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$\mathrm{W} e$ nd the generating functions for the Lagrangians of all-orders sum $m$ able $S U$ (2) Skym ions. W e then proceed to construct the explicit form of the Lagrangian, order by order in the derivatives of the pion eld for two classes of $m$ odels.

## I. IN TRODUCTION

A coording to the $1=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ analysis $\left.\overline{1-1} \overline{4} \overline{2}\right]$, if an e ective Lagrangian is to represent accurately the low-energy lim it of QCD, it should behave as an e ective theory of in nitely $m$ any $m$ esons and include all orders of derivative of the elds. In that sense, the Skym em odel [3ె] represents a naive (fourth order in derivatives) attem pt to provide such a description. Yet, stable solitonic pion eld con gurations (Skym ions) em erge from this sim ple $m$ odel. These solitons are interpreted as baryons and a num ber of their properties can be com puted leading to $m$ any successfiulpredictions. Unfortunately, the exact form of such a solution for the low-energy e ective Lagrangian is out our reach for the $m$ om ent and indeed, would be equivalent to a nding a solution for the low-energy lim 进 of Q CD and perhaps would provide an explanation to con nem ent. In the absence of such solutions, one $m$ ust rely on $m$ ore elaborate e ective Lagrangians but in doing so one faces problem s: (i) the num ber of possible extensions, i.e. the num ber of possible term $s$ at higher orders becom es increasingly large, (ii) the degree of the equation ofm otion becom es anbitrarily large and (iii) adding term s of all orders introduces an arbitrarily large num ber of param eters, so one loses any predictive power. A ny one of these problem s causes the general approach to becom e too com plex and its treatm ent intractable.

A suitable altemative is to im pose sym $m$ etries or constraints that reduce this degree of arbitrariness and com plexity but still retain the $m$ ore interesting features and perhaps introduce new ones. A few years ago, we proposed such a class of tractable all-orders e ective Lagrangians [4]', U sing the hedgehog ansatz for static solution, we required that the degree of the di erential equation for the pro le function rem ains two. This induces all-orders Skym ions with a num ber of interesting properties: (i) they come from all-orders e ective Lagrangians that could account for in nitely $m$ any $m$ esons, (ii) the Lagrangians being chirally invariant by construction, it allow sm ore controlover chiral sym $m$ etry breaking since they can be im plem ented by hand afterw ards, (iii) there rem ains som e liberty in this class of $m$ odels so that the physical constraints can be satis ed properly and predictions can be signi cantly im proved
 a tw o-phase structure [1] arise.

D espite these relative successes, an all-orders Lagrangian w ritten in a closed form rem ained to be found. M ost of the calculations and constraints relied on an expression for the energy density of the static hedgehog solution. A full know ledge of the all-orders Lagrangian is required to analyze other types of solutions, generalize the m odel to SU (3) and hopefully nd a link to low-energy QCD. In this work, we construct the $m$ ost general all-orders Lagrangians for the class ofm odel introduced in [t山 Lagrangian for any arbitrary order. This is done in Section III after a brief introduction of the all-orders Lagrangian in Section II. A sim ilar procedure is repeated for e ective Lagrangians induced by hidden gauge sym $m$ etry [8/ill and again, the coe cients for the Lagrangian are found for any arbitrary order in Section IV. This construction may have $m$ uch deeper consequences since it is directly associated to an underlying gauge theory. Finally, the last section contains a brief discussion of the results and prospects for further analysis.
II. M ORE TERMSTOTHE SKYRME LAGRANGIAN

Let us recall the Skym e Lagrangian density for zero pion $m$ ass:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}=\frac{\mathrm{F}^{2}}{16} \operatorname{TrL} \mathrm{~L}+\frac{1}{32 \mathrm{e}^{2}} \operatorname{Trf} \mathrm{f} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the notation $L=U{ }^{Y} @ U$ and $\left.f \mathbb{L} ; L\right]$. The rst term, $L_{1} ;$ coincides $w$ ith the non-linear $m$ odelwhen one substitutes the degrees of freedom in the $S U(2) m$ atrix $U$ by - and -elds according to $U=\frac{2}{F}(+i)$. The second term, $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, contains higher order derivatives in the pion eld and can account for nucleon-nucleon interactions via pion exchange. $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ was originally added by Skym e to allow for solitonic solutions. F is the pion decay constant ( 186 M eV ) and e is the so-called Skym e param eter. U nless $F$ and e are explicitly $m$ entionned, we shall use $m$ ore appropriate units in which the Lagrangian rescale as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}+\frac{1}{2} L_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{TrL} L+\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{16} \operatorname{Trf} f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the unit of length is now $\frac{2^{p} \overline{2}}{e F}$ and the unit of energy is $\frac{F}{2^{F} \overline{2} e}$ :
In its fam iliar hedgehog form, the $S U(2) m$ atrix $U$ is expressed as follow $s$ :

$$
U(r)=\exp \left[\begin{array}{ll}
i & \mathrm{bF} \\
\mathrm{l} & (\mathrm{r})]
\end{array}\right]
$$

where F (r) is called the chiral angle or pro le function of the solution. This eld con guration constitutes a m ap from physical space $R^{3}$ onto the group $m$ anifold $S U(2)$ and is assum ed to go to the trivial vacuum for asym ptotically large distanœs. We therefore im pose $U(r!1)!1$. From this last condition, one $m$ ay derive the existence of a topological invariant associated w ith the $m$ apping. The originality of Skym e's idea $w$ as to identify this invariant, i.e. the $w$ inding num ber, w th the baryon num ber.

