm i}$ (p $\rm \in$ 0),

$$S_{c}^{ij}(p) = \int_{c}^{Z} d^{4}x e^{ipx} h_{c}^{i}(0) = \int_{c}^{j}(x) i = \int_{ij}^{\frac{1}{a}} \frac{P}{\sin p} + M_{2}(p) + M_{2}(p) = (116)$$

Since w^2 (p) \notin 0 and M $_2$ (p) \notin 0 for doublers $p = p + _A$, this shows that all SU_L (2) charged doublers are decoupled as massive D irac ferm ions. The massive spectrum for these doublers turns out to be SU (2)-QCD vector-like, however, is in consistent agreement with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry.

A nalogously, in the lowest non-trivial order of the strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{\alpha_0}$, one gets for p \in 0 and (p) = 2w (p) \in 0

$$S_{RR}$$
 (p) = $P_L \frac{\frac{i}{2a} P \sin p}{\frac{1}{-2} P \sin^2 p + M_2^2 (p)} P_R$; (117)

$$\frac{1}{2a}S_{MR}(p) = P_{L}\frac{\frac{1}{2}M_{2}(p)}{\frac{1}{2}}S_{MR}(p) + M_{2}^{2}(p)P_{L};$$
 (118)

$$\frac{1}{2a} {}^{2} S_{MM} (p) = P_{R} \frac{\frac{i}{2a}}{\frac{1}{a^{2}}} \frac{\sin p}{\sin^{2} p + M_{2}^{2}(p)} P_{L};$$
 (119)

where S_{RR} (p); S_{MR} (p) and S_{MM} (p) are the Fourier transform ation of the two-point functions in the neutral D irac ferm ion propagator (45). As a result, the propagator (45) of the neutral D irac doublers $_{n}$ (p \in 0) is given by

$$S_{n}(p) = \int_{0}^{Z} d^{4}x e^{ipx} h_{n}(0) + \int_{0}^{Z} \sin p + \int_{0}^{Z} \sin p$$

which coincides, for $g_1 = 0$ and Z_2^n (p) = 1 (p \in 0), with eq. (48), which is derived by using the W and identity (15) of the $_R$ (x) shift-symmetry. eq. (120) shows that the spectrum for doublers of the neutral D irac ferm ion is vector-like and massive, consistent with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry.

Up to now, we are left with the last, but most important property that the norm alm odes of the $\frac{1}{L}$ (x) and $_{R}$ (x) are m assless and chiral in this segment. It is most di cult to have a convincing proof of this property for the time being, since for these normal modes (p = p = 0; (p) = 0 in eq. (95)), a sensible nontrivial strong-coupling lim it does not exist and the strong-coupling expansion in powers of $\frac{1}{q_2}$ fails to converge analytically. We are actually not able to compute the spectra (propagators) of these normal modes to see whether or not they are chiral in this segment. As a consequence of the interacting action (1) presented in this paper being local, the e ective action (inverse propagator) that is bilinear in term s of interpolating elds should be local and analytical in the whole Brillbuin zone (this statem ent has not received a complete proof [17] for the entire range of interacting strength), thus, the \no-go" theorem of Nielsen and Ninomiya is still applicable to this case [9]. Based on this observation, one m ight argue that the massless spectrum of normal modes should still be vector-like by the analytic continuation of the charged and neutral Dirac propagators (116) and (120) from $p \in 0$ to p = p = 0. This argument is indeed correct in the phase diagram where 1 since we have a sensible strong-coupling lim it, as we have shown in section 1) that we speculate to be the scaling region of 4. While, in the segment A (g2 lattice chiral ferm ions, we are still lacking know ledge and evidence concerning the analyticity of the strong-coupling lim it (95) and the spectrum (116,120) around the point p' 0. The problem that confronts us is how the three-ferm ion states

that are composed of the normal modes of $\frac{i}{L}$ and R disappear. If these three-ferm ion states were resonant states, the vacuum would be unstable. The only loophole would appear if the propagators of interpolating elds (three-ferm ion states) vanished at P = P = 0. But, this might describe massless ghost states with negative norm that couple to a gauge eld, leading to an inconsistent theory [18]. We leave this discussion open in this paper 5 .

