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#### Abstract

Certain in ation models undergo pre-heating, in which in aton oscillations can drive param etric resonance instabilities. W e discuss several phenom ena stem $m$ ing from such instabilities, especially in weak-scale m odels; generically, these involve energizing a resonant system so that it can evade tunneling by crossing barriers classically. O ne possibility is a spontaneous change of phase from a low er-energy vacuum state to one of higher energy, as exem pli ed by an asym $m$ etric double-well potential $w$ ith di erent $m$ asses in each well. If the lower well is in resonance with oscillations of the potential, a system can be driven resonantly to the upper well and stay there (except for tunneling) if the upper well is not resonant. A nother exam ple occurs in hybrid in ation models where the H iggs eld is resonant; the Higgs oscillations can be transferred to electroweak (EW ) gauge potentials, leading to rapid transitions over sphaleron barriers and consequent B + L violation. G iven an appropriate C P-violating seed, we nd that preheating can drive a tim e-varying condensate of C hem-Sim ons num ber over large spatial scales; th is conden sate evolves by oscillation as well as decay into $m$ odes $w$ ith shorter spatial gradients, eventually ending up as a condensate of sphalerons. W e study these exam ples num erically and to som e extent analytically. The em phasis in the present paper is on the generic $m$ echanism $s$, and not on speci c preheating $m$ odels; these $w$ ill be discussed in a later paper.


PACS num bers: $98.80 . \mathrm{Cq}$, $98.80 . \mathrm{k}, 11.15 . \mathrm{q}, 05.70 \mathrm{Fh}$

## I. IN TRODUCTION

$T$ here are well-know $n$ reasons to believe that in ation took place and w as follow ed by reheating to som e tem perature $T_{R}$. Before a them al equilibrium w as reached, the coherent oscillations of the in aton could create the environm ent in which a resonant non-therm alproduction of particles could rapidly transfer energy from the in aton to the other elds. This stage, known as preheating has been a sub ject of intense studies. In particular, it w as argued that both non-therm al phase transitions ", "] and the generation of baryon asymmetry [3] [T] could occur during preheating.

W e will describe tw o new eld-theoretical phenom ena that can be caused by coherent oscillations of the in aton. O ne is a new exam ple of a phase transition driven by the coherent oscillations of the in aton. This transition has an unusual feature that it can start in a low er-energy ground state and end in a higher-energy $m$ etastable vacuum. W e discuss this in Section II.

In Section III we describe resonant generation of a ferm ion density through anom alous gauge interactions that can be the basis for baryogenesis. In contrast w ith the earlier work, where the analyses were based on analogies w th therm al sphalerons [E][G] or topological defects [4]'], we construct an explicit solution that can be though of as a condensate of sphalerons. W e show
that the evolution of this solution can lead to a resonant grow th of $C$ hem-Sim ons num ber density.

## II. P H A SE TRANSITIONSATPREHEATING

The properties of the physical vacuum and the particle content of the universe are determ ined by physical processes that took place in a hot prim ordial plasm a. $T$ heories of particle interactions beyond the Standard M odel allow for di erent types of physical vacua. For exam ple, an SU (5) G rand Uni ed Theory (GUT) allow s three possibilities for the ground state, in which the gauge group that rem ain unbroken is, respectively, $\mathrm{SU}(5)$, SU (4) U (1), or SU (3) SU (2) U (1). If low-energy supersym $m$ etry is assum ed (to assure the gauge coupling uni cation and to stabilize the hierarchy of scales), these three ground states are degenerate in energy up to sm all supersym $m$ etry breaking term $s \quad T e V$. Therefore, any of these potentialm inim a could equally w ellbe the present physical vacuum. The evolution of the universe shortly after the B ig B ang m ust have chosen SU (3) SU (2) U (1) vacuum over the others. Thephenom enon wew illdiscuss can provide a new solution to the old puzzle related to breaking of a SU SY GUT gauge group. The same process can have im portant consequences in other $m$ odels $w$ ith several com peting ( $m$ etastable) vacua, for exam ple,
in them inim alsupersym $m$ etric extention of the Standard M odel (M SSM).

Let us consider an in aton interacting with a \H iggs eld" through a coupling of the form 2 y or
${ }^{y}$, or both. Let us assume that the e ective potential $V(;)$ has two non-degenerate $m$ inim a, for example at $h i=v, h i=v_{I}$, and that the $m$ ass of the particle is not the same in both $m$ inim a, that is $@^{2} \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=@^{2} @^{2} \mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{~V} ; \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}\right)=@^{2}$.

At the end of in ation, the system can occupy the lowest-energy state $w$ ith $h i=v$. During preheating, the in aton oscillates around its VEV, ( t ) $=$ $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{I}}+0$ cos! t . In general, this induces a tim e-dependent $m$ ass for the $H$ iggs eld through the couplings and . The equation of $m$ otion for the hom ogenous (zerom om entum ) m ode of the eld is

$$
\begin{equation*}
+3 H-+\frac{@}{@} V\left(; V_{I}+0 \cos !t\right)=0 ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the $H$ ubble constant ${ }^{[11} 1$ we show tw o exam ples oftim e-dependente ective potentials.

