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#### Abstract

W e review recent advances in the theory of strong-interaction e ects and nal-state interactions in hadronic weak decays of heavy $m$ esons. In the heavy-quark lim it, the amplitudes for most nonleptonic, tw o-body B decays can be calculated from rst principles and expressed in term $s$ of sem ileptonic form factors and lightcone distribution amplitudes. We sum $m$ arize the $m$ ain features of this novel QCD factorization and discuss its phenom enological applications to $B!D^{()} L$ decays (w ith $L$ a light $m$ eson), and to the rare charm less decays B ! K and B !


## 1. $\mathbb{I N}$ TRODUCTION

The theoretical description of hadronic weak decays is di cult due to nonperturbative stronginteraction dynam ics. This a ects the interpretation of data collected at the B factories, and in $m$ any cases lim its our ability to uncover the origin of CP violation and search for N ew P hysics. The com plexity of the problem is illustrated in Fig.

It is well known how to control the e ects of hard ghons with virtuality betw een the electrow eak scale $M_{W}$ and the scale $m_{B}$ characteristic to the decays of interest. They can be dealt w ith by constructing a low -energy e ective weak H am iltonian
$\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}}={\underset{\mathrm{P}}{\mathrm{F}}}_{\mathrm{Z}}^{\mathrm{X}} \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{CKM}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{W}}=\right) \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{l})+\mathrm{h.c.;}$ (1)
 $m$ ents, $C_{i}\left(M_{W}=\right)$ are calculable short-distance coe cients, and $O_{i}()$ are local operators renorm alized at a scale $=O\left(\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. The challenge is to calculate the hadronic m atrix elem ents of these operators w ith controlled theoretical uncertainties, using a system atic approxim ation schem e.

Previous eld-theoretic attem pts to evaluate these $m$ atrix elem ents have em ployed dynam ical schem es such as lattice eld theory, QCD sum rules, or the hard-scattering approach. T he rst two have di culties in accounting for nalstate rescattering, which however is im portant for predicting direct $C P$ asym $m$ etries. The hard-


Figure 1. Q CD e ects in a hadronic decay.
scattering approach $m$ isses the leading soft contribution to the B ! m eson transition form factors and thus falls short of reproducing the correct $m$ agnitude of the decay am plitudes. In view of these di culties, m ost previous analyses of hadronic decays have em ployed phenom enological models such as \naive" or \generalized factorization", in which the com plicated $m$ atrix elem ents of four-quark operators in the e ective w eak H am iltonian are replaced, in an ad hoc way, by products of current $m$ atrix elem ents. C orrections to this approxim ation are accounted for by introducing a set ofphenom enologicalparam eters $a_{i}$. A di erent strategy is to classify nonleptonic decay am plitudes according to avor topologies (\trees" and \penguins"), which can be decom posed into SU (3) or isospin am plitudes. This leads to relations between decay am plitudes in the avor-symmetry lim it. No attem pt is made to com pute these am plitudes from rst principles.

## 2. QCD FACTORIZATION FORMULA

H ere we sum $m$ arize recent progress in the theoretical understanding of nonleptonic decay am plitudes in the heavy-quark lim it [1] The underlying idea is to exploit the presence of a large scale, i.e., the fact that $m_{b} \quad Q C D$. In order to disentangle the physics associated w ith these two scales, we factorize and com pute hard contributions to the decay am plitudes arising from ghons w ith virtuality of order $m_{b}$, and param $e^{-}$ terize soft and collinear contributions. C onsidering the cartoon in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1} \overline{1} 1$, we denote by $M_{1}$ the $m$ eson that absorbs the spectator quark of the $B \mathrm{~m}$ eson, and by $M_{2}$ the $m$ eson at the upper vertex, to which we refer as the \em ission particle". We nd that at leading power in $Q C D=m_{b}$ all long-distance contributions to the decay am plitudes can be factorized into sem ileptonic form factors and $m$ eson light-cone distribution am plitudes, which arem uch sim plerquantities than the nonleptonic am plitudes them selves. A graphical representation of the resulting \factorization formula" is shown in $F$ ig . derlying factorization is color transparency [3, $\overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}$ If the em ission particle is a light $m$ eson, its constituents carry large energy of order $m_{b}$ and are nearly collinear. Soft ghons coupling to this system see only its net zero color charge and hence decouple. Interactionsw ith the colordipole of the sm all qq-pair are pow er suppressed in the heavyquark lim it.

