KANAZAWA-97-08

Aharonov–Bohm Effect in Lattice Abelian Higgs Theory

M.N. Chernodub[a,b], F.V. Gubarev[a] and M.I. Polikarpov[a,b]

[a] *ITEP, B.Cheremushkinskaya 25, Moscow, 117259, Russia*

[b] *Department of Physics, Kanazawa University,*

Kanazawa 920-11, Japan

arXiv:hep-lat/9704021v2 12 Nov 1997

ABSTRACT

We study a field–theoretical analogue of the Aharonov–Bohm effect in two-, three- and four-dimensional Abelian Higgs models; the corresponding topological interaction is proportional to the linking number of the Abrikosov vortex and the particle world trajectories. We show that the Aharonov–Bohm effect gives rise to a nontrivial interaction of charged test particles. The numerical calculations in the three-dimensional model confirm this fact.

1 Introduction

It is well known that the Abelian Higgs model in three and four dimensions has classical solutions called the Abrikosov–Nielsen–Olesen strings (vortices) [1]. These strings carry quantized magnetic flux (vorticity), and the wave function of the charged particle which is scattered on the string acquires an additional phase. The shift in the phase is a physical effect which is the field-theoretical analogue [2] of the quantum-mechanical Aharonov–Bohm effect [3]: strings play the role of solenoids that scatter the charged particles. The topological long-range Aharonov–Bohm interaction between strings and charged particles was discussed in the continuum theory [2] and on the lattice [4].

In Section 2 we show that in the lattice Abelian Higgs model with non-compact gauge field the Aharonov–Bohm effect gives rise to long-range Coulomb-like interaction between test particles. In the three-dimensional case the induced potential is confining, since the Coulomb interaction grows logarithmically. In Section 3 we give the results

of numerical calculations of the induced potential. These results confirm the existence of the Aharonov–Bohm effect in the Abelian Higgs model.

2 Potential Induced by the Aharonov–Bohm Effect

The partition function of the D-dimensional non-compact lattice Abelian Higgs model is[1]:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}A \int_{-\pi}^{+\pi} \mathcal{D}\varphi \sum_{l(\mathrm{C}_1)\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\left\{-S(A,\varphi,l)\right\} , \tag{1}$$

where

$$S(A,\varphi,l) = \beta\|\mathrm{d}A\|^2 + \gamma\|\mathrm{d}\varphi + 2\pi l - NA\|^2 , \tag{2}$$

A is the non-compact gauge field, φ is the phase of the Higgs field and l is the integer-valued one-form. For simplicity, we consider the limit of infinite Higgs boson mass, the radial part $|\Phi|$ of the Higgs field, $|\Phi|e^{i\varphi}$ being frozen. For the interaction of the Higgs field with the gauge field we use the Villain form of the action.

One can rewrite [4] the partition function (1) as a sum over closed vortex trajectories ($D = 3$) or as a sum over closed string world sheets ($D = 4$), using the analogue of Berezinski–Kosterlitz–Thauless (BKT) transformation [6]:

$$\mathcal{Z} \propto \mathcal{Z}^{BKT} = \sum_{\substack{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \delta^* j = 0}} \exp\left\{-4\pi^2\gamma\left({}^*j, \left(\Delta + m^2\right)^{-1} {}^*j\right)\right\} , \tag{3}$$

where $m^2 = N^2\gamma/\beta$ is the *classical* mass of the vector boson A. The closed objects ${}^*j$ defined on the dual lattice have dimension 1 and correspond to a closed vortex for $D = 3$, whereas for $D = 4$ they have dimension 2 and correspond to a closed string world sheet. These objects ${}^*j$, which are topological defects on the lattice, interact with each other through the Yukawa forces $(\Delta + m^2)^{-1}$.

Applying the same transformation to the quantum average of the Wilson loop for the test particle of charge M, $W_M(\mathcal{C}) = \exp\{-iM(A, j_{\mathcal{C}})\}$, we get the following formula [4]:

$$< W_M(\mathcal{C}) >_N = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}^{BKT}} \sum_{\substack{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \delta^* j = 0}} \exp\Big\{-4\pi^2\gamma\Big({}^*j, \Big(\Delta + m^2\Big)^{-1} {}^*j\Big)$$

$$-\frac{M^2}{4\beta}(j_{\mathcal{C}}, (\Delta + m^2)^{-1} j_{\mathcal{C}}) - 2\pi i \frac{M}{N}\Big({}^*j_{\mathcal{C}}, \Big(\Delta + m^2\Big)^{-1} \mathrm{d}^* j\Big) + 2\pi i \frac{M}{N} \mathbb{L}\,(j, j_{\mathcal{C}})\Big\} . \tag{4}$$

[1] We use the notation of the calculus of differential forms on the lattice [5]; see Appendix A.