For a static hedgehog solution, the energy density is given by $E=E_{1}+E_{2}$ where the sit contribution com es from the non-linear model

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~L}^{i}=[2 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith a $\frac{\sin ^{2} F}{r^{2}}$ and $b \quad F^{\circledR}$ : A though $L_{2}$ is quartic in the derivatives of the pion eld, $E_{2}$ adds only a quadratic contribution in $F^{\circ}$ to the Lagrangian for the static hedgehog solution.

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}=L_{2}=\frac{1}{16} \operatorname{Trf}_{i j} f^{i j}=a[a+2 b]: \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

D espite the relative successes of the Skym em odel, it can only be considered as a prototype of an e ective theory of Q CD . For exam ple, there is no com pelling reason or physical grounds for excluding higher order derivatives in the pion eld from the e ective Lagrangian. Indeed, large $N_{c}$ analysis suggests that bosonization of QCD would $m$ ost likely involve an in nite num ber ofm esons. If this is the case, then taking the appropriate decoupling lim its (or large $m$ ass lim it) for higher spin $m$ esons leads to an all-orders Lagrangian for pions. O ne exam ple of higher order term $s$ is a piece involving B ; the topological charge density [G6],

$$
\left.\mathrm{L}_{J}=\mathrm{CJJ}_{J} \operatorname{Tr} \quad \mathrm{~B} \quad\right]=3 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b} \text { with } \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{L} \mathrm{~L} L
$$

$w$ here $G_{J}$ is a constant. It tums out that the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{3}=L_{3}=\frac{1}{32} \operatorname{Trf} f \quad f \quad=3 a^{2} b \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to a sim ilar results.
Several attem pts w ere m ade to incorporate vectorm esons in the Skym e picture. Follow ing this approach, we have proposed [1] [1] a procedure to generalize the Lagrangian density to include allorders in the derivatives of the pion eld in a com putationally tractable way. In this work, we go a step further and will nd the exact form of Lagrangian density at any arbitrary order.

At this point how ever, it is usefulto invoke som e relevant links noticed by $M$ anton [ $\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ betw een an e ective $S U$ (2) scalar Lagrangian and the strain tensor in the theory ofelasticity. A s in nonlinear elasticity theory, the energy density of a Skyme eld depends on the local stretching associated w th them ap $U: R^{3} \eta S^{3}: T$ his is related to the strain tensor at a point in $R^{3}$ which is de ned as

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{i j} & =@_{i} @_{j} \quad \text { where }=\left(;{ }^{z} ;{ }^{x} ;{ }^{y}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Tr}\left[f L_{i} ; L_{j} g\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $i ; j$ refers to the cartesian space coordinates. $M_{i j}$ is a 3 sym $m$ etric $m$ atrix $w$ ith three positive eigenvalues $X^{2} ; Y^{2} ; Z^{2}$. The vectors $X ; Y ; Z$ are orthogonal here since they are the principal axes of the strain ellipsoid in this context. There is a simple geom etrical intenpretation (due to $M$ anton) for these objects. They correspond to the changes of length of the im ages of any orthogonal system in the space $m$ anifold. T here are only three fundam ental invariants of $M_{i j} w$ th each a sim ple geom etric $m$ eaning

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{TrM}] & =X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}=\begin{array}{l}
X \\
\text { (length) }^{2} \\
\left.\frac{1}{2} \quad(\operatorname{TrM})^{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr} M^{2}\right]
\end{array}=\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{Y}^{2}+\mathrm{Y}^{2} \mathrm{Z}^{2}+\mathrm{Z}^{2} \mathrm{X}^{2}=\mathrm{X} \quad \text { (surface) }{ }^{2} \\
\operatorname{det} M & =\mathrm{X}^{2} \mathrm{Y}^{2} \mathrm{Z}^{2}=(\text { volum } \mathrm{e})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Som etim es, it is convenient to use a m ore general ansatz [1] in which case the energy contributions to the rst three orders take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{1}=X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2} \\
& E_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
X & Y
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Y & Z
\end{array}\right)^{2}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
Z & X
\end{array}\right)^{2}  \tag{6}\\
& E_{3}=3\left(X \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X
\end{array}\right)^{2}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Since these are the three fundam ental invariants in an orthogonal system, all higher-order Lagrangians can be constructed out of these invariants. A nother im portant quantity can also be written in term of these objects, the topological charge density

