A nalytical R esult for D im ensionally R egularized M assless M aster N on-planar D ouble Box with O ne Leg o Shell

V A . Sm $imov^1$

Nuclear Physics Institute of Moscow State University Moscow 119899, Russia

Abstract

The dimensionally regularized m assless non-planar double box Feynman diagram with powers of propagators equal to one, one leg of the mass shell, i.e. with $p_1^2 = q^2 \notin 0$, and three legs on shell, $p_1^2 = 0$; i = 2;3;4, is analytically calculated for general values of q^2 and the M andelstam variables s;t and u (not necessarily restricted by the physical condition $s + t + u = q^2$). An explicit result is expressed through (generalized) polylogarithm s, up to the fourth order, dependent on rational combinations of q^2 ;s;t and u, and simple nite two- and three fold M ellin (B armes integrals of products of gam m a functions which are easily num erically evaluated for arbitrary non-zero values of the arguments.

¹E-m ail: sm irnov@ theory.npim su.su.

1. Feynm an diagram swith four external lines contribute to m any in portant physical quantities. They are rather complicated m athem atical objects because depend at least on two independent M and elstam variables. In the pure m assless case with all end-points on shell, i.e., $p_i^2 = 0$; i = 1;2;3;4, the problem of the analytical evaluation of two-loop four point diagram s (when the planar and non-planar double boxes show n in Fig. 1 are mostly complicated), in expansion in $= (4 \quad d)=2$ in the fram ework



Figure 1: The planar and non-planar double boxes

of dimensional regularization [1] with the space-time dimension das a regularization parameter, was completely solved during last year in [2, 3, 4, 5]. The corresponding analytical algorithms were quite recently applied to the evaluation of two-loop virtual corrections to $e^+e^-!^+$ and Bhabba scattering [6] and quark scattering [7].

In the massless case with one-leg o shell, $p_1^2 = q^2 \notin 0$, $p_i^2 = 0$; i = 2;3;4, which is relevant to the process e^+e^- ! 3 jets (see, e.g., [8]), the planar double box diagram with powers of propagators equal to one has been analytically calculated in [9] for general values of q^2 and the M andelstam variables s and t. An explicit result is expressed through (generalized) polylogarithm s, up to the fourth order, dependent on rational combinations of q^2 ; s and t, and a one-dimensional integral with a simple integrand consisting of logarithm s and dilogarithm s. This result represented through so-called two-dimensional harm onical polylogarithm s was con rm ed in [10] where the m ethod of di erential equations [11, 12] was applied and some other planar master Feynm an diagram s with one leg o shell were also evaluated.

There are two di erent non-planar Feynm an diagram s (see Fig.1) with all powers of propagators equal to one: when $p_1^2 \notin 0$ (or $p_2^2 \notin 0$) and when $p_3^2 \notin 0$ (or $p_4^2 \notin 0$). The purpose of this paper is to analytically evaluate the rst of these two master integrals. As in the pure on-shell case (see [4]) it turns out that it is natural to consider non-planar double boxes as functions of s;t;u = $(p_1 + p_4)^2$ and $q^2 = p_1^2$ not necessarily restricted by the physical condition $s + t + u = q^2$ which does not simplify the result. We shall present an explicit result for the considered master non-planar double box of q^2 ;s;t and u, and simple nite two- and three fold M ellin (B armes (M B) integrals of products of gam m a functions which are easily num erically evaluated for arbitrary non-zero values of the arguments.

To arrive at this result we straightforwardly apply the method of refs. [2, 9]: we

start from the alpha-representation of the double box and, after expanding some of the involved functions in MB integrals, arrive at a ve-fold MB integral representation with gamma functions in the integrand. Then we use a standard procedure of taking residues and shifting contours² to resolve the structure of singularities in the parameter of dimensional regularization, . This leads to the appearance of multiple terms where Laurent expansion in becomes possible and provides our result.

Finally we discuss the problem of system atical evaluation of general double boxes with one leg o shell and the most general class of functions that can appear in the results.

