

Isgur{W ise function in a relativistic model of constituent quarks

A . F . K rutov, O . I. Shro^y

Sam ara State University, 443011, Sam ara, Russia

V . E . Troitsky^z

N uclear Physics Institute, M oscow State University, 119899, M oscow, Russia

N ovem ber 6, 2000

A bstract

The integral representation for Isgur { W ise function (IW F) is obtained in the fram ework of instant{form relativistic H am iltonian dynam ics for m esons with one heavy quark. The upper and lower lim its are calculated for the slope param eter of IW F² by the m odel independent way. IW F is calculated for di erent wave functions of quarks in the m eson. The di erence between the lim its of² equals 1/3. The con- straint on the slope param eter is in a good agreem ent with experim ents. The weak dependence of IW F on the choice of wave functions is found.

PAC S num bers: 12.39 K i, 12.60 R c, 13.20 H e

K ey words: constituent quark m odel, sem ileptonic decays, Isgur{W ise function

The quark composite systems with one heavy quark have been the focus of attention for the last several years. In the in nite heavy quark m ass lim it the additional spin-avour sym metries appear and sim plify the calculation of m atrix elem ents of electroweak transitions [1, 2]. Therefore all m esons form factors of the electroweak transitions are determ ined by a single universal Isgur { W ise function (IW F) $I_W(w)$ [1] ($w = (v \cdot v^0)$, v^0 and v are 4{velocities of initial and nal m eson).

E-m ail: krutov@ssu.samara.ru

^yE {m ail: shro@ssu.samara.ru

^zE-m ail: troitsky@theory2.npi.msu.su

The calculation of this function is nonperturbative in principle. Several approaches exist to this problem, for example, the calculations on lattices [3], QCD sum rules [2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9], quasipotential approach [10] [11], and different forms of relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics (RHD): light-front dynamics [12, 13], point [14] and instant forms [15, 16].

The slope parameter $\alpha^2 = \frac{0}{\text{IW}}$ ($w = 1$) is of special interest, while studying the properties of IW F . This interest, in particular, is explained by recent measurements of this value [17], [18], [19]. By these experiments, one can discriminate different models or, additionally, constraint parameters of the models. The results of calculation of the slope parameter in different approaches differ greatly [2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16].

In this work, IW F is calculated for mesons which contain one heavy quark. For slope parameter α^2 the strong restriction is obtained. This result depends weakly on interaction model for quarks. The interval of restriction on the slope parameter equals $1/3$.

The calculations of IW F are performed for different phenomenological wave functions of quarks in meson. It is shown that IW F depends weakly enough on the choice of the model wave functions (about 10% at $w = 2$).

For calculation in our work we use the instant form of RHD in the version developed by the authors [20, 21] (RHD is discussed in greater detail in Ref. [22] and references therein). Our approach is closely related to that in Refs. [15, 16]. However, our approach contains some original features, e.g., the method of construction of current transition matrix elements [21].

In our work, IW F is calculated from the semileptonic decay of pseudoscalar meson form factors [21]. The hadron part of invariant amplitude of the semileptonic decay of pseudoscalar meson can be expressed through two form factors F^- :

$$\langle p_c | j | p_c^0 \rangle = P^- F^-(t) + P_+ F_+(t); \quad (1)$$

where p_c ; p_c^0 are 3-momenta of mesons, $P^- = (p_c - p_c^0)$; $P_+ = (p_c + p_c^0)$; $t = (p_c - p_c^0)^2$:

In our approach, the form factors $F^-(t)$; $F_+(t)$ have the following integral representation in the impulse approximation [21]:

$$F^-(t) = \int_{m_q + m_q}^Z \frac{1}{s} \frac{p_-}{s} \frac{p_-}{s^0} p_c(k(s)) G^{(0)}(s; t; s^0) \rho_c(k(s^0)); \quad (2)$$

where $G^{(0)}(s; Q^2; s^0)$ are the so-called free two-partical form factors, ρ_c ; ρ_c are phenomenological wave functions of initial and final mesons in the sense of RHD. They are normalized with relativistic density states:

$$\rho_c(k) = \frac{1}{s} \frac{1}{(m_q^2 - m_q^2)^2 = s^2} u(k) \bar{u}(k); \quad \int k^2 u^2(k) dk = 1; \quad (3)$$

Where $k(s) = \frac{q}{(s^2 - 2s(m_Q^2 + m_q^2) + (m_Q^2 - m_q^2)^2) = 4s}$, m_Q ; m_q are masses of heavy and light quarks respectively, $u(k)$ is the non-relativistic wave function.