The potential $V(;(t))=\left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & v^{2}\end{array}\right)^{2}[1+$ $0: 4 \cos 5: 6 \mathrm{vt}]+0: 1 \mathrm{v}\left(3 \mathrm{v}^{2} \quad{ }^{2}\right)$ depicted in F ig. ${ }^{1} 1 \mathrm{l}$ has two classical solutions, $=v$ and $=v . N$ aively one could expect that the low est-energy solution $=\mathrm{v}$ corresponds to the vacuum state. This is not necessarily the case, how ever. Since the $m$ ass of the eld is tim $e^{-}$ dependent, the solution $(t)=\quad v m$ ay be unstable $w$ ith respect to sm all perturbations. At the sam e tim e, the other solution, $(\mathrm{t})=+\mathrm{v} \mathrm{m}$ ay be stable. If this is the case, the classical system is attracted to the tra jectory
$(\mathrm{t})=+\mathrm{v}$.
In the vicinity of the globalm inim um, for $j(+v)=v j$
1 , the equation ofm otion ([1]) is a $M$ athieu equation that has rapidly grow ing solutions for som e values of!, 0 , and $m() \quad @^{2} V\left(v ; v_{1}\right)=@{ }^{2}$. The in aton frequency changes with tim e and can enter in resonance, at which point ( $j$ ( $t$ ) $j$ v) begins to grow exponentially. Thiskind of solution of equation (1), w ith $\mathrm{H}=0$ and the potential of F ig. 'IT1, is show $n$ in $F$ ig. barrier and begins oscillations around a di erent potentialm in im um, $h i=+v$. H ow ever, them ass of the particle near $h i=+v$ is $\mathrm{m}^{(+)}$, di erent from $\mathrm{m}^{()}$) Therefore, the system $m$ ay go out of resonance after crossing the barrier. T here are no grow ing solutions in the vicinity of the seconds $m$ inim um, and the oscillations die out w ith $\mathrm{h} i=+\mathrm{v}$.

If the tunneling rate betw een $\mathrm{h} i=+\mathrm{v}$ and $\mathrm{h} i=\mathrm{v}$ is negligible, the classical evolution shown in Fig. scribes a phase transition into a $m$ etastable false vacuum .

[^0]

FIG. 1. The time-dependent potential $\mathrm{V}(; \quad(\mathrm{t}))=\left(\begin{array}{ll}2 & \left.\mathrm{v}^{2}\right)^{2}[1+0: 4 \cos 5: 6 \mathrm{vt}]+0: 1 \mathrm{v}\left(3 \mathrm{v}^{2}\right.\end{array}{ }^{2}\right)$ that has tw o non-degenerate $m$ in im a and a tim e-dependent barrier height. Them asses of the particles are also tim e-dependent and are di erent in the two $m$ inim $a$.


F IG . 2. A nother tim e-dependent potential. T he heights of the two vacua oscillate.

This exam ple show s that the ground state at the end of in ation does not necesarily correspond to the global m inim um of the potential. Instead, during the preheating, a false vacuum can be populated if the true vacuum entered in resonace while the false vacuum did not.

B oth G rand Uni ed $T$ heories and supersym $m$ etric extentions of the Standard M odel predict the existence of local m inim $a$ in the e ective potential. The tunneling rate between these minim a can be extrem ely low and their lifetim es can easily exceed the present age of the universe. For exam ple, the e ective potential of the M SSM can have a broken color SU (3) in its globalm inim um, while the standard, color and charge conserving vacuum is m etastable. For natural and experim entally allow ed values of the M SSM param eters, the lifetim e of


F IG .3. C lassical solution of the equation ofm otion in the potential of $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{111} .1$ The evolution begins near the unstable classical trajectory $(t)=\quad v$ and is driven tow ards a stable classical solution $(t)=+v$. In quantum theory, if the tunneling rate betw een the tw o vacua is sm all, a phase transition to a m etastable vacuum takes place.
th is false vacuum can bem uch greaterthan $10^{10}$ years $[\overline{\underline{T}}]$ If the reheat tem perature after in ation was not $m$ uch higher than the electrow eak scale, this $m$ etastable $m$ inim um could be populated in the way we have described.