$$
\begin{align*}
& \qquad Q=\frac{1}{2^{2}} X \quad(Y \quad Z) \\
& \text { For the hedgehog ansatz } X \quad Y=Y \quad Z=X \quad Z=0 \text { and } \# Z^{2} \\
&  \tag{7}\\
& \\
& a=X^{2}=\frac{\sin ^{2} F}{r^{2}} \quad b=Y^{2}=F^{\propto} \quad c=Z^{2}=\frac{\sin ^{2} F}{r^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}_{1}=\mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b}+\mathrm{c}=2 \mathrm{a}+\mathrm{b} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{2}=\mathrm{ab}+\mathrm{bc}+\mathrm{ca}=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{a}+2 \mathrm{~b})  \tag{8}\\
& \mathrm{E}_{3}=3 \mathrm{abc}=3 \mathrm{a}^{2} \mathrm{~b}
\end{align*}
$$

The angular integration is trivial in this case.
Let us consider the Lagrangian of an all-orders Skym e-like m odel. In general, it contains even powers of the left-handed current L , but in an orthogonal system, the static energy density is always a com bination of the three invariants e.g. $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ : It tums out that one can construct a special class [4] $\left.{ }_{4}^{1}\right]$ ofm odels whose energy density E is at $m$ ost linear in $b$ (or of degree tw $o$ in derivatives of $F$ ). The static energy density com ing from the Lagrangian of order 2 m in derivatives of the eld is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}=a^{m} \quad{ }^{1}[3 a+m(b l a)] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the hedgehog ansatz. T he full Lagrangian leads to

$$
E=X_{m=1}^{X_{m}} h_{m} E_{m}=3(a)+(b \quad a)^{0}(a)
$$

where $(x)={ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{m}=1} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{x}^{m}$ and ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{x})=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dx}}$ and to a pro le equation which is com putationally tractable since it is of degree two. In som e cases, it is $m$ ore appropriate to construct Lagrangians as $m$ pow ers of the com $m$ utators $\mathrm{f} \quad \llbracket ; \mathrm{L}]$; this leads to vanishing energy density $\mathrm{E}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ form odd 5. Jackson et al [G] found an elegant expression for the total energy density in term $s$ of the vectors $X ; Y ; Z$

$$
\left.\left.E_{J}=\frac{\left(\begin{array}{llll}
a & b
\end{array}\right)^{3}(c)+(b \quad c}{}\right)^{3} \quad(a)+\left(\begin{array}{lll}
c & a
\end{array}\right)^{3} \quad(b)\right) ~\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & b)(b l & c
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(c & a \tag{10}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where a;b;c are de ned in (7, $\overline{-1})$. N ote that both the num erator and the denom inator are antisym $m$ etric in $a ; b ; c$ but the total expression is sym $m$ etric as should be expected since $E$ is a com bination of the three invariants $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ : A s far as we_know how ever, there seem $s$ to be no fundam ental or geom etric grounds that would justify such a form . $M$ oreover, (1d) is not very practical for our purposes since it cannot be easily converted to an expression in term s of the three invariants $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ :
$T$ he $m$ ass of the soliton is then w ritten as:

$$
M_{s}=4\left(\frac{F}{2 \bar{p}^{2} e}\right)^{Z_{1}} r_{0}^{2} d r\left[\begin{array}{ll}
(a)+(b & \text { a) }
\end{array}{ }^{0}(a)\right]
$$

U sing the sam e notation, the chiral equation becom es:

$$
\left.0={ }^{0}(a) \mathbb{F}^{\infty}+2 \frac{F^{0}}{r} \quad 2 \frac{\sin F \cos F}{r^{2}}\right]+a^{\infty}(a)\left[2 \frac{F^{0}}{r}+F^{\infty} \frac{\cos F}{\sin F}+\frac{\sin F \cos F}{r^{2}}\right]:
$$

$w$ ith $a \quad \frac{\sin ^{2} F}{r^{2}}$ :The Skym e Lagrangian corresponds to the case $(a)=s(a) a+\frac{1}{2} a^{2}$. In the absence of an exact solution for QCD that would provide a link to a Skym e-like Lagrangian, one could consider the toy models in the form of an exponential or a truncated geom etric series:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I(a)=e^{a} 1 \\
\text { II;M }(a)=a \frac{1 \quad a^{M}}{1 \quad a}=a+a^{2}+a^{3}+:::+a^{M}
\end{gathered}
$$

which corresponds to the choice $h_{m} \quad M=\frac{()^{m}{ }^{1}}{m^{\prime}}$. Yet, requiring that a unique soliton solution exists, ( $x$ ) m ust satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\quad \frac{d}{d x}(\mathrm{x}) \\
\\
\\
\frac{d}{d x} \\
\frac{d}{d x} \\
\frac{d}{x^{3}} \\
\frac{d}{d x} \\
\frac{1}{x^{2}} \frac{d}{d x} \\
\text { (x) }
\end{array}
$$

which lead to $m$ ore physically $m$ otivated altemative $m$ odels due to Jackson et al [1] [G] and to $G$ usta son and $R$ iska

