A simple solution to color con nement

Johan Hansson Department of Physics University of Gavle SE-801 76 Gavle Sweden

A bstract

We show that color con nem ent is a direct result of the nonabelian, i.e. nonlinear, nature of the color interaction in quantum chromodynam ics. This makes it in general impossible to describe the color eld as a collection of elementary quanta (gluons). A quark cannot be an elementary quanta of the quark eld, as the color eld of which it is the source is itself a source hence making isolated (noninteracting) quarks impossible. In geometrical language, the impossibility of quarks and gluons as physical particles arises due to the fact that the color Yang-M ills space does not have a constant trivial curvature.

One major problem in contemporary particle physics is to explain why quarks and gluons are never seen as isolated particles. A lot of e ort has gone into trying to resolve this puzzle over a period of years, including lattice QCD, dual M eissner e ect, instantons, etc. For a review, see [1].

W e will take a di erent route than norm ally used, to elim inate the problem before it arises.

U sually, m ost particle physicists use \ elds" and \particles" interchangeably, i.e. as denoting the same things. That is because the alm ost universal use of Feynm an diagram s gives the false in pression that particles (quanta)

Part of this work was done while visiting the Theory G roup, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA. The visit was supported by the Foundation BLANCEFLOR Boncom pagni-Ludovisi, nee Bibt.

are always exchanged, even when they do not exist. The use of Feynm an diagram s can be justilled in mildly nonlinear theories (weak coupling limit) but breaks down for strongly coupled nonabelian theories. (And also for strongly coupled abelian theories with sources.) In quantum chromodynamics (QCD) it is at inst sight a puzzle why the color force should be short-range, and especially why gluons are not seen as free particles, as the nonbroken SU (3) color symmetry seem s to dem and m assless quanta, which naively would have in nite reach. However, as we shall see, there are no quanta.

In quantum eld theory a particle [2], i.e. a quantum of a eld, is de ned through the creation and annihilation operators, a^{y} and a. For instance, in quantum electrodynam ics (QED), the entire electrom agnetic eld can be seen as a collection of superposed quanta, each with an energy $!_{k}$. The ham iltonian of the electrom agnetic eld (om itting the zero-point energy) can be written

$$H = \sum_{k}^{X} N_{k}!_{k}; \qquad (1)$$

where

$$N_{k} = a_{k}^{Y} a_{k}; \qquad (2)$$

is the $\number operator"$, i.e. giving the number of quanta with a speci c four-m om entum k when operating on a (free) state,

$$N_{k} j:::n_{k} ::: > = n_{k} j::n_{k} ::: > ;$$
(3)

and, because all oscillators are independent,

$$j:::n_k:::> = \bigvee_{k}^{Y} jn_k > ; \qquad (4)$$

where n_k is a positive integer, the number of quanta with that particular momentum. The energy in the electrom agnetic eld is thus the eigenvalue of the ham iltonian (1). The reasoning for ferm ion elds is the same, but then the number of quanta in the same state can be only 0 or 1.

A ssum ing that QCD is the true theory of quark interactions, a problem arises, as it is generally not possible to write the color elds in terms of superposed harm onic oscillators. It is not possible to make a Fourier expansion and then interpret the Fourier coe cients as creation/annihilation operators, as the color vector potentials A^{b} (b 2 1; :::;8), even without a quark current, are governed by nonlinear evolution equations,

$$D F = j;$$
 (5)

with quark current j $g_s = 0$, we get, in component form

$$(_{ab}@ + g_s f_{abc}A_c) (@ A^b @ A^b + g_s f_{bde}A^d A^e) = 0;$$
 (6)

where $g_{\rm s}$ is the color coupling constant (summation over repeated indices implied).

W hen we have an abelian dynam ical group, as in QED, all the structure constants f_{abc} are zero, and a general solution to Eq.(6) can be obtained by making the Fourier expansion

$$A^{QED} = \int_{a}^{Z} d^{3}k \int_{a_{k}}^{X^{3}} a_{k}() \quad (k;)e^{ik \cdot x} + a_{k}^{Y}() \quad (k;)e^{ik \cdot x}; \quad (7)$$

where is the polarization vector.