The first three terms in this expression are short-range Yukawa forces between defects (strings or vortices) and test particles of charge M. The last long-range term has a topological origin: $I\!L(j, j_{\mathcal{C}})$ is the linking number between the world trajectories of the defects ${}^*j$ and the world trajectory of the test particle $j_{\mathcal{C}}$:

$$I\!L(j, j_{\mathcal{C}}) = ({}^*j_{\mathcal{C}}, \Delta^{-1} \mathrm{d}^* j)\,. \tag{5}$$

In three (four) dimensions, the trajectory of the vortex (string) ${}^*j$ is a closed loop (surface) and the linking number $I\!L$ is equal to the number of points at which the loop $j_{\mathcal{C}}$ intersects the two (three) dimensional volume bounded by the loop (surface) ${}^*j$. Equation (5) is the lattice analogue of the Gauss formula for the linking number. This topological interaction corresponds to the Aharonov–Bohm effect in field theory [2, 4], the defects (vortices or strings) which carry the magnetic flux $2\pi/N$ scatter the test particle of charge M.

In the limit $N^2\gamma \gg \beta$, the partition function (3) becomes

$$\mathcal{Z}_0^{BKT} = \sum_{\substack{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \delta^* j = 0}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2} ||{}^*j||^2 \right\}. \tag{6}$$

In the corresponding 3D (4D) continuum theory the term $||{}^*j||^2$ is proportional to the length (area) of the world trajectory ${}^*j$; therefore, the vortices (strings) are free. In the said limit, the expectation value of the Wilson loop $W_M(\mathcal{C})$ (4) is

$$< W_M(\mathcal{C}) >_N = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0^{BKT}} \sum_{\substack{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \delta^* j = 0}} \exp\Big\{ -\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2} ||{}^*j||^2 + 2\pi i \frac{M}{N} I\!L(j, j_{\mathcal{C}}) \Big\}. \tag{7}$$

This formula describes the Aharonov–Bohm interaction of free defects carrying magnetic flux $2\pi/N$ with the test particle of charge M.

For $D = 2$, the expression (7) can be computed exactly. In this case, the defects are pointlike, ${}^*j$ is attached to sites (${}^*j = {}^*j({}^*C_2)$) and the condition $\delta^* j = 0$ is satisfied for any ${}^*j$; therefore, all the variables ${}^*j$ in equations (6) and (7) are independent. The linking number can be written as $I\!L(j, j_{\mathcal{C}}) = (j, m_{\mathcal{C}})$, where the two-form $m_{\mathcal{C}}$ represents the surface spanned on the contour $\mathcal{C}$. After these remarks the evaluation of $W_M(\mathcal{C})$ becomes trivial and the result is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} < W_M(\mathcal{C}) > &= \left(\frac{\sum\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2} j^2 + 2\pi i \frac{M}{N} j \right\}}{\sum\limits_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\left\{ -\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2} j^2 \right\}} \right)^{\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})} \\ &= const. \exp\Big\{ -\sigma(M, N; \beta) \cdot \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}) \Big\}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C})$ is the area of the surface bounded by the contour $\mathcal{C}$. The string tension is

$$\sigma(M,N;\beta) = -ln\left[\frac{\Theta\left(\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2},\frac{M}{N}\right)}{\Theta\left(\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2},0\right)}\right], \qquad (9)$$

where the Θ–function has the form $\Theta(x,q) = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\{-xj^2 + 2\pi iqj\}$. Note that if the charge of the test particle is completely screened by the charge of the Higgs condensate ($M/N \in \mathbb{Z}$), then the string tension is equal to zero, since $\Theta(x,q+n) = \Theta(x,q)$ (n is an integer). The area law of the Wilson loop for fractionally charged particles in the $2D$ Abelian Higgs model was first found in [7], but then it was not realized that the nonzero value of the string tension is due to the analogue of the Aharonov–Bohm effect.