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { III }(a)=\ln (1+a)+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} \\
& \text { IV }(a)=\frac{1}{4}\left[\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
e^{2 a}
\end{array}\right]+\frac{1}{2} a+\frac{1}{2} a^{2} \\
& \text { V }(a)=a+\frac{a^{3}}{3+2 a}  \tag{11}\\
& \text { VI }(a)=a+\frac{a^{3}}{3+4 a}+\frac{a^{4}}{1+4 a^{2}} \\
& \text { VII;M }(a)=a+\frac{a^{M}}{M} \frac{a^{3}}{1+a_{M}^{2 M}} \\
& w
\end{align*} \text { ith } q_{M}=\text { constant. } \quad .
$$

whose phenom enological im plications can di er signi cantly from the originalSkym em odel.
It should be em phasized that although this class of tractable Lagrangians was originally de ned assum ing the hedgehog ansatz (i.e. spherically sym $m$ etric solution), the sam e conditions apply for any orthogonal system (i.e. $X \quad Y=Y \quad Z=X \quad Z=0)$ as long as any tw o of the three invariants are equal in which case one can write $a \xlongequal{2} \neq$ $X^{2} ; b=Y^{2}$ or $a=Y^{2}=Z^{2} ; b=X^{2}$ or $a=X^{2}=Y^{2} ; b=Z^{2}$. O ne can easily construct such a solution by $m$ aking $a$ conform altransform ation on the hedgehog ansatz for exam ple.

A s was pointed out by Jackson et al. in ref. [6] $\left.{ }_{6}\right] \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is a function of the three invariants $\mathrm{E}_{1} ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{3}$ which obeys the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{m}=E_{m} \quad{ }_{1} E_{1} \quad E_{m} \quad{ }_{2} E_{2}+\frac{1}{3} E_{m} \quad{ }_{3} E_{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

E xtending this result, it is easy to see that a sim ilar relation holds for the Lagrangians (since $L_{m}$ ! $E_{m}$ in the static lim it)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad{ }_{2} \mathrm{~L}_{2} \quad \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{m}} \quad{ }_{3} \mathrm{~L}_{3} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point, we m ust stress on the im portance of being able to construct the Lagrangian $L_{m}$ at an arbitrary order $2 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{F}$ irst the Lagrangian $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ is evidently a m ore fiundam entalob ject than the energy density $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ since it de nes how the scalar elds interact w ith each other. Secondly, the recursion relation (121) only holds for the hedgehog ansatz but it is su cient to im pose the condition ( $\overline{1} 3)^{\prime}$, on the Lagrangians. Finally, once the Lagrangian is known at any arbitrary order, it is possible to nd other solitonic solutions, com pute their tim e dependence and, generalize the $m$ odel from $S U(2)$ to $S U$ (3) case or exam_ine other extensions of the Skym em odel.

Let us rew rite the recursion relation (13) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{m}=\quad u_{m} \quad 1 u_{1}+u_{m} \quad 2 u_{2} \quad \frac{1}{3} u_{m} \quad 3 u_{3} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{m}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{m}}$ for any integer m :
By iteration, one would obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{m}=\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
u_{m} & u_{1}+u_{m} & 3 u_{2} & \frac{1}{3} u_{m} & 4 u_{3}
\end{array}\right) u_{1}+u_{m} \quad 2 u_{2} \quad \frac{1}{3} u_{m} \quad 3 u_{3} \\
& =u_{m} \quad 2\left(u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}\right)+u_{m} \quad{ }_{3}\left(u_{1} u_{2} \quad \frac{1}{3} u_{3}\right)+u_{m} \quad 4\left(\frac{1}{3} u_{1} u_{3}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

and so on. There is several convenient ways to reform ulate this recursion relation e.g. $u_{m}$ can be rew ritten as the follow ing $m$ atrix operation:

$$
u_{m}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[T^{m} S_{0}\right]
$$

w ith
and the Lagrangian reads

$$
L=X_{m=1}^{X^{2}} h_{m} L_{m}=\operatorname{Tr}\left[(T) S_{0}\right]
$$

But this iterative process is not practical for an arbitrary order m :
$W$ e need to nd a closed form for

$$
u_{n}=X_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}=0}^{\mathrm{X}^{1}} C_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}} u_{1}^{n_{1}} u_{2}^{n_{2}} u_{3}^{n_{3}}
$$