Free two-partical form factors $G^{(0)}$ have been calculated in Ref. [21]:

$$\begin{aligned}
G_+^{(0)}(s;t;s^0) &= g(s;t;s^0) f[f_3(t)(s+s^0-t) + f_1(s-s^0-t) + f_2(s-s^0+t)] \cos \theta \\
&+ f_6(t) M_2(s;t;s^0) s^0 t \sin \theta; \\
G^{(0)}(s;t;s^0) &= g(s;t;s^0) \\
&f[f_1(t)[(s+s^0-t)^2(s-s^0) + 2s^0_1(s+3s^0-t) - 2s^0_2(3s+s^0-t)] \\
&f_3(t)(s;t;s^0)(s+s^0-t)] \cos \theta - f_6(t) M_2(s;t;s^0) s^0 (s-s^0) \sin \theta; \\
g(s;t;s^0) &= \frac{P_{\bar{s}}(s+s^0-t)(s;t;s^0)}{4 P_{s^0} [(s;t;s^0)]^{3=2} q \frac{1}{(s^0; M_1^2; M_2^2)(s; M_3^2; M_1^2)}}; \\
s_1 &= M_3^2 - M_1^2; \quad s_2 = M_2^2 - M_1^2; \quad s_3 = M_2^2 - M_3^2; \\
(s;t;s^0) &= (s-s_1) \theta(s-s_2); \quad (a;b;c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2(ab+bc+ac); \\
(s;t;s^0) &= \int_0^1 M_1^2 (s;t;s^0) s s^0 t s_2 (s-s^0-t) s_2^2 s^0_1^2 + \\
&+ s^0_1 (s-s^0+t) + s_1 s_2 (s+s^0-t) g^{1=2};
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

is Heaviside step function, s_1 and s_2 are variables that determine the kinematically admissible range of s and s^0 which are given by

$$s_{1,2} = s^0 + t \frac{1}{2M_2^2} (s^0 + s_2) (t + s_3) \frac{1}{2M_2^2} q \frac{1}{(s^0; M_1^2; M_2^2)(t; M_3^2; M_2^2)};$$

$\theta_1 = \theta_2 + \theta_3$; θ_i are parameters of Wigner rotation:

$$\theta_1 = \arctan \frac{(s;t;s^0)}{M_1(s+s^0-t) + 2 P_{s^0} + P_{s^0} (s-t) + P_{s^0} (s-s^0)};$$

$$\theta_2 = \arctan \frac{(s;t;s^0)}{M_3(s+s^0-t) + 2 P_{s^0} + P_{s^0} (s-t) + P_{s^0} (s-s^0)};$$

$$\theta_3 = \arctan \frac{(s;t;s^0)}{M_3 s^0 + M_2 (s-t) + P_{s^0} [(M_3 + M_2)^2 - t] + 2 (M_2 + M_3)};$$

M_1 is the light quark mass, $M_{2,3}$ are the heavy quark masses. Quark form factors in the approximation of pointlike quarks have a form [21]:

$$f_1(t) = (M_2 + M_3) f(t); \quad f_3(t) = (M_2 - M_3) f(t);$$

$$f_6(t) = \frac{4M_2}{M_3^2} f(t); \quad f(t) = \frac{h}{(M_3 + M_2)^2} t^{i-1=2} :$$

The matrix element of the semileptonic decay of pseudoscalar meson can be determined in terms of form factors $h_+(w)$ (see, for example, [12]):

$$\langle p_c \bar{q} | \bar{p}_c \gamma^\mu | p_c \rangle = \frac{q^\mu}{M_c M_c^0} [h_+(w)(v + v^0) + h_-(w)(v - v^0)] : \quad (5)$$

Here M_c, M_c^0 are masses of mesons in initial and final states.

