III W ORKSHOP ON PHYSICS AND DETECTORS FOR DA NE Frascati, November 16th-19th, 1999 Conference Proceedings, pp. 000-000

HADRONIC PHYSICS

UlfG.Meiner Forschungszentrum Julich, Insitut fur Kemphysik (Theorie) D-52425 Julich, Germany

ABSTRACT

I review the novel results and developments presented at the Third W orkshop on Physics and D etectors for DA NE that dealwith hadronic physics. Topics discussed include: the scalar quark condensate, kaon decays, the sector of scalar and vector m esons, kaon-nucleon scattering, pion- and kaon-nucleon sigma terms, and strange nuclear physics.

1 Hadronic physics at DA NE energies: W hy bother?

Hadronic physics at DA NE covers energies of about 1 GeV and below. This is a particularly challenging regime since standard perturbation theory in the strong coupling constant $_{\rm S}$ (Q²) is not applicable. In fact, we do not even know from basic principles whether $_{\rm S}$ (Q²) increases m onotonically with decreasing Q², as suggested by the {function calculated in the perturbative regime, or attens out. Therefore, nonperturbative m ethods need to be developed and em ployed. This is in stark contrast to say e.g. the precise physics of the Standard M odel tested at LEP and

E-m ailaddress: Ulf-G.Meissner@fz-juelich.de

elsewhere. As I will discuss in section 2, chiral perturbation theory, eventually com bined with other methods like e.g. dispersion relations, allows one to pin down som e very fundam ental param eters of QCD. These are the ratios of the light quark m asses as well as the size of the scalar quark {antiquark condensate, which is linked to the spontaneous symmetry violation in QCD. One can also extend these methods to include baryons, som e pertinent remarks are made in section 3. In particular, the so{called pion and kaon nucleon sign a term s have attracted a lot of attention over a long time, simply because they are the proton matrix elements of the explicit chiral symmetry breaking part of the QCD Hamiltonian. In addition, this energy regime o ers a rich phenom enology. For example, it now appears that in the sector of scalar resonances, excitations have been observed which are not simple of quark m odel states, but have som e gluon com ponents -either as hybrids or glueball{m eson mixtures. Many models of QCD as well as its lattice form ulation (with all its intrinsic problem s) call for the existence of such states. O ther interesting aspects of the properties of mesons in the energy range of relevance here are also touched upon in section 2. Last but not least, the nucleus can act as a liter and lets us study som e processes that are forbidden in free space, one particularly interesting exam ple being the N transition which leads to the so{called non{m esonic decays of ! hypernuclei. This and other recent developments are brie y surveyed in section 4. All the interesting new results related to CP violation and rare kaon decays, which m ight hint at physics beyond the Standard M odel, are reviewed by ChrisQuiqq $^{1)}$. To sum marize this brief motivation, despite many decades of studying phenom ena in the energy range accessible to DA NE, there are many open questions and only recently precise theoretical tools have been developed to answer som e of these questions in a truly quantitative manner. In addition, there is a host of new precise data mostly related to kaon decays. Hopefully, DA NE will further increase this data base soon. For other motivations and a di erent point of view, I refer to Pennington's talk²⁾.

2 The baryon number zero sector

In this section, Iw ill rst m ake som e com m ents on novel developm ents concerning the chiral structure of QCD and then m ove to higher m ass states, such as the (1020) and the scalar sector.

2.1 ChiralQCD

It is well known that the QCD Lagrangian for the three light quark avors can be written as

$$L_{QCD} = L_{QCD}^{0} \quad qM \quad q;$$
 (1)

where $q^{T} = (u;d;s)$ collects the light quark elds, $M = \text{diag}(m_{u};m_{d};m_{s})$ is the current quark m assmatrix and the term L_{QCD}^{0} exhibits a chiral SU $(3)_{L}$ SU $(3)_{R}$ symmetry. This symmetry is spontaneously broken down to its vectorial subgroup SU $(3)_{V}$ with the appearance of eight G oldstone bosons, collectively denoted as \pions". The pions interact weakly at low energies. They can couple directly to the vacuum via the axial current. The corresponding matrix element $h0^{2}$ A j i is characterized by the typical scale of strong interactions, the pion decay constant F ' 100M eV. These pions are not exactly massless but acquire a sm allm ass due to the explicit symmetry violation, such as M² = $(m_{u} + m_{d})B + :::, where B$ parametrizes the strength of the scalar (isoscalar quark condensate, $B = \frac{10}{10} \frac{1}{10} F^{2}$. Based on these facts, one can form ulate an elective eld theory (EFT) which allows one to exactly explore the consequences of the chiral QCD dynam ics 3; 4). This EFT is chiral perturbation theory. Its present status has been reviewed by G asser recently 5).