2. The alpha representation of the non-planar double box with one leg o shell, $p_1^2 = q^2 \in 0$ diers from its on-shell variant (see, e.g., eqs. (4) { (6) of ref. [4]) by a term depending on q^2 inserted into one of the functions involved:

$$F(s;t;u;q^{2};) = (3+2)i^{d=2} \overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}}}{\overset{2^{Z_{1}}$$

where

$$D = (_{1} + _{2} + _{7})(_{3} + _{4} + _{5} + _{6}) + (_{3} + _{5})(_{4} + _{6});$$
(2)
$$A = [_{1 2}(_{3} + _{4} + _{5} + _{6}) + _{1 4 5} + _{2 3 6}](_{S})$$

+
$$_{5 6 7}$$
 (t) + $_{3 4 7}$ (u) + $_{7 [4 5 + 2(3 + 4 + 5 + 6)]}$ (q²) : (3)

By introducing six times MB representation

$$\frac{1}{(X + Y)} = \frac{1}{()} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1$$

in a suitable way, one can take all the parametrical integrals in gamma functions. Fortunately, one of the MB integrations is then explicitly performed by use of the rst Barnes lemma and we arrive at the following 5-fold MB integral:

$$F(s;t;q^{2};) = \frac{i^{d=2}{(1-3)}(2)^{2}}{(1-3)(2)(s)^{3+2}} \frac{1}{(2-i)^{5}} dvdw_{1}dw_{2}dz_{1}dz_{2} \frac{q^{2}}{s}!^{v}}{dvdw_{1}dw_{2}dz_{1}dz_{2}} \frac{q^{2}}{s}!^{v}}$$

$$\frac{t^{w_{1}}}{s} \frac{u}{s} \frac{w^{2}}{(3+2+v+w_{1}+w_{2}+z_{1})(3+2+w_{1}+w_{2}+z_{1}+z_{2})}{(3+2+w_{1}+w_{2}+z_{1})(2+w_{1}+w_{2}+z_{1}+z_{2})^{2}}$$

$$(2+w_{1}+w_{2}+z_{1}+z_{2})(1+v+w_{1}+w_{2})(1+w_{1}+z_{1})(1+w_{2}+z_{1})$$

$$(1+w_{1}+z_{2})(1+w_{2}+z_{2})(2-2vw_{1}w_{2}-z_{1})$$

$$(2-2w_{1}w_{2}-z_{2})(v)(w_{1})(w_{2})(z_{1})(z_{2}):$$
(5)

It diers from its analog for $q^2 = 0$ (see eqs. (8) { (10) of ref. [4]) by the additional integration in v. This variable enters only four gamma functions in the integrand.

 $^{^{2}}$ The procedures presented in [2] and [4] are a little bit di erent. In the latter variant, one system atically uses integration in M B integrals in straight lines along in aginary axes. W e prefer to use the form er variant.

W hen taking m inus residue of the integrand in v one reproduces the corresponding 4-fold M B integral in [4]. Integral (5) is evaluated in expansion in , up to a nite part, by resolving singularities in in the same style as in [9]. We obtain and con rm as a by-product the on-shell result of ref. [4].

To present the nalresult let us turn to the variables x = $s\!=\!q^2$, y = $t\!=\!q^2$ and z = $u\!=\!q^2$:

F (s;t;u;q²;) =
$$\frac{i^{d=2}e^{-E^{-2}}}{s^{2}tu(q^{2})^{2-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{X^{4}} \frac{f_{i}(x;y;z)}{i} + O(i)$$
; (6)