The form factors in Eq. (5) are connected with the form factors in Eqs. (1), (2) by the following formula:

$$h_+(w) = \frac{1}{2 M_c M_c^0} [F_+(t)(M_c - M_c^0) + F_-(t)(M_c + M_c^0)] : \quad (6)$$

Scalar product of 4-velocities is determined through the square of momentum transfer:

$w = (M_c^2 + M_c^0^2 - t)/(2M_c M_c^0)$: The IW F can be obtained from expressions (2), (6) by finding the limit $M_2 \rightarrow M_3 \rightarrow m_Q \rightarrow 1$; $M_1 = m_q$:

$$\lim_{m_Q \rightarrow 1} h_+(w) = I_W(w); \quad \lim_{m_Q \rightarrow 1} h_-(w) = 0 : \quad (7)$$

In our calculations, we have assumed that the wave functions in Eq. (2) become independent on the favour of heavy quark and coincide in initial and final states in limit (7).

The result of calculation of IW F can be presented in following form:

$$I_W(w) = \frac{1}{2(w+1)} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k^2 dk dz \frac{v_u \cdot v_b}{k^2 + m_q^2} \cos^2 u(k) u(k^0); \quad (8)$$

$$k^0 = \frac{h p \cdot k}{(w^2 - 1)kz + w} \frac{q \cdot k}{k^2 + m_q^2} - m_q^2 \frac{i_1=2}{q \cdot k};$$

$$! = \arctan \frac{k \cdot (w^2 - 1)(1 - z^2)}{(w+1)m_q + k^2 + m_q^2 + k^0 + m_q^2};$$

The condition $I_W(1) = 1$ is a consequence of the normalization (3).

In analogy with the works [14, 15, 16], the slope parameter of IW F at $w = 1$ can be represented as the sum of three terms:

$$^2 = ^2_{space} + ^2_{WR} + ^2_{quark}; \quad (9)$$

$$^2_{space} = \frac{1}{3} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 k^2 \frac{du}{k^2 + m_q^2} \frac{dk}{dk} dk + \frac{1}{6} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \frac{k^4 u^2(k)}{k^2 + m_q^2} dk \frac{1}{2}; \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{2}{W_R} = \frac{1}{6} \int_0^{Z_1} \frac{k^4 u^2(k)}{(k^2 + m_q^2 + m_q)^2} dk ; \quad \frac{2}{\text{quark}} = \frac{1}{4} ;$$

The terms in Eq. (9) describe the contributions of form of wave function (with the consideration of relativistic normalization (3)), Wigner rotation, and quark current, respectively. The contribution $\frac{2}{W_R}$ and the second term in $\frac{2}{\text{space}}$ have relativistic nature. Let us remark that our value of $\frac{2}{\text{quark}}$ coincides with that from [15].

The integrals of the wave function can be transformed into space coordinate representation:

$$\int_0^{Z_1} k^2 \frac{du}{dk} dk = \int_0^{Z_1} r^4 \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \rho(r) dr = \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{E}{r^2} ;$$

$$\int_0^{Z_1} k^4 \frac{du}{dk} dk = \int_0^{Z_1} r^4 \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \rho(r) dr ; \quad \int_0^{Z_1} r^2 \rho(r) dr = 1 : \quad (11)$$

Here $\langle r^2 \rangle$ is the mean square radius in the ground state with wave function $\rho(r)$.

Notice that all integrals in Eqs. (9), (10) are positive. From equations (9), (10), (11) the restriction on possible values of $\frac{2}{\text{space}}$ can be established. To do so, let us consider the relativistic terms in Eqs. (9), (10) ($\frac{2}{W_R}$ and the second term in $\frac{2}{\text{space}}$).

In the non-relativistic limit these positive terms disappear in Eq. (10) and we obtain the lower bound for the slope parameter:

$$\frac{2}{\text{space}} \geq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{Z_1} r^4 \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \rho(r) dr + \frac{1}{3} m_q^2 \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{E}{r^2} \geq \frac{1}{4} ; \quad (12)$$

In the ultrarelativistic limit these relativistic terms in Eq. (9) take the maximum values and we obtain the upper bound for $\frac{2}{\text{space}}$:

$$\frac{2}{\text{space}} \leq \frac{1}{3} \int_0^{Z_1} r^4 \frac{d}{dr} r^2 \rho(r) dr + \frac{1}{3} m_q^2 \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{E}{r^2} + \frac{1}{12} : \quad (13)$$

Let us remark that the difference between these maximum and minimum of the slope parameter equals 1/3.