For $B$ decays into nal states containing a heavy charm $m$ eson and a light $m$ eson, the factorization form ula takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& h D^{(1)+} L \quad j O_{i} \not B_{d i} i={ }^{X} F_{j}^{B!D}{ }^{\prime \prime} f_{L} \\
& \text { j } \\
& \mathrm{Z}^{1} \\
& \operatorname{duT}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{\mathrm{I}}(\mathrm{u}) \quad \mathrm{L}(\mathrm{u})+O \frac{Q C D}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}}} \text {; }  \tag{2}\\
& 0
\end{align*}
$$

where $O_{i}$ is an operator in the e ective weak Ham iltonian ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right), F_{j}^{B!} D^{\prime \prime}$ are transition form factors (evaluated at $q^{2}=m_{L}^{2} \quad 0$ ), fi and $L$ are the decay constant and leading-tw ist lightcone distribution am plitude of the light $m$ eson, and $T_{i j}^{I}$ are process-dependent hard-scattering kemels. For decays into nal states containing


Figure 2. QCD factorization in the heavy-quark lim it. The second term is pow er suppressed for B ! D , but m ust be kept for decays w ith two light $m$ esons in the nal state, such as B ! K . C ontributions not show (such as weak annihilation graphs) are pow er suppressed.
two light $m$ esons there is a second type of contribution to the factorization form ula, which involves a hard interaction $w$ ith the spectatorquark in the $B$ eson. This is shown by the second graph in Fig. 佸. Below we focus rst on $B$ ! $D{ }^{(1)} L$ decays ( $w$ th $L$ a light $m$ eson), where this second term is power suppressed and can be neglected. D ecays into two light nal-state $m$ esons are $m$ ore com plicated [1] discussed brie y in Sect. 'It'.

The factorization formula for nonleptonic decays provides a model-independent basis for the analysis of these processes in an expansion in powers and logarithm s of $Q C D=m_{b}$. At leading power, but to all orders in $s$, the decay am plitudes assume the factorized form shown in $\overline{\bar{Z}})$. H aving such a form alism based on power counting in $Q C D=m_{b}$ is of great im portance to the theoretical description of hadronic weak decays, since it provides a well-de ned lim it of QCD in which these processes adm it a rigorous, theoretical description. (For instance, the possibility to com pute system atically $O(\mathrm{~s})$ corrections to \naive factorization", which em erges as the leading term in the heavy-quark lim it, solves the old problem of renom alization-scale and schem e dependences of nonleptonic am plitudes.) The usefulness of this new schem em ay be com pared w ith the usefulness of the heavy-quark e ective theory for the analysis of exclusive sem ileptonic decays of heavy $m$ esons, or of the heavy-quark expansion for the analysis of inclusive decay rates. In all three cases, it is the fact that hadronic uncer-
tainties can be elim inated up to pow er corrections in $Q C D=m_{b}$ that has advanced our ability to control theoretical errors.

It $m$ ust be stressed, how ever, that we are just beginning to explore the theory of nonleptonic $B$ decays. Som e im portant conceptual problem s re$m$ ain to be better understood. In the next few years it will be im portant to further develop the approach. This should include an all-orders proof of factorization at leading power, the develop$m$ ent of a form alism for dealing $w$ th pow er corrections to factorization, understanding the lightcone structure of heavy $m$ esons, and understanding the relevance (or irrelevance) ofSudakov form factors. A lso, we m ust gauge the accuracy of the approach by leaming about the $m$ agnitude of corrections to the heavy-quark lim it from extensive com parisons of theoretical predictions w ith data.