B reaking a SU SY GUT gauge group and choosing betw een the nearly degenerate $m$ inim a is problem atic in non-in ationary cosm ology [ $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{1}$ ]. Let us consider a SU SY SU (5) GUT for exam ple. The $m$ inim a $w$ ith unbroken SU (5), SU (4) U (1), and SU (3) SU (2) U (1) groups are nearly degenerate, split only by supersym $m$ etry breaking term s of the order of a $\mathrm{TeV} . \mathrm{W}$ hy did the universe end up in the vacuum $w$ ith the low est sym $m$ etry?
$F$ inite tem perature corrections (if relevant, which $m$ ay not be the case forpreheating) m ake the SU (5) m inim um low est in energy because it has a higher num ber of degres of freedom. The subsequent them al evolution of the potentialm akes tunneling into a Standard M odelvacuum im possible $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 / 1] \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ even if it becom es the globalm inim um at tem peratures below 1 TeV . Supergravity splits the three $m$ inim a by a negligible am ount and in such a way that cosm ological constant can by ne-tuned to zero only in the $m$ in im um with the higher energy while the other tw o m inim a have negative energy density $[\underline{9} 1]$. Som e of the proposed solutions [l/d] rely on assum ptions about a strong gauge dynam ics that seem som ew hat im plausible.

If, how ever, in ation took place, the SU SY G UT vacuum could be chosen in a phase transition of the kind we described. This appears to resolve a long-standing problem conceming the breaking of the SUSY GUT gauge group.

A s discussed in the Introduction, preheating oscillations of the $H$ iggs VEV can lead to two e ects of interest for $B+L$ violation. The rst $\left[\frac{1}{-1}\right]$ is that the sphaleron barrier itselfoscillates, leading in principle to exponentiallysensitive oscillations of the sphaleron rate. T he second, which we take up here, is that H iggs oscillations can resonantly drive classical transitions over the barrier.

G iven an appropriate $\mathrm{CP}-$ violating seed, there are three stages to this classical resonant driving. In the rst stage, the seed (which can be a souroe term or initial conditions on the EW gauge potentials) drives largescale generation of C hem-Sim ons (C S) num ber (topological charge) over spatial scales so large that spatial variation can be ignored and only tem poral variation saved in the classicalequations ofm otion. In the second stage, gradients on shorter scales em erge, as a result of unstable grow th of spatially-dependent perturbations. The seeds for these spatial $m$ odes $m$ ight em erge from spinodal decom position during in ation 110 eral grounds from earlier preheating studies, the fastestgrow ing $m$ odes are those $w$ ith large spatial scales. The third stage involves the generation of sphalerons, w ith spatial scales at the standard $W$-boson $m$ ass $M_{\text {w }}$.

In all stages, we w ill ignore various back-reaction effects; the expansion of the universe (in any case, neglible for weak-scale in ation); and dam ping produced by perturbative decays (one order of w higher than term swe keep).

We discuss the rst stage, which has im portant non-linear e ects stem $m$ ing from gauge-potential selfcoupulings, both analytically and num erically. A particular ansatz is used for the gauge potential, having only a tim e dependence. ( T his ansatz has been used som e tim e ago [12 $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ in a rather di erent scenario.) The analysis is in the sam e spirit as the conventionalapproach to low -order resonances in the $M$ athieu equation (see, e.g., $R$ ef. [ [3]in]). But the low est-order resonant-m ode equations, tw o rstorder di erential equations, have a cubic non-linearity. Surprisingly, these coupled non-linear equations can be solved exactly in term $s$ of elliptic functions. The nonlinear term snot only provide a quartic potentialopposing the grow th of CS number but, as the CS num ber grow $S$, the non-linear term also grow s and drives the system 0 resonance. In e ect, the cubic non-linearity causes the W -boson m ass to increase. Interestingly, this increase can be o set by a secular increase of the frequency of H iggs oscillations, allow ing resonance to be $m$ aintained for long periods of tim e w ith consequent large grow th of C S num ber.

In the second stage we include linear perturbations to the spatially-hom ogeneous equations of the rst stage; these perturbations are considered to low est order in spatial gradients, as characterized by a spatialm om entum $k$. It is not possible to do a conventionaldispersion-relation analysis of these equations, which have tim e-dependent
coe cients as detem ined by the tem poral grow th of the rst-stage gauge potentials. We perform a num erical analysis of the three coupled linear di erentialequations which result.
$T$ he third stage, in which gradients evolve to spatial scales $\quad M_{W}{ }^{1}$ appropriate for sphalerons, is the hardest to analyze, since an adequate treatm ent involves the solution of coupled partial di erential equations $w$ ith tim e-dependent coe cients. So we restrict ourselves to a crude, sim ple rst step, reducing these partial di erential equations by a non-linear ordinary di erential equation for an approxim ate sphaleron-like $m$ ode. The relevant gauge-potential ansatz, rst introduced by B itar and $C$ hang [ 141 4, w was later used [15] to analyze sphalerons above the EW phase transition, and was shown to have an e ective barrier potential for the sphaleron which was num erically very close to that of a sim ple pendulum . We introduce an oscillating H iggs eld, which causes this pendulum to be param etrically-driven. The ansatz is too sim ple to be used for anything $m$ ore than estim ating the rate of change of topological charge as the pendulum goes over its barrier onc; we do this num erically. In principle, $m$ ore com plicated form $s$, representing $m$ ultiple sphalerons, could be used, such as the AD HM construction or those of't H ooft or of Jackiv, $N$ ohl, and Rebbi[][] m ulti-instanton form, suitably modi ed for M inkow skispace dynam ics, but these have not yielded any insights for us.

