N on-invariant two-loop counterterm s for the three-gauge-boson vertices

PA.Grassf^a, T.Hurth^b, and M.Steinhauser^c

(a) Physics Department, New York University,

4 W ashington P lace, New York, NY 10003, USA.

(b) Theory Division, CERN, CH-1211 Geneva 23, Switzerland.

(c) II. Institut fur Theoretische Physik, Universitat Hamburg, Luruper Chaussee 149, 22761 Hamburg, Germany.

Abstract

Some practical applications of algebraic renorm alization are discussed. In particular we consider the two-loop QCD corrections to the three-gauge-boson vertices involving photons, Z and W bosons. For this purpose also the corresponding two-point functions have to be discussed. A recently developed procedure is used to analyze the breaking term s of the functional identities and explicit form ulae for the universal counterterm s are provided. Special attention is devoted to the treatment of infra-red divergences.

1 Introduction

The in pressive experimental precision mainly reached at the electron {positron colliders LEP and SLC and at the proton anti{proton collider Tevatron has made it mandatory to evaluate higher order quantum corrections. The dominant contributions arise from perturbative calculations in the Standard M odel (SM) of elementary particle physics and some of its extensions. As the momentum integrals occurring within the usual evaluation of quantum corrections are divergent, a regularization accompanied by a renormalization prescription is adopted. Due to chiral couplings involving 5, no invariant regularization scheme is known for the Standard M odel | leaving aside the lattice regularization with the G insparg-W ilson version of chiral symmetry [1]. The practicality of the latter scheme for higher-loop calculations has to be explored.

It is well known that in the framework of dimensional regularization only the noninvariant 't Hooff-Veltm an scheme for $_5$ is shown to be consistent to all orders [2, 3]. The naive dimensional scheme (NDR) leads to inconsistencies in connection to $_5$ and the higher order calculations within the SM have already reached a point where these inconsistencies cannot be avoided. In [4] it was emphasized that the NDR scheme can still be used in m any speci c calculations and also a practical modi cation of the NDR scheme was proposed. In this paper we want to advertise an e cient consistent calculation using a non-invariant regularization scheme. This has the consequence that in general the functional identities like the W ard-Takahashi (W T I) and the Slavnov-Taylor identities (ST I) are violated by local breaking terms. However, the concept of algebraic renorm alization provides a powerful tool to x the identities and remove the breaking terms (see, e.g., [5]).

In a recent paper, algebraic renorm alization has been considered with regard to practical applications [6]. A procedure has been suggested and worked out, which allows an e cient determ ination of the breaking terms. A ctually the computation can be reduced to the evaluation of universal, i.e. regularization-scheme-independent, counterterms.

In this letter we want to apply the method to the three-gauge-boson vertices involving two W bosons and a photon (AWW) or Z boson (ZWW), respectively. They constitute a building block to the important W pair production process in e⁺ e annihilation, which plays a crucial role at LEP2. Furtherm ore we consider the vertex functions involving three neutral gauge bosons, which we will denote by ZAA, AZZ and ZZZ. Note that AAA vanishes because of Fury's theorem . A lso in the context of anom alous couplings the precise study of the three-gauge-boson vertices is of importance.

In [7] the one-loop diagram s contributing to $e^+e ! W^+W$ have been computed in the fram ework of dimensional regularization. However, proceeding to higher orders, a consistent treatment of $_5$ becomes mandatory and the popular, naive dimensional regularization has to be given up. The method of algebraic renormalization provides the possibility to adopt any convenient regularization | it only has to be consistent.

Our aim is to focus on two-bop QCD corrections, which has the consequence that at the one-bop order only the ferm ionic contributions have to be considered. Furtherm ore we decided to work in the fram ework of the background eld gauge, which has the advantage that only W T Is with external background elds (and no ST Is) have to be considered at the highest order. They have the same structure to any order in perturbation theory.

Let us in the following brie y review the main steps elaborated in [6] to remove the breaking terms. The use of a non-invariant regularization scheme induces breaking terms into the ST Is

$$[S ()]^{(n)} = h^{n} \frac{(n)}{s} + O(h^{n+1});$$
(1)

which in plement the Beachi{Rouet{Stora{Tyutin (BRST) symmetry [3], and into the WTIs

$$W_{()} = h^{n} {}^{(n)}_{W} () + O(h^{n+1});$$
(2)

which implement the background gauge invariance of the SM. The local breaking terms are denoted by $_{\rm S}^{(n)}$ and $_{\rm W}^{(n)}$ (). Note that the locality is a consequence of the Quantum Action Principle (QAP) [9]. Here and in the following $^{(n)}$ denotes the n-loop order, regularized and (m inim ally) subtracted, one-particle-irreducible (1PI) function. Note that the ST Is and the W T Is are not able to x the Green functions completely. Indeed it is possible to add invariant local terms to the action, changing the norm alization conditions of the functions. A complete analysis of the norm alization conditions for the SM can be found, for instance, in [10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

The application of a Taylor subtraction of the form $(1 \ T)$ on Eqs. (1) and (2) transform s them into

$${}^{h}S {}^{(n)} = h^{n} {}^{(n)}S {}^{(n)} = h^{n} {}^{(n)}S {}^{(n)} = h^{n} {}^{(n)}W {}^{(n)} = h^{n} {}^{(n)}W {}^{(n)} + O {}^{(n^{n+1})};$$
(3)

where $^{(n)} = (1 \ T^{\circ})^{(n)}$. A precise de nition of T and T $^{\circ}$ can be found in to [6]. We only want to mention that has to be chosen in such a way that $(1 \ T)^{(n)}_{S=W} = 0$ and $^{\circ}$ corresponds to the power counting degree of the G reen functions $^{(n)}$. The new breaking terms $^{(n)}_{S}$ and $^{(n)}_{W}()$ are due to over-subtractions and can be expressed in term s of a linear combination of ultra-violet (UV) nite G reen functions and, thus, are independent of the regularization scheme [6]. Here we assumed that up to the $(n \ 1)$ -loop order the G reen functions are already renorm alized correctly. The main di erence between $^{(n)}_{S}$ and $^{(n)}_{W}()$ is due to the linearity of the corresponding operators S and W $_{()}$, respectively. In the form er case one has to consider non-linear term s arising from lower-loop orders. On the contrary in the latter the linearity of the W T I sim pli es the evaluation of the breaking term s and counterterm s enorm ously. Finally we introduce

$$I^{(n)} = {}^{(n)} + {}^{(n)} = (1 T^{(0)}) {}^{(n)} + {}^{(n)};$$
(4)

where the counterterm ⁽ⁿ⁾ is chosen in such a way that the following identities are fulled:

$$[S (I)]^{(n)} = 0; \quad W_{()} I^{(n)} = 0:$$
(5)

In general it is quite simple to compute the total counterterm $(T^{(n)} + (n))$, as it can be expressed in terms of G reen functions expanded around zero externalm on enta.

As already mentioned above, there is still the freedom to add invariant counterterms, $_{\rm N}^{(n)}$, to I $^{(n)}$ in Eq. (4). In other words, we have the freedom to impose normalization conditions, which lead, in addition to Eqs. (5), to the equations

$$N_{i} I^{(n)} = 0; (6)$$

where the index i runs over all independent parameters of the SM . As the G reen function ⁽ⁿ⁾ also has to full lthis condition, we have for the counterterm

$$N_{i} T^{(n)} + {}^{(n)} + {}^{(n)}_{N} = 0;$$
 (7)

which is a local equation. This means that, whenever the e ort to impose the normalization conditions is made, the changes due to the subtraction are only local changes, which can be easily compensated. Explicit examples will be discussed at the end of Section 2. Notice that the imposition of normalization conditions is a very important ingredient of the computation in order to compare with other schemes and in order to simplify the breaking terms them selves.