2.1.1 News on the quark condensate

O ver the last few years, the question about the size of B has received a lot of attention. In the standard scenario, h0jqqjDi' (230 MeV)³, so that B' 1:4 G eV and one can make very precise predictions, as reviewed here by Colangelo⁶). In particular, the isospin zero S{wave scattering length a_0^0 can be predicted to better than 5% accuracy. However, the value of B m ight be smaller. In fact, one can reorder the chiral expansion allowing to oat B from values as small as F' 100 MeV to the standard case⁷). For a small value of B, the quark mass term has to be counted di erently and to a given order in the chiral expansion, one has more parameters to pin down. For B on the small side, a_0^0 could be as much as 30% larger than in the standard case. These two scenarios lead also to a signi cant di erence in the quark mass expansion of the G oldstone bosons. Consider e.g. the charged pions,

$$M^{2} = (m_{u} + m_{d})B + (m_{u} + m_{d})^{2}A + O (m_{u,d}^{3}) :$$
 (2)

In the standard scenario the linear term is much bigger than the quadratic one, in the large B case they are of comparable size. An immediate consequence is that while in the rst case the G ell-M ann {O kubo relation $4M_{K}^{2} = 3M^{2} + M^{2}$ com es out naturally, in the other scenario parameter tuning is necessary. For a discussion of what can be

learned from lattice gauge theory in this context, see e.g. the lectures by E dker ⁸⁾. U ltim ately, this question has to be decided experim entally. So far, the best \direct" inform ation on the S{wave scattering phase close to threshold comes from K ⁴ decays, since due to the nal{state theorem of Femi i and W atson, the phase of the produced pion pair is nothing but ${}^{0}_{0}$ (s) ${}^{1}_{1}$ (s) with p 5 2 [280;380] M eV and ${}^{1}_{1}$ (s) < 1 in this energy range. All data from the seventies seem to indicate a large scattering length with an sizeable error. This unsatisfactory situation will be in proved very soon. The prelim inary data from the BNL E865 collaboration were shown by J. Lowe ⁹⁾ (for a glim pse on these data, see the contribution of S.P islak to H adA tom 99¹⁰⁾). They are not yet nal, in particular radiative corrections have not yet been accounted for, but taken face value, they are clearly supporting the standard scenario.

2.1.2 Pionic atom s

A nother m ethod to m easure the elusive S{wave scattering length com es from the lifetim e of ⁺ atom s. This electrom agnetic bound state with a size of approximately 400 fm can interact strongly and decay into a pair of neutral pions. The lifetim e of this atom is directly proportional to the S{wave scattering length di erence $ja_0^0 = a_0^2 f$. Therefore, a determ ination of this lifetim e to 10% gives the scattering length di erence $ja_0^0 = a_0^2 f$. The D IRAC experiment at the CERN SPS is well underway as reported by A deva ¹¹. A lso, the theory is well under control. Recent work by the Bern group ¹² has lead to a very precise formula relating the lifetim e to scattering including isospin breaking in the light quark m ass di erence and the electric charge (the formalism is developed in refs. ¹³; ¹⁴). It is m andatory that the experimenters use this im proved D eser{type formula in their analysis! It would also be interesting to calculate the properties of K atom s and m easure their lifetim e. For a m uch m ore detailed discussion I refer to the proceedings of H adA tom 99 ¹⁰.

2.1.3 Kaon decays

As stressed in the talks by D'Ambrosio $^{15)}$ and Colangelo $^{6)}$, there are m any chiral perturbation theory predictions for all possible kaon decay m odes. It was therefore very interesting to see that a huge am ount of new data is available and still to com e, as detailed in the talks of Lowe $^{9)}$, K ettell $^{16)}$ and F lyagin $^{17)}$. For the sake of brevity, I will only discuss three topics here.

 K_{L}^{0} ! ⁰: This is a particularly interesting decay with a long history. It vanishes at leading order 0 (p²) in the chiral expansion and is given by a

nite bop e ect at next-to-leading order, O (p⁴). W hile the predicted two{ photon spectrum ¹⁸) agreed well with the data ¹⁹, the branching ratio was underestim ated by about a factor of three. To cure that, unitarity corrections and higher order contact term s have been considered. In particular, at order p^6 there is an important vector{m eson{dom inance contribution, parametrized in term s of the coupling a_V . The O (p^6) calculation with $a_V = 0.7$ not only improves the two{photon spectrum but also the branching ratio agrees with experiment. M ore important, as stressed by D 'Am brosio, this value for a_V is consistent with a VMD m odel and analysis of the process K_L !

<u>K</u> ! : This decay mode was discussed by d'Ambrosio and Lowe. The matrix element for this process is given in terms of one invariant function, A (K ! I[†]1) W (z), with $z = (M_{11}=M_K)^2$ and M₁₁ the mass of the lepton pair. The invariant function W (z) has the generic form

$$W(z) = + z + W(z);$$
 (3)

where and are related to some low {energy constants, but the momentum dependence of the pion loop contribution W (z) is unique and leads to unambiguous prediction. The data shown by Lowe can indeed be described signi cantly better with the form given in eq.(3) than with a linear polynom with also two free parameters. Thus, we have another clear indication of chiral pion loops.

<u>K</u> ! <u>3</u>: The non-leptonic weak chiral Lagrangian has a host of undeterm ined parameters at next-to-leading order. For specic reactions, like e.g. K ! <u>2</u> or K ! <u>3</u>, only a few of these enter. It is thus important to have some data to pin down these constants and based on that, make further predictions. Flyagin showed some results from SERPUKHOV on the mode K⁺ ! ^{+ 0 0}. In term s of slope and quadratic slope parameters, the invariant matrix element squared can be written as $\frac{M}{2}$ <u>1</u> + gX + hX² + kY², with X; Y properly scaled relative pion momenta. The three slopes g; h and k could be determined and thus further tests of the weak non-leptonic chiral Lagrangian are possible.