However, we would like to look at this point based on the point of view that is the essential idea presented in the original paper of Eichten and Preskill [2] to have chiral ferm ions in continuum lim it. The question of whether the spectra of norm almodes of $\frac{1}{L}$ (x) and R (x) are massless and chiral is crucially related to the question of whether the normal modes of the three-ferm ion states ($_{\rm R}$ (x) $_{
m L}^{
m j}$ (x)) $_{
m R}$ (x) and ($_{
m L}^{
m i}$ (x) $_{
m R}$ (x)) $_{
m L}^{
m i}$ (x) have been composed in segment A.As have been qualitatively discussed in section 5, the e ective multiferm ion coupling (the 1PI four-point vertex function (72)) is strongly momentum dependent and thresholds and wedges for di erent modes emerge in the phase diagram. This e ective multiferm ion coupling for normal modes is very small in segment A, thus preventing the normal modes of the $\frac{i}{L}$ and R from binding into threeferm ion states (i $_{\rm R}$) $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$, ($_{\rm R}$ $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$) $_{\rm R}$. We can reasonably speculate that there exists such a segment A with nite g_2 , where the scaling window of the continuum lim it of chiral ferm ions would be opened up, although we cannot give a rigorous dem onstration for the time being.

If what we expect is convincingly con m ed, the spectrum of the theory in this segment will be the following. The spectrum consists of 15 copies of SU (2)—QCD charged Dirac doublers (p \in 0) eq. (116) and 15 copies of SU (2) neutral Dirac doublers (p \in 0) eq. (120). They are very massive and decoupled. Besides, the low-energy spectrum contain the two massless Weylmodes eqs. (20,21) for $g_1 = 0$ and p = p,

$$S_{L}^{1}(p)^{ij} = i p Z_{2ij}P_{L}; S_{R}^{1}(p) = i p P_{R}; (121)$$

which is in agreement with the SU_L (2) U_R (1) symmetry. Namely, this normal mode of $\frac{i}{L}$ is self-scattering via the multiferm ion coupling g_2 (see Fig. 5) without pairing up with any other modes. The wave-function renormalization Z_2 can be considered as an interpolating constant of Z_2 (p) eq. (38) for p=p' 0 and $g_1=0$. In addition, there is the SU_L (2) U_R (1) covariant scalar A^i eq. (81). In order to see all possible interactions between these modes in this possible scaling region, we consider the one-particle irreducible vertex functions of these modes. In the light of the exact SU_L (2) U_R (1) chiral symmetry and R—shiff—symmetry, one can straightforwardly obtain non-vanishing vertex functions (d= dimensions) at physical momenta (p=p;q=q): (i) $A^jA^{jy}A^iA^{iy}$ (d=4); (ii) $L^i L^iA^jA^{jy}$, $L^i L^iA^jA^{jy}$, and $L^i L^iA^jA^{jy}$, as well as d > 5 vertex functions. The

 $^{^{5}}$ I am grateful to N.B.N ielsen and Y.Sham ir for discussions and sharing their wisdom on this point.

vertex functions with dimensions d > 4 vanish in the scaling region as 0 (a^{d}) and we are left with the self-interacting vertex A j A jy A i A iy .

In this possible scaling region, the chiral continuum $\lim_{n\to\infty} t$ is very much like that of lattice QCD. We need to tune only one coupling g_1 ! 0 in the neighbourhood of the segment A $g_2^{c,a} < g_2 < g_2^{c,1}$. For g_1 ! 0, the $_R$ shift-symmetry is slightly violated, the normal modes of $_L^i$ and $_R$ would couple together to form the chiral symmetry breaking term $_L^i(0)$ $_L^i$ $_R$, which is a dimension-3 renormalized operator and thus irrelevant at the short distance. We desire this scaling region to be ultra-violet stable, in which the multiferm ion coupling g_1 turns out to be an elective renormalized dimension-4 operator [19].