For $D = 3, 4$, the expression (7) can be estimated in the saddle–point approximation [8]. Let us represent the condition $\delta^* j = 0$ in (7) by introducing integration over an additional field C:

$$\sum_{\substack{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z} \\ \delta^* j=0}} \cdots = \sum_{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z}} \delta\left(\delta^* j\right)\cdots = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}^*C \sum_{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\left\{i(\delta^* j, {}^*C)\right\}\cdots . \qquad (10)$$

Inserting unity $1 = \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}^*F\,\delta\left({}^*F - {}^*j\right)$ into equation (7), we get

$$< W_M(\mathcal{C}) >= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}^{BKT}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}^*C \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}^*F \sum_{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z}} \cdot$$
$$\exp\left\{-\frac{4\pi^2\beta}{N^2}\|{}^*F\|^2 + 2\pi i\frac{M}{N}({}^*m_{\mathcal{C}}, {}^*F) + i(\delta^* F, {}^*C)\right\}\cdot\delta\left({}^*F - {}^*j\right). \qquad (11)$$

The use of the Poisson formula $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\delta(n-x) = \sum_{2\pi n\in\mathbb{Z}} e^{inx}$ and integration over the fields ${}^*F$ leads us to the dual representation of the quantum average (7):

$$< W_M(\mathcal{C}) >= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}^{BKT}} \int\limits_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathcal{D}^*C \sum_{{}^*j({}^*\mathrm{C}_2)\in\mathbb{Z}} \exp\Big\{-\frac{N^2}{16\pi^2\beta}\|\mathrm{d}^*C + 2\pi\frac{M}{N}{}^*m_{\mathcal{C}} + 2\pi{}^*j\|^2\Big\}. \qquad (12)$$

For

$$N^2\Delta^{-1}_{(D)}(0) \gg 4\beta\,, \qquad (13)$$

where $\Delta^{-1}_{(D)}(R)$ is the D–dimensional massless lattice propagator, we can evaluate this expression in the semiclassical approximation: the result is

$$< W_M(\mathcal{C}) >_N = const. \exp\Big\{-\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)\cdot\Big(j_{\mathcal{C}},\Delta^{-1}j_{\mathcal{C}}\Big)\Big\}\,., \tag{14}$$

where

$$\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)=\frac{q^2N^2}{4\beta}\,,\qquad q=\min_{K\in\mathbb{Z}}|\frac{M}{N}-K|\,. \tag{15}$$

Here q is the distance between the ratio M/N and the nearest integer number. Just as equation (9), the expressions (14), (15) depend on the fractional part of M/N, which is a consequence of the Aharonov–Bohm effect. The interaction of the test charges is absent if $q=0$ (M/N is integer); this corresponds to the complete screening of the test charge M by the Higgs bosons of charge N.

To find the potential induced by the Aharonov–Bohm effect we consider the product of two Polyakov lines: $W_M(\mathcal{C})=L_M^+(0)\cdot L_M(R)$. Then $(j_{\mathcal{C}},\Delta^{-1}j_{\mathcal{C}})=2T\,(\Delta^{-1}_{(D-1)}(R)+\Delta^{-1}_{(D-1)}(0))$ and equation (14) is reduced to

$$< L_M^+(0)\,L_M(R) >_N = const.\,\exp\Big\{-2\,\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)\,T\,\Delta^{-1}_{(D-1)}(R)\Big\}\,. \tag{16}$$

For large R, we have $\Delta^{-1}_{(2)}(R)=\frac{c_3}{2}\ln R+\ldots$, and $\Delta^{-1}_{(3)}(R)=\frac{c_4}{2}R^{-1}+\ldots$, where c_3 and c_4 are constants. Thus, the Aharonov–Bohm effect in three- and four-dimensional Abelian Higgs model gives rise in the leading order to the following long-range potentials:

$$V^{(0)}_{3D}(R)=2\,\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)\,T\,\Delta^{-1}_{(2)}(R)=c_3\,\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)\cdot\ln R+O\left(R^{-1}\right)\,, \tag{17}$$

$$V^{(0)}_{4D}(R)=2\,\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)\,T\,\Delta^{-1}_{(3)}(R)=c_4\,\kappa^{(0)}(M,N;\beta)\cdot\frac{1}{R}+O\left(R^{-2}\right)\,. \tag{18}$$

A $3D$ vortex model in the continuum is discussed in [9], where it is shown that the potential has the form $V^c_{3D}(R)\approx const.\,q^2\psi_0\,\ln\frac{R}{R_0}$, with ψ_0 being proportional to the vortex condensate, and R_0 having the order of the vortex width. This result is in agreement with our estimation (17) of the potential.