2.2 Highermasses

In the region between 1 and 2 GeV, the spectrum of states is particularly rich and interesting. As explained in detail by Barnes $^{20)}$ and Donnachie $^{21)}$, we now have some rst solid evidence for glueballs and hybrids. G lueballs are states m ade of glue

with no quark content. In a ideal world of very m any colors, N_c ! 1, the glueball sector decouples from the sector m ade of m esons and baryons, i.e. the states m ade of quarks and anti{quarks, see refs.²²⁾²³⁾. In the real world with N_c = 3, m atters are m ore com plicated. The decay pattern of the glueball candidate as m apped out in big detailby the C rystal B arrel collaboration ²⁴⁾ is most sim ply interpreted in terms of m ixing, m ost probably of two genuine m eson and one glueball state. Sim ilarly, there are evidences for hybrids, i.e. states m ade of quarks and \constituent" gluons, a particularly solid candidate being the 1 ⁺ ()(1600)¹. C learly, if one such state exists, there is no reason to believe that there are not m any m ore (P andora's box?). In particular, DA NE could contribute signi cantly to the search for vector hybrids like the ⁰ jssgi or the !⁰ { if these are not too heavy. A filer these m ore general rem arks, let m e turn to two special topics.

2.3 Remarks on the scalar sector

The scalarm eson sector is still most controversial. It consists of the elusive \sigma", the a_0 , the f_0 and so on. M uch debate is focusing about the nature of these states, which of them belong to the quark model octet/nonet (assignment problem), which of these are K K molecules (structure problem) and so on. Certainly, these scalars can be produced in photon {photon fusion at DA NE.Iwill not dwell on these issues here but rather add som e opinion about the the \sigma", which is labeled f_0 (400 1200) by PDG.First, a \cham ing" new result was reported by Appel²⁷) in one parallel session. The invariant mass distribution of the nalstate of the decay D⁺ ! ^{+ 0 0} m easured at FNAL was analyzed in term s of conventional resonances and could not be explained. If one adds, however, a contribution, this turns out to be a strong channel and the parameters from a best tare M = 486 M eV and = 351 M eV, in agreem ent with other interpretations of scattering data, for a recent review see e.g.²⁸⁾. The role of such a state in the ! + decay was discussed here by Lucio²⁹⁾. I would like to take the opportunity to add my opinion about this state:

It is not a \pre{existing" resonance, but rather a dynamic e ect due to the strong pion {pion interaction in the isospin zero, S{wave. Speci c examples how to generate such a light and broad sigm a are the modi ed 0 m nes resum - mation in chiral perturbation theory 30; 31 or the chiral unitary approach

¹N otice that it is important that such states have \exotic" quantum numbers. If not, one can always cook up some m inor m odi cations of the quark m odel to explain states with constituent gluons by some other m echanism. One quite old example is debated in refs. $^{25; 26)}$.

of 0 ller and 0 set ^{32; 33)}, or others.

It is certainly not the chiral partner of the pion, as suggested by m odels based on a linear representation of chiral symmetry. For a critical analysis of the renorm alizable $\{m \text{ odel in the context of QCD}, I \text{ refer to ref.}^4\}$.

It is long known in nuclear physics that the intermediate range attraction between two nucleons can be explained by the exchange of a light sigm a. It is also known since long how to generate such a state in term sofpion rescattering and box graphs including intermediate delta isobars, for a nice exposition see e.g. ref. 34 .

I was particularly am azed to see the many new and interesting data from e^+e^- annihilation at VEPP (2M (N ovosibirsk), which were presented by Salnikov ³⁵⁾ and M ilstein ³⁶⁾. I will only pick out three aspects of these results, which I found m ost interesting:

The three pion nal state $^{+}$ ⁰ indicates the existence of a low {lying !⁰ m esons at M_{!⁰} = (1170 10) M eV with a width of _{!⁰} = (197 15) M eV. A lso con m ed is the !⁰(1600), whereas the !⁰(1420) was not seen. The role of low {lying (e ective) excited om egas in the analysis of the strange vector currents and the violation of the 0 ZI rule is discussed e.g. in ref. ³⁷.

The analysis of the decays ! f₀; a_0 ; lends credit to the hypothesis that the a_0 and f_0 are qqqq and not simple qq states.

The channel e ! 4 is dominated by the a_1 (1260) intermediate state. The a_1 amplitude extracted by the N ovosibirsk group from electron {positron annihilation ³⁸} is completely consistent with the one obtained from analyzing the high precision data on ! 3 from CLEO and ALEPH ³⁹.