7 Someremarks

The conclusion of the existence of the possible scaling region (90) for the continuum chiral theory is plausible and hard to be excluded. It is worthwhile to check this scenario in di erent approaches. Even though this scenario em erges, we are still left with several other problems to have a correct continuum chiral gauge theory when the global SU_L (2) chiral sym metry is gauged. Their possible resolutions are mentioned and discussed in this section, and deserve to be studied in future work.

The question is whether this chiral continuum theory in the scaling region could be the correct chiral gauge theory, as the SU (2) chiral gauge coupling g is perturbatively turned on in the theory (1). One should expect a slight change of critical lines (points). We should be able to re-tune the multiferm ion couplings $(g_1;g_2)$ to compensate these perturbative changes, due the fact that the gauge interaction does not spoil the $_R$ shift-symmetry and we can derive identities based on the W ard identity (15),

$$\frac{(2)}{A^0 \quad {}^0_R} = \frac{(3)}{A^0 \quad {}^0_R \quad {}^0_R} = \frac{(3)}{A^0 \quad {}^0_L \quad {}^0_R} = 0; \tag{122}$$

where A^0 is a \prime" gauge eld. In this possible scaling regime, disregarding those uninteresting neutralm odes, we have the charged modes including both the SU (2) chiral-gauged, massless normal mode (121) of $\frac{i}{L}$ and the freen SU (2)-vectorial-gauged, massive doublers of the Dirac fermion $\frac{i}{c}$ (116). The gauge eld should not only chirally couple to the massless normal mode of the $\frac{i}{L}$ in the low-energy regime, but also vectorially couple to the massive doublers of Dirac fermion $\frac{i}{c}$ in the high-energy regime. Thus, we expect the coupling vertex of the SU_L (2)-gauge eld and the normal mode of the $\frac{i}{L}$ to be chiral at the continuum limit. We should be able to demonstrate this point on the basis of the Ward identities associated with the SU (2) chiral gauge symmetry that is respected by the spectrum in the possible scaling regime. In fact, due to the reinstatement of the manifest SU_L (2) chiral gauge symmetry and corresponding

W and identities of the undoubled spectrum in this possible scaling regime, we should then apply the Rome approach [20] (which is based on the conventional wisdom of quantum eld theories) to perturbation theory in the small gauge coupling. It is expected that the Rome approach would work in the same way but all gauge-variant counterterms are prohibited; the gauge boson masses vanish to allorders of gauge coupling perturbation theory for $g_1 = 0$. We hope not to run into an inconsistent theory if the propagators of the three-ferm ion states which are composed of the normal modes of $\frac{1}{L}$ and R, positively vanish when R i.e., the zero of these propagators at R is a double zero.

A nother in portant question remaining is how chiral gauge anomalies emerge, although in this short report the chiral gauge anomaly is cancelled by purposely choosing an appropriate fermion representation of the SU_L (2) chiral gauge group. We know that in the doubled spectrum of naive lattice chiral gauge theory, the reason for the correct anomaly disappearing in the continuum limit is that the normal mode and doublers of Weyl fermions produce the same anomaly, so that these anomalies eliminate them selves [21]. As a consequence of decoupled doublers being given a chiral-invariant mass ($O(\frac{1}{6})$), the surviving normal mode of the Weyl fermion (chiral-gauged, e.g., $U_L(1)$) should produce the correct anomaly in the continuum limit. Due to the fact that the action (1) possesses explicit $U_L(1)$ global symmetry, we also have the question of whether the conservation of left-handed fermion number would be violated by the correct anomaly [2, 22] structure trf F that is generated by the SU(2) instanton in the continuum limit. We will discuss this crucial problem in a separate paper.

I thank G. Preparata, M. Creutz and H B. N ielsen for many discussions. Thanks to R. Shrock and M. Testa for discussions on multiferm ion couplings, and P G. R atcli e for reading this paper. The author gratefully acknowledges the support of K C. K ong education of foundation, H ong K ong and the national foundation of science, C hina.