At all stages, the energy density associated w ith generation of CS num ber is of order $4 \mathrm{~m}^{4}=\mathrm{g}^{2}$, as would be appropriate for a gas of sphalerons w ith density $m^{3}$.

## A. F irst stage: hom ogeneous C S param etric resonance

In what follow s we alw ays consider the H iggs eld to have a given VEV, as determ ined by preheating e ects. Introduce the conventional anti-hem itean gauge potential, w ith coupling $g$ included, by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g A=\left(\frac{a}{2 i}\right) A^{a}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ur spatially-hom ogeneous ansatz is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g A_{0}=0 ; g A_{i}=\left(\frac{i}{2 i}\right) \quad(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the group index is tied to the spatial index. By the conventional rules of charge conjugation and parity for the gauge potential, is C even, P odd, C P odd.

It is im portant to note that this ansatz does not correspond to a non-vanishing VEV for an EW eld. Gauge invariance alone is enough to ensure that there can be no expectation value coupling the space-tim e indices to group indices.

O ne readily calculates the EW electric and $m$ agnetic elds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g E_{i} \quad G_{0 i}=\left(\frac{i}{2 i}\right)-(t) ; g B_{i} \quad \frac{1}{2}{ }_{i j k} G_{j k}=\left(\frac{i}{2 i}\right)^{2}: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then one calculates the density $W$ ofC hem-Sim ons num ber as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left(\frac{1}{8^{2}}\right)^{3}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforw ard to check that $W-$ is the topological charge density $Q$, related to $B+L$ violation through the anom aly equation.
$W$ th the assum ption of a given $H$ iggs $V E V$, the equations ofm otion for the gauge potential are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D} ; G \quad]+M_{W}^{2}(t)\left(A+(@ U) U^{1}\right)=0: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere the unitary $m$ atrix $U$ represents the Goldstone (phase) part of the $H$ iggs eld. The $m$ ass term $w$ ill be assum ed to have the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{W}^{2}(t)=m^{2}(1+\cos (!t)) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is the value of $M_{w} w$ ith no oscillations. Later we will have occasion to consider a tim e-dependent frequency !, but for now think of it as a constant.

There $m$ ust be som e sort of C $P-$ violating seed to produce non-zero solutions of the equations ofm otion; these m ight stem from (long-scale) spatialgradients in the m atrix $U$, which acts as a source in equation (6), or from intial values of . Because the equations are unstable, there is little practical di erence, and we choose to drop the $U$ tem $s$ in the equations ofm otion, and then providing a seed through initial values. Then there is a single equation for :

$$
\begin{equation*}
+2^{3}+(1+\operatorname{cosr} t)=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e have non-dim ensionalized the equations ofm otion by $m$ easuring in units of $m$ and time $t$ in units of $m{ }^{1}$. $T$ he param eter has the value $!=m$.

W ithout the cubic non-linearity, this would be a standard $M$ athieu equation. In the A ppendix we analyze the coupled non-linear $m$ ode equations which arise for the low est resonance ( $r=2$ ), and nd that they can be solved exactly in term s of elliptic integrals. The qualitative features of this analysis are easy to anticipate: Equation (8) describes the $m$ otion of a particle in a quartic potential. The oscillating term drives the particle up the wall, but eventually the particle gets out of resonance and falls back. T his process can repeat quasi-periodically.

W e now tum to num erical analysis. Only a couple of exam ples w illbe reported, w ithout attem pting to choose param eters to correspond to realistic preheating scenarios. P aram eters are chosen to illustrate speci c e ects; other param eter sets $m$ ay show no interesting behavior at all. T he runs reported here have initial values

$$
\begin{equation*}
(0)=0: 001 ;-(0)=0 ; \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG.4. Time dependence of for initial values as in equation (9) plus $r=2: 3 ;=0: 9$.
and large values of , in the range $0.5-0.9$. Because the equations are both non-linear and unstable, the nal results are largely independent of the initial conditions as long as they are non-zero. As the intitial values are reduced, the tim e of onset of instability is som etim es lengthened. G enerally, there are two regim es (for constant frequency !): The resonant regim $e$, in which grow s to $O$ (1), and the non-resonant regim e where stays sm all. W e w ill only show the near-resonant cases in the gures. There is another regim e in which! grow s secularly w ith time, and which leads to larger values of

Fig. $\frac{1 / 1}{1} 1$ is a typical exam ple of the behavior when ! or $r$ is constant and fairly near resonance (in this case, $r=23$ ). O ne sees that the envelop of $j$ jgrow sto order unity, but periodically passes through zero and repeats. This is because is near unity, and so system frequencies vary quite a bit, from $1+$ to 1

Fig. ${ }^{1}$ 'sh show s the behavior when the frequency grow s secularly. T he onset of rapid grow th is delayed because the system is originally fairly far from resonance, but then the envelop of $j$ jgrow s essentially linearly, coupled to the frequency change. The system is able to stay in resonance as grow s linearly, because the e ective $m$ ass $M$ of the eld (see the Appendix) is $M^{2}, m^{2}+3 h^{2} i$, and the e ective ratio $r=!=\mathrm{M}$ stays roughly constant if $M$ grow sat the sam e rate as!.

