Single Spin A sym m etries in Sem i-Inclusive E lectroproduction: A ccess to Transversity

KA.Oganessyan^{y1}, N.Bianchi, E.De Sanctis, W.-D.Nowak^z

IN FN -Laboratori N azionali di Frascati, via Enrico Ferm i 40, I-00044 Frascati, Italy $^{\rm y}{\rm D}\,{\rm ESY}$, N otkestrasse 85, 22603 H am burg

^zDESY Zeuthen, Platanenallee 6, D-15738 Zeuthen, Germ any

A bstract. W e discuss the quark transversity distribution function and a possible way to access it through the measurement of single spin azim uthal asymmetry in semiinclusive single pion electroproduction on a transversely polarized target.

At leading order in 1=Q, the cross section for a hard scattering process is given by the convolution of a hard part and a soft part. The form er describes the scattering am ong elementary constituents and can be calculated perturbatively in the fram ework of QCD. The latter accounts for the processes in which either partons are produced from the initial hadrons (parton distribution functions) or nal hadrons are produced from partons (parton fragm entation functions) which result from the hard elementary scattering.

For every quark avor, besides the well-known parton distribution $f_1(x)$ and the longitudinal spin distribution $g_1(x)$, there is a third twist-two distribution function, the transversity distribution function $h_1(x)$ which was inst discussed by Ralston and Soper [1] in double transverse polarized D rell-Y an scattering. The transversity distribution $h_1(x)$ m easures the probability to india transversely polarized quark in a transversely polarized nucleon. It is equally important for the description of the spin structure of nucleons as the more familiar function $g_1(x)$; their information being complementary. In the non-relativistic limit, where boosts and rotations commute, $h_1(x) = g_1(x)$; then difference between these two functions may turn out to be a measure for the relativistic elects within nucleons. On the other hand, there is no gluon analog on $h_1(x)$. This may have interesting consequences for ratios of transverse to longitudinal asymmetries in polarized hard scattering processes (see e.g. Ref. [2]).

The transversity distribution $h_1(x)$ remains still unmeasured. The reason is that

¹⁾ E-m ail: kogan@ herm es.desy.de

it is a chiral odd function, and consequently it is suppressed in inclusive deep inelastic scattering (D IS) [3]. Since electroweak and strong interactions conserve chirality, $h_1(x)$ cannot occur alone, but has to be accompanied by a second chiral odd quantity.

In principle, transversity distributions can be extracted from cross section asymmetries in polarized processes involving a transversely polarized nucleon. In the case of hadron-hadron scattering these asymmetries can be expressed through a avor sum involving a product of two chiral-odd transversity distributions. This is one of the main goals of the spin program at RHIC [4]. An evaluation of the corresponding asymmetry was carried out [5] by assuming the saturation of So er's inequality [6] for the transversity distribution: the maximum possible asymmetry at RHIC energies was estimated to be about 2%. At smaller energies (\overline{s}' 40 GeV), e.g. for a possible xed-target hadron-hadron spin experiment at the proposed HERA-N facility [7] the asymmetry is expected to be higher (about 4%).

In the case of sem i-inclusive deep inelastic lepton scattering² (SID IS) o transversely polarized nucleons there exist several m ethods to access transversity distributions. One of them, the twist-3 pion production [8], uses longitudinally polarized leptons and m easures a double spin asym m etry. The otherm ethods do not require a polarized beam, and rely on the polarim etry of the scattered transversely polarized quark. They consist on:

the m easurem ent of the transverse polarization of 's in the current fragm entation region [9,10],

the observation of a correlation between the transverse spin vector of the target nucleon and the norm alto the two-m eson plane [11,12],

the observation of the C ollins e ect" in quark fragmentation through the measurement of pion single target-spin asymmetries [13{15].

In the following we will mainly focus on the last method.

To access the transversity in SID IS o transversely polarized nucleons, one can measure the azim uthal angular dependences in the production of spin-0 or (on average) unpolarized hadrons. This production is described by the intrinsic transverse momentum dependent fragmentation function H $_1^2$ (z) which is also chiral odd and, moreover, T-odd, i.e., non-vanishing only due to nal state interactions. Collins [13] was the rst to propose such a spin dependent fragmentation function. It can be obtained, for example, in two-hadron production in e⁺ e annihilation [16]. In the cross section of SID IS o transversely polarized nucleons it shows up as a $sin(_h + _s)$ dependence, where $_h$ is the azim uthal angle of the outgoing hadron (with non-zero transverse momentum P_{hT}) around the virtual-photon direction, and $_s$ is the azim uthal angle of the target spin vector, both in relation to the lepton scattering plane.