3 The baryon number one sector

I now turn to processes involving exactly one baryon in the initial and the nal state. O fm ost relevance for DA NE is, of course, the kaon {nucleon system . How - ever, before one can hope to tackle this problem in a truly quantitative m anner, it is m andatory of having obtained a deep understanding of the som ew hat \cleaner" pion {nucleon system . This refers to a} the sm allness of the up and down quark m asses com pared to the strange quark m ass, which m akes explicit sym m etry break-ing easier to handle (i.e. a faster convergence of the chiral expansion) and b) to

the appearance of very close to or even subthreshold resonances in the KN system, like e.g. the fam ous (1405) { such interesting complications do not arise in pion {nucleon scattering. Before considering explicit examples, we should address the following question:

3.1 W hat can we learn?

C learly, the chiral structure of QCD in the sector with baryon number one is interesting per se. Som e prominent examples which have attracted bots of attention are neutral pion photoproduction, real and virtual C ompton scattering of the proton or hyperon radii and polarizabilities, to name a few. In all these cases, the relevance of chiral pion boops is by now im by established and underlines the importance of the pion cloud for the structure of the ground state baryons in the non {perturbative regime. The analysis of the baryon mass spectrum allows to give further constraints on the ratios of the light quark masses, see e.g. ref. ^{40; 41)}. Furtherm ore, in the pion {nucleon system, isospin breaking (m₁₀ m_d) and explicit chiral symmetry

 $(m_u + m_d)$ start at the same order, quite in contrast to the pion case. In addition, much interest has been focused on the question of strangeness in the nucleon", more precisely the expectation values of operators containing strange quarks in nucleon states. The sigm a term s discussed below are sensitive to the scalar operator ss. C om plem entary inform ation can be obtained from parity {violating electron scattering (s) or polarized deep inelastic lepton scattering (s) 5).

3.2 Lessons from N

It is important to recall some lessons learned from pion (nucleon scattering (in some cases the hard way). As emphasized in the clear talks by Gasser ⁴²⁾ and Ruset-sky ⁴³⁾, not only is the scalar sector of chiral QCD intrinsically di cult but also for making precise predictions at low energies, one has to consider strong and electrom agnetic isospin violation besides the hadronic isospin (conserving chiral corrections. O ften, it is mandatory to combine chiral perturbation theory with dispersion relations to achieve the required accuracy. As a shining example, I recall the pion { nucleon sigm a term story (a very basic and clear introduction using the pion sigm a term as a guideline is given in Gasser's talk ⁴²⁾. The quantity that one wants to determ ine is

$$(t = 0) = hpjn (uu + dd)jpi;$$
(4)

with pi a proton state of momentum p, m is the average light quark mass and t the invariant momentum transfer squared. C learly, momentum transfer zero is

not accessible in the physical region of N scattering. So how can one get to this quantity? The starting point is the venerable low {energy theorem of B rown, P ardee and P eccei 44

$$=$$
 (0) + + _R: (5)

Here, = $F^{2}D^{+}$ (= 0;t = 2M²) is the isoscalar N scattering amplitude with the pseudovector Born term subtracted at the Cheng {D ashen $point^2$, and M and F are the charged pion m ass and the weak pion decay constant, respectively. The num erical value of can be obtained by using hyperbolic dispersion relations and the existing pion {nucleon scattering data base. The most recent determ ination of based on thism ethod is due to Stahov 45 , = 65 ::: 75 M eV, not very di erent from the much older Karlsruhe analysis. The scalar form factor, (2M²) = (0) has been most system atically analyzed in ref.⁴⁶⁾. The resulting value of ′ 15M eV translates into a huge scalar nucleon radius of r_s^2 ' 1:6 fm² (note that the typical electrom agnetic nucleon radii are of the order of 0.7 fm²). A similar enhancem ent of the scalar radius also appears for the pion, see e.g. refs. 4; 30. Finally, is a remainder not xed by chiral symmetry. The most systematic evaluation of this quantity has lead to an upper bound, $_{\rm R}$ ' 2M eV $^{47)}$. Putting all these small pieces together, one arrives at (0) ' (45 10) M eV which translates into y = 2hpjssjoi=hpjuu + ddjoi ' 02 01. These results have been con m ed recently using a quite di erent approach ⁴⁸⁾ (using also the Karlsruhe {Helsinki phase shift analysis as input). This determination of has been challenged over the years by the VPI/GW group (and others). Their most recent number is sizeably larger,

' 90 8M eV $^{49)}$. However, if one employs the method of ref. $^{48)}$ to the A⁺ amplitude of the latest two VPI/GW partial analyses (SP 99 and SM 99), one gets a much larger sigm a term, (0) ' 200M eV. This casts some doubts on the internal consistency of the VPI/GW analysis. Personally, I do not understand how such a large value for the sigm a term could be made consistent with other implications of chiral dynamics in the meson (baryon sector. In this context, I also wish to point out that so far, we have considered an isospin symmetric world. In ref. $^{50)}$ it was shown that isospin violation can amount to a 8% reduction of (0) and Rusetsky $^{43)}$ demonstrated that the electrom agnetic corrections used so far in the analysis of pionic hydrogen to determ ine the S{wave scattering length 51 have presum ably been underestim ated substantially. The moral is that to make a precise statement in this context, many small pieces have to be calculated precisely. Committing a

 $^{^2{\}rm T}\,h$ is point in the M and elstam plane is special because chiral (pion m ass) corrections are m in im al.

sin at any place leads to a result which should not be trusted. Finally, Im ention that astrophysical consequences of the strange scalar nucleon matrix element are discussed in ref. 52).