W e obtain

$$\begin{split} f_{4}(x;y;z) &= \frac{1}{4}(1 \times yz) \frac{3}{8}(z+y); \qquad (1) \\ f_{3}(x;y;z) &= \frac{1}{4}\left[3(1+x+y+z)+(2-2x-3y-3z-2yz)\right]_{x} \\ &+ (2-2x+y-3z+2yz)\right]_{y} + (2-2x-3y+z+2yz)\right]_{z}; \qquad (3) \\ f_{2}(x;y;z) &= 3(x-1)L\frac{1}{2}(x)+2(1-y)zL\frac{1}{2}(y)+2y(1-z)L\frac{1}{2}(z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}^{h}(2-2x-3y-3z-2yz)]_{z}^{2} + (2-2x+y-3z+2yz)]_{y}^{2} \\ &+ (2-2x-3y+z+2yz)]_{z}^{2} - 3(1-x)]_{x}]_{x} + 2z(1-y)]_{y}]_{y} + 2y(1-z)]_{z}]_{z} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left[(2-2x+y-3z+2yz)]_{z}^{1}]_{z} + (2-2x+z-3y+2yz)]_{x}]_{z} \\ &+ (2-2x+y+z-2yz)]_{y}]_{z}]_{z} + \frac{3}{2}\left[(1-x+y+z)]_{x} + (1+x-y+z)]_{y} \\ &+ (1+x+y-z)]_{z}]_{z} + \frac{2}{48}(30(1-x)+11(y+z)+26yz)+3(1+x+y+z); \qquad (9) \\ f_{1}(x;y;z) &= 3(1-x)(2L\frac{1}{2}(x)+5L\frac{1}{2}(1-x))+2(2-2x+4y-z-3yz)L\frac{1}{2}(y) \\ &3(1-y)zL\frac{1}{2}(1-y)+2(2-2x-y+4z-3yz)L\frac{1}{2}(z)-3y(1-z)L\frac{1}{2}(1-z) \\ &3(1-x)(5L-2(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}))L\frac{1}{2}(x)-15(1-x)]_{x}L\frac{1}{2}(1-z) \\ &3(1-x)(5L-2(1+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}))L\frac{1}{2}(x)-2(2-2x+y+4z-5yz)]_{y})L\frac{1}{2}(y) \\ &+ ((1-z)(6-4y]_{x}+3y]_{x}+4y]_{y}) - (2-2x+y+4z-5yz)]_{x}]L\frac{1}{2}(z) \\ &+ 3(1-y)zL\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2}(1-y)+3y(1-z)]_{x}L\frac{1}{2}(1-z) \\ &- \frac{1}{6}(2-2x-3y-3z-2yz)\frac{1}{3}^{2}-2(1-y)zL\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x}+3(1-z))\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x} \\ &- \frac{1}{6}(2-2x+y-3z+2yz)\frac{1}{3}^{2}-2(1-y)zL\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x}+3y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x} \\ &- \frac{1}{6}(2-2x+y-3z+2yz)\frac{1}{3}^{2}-2(1-y)zL\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x}+3y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x} \\ &- \frac{1}{6}(2-2x+y-3z+2yz)\frac{1}{3}^{2}-2y(1-z)L\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x}+3y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x} \\ &- \frac{1}{6}(2-2x+y-3z+2yz)\frac{1}{3}^{2}-2y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x}+3y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}^{2}_{x} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left[(2-2x+y-3z+2yz)L\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2}(1-z)-4y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2} \\ &+ (2-2x+y+z-2yz)L\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2}(1-z)+4y(1-z)\frac{1}{2}(2-2x+y+z-2yz)\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2} \\ &+ (2-2x+y+z-2yz)L\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2}(1-z)\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2} \\ &+ (2-2x+y+z-2yz)L\frac{1}{2}L\frac{1}{2}(1-z)\frac{1}{2$$