Appendix I

To obtain eq. (16), we need to consider

$$h_{L}^{i}(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{L}(x) \frac{1}{L}($$

where we utilize the relation (10), then

$$\frac{1}{Z_{R}^{0}(0)} h_{L}^{i}(x) + (x) h_{L}^{i}(x) = \frac{1}{Z_{L}^{i}(x)} \frac{1}{L}(x) - (x) ;$$

$$h_{L}^{i}(x) + (x) h_{L}^{i}(x) = (124)$$

A nalogously, one can have,

$$\frac{1}{\frac{0}{R}(0)}h \qquad {}^{i}_{L}(x) (_{R}(x)) {}^{i}_{L}(x) i = \qquad h {}^{i}_{L}(x) {}^{i}_{L}(x) i \qquad (125)$$

eqs. (124,125) lead to eq. (16).

h $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ (x) $_{\rm L}^{\rm i}$ (y)i is a spinor matrix that can be expanded on the basis of I; ; 5 and 5, non-vanishing terms can be written as

$$h_{L}^{i}(x)_{L}^{i}(y)i = (P_{L})_{Z}^{h}(x)_{Z}^{i}(y)i(P_{R})$$
 (126)
= $(P_{L})_{p}^{ip(x y)} A(p^{2})() p + B(p^{2})(_{5}) p (P_{R})$;

where $p = \frac{d^4p}{(2)^4}$ and $p = \sin(p)$ is the lattice momentum. For x = y, one gets eq. (17),

$$h_{L}^{i}(x)_{L}^{i}(x)i = 0;$$
 $h_{L}^{i}(x)_{L}^{i}(x)i = 0;$ (127)

because of an odd function integral.

To obtain eq. (24), analogously to eqs. (123,124,125), we need to calculate

$$h_{L}^{i}(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{L}(x) = \frac{1}{Z} \frac{1}{L}(x) \frac{1}{R}(x) = \frac{1}{L}(x) \frac{1}{L}(x) = \frac{1}{L}($$

and

$$\frac{\text{Gi}}{\text{L}}(0) h \qquad \text{L}^{i}(x) \quad \text{(}_{R}(x)\text{)} \quad \text{L}^{i}(x) \quad \text{i} = \qquad h \quad \text{L}^{i}(x) \quad \text{(}_{R}(x)\text{)} \quad \text{(} 129\text{)}$$

A m ed with these equations, we obtain eq. (24) in section 3.

Appendix II

We re-insert the parametrization of (30) into the RHS of gap-equation (29), and equaling this to eq. (30) gives us two equations

$$= 4g_{1} = \frac{z}{q} \frac{(g_{2}w (q) + 1)}{\sin^{2}q + (1 + g_{2}w (q))^{2} (v^{i}a)^{2}}$$

$$1 = 4 = \frac{z}{q} \frac{(g_{2}w (q) + 1)}{\sin^{2}q + (1 + g_{2}w (q))^{2} (v^{i}a)^{2}} w (q); \qquad (130)$$

W ith the de nition I_n (32) for n = 0;1;2, we have equations

$$= g_1 g_2 I_1 + g_1 I$$

$$1 = 4g_2 I_2 + 4 I_1;$$
(131)

which lead to eq. (31).

The free propagator of $^{i}_{L}$ is given as

$$S^{ij}(p) = {}_{ij}P_L\hat{p}P_R; \qquad \hat{p} = \frac{\frac{i}{a}P}{\frac{1}{a^2}S\sin^2 p}a^2;$$
 (132)

The Feynm an diagram (see Fig. 4) is given by,

where R(k;q) is eq. (38) and

$$= g_1 + 4g_2w (p k)w (k + \frac{q}{2})^2 = \frac{1}{N_f^2}a^4 g_1 + 4g_2w (p k)w (k + \frac{q}{2})^2$$
: (134)

The wave-function renormalization Z_2 (p) of $\frac{i}{L}$ (x) in eq. (23) can be calculated by using the train approximation (see Fig. 5),

and one gets

$$Z_2 = 1$$
 $p:$ (136)

With eq. (133) one can get

$$\hat{p} = \frac{2}{N_f} \sum_{k,q}^{Z} g_1 + 4g_2 w (p k) w (k + \frac{q}{2})^{2} \sum_{p=1}^{p} \frac{\sin(p k) \sin p}{\sin^2 p} R (k;q):$$
(137)

By substituting eq. (137) into (136), one gets eq. (38).