w th $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}_{1}+2 \mathrm{n}_{2}+3 \mathrm{n}_{3}$ : For that punpose, we introduce the generating function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G\left(u_{1} ; u_{2} ; u_{3} ; x\right) \quad c_{1}+{ }_{m=1}^{x_{m}} u_{m} x^{m} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where accordingly $u_{m}=\frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^{m}}{d x^{m}} G\left(u_{1} ; u_{2} ; u_{3} ; x\right){ }_{x=0}$. Here $x$ is an auxiliary variable introduced for calculational purposes but one could interpret this variable as a scaling factor in the Skym e model. Indeed, under a scale transform ation $r$ ! $r$, the Lagrangian $u_{m} w h i c h$ is of order $2 m$ in derivatives scales as

$$
u_{m}!\frac{u_{m}}{2 m}=u_{m} x^{m}
$$

for $x=2$.
U sing the recursion form ula, it is easy to nd an expression for $G$ : In $\left[\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$, we have

$$
G=X_{m=4}^{X^{1}} \quad u_{m} \quad 1 u_{1}+u_{m} \quad 2 u_{2} \quad \frac{1}{3} u_{m} \quad 3 u_{3} \quad x^{m}+u_{3} x^{3}+u_{2} x^{2}+u_{1} x+c_{1}
$$

which upon substituting the sum $m$ ations by the generating function leads to

$$
G=\frac{u_{1}^{2} x^{2}+u_{1} x c_{1} u_{2} x^{2} c_{1}+\frac{1}{3} x^{3} u_{3} c_{1}+u_{3} x^{3}+u_{2} x^{2}+u_{1} x+c_{1}}{1+u_{1} x u_{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} u_{3} x^{3}}
$$

$T$ his last identity is valid as long as the term in the num erator can be expanded in powers of $u_{1} x ; u_{2} x^{2}$ and $u_{3} x^{3}$. $U$ sing the $m$ ultinom ial expansion

$$
1+u_{1} x \quad u_{2} x^{2}+\frac{1}{3} u_{3} x^{3}=x_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}=0} \frac{\left(n_{1}+n_{2}+n_{3}\right)!}{n_{1}!n_{2}!n_{3}!} \frac{()^{n_{1}+n_{3}}}{3^{n_{3}}} u_{1}^{n_{1}} u_{2}^{n_{2}} u_{3}^{n_{3}} x^{n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}}
$$

one gets
$\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} ; \mathrm{u}_{2} ; \mathrm{u}_{3} ; \mathrm{x}\right)=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3}=0}^{\left.\frac{\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{3}\right)!}{\mathrm{n}_{1}!\mathrm{n}_{2}!\mathrm{n}_{3}!} \frac{()^{\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{3}}}{3^{\mathrm{n}_{3}}} \frac{4 \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{3}+\mathrm{n}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{n}_{2} 2 \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3} \quad 3 n_{3}^{2}+3 n_{3}}{\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{3}\right.} 1\right)} \mathrm{u}_{1}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}} u_{2}^{\mathrm{n}_{2}} u_{3}^{\mathrm{n}_{3}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}_{1}+2 \mathrm{n}_{2}+3 n_{3}}$
$T$ his result is independent of the choice of $c_{1}$ :
The next step consists in nding the explicit expression for $u_{m}: T$ his is acheived by isolating the term of order $x^{m}$ in the previous expression. O ne nds form $=n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3} 4$

$$
u_{m}=\underbrace{\left.X^{\frac{m}{2}}\right]\left[\frac{m}{X^{3}}\right]}_{n_{2}=0 \quad X_{3}=0} C_{m} \quad 2 n_{2} \quad 3 n_{3} ; n_{2} ; n_{3} \quad u_{1}^{m} \quad 2 n_{2} \quad 3 n_{3} \quad u_{2}^{n_{2}} \quad u_{3}^{n_{3}}
$$

$w$ here [ $z$ ] stands for the integer part of $z$ and

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n}_{1} ; \mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}_{3}}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2}+\mathrm{n}_{3} 2\right)!()^{\mathrm{n}_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{3}}}{\mathrm{n}_{1}!\mathrm{n}_{2}!\mathrm{n}_{3}!} \quad 4 \mathrm{n}_{1} \mathrm{n}_{3}+\mathrm{n}_{2}^{2} \quad \mathrm{n}_{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{n}_{2} \mathrm{n}_{3} \quad 3 \mathrm{n}_{3}^{2}+3 \mathrm{n}_{3}
$$

The coe cients $C n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}$ form $=n_{1}+2 n_{2}+3 n_{3}<4$ are easy to $n d$ by inspection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1 ; 0 ; 0}=C_{0 ; 1 ; 0}=C_{0 ; 0 ; 1}=1 \\
& C_{0 ; 0 ; 0}=C_{2 ; 0 ; 0}=C_{3 ; 0 ; 0}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Sum $m$ ing up, the full all-orders Lagrangian giving an energy density at $m$ ost quadratic in $F^{0}$ has the form