Fig. ${ }^{-1} 6$ the param eters of F ig. '진.. The CS density grow s roughly as $t^{3}$, w ith grow ing linearly in time as does!.
$W$ ith dim ensionalized values of $j j^{\prime} m$, the CS num ber density is of order $0.01 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$, corresponding to a large $B+L$ density. W hether any of this CS density survives preheating to the reheating phase depends on whether there is a \graceful exit" to preheating generation of CS num ber, and this depends on factors not considered in this paper, such as back reaction, grow th of nite-


FIG.5. Behavior of $w$ ith the intitial conditions of equation (9), with $\mathrm{b}=0: 52$, and a secularly-grow ing frequency $r(t)=1: 7+0: 001 t$.


FIG.6. The Chem-Sim ons density (see equation (5)) for the param eters of Fig.
m om entum modes, and linear dam ping by decay of the W -boson condensate. A dditionally, there m ay be $m$ any dom ains large com pared to $m{ }^{1}$ but sm all com pared to the Hubble size in which the values of are uncorrelated. This w ill reduce the e ective globalC $S$ density by a factor of $N^{1=2}$, where $N$ is the num ber of such dom ains. The ultim ate fate of the processes considered here w illbe taken up in a future work, in which speci c weak-scale preheating scenarios will be taken up.

> B. Second stage: evolution of spatially-vary ing m odes

U ltim ately, there w illibe som e C P -violating seeds w ith nite spatial gradients. A ssum ing that these seeds are
sm aller than those for (as is reasonable follow ing ination), these seeds $w$ ill be driven by the tim e variation of as well as of the Higgs VEV. We will be concemed here only w th the linearized equations for the spatially-varying modes, which we characterize in mo m entum space. As is usual in preheating phenom ena, the $m$ odes $w$ th the longest spatial scales (sm allest $k$ ) grow fastest.

The total vector potential is written as A +a , w ith A taken from equation (3). The m ost general vector potential ga depending on a single vector $\mathfrak{K}$ has time com ponent

$$
\begin{equation*}
g a_{0}=\left(\frac{i \sim \hat{k}}{2 i}\right) 0 ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and space com ponents

$$
g a_{j}=\frac{1}{2 i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{l}_{j} & \left.\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{j} \sim \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right)_{1}+i_{j a b} \hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{b}} 2+\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{j} \sim \hat{\mathrm{k}} 3 \tag{11}
\end{array}\right]:
$$

In equations $(10,11)$ the hat indicates a unit vector, and 0 ; $i$ are real functions of $k^{2}$ and $t$. A s before, we nondim ensionalize by dividing these functions by $m$, replacing $t$ by $m t$, and $k$ by $k=m$. P resum ably the Fourier transform $s$ in $(10,11)$ vanish at an appropriate rate as k ! 0 so as to change $\hat{k}$ into $\tilde{k}$, although this will not $m$ atter in what follow s.

It is straightforward if lengthy to w rite out the linearized version of equation (6) (w thout the $U$ term $s$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.0=\frac{1}{Q}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
(-2 & -2
\end{array}\right) \quad k-3\right] ;  \tag{12}\\
& Q=k^{2}+2^{2}+1+\text { cosrt; } \\
& { }_{1}+Q_{1} 2 \mathrm{k}_{2}+2(1+3)^{2}=0 \text {; }  \tag{13}\\
& 2+\mathrm{Q}_{2} 2 \mathrm{k}_{1}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{O} & \left.\mathrm{k}_{3}\right)+2-0=0 \text {; }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{14}\\
& \left.3+Q_{3}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{H}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{3} \quad 2 \mathrm{~L}_{2}\right)+\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{2}=0: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Even though these are linear equations for the $m$ odes 0 ; $j$ they are im possible to solve analytically, because is not an analytically-know n function. W e have solved them num erically, w ith various interesting results. Perhaps the $m$ ost interesting is that these $m$ ode functions rem ain sm all and well-behaved for a long tim e, and then when is large enough (of order unity) they show violently unstable behavior. This is especially so for the case when the frequency ! is growing with tim $e$, as for
 tion $w$ ith tim $e$ of the linear $m$ odes for the param eters of Fig. 'F'ㅣㄴ. The m ode functions were begun with in itial values which are 0.1 tim es those of (see equation (9)). Of course, any other initial values can be gotten by scaling,
since the equations are linear. The point is that when, for a given set of initial values of $0 ; j$, these functions rise to be of ( 1 ), the whole problem becom es non-linear and presum ably enters som ething like the third stage discussed below . N ote in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{1} 1$, that the threshhold for nonlinearity, w th the given initial conditions, occurs at a (dim ensionless) tim e of 200, which gives enough time to get big enough to be interesting (see Fig. ${ }^{-1}$