3.3 Status and perspectives for KN

A fler this detour, I come back to kaons, i.e. the kaon {nucleon system as discussed by 0 lin $^{53)}$, touched upon by G asser $^{42)}$ and for a recent review, see ref. $^{54)}$. Because of the strange quark, one can form two new sigm a term s, which are labelled $^{(1,2)}_{KN}$ in the isospin basis or $^{(u,d)}_{KN}$ in the quark basis,

with $t = (p^0 p)^2$. These novel sign a term s in principle encode the same inform ation about y as does the pion {nucleon sign a term. This is one reason for attempting to determ ine them. One also needs to know the kaon {nucleon scattering amplitude as input for strangeness nuclear physics, as discussed in the next section. So there is ample need to improve the data basis and obtain a better theoretical understanding. I brie y review where we stand with respect to low {energy kaon {nucleon interactions.

3.3.1 Status report

I begin with a summary of the data, as reviewed by 0 lin 53 . Consider nst K ⁺N. For total isospin I = 1 (obtained from elastic K ⁺p scattering), the S{waves are fairly well known and the P{waves are small. The situation for the I = 0 data based on K ⁺d scattering and K ⁰_Lp ! K ⁺n is very unsatisfactory – the S{waves are very uncertain and the P{waves are very large already at small momentum. This is the equivalent channel to the isoscalar S{wave N am plitude, i.e. to leading chiral order (current algebra) the pertinent scattering length vanishes. K N is, of course, resonance dom inated due to the presence of the strange quark. The most fam ous state here is the (1405), which has been interpreted by some as a KN subtreshold (virtual) bound state whereas others consider it a \norm al" three quark state. C learly, such very di erent pictures should lead to very pronounced di erences in the electrom agnetic radii or other observables. These two pictures can eventually be disentangled by electroproduction experiments. How that can work has been shown for the S₁₁ (1535) in ref. ⁵⁵⁾, where it was demonstrated that electroproduction o deuterium, $e+d! e^{0}+N+N$, can be sensitive to the structure of the resonance N under consideration. D ata on K ⁰N are not very precise. There is also inform ation on the K p bound state. The long standing discrepancy between the data from kaonic hydrogen and extrapolation of K N scattering data to zero energy was resolved by the ne experiment at KEK ⁵⁶⁾. The strong interaction shift turned out to be negative and also the width could be determined, but not very precisely.

3.3.2 Prospects for DA NE

The DEAR experiment, which was discussed by Guaraldo ⁵⁷⁾, attempts to determ ine the strong interaction shift and width of kaonic hydrogen to an accuracy of 1% and 3%, respectively. If that will be achieved, it would essentially pin down zero energy S{wave scattering and become a benchmark point. Beware, however, that to determ ine the KN sign a term smuch more precise information (com ing from scattering) will be needed. A loo, the theoretical analysis needs to be sharpened since the KN Cheng{Dashen point at t = $4M_{K}^{2}$ / 1 GeV^{2} is very far away from the zero energy point. As stressed by 0 lin 53 , FINUDA will attempt to measure K_1^0 p scattering reactions to 5% accuracy, however, in a fairly sm all m om entum interval. The good news is that the theoretical machinery has considerably improved over the last years. First, the rigorous work by the Bern group on +and p bound states ^{12; 43)} can certainly be extended to the K p case (for that, a detailed investigation of electrom agnetic corrections for K scattering has to be done { and is underway ⁵⁸⁾). Second, KN scattering has been considered based on SU (3) chiral Lagrangian using coupled channel techniques ^{59; 60)}. In these approaches, one uses chiral symmetry to constrain the potentials between the various channels and with a few parameters (some from the chiral Lagrangian and other from the reqularization), one can describe a wealth of data related to scattering, decays and also electrom agnetic reactions. It would still be interesting to implement even stronger constraints on the KN system, such as the leading G oldstone boson loop e ects. O ne particularly interesting outcome of these studies is that not only the (1405) but also the S₁₁ (1535) are quasi{bound K N and K ⁺ Y states, respectively (as mentioned above). So it appears that more precise data as expected from DA NE are timely and will contribute signi cantly to our understanding of three avor m eson (baryon dynam ics.

4 The baryon num ber greater than one sector

I now turn to the nucleus, m ore precisely, to system s with m ore than one nucleon. The objects to be studied are hypernuclei, i.e. nuclei with one (or m ore) bound hyperon (s) (or even cascades) and also atom ic and nuclear kaonic bound states. This is the realm of what is often called strangeness nuclear physics³. Before discussing som e speci c examples, we have to address the following question:

4.1 W hy \strange" nuclear physics?

The properties of hypernuclei are of course sensitive to the fundamental YN and YY (for strangeness S = 2) interactions. A solid determ ination of interactions in such system s allow s one e.g. to address the question of avor SU (3) sym m etry in hadronic interactions. Furtherm ore, one can study the weak interactions of baryons in the nuclear medium. Of special interest are novel mechanism like N ! NN, which have S = 1 and have parity conserving as well as parity violating com ponents. This might eventually give some novel insight into the I = 1=2 rule. E lectrom agnetic production of hypernuclei is com plem entary to the usual hadronic mechanisms like e.g. stopping of kaons and thus one can access di erent levels and get a more complete picture of hypernuclear properties. One can also study the K N e ective interaction or the kaon {nucleus interaction at rest in deeply bound kaonic states. M esons and baryons with strangeness can also a ect the nuclear equation of state signi cantly and thus m ight lead to interesting phenom ena in astrophysics and relativistic heavy ion collisions. For these reasons (and others), an intense experimental program is underway or upcoming at KEK ^{61; 62)}, BNL ⁶²⁾, Dubna ⁶³⁾, TJNAF $^{64)}$ and DA NE $^{65)}$, COSY and other labs.