$$+ 6(1 \quad x) l_{x} l_{x} (l_{y} + l_{z}) + 4(1 \quad y) z l_{y} l_{y} (l_{z} \quad l_{x}) \quad 4y(1 \quad z) l_{z} l_{z} (l_{x} \quad l_{y}) \frac{3}{2}^{h} (1 \quad x + y + z) l_{x}^{2} \quad 4(1 \quad x) l_{x} l_{x} + (1 + x \quad y + z) l_{y}^{2} \quad 4(1 \quad y) l_{y} l_{y} + (1 + x + y \quad z) l_{z}^{2} \quad 4(1 \quad z) l_{z} l_{z} 3((1 \quad x \quad y + z) l_{x} l_{y} + (1 + x \quad y \quad z) l_{y} l_{z} + (1 \quad x + y \quad z) l_{x} l_{z}) \frac{2}{24} [(30 \quad 30x + 11y + 11z + 26yz) l_{x} + (30 \quad 30x + 3y + 11z \quad 14yz) l_{y} 60(1 \quad x) l_{x} + 12(1 \quad y) z l_{y} + (30 \quad 30x + 11y + 3z \quad 14yz) l_{z} + 12y(1 \quad z) l_{z}^{1} 6 [(1 \quad x + y + z) l_{x} + (1 + x \quad y + z) l_{y} + (1 + x + y \quad z) l_{z}] \quad 12(1 + x + y + z) \frac{(3)}{6} [52(1 \quad x) \quad 63(y + z) \quad 40yz] \quad \frac{2}{8} (11 \quad 5(x + y + z)); \qquad (10)$$

where $l_a = \ln a$ and $l_a = \ln (1 a)$ for a = x; y; z. M oreover $Li_a(z)$ is the polylogarithm [13] and (in the next form ula)

$$S_{a,b}(z) = \frac{(1)^{a+b-1}}{(a-1)b!} \int_{0}^{2} \frac{\ln^{a-1}(t) \ln^{b}(1-zt)}{t} dt$$
(11)

the generalized polylogarithm [14].

The nite part $f_0 = f_0 + f_0$ consists of two pieces:

$$\begin{split} & f_{0}(x;y;z) = 30(1 x)S_{2;2}(x) 2(1 y)(6 7z)S_{2;2}(y) 2(1 z)(6 7y)S_{2;2}(z) \\ &+ 6(x yz)Li_{4}(yz=x) 12(1 x)Li_{4}(x) \\ &2(3 6x 3y z+4yz)Li_{4}(y) 2(3 3x y 3z+4yz)Li_{4}(z) \\ &+ 69(1 x)Li_{4}(1 x) 3(1 y)zLi_{4}(1 y) 3y(1 z)Li_{4}(1 z) \\ &\frac{1}{2}^{h}(14+10y 7z+yz)Li_{2}(y)^{2}+(14 2x 7y+10z+yz)Li_{2}(z)^{2}^{i} \\ &f(1 x) (2 5l_{x}+2l_{y}+2l_{z})Li_{5}(x)+(4+5l_{y}+5l_{z})Li_{5}(1 x) \\ &f(2 + x + 4y z 3yz)l_{x}+2(1 + 5y 5yz)l_{y}+4(2 x + 4y + z + 3yz)l_{z} \\ &+ 2(1 y)(6 7z)l_{y}+12(1 y) Li_{5}(y) \\ &(6(1 y)(4 l_{x}z+l_{z}z)+(20+4y 17z+11yz)l_{y})Li_{5}(1 y) \\ &f(2 + x y + 4z 3yz)l_{x}+4(2 2x + y + 4z + 3yz)l_{y}+2(1 x + 5z 5yz)l_{z} \\ &+ 2(6 7y)(1 z)l_{z}+12(1 z) Li_{5}(z) [6(4 l_{x}y+l_{y}y)(1 z) \\ &+ (20 2x 17y+4z+11yz)l_{z}]Li_{5}(1 z)+6(l_{x} l_{y} l_{z})(x yz)Li_{5}(yz=x) \\ &\frac{3}{h}(1 x)^{h} 4(l_{y}+l_{z}+1)^{2}+2 + 12^{l}Li_{2}(x) \\ &+ 4(1 y)z(l_{x} l_{z})^{2}+(2 2x+4y+z 5yz)l_{y}^{2}+2(2+x+4y+z 5yz)l_{x}l_{y} \\ &+ 2(2 x+4y z+5yz)l_{y}l_{z} l_{y}l_{y}(14+10y 7z+yz) 12(1 y)(l_{x}+l_{z}) \end{split}$$