As experience with previous heavy-quark expansions has shown, this is going to be a long route. Yet, already we have obtained im portant insights. Before tuming to speci c applications, let $m$ e $m$ ention three points here:

1. Corrections to \naive factorization" (usually called \nonfactorizable e ects") are process dependent, in contrast with a basic assum ption underlying $m$ odels of \generalized factorization".
2. The physics of nonleptonic decays is both rich and com plicated. T here $m$ ay, in general, be an interplay of several sm all param eters (W ilson coe cients, CKM factors, $1=\mathrm{N}$ c, etc.) in addition to the $s m$ all param eter $Q C D=m_{b}$ relevant to QCD factorization. A lso, several not-so-w ell-known input param eters (e.g., heavy-tolight form factors and light-cone distribution am plitudes) introduce num erical uncertainties.
3. Strong rescattering phases arising from nalstate interactions are suppressed in the heavyquark lim it. M ore precisely, the im aginary parts of nonleptonic decay am plitudes are suppressed by at least one power of $s\left(m_{b}\right)$ or $Q C D=m_{b}$. At leading pow er, the phases are calculable from the im aginary parts of the hard-scattering kemels in the factorization form ula. A generic consequence is that direct $C P$ asym $m$ etries in hadronic $B$ decays are suppressed in the heavy-quark lim it, except for cases w here for som e reason the realpart of the decay am plitude is also suppressed.

## 3. APPLICATIONS TO Bd ! $\mathrm{D}^{(1)+} \mathrm{L}$ DECAYS

O ur result for the nonleptonic $B_{d}!D^{(1)+} L$ decay am plitudes ( $w$ th $L$ a light $m$ eson) can be com pactly expressed in term $s$ of the $m$ atrix ele$m$ ents of a \transition operator"
$T=\frac{G_{F}}{P_{\overline{2}}} V_{u d} V_{c b}^{h} a_{1}(D L) Q_{V} \quad a_{1}(D \quad L) Q_{A}^{i}$; (3)
where the hadronic $m$ atrix elem ents of the operators $Q_{V}=c \quad b \quad d \quad(1 \quad 5) u$ and $Q_{A}=$ c $\quad{ }_{5} \mathrm{~b} \quad \mathrm{~d} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & 5\end{array}\right) \mathrm{u}$ are understood to be evaluated in factorized form. Eq. ( tities $a_{1}\left(D^{()} L\right)$, which include the leading $\backslash$ nonfactorizable" corrections, in a renorm alizationgroup invariant way. To leading power in $Q C D=m_{b}$ these quantities should not be interpreted as phenom enological param eters (as is usually done), because they are dom inated by hard ghon exchange and thus calculable in Q CD . At next-to-leading order in swe obtain [-]

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{1}\left(D^{()} L\right)=C_{1}\left(m_{b}\right)+\frac{C_{2}\left(m_{b}\right)}{N_{c}} 1+ \\
& \quad+\frac{C_{F}{ }_{s}\left(m_{b}\right)}{4} \mathrm{Z}^{1} d u F(u ; z) \text { L }(u) ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $z=m_{c}=m_{b}, C_{i}\left(m_{b}\right)$ are the so-called \renorm alization-scheme independent" W ilson coe cients, and the upper (low er) sign in the second argum ent of the function $F(u ; z)$ refers to a D (D) m eson in the nalstate. The exact expression for this function is known but not relevant here. N ote that the coe cients $\mathrm{a}_{1}(\mathrm{D} L)$ and $\mathrm{a}_{1}$ ( D L ) are nonuniversal, i.e., they are explicitly dependent on the nature of the nal-state $m$ esons. Politzer and $W$ ise have com puted the \nonfactorizable" vertex corrections to the decay rate ratio of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! $\mathrm{D}^{+}$and $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! $\mathrm{D}^{+}$[6]. $T$ his requires the sym $m$ etric part (w ith respect to $u \$ 1 \quad u)$ of the di erence $F(u ; z) \quad F(u ; z)$. W e agree with their result.
$T$ he expressions for the decay am plitudes obtained by evaluating the hadronic matrix ele$m$ ents of the transition operator $T$ involve products of CKM matrix elem ents, light-m eson decay constants, B ! D (') transition form factors,
and the QCD param eters $a_{1}\left(D^{(1)} L\right)$. A num erical analysis shows that $\dot{\not}_{1} j=1: 055 \quad 0: 025$ for the decays considered below [2] use this as our central value.