In this section, we exam ine the construction of a generalized Skym e Lagrangian based on the hidden gauge sym $m$ etry ( H G S ) form alism [ describe free vectorm esons (for exam ple $S U$ (2) gauge eld kinetic Lagrangian $\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{TrF} \quad \mathrm{~F} \quad$ ) and in the substitution of the derivative by a covariant derivative to account for scalar-vector interactions. For $S U$ (2) chiral sym $m$ etry, the HGS form alism is based on the $S U(2)_{\mathrm{L}} \quad S U(2)_{R} \quad S U(2)_{V} m$ anifold where $S U(2)_{V}$ is gauged. The most general Lagrangian involving only two eld derivatives is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}^{\mathrm{HGS}}=\frac{\mathrm{F}^{2}}{16}\left[\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{D} \quad \mathrm{~L} \quad \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{R}^{2} \quad \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{~L}^{\mathrm{Y} D} \mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{R}^{2}\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L(x) 2 S U(2)_{L}$ and $R(x) 2 S U(2)_{R}$. D stands for the covariant derivative @ igV ${ }^{k} \quad \frac{k}{2}$ where $V$ is the hidden gauge eld. W hen the gauge vector eld is dynam ical then a second piece $\mathrm{L}_{2}^{\mathrm{V}}=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{TrF} \mathrm{~F}$ is added to the Lagrangian. T he vector boson $V$ acquires its $m$ ass from the sam em echanism as the standard gauge bosons w ith result $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{V}}^{2}=4 \mathrm{~g}^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{2}$. In the large m ass $\lim$ it of the vector $m$ esons, they decouple and an e ective self-interaction for scalarm esons arise as $F=\mathbb{D} ; \mathrm{D}]!\mathrm{f} \mathbb{L} ; \mathrm{L}]$. Finally, in that lim it, $\mathrm{L}_{1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{GS}$ becom es the non-linear m odel $L_{1}$ whereas $L_{2}^{V}$ coincides $w$ th the Skym e term $L_{2}$.

Follow ing this approach, we have proposed to represent contributions of order $2 n$ in the derivatives of the pion eld in term $s$ of the trace of a product of $n f$ 's. Such term $s$ would presum ably come from gauge invariant quantities involving a sim ilar expression w th $n$ eld strengths $F$ and would describe exchanges of higher spin $m$ esons. For exam ple, the low est-order gauge invariant contributions $m$ ay have the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{TrF} \mathrm{F} \text { ! Trf } \mathrm{f} \\
& \text { TrF } F \text { F ! Trf f f } \\
& \operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{F} F)^{2}!\operatorname{Tr}(\mathrm{f} \mathrm{f})^{2} \\
& \operatorname{TrF} F \mathrm{~F} \quad \mathrm{~F} \text { ! } \operatorname{Trf} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{f} \text {; etc:: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$T$ he choige of such com binations is $m$ otivated by the possibility that they could be induced by hidden gauge sym $m$ etry (H G S) term sbut they also correspond to exchanges of higher spin particles. They are autom atically chirally invariant. $C$ hiral sym $m$ etry breaking $m$ ust be introduced independently, usually by adding a pion $m$ ass term, which $m$ eans that in principle, one has $m$ ore control on the sym $m$ etry breaking $m$ echanism. As we can see from the rst of the above expressions, the Skym e term itself em erges from the gauge eld kinetic term in the lim $\boldsymbol{i t}$ of large gauge vector $m$ ass in this form ulation.

Furtherm ore, one can construct a special class [] [] of such com binations which is at $m$ ost linear in bor of degree tw o in derivatives of $F$ ). These Lagrangians are a subset of those described in the previous section. They give a very simple form for the hedgehog energy density (sim ilar to eq. ( $\underline{q}^{\prime}$ ))

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2 m}=a^{2 m} \quad{ }^{1}[3 a+2 m(b l a)] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $m$ is an integer. It leads to a chiral angle equation which is tractable since it is of degree tw o.
It tums out that for this class of Lagrangians $E_{m}=0$ for $m$ odd 5 so we only need to consider Lagrangians which are of order $4 m$ in derivatives of the pion eld and have the form $\mathfrak{H}_{2 m} \operatorname{Tr}(f \quad)^{2 m}$. A though the constraints look sim ilar, the Lagrangian $\mathcal{H}_{2 m}$ is di erent from a Lagrangian of the sam e order in derivatives $L_{2 m}$ described in the previous section since the latter being a combination of $L_{1} \quad \operatorname{Tr}\left(L_{i} L_{i}\right)$ whereas $\mathscr{H}_{2 m}$ only involves $f$ 's: Yet it possible to w rite a recursion relation sim ilar to ( $\left.\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ for the static energies