Now we shall use the results of works [23]. In these works a recurrence formula was derived:

$$r^{2l} \frac{D}{r^{2l}} \frac{E}{r^{2l}} = \frac{1}{2} l(2l+1) \frac{r^{2(l-1)} \frac{D}{r^{2(l-1)}} \frac{E}{r^{2(l-1)}}}{E_1(l) - E_0} : \quad (14)$$

Here l is the orbital angular momentum, $r^{2l} \frac{D}{r^{2l}} \frac{E}{r^{2l}}$ multipole moments in ground state of two-body system, E_0 ground state energy, $E_1(l)$ energy of the lowest level of multipolarity l , reduced mass, in the limit (7), m_q . Recurrent formula (14) is satisfied within 1% accuracy as equality for confining potential.

The derived restrictions are examined in our work for the following different model wave functions: the harmonic-oscillator potential model (see, for example, [26])

$$u(k) = N_{HO} \exp(-k^2/2b^2); \quad (20)$$

the power law wave function (see, for example, [27])

$$u(k) = N_{PL} (k^2/b^2 + 1)^{-n}; \quad n = 2; 3; \quad (21)$$

and already mentioned wave function with linear confinement and a Coulomb behavior at small distances which is described by Eq. (16). The wave function parameters were determined by fitting of mean square radius of the D^* meson which was calculated by formula (14). The fitting gives the following values: in the model corresponding to Eq. (20) we obtain $b = 0.372$ GeV, in the model (21) we find $b = 0.526$ GeV for $n = 2$ and $b = 0.731$ GeV for $n = 3$. With the presented wave functions the following values for slope parameter in Eq. (9) were obtained: $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.32$ in the model (20), $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.13$ in the model (21) for $n = 2$ and $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.20$ for $n = 3$, and $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.20$ in the model (16).

The result in model of harmonic-oscillator satisfies inequalities (18) within the accuracy of our calculations. Let us remind in this connection that the restrictions (17) were obtained for the model with potential increasing slower than linearly. It is possible that the restrictions (17) are valid for wider class of models. The calculations with exact formulae (9), (10) for models (16), (21) satisfy the restriction (18).

Let us compare our results (18), (19) with recent experimental values of $\langle r^2 \rangle$. Result of CLEO Collaboration [17], [19] ($\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.67 \pm 0.11$ (stat) ± 0.22 (syst)) satisfies our "soft" result (19) and is slightly outside the region (18). The average LEP result [18], [19] ($\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.13 \pm 0.08$ (stat) ± 0.16 (syst)) satisfies the "hard" restriction (18).

Let us consider results for $\langle r^2 \rangle$ in other approaches. The two restrictions on slope parameter $\langle r^2 \rangle$ were obtained in QCD sum rules: $\langle r^2 \rangle = 0.25$ [4], $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.7$ [9]. Quasipotential approach gives $\langle r^2 \rangle = 0.81$ [11]. Another restriction was obtained in the framework of RHD [15] $\langle r^2 \rangle = 3-4$. As we see, our restriction (18) does not contradict these results but reinforces them. However, our result, as well as that of work [15] and experiments [17], [18], is in poor correlation with the result of Ref. [5] obtained in the framework of QCD sum rules $\langle r^2 \rangle = 0.75 \pm 0.15$.

Now let us consider the calculations in the framework of instant form of RHD. In work [16], the calculations are performed for different interaction models of quarks in meson and results are within the range from 0.97 to 1.28, that is very close to (18). The result of quasipotential approach [10] coincides with that of ours, $\langle r^2 \rangle = 1.02$.

The results of different calculations of α_s^2 in the framework of QCD sum rules differ considerably: 1.00 ± 0.02 [8] ; 0.84 ± 0.02 [6] ; 0.66 ± 0.05 [2] ; 0.70 ± 0.25 [7] : First and fourth of these values are near our range (18).

The lattice calculations [3] are in good correlation with our result, but we should point the large errors of these calculations: $\alpha_s^2 = 0.9^{+0.2+0.4}_{-0.3-0.2}$.