### 3.1. Tests of factorization

A particularly clean test of our predictions is obtained by relating the $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! $\mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{L}$ decay rates to the di erential sem ileptonic $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! $D^{+} 1$ decay rate evaluated at $q^{2}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2}$. In this way the param eters $\dot{\beta}_{1} j$ jcan be $m$ easured directly, since []

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(B_{d}!D^{+} L\right)}{d\left(B_{d}!D^{+} l \quad\right)=d q^{2}{ }_{q^{2}=m_{I}^{2}}^{2}} \\
& =6^{2}{ }^{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{Vud}_{\mathrm{J}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \dot{\mathrm{a}}_{1}(\mathrm{D} \mathrm{~L}) \boldsymbol{\jmath} \text { : } \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

$W$ ith our result for $a_{1}$ this relation becom es a prediction based on rst principles of QCD.This is to be contrasted w ith the usual interpretation of this form ula, where $a_{1}$ plays the role of a phenom enologicalparam eter that is tted from data.

U sing results reported by the CLEO C ollaboration [17], we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{\beta}_{1}(\mathrm{D}) \quad j=1: 08 \quad 0: 07 \text {; } \\
& \dot{a}_{1}(\mathrm{D}) \mathrm{j}=1: 09 \quad 0: 10 \text {; } \\
& \dot{a}_{1}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(D) & \left.a_{1}\right) j=1: 08 \quad 0: 11 ; ~
\end{array}\right. \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

in good agreem ent w ith our prediction. It is reassuring that the data show no evidence for large pow er corrections to our results. H ow ever, a further im provem ent in the experim ental accuracy would be desirable in order to becom e sensitive to process-dependent, nonfactorizable e ects.

### 3.2. P redictions for class-I am plitudes

W e now consider a larger set of so-called class-I decays of the form $B_{d}$ ! $D^{(1)} L$, all of which are govemed by the transition operator ( $\mathbf{Z}_{1}$ ). In Tab. $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1}$ we com pare the QCD factorization predictions $w$ ith experim ental data. A s previously we work in the heavy-quark lim it, i.e., our predictions are $m$ odel independent up to corrections suppressed by at least one power of $Q C D=m_{b}$. $T$ here is good agreem ent betw een our predictions and the data $w$ thin experim ental errors, which how ever are still large. It w ould be desirable to reduce these errors to the percent level. (N ote that

Table 1
M odel-independent predictions for the branching ratios (in units of $10^{3} \quad\left(\dot{H}_{1}=1: 05\right)^{2}$ ) of $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! $D^{(1)} \mathrm{L}$ decays in the heavy-quark lim it.

| D ecay m ode | T heory ( H Q L) |  | P D G | [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! $\mathrm{D}^{+}$ | 3.27 | $\left.\mathbb{E}_{+}=0: 6\right\}^{2}$ | 3:0 | :4 |
| $B_{d}!D^{+} \mathrm{K}$ | $0 \cdot 25$ | $\left.\mathbb{E}_{+}=0: 6\right\}^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}!\mathrm{D}^{+}$ | 7:64 | $\left.\mathbb{E}_{+}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ | 7:9 | 1:4 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}!\mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{K}$ | 0:39 | $\left.\mathbb{E}_{+}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}!\mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | 7:76 | $\left.\mathbb{E}_{+}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ | 6:0 | 3:3 |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}$ ! D | 3:05 | $\left[A_{0}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ | 2:8 | $0 \cdot 2$ |
| $B_{d}!D^{+} \mathrm{K}$ | 0:22 | $\left[A_{0}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ |  |  |
| $B_{d}!D^{+}$ | 7:59 | $\left[A_{0}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ | 6:7 | 3:3 |
| $B_{d}!D^{+} \mathrm{K}$ | 0:40 | $\left.{ }^{( }{ }_{0}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{d}}!\mathrm{D}^{+} \mathrm{a}_{1}$ | 8:53 | $\left[A_{0}=0: 6\right]^{2}$ | 13:0 | 2:7 |

we have not attem pted to adjust the sem ileptonic form factors $F_{+}^{B!D}$ and $A_{0}^{B!D}$ entering our results so as to obtain a best $t$ to the data.)