Note that from expression (7) the following properties of the potential $V_{(M,N)}$ can be obtained:

$$V_{(M,N)}=V_{(N-M,N)}\,,\qquad V_{(N,N)}=0\,. \tag{19}$$

The long-range potentials $V(R)$, equations (17), (18), and the string tension (9) satisfy these relations.

3 Numerical Calculations

We calculated numerically the potential between the test particles of charge M in the three-dimensional Abelian Higgs model, the Higgs boson charge being $N = 6$. The action of the model is chosen in the Wilson form: $S[A, \varphi] = \beta||\mathrm{d}A||^2 - \gamma \cos(\mathrm{d}\varphi + NA)$. We perform the calculations by the standard Monte–Carlo method and we use 200 statistically independent configurations for each point in the $\beta - \gamma$ plain. The simulations are performed on lattice of size 16^3 for the test charges $M = 1, \ldots, N$.

We fit the numerical data for the Wilson loop quantum average by the following formula:

$$-\ln < W_M(C) >_N = \kappa_{num}(j_C, \Delta^{-1} j_C) + m^2_{num}||j_C||^2 + C_{num}\,, \tag{20}$$

where κ_{num}, m_{num} and C_{num} are the fitting parameters. It turns out that the expectation values of the Wilson loops of the shapes $L_1 \times L_2$, $L_1, L_2 = 1, \ldots, 5$ are well described by (20).




Fig. 1: The ratio $\zeta = \frac{\kappa_{num}}{\kappa^{(0)}}$ *vs.* β for $M = 1, 2, 3$ at $\gamma = 1$.

In Fig. 1 we show the dependence of the coefficients $\zeta = \kappa_{num}/\kappa^{(0)}$ on β for $M = 1, 2, 3$ and $\gamma = 1$, where $\kappa^{(0)}$ is given by the semiclassical expression (15). We studied the region $1 \leq \beta \leq 3$ for which the condition (13) of validity of the semiclassical

expression (15) is not valid[2] (note that $\Delta^{-1}_{(3)}(0) \approx 0.24.$). The considered values of the parameters β and γ correspond to the Coulomb phase in which the vortices are condensed.

It is clearly seen from Fig. 1 that for $\beta > 2.3$ the values of ζ are independent of M. Thus, κ_{num} is proportional to $\kappa^{(0)}$ with a coefficient which differs from unity because of quantum corrections. The same effect is observed in this model at finite temperature [10].

The numerical data also shows that $\kappa^{num}_M = \kappa^{num}_{N-M}$ and the potential for the charge $M = N$ is equal to zero within numerical errors for all considered values of β. These relations are in agreement with the Aharonov–Bohm nature of the potential $V_{(M,N)}(R)$ (cf. equation (19)). Note that the usual Coulomb interaction of the test particles of charge M is proportional to M^2. Therefore, the fact that the potential $V_{(M)}$ satisfies the relations (19) means that the usual Coulomb interaction of the test particles is small.

Conclusion and Acknowledgments

We have shown by analytical calculations that the Aharonov–Bohm effect induces the long-range interaction (17,15) at small values of β: $\beta \ll N^2\gamma$, $\beta \ll \Delta^{-1}_{(3)}(0)N^2/4$. Our numerical simulations for $\beta \geq 2.3$ show that this effect leads to long-range potential which is proportional to potential (17): $V_{3D}(R; M, N, \beta) = \zeta(\beta)V^{(0)}_{3D}(R; M, N, \beta)$, where ζ is independent of M. At the intermediate values of β the Aharonov–Bohm effect also induces a long-range potential but the induced potential is not proportional to the semiclassical expression eq.(17). The potential depends non-analytically on the charge of the test particle. Due to the long-range nature of the induced potential, the Aharonov–Bohm effect may be important for the dynamics of the colour confinement in nonabelian gauge theories [11].

M.N.Ch. and M.I.P. acknowledge the kind hospitality of the Theoretical Department of the Kanazawa University. The authors are grateful to D.A. Ozerov for useful discussions. This work is supported by the JSPS Program on Japan – FSU scientists collaboration, and also by the Grants: INTAS-94-0840, INTAS-94-2851, INTAS-RFBR-95-0681, and Grant No. 96-02-17230a of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Sciences.