4.2 Example 1: Non-m esonic decays of hypernuclei

Spectroscopy of {hypernucleiallows one to study the fundamental N interaction. The weak decays of such nuclei give additional tests of elementary particle physics theories, as discussed in the talk by R am os ⁶⁶. In free space, the decays into p and n ⁰, with a relative branching fraction of about 2. This is anotherm an ifestation of the I = 1=2 nule. In typical nuclei, the Ferm im omentum is about 300 M eV, i.e. larger than nucleon momentum in the free decay, p_N ' 100M eV. Thus, the mesonic decay is Pauli blocked and new decay channels open, like the one{ nucleon induced decay, n ! nn and p ! p with the corresponding partial width

 $^{^{3}}$ I prefer to call it strange nuclear physics because of the m any \strange", that is: interesting, phenom ena happening in such system s.

n and p, respectively. A nother non {m esonic channel is the 2N {induced decay, np! nnp. In the one{pion-exchange (OPE) model, one can describe roughly the total non {m esonic decay rate, but for that one has to include form factors at the vertices as well as to account for the strong N and NN interactions in the naland initial state, respectively. The form factor dependence is particularly troublesome, since in a truly eld theoretic description of one {boson {exchange, such a concept makes no sense. Also, in OPE tensor transitions are enhanced, which lets one expect that n = p is small, quite in contrast to the experimental nding n = p' 1. As shown by R am os, the inclusion of other mechanisms like exchanges of heavier m esons, correlated two (pion exchange or the two-nucleon induced decay do not resolve this problem. Even worse, calculations within seem ingly equivalent models lead to very di erent results for the partial rates. So it seems mandatory to develop better models, based e.g. on the latest Nijn egen YN potential or the upcoming improved Julich model ⁶⁷⁾. I would like to issue two warnings here: First, as already remarked, the area of meson {exchange models supplemented by form factors is certainly at its end, more system atic e ective eld theory approaches will eventually take over. Such a change of dogma is presently happening on the level of the NN force. Second, it should also be stressed that very few is known about the underlying YNM couplings - this has been stressed in another context in ref.⁶⁸⁾.

4.3 Example 2: 0 mixing e ects

An inportant e ect in {hypernuclei is the mixing of the with the ⁰. Consequences of this mixing were discussed by A kaishi⁶⁹ and M otoba ⁷⁰. It solves e.g. the overbinding problem in ⁵He, which was pointed out by D alitz and others ⁷¹ long time ago. The 0⁺ level in ⁵He moves to the correct binding energy due to the transition potential V_{N; N} (Q =e)V_{N; N} taken e.g. from the N ijn egen potential (version D). Here, the operator Q assures the P auliprinciple and the energy denom – inator e deviates from its free space version e₀ due to energy dissipation. It was also pointed out by M otoba that the ⁰ coupling in the 0⁺ states of ⁴H and ⁴He is signi cantly enhanced due to coherent addition of various com ponents, which leads to a very strong and attractive N N N ! N N three{body force. Of course, all these notings are very sensitive to the underlying Y N interaction, which can not yet be pinned down very reliably due to the lack of su ciently many precise data.

4.4 Other interesting results

There were m any other interesting developm ents, I just m ention three examples:

Friedm an ⁷²) described work on deeply bound kaonic atom ic states, which can be calculated by use of an optical potential, V_{opt} . It was demonstrated that if this optical potential is obtained from a t to the existing kaonic atom data, the predictions for the deeply bound states are independent of the precise form of V_{opt} . These states can best be produced by the (;K⁺) reaction for p ' 170 M eV (which can e.g. be achieved in an asymmetric e⁺ e collider).

M otoba⁷⁰⁾ and Im ai ⁶²⁾ discussed the possible role of the as \glue" in the nucleus, leading to a shrinkage of nuclear radii. A particular example is ⁷Li, which in a cluster m odel can be described by an alpha { particle plus { \core" surrounded by a neutron { proton pair. From the m easurem ent of E2 and M1 transitions, one can deduce the radius, which indeed turns out sm aller than the one of the equivalent system com posed of nucleons only.

As discussed by Im ai⁶²⁾, the H (dibaryon simply does not want to show up. Even after a long term dedicated e ort to nd this six quark state, no signal has been found. D espite its uniqueness, it seems to have the same fate as all predicted dibaryon { nonexistence.

5 Expectations for the next DA NE workshop

W ith KLOE, FINUDA and DEAR hopefully soon producing data with the expected precision and experiments at other laboratories also supplying precision data, we can expect to discuss signi cant progress in our understanding of hadronic physics in the GeV region. On the theoretical side, apart from all the surprises to come, I mention a few topics which need to and will be addressed (this list is meant in no way to be exhaustive but rather rejects some of my personal preferences):

In two as well as three avor m eson chiral perturbation theory, hadronic two loop calculations have been performed for a variety of processes. It has, how - ever, become clear that at that accuracy one also needs to consider electrom agnetic corrections. For the kaon decays to be measured at DA NE and elsewhere, such calculation must also include the leptons. The corresponding machinery to perform such investigations is found in ref. 73 .