The observation that the experim entaldata on class-I decays into heavy $\{$ light nal states show good agreem ent with our predictions $m$ ay be taken as (circum stantial) evidence that in these decays there are no unexpectedly large pow er corrections. In our recent w ork $\left.\bar{R}_{1}^{1}\right]$ w e have addressed the im portant question of pow er corrections theoretically by providing estim ates for tw o sources of power-suppressed e ects: weak annihilation and spectator interactions. A com plete account of power corrections to the heavy-quark lim it can at present not be perform ed in a system atic way, since these e ects are no longer dom inated by hard ghoon exchange. H ow ever, we believe that our estim ates are nevertheless instructive.
W e param eterize the annihilation contribution to the $B_{d}$ ! $D^{+}$decay amplitude in term $s$ of an amplitude $A$ such that $A\left(B_{d}!D^{+}\right)=$ $T+A$, where $T$ is the \tree topology", which contains the dom inant factorizable contribution. We nd that $A=T \quad 0: 04$. W e have also obtained an estim ate of nonfactorizable spectator interactions, which are part of $T$, nding that $\mathrm{T}_{\text {spec }}=\mathrm{T}_{\text {lead }} \quad 0: 03$. In both cases, the results exhibit the expected linear pow er suppression in
the heavy-quark lim it. W e conclude that the typical size of pow er corrections in class-I decays into heavy \{light nal states is at the level of $10 \%$ or less, and thus our predictions for the values and the near universality of the param eters $a_{1}$ goveming these decay $m$ odes appear robust.

## 4. QCD FACTORIZATION IN CHARMLESS HADRON IC B DECAYS

The observation of rare charm less $B$ decays into $K$ and nalstates has resulted in a large am ount of theoreticaland phenom enologicalw ork that attem pts to interpret these observations in term $s$ of the factorization approxim ation, or in term $s$ of general param eterizations of the decay am plitudes. A detailed understanding of these am plitudes w ould help us to pin dow $n$ the value of the CKM angle $=\arg \left(V_{u b}\right)$ using only data on CP -averaged branching fractions. H ere we brie y sum $m$ arize the $m$ ost im portant consequences of the QCD factorization approach for the $K$ and nal states [\$]
To leading order in an expansion in powers of QCD $=m_{b}$, the $B$ ! $K$ matrix elem ents obey the factorization form ula shown in $F$ ig. $._{1}^{-1} 1:$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{hKjO} i \nexists \mathrm{~B} & =\mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{B}!} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{I}} \quad \mathrm{~K} \\
& +\mathrm{F}_{+}^{\mathrm{B}!\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{I}} ; \mathrm{i} \\
& +\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{K}} \mathrm{f} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{II}} \quad \text { B } \quad \text { K } \quad ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where the -products imply an integration over the light-cone $m$ om entum fractions of the constituent quarks inside the m esons. O ur results are based on hard-scattering kemels including all corrections of order s . C om pared to our previous discussion of B ! decays [ $[\mathbf{1}$, the present analysis incorporates three new ingredients: the $m$ atrix elem ents ofelectrow eak penguin operators (for K m odes), hard-scattering kemels for asym $m$ etric light-cone distributions, and the com plete set of \chirally enhanced" $1=m_{b}$ corrections. T he second and third item $s$ have not been considered in other generalizations of $R$ ef. $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ ]\end{array}\right.$ to the $K$ nal states [ sential for estim ating som e of the theoretical uncertainties of the approach. For com pleteness, we note that the predictions from QCD factorization
di er in essential aspects from those obtained in the conventional hard-scattering approach [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$.

Follow ing Ref. [1] 1 , we have obtained the $\infty$ e cients $a_{i}(K)$ ( $w$ th $i=1 ;::: ; 10$ ) of the effective, factorized \transition operator" de ned analogously to the case of B ! decays, but augm ented by coe cients related to electrow eak penguin contributions. Chirally enhanced corrections arise from tw ist-3 tw o-particle light-cone distribution am plitudes, whose nom alization involves the quark condensate. T he relevant param eter, $2=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}=$ hhqqi $=\left(\mathrm{f}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$, is form ally oforder $Q C D=m_{b}$, but large num erically. T hecoe cients $\mathrm{a}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{a}_{8}$ are multiplied by this param eter. There are also additional chirally enhanced corrections to the spectator-interaction term in $\left[\overline{1}_{1}\right)$, which tum out to be the m ore im portant e ect. These latter corrections involve a logarithm ically divergent integral, which violates factorization. For instance, for $m$ atrix elem ents of V A operators the hard spectator interaction is proportionalto (u $1 \quad u, v \quad 1 \quad$ v)

$$
\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}^{1}} \frac{d u}{u} \frac{d v}{v} \text { к (u) } \quad(v)+\frac{2}{m_{b}} \frac{u}{u}
$$