The procedure described so far is based on the Taylor operator T . In the presence of m assless particles, this m ay introduce IR divergences. In the examples discussed in this paper, eventual IR problems are encountered in interm ediate steps after neglecting one of the quark m asses, a well-justi ed approximation in the case of the top {bottom doublet. Note that this kind of IR divergences should not be confused with those arising in connection with on-shell conditions of internal particle propagators. The appropriate m ethods for dealing with IR divergences are introduced in Section 6.

A lthough we are mainly interested in the three-point functions, also some two-point functions with external (background) gauge bosons have to be treated properly in order to be able to renorm alize the am plitudes correctly. They will be discussed in Section 4.

In Section 2 the one-loop sub-diagram s occurring in the two-loop calculation are analyzed. In Section 3 the vertices involving only neutral gauge bosons are considered and, after introducing the two-point functions, we are ready to discuss the cases AW W and ZWW in Section 5.

2 One-loop G reen functions

This section is devoted to the one-loop sub-diagram s induced by QCD, which are needed for the renorm alization.

In the case of neutral gauge bosons one has to take into account the two-and three-point G reen functions¹ $\stackrel{(1)}{qq}$ (p) and $\stackrel{(1)}{_{A^{\circ}qq}}$ (p;q) and the corresponding vertices where the photon is replaced by the Z boson. For q we have q 2 fu;dg, where u and d refer to a generic quark doublet. A fler the analysis of the W T Is, also the vertices with the neutral G oldstone

¹ All m om enta are considered as incom ing. In the G reen functions $_{1}$... $_{n}$ they are assigned to the corresponding elds starting from the right. The mom entum of the most left eld is determined via mom entum conservation.

boson, \hat{G}^0 , \hat{G}^0_{uu} (p;q) and \hat{G}^0_{dd} (p;q), turn out to be relevant. For the amplitudes AW W and ZW W the vertices $\hat{W}^{(1)}_{w^+ud}$ (p;q) and \hat{G}^+_{ud} (p;q) are needed in addition. As we work in the fram ework of the BFM, no G reen functions with external scalar or gauge elds have to be considered, and we are left with only three W T Is:

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(1)}{_{A}^{\circ} qq}(p;q) + ieQ_{q} \stackrel{h}{_{qq}^{\circ}(q)}(q) \stackrel{(1)}{_{qq}^{\circ}(q)}(p)^{i} = \stackrel{(1)}{_{W}^{\circ}_{A} qq}(p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(1)}{_{Z}^{\circ} qq}(p;q) \stackrel{M}{_{Z}} \stackrel{(1)}{_{G}^{\circ} qq}(p;q)$$

$$ie (v_{q} a_{q} 5) \stackrel{(1)}{_{qq}^{\circ}(q)}(q) \stackrel{(1)}{_{qq}^{\circ}(q)}(p)(v_{q} + a_{q} 5)^{i} = \stackrel{(1)}{_{W}^{\circ}_{Z}} q_{q}(p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(1)}{_{W}^{\circ}^{\circ} ud}(p;q) + iM_{W} \stackrel{(1)}{_{G}^{\circ}^{\circ} ud}(p;q)$$

$$+ \frac{ie}{s_{W}} \frac{h}{2} \stackrel{(1)}{_{uu^{\circ}}}(p)V_{u^{\circ}d}P_{L} \quad V_{ud^{\circ}}P_{R} \stackrel{(1)}{_{d^{\circ}d}^{\circ}(q)}(q)^{i} = \stackrel{(1)}{_{W}^{\circ}_{Z} + ud}(p;q): (8)$$

Here and in the following we de ne the W einberg angle through $c_W = M_W = M_Z$ as we want to maintain the form of the W T Is to be the same to allorders. The couplings of the fermions to the Z boson are given by $v_q = (I_q^3 \quad 2s_W^2 Q_q) = (2c_W s_W)$ and $a_q = I_q^3 = (2c_W s_W)$, where I_q^3 and Q_q are the third generator of SU_W (2) and the electric charge of the q quark, respectively. The equation for W_i , d_u has been om itted as it can easily be obtained from the last one in (8). V_{qq^0} are the CKM matrix elements where the summation over the primed quantities is understood and $P_{L=R} = (1 \quad _5)=2$ are the chiral projectors.

In order to rem ove the breaking term swe apply the Taylor operator $(1 T_{pq}^1)$ as the 's have m ass dimension one. This leads to

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{A}{}_{qq}}(p;q) + ieQ_{q} \stackrel{h}{\stackrel{(1)}{}_{qq}}(q) \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{qq}{}_{qq}}(p)^{i} = 0;$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{Z}{}_{qq}}(p;q) \qquad M_{Z} \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{G^{0}}{}_{qq}}(p;q)$$

$$ie (v_{q} a_{q} 5) \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{qq}{}_{qq}}(q) \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{qq}{}_{qq}}(p) (v_{q} + a_{q} 5)^{i} = \stackrel{(1)}{W};_{Z} qq}(p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{W^{+}}{}_{ud}}(p;q) + iM_{W} \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{G^{+}}{}_{ud}}(p;q)$$

$$+ \frac{ie}{s_{W}} \frac{h}{2} \stackrel{h}{}_{uu^{0}}(p) V_{u^{0}d}P_{L} \qquad V_{ud^{0}}P_{R} \stackrel{(1)}{\stackrel{d^{0}}{}_{d^{0}d}}(q)^{i} = \stackrel{(1)}{W};_{ud}(p;q): (9)$$

The 's, which occur by over-subtraction, are nite and read:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{(1)}_{W;z qq}(\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}) &= & M_{Z}(\mathbf{p} \ \mathbf{\theta}_{p} + \mathbf{q} \ \mathbf{\theta}_{q} \) & {}^{(1)}_{G^{0}qq}(\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}) \\ &= & i \frac{s}{4} C_{F} \frac{\mathbf{e}}{\mathbf{s}_{W} \mathbf{c}_{W}} \mathbf{I}_{q}^{3} & \frac{1}{2} & 2 & (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q}) \ _{5}; \\ {}^{(1)}_{W; + ud}(\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}) &= & i M_{W}(\mathbf{p} \ \mathbf{\theta}_{p} + \mathbf{q} \ \mathbf{\theta}_{q} \) & {}^{(1)}_{G^{+}ud}(\mathbf{p};\mathbf{q}) \\ &= & i \frac{s}{4} C_{F} \frac{\mathbf{e}V_{ud}}{2^{P} \overline{2} \mathbf{s}_{W}} & 1 + \frac{1}{2} & (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q})P_{L} \end{array}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m_{u}^{2}} \frac{1}{m_{d}^{2}} \frac{\ln \frac{m_{u}^{2}}{m_{d}^{2}}}{m_{u}^{2} m_{d}^{2}} m_{u}^{2} \phi + m_{d}^{2} \phi P_{L} 2m_{u}m_{d} (\phi + \phi) P_{R} \frac{7}{5}; (10)$$

where the gauge parameter is de ned through the gluon propagator $D_g(q) = i(g + qq = q^2) = (q^2 + i)$ and $C_F = 4=3$ is the colour factor. Owing to the linear momentum dependence of $W_{i,z}^{(1)}(p;q)$ and $W_{i,ud}^{(1)}(p;q)$, their contribution can be absorbed by the counterterms

for three point functions and

for quark self-energies. The coe cients $2_{q}^{(1)}$ and $q^{(1)}$ have to be tuned for the two-point function

$$I_{qq}^{(1)}(p) = {}_{qq}^{(1)}(p) {}^{h}T_{p}^{1}{}_{qq}^{(1)}(p) {}^{L} + {}_{qq}^{(1),N}(p); \qquad (13)$$

to restore the WTI (9) and to satisfy the speci c normalization conditions. In the case of the on-shell scheme, the condition $I_{qq}^{(1)}(p) = 0$, for instance, where the real part of p corresponds to the physical quark mass, would $x_{q}^{(1)}$.