$$
E_{2 m}=E_{2 m} \quad{ }_{2} E_{2} \quad E_{2 m} \quad{ }_{4} E_{4}+\frac{1}{3} E_{2 m} \quad{ }_{6} E_{6}
$$

in term s of the three fiundam ental invariants $E_{2} ; \mathbb{E}_{4}$ and $E_{6}: E_{2 m}$ arises from the Lagrangian $\mathscr{F}_{2 m}$ which obeys

$$
\mathfrak{H}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}=\begin{array}{lllll}
\mathscr{H}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} & 2 \mathfrak{H}_{2}+\mathfrak{H}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} & { }_{4} \mathscr{H}_{4} & \frac{1}{3} \mathscr{H}_{2 m} & \quad \mathscr{H}_{6}
\end{array}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{E}_{2}=\frac{1}{16} \operatorname{Trf} \mathrm{f} \\
& \mathcal{E}_{4}=\frac{1}{64} \operatorname{Trf} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{f} \quad \operatorname{Trff} ; \mathrm{f} \text { gf } \mathrm{f}
\end{aligned}
$$

A gain we are interested in a closed form for $\mathscr{H}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ at any anbitrary order, i.e.

$$
\mathscr{E}_{2 m}=X_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}=0}^{X_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}} \mathscr{E}_{2}^{n_{1}} \mathscr{E}_{4}^{n_{2}} \mathscr{E}_{6}^{n_{3}}, ~}
$$

w ith $\mathrm{m}=\mathrm{n}_{1}+2 \mathrm{n}_{2}+3 \mathrm{n}_{3}$ : U sing the generating function technique, we see that the procedure is identical to that in the Section III upon the substitution $u_{m}=\mathscr{F}_{2 m}$ for any integer $m$ : $T$ he full all-orders Lagrangian in derivatives of the pion eld give rise to an energy density at $m$ ost quadratic in $F^{0}$ and has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{4} \\
& \mathfrak{E}=L_{1}+{ }^{X} \quad h_{2 m} \mathbb{H}_{2 m}  \tag{21}\\
& \mathrm{~m}=1
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{m}=1 \mathrm{n}_{2}=0 \quad \mathrm{n}_{3}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$w$ here the rst term is the nonlinear sigm a $m$ odel and the rem aining of the expression accounts for the Skym e term and higher-order term s . In this class of m odels, contributions of order $4 \mathrm{~m}+2$ in derivatives of the pion eld are absent.

Follow ing the H G S form alism, this Lagrangian corresponds to the large mass lim it of the vectorm esons of a class of scalar gauged eld theory described by the Lagrangian

$$
L=L_{1}^{\text {HGS }}+\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{m}=1}^{\mathrm{H}} \mathrm{~h}_{2 \mathrm{~m}} \mathrm{~L}_{2 \mathrm{~m}}^{\mathrm{V}}
$$

where $L_{2 m}^{V}$ is obtained from $\mathscr{F}_{2 m}$ upon substitution $f \quad!\quad F \quad: T$ he study of such theories and their justi cation based on physical grounds rem ains to be addressed.
V.CONCLUSION

U nder their respective constraints, both (11 $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left.\overline{2}_{2}^{2} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ describe the $m$ ost general all-orders Lagrangians. H ow ever som e speci cm odels are w orth $m$ entionning. For exam ple, a sim ple choice ofcoe cients $h m=1$ corresponds to static energy densities

$$
\begin{gathered}
(a)=\frac{a}{1 a}=a+a^{2}+a^{3}+::: \\
e(a)=a+\frac{a^{2}}{1 a^{2}}=a+a^{2}+a^{4}+:::
\end{gathered}
$$

respectively and are induced by the Lagrangiansw ritten only in term s of the generating function

$$
\begin{align*}
& L=G\left(L_{1} ; L_{2} ; L_{3} ; 1\right)=\frac{L_{1}+\left(L_{1}^{2}+L_{2}\right)+L_{3}}{1+L_{1} L_{2}+\frac{1}{3} L_{3}}  \tag{22}\\
& \mathcal{E}=L_{1}+G\left(L_{2} ; L_{4} ; L_{6} ; 1\right)=L_{1}+\frac{\mathscr{H}_{2}+\left(\mathscr{H}_{2}^{2}+\mathscr{H}_{4}\right)+\mathscr{E}_{6}}{1+\mathscr{E}_{2} \mathscr{H}_{4}+\frac{1}{3} \mathscr{H}_{6}} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, the term in the num erator of the generating function is not analytic so the expression $m$ ust be understood as the correct analytic continuation of its series representation. In both cases, we chose $c_{1}=0$ since it only adds a constant piece to the fill Lagrangian and otherw ise would lead to an in nite energy solution. This result is easily
generalized to the $m$ odel $w$ ith coe cients $h_{m}=h^{m}$ since it is equivalent to a scale transform ation and $m$ ay be perform ed through the change of variables a! ha; $L_{1}$ ! $L_{1} h ; L_{2}!L_{2} h^{2} ; L_{3}!L_{3} h^{3}$ in the above expressions.