$$+12 ((1 x y+z)l_{x}l_{y} + (1 x+y z)l_{x}l_{z} + (1+x y z)l_{y}l_{z}) + (3) \frac{1}{3} (38 (1 x) + 63 (y+z) + 40 yz)l_{x} 30 (1 x)l_{x} + \frac{4}{3} (28 13x + 14y 24z + 10 yz)l_{y} + 2 (1 y) (6 7z)l_{y} + \frac{2}{3} (56 29x 48y + 28z + 20 yz)l_{z} + 2 (6 7y) (1 z)l_{z} + \frac{2}{4} [(11 (1 x) 5 (y+z))l_{x} + (11 (1 y) 5 (x+z))l_{y} + (11 (1 z) 5 (x+y))l_{z}] + 24 ((1 x+y+z)l_{x} + (1+x y+z)l_{y} + (1+x+y z)l_{z}) + 48 (1+x+y+z) + \frac{2}{2} (11 5 (x+y+z)) + 4 (17 x y z) (3) + \frac{4}{2880} (894 (1 x) + 395 (y+z) + 10034 yz)$$
(12)

and the following two-and three-fold MB integrals:

$$f_{0}(x;y;z) = \frac{6}{(2 i)^{3}} \int_{x}^{2} dv dw_{1} dw_{2} x^{-1 v w_{1} w_{2}} y^{1+w_{1}} z^{1+w_{2}} (3+v+w_{1}+w_{2}) (1+v+w_{1}+w_{2}) (1+v+w_{1}+w_{2}) (1+v_{1}) (1-v-w_{1}) (1-v-w_{1}) (1+v-w_{1}) (1-v-w_{1}) (1+v-w_{2}) (1-v-w_{2}) (1+v-w_{2}) (1+v-$$

The integration contours in the above 3-fold MB integral are in straight lines along imaginary axes with $1 < \text{Rev}, \text{Rew}_{1,2}, \text{Re}(v + w_{1,2}), \text{Re}(v + w_1 + w_2) < 0$ and, in 2-fold MB integrals, with 1 < Rev, Rew, Re(v + w) < 0.

3. Our result (7) { (13) is in agreement with the leading power behaviour when q^2 ! 0 which is obtained by use of the strategy of regions of [15]. It also agrees with results based on numerical integration in the space of alpha parameters [16] (where the 1% accuracy for the 1= and ⁰ parts is guaranteed). The result has been also checked with the value of the double box at s = q^2 which was evaluated by the same technique based on M B integrals. Note that F ($q^2; q^2; t; u$) is expressed not only through (generalized) polylogarithm s, as the on-shell dobule box in [4],

but also through a two-fold MB integral that leads to a one-param etrical integral of dilogarithm s and logarithm s.

The two-fold MB integrals in (13) can be converted into a form similar to the two-fold MB integrals present in the planar double box [9], or, expressed through so-called two-dimensional harmonic polylogarithms [10] which generalize harmonic polylogarithms introduced in [17]. The three-fold MB integral in (13) can be written, up to some two-fold MB integrals, through the following three-fold series

$$\frac{x^{4}}{n_{j}m_{1}m_{1}=0} \frac{(n+n_{1}+1)!(n+n_{2}+1)!}{n!(n+2)!(n_{1}+1)^{2}(n_{2}+1)^{2}n_{1}!n_{2}!} A_{n_{j}m_{1},m_{2}} x^{n} y^{n_{1}} z^{n_{2}} ;$$
(14)

where $A_{n;n_1,n_2}$ is a linear combination of $((n + n_{1;2} + 1)^2 + (n + n_{1;2} + 2))$, $(n + n_{1;2} + 2)$, and $(n_{1;2} + 1)$. If we replace $A_{n;n_1,n_2}$ by one the corresponding series can be summed up with a result in term sofpolylogarithms, up to Lip, depending on certain rational combinations of x; y; z. The presence of $A_{n;n_1;n_2}$ makes the situation much more complicated. The series can be summed up in the form of a two-parametrical integral of a cumbersom e expression with polylogarithms. It is yet unclear where one of these parametrical integrations can be explicitly performed. We therefore prefer to leave the 3-fold MB integral in the result. This form can be easily used for numerical evaluation for arbitrary non-zero values of x; y and z.