²⁾ The relevant kinematics is: $Q^2 = q^2$ where $q = k_1 \quad k_2, k_1 \quad (k_2)$ being the 4-m om entum of the incoming (outgoing) charged lepton is the 4-m om entum of the virtual photon. P (P_h) is the momentum of the target (nalhadron), $x = q^2 = 2$ (Pq), $y = (Pq) = (Pk_1), z = (PP_h) = (Pq)$

The sin ($_{\rm h}$ + $_{\rm S}$) m om ent in the SID IS cross-section can be related to the parton distribution and fragmentation functions involved in the parton level description of the underlying process [14,15]. This moment is de ned as the appropriately weighted integral over P_{hT} (the transverse momentum of the observed hadron) of the cross section asymmetry:

$$h \frac{\mathcal{P}_{hT} j}{M_{h}} \sin(h + s) i_{UT} \qquad \frac{R d^{2} P_{hT} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{hT} j}{M_{h}} \sin(h + s) d d d}{\frac{1}{2} R d^{2} P_{hT} (d + s) d d d}; \qquad (1)$$

where " (#) denotes the up (down) transverse polarization of the target in the virtual-photon frame, M (M_h) is the mass of the target (nal hadron), and the subscripts U and T indicate unpolarized beam and transversely polarized target, respectively.

This asymmetry is given by [13{15]:

$$h\frac{\mathcal{P}_{hT} j}{M_{h}} \sin(h + s) j_{UT} = 4S_{T} \frac{(1 + y) h_{1}(x) z H_{1}^{2}(1)}{(1 + (1 + y)^{2}) f_{1}(x) D_{1}(z)};$$
(2)

This weighted single target-spin asymmetry is related to the unweighted one through the following relation:

$$A_{UT}^{\sin(h+s)} = \frac{M_{h}}{hP_{hT}i} \frac{P_{hT}}{M_{h}} \sin(h+s) i_{UT};$$
(3)



FIGURE 1. The single target-spin asymmetry $A_{UT}^{\sin(h+s)}$ for + production as a function of x and z, evaluated using $M_c = 2m$ and = 0.3 in Eq.(3). The two curves correspond to $h_1 = g_1$ (bw er curve) and $h_1 = (f_1 + g_1)=2$ (upper curve).

Note that in the case of a longitudinally polarized target this asymmetry gives contribution to the sin $_{\rm h}$ asymmetry [17], which was recently observed in semi-inclusive deep inelastic lepton scattering of a longitudinally polarized proton target at HERMES [18]. Describing this data by an approach where the transverse quark spin distribution in the longitudinally polarized nucleon is vanishing [20], only about 25% are contributed to sin $_{\rm h}$ by the kinematic term that is proportional to the transverse component of the nucleon spin vector with respect to the virtual-photon momentum.

For the num erical evaluation of the unweighted asymmetry $A_{UT}^{\sin(h^+s)}$ the non-relativistic approximation $h_1(x) = g_1(x)$ is used as a lower limit and $h_1(x) = (f_1(x) + g_1(x))=2$ as an upper limit [6]. For the sake of simplicity, Q^2 -independent parameterizations were chosen for the distribution functions $f_1(x)$ and $g_1(x)$ [22].

To obtain the T-odd fragm entation function $H_1^{?(1)}(z)$, the Collins ansatz [13] for the analyzing power of transversely polarized quark fragm entation was adopted:

$$A_{c}(z;k_{T}) = \frac{j_{T}j_{H}^{2}(z;k_{T}^{2})}{M_{h}D_{1}(z;k_{T}^{2})} = -\frac{M_{c}j_{T}j_{T}}{M_{c}^{2}+k_{T}^{2}}; \qquad (4)$$

where is taken as a constant, although, in principle it could be z-dependent, and M_c is a typical hadronic mass whose value ranges from 2m to M_p.

In our calculations we use $M_c = 2m$ and = 0.8 as in Ref. [21], where a good agreem ent was found with the single spin asymmetries of the distribution in the azim uthal angle $_h$ for sem i-inclusive $^+$ production on a longitudinally polarized hydrogen target observed at HERMES [18,19].