From the explicit results in Eq. (10) one can see that the vector coe cient of $\frac{(1)M}{2}$ has to be zero. Concerning the axial-vector part, there are in principle two structures. However, since only the combination ($\mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{g}$) appears in Eq. (10) for $\binom{(1)}{W}_{; z qq}$ ($\mathfrak{p}; \mathfrak{q}$), $\binom{(1)}{A qq}$ is su cient to remove the breaking term and we thus have

Similarly we get in the case of ${}^{(1),W}_{\hat{W}^+ ud}$ (p;q)

The free parameter $\frac{(1)}{2\pi i}$ can be xed by a normalization condition on the two-point function residue.

F inally we can write down the symmetric one-bop G reen functions for the neutral and charged current vertices:

$$I_{\hat{A}}^{(1)} q_{q}(p;q) = {}^{(1)}_{\hat{A} qq}(p;q) \qquad T_{pq}^{0} {}^{(1)}_{\hat{A} qq}(p;q) \qquad eQ_{q} {}^{(1)}_{2q}; ;$$

$$I_{\hat{Z}}^{(1)} q_{q}(p;q) = {}^{(1)}_{\hat{Z} qq}(p;q) \qquad T_{pq}^{0} {}^{(1)}_{\hat{Z} qq}(p;q) \qquad {}^{(1)}_{A qq} {}^{5};$$

$$+ e^{{}^{(1)}}_{2m} V_{q} a_{q} {}^{5};$$

$$I_{\hat{G}}^{(0)} q_{q}(p;q) = {}^{(1)}_{\hat{G}}^{(0)} q_{q}(p;q) \qquad T_{pq}^{0} {}^{(1)}_{\hat{G}}^{(0)} q_{q}(p;q) \qquad {}^{(1)}_{\underline{X}} {}^{(1)}_{q} {}^$$

In this speci c sector only the counterterm s $_{u}^{(1)}$; $_{d}^{(1)}$ and $_{2d}^{(1)}$ can be tuned to imposed suitable norm alization conditions, the others are indeed necessary to restore the W T I (9).

U sing the notation of the introduction, Eqs. (16) can be expressed in the following compact form

$$I^{(n)} = {}^{(n)} + {}^{(n)}_{h} + {}^{(n)}_{W} + {}^{(n)}_{N} + {}^{(n)}_{N} + {}^{(n)}_{N} + {}^{(n)}_{N} + {}^{(n)}_{N} + {}^{(n)}_{hare} + {}^{(n)}_{W} + {}^{(n)}_{W} + {}^{(n)}_{N} ;$$
(17)

In the third line we have introduced the bare G reen function $\binom{(n)}{bare}$. This quantity is defined by $\binom{(n)}{bare} = \binom{(n)}{UV}$, where $\binom{(n)}{UV}$ denotes the necessary UV counterterms computed in the specified regularization. Clearly, the complete one-loop counterterms, namely I $\binom{(n)}{bare}$, have to be taken into account at the two-loop level.

3 Neutral-gauge-boson vertices

3.1 The AZZ case

The vertex involving a photon and two Z bosons is used to demonstrate the main features of our technique. This example clari es also the issue of anomaly cancellation in our form alism. In principle there is also the vertex ZAA. However, it is very similar to AZZ. Thus we will not present explicit results for ZAA as the corresponding equations are simply obtained by replacing one of the Z bosons by a photon.

A swe are dealing with external background elds, W T Is can be used to x the counterterm s for these amplitudes. In order to derive the complete set of identities, one of the gauge elds has to be replaced by the in nitesim al parameter of the background gauge transform ations and then the derivatives of the functional W T I have to be performed (cf. R ef. [6]). This leads to six identities, which naturally split into two sets depending on whether the index of the photon or the Z boson is contracted with the external momentum. W e get the following closed (under renorm alization) set of equations

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{2}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q); = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q);$$

$$ip \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{2}}} (p;q) \stackrel{M}{_{Z}} \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q);$$

$$ip \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{2}}} (p;q) \stackrel{M}{_{Z}} \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{\Lambda}{_{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{W}{_{3}}} (p;q);$$

$$(18)$$

There are in principle three more equations where the contraction is performed with q. However, they contain no new information.

The breaking terms in Eqs. (18) have mass dimension two. Thus we have to apply the operator $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & T_{p,q}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ in order to remove them. This leads to

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{\wedge(n)}{\stackrel{}{\Lambda} \hat{z} \hat{z}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{\stackrel{}{W}; {}_{\Lambda} \hat{z} \hat{z}} (p;q) = 0; \quad (19)$$

$$ip \stackrel{(n)}{}_{\hat{A} \hat{Z} \hat{Z}} (p;q) \qquad M_{Z} \stackrel{(n)}{}_{\hat{A} \hat{G}^{0} \hat{Z}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{}_{W;\hat{A} _{Z} \hat{Z}} (p;q); \qquad (20)$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{\wedge (n)}{\widehat{A} \ \widehat{G}^{0} \widehat{Z}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{W; \ _{A} \ \widehat{G}^{0} \widehat{Z}} (p;q) = 0; \qquad (21)$$

$$\lim_{\hat{A} \to \hat{Z} \to \hat{G}^{0}} (p;q) \quad M_{Z} \xrightarrow{(n)}_{\hat{A} \to \hat{G}^{0} \to \hat{G}^{0}} (p;q) = (n) \\ W_{X} \xrightarrow{\hat{A}}_{Z} \xrightarrow{\hat{G}^{0}} (p;q) = 0;$$
 (22)

$$i(p+q) ^{(n)}_{A \ G^{\circ}G^{\circ}} (p;q) = {}^{(n)}_{W \ ; \ A \ G^{\circ}G^{\circ}} (p;q) = 0; \qquad (23)$$

where only $\binom{(n)}{W;\hat{A}_z\hat{Z}}$ (p;q) is non-vanishing:

$$\overset{(n)}{\underset{W,\hat{A}_{z,\hat{z}}}{\overset{(n)}{\xrightarrow{}}}} (p;q) = \frac{M_{z}}{2} p p \theta_{p} \theta_{p} + 2p q \theta_{p} \theta_{q} + q q \theta_{q} \theta_{q} \overset{(n)}{\underset{A,\hat{G}_{y}}{\overset{(n)}{\xrightarrow{}}}} (p;q) :$$

$$\overset{(n)}{\underset{P=q=0}{\overset{(24)}{\xrightarrow{}}}} (24)$$

The other breaking terms are zero because of Q ED -like W T Is for the external background photon (Eqs. (19), (21) and (23)) and Lorentz invariance (Eq. (22)), respectively.