[2]Condition (13) for $N = 6$ reads as follows: $\beta \ll 2.2$. Unfortunately, even at $\beta < 1$ our data for ζ contains large error bars, therefore we do not show this region of β on the figure.

Appendix A

Let us briefly summarize the main notions from the theory of differential forms on the lattice [5]. The advantage of the calculus of differential forms consists in the general character of the expressions obtained. Most of the transformations depend neither on the space-time dimension nor on the rank of the fields. With minor modifications, the transformations are valid for lattices of any form (triangular, hypercubic, random, *etc*). A differential form of rank k on the lattice is a function ϕ_k defined on k-dimensional cells C_k of the lattice, *e.g.*, the scalar (gauge) field is a 0–form (1–form). The exterior differential operator d is defined as follows:

$$(\mathrm{d}\phi)(C_{k+1}) = \sum_{\mathrm{C}_k \in \partial \mathrm{C}_{k+1}} \phi(C_k). \tag{A.1}$$

Here ∂C_k is the oriented boundary of the k-cell C_k. Thus, the operator d increases the rank of the form by unity; $\mathrm{d}\varphi$ is the link variable constructed, as usual, in terms of the site angles φ, and $\mathrm{d}A$ is the plaquette variable constructed from the link variables A. The scalar product is defined in the standard way: if φ and ψ are k-forms, then $(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{C_k} \varphi(C_k)\psi(C_k)$, where $\sum_{C_k}$ is the sum over all cells C_k. To any k–form on the D–dimensional lattice there corresponds a $(D-k)$–form ${}^*\Phi({}^*C_k)$ on the dual lattice, ${}^*C_k$ being the $(D-k)$–dimensional cell on the dual lattice. The co-differential $\delta = {}^*\mathrm{d}^*$ satisfies the partial integration rule $(\varphi, \delta\psi) = (\mathrm{d}\varphi, \psi)$. Note that $\delta\Phi(C_k)$ is a $(k-1)$–form and $\delta\Phi(C_0) = 0$. The norm is defined by $\|a\|^2 = (a, a)$. Therefore, $\|\mathrm{d}\varphi + 2\pi l - NA\|^2$ in (2) implies summation over all links. The sum $\sum_{l(\mathrm{C}_1)\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is taken over all configurations of the integers l attached to the links C_1. Due to the well-known property $\mathrm{d}^2 = \delta^2 = 0$, the action (2) is invariant under the gauge transformations $A' = A + \mathrm{d}\alpha$, $\varphi' = \varphi + N\alpha$. The lattice Laplacian is defined by $\Delta = \mathrm{d}\delta + \delta\mathrm{d}$.

References

[1] A.A. Abrikosov, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **32** (1957) 1442;
H.B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B61** (1973) 45.

[2] M.G. Alford and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **62** (1989) 1071;
L.M. Kraus and F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **62** (1989) 1221;
M.G. Alford, J. March–Russel and F. Wilczek, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B337** (1990) 695;
J. Preskill and L.M. Krauss, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B341** (1990) 50;
E.T. Akhmedov *et all.*, *Phys.Rev.* **D53** 2097 (1996);
F.A. Bais, A. Morozov and M. de Wild Propitius, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71** (1993) 2383.

[3] Y. Aharonov and D. Bohm, *Phys.Rev.* **115** (1959) 485.

[4] M.I. Polikarpov, U.-J. Wiese and M.A. Zubkov *Phys. Lett.*, **B 309** (1993) 133.

[5] P. Becher and H. Joos, *Z. Phys.*, **C15** (1982) 343.

[6] V.L. Beresinskii, *Sov. Phys. JETP*, **32** (1970) 493;
J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, *J. Phys.*, **C6** (1973) 1181.

[7] C. Callan, R. Dashen and D. Gross, *Phys. Lett.*, **B66** (1977) 375.

[8] M.N. Chernodub and M.I. Polikarpov, *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Nonperturbative Approaches to QCD, Trento, Italy, 10-29 Jul 1995*, `hep-th/9510014`.

[9] S. Samuel, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B154** (1979) 62.

[10] F.V. Gubarev, M.I. Polikarpov and M.N. Chernodub, *JETP Lett*, **63** (1996) 516, `hep-lat/9607045`.

[11] M.N. Chernodub, M.I. Polikarpov and M.A. Zubkov, *Nucl. Phys.*, **B** (Proc.Suppl.) **34** (1994) 256.