The calculation of the properties of hadronic atom s has received considerable attention over the last years, triggered mostly by the precise data from PSI for pionic hydrogen and deuterium and the DIRAC experiment (\pionium "). The elective eld theory methods, which have proven so valuable for these systems, should be extended to the cases of K⁺ and d bound states to learn more about SU (3) chiral symmetry and the isoscalar S{wave pion{nucleon scattering length, respectively.

Better models, eventually guided by lattice gauge theory, are needed to understand the structure of the observed exotic states and scalar mesons. It would be valuable to combine the quark model with constraints from chiral symmetry and also channel couplings. Only then a unique interpretation of these states can be achieved. Needless to say that besides the spectrum one also has to calculate decay widths and so on.

A new dispersion {theoretical analysis of the pion {nucleon scattering data, including also isospin breaking e ects (beyond the pion, nucleon and delta m ass splittings) is called for to get better constraints on the pion {nucleon scattering am plitude in the unphysical region and thus pin down the sigm a term m ore reliably. P resently available partial wave analyses are not including su ciently m any theoretical constraints (or are based on an outdated data set).

Chiral Lagrangian approaches to low energy kaon (nucleon interactions should be re ned. So far, the necessary resum mation methods start from the leading or next{to{leading order e ective Lagrangian. Thus, only certain classes of loop graphs are included. I consider it mandatory to also include the leading e ects of the meson cloud consistently. How this can be done in the (much simpler) pion{nucleon system is demonstrated in ref. ⁷⁴).

The fundam ental hyperon {nucleon interaction, which is not only interesting per sebut also a necessary ingredient for the calculation of hypernuclei, has to be studied in m ore detail. As already m entioned, the Julich group is presently working on a re ned m eson {exchange m odel 67 . I also expect studies based on e ective eld theory to give deeper insight, for a rst step see ref. 75 .

A cknow ledgem ents

I would like to thank the organizers, in particular G iorgio C apon, G ino Isidori and G iulia Pancheri, for setting up such an interesting m seting and m aking m e attend

all talks. W arm thanks also to the secretaries and sta , especially Laura Sirugo, for all their e orts and help.

References

- 1. C.Quigg, \CP violation and rare decays", these proceedings.
- 2. M. Pennington, \Low energy hadronic physics", these proceedings.
- 3. S.W einberg, Physica 96A (1979) 327.
- 4. J.G asser and H. Leutwyler, Ann. Phys. (NY) 158 (1984) 142.
- 5. J.G asser, \ChiralPerturbation Theory", hep-ph/9912548.
- 6. G. Colangelo, \Chiral perturbation theory: an overview ", these proceedings.
- 7. see e.g. J. Stem, H. Sazdjian and N. Fuchs, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) 3814.
- 8. G. Ecker, \Chiral sym m etry", hep-ph/9905500.
- 9. J. Lowe, \Experim ental results on sem ileptonic K decays and form factors", these proceedings.
- 10. M in iP roceedings of HadA tom 99, (eds. J.G asser, A.R usetsky and J.Schacher, Bern, 1999), hep-ph/9911332.
- 11. B. A deva, \The D irac experim ent at CERN ", these proceedings.
- 12. A.Gall, J.Gasser, V.E.Lyubovitskijand A.Rusetsky, Phys.Lett.B462 (1999) 335.
- 13. Ulf-G.Meiner, G.Muller and S.Steininger, Phys.Lett.B406 (1997) 154; (E) Phys.Lett.B407 (1997) 454.
- 14. M. Knecht and R. Urech, Nucl. Phys. B 519 (1998) 329.
- 15. G.D'Ambrosio, \Radiative rare K decays", these proceedings.
- 16. S.K ettell, \Experim ental results on radiative and non { leptonic K decays", these proceedings.
- 17. V.Flyagin, \Latest results on K decays from Serpukhov", these proceedings.
- 18. G. Ecker, A. Pich and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B 303 (1988) 665.

- 19. G D. Barr et al, Phys. Lett. B242 (1990) 523; V. Papadim irrou et al, Phys. Rev. D 44 (1991) 573.
- 20. T. Barnes, \Spectroscopy of light m esons", these proceedings.
- 21. A.Donnachie, \Hybrid mesonic states, their relevance to DA NE", these proceedings.
- 22. G. 't Hooft, Nucl. Phys. B 72 (1974) 461.
- 23. E.W itten, Nucl. Phys. B160 (1979) 57.
- 24. The Crystal Barrel Collaboration (V.V. Anisovich et al.), Phys. Lett. B 323 (1994) 322, and many following papers.
- 25. CE. Carlson and TH. Hansson, Phys. Lett. 128B (1983) 95.
- 26. Ulf-G.Meiner, Phys. Lett. 128B (1983) 99.
- 27. JA. Appel, \Light m exons through charm decays", these proceedings.
- 28. M. Pennington, R iddle of the scalars: where is the sigm a?", hep-ph/9905241.
- 29. JL. Lucio, E ects of the f₀ (400 1200) in ! + ", these proceedings.
- 30. J.G asser and Ulf-G.M ei ner, Nucl. Phys. B 357 (1991) 90.
- 31. UlfG.Meiner,Comm.Nucl.Part.Phys.20 (1991) 119.
- 32. JA.Oller and E.Oset, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999) 074023.
- 33. E. O set, \Radiative decays and ! ⁺ in a chiral unitary approach", these proceedings.
- 34. K. Holinde, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 36 (1996) 311, and references therein.
- 35. A .Salnikov, R eview of experimental results from SND detector at VEPP-2M ", these proceedings.
- 36. A J. M ilstein, R eview of experimental results from CMD-2 detector at VEPP-2M ", these proceedings.
- 37. Ulf-G.Meiner, V.Mull, J.Speth and JW.Van Orden, Phys.Lett.B408 (1997) 381.