K exping in m ind the characteristic feature of the non-planar diagram sm entioned above one can conclude that the presence of four scales (or, three dimensionless variables) in the problem shows that the two-dimensional harm onic polylogarithm s (introduced in [10] just for the problem of the evaluation of the double boxes) could be insu cient to express all the results for the double boxes with one leg o shell, and one can imagine the necessity of introducing three-dimensional harm onic polylogarithm s.

Acknow ledge ents. I am grateful to Z.K unszt for involving me into this problem and for kind hospitality during my visit to ETH (Zurich) in April{M ay 2000 where this work was started. I am thankful to T.B inoth and G.Heinrich for comparison of the presented result with their results based on num erical integration. Thanks to A.I.D avydychev and O.L.Veretin for useful discussions. This work was supported by the Volkswagen Foundation, contract No.I/73611, and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, project 98{02{16981.

References

- G. 't Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B44 (1972) 189; C.G. Bollini and J.J.Giambiagi, Nuovo Cim. 12B (1972) 20.
- [2] V A. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 460 (1999) 397.
- [3] V A . Sm imov and O L. Veretin, Phys. Lett. B 566 (2000) 469.

- [4] J.B. Tausk, Phys. Lett. B 469 (1999) 225.
- [5] C. Anastasiou, E.W. N. G lover and C. O leari, Nucl. Phys. B575 (2000) 416;
 C. Anastasiou, T. Gehrm ann, C. O leari, E. Rem iddiand J.B. Tausk, Nucl. Phys.
 B580 (2000) 577; T. Gehrm ann and E. Rem iddi, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 251; C. Anastasiou, J.B. Tausk and M. E. Tejeda-Yeom ans, Nucl. Phys.
 Proc. Suppl. 89 (2000) 262.
- [6] Z.Bern, L.D ixon and A.Ghinculov, hep-ph/0010075.
- [7] C. Anastasiou, EW N. Glover, C. Oleari and M.E. Tejeda-Yeomans, hepph/0010212; hep-ph/0011094.
- [8] R.K. Ellis, D.A. Ross and A.E. Terrano, Nucl. Phys. B178 (1981) 421.
- [9] V A. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 491 (2000) 130.
- [10] T.Gehrmann and E.Remiddi, hep-ph/0008287.
- [11] A.V.Kotikov, Phys. Lett. B254 (1991) 158; B259 (1991) 314; B267 (1991) 123;
- [12] E.Rem iddi, Nuovo Cim. 110A (1997) 1435; T.Gehrm ann and E.Rem iddi, Nucl. Phys. B 580 (2000) 485.
- [13] L. Lew in, Polybogarithm s and associated functions (North Holland, 1981).
- [14] K.S.Kolbig, JA.Mignaco and E.Remiddi, B.I.T 10 (1970) 38; K.S.Kolbig, Math.Comp. 39 (1982) 647; A.Devoto and D.W. Duke, Riv.Nuovo Cim. 7 (1984) 1.
- [15] M. Beneke and VA. Sm imov, Nucl. Phys. B 522 (1998) 321; VA. Sm imov and ER. Rakhm etov, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 120 (1999) 64; VA. Sm imov, Phys. Lett. B 465 (1999) 226.
- [16] T.Binoth and G.Heinrich, Nucl. Phys. B 585 (2000) 741.
- [17] E.Rem iddi and JAM. Verm aseren, Int. J.M od. Phys. A 15 (2000) 725.