Let us now exam ine the Lagrangian in expression (24). For the hedgehog ansatz, we nd an energy density

$$
E=\frac{E_{1}+\left(E_{1}^{2} \quad E_{2}\right) \quad E_{3}}{1 \begin{array}{ll}
1 & E_{1}  \tag{24}\\
E_{2} & \frac{1}{3} E_{3}
\end{array}, \frac{1}{}}
$$

where $E_{1} ; E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ are given by ( $\left.\overline{-1}\right)$ ). This energy density E is obviously sym $m$ etric in $a ; b ; c$ (for the hedgehog ansatz $a=c) y e t$, it coincides w ith the energy density $E_{J}$ given by the form ula ( $10_{1}^{\prime}$ ) of Jackson et al, i.e. the ratio of two antisym $m$ etric expressions. For this rather sim ple $m$ odel, it tums out to be possible to proceed backw ards and deduce the full Lagrangian from $\mathrm{E}_{J}$ : Starting from

$$
E_{J}=\frac{(a \quad b)^{3} \frac{c}{1 c}+(b \quad c)^{3} \frac{a}{1 a}+(c \quad a)^{3} \frac{b}{1 b}}{(a \quad b)(b \quad c)(c \quad a)}
$$

and collecting term swhich scale identically in the num erator and in the denom inator, one can recast the energy density as

$$
E_{J}=\frac{(a+b+c)+\left(a^{2}+a b+c a+b^{2}+b c+c^{2}\right) 3 a b c}{(1(a+b+c)+(a b+b c+c a) a b c)}
$$

 can also be used form odels where (a) is a rational function (quotient of two polynom ials in a) e.g. if;m (a); v (a) and V I (a) in $\left(11_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ) but the com plexity of calculations rises as the degree of the polynom ials increases. On the other hand, these $m$ odels are also easy to obtain in term $s$ of the generating function $G: M$ ore elaborate $m$ odels requires the

 $m$ ost general form

$$
E=\begin{array}{lllllllll}
X^{1} & \left.X^{\frac{m}{2}}\right] & {\left[\frac{m}{X^{3}}\right]} \\
m=1 n_{2}=0 & n_{3}=0
\end{array} h_{m} C_{m} \quad 2 n_{2} \quad 3 n_{3} ; n_{2} ; n_{3} \quad(1)^{m} \quad n_{2}+1 E_{1}^{m} \quad 2 n_{2} \quad 3 n_{3} \quad E_{2}^{n_{2}} \quad E_{3}^{n_{3}}
$$

and $(\overline{6})$. C learly, this result was to be expected since both constructions are based on the sam e constraints for the energy density. So besides nding the com plete form of the $m$ ost general all-orders Lagrangians, we have com e up $w$ ith $a$ way to $w$ rite the energy density in term $s$ of polynom ials sym $m$ etric in $a ; b ; c$.

In principle, it is possible to get an expression for the full Lagrangians $w$ ritten in term of the generating function G only, for any m odel in this class, e.g. m odels de ned in $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{r}}^{1}\right)$. N ote that in som e cases, it $m$ ay be $m$ ore convenient to work w th the exponential generating function, $E(x)={\underset{m}{m}=1}^{m}!u_{m} X^{m}$.

In sum $m$ ary, the generating function $G$ was constructed for the class ofm odels in which the energy density for the hedgehog ansatz is at $m$ ost quadratic in $F^{0}$ and therefore com putationally tractable. It led to an explicit expression for the coe cients $C_{n_{1} ; n_{2} ; n_{3}}$ in ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{2} \overline{8}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and ( $\left.2 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. B ut now that the full Lagrangians are know $n$, a num ber of questions rem ain to be addressed: (a) Solutions other than the $N=1$ static hedgehog can now be analyzed thoroughly. For exam ple, one can propose a di erent or a $m$ ore generalansatz according to ( $\mathbf{\sigma}_{\underline{\prime}} \mathbf{l}^{\prime}$. (b) A lso, solutions for $N>1$ skym ions should be exam ined either by a direct num erical calculation or a convenient ansatz (such as rationalm aps [1] ]). (c) It is now possible to $w$ rite the tim e dependence for this class of m odels for which perhaps one could eventually provide a proper description.(d) T he all-orders Lagrangians also allow to study analytically the identity $m$ ap and to construct the m ode spectrum in a closed form. Finally, the know ledge of this class of Lagrangians allows (e) to extend the m odels, for exam ple in an extension from the $S U(2)$ to the $S U$ (3) sym $m$ etry group or ( $£$ ) to use it in other areas, such as in weak skym ions, baby skym ions, etc...
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