Appendix III

We keep in m ind that $^{i}_{L}$ has two components and $_{R}$ has one component (all times a factor of 2 for spin degeneracy). In the strong-coupling limit, the kinetic terms $S_{f}(x)$:

$$S_{f}(x) = S_{f}^{L}(x) + S_{f}^{R}(x)$$

$$S_{f}^{L}(x) = \frac{1}{2aq_{2}^{2}} X_{L}^{i}(x) = \frac{1}{L} X_{L}^{X}(x) = \frac{1}{2aq_{2}^{2}} X_{R}(x) = \frac{1}{2aq_{2}^{2}}$$

are dropped, the interacting action $S_2(x)$ (94) turns out to be bilinear in $\frac{i}{L}(x)$ ferm ion eld at the same point x. We extra perform the integral of $\frac{i}{L}(x)$ (in xed) at the point x and obtain

$$D^{i}(x) = \begin{cases} Z & h & i \\ [d_{L}^{i} d_{L}^{i}] \exp \begin{pmatrix} i \\ [x] (x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [x] (x) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [x] (x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i \\ [x] (x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i$$

where the determ ent det_s is taken over spinor space. Then, the partition function of the one-site theory is given by the integral that is bilinear in ferm ionic variable $_{\mathbb{R}}$ (x),

$$Z^{i}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} Z \\ [d_{R}(x)d_{R}(x)]D^{i}(x) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $= [d_{R}(x)d_{R}(x)]2_{R}(x) = Z^{2} + Z^{2}(x)^{2}$; (140)

The total partition function in the strong-coupling lim it is then obtained,

$$Z = _{i,x} \qquad [d_{R}(x)d_{R}(x)]2 \qquad _{R}(x) \qquad _{R}(x)$$

$$= 2^{4N} \det_{x} ^{2}(x) ^{4}; \qquad (141)$$

where the determent det_x is taken only over the lattice space-time and N is the number of lattice sites.

In order to obtain the recursion relations (57,58,59), (105,106,107) and (77) satisfied by two-point functions, we consider an integral of one eld $_{\rm L}^{\rm j}$ (x) at the point \x" de ned as

$$P_1^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \begin{bmatrix} Z_R & Z_L \\ X & x \end{bmatrix} [x] e^{S_f(x) S_2^{j}(x)};$$
 (142)

where the measure of the ferm ion elds $_{\rm L}^{\rm j}$ (x) (the SU $_{\rm L}$ (2)-index j is xed) and $_{\rm R}$ (x) at the point \x" is given as

$$Z_R Z_L$$
 Z $[d_R(x)d_R(x)][d_L^j(x)d_L^j(x)]$: (143)

To have a non-vanishing integral of $_{L}^{j}(x)$ at the point \x'' , we need a $_{L}^{j}(x)$ eld in the expansion of $e^{S_{f}^{L}(x)}$, and obtain

$$P_{1}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} {}^{0} \frac{1}{2ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} {}^{A} {}^{X^{y}} \left[{}^{j}_{L}(x+) \right] {}^{Z_{R}Z_{L}}_{x xj} (x) {}^{j}_{L}(x) {}^{j}_{L}(x) e^{S_{f}^{R}(x) S_{2}^{j}(x)};$$

$$(144)$$

w here

$$X^{y}$$
 $\begin{bmatrix} j \\ L \end{pmatrix} (x+) = \begin{bmatrix} j \\ L \end{bmatrix} (x+) = \begin{bmatrix}$

U sing eq. (139), we can st perform the integral over $_{\rm L}^{\rm j}$ (x) in eq. (144) that is bilinear in terms of $_{\rm L}^{\rm j}$ (x),