In order to rem ove $\binom{(n)}{W,\hat{A}_z\hat{z}}$ (p;q), a counterterm, $\binom{(n)}{\hat{A}_z\hat{z}\hat{z}}$, has to be introduced for the G reen functions $\widehat{}^{(n)}_{\hat{A}_z\hat{z}\hat{z}}$ (p;q). Notice, however, that this G reen function also appears in Eq. (19). In order not to spoil Eq. (19), $\binom{(n)}{\hat{A}_z\hat{z}\hat{z}}$ has to be longitudinal w.r.t. the photon

index . On the other hand, if we contract Eq. (20) by (p + q) and use Eqs. (19) and (21), we obtain

$$(p+q) = {}^{(n)}_{W;\hat{A}_{z},\hat{Z}} (p;q) = 0:$$
 (25)

This implies that the breaking term $\binom{(n)}{w,\hat{A}_z,\hat{z}}$ (p;q) should be transversal w.r.t. the photon index . Thus, combining the two arguments, we deduce that the breaking term itself has to be zero.

W e have checked this prediction by explicit calculations at the one- and two-loop levels. At one-loop order, the contribution from one ferm ion species gives

$$(1) _{W;\hat{A}_{z}\hat{Z}} (p;q) = i - \frac{4e}{4} - \frac{4e}{s_{W} c_{W}} I_{3}^{q} Q_{q} v_{q} p q ;$$
 (26)

with $^{0123} = 1$. The only reminder on the ferm ion type is the third component of the isospin, the charge and the coupling to the Z boson. Thus, after sum ming over a complete family of quarks and leptons one gets zero. This is the same mechanism which leads to the cancellation of the Adler{Bardeen anomaly in the SM [15]. At two loops already the sum over all contributing diagrams of one quark avour is zero as we checked by an explicit calculation.

This example provides a nice demonstration of the power of our technique. Regardless of the regularization adopted to compute the G reen functions ⁽ⁿ⁾, the zero-m om entum subtraction xes automatically the non-invariant counterterms needed to restore the symmetries. In particular, we found that besides one-bop counterterms (which were discussed in Section 2) no other counterterm is necessary to de ne the properly renormalized amplitudes. Finally, the direct computation of breaking term $\binom{(n)}{W;A}_{z} \binom{(n)}{z}$ (p;q) at one- and two-bop level (n = 1;2) shows how the anomaly coe cient can be computed and the Adler{Bardeen non-renormalization theorem can be veried in the present framework.

3.2 The ZZZ case

A loo in the ZZZ case there is no tree-level contribution, which makes it similar to the previous case. Here the closed system of WTIs looks as follows

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{Z}} \stackrel{f}{_{\hat{Z}} \stackrel{f}{_{\hat{Z}}}} (p;q) \qquad M_{Z} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{Z} \stackrel{f}{_{\hat{Z}}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{_{W; z} \stackrel{f}{_{\hat{Z}} \stackrel{f}{_{\hat{Z}}}} (p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{Z}} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}} \stackrel{(p;q)}{_{\hat{Z}}} M_{Z} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{Z}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{_{W; z} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{Z}}} (p;q);$$

$$i(p+q) \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{Z}} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}} \stackrel{(p;q)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{_{W; z} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}}} (p;q) = \stackrel{(n)}{_{W; z} \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}^{\circ}\hat{G}}} (p;q);$$

$$(27)$$

Taylor subtraction, by application of the operator $(1 T_{p,q}^2)$, partially eliminates the breaking term s and leads to

$$\overset{(n)}{\underset{W}{;}_{z}\hat{z},\hat{z}} (p;q) = \frac{M_{z}}{2} pp (\theta_{p} (\theta_{p} + 2pq (\theta_{p} (\theta_{q} + qq (\theta_{q} (\theta_{q}))))) (p;q)) (p;q) = q (p;q)$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} {}^{(n)}_{W;z\dot{G}^{0}\dot{C}^{0}}(p;q) &= & 0; \\ {}^{(n)}_{W;z\dot{G}^{0}\dot{G}^{0}}(p;q) &= & \frac{M_{z}}{2}^{h} p p \, \varrho_{p} \, \varrho_{p} + 2p q \, \varrho_{p} \, \varrho_{q} + q q \, \varrho_{q} \, \varrho_{q} \, \begin{pmatrix} \wedge (n) \\ G^{0}\dot{G}^{0}\dot{G}^{0} & p;q \end{pmatrix}_{p=q=0}^{i} ; \\ (28) \end{array}$$

Owing to CP invariance and the fact that we consider only QCD corrections, it turns out that both $\binom{(n)}{W; z} \stackrel{(z)}{z} \stackrel{(p;q)}{z}$ (p;q) and $\binom{(n)}{W; z} \stackrel{(c)}{c} \stackrel{(o)}{c} \stackrel{(p;q)}{z}$ are zero at the one- and two-loop order, i.e. for n = 1;2. This has been checked by explicit one- and two-loop calculations. A ctually $\binom{(n)}{W; z} \stackrel{(z)}{z} \stackrel{(z)}{z}$ (p;q) shows the same behaviour as the corresponding contribution in the AZZ case: for n = 1 the breaking term vanishes after sum ming over a whole family and at two-loop order the contribution from each ferm ion species gives separately zero.

At higher orders, CP violation induced by the CKM quark mixing matrix might render $\stackrel{(n)}{W; z \hat{z} \hat{z}}$ (p;q) and $\stackrel{(n)}{W; z \hat{G}^{0}\hat{G}^{0}}$ (p;q) di erent from zero. The corresponding counterterm for $\stackrel{(n)}{\hat{z}} \hat{z} \hat{z} \hat{z}$, which has to be introduced in order to remove $\stackrel{(n)}{W; z \hat{z} \hat{z}}$ (p;q), would read

where the coe cient $\frac{(n)}{2\hat{z}\hat{z}\hat{z}}$ is determined in terms of the breaking terms (28). Notice that, in the present case, there is no condition like Eq. (25) and thus, unlike the AZZ case, in general there are counterterms of the form (29).

4 Ferm ionic contribution to the two-point functions

A proper renorm alization of the two-point functions is needed in order to be able to correctly renorm alize the three-point G reen functions AW W and ZW W . Moreover, most of the norm alization conditions are expressed in terms of two-point functions. In this section we mainly concentrate on the results needed in the forthcom ing parts of the paper, while details can be found in Ref. [6].