However, for a theory based on a nonabelian group, like QCD, this is no longer true, due to the nonlinear nature of Eq.(6) when f_{abc} ; $f_{bde} \notin 0$,

$$A^{bQCD} \in {\overset{Z}{d}}^{3}k {\overset{X^{3}}{a_{k}^{b}}}() (k;) e^{ik x} + a^{by}_{k}() (k;) e^{ik x}$$
(8)

The color elds can be represented by harmonic oscillators only in the trivial, and physically empty, lim it when the strong interaction coupling \constant" tends to zero, g_s ! 0 (or equivalently when Q^2 ! 1 because of asym ptotic freedom). Hence, no elementary quanta of the color interaction can exist. This means that no gluon particles are possible, and that Eq.(1) does not hold for color elds. (A sanother elementary example, there is nothing within QCD which resembles the photo-electric e ect in QED, i.e. no \gluo-electric" e ect!). The elds are always there, but their quanta, gluons and quarks, are relevant only when probed at su ciently (in nitely) short distances. Generally, quarks do not exchange gluons, but the ferm ion elds q react to the color elds given by A . Fields are primary to particles.

So far we have only banished gluons. To also banish quarks as physical particles we note that a quark eld is the source of a color eld, but this color eld is itself a source of a color eld. Hence, a quark eld is never removed from other sources, is always interacting, and can never be considered to be

freely propagating, resulting in that it can never be represented by harm onic oscillator modes. This means that no quark eld quanta (quarks) can ever exist.

In QED things are very di erent. An electric charge gives rise to an abelian eld, which is not the source of another eld. Hence an electrically charged eld can be rem oved from other sources and thus exist as a physical particle. Thus, the observability of, e.g. an electron is ultimately due to the fact that that electrom agnetic quanta (photons) can exist as real particles.

A more mathematical treatment of the physical picture given above is provided by geometry. A case analogous to the one we are studying appears in quantumeld theory on a curved spacetime [3], where it is well known that elds are more fundamental than particles. Indeed, there it can be shown that the very concept of a particle is, in general, useless [4]. A ctually nonabelian gauge elds and quantumeld theory on a curved background have a lot in common. The total curvature, and also the dynamical coupling to \matterelos " through the covariant derivative, is given by one part coming from the Yang-Mills connection (i.e. gauge potential) and one part coming from the Riemannian (Levi-Civita) connection [5]. Only when both the gauge eld curvature and the spacetime curvature [3] are zero, or at most constant, can a particle be unam biguously de ned. The form er is constant for abelian quantumeld theory, the latter is zero on a Minkow ski background with inertial observers, and constant for som e special (and static) spacetimes. The curvature in gauge space is given by the eld strength tensor,

$$F^{b} = Q A^{b} Q A^{b} + g_{s} f_{bcd} A^{c} A^{d}$$
: (9)

This is the analog in gauge space to the R iem ann curvature tensor (R $\,$) for spacetime. The properties of F $\,$ under a gauge transformation, U, is

$$F ! F^{0} = UF U^{1};$$
 (10)

i.e. the gauge curvature generally transforms as a tensor in gauge space. (It is also a tensor in at spacetime, but not in a general Riemannian spacetime.)

However, we see directly that for an abelian gauge theory, like QED ,

$$F ! F^{0} = F ;$$
 (11)

as U commutes with F . This means that the curvature is constant (invariant) in gauge space for an abelian eld, i.e. that F transform s as a scalar $\,$

in gauge space. It also means that the eld is a gauge singlet, which only rejects that it has no \charge" and that the elds have no self-interactions (abelian gauge elds \in sources of elds).