## C.Third stage: sphalerons

Eventually, m om entum m odes w th k ' 1 w illbecom e prom inent, and the condensate of $C S$ num ber becom es a condensate of sphalerons. It is $m u c h ~ m$ ore di cult to describe this stage, and we w illonly take a sim ple rst step. $T$ his step consists of a drastic sim pli cation of the kine$m$ atics of a sphaleron coupled to a tim e-dependent $H$ iggs eld, reducing the dynam ics to a single function (t) as in Refs. [14 sym $m$ etric gauge potential and $H$ iggs phase $m$ atrix $U$ in the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U=\exp \left[\frac{i}{2} \hat{\mathrm{r}} \quad \sim\right] ; g \mathrm{~A}=\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathrm{i}} \quad \sim \mathrm{H} ; \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

The functions $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$; $;$ depend only on $\mathrm{r} ; \mathrm{t}$. T he asym ptotic values of the angle are zero at $r=0$ and at $r=1$. W e param etrize these functions as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{H}_{1} & =\frac{2}{2+\mathrm{r}^{2}+\mathrm{a}^{2}} ;  \tag{18}\\
\mathrm{H}_{2} & =\frac{2 \mathrm{r}-}{2+\mathrm{r}^{2}+\mathrm{a}^{2}} ; \\
1 & =1 \frac{2 \mathrm{r}^{2}}{2+\mathrm{r}^{2}+\mathrm{a}^{2}} ; \\
2 & =\frac{2 \mathrm{r}}{2+\mathrm{r}^{2}+\mathrm{a}^{2}}:
\end{align*}
$$

For details on the param etrization of see [15]. For the present purpose one can just think of as always equal to . In this param etrization the constant $a$ is a size param eter (like that of an instanton) and, the sole dynam ic degree of freedom, depends on $t$. G enerally,
is an odd function of $t$, vanishing along $w$ ith its rst derivative at $t=0$.
$T$ he electric and $m$ agnetic elds are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g E_{j}=\left(\frac{j}{2 i}\right) \frac{4 a^{2}-}{2+r^{2}+a^{2}}  \tag{19}\\
& g B_{j}=\left(\frac{j}{2 i}\right) \frac{4 a^{2}}{2+r^{2}+a^{2}}:
\end{align*}
$$



FIG.7. The evolution of the linear $m$ odes for the param eters of F ig. 1 '1.1. N ote the truncation on the vertical axis; at $t^{\prime} 200$
all the am plitudes are larger than one in $m$ agnitude.
$N$ ote that these have the sam e space and intemal sym $m$ etry index dependence as does the ansatz ofequation (3). It is therefore natural to suppose that the elds
w illtransform (through the grow th of spatialm odes) into a condensate of sphalerons. O fcourse, in this condensate each sphaleron will be a translate in space and in tim e of the sphaleron exhibited here, which is centered at the space-tim e origin.

W ith boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t=1)=1 ; \quad(t=+1)=+1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

one readily veri es that, no $m$ atter what the dynam ics of as long as it is single-valued, the ( $M$ inkow skian) topological charge

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q={\frac{g^{2}}{4^{2}}}^{Z} d^{4} \times \operatorname{Tr} E \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

has the value 1. Indeed, if we replace by $t$ we get exactly the usual Euclidean one-instanton expression, which how ever is now being interpreted as a M inkow skian construct.

The size coordinate a is not arbitrary, as it is for instantons in gauge theories w ith no H iggs eld. A s shown in $\left.[1]_{-1}^{-1}\right]$, if one goes to $t=0$ and sets $;-=0$ there, the resulting ansatz in equations $(16,17)$ is an excellent trial wave function for the sphaleron. M in im izing the H am $\frac{i+1}{\mathrm{H}}$ onian (for tim e-independent H iggs VEV ) yields $a={ }^{\prime} \overline{3}=2 \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{w}}$ ) and a sphaleron m ass $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{s}}$ only a fraction of a peroent higher than the true value, determ ined num erically, of

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}=5: 41\left(\frac{4 M_{W}}{g^{2}}\right): \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W$ hen the $m$ ass $M_{W}$ depends on time, as in equation (7), we w ill continue to use the above value for a. It then happens that the param eters of the $H$ am iltonian depend on time (see [15] for the H am iltonian as a function of a; ;-).