For the distribution of the nalparton intrinsic transverse momentum, k_T , in the unpolarized fragmentation function $D_1(z;k_T^2)$, a Gaussian parameterization was used [23] with $hz^2k_T^2i = b^2$ (in the numerical calculations b = 0.36 GeV was taken [24]). For $D_1^+(z)$, the parameterization from Ref. [25] was adopted.

In Fig.1, the asymmetry $A_{UT}^{\sin(h^+ s)}$ of Eq.(3) for $^+$ production on a transversely polarized proton target is presented as a function of x and z. The curves have been calculated by integrating over the HERMES kinematic range taking $hP_{hT}i = 0.365$ GeV as input. The latter value is obtained in this kinematic region assuming a G aussian parameterization of the distribution and fragmentation functions with $hp_T^2 i = (0.44)^2 \text{ GeV}^2$ [24].

From Fig. 1 one sees that the single transverse target-spin asymmetry is quite large. In the HERMES kinematics (hxi 0:1;hzi 0:4) it amounts to (4 7)%. The HERMES experiment using a transversely polarized proton target will be able to extract $h_1(x)$ in a simple way, e.g. as suggested in Ref. [26]. First results on transverse quark spin distribution can be expected from combined results of HER-MES and COMPASS within 3-5 years from now, while a complete high precision m apping of their Q²- and x-dependence requires next-generation facilities, such us TESLA-N [27], ELFE [28], eRHIC, EPIC, with high statistics measurements.

REFERENCES

- 1. J. Ralston, D E. Soper, Nucl. Phys. B152, 109 (1979).
- 2. R L.Ja e, hep-ph/9602236; hep-ph/9603422.
- 3. R L.Ja e, X.Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 552 (1991) and Nucl. Phys. B 375, 527 (1992).
- 4. D. Hillet al, RHIC Spin Collaboration: Letter of Intent, BNL, M ay 1991.
- 5.0.Martin et al, Phys. Rev. D 60, 117502 (1999)
- 6. J. So er, Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1292 (1995).
- 7. V A . K orotkov and W .- D . N ow ak, D E SY 99-122; hep-ph/9908490.
- 8. R L. Ja e, X J. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 2547 (1993).
- 9. X. Artru, M. Mekh, Zeit. Phys. C 45, 669 (1990).
- 10. R L.Ja e, Phys. Rev., D 54, 6581 (1996).
- 11. R L.Ja e, hep-ph/9710465.
- 12. R L. Ja e, X. Jin, J. Tang, Phys. Rev. Lett, 80, 1166 (1998).
- 13. J.C. Collins, Nucl. Phys. B 396, 161 (1993).
- 14. A.Kotzinian, Nucl. Phys. B 441, 234 (1995).
- 15. P.J.M ulders, R D. Tangerm an, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197 (1996); Erratum - ibid. B 484, 538 (1997).
- 16. D. Boer, R. Jakob, P.J. Mulders, Nucl. Phys. B 504 (1997) 345; Phys. Lett. B 424 (1998) 143.
- 17. K A. Oganessyan, A R. Avakian, N. Bianchi, and A M. Kotzinian, hep-ph/9808368;
- 18. HERMES Collaboration, A. A irapetian, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 4047.
- 19. D. Hasch, for the HERMES collaboration, 35th Rencontres de Moriond: QCD and Hadronic Interactions, Les Arcs, France, March 18 25, 2000.
- 20. E. De Sanctis, W. D. Nowak, and K. A. Oganessyan, Phys. Lett. B483 (2000) 69.
- 21. K A.O ganessyan, N.Bianchi, E.De Sanctis, W.-D.Nowak, hep-ph/0010261, and hep-ph/0010063.
- 22. S.Brodsky, M. Burkardt, and I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. B441 (1995) 197.
- 23. A M. Kotzinian, and P.J. Mulders, Phys. Lett. B406 (1997) 373.
- 24. T. Sjostrand, Comp. Phys. Commun. 82 (1994) 74; CERN-TH.7112/93; hep-ph/9508391.
- 25. E.Reya, Phys. Rep. 69 (1981) 195.
- 26. V A.Korotkov, W.D.Nowak, KA.Oganessyan, hep-ph/0002268; DESY 99-176.
- 27. M. Anselm ino, et al., \E lectron scattering with polarized targets at TESLA", in preparation; www.ifh.de/herm.es/future
- 28. M. Anselm ino, et.al., ELFE (Electron Laboratory For Europe), Physics Motivation, NuPECC report in print, http://www-dapnia.cea.fr/Sphn/Elfe/Report