For nonabelian elds, like QCD, the gauge curvature, F transforms as a tensor, i.e. is covariant, not invariant, and is thus generally di erent at di erent points in gauge space. The color-electric and color-magnetic elds, E^{b} and B^{b} , which are the components of F^{b} de ned by $F_{0i}^{b} = E_{i}^{b}$ and $F_{ij}^{b} = _{ijk}B_{k}^{b}$ (i; j; k 2 1; 2; 3), are thus not gauge independent and cannot be observable physical elds, which is another way of seeing that gluons cannot exist, regardless of coupling strength. (In contrast to usual electric and m agnetic elds which are both gauge singlets and observed.) Thus, color con nem ent is just a special case of the m ore general requirem ent that observables be gauge invariant, i.e. independent of the local choice of gauge \coordinates". (Physically, $F^{b} \in$ color singlet, in plies that color gauge elds are sources of color gauge elds.)

We see that the unbroken nonabelian gauge theories of gravity and QCD are strictly incompatible with the concept of (\charged") particles. In practice, however, this only rules out gluons and quarks as physical particles, as spacetime curvature (or, equivalently, observer accelerations) is normally completely negligible in experimental settings in particle physics. The dierence can be traced to the fact that the dynamical curvature is directly related to the (nonlinear) coupling strength, which is enormously much larger for QCD than for gravity. Leptons can exist as physical particles as QED has abelian gauge dynamics and weak (nonabelian) SU (2) is broken, i.e. absent from the point of view of particle detectors.

It also follows, as a direct corollary of the argument above, that hadrons must be color singlets (i.e. color neutral) as they otherwise could not exist as physical particles. It would be interesting to continue the analogy with gravity and speculate that the hadrons are \grey holes" (as the color stays inside). The curvature induced by the color elds would then give the hadron (or con nement) radius. This would require solutions to the coupled q-A system (with fully dynamical quark elds), which is a very hard, and unsolved problem. (Strictly, also gravity should be included, perhaps in a K aluza-K lein fashion, the lagrangian then containing both F F , now with covariant spacetime derivatives, and R = R , the Ricci-scalar.) A lthough this is a nice picture, which may/m ay not be true, it is not necessary for the purpose of excluding quarks and gluons as physical particles, for that the argument given in this article is su cient.

In conclusion, what we have done is to provide a \G ordian knot"-type of solution to color/quark con nem ent.

W e assume only that:

- 1) QCD is the correct theory of quark-eld interactions
- 2) particles (quanta) are represented by a and a^y

which leads directly to the result that QCD can have no elementary quanta (gluons). If a speci c fundam ental quantum does not exist within a certain (supposedly correct) theory, it neither can be detected in experiments. A sthe quark elds always generate color elds, which in turn act as sources of other color elds, the quark elds can never be considered to be noninteracting. Hence no expansion in harm onic oscillator modes is possible, which means that no quark eld quanta (quarks) can exist. Only if I) QCD is wrong, or II) quanta are not necessarily described by harm onic oscillator modes (which would mean that E instein's relation E = h! does not hold), or both, can gluons and quarks exist as physical particles. In geometrical terms the curvature of Y ang-M ills (color) space makes quarks (as particles) in possible. This proves that the theory of QCD autom atically forbids particles with color charge, hence in plying color (gluon/quark) \con nem ent". In a way, there is nothing to con ne in terms of particles.

References

- [1] M.Bander, Phys. Rep. 75, 205 (1981).
- [2] JD. Bjorken, SD. Drell, Relativistic Quantum Fields, pp. 26-32, M cG raw-H ill, New York (1965).
- [3] N.D.Birrell, P.C.W. Davies, Quantum Fields in Curved Space, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1982).
- [4] P.C.W. Davies, \Particles do not Exist", pp. 66-77 in Quantum Theory of Gravity: Essays in honor of the 60th birthday of Bryce S. DeW itt, Ed. Steven M. Christensen, A dam Hilger, Bristol (1984).
- [5] C. Nash, S. Sen, Topology and Geometry for Physicists, pp. 194–96, A cademic Press, London (1983).