A $s$ is further show $n$ in $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$, one can trade the function for a topological charge $Q(t)$ de ned by dem anding that the kinetic energy term in the $H$ am iltonian is of the form $(1=2)$ IQ $^{2} \mathrm{w}$ th I independent of $Q$. T he norm alization

$$
\begin{equation*}
=1: Q=0 ;=+1: Q=2 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ akes the topological charge an angular variable. Nu $m$ erical work show s that the potential energy is very nearly that of a pendulum, and that $I=M_{s}=m^{2}$ for som e num erical constant. The resulting approxim ate H am iltonian has the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=M_{s}\left[\frac{M_{W}^{2}}{2} Q^{2} \quad \cos Q\right] \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has, as it m ust, the value $M_{s}$ when $Q=0 ; Q=$.
$N$ ext one replaces $M_{W}$ by its tim e-dependent value, as in equation (7). W e have num erically investigated such driven pendulum equations. They lead to multiple transitions over the barrier, but we w ill not display such
solutions here. O ne reason is that the ansatz we use here is strictly tied to a unit change of topological charge, so that all that counts is the rate of $m$ aking a single transition over the barrier. Just as for all the classical barrier-hopping solutions presented for the ansatz, the rate is $O(!)$, very $m$ uch di erent from the tunneling rate. ( $T$ he tunneling_rate is also changed as the sphaleron m ass oscillates; see []-G].)

To go further than this for a condensate of real sphalerons is extraordinarily com plicated; each sphaleron, like the instanton to which it corresponds, has num erous degrees of freedom. Even if we restrict this to one degree of freedom (corresponding to ) for each sphaleron, it is not clear how to proceed. N or is it clear how to m odify known multi-instanton ansatze such as_ADHM or that of't H ooft or Jackin, Nohl, and Rebbi [1]] to express the real-tim e sphaleron dynam ics in the presence of an oscillating $H$ iggs eld.

## IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we have investigated two new mechanism s driven by preheating oscillations of, e.g., the H iggs eld in hybrid in ation. The rst $m$ echanism, resonant barrier-crossing from a lowerm inim um to a higherm inim um (where there is no longer resonance), $m$ ay explain som e puzzles associated $w$ th the sym $m$ etry-breaking pattems of GUTs. This kind of transition could also populate a m etastable $S U$ (3) SU (2) $U$ (1) vacuum in a supersym $m$ etric extension of the Standard $M$ odel even if the globalm inim um of the potentialbreaks charge and color. (In the case of the M SSM , this posibility has direct inplications for collider experim ents [1] nism, resonant barrier-crossing associated w ith B + L violation, $m$ ay lead to a condensate of sphalerons on tim e scales short com pared to tunneling rates. B oth e ects require resonance w th preheating oscillations to be effective. W e have not tried to construct \realistic" applications of thesem echanism sto speci cpreheating scenarios. W e note, how ever, that in $m$ any cosm ologicalm odels, even if the initial conditions are far from resonance, the system evolves and reaches the resonance eventually, thanks to a change in the relevant param eters [1]. Such evolution is facilitated by either non-quadratic in aton potential that causes a variation in the in aton frquency, ofby expansion of the universe and the associated H ubble dam ping (for GUT, not weak-scale preheating), or som e other e ects that can slow ly drive a system into a resonance band. W e leave the building of realistic cosm ologicalm odels for future work.

A side from such applications, there is still a good deal of work to be done to clarify these $m$ echanism $s$. In the case of $B+L$ violation, one can raise the follow ing issues:

1. H ow do the three stages (spatially-hom ogeneous potential, linear m om entum m ode perturbations, sphaleron condensate) of Section III evolve from
the rst to the last? T his can only be answ ered by num erical work $m$ ore extensive than we have yet done.
2. The large-scale EW CS density we propose will have a projection onto M axwell m agnetic elds carrying helicity (another term for Chem-Sim ons num ber). The spatially-hom ogeneous nature of these elds $m$ akes them quite di erent from earlier proposals (see $[1, \overline{1}, 1]$ and references therein) involving generation of $\bar{M}$ axwell elds in a therm al environm ent, w ith unacceptably sm all scale lengths to correspond to the scale lengths of present-day galactic $m$ agnetic elds. G iven su cient inverse cascading of the nearly-hom ogeneous $M$ axw ell elds follow ing from our preheating $m$ echanism (at EW time these eldsmust be lim ited in extent by the H ubble size), [18] shows that EW tim e M axwell elds could indeed be the seeds for presently-observed galactic elds. W e intend to investigate this further.
3. C an one $m$ ake use ofm ulti-instanton ansatze such as those of AD HM, 't H ooft, or Jackin, N ohl, and
 tion we have exploited in Section IIIC in order to understand quasi-analytically the form ation of a sphaleron condensate?
4. Are there (necessarily spin-dependent) quasiresonant phenom ena for the production of $W$ bosons by an oscillating $H$ iggs eld which are in any sense analogous to the very sharp resonant phenom ena found by Comwall and T iktopoulos [1] $\left.{ }_{1}^{2}\right]$ for spin $-1 / 2$ charged particles in speci ctim edependent electric elds?