0
when the spectator quark goes to the pion. (Here we used that the tw ist-3 distribution am plitudes can be taken to be the asym ptotic ones when one neglects tw ist-3 correctionsw ithout the chiral enhancem ent.) The divergence of the v-integral in the second term as $v$ ! 0 implies that it is dom inated by soft ghon exchange between the spectator quark and the quarks that form the kaon $R_{1}^{W}$ e therefore treat the divergent integral $X={ }_{0}^{1}(d v=v)$ as an unknown param eter, which $m$ ay in principle be com plex ow ing to soff rescattering in higher orders. In our num erical analysis we set $X=\ln \left(m_{B}=0: 35 \mathrm{GeV}\right)+r$, where $r$ is chosen random ly inside a circle in the com plex plane of radius 3 ( $\backslash$ realistic") or 6 (\conservative"). O ur_results also depend on the B m eson param eter $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$, which we vary betw een 0.2 and 0.5 G eV . F inally, there is in som e cases a nonnegligible dependence of the coe cients $a_{i}(K)$ on the renorm alization scale, which we vary betw een $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{b}}=2$ and $2 \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{b}}$.

W e take $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{ub}}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cb}} \mathrm{j}=0: 085$ and $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{s}}(2 \mathrm{GeV})=$


Figure 3. R atios of $C P$-averaged $B$ ! $K$ and decay rates. The scattered points cover a realistic (dark) and conservative (light) variation of input param eters. The dashed curve is the result obtained using \naive factorization".

110 M eV as xed input to our analysis, noting that ultim ately these Standard M odel param eters, along w ith the C P-violating phase , m ight be extracted from a sim ultaneous $t$ to the $B$ ! $K$ and $B$ ! decay rates. $W$ e now sum $m$ arize ourm ain results.

### 4.1. R esults on SU (3) breaking

Bounds on derived from ratios of CPaveraged B ! $K$ decay rates 12013 , as well as the determ ination of using the $m$ ethod of Ref. [14'], rely on an estim ate of SU (3) avorsym $m$ etry violations. $W$ e nd that \nonfactorizable" SU (3)-breaking e ects (i.e., e ects not accounted for by the di erent decay constants and form factors of pions and kaons in the conventional factorization approxim ation) do not exceed the level of a few peroent.

### 4.2. R atios of C P -averaged rates

T he approach discussed here allow s us to calculate the amplitudes for B! K and B! decays in term $s$ of form factors and light-cone
distribution amplitudes. W e focus on decays whose branching ratios have already been m easured. Since the relevant form factor $F_{+}^{B!}(0)$ is not well known, we consider only ratios of CP averaged branching ratios. W e display these as functions of the weak phase in Fig. $\overline{12} 1$. For com parison, we show the data on the various ratios obtained using results reported by the C LEO C ollaboration [15]. We also indicate the very recent results reported by the $\mathrm{B} a \mathrm{~B}$ ar and B elle C ollaborations at the IC HEP 2000 C onference [1]]. From the plots it is apparent that the corrections w ith respect to the conventional factorization approxim ation are signi cant (and im portant to reduce the renorm alization-scale dependence). D espite this fact, we nd that the qualitative pattem em erging for the set of $B!K$ and decay m odes is sim ilar to that in conventional factorization. In particular, the penguin \{tree interference is constructive (destructive) in B ! +
( B ! $\mathrm{K}^{+}$) decays if $<90$. Taking the currently favored range $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}60 & 20\end{array}\right)$, we nd the
follow ing robust predictions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(^{+} \quad\right)}{\mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{~K})}=0: 5\{1: 9 \quad[0: 25 \quad 0: 10] ; \\
& \frac{2 \mathrm{Br}\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}\right)}{\operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{K}^{0}\right)}=0: 9\{1: 3 \quad[1: 27 \quad 0: 47] ; \\
& \frac{B^{+}}{B^{0}} \frac{\operatorname{Br}\left(K^{0}\right)}{B r\left(K^{0}\right)}=0: 6\{1: 0 \quad[1: 00 \quad 0: 30] ; \\
& \frac{\mathrm{Br}\left(\mathrm{~K}^{2}\right)}{2 \mathrm{Br}\left({ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}^{0}\right)}=0: 9\{1: 4 \quad[0: 59 \quad 0: 27]: \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst ratio is clearly in disagreem entw ith current CLEO data [15] show $n$ in square brackets. H ow ever, there is good agreem ent with the recent results reported by B aB ar ( $0: 74 \quad 0: 29$ ) and Belle (0:36 0:26) "[1-1