Applying our prescriptions to the two-point G reen functions with external background elds shows that only the self-energies of the (background) W and Z bosons are a ected by breaking term s. The corresponding symmetrical G reen functions read

$$I_{\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}^{+}}^{(n)}(p) = {}_{\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}^{+}}^{(n)}(p) \qquad T_{p}^{2} {}_{\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}^{+}}^{(n)}(p) + {}_{\hat{W}^{+}_{j1}}^{(n)}p^{2}g + {}_{\hat{W}^{+}_{j2}}^{(n)}pp + {}_{M_{w}}^{(n)}g ;$$

$$I_{\hat{Z}^{+}\hat{Z}^{+}}^{(n)}(p) = {}_{\hat{Z}^{+}\hat{Z}^{+}}^{(n)}(p) \qquad T_{p}^{2} {}_{\hat{Z}^{+}\hat{Z}^{+}}^{(n)}(p) + {}_{\hat{Z}^{+}_{j1}}^{(n)}p^{2}g + {}_{\hat{Z}^{+}_{j2}}^{(n)}pp + {}_{M_{z}}^{(n)}g ; \qquad (30)$$

where the functions $_{\hat{v},i}^{(n)}$ (V = W ;Z; i= 1;2) are obtained from the following expressions

Equation (31) only xes the sum of the coe cients ${}^{(n)}_{\hat{\gamma};1}$ and ${}^{(n)}_{\hat{\gamma};2}$. Note, however, that one still has the freedom of in plementing suitable renormalization conditions for the twopoint functions. For example, one can decide to renormalize the W - or the Z-background two-point functions on-shell. This xes the di erence of ${}^{(i)}_{\hat{\gamma};1}$ and ${}^{(i)}_{\hat{\gamma};2}$. However, as is well known [16, 17, 13], the wave function renormalization of the background elds is related to the coupling constant renormalization and, therefore, the W T Is for three-point functions, discussed in the next section, provide a proper renormalization of the di erence.

The coe cients $\binom{(n)}{M_v}$ (V = W =Z) are xed via the norm alization conditions. In the case of the on-shell scheme [10, 18], where the pole mass² enters as a parameter, they would look as follows (i = 1;2)

$$det_{e}^{B} \begin{bmatrix} I_{\hat{w}^{1}\hat{v}^{1}}^{(n),T} & (p) = 0 & w \text{ ith } Re(p)^{2} = M_{w}^{2} \\ I_{\hat{z}\hat{z}}^{(n),T} & (p) & I_{\hat{z}\hat{A}}^{(n),T} & (p) \\ I_{\hat{z}\hat{A}}^{(n),T} & (p) & I_{\hat{z}\hat{A}}^{(n),T} & (p) \\ I_{\hat{A}\hat{Z}}^{(n),T} & (p) & I_{\hat{A}\hat{A}}^{(n),T} & (p) \end{bmatrix}$$
(32)

where the superscript T m arks the transversal parts. In this fram ework M $_W^2$ and M $_Z^2$ are the physical m asses, which also serve as input parameters.

Besides mass renormalizations, we have to take into account the renormalization of the photon self-energy and its mixing with the Z bosons. The structure of counterterms for the mixed two-point functions and for the photon two-point function can be found in the literature [12, 14, 13]. We can impose the following normalization conditions

$$I_{\hat{A}\hat{A}}^{(n),T}(0) = 0; \quad I_{\hat{A}\hat{Z}}^{(n),T}(0) = 0:$$
(33)

At the end of this section we want to note that at one- and two-bop order the G reen functions ${}^{(n)}_{\hat{w}^+\hat{w}}$ (p) and ${}^{(n)}_{\hat{z}\hat{z}\hat{z}}$ (p) in Eq. (30) could be chosen to be already symmetric, since, in the case of the two-point functions, there exists an electively invariant regularization. It can be shown that in this case the naive prescription of 5 accompanied with dimensional regularization leads to the correct answer (see, e.g., [19]). The symmetry is destroyed by Taylor subtraction and again restored by the counterterm swhich means that the quantities in (30) can be written as

$$I_{\hat{v}_{1}\hat{v}_{2}}(p) = (1 \quad T_{p}^{2})I_{\hat{v}_{1}\hat{v}_{2}}(p) + \hat{v}_{1}\hat{v}_{2} :$$
(34)

Thus, in this case the method of algebraic renormalization is not needed. However, the calculation of the counterterm s $_{\hat{V}_1\hat{V}_2}$ in Eq. (34) is necessary as the renormalization of the three-point functions with the help of Taylor subtraction \mid which we present in the next section \mid depends on these counterterm s.

5 Charged gauge boson vertices

 $^{^{2}}$ As was proven in [13] this is equivalent to the pole renormalization for the quantum gauge bosons.

5.1 The case AW W

The analysis of the vertex AW W turns out to be the most important for phenom enological studies, and it entails several interesting features. In particular, in contrast to the previous examples, AZZ and ZZZ, the W T Is for the AW W amplitudes appear more cumbersom e because of the presence of two-point functions. In the conventional algebraic renorm alization m ethods this is very cumbersom e, as all G reen functions appearing in the W T Is have to be computed | offen for o -shellm om enta. W e will see that in our approach only a few G reen functions rem ain which have to be evaluated with zero external m om entum.

In order to obtain a closed set of identities we have to dimensionate w.r.t. ${}_{A}\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}$ and $\hat{A}_{+}\hat{W}$. The rst option leads to

$$i(p_{+} + p) \stackrel{(n)}{_{A}^{\circ} w^{+} w^{\circ}} (p_{+}; p)$$

$$ie I \stackrel{(n)}{_{W}^{\circ} + w^{\circ}} (p) I \stackrel{(n)}{_{W}^{\circ} + w^{\circ}} (p_{+}) = \stackrel{(p_{+})}{_{W}^{\circ} _{A} w^{\circ} + w^{\circ}} (p_{+}; p);$$

$$i(p_{+} + p) \stackrel{(n)}{_{A}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p_{+}; p)$$

$$ie I \stackrel{(n)}{_{G}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p) I \stackrel{(n)}{_{G}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p_{+}) = \stackrel{(p_{+})}{_{W}^{\circ} _{A} _{G}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p_{+}; p);$$

$$i(p_{+} + p) \stackrel{(n)}{_{A}^{\circ} _{W}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p_{+}; p)$$

$$ie I \stackrel{(n)}{_{W}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p) I \stackrel{(n)}{_{W}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p_{+}) = \stackrel{(p_{+})}{_{W}^{\circ} _{A} _{G}^{\circ} _{G}^{\circ}} (p_{+}; p); (35)$$

In analogy we obtain, from the functional di erentiation w.r.t. $\hat{A}_{+} \hat{W}$:

$$ip_{+} \stackrel{(n)}{A^{'} W^{+} W^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-}) + iM_{W} \stackrel{(n)}{A^{'} G^{+} W^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-}) + iM_{W} \stackrel{(n)}{A^{'} G^{+} W^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-}) = \stackrel{(q_{+})}{W_{*} A_{-+} W^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-});$$

$$ip_{+} \stackrel{(n)}{A^{'} W^{+} G^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-}) + iM_{W} \stackrel{(n)}{A^{'} G^{+} G^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-}) + e iI \stackrel{(n)}{W^{+} G^{'}} (p_{-}) + iM_{A^{'} G^{+} G^{'}} (p_{+-} p_{-}) - iM_{A^{'} G^{+} G^{'}} (p_{+-} p_{-}) = \stackrel{(q_{+})}{iM_{*} A_{-+} G^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-});$$

$$\frac{i}{2s_{W}} I \stackrel{(n)}{A^{'} H^{'}} (p_{+-} p_{-}) = \stackrel{(q_{+})}{W_{*} A_{-+} G^{'}} (p_{+}; p_{-});$$
(36)

A gain all equations that can be obtained by interchanging W^+ and W^- are om itted. In the above equations it is assumed that the two-point functions are already correctly renormalized according to (30). Therefore a prime is added to the corresponding on the rh.s..