As a result, we have

$$P_{1}^{j}(x) = {}^{0}\frac{1}{2ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} {}^{A}^{X^{y}} \left[{}^{j}_{L}(x+) \right] \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} {}^{Z}_{x} e^{S_{f}^{R}(x)} \frac{D^{j}(x)}{R^{R}(x)} : (147)$$

The remaining integral (147) of $_R$ (x) at the \x" point is bilinear in terms of fermionic variable $_R$ (x), we need to have $_R$ (x) and $_R$ (x) elds in expansion of e $^{S \, _{\rm f}^{\, ({\rm x})}}$, for the lowest order of O $(\frac{1}{g_2})$, we obtain

$$P_{1}^{j}(x) = {}^{0}\frac{1}{2ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{A} {}^{X^{y}} \left[{}^{j}_{L}(x+) \right] \left[{}^{R}(x+) \right] \left[{}^{R}(x+) \right]$$
(148)

w here

$$\dot{y} = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \qquad [d_{R}(x)d_{R}(x)]_{R}(x) = \frac{D^{j}(x)}{R^{k}(x)} : (149)$$

U sing eqs. (140,146) and the following relation

$$\frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \stackrel{Z}{=} [d_{R}(x)d_{R}(x)]^{h} _{R}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \stackrel{Z^{2}(x)}{=} (x)^{2} \stackrel{Z^{2}(x)}{=} (x) = \frac{1}{Z^{2}(x)};$$
(150)

we obtain

$$= \frac{1}{2(\mathbf{x})} \tag{151}$$

$$P_{1}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{2(x)} e^{\frac{1}{2} ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}} A} e^{\frac{1}{2} (x+1)} [x+1] [x+1] [x+1] [x+1] (152)$$

We de ne the integral of three elds P_3^j (x) at the site \x"

$$P_3^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \begin{bmatrix} Z_R & Z_L \\ X & X_L \end{bmatrix} (x)_R(x)_R(x) e^{S_f(x) S_2^{j}(x)}$$
: (153)

Analogously to the reason for eqs. (147,149) to get non-trivial results, we only need a $_{\rm L}^{\rm j}$ (x) eld in the expansion of e $_{\rm f}^{\rm S}$ (x), and considering eqs. (146,151) we obtain,

$$P_{3}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & X^{y} & X^{y$$

We turn to consider the integral of the four ferm ion elds at site $\x"$,

$$P_{4}^{ij;} (x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \sum_{\substack{x = xj \\ x = Z \\ x = Z}}^{Z_{R} Z_{L}} \sum_{\substack{i \\ L}}^{i}(0) \sum_{\substack{i \\ L}}^{j}(x) \sum_{\substack{x = xj \\ k}}^{i}(0) \sum_{\substack{i \\ L}}^{j}(x) \sum_{\substack{i \\ R}}^{i}(x) \sum_{\substack{i \\ R}}^{j}(x) \sum_$$

We compute the following integral P $_2^{\ j}$ (x) of two elds $_{\ L}^{\ j}$ (x) at \x",

$$P_{2}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} \begin{bmatrix} Z_{R} & Z_{L} & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\$$

To have a non-trivial result, we need $_{\rm L}^{\rm j}$ (x) and $_{\rm R}$ (x) elds in the expansion of e $^{\rm S}$ $_{\rm f}$ (x), and we obtain

$$P_{2}^{j}(x) = \frac{1}{Z^{j}(x)} e^{\frac{1}{2aq_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}}A} e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^{2}+x^{2})} e^{\frac{Z_{R}Z_{L}}{L}} e^{\frac{Z_{R}Z_{L}}{L}} e^{\frac{1}{2}(x^{2}+x^{2})} e^{\frac{Z_{R}Z_{L}}{L}} e^{\frac{Z_{R}Z_{L}}{L$$

$$= \frac{0}{2} \frac{1}{2ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{L}^{j} (x+) \right] \left[\int_{R}^{R} (x+) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2ag_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{L}^{j} (x+) \right] R^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(157)

A m ed with these equations (152,154,155,157), one can get the recursion relations satis ed by two-point functions in the main text of the article.