38. A J.M ilstein, a_1 contribution to e^+e ! 4 at VEPP-2M ", these proceedings.

- 39. H.A lorecht et al., Phys. Lett. B 260 (1991) 259; D. Busculic et al., Zeit. f. Physik C 74 (197) 263; R. Balaest et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 3809; M. Athanas et al., Limit on neutrino mass from ! ^{+ 0}, hep-ex/9906015.
- 40. J.Gasser, Ann. Phys. (NY) 136 (1982) 62.
- 41. B. Borasoy and Ulf-G. Meiner, Ann. Phys. (NY) 254 (1997) 192.
- 42. J.G asser, $\$ a term physics", these proceedings.
- 43. A. Rusetsky, $\ \ dronic atom s in QCD$ ", these proceedings.
- 44. L.S. Brown, W J. Pardee and R.D. Peccei, Phys. Rev. D 4 (1971) 2801.
- 45. J. Stahov, talk given at MENU '99 (Zuoz, Switzerland, August 1999).
- 46. J.Gasser, H. Leutwyler and M E. Sainio, Phys. Lett. B253 (1991) 252.
- 47. V. Bernard, N. Kaiser and UlfG. Mei ner, Phys. Lett. B 389 (1996) 144.
- 48. P.Buttiker and Ulf-G.Meiner.\Pion{nucleon scattering inside the Mandelstam triangle", hep-ph/9908247, to appear in Nucl. Phys. A.
- 49. M M. Pavan, R A. A mdt, I.I Strakovsky and R L.W orkman, \New Result for the Pion-Nucleon Sigm a Term from an Updated VPI/GW Pion-Nucleon Partial-W ave and D ispersion Relation Analysis", nucl-th/9912034.
- 50. Ulf-G.Meiner and S.Steininger, Phys. Lett. B 419 (1998) 403.
- 51. H.-Ch. Schroder et al, Phys. Lett. B 469 (1999) 25.
- 52. A.Bottino, F.Donate, N.Formengo and S.Scopel, \Implications for relic neutralinos of the theoretical uncertainties in the neutralino {nucleon cross section", hep-ph/9909228.
- 53. A.Olin, \K-N scattering and K-N low energy interactions", these proceedings.
- 54. P. Gensini, \KN sigma terms, strangeness in the nucleon and DA NE", hep-ph/9804344.
- 55. L. Frankfurt et al, Phys. Rev. C 60 (1999) 055202.
- 56. M. Iwasakiet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (199) 3067.

- 57. C. Guaraldo, \DEAR physics program ", these proceedings.
- 58. B.Kubis and Ulf-G.Meiner, forthcoming.
- 59. N.Kaiser, P.B.Siegeland W.Weise, Nucl. Phys. A 594 (1995) 325.
- 60. E.O set and A.Ramos, Nucl. Phys. A 635 (1998) 99.
- 61. T . N agae, R event results and perspectives from the SKS spectrom eter", these proceedings.
- 62. K. Im ai, \Hypernuclear physics at BNL and KEK", these proceedings.
- 63. J. Lukstins, \Hypernuclear physics program at D ubna", these proceedings.
- 64. M. Jodice, \Hypernuclear physics at Je erson Lab", these proceedings.
- 65. A. Zenoni, \F INUDA physics program ", these proceedings.
- 66. A. Ramos, \The non-mesonic weak decay of {hypernuclei", these proceedings.
- 67. J.Haidenbauer, W.Melnitschouk and J.Speth, nucl-th/9805014.
- 68. J. Stem, H. Sazdjian and N. Fuchs, Phys. Lett. B 238 (1990) 380.
- 69. Y. Akaishi, \Strangeness in nuclear matter", these proceedings.
- 70. T.M otoba, \Status and perspectives of hypernuclear physics", these proceedings.
- 71. R.Dalitz et al., Nucl. Phys. B47 (1972) 109.
- 72. E.Friedman, Searching for deeply bound K atom is states at DA NE", these proceedings.
- 73. M.Knecht, H.Neufeld, H.Rupertsberger and P.Talavera, \Chiralperturbation theory with virtual photons and leptons", hep-ph/9909284.
- 74. Ulf-G.Meiner and JA.Oller, nucl-th/9912026.
- 75. M J. Savage and R P. Springer, Nucl. Phys. A 639 (1998) 325.