To clarify this last point, $R$ ef. $\left[1 \underline{1}_{1}^{-1}\right]$ found that it is possible to have highly-resonant $e^{+} e$ pair production in a classicaltim e-varying electric eld of the proper tim e dependenœ. The sharply-resonant nature of the process can only happen for ferm ions, but in any case spin effects, which m ight be available w th gauge bosons, are im portant in overcom ing the typical $\exp (1=$ ) rate of pair production in classical elds.
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APPENDIX:ANALYSIS OFMODEEQUATIONS FOR

H ere we give the analysis of the $M$ athieu-like but nonlinear modal equations of Section III. Just as for the
$M$ athieu equation, we write the non-dim ensionalized in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
=a(t) \cos (r t=2)+b(t) \sin (r t=2) ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where, as in the $m$ ain text, $r=!=m$ ), leaving out all term $s w$ th higher frequencies. O ne veri es that the tim e dependence of a;b is O ( ), so that we can ignore second derivatives of these quantities. H ow ever, we w ill save the cubic non-linearities.

Using equation (A1) in the equation of $m$ otion (8), saving only term s varying as cos(rt=2) and sin (rt=2), and dropping second derivatives yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r \underline{a}+b\left[\frac{r^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \frac{3}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right]=0 ;  \tag{A2}\\
& r+a\left[\frac{r^{2}}{4} \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad 1 \frac{3}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)\right]=0: \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

To $m$ ake contact $w$ ith the linear $M$ athieu equation, let us tem porarily replace the term $s(3=2)\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)$ by constants, and de ne an e ective (non-dim ensional, that is, scaled by m) mass M by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{2} \quad 1+\frac{3}{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right): \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ssum ing exponentialgrow th, $w$ ith $a ; b \exp (t)$, gives:

$$
m u=\frac{1}{2 r}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2 & \left(r^{2} \quad 4 M^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{A5}
\end{array}\right]^{1=2}
$$

which gives grow th only when $\mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{M}+\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{)}$. For sm all initial values of this means $r$ ' 2 , but as grows because of the initial param etric resonance, the system goes out of resonance.

W e show that equations (A 2,A 3) can be solved exactly in term s of elliptic integrals. M ultiply (A 2) by a and (A 3) by b and add to get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)={\underset{r}{2}}_{r}^{2} a b: \tag{A6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his equation is independent of the non-linear term $s$ in (A 2,A 3); it would hold even if these term swere dropped. $N$ ote that exponential grow th requires a;b to be of opposite sign. The constraints expressed by equation (A 6) allow the elim ination of one degree of freedom:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=A \cos ; b=A \sin ; \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a relation betw een $A$ and :

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=A_{0} \exp \int_{0}^{t} d t^{0}\left(\frac{-}{2 r}\right) \sin 2\left(t^{0}\right) \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $A_{0}$ as an initial value. In the linear $(M$ athieu) case cos is the constant $j^{2} \quad 4 j$, which yields the linear grow th rate in equation (A5). But equations (A $2, A 3$ ) yield two equations for the tim e evolution of $A<$.

T he sum of these equations is a trivial identity, while the di erence (using equations (A 7,A 8)) is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos 2 \quad 2 r-=\frac{r^{2}}{2} \quad 2 \quad 3 A_{\theta}^{2} \exp \int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} d t^{0}\left(\frac{-}{2 r}\right) \sin 2 \quad\left(t^{0}\right): \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow di erentiate (A 9) and use (A 9) in the result to arrive at:

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \quad \frac{}{2 r^{2}}\left(r^{2} \quad 4\right) \sin 2+\frac{2}{2 r^{2}} \sin 4: \tag{A10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is readily checked to be an elliptic equation. W ew ill not bother to study it here. A ll the physics is contained in the linearization of (A10), which gives:

$$
(t)=0 \cos \left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(t & t \tag{A11}
\end{array}\right)\right]
$$

w ith frequency

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\left[\frac{2}{r^{2}} \frac{\left(r^{2} 4\right)}{2 r^{2}}\right]^{1=2}: \tag{A12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r^{2} \quad 4$ is 0() , so is . $T$ he best case for grow th is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t)=\left(\frac{-}{4}\right) \cos t \tag{A13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(so that A and b are equal initially). Evidently, from (A 8) grow th stops when $=0$, or when $t==2$. This $m$ eans, as discussed in the $m$ ain text, that grow th cannot be unlim ited. (H ow ever, when the frequency $r$ grow $s$ secularly, grow th can continue unim peded with $a^{2} ; b^{2} \quad r$, which $m$ aintains the resonant grow th condition.) $G$ enerally, no $m$ atter how sm all the initial values of the potential , eventually becom es of order unity. T he sm aller the initial value, the longer this proœss takes.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In w eak-scale preheating the H ubble constant is negligiblly sm all. For G U T -scale preheating it is not, and it could play an im portant role in helping to scan resonant bands.