References

- [1] H.B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981) 20, ibid. B 193 (1981) 173, Phys. Lett. B 105 (1981) 219.
- [2] E. Eichten and J. Preskill, Nucl. Phys. B 268 (1986) 179.
- [3] M. F. L. Golterman, D. N. Petcher and E. Rivas, Nucl. Phys. B 395 (1993) 597.
- [4] J. Sm it, A cta Physica Polonica B 17 (1986) 531; P.D. W. Sw iff, Phys. Lett. B 145 (1984) 256.
- [5] D. N. Petcher, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 30 (1993) 52, references there in.
- [6] G.Preparata and S.-S. Xue, Phys. Lett. B 264 (1991) 35; Nucl. Phys. B 26 (Proc. Suppl.) (1992) 501; Nucl. Phys. B 30 (Proc. Suppl.) (1993) 647.
- [7] A. Hasenfratz, P. Hasenfratz, K. Jansen, J. Kutiand Yue Shen, Nucl. Phys. B 365 (1991) 79.
- [8] M. F. L. Golterman, D. N. Petcher and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B 370 (1992) 51.
- [9] Y. Sham ir, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71 (1993) 2691; Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 34 (1994) 590; hep-lat/9307002; Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 47 (1996) 212.
- [10] H.B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Int. J. of Mod. Phys. A 6 (1991) 2913; H.B. Nielsen and S.E. Rugh, Nucl. Phys. 29B (Proc. Suppl.) (1992) 200.
- [11] M. Creutz, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 42 (1995) 56, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 2951.
- [12] R.Narayanan and H.Neuberger, Nucl. Phys. B 443 (1995) 305, references there in;
 - G. 't Hooft, Phys. Lett. B 349 (1995) 491;
 - P.Hemandez and R.Sundrum, Nucl. Phys. B 455 (1995) 287.

- [13] M. F. L. Goltem an, D. N. Petcher, Phys. Lett. B 225 (1989) 159.
- [14] Y.Nambu and G.Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122 (1961) 345.
- [15] G.Preparata and S.S.Xue, Phys. Lett. B 335 (1994) 192; ibid B 377 (1996) 124.
- [16] K. Wilson, in New phenomena in subnuclear physics (Erice, 1975) ed. A. Zichichi (Plenum, New York, 1977).
- [17] The private com munication with Y. Sham ir.
- [18] M. cam postrini, G. Curci and A. Pelissetto, Phys. Lett. B 193 (1987) 279;
 G.T. Bodw in and E.V. Kovacs, Phys. Lett. B 193 (1987) 283;
 A. Pelissetto, Ann. Phys. 182 (1988) 177.
- [19] W A. Bardeen, C.T. Hill and S. Love, Nucl. Phys. B 273 (1986) 649. ibid
 B 323 (1989) 493,
 W A Bardeen, S.T. Love and V A. Miransky, Phys. Rev. D 42 (1990) 3514.
- [20] A. Bornelli, L. Maiani, G. C. Rossi, R. Sisto and M. Testa, Nucl. Phys. B 333 (1990) 335; Phys. Lett. B 221 (1989) 360;
 L. Maiani, G. C. Rossi, and M. Testa, Phys. Lett. B 261 (1991) 479; ibid B 292 (1992) 397;
 L. Maiani, Nucl. Phys. (Proc. Suppl.) B 29 (1992) 33.
- [21] L.H.Karsten and J.Sm it, Nucl. Phys. B 144 (1978) 536.
- [22] T. Banks, Phys. Lett. B 272 (1991) 75; T. Bank and A. Dabholkar, Nucl. Phys. 29B (Proc. Suppl.) (1992) 46.

Figure Captions

- Figure 1: The phase diagram for the theory (1) in the g_1 g_1 plane.
- Figure 2: The e ective four-point interacting vertex.
- Figure 3: The NJL gap-equation for the self-energy function (p) in the lim it of N $_{\rm f}$! 1 .
- Figure 4: The Feynm an diagram of the leading contribution to the wave-function renormalization Z_2 (p) of $\frac{i}{L}$.
- Figure 5: The train approximation to the wave-function renormalization Z_2 (p) of $\frac{i}{L}$.