By performing a Taylor subtraction $(1 T_{p^+,p}^2)$, the above equations lead to the following universal breaking terms, written in terms of the Taylor-subtracted G reen functions $^{(n)}$:

 ${}^{Q_{r}(n)}_{A_{r}\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}}$ (p₊;p) = ie $T_{p}^{2} I_{\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}}^{(n)}$ (p) $T_{p^{+}}^{2} I_{\hat{W}^{+}\hat{W}}^{(n)}$ (p₊)

$$= ie^{h} {}_{\hat{W}_{11}}^{(n)} p^{2} g + {}_{\hat{W}_{12}}^{(n)} p ; p ; \qquad {}_{\hat{W}_{11}}^{(n)} p_{+}^{2} g + {}_{\hat{W}_{12}}^{(n)} p_{+} ; p_{+} ; i;$$

$$\stackrel{(n)}{_{A}G^{+}G^{-}G^{-}} (p_{+};p) = {}_{\hat{W}_{1}}^{(n)} p_{+}^{-} ; p) = 0;$$

$$\stackrel{(n)}{_{A}G^{+}G^{-}} (p_{+};p) = iM_{W} T_{p^{+}p}^{2} T_{p^{+}p}^{1} T_{p^{+}p}^{(n)} (p_{+};p) + ieT_{p}^{2} I_{W^{+}W^{-}}^{(n)} (p))$$

$$= \frac{iM_{W}}{2} p_{+} p_{+} e_{p_{+}} e_{p_{+}} + 2p_{+} p e_{p_{+}} e_{p} + p p e_{p} e_{p}$$

$$\stackrel{(n)}{_{A}G^{+}W^{-}} (p_{+};p) = 0; \qquad ie^{-(n)}_{W^{+}p^{2}} g + {}_{W^{+}p^{2}}^{(n)} p ; p ; ; i$$

$$\stackrel{(n)}{_{A}G^{+}W^{-}} (p_{+};p) = 0; \qquad (37)$$

The rhs. of the last term in Eq. (37) vanishes because of covariance and zero-momentum subtraction. Notice the appearance of the breaking terms for the W boson two-point functions. In principle also the corresponding ones from the charged G oldstone boson could appear. However, this can be avoided as zero momentum subtraction of the G oldstone self-energies automatically preserves the respective W T Is (cf. Ref. [6]). Note that due to the fact that the photon is massless there is no contribution from $I_{\hat{A} \ \hat{H}}^{(n)}$. In our approximation it furthermore doesn't contribute due to CP violation.

In order to restore the W T Is one has the freedom of adding a non-invariant counterterm to the G reen function $A \hat{W}^+ \hat{W}$. However, to rem ove $A \hat{W}^+ \hat{W}$ (p+;p) it is necessary that the latter is independent of p² g and p; p;. This can be achieved by xing the di erence between the parameters $A \hat{W}_{1}^{(n)}$. Requiring that W T Is be preserved in the tree-level form am ounts to imposing a charge renorm alization.

In a next step we want to translate the inform ation about the breaking term s into counterterm s that will restore the symmetry of the Green functions. In general the QAP allows for all possible breaking term s with suitable dimensions. However, not all of them are independent. The consistency conditions can be used to gure out the independent ones and thus reduce the counterterm s to a m inim al set.

In the AW W case them ost general counterterm that can be used to re-absorb the breaking term is of the form

where the coe cients $\frac{n}{i}$ can be expressed through the breaking terms as we will show in the following. Owing to the rst equations of (35) and (37) one obtains

Note, that the sum of $\hat{w}_{;1}^{(n)} + \hat{w}_{;2}^{(n)}$ is given in Eq. (31). The contraction of (38) with p_+ and the comparison with $\hat{w}_{;1}^{(n)}$ in (37) leads to another set of equations. At rst sight there are m ore equations than unknowns. However, one should have in m ind that not all equations are independent due to W T Is like

1

which is a special case of

The sum runs over all would-be-Goldstone elds G⁰ and G with masses M $_{fG} = iM_W$, M $_{Z,G^0} = M_Z$ and zero for all the other combinations. V^a denote the gauge elds, where

runs over the index of the adjoint representation for SU (3) SU (2) U (1). Here, f^{abx} represent the structure constants of the gauge group in the adjoint representation. O ther useful identities can be easily obtained by di erentiating with respect to p; p; q or p; q; q or q; q; q. Notice that all G reen functions appearing in Eq. (41) are super cially nite.

Having this in m ind we can write down the results for $\binom{(n)}{i}$; (i = 1; :::6)

$$ie_{1}^{(n)} = ie_{6}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{18} (6M_{1} M_{2} + 5M_{3});$$

$$ie_{3}^{(n)} = ie_{4}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{18} (5M_{2} M_{3});$$

$$ie_{5}^{(n)} = ie_{2}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{18} (6M_{1} M_{2} M_{3});$$
(42)

where we have de ned

$$M_{1} = \frac{iM_{W}}{16} e_{p^{+}} e_{p^{+}} {(n) \atop A G^{+}W} (p^{+};p)_{p=0};$$

$$M_{2} = \frac{iM_{W}}{16} e_{p} e_{p} {(n) \atop A G^{+}W} (p^{+};p)_{p=0};$$

$$M_{3} = \frac{iM_{W}}{8} e_{p} e_{p} {(n) \atop A G^{+}W} (p^{+};p)_{p=0};$$
(43)

Note that it is also possible to nd other representations of the results. However, they are equivalent after exploiting Eq. (41).

F inally, the sym m etrical G reen function reads

$$I_{A^{\circ}W^{+}W^{\circ}}^{(n)}(p^{+};p) = h_{A^{\circ}W^{+}W^{\circ}}^{(n)}(p^{+};p) = h_{A^{\circ}W^{+}W^{\circ}}^{(n)}(p^{+};p) + h_{A^{\circ}W^{+}W^{\circ}}^{(n)}(p^{+};p) = h_{A^{\circ}W^{+}W^{\circ}}^{(n)}(p^{+};p) + h_{A^{\circ}W^{+}W^{\circ}}^{(n)}(p^{+};p) = h_{A^{\circ}W$$

Let us sum marize the steps which that to be performed in order to compute the O ($_{\rm s}$) corrections to the AW W vertex. The basic equation is Eq. (4). In a rst step the two-bop amplitude, denoted by $_{\rm AWW}^{(2)}$, has to be calculated using a speci c regularization scheme and a speci c subtraction scheme. There are two contributions: genuine two-bop diagrams and nite one-bop counterterm diagrams. C learly, the same regularization scheme has to be used in the two contributions. The divergent parts in both contributions are assumed to be subtracted already. The nite one-bop counterterm contributions are two-fold: rst, the one-bop counterterm due to the Taylor-subtraction and second, the universal one-bop counterterms (see Eqs. (12) { (16)).

5.2 The case ZW W

Having the physical process $e^+e^-! W W$ in mind, we also have to discuss the QCD corrections to the ZW W vertex within the channel $e^+e^-! Z ! W W$. The case ZW W has some similarity to AW W. However, due to the connection of the Z boson and the neutral G oldstone boson with the nite Z boson mass, both the identities and the analysis, get more involved.