Now let us discuss briefly the calculation of $\mathbb{W} F$ in our approach. In fig.1 the results of calculation for $\mathbb{W} F$ with the described model wave functions are shown. The calculation was carried out with the parameters of this work at $m_q = 0.25$ GeV. As can be seen from the fig.1, one of the most interesting features of our calculations is the weak dependence of $\mathbb{W} F$ on the choice of model wave functions at $w = 2$. Hence, the properties of $\mathbb{W} F$ are mainly determined by the relativistic kinematics of light quark or so-called "light" degrees of freedom.

So, in the present work, the Isgur $\{W\}$ isosection function is calculated in the framework of the instant form of relativistic Hamiltonian dynamics. A strong model-independent restriction is obtained for the slope parameter of Isgur $\{W\}$ isosection function. This restriction reinforces the restrictions that were given in other approaches. We have also shown that Isgur $\{W\}$ isosection function depends weakly on the choice of the model wave functions at $w = 2$.

We are grateful to A.V.Gorokhov for numerous useful discussions. This work was supported in part by the program "Russian Universities Basic Researches" (grant N 02.01.28).

References

- [1] N. Isgur and M. B. Wise, Phys. Lett. B 232 (1989) p.113.; B 237 (1990) p.527.
- [2] M. Neubert, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) p.2451.; D 47 (1993) p.4063.
- [3] K. C. Bowler et al. UKQCD Collab., Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 5067.
- [4] J. D. Bjorken, Preprint of Stanford Univ., SLAC-PUB-5278 (1990).
- [5] M. B. Voloshin, Phys. Rev. D 46 (1992) p.3062.
- [6] E. Bagan, P. Ball and P. Gosdzinsky, Phys. Lett. B 301 (1993) p.101.
- [7] B. Blok, M. Shifman, Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993) p.2949.
- [8] M. Narison, Phys. Lett. B 325 (1994) p.197.
- [9] E. de Rafael and J. Taron, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) p.373.
- [10] R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Z. Phys. C 66 (1995) p.119.
- [11] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, Phys. Rev. D, 61 (2000) 014016.
- [12] H. Cheng, C. Cheung and C. Hwang, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1996) p.1559.
- [13] S. Simula, Phys. Lett. B 373 (1996) p.193.
- [14] B. D. Keister, eprint arxiv hep-ph/9703310
- [15] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. B 365 (1995) p.319; Phys. Lett. B 386 (1996) p.304.
- [16] V. M. Marenco, A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene and J.-C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. B 386 (1996) p.315; Phys. Rev. D 56 (1997) p.5668.
- [17] J. P. Alexander et al. (CLEO Collab.) Preprint CLEO CONF 00{03, 2000.
- [18] D. Abbaneo et al. (LEP Collaborations) Preprint CERN EP {2000{016, 2000.
- [19] M. Battaglia, arXiv hep-ex/0009026.
- [20] E. V. Balandina, A. F. Knutov and V. E. Troitsky, Theor. Math. Fiz. 103 (1995) p.41; J. Phys. G 22 (1996) p.1585.
- [21] E. V. Balandina, A. F. Knutov, V. E. Troitsky and O. I. Shro, Phys. At. Nuc. 63 (2000) p.244.
- [22] B. D. Keister and W. N. Polyzou, Advances in Nucl. Phys. 20 (1991) p.225.
- [23] R. J. Lombard and J. Mares, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 076005; Phys. Lett. B 472 (2000) p.150.
- [24] D. E. Groom et al., Europ. Phys. J. C 15 (2000) p.1.
- [25] H. Tezuka, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 (1991) p.5267.
- [26] P. L. Chung, F. Coester and W. N. Polyzou, Phys. Lett. B 205 (1988) p.545.
- [27] F. Schlumpf, Phys. Rev. D 50 (1994) p.6895.

Figure captions

Fig. 1. Results of the calculations of Isgur{W ise function with the different model wave functions. Dotted line: the power law wave function of Eq. (21) with $n = 2$; dashed line: the same model with $n = 3$; dot{dashed line: the wave function in the model with linear confinement and Coulomb behavior at small distances of Eq. (16); solid line: harmonic oscillator wave function of Eq. (20). Wave function parameters were determined by fitting of mean square radius of D^* meson calculated with formula (14).




Fig. 1.