The near equality of the second and fourth ratios in $(\underset{-1}{\bar{q}})$ is a consequence of isospin sym $m$ etry [1] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]$, We nd $\operatorname{Br}\left(\mathrm{B}!\quad{ }^{0} \mathrm{~K}{ }^{0}\right)=(4: 5 \quad 2: 5)$ $\left.10^{6} \quad \mathbb{E}_{+}^{B}!(0)=0: 3\right]^{2}$ alm ost independently of . This is three time smaller than the central value reported by CLEO, ( $\left.14: 6^{+5: 9+2: 4} \begin{array}{c}5: 3\end{array}\right) \quad 10^{6}$, and four tim es sm aller than the central value reported by BELLE, ( $21: 0^{+}+9: 3+2: 5$ ) $10^{6}$. It w ill be interesting to follow how the com parison betw een data and theory develops as the data becom em ore precise.
4.3. C P asym $m$ etry in B ! + decay

The stability of the prediction for the $B$ !

+ amplitude suggests that the CKM angle can be extracted from the tim e-dependent $m$ ixing-induced $C P$ asymmetry in this decay mode, without using an isospin analysis. Figure $\overline{4}$ displays the coe cient $S$ of $\sin \left(\begin{array}{ll}m & B_{d}\end{array}\right)$ in the tim e-dependent asym $m$ etry as a function of $\sin (2)$ for $\sin (2)=0: 75$. For som e values of $S$ there is a tw o-fold am biguity (assum ing allangles are between 0 and 180 ). A consistency check of the approach could be obtained, in principle, from the coe cient of the $\cos \left(\begin{array}{ll}m & B_{d}\end{array}\right.$ ) term, which is given by the direct $C P$ asym $m$ etry in this decay.


## 5. SUM MARYAND OUTLOOK

$W$ ith the recent com $m$ issioning of the $B$ factories and the planned em phasis on heavy- avor physics in future collider experim ents, the role of

$F$ igure 4. M ixing-induced CP asym $m$ etry in $B$ ! + decays. The lower band refers to values $45 \ll 135$, the upper one to $<45$ (right) or $>135$ (left). We assume ; ; 2 [0;180 ].
$B$ decays in providing fiundam ental tests of the Standard M odel and potential signatures of $N$ ew $P$ hysics $w$ ill continue to grow. In $m$ any cases the principal source of system atic uncertainty is a theoretical one, nam ely our inability to quantify the nonperturbative QCD e ects present in these decays. This is true, in particular, for al$m$ ost all $m$ easurem ents of direct CP violation. O ur work provides a rigorous fram ew ork for the evaluation of strong-interaction e ects for a large class of exclusive, tw o-body nonlepton ic decays of B m esons. It gives a well-founded eld-theoretic basis for phenom enological studies of exchusive hadronic B decays and a form al justi cation for the ideas of factorization.

W e believe that the factorization form ula (V) and its generalization to decays into two light $m$ esons $w$ ill form a usefiul basis for future phenom enological studies of nonleptonic B decays. W e stress, how ever, that a considerable am ount of conceptual work rem ains to be com pleted. Theoretical investigations along the lines discussed here should be pursued with vigor. W e are con dent that, ultim ately, this research will result in a theory of nonleptonic $B$ decays.
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