The equations corresponding to (35) and (36) read:

$$i(p_{+} + p) \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{Z}} \stackrel{(n)}{_{W}^{+} \stackrel{(n)}{_{W}^{+}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \dot{\mathbf{p}}_{+} & \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}} & \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}} & \stackrel{(p_{+};p)}{_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}} + i M_{W} & \stackrel{(n)}{_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}} & \stackrel{(p_{+};p)}{_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}} \\ & \quad ie & \frac{G_{W}}{S_{W}} I_{W^{+}W^{+}}^{(n)} & (p) + I_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}^{(n)} & (p_{+} p) \\ & & \quad \frac{G_{W}}{S_{W}} I_{\hat{\mathcal{L}}}^{(n)} & (p_{+} p) = \frac{Q_{f}(n)}{W_{fZ_{+}}} & (p_{+};p); \end{split}$$

A gain all equations that can be obtained by interchanging W $^+$ and W $^-$ are om itted.

In order to keep the discussion simpler we restrict ourselves to the one- and two-bop level. This means in the following equations the index n is either 1 or 2. The second-order Taylor subtraction leads to

In general the breaking term $\binom{(n),W}{z W^+ \hat{G}}$ does not vanish. However, as we only consider two-bop QCD corrections it is zero. In this approximation also the Green function $\binom{(n)}{\hat{G}^0\hat{G}^+\hat{G}}$ (p₊;p) (and thus $\binom{Q(n),W}{z G^+\hat{G}}$ (p₊;p)) vanishes as we checked by an explicit calculation. This is essentially due to the invariance under CP transform ations of the bosonic sector. Note that starting form three bops, the CP violation induced by the CKM mixings will generate som e CP violating bosonic counterterm s.

The most general counterterm that can be used to re-absorb the breaking term of the W T Is reads $\$

$$\begin{pmatrix} n \\ \hat{z} & \hat{w}^{+} & \hat{w} \end{pmatrix} (p^{+};p) = e^{n} g^{-n} g^{+} + g^{+n} g^{+} + g^{-n} g^{+} g^{+} + g^{-n} g^{+} g^{+} + g^{-n} g^{+} g$$

Inserting ${}^{(n)}_{\hat{z}\ \hat{w}^+\hat{w}}$ (p⁺;p) in the above identities and comparing the coe cients with the breaking terms leads to equations from which the coe cients ${}^{(n)}_{i}$ (i = 7;:::;12) can be determined. One possible set of equations reads

ie
$$4_{9}^{(n)} + 7_{7}^{(n)} + 1_{11}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{8} \theta_{p^{+}} \theta_{p^{+}} M$$
;
ie $5_{7}^{(n)} + 5_{11}^{(n)} + 2_{9}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{4} \theta_{p^{+}} \theta_{p^{+}} M$;
ie $5_{7}^{(n)} + 5_{9}^{(n)} + 2_{11}^{(n)} = \frac{1}{4} \theta_{p^{+}} \theta_{p^{+}} N$;

$$ie_{8} = \frac{1}{72} 5@_{p} @_{p^{+}} @_{p^{+}} @_{p} @_{p^{+}} @_{p} g M ;$$

$$ie_{10} = \frac{1}{72} 5@_{p^{+}} @_{p} @_{p} @_{p^{+}} @_{p} @_{p^{+}} g M ;$$

$$ie_{12} = \frac{1}{72} 5@_{p^{+}} @_{p} g @_{p} @_{p^{+}} @_{p^{+}} @_{p} M ; (49)$$

where we introduced the short-hand notation $M = \frac{(n)\tilde{M}}{z\tilde{W}^+\tilde{W}}$ (p₊;p) and N = $\frac{(n)}{z}$, $\tilde{W}^+\tilde{W}$ (p₊;p). It is understood, that after the di erentiation the momenta are set to zero. Note that the equations (49) are only unique up the consistency conditions (sim ilar to the one in Eq. (41)) among the W T Is.

As in the previous case, the wave-function renormalization of the background eld \hat{Z} is xed by the W T Is. In particular, in our analysis the _W -angle is xed by the on-shell condition M _W = M _Z = G_W, where M _W and M _Z are the physical masses. A ctually, using the above equations one obtains two equations which x $\frac{(n)}{2\pi}$ (i = 1;2)

$$\hat{f}_{2;1} = \hat{f}_{W} \hat{f}_{1} + \frac{s_{W} M_{W}}{36ec_{W}} - \frac{1}{4} \hat{e}_{p} \hat{e}_{p} + \frac{5}{8} \hat{e}_{p} \hat{e}_{p} g \hat{f}_{2;G^{+}W^{+}} \hat{f}_{p} \hat{f}_{p}$$

where $\frac{(n)}{\hat{w}_{;i}}$ is given in Eq. (39). Again, using the consistency conditions, one can check that other possible equations are not independent.

F inally we want to rem ark that at higher orders also other counterterm sm ay be involved in the analysis. However, this depends on the speci c type of radiative corrections which are taken into account. In particular, $\begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ \hat{G}^{0}\hat{G}^{+}\hat{G} \end{pmatrix}$ is only needed if three-loop electro-weak corrections are considered.

6 IR re-shu ing

In practical applications quark m asses can often be neglected. However, through the Taylor subtraction this can in general induce IR divergences in the corresponding two- and three-point functions. On the other hand it is important that the breaking term s are IR - nite. In this section we discuss the modi cations of our procedure needed to deal with these cases. In a rst step we want to approach the problem from a more theoretical point of view and only then apply it to the case of AW W.

A careful analysis of the o -shell IR problem s in the SM [14, 13] shows that suitable norm alization conditions are su cient to guarantee the IR niteness of G reen functions in case non-exceptionalm on enta are chosen. How ever, Taylor subtraction around zero external m om enta m ay cause problem s. Let us assume that only the highest order of the derivative leads to IR divergences. This m eans that for a given G reen function , with UV divergence degree $!, T^{!}$ is IR-divergent but $T^{!}$ is not. It is then tempting not to perform the complete Taylor expansion and leave out the term with highest power of derivative. This modi es the subtraction scheme presented in [20, 6] as discussed in the following.

Acting on a broken W TI such as Eq. (2) with the Taylor operator (1 T), we obtain

$$(1 T)W_{()} = 0:$$
 (51)

A fter commuting the Taylor operator (1 T) with $W_{()}$ this transforms to

$$W_{()}^{h}(1 T^{0})^{(n)} = TW_{()} W_{()}T^{0}^{h} h^{n}_{W}^{(n)}(); \qquad (52)$$

where ⁰ is the naive power counting degree of ⁽ⁿ⁾. In general, one has ⁰, Therefore the commutation of the Taylor operator with W₍₎ leads to over-subtractions of ⁽ⁿ⁾ and, thus, to the new breaking terms ⁽ⁿ⁾_W () occurring on the r.h.s. of Eq. (52) (for more details see [6]).

In Eq. (52) both terms, $T^{\circ}(n)$ and $W^{(n)}()$, could be IR divergent. This suggests a re-shu ing of the terms from the rhs. to the lhs., which de ness a new breaking term through

$$M_{()} (1 T^{R})^{(n)} = T^{W}_{()} W_{()} T^{0} + W_{()} (T^{0} T^{R})^{(n)} h^{n}_{W}^{0(n)} ():$$
(53)

Note that $_{IR} = {}^{0}$ 1 is used for the IR-divergent G reen functions. In this way all terms of Eq. (53) are IR-safe. The price of the re-shuing is that the expression for $_{W}^{0(n)}$ () becomes in generalmore complicated than the original breaking term, $_{W}^{(n)}$ (). However, its computation is still simpler than $_{W}^{(n)}$ () of Eq. (2). The only requirement is an intermediate IR regulator, needed for the evaluation of the individual G reen functions appearing in $_{W}^{0(n)}$ ().

We also have to mention that the new breaking terms $_{W}^{0(n)}$ () and the corresponding counterterms $_{W}^{(n)}$ depend on the UV subtraction. In fact, since $_{IR} = ^{0}$ 1, some G reen functions are only super cially nite because of the UV subtraction. This implies that $_{W}^{0(n)}$ () as well as the nal counterterm T $_{IR}^{(n)} + _{0(n)}^{0(n)}$ (see Eq. (6)) depends on the computation details. In addition, the dependence on the UV regulator of the breaking terms and the corresponding non-invariant counterterm s breaks the universality of the computation (see [6]).

As an explicit example let us consider the case AW W. In particular, we specify to the top-bottom doublet and neglect the mass of the bottom quark. In this case the one-loop sub-divergences (cf. Section. 2) become \mathbb{R} -divergent. Using the \mathbb{R} re-shu ing discussed above Eq. (9) transforms to

Of course, no IR divergences appear for the Att vertex and thus we still have $\binom{1}{A^{tt}} = 0$. Notice that the advantages due to the zero-m om entum subtractions have only slightly been reduced. The computation of the breaking terms still relies on G reen functions expanded around zero external momenta. W e also stress that the proposed rearrangement solves the spurious IR problem due to Taylor subtractions in general.

The problem of IR divergences appears also at two bops. In that case, one has to handle the interm ediate regularization procedure with som e care. For com pleteness concerning the AW W description, we present an example of IR re-shu ing at two bops.

It is easy to see that the zero-m on entum subtraction of the rst of Eqs. (36) and the breaking term ${}^{(2)}_{A_{+}W}$ (p₊;p) are IR-divergent in the approximation that $m_{b} = 0$. So, we have to recombine the G reen functions in such a way that the computation is IR-safe. For that purpose, we can notice that also the zero-m on entum subtraction of $I_{A^{-2}}^{(2)}$ and $I_{A^{-2}A^{-2}}^{(2)}$ produces IR divergence. Therefore, the most natural IR-safe recombination is

$$\begin{array}{l} {}^{\mathcal{G}(2)}_{A_{-+}\hat{W}} \left(p_{+};p_{-}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{i}M_{W}}{2} p_{+}p_{+} \theta_{p_{+}} \theta_{p_{+}} + 2p_{+}p_{-} \theta_{p_{+}} \theta_{p} \\ & + p_{-}p_{-} \theta_{p_{-}} \theta_{p_{-}} \theta_{p_{-}} \left(p_{+};p_{-}\right) \\ & p_{-}=0 \\ & \mathrm{i}e^{h_{-} \left(n_{+}\right)} p^{2}q_{-} + \left(n_{+}\right)}_{W_{+}2}p_{+};p_{+}; p_{+}; p_{+} p_{+$$

where $q = p_+ + p_-$. $\hat{Q}_{A_- + \hat{W}}^{(2)}$ is IR-safe and, thus, can be used to compute the counterterm s.

7 Conclusion

The techniques developed in [6] have been applied to the three-gauge-boson vertices. In the fram ework of the BFM all functional identities are derived at the n-loop order. Since in the SM there exists no invariant regularization scheme (besides the lattice regularization with the G insparg-W ilson version of chiral symmetry) the functional identities are broken by local terms. Most of them are simply removed by the application of the Taylor operator [6]. The analysis of the remaining ones is presented up to the two-loop level, where additional QCD corrections to the one-loop fermionic diagrams are considered. Finally subtleties in connection to IR divergences resulting for the expansion around zero external momenta are discussed in detail.

A cknow ledgm ents

The research of PAG. is under the NSF grants no. PHY-9722083 and PHY-0070787. We thank A.Ferroglia and G.Ossola for useful discussions and a careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] M.Luscher, JHEP 0006, 028 (2000).
- [2] G. t Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B 44 (1972) 189; P. Breitenlohner and D. Maison, Comm. Math. Phys. 52 (1977) 11;52 (1977) 39;52 (1977) 55.
- [3] C.P.Martin and D.Sanchez-Ruiz, Nucl. Phys. B 572 (2000) 387.
- [4] F. Jegerlehner, hep-ph/0005255.
- [5] O.Piguet and S.P. Sorella, A lgebraic Renorm alization Lecture Notes in Physics Monographs, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1995 and references therein.
- [6] P.A. Grassi, T. Hurth and M. Steinhauser, hep-ph/9907426 to appear in Annals of Physics (NY).
- [7] W. Beenakker et al, Nucl. Phys. B 500 (1997) 255; A. Denner, S. Dittm aier and M. Roth, Nucl. Phys. B 519 (1998) 39.
- [8] C.Becchi, A.Rouet, and R.Stora, Comm. Math. Phys. 42 (1975) 127; Ann. Phys. 98 (1976) 287; I.V. Tyutin, Lebenev Institute preprint N 39 (1975); see also C.Becchi, Lectures on Renormalization of Gauge Theories in Relativity, group and topology II, Les Houches 1983.
- [9] J.H. Lowenstein and B. Schroer, Phys. Rev. D 7 (1975) 1929 and references therein.
- [10] A.Sirlin, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 971.
- [11] K. Aoki, Z. Hioki, R. Kawabe, M. Konuma, and T. Muta, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 73 1982 1.
- [12] M.Bohm, W.Hollik, and H.Spiesberger, Fortschr. Phys. 34 (1986) 687.
- [13] P.A. Grassi, Nucl. Phys. B 462 (1996) 524; Nucl. Phys. B 537 (1999) 527; Nucl. Phys. B 560 (1999) 499.
- [14] E.K raus, Ann. Phys. 262 (1998) 155.
- [15] S.L.Adler, Phys. Rev. D 117 (1969) 2426; J.S.Bell and R.Jackiw, Nuovo Cimento A 60 (1969) 47; J.Schwinger, Phys. Rev. D 82 (1951) 664; W.A.Bardeen, Phys. Rev D 184 (1969) 1848.
- [16] G. tHooff, Nucl. Phys. B 33 (1971) 436; H.K luberg-Stem and J.Zuber, Phys. Rev. D
 12 (1975) 467; Phys. Rev. D 12 (1975) 482; LF. Abbott, Nucl. Phys. B 185 (1981)
 189; S. Ichim ose and M. Om ote, Nucl. Phys. B 203 (1982) 221; D M. Capper and
 A.MacLean, Nucl. Phys. B 203 (1982) 413; D G. Boulware, Phys. Rev. D 12 (1981)
 389.
- [17] A.Denner, G.W eiglein, and S.D ittm aier, Phys. Lett. B 333 (1994) 420; Nucl. Phys. B 440 (1995) 95.

- [18] M.Passera and A.Sirlin, Phys.Rev.D 58 (1998) 113010; P.Gambino and P.A.Grassi, Phys.Rev.D 62 (2000) 076002.
- [19] B.A.Kniehl, Nucl. Phys. B 347 (1990) 86; A.D jouadiand P.G am bino, Phys. Rev. D
 49 (1994) 3499; Ernatum -ibid. D 53 (1996) 4111.
- [20] R.Ferrari and P.A.G rassi, Phys.Rev.D 60 (1999) 065010; R.Ferrari, P.A.G rassi and A.Quadri, hep-th/9905192 Phys.Lett.B 472 (2000) 346-356.