

Radion signature in $\gamma\gamma$ scattering

S.R.Choudhury¹*Department of Physics, Delhi University, Delhi, India,*A.S.Cornell² and G.C.Joshi³*School of Physics, University of Melbourne,
Parkville, Victoria 3108, Australia*5th of December, 2000

Abstract

We investigate the phenomenological consequences of the possible existence of the radion at a TeV-scale for $\gamma\gamma$ scattering in the TeV range. We find that polarized cross-sections only give possible experimental signatures for the radion.

Theories with extra spatial dimensions, where the standard model (SM) fields are localized on a brane, have of late been the subject of many investigations [1]. These are typically Kaluza-Klein type theories, but have features distinctively of their own. Of these models, the one proposed by Randall and Sundrum [2] is a particularly simple one. This is based on a 5-dimensional space-time with a non-factorizable metric. The extra dimension is a single S^1/Z_2 orbifold wherein there are two branes of opposite tensions, one physical and the other hidden from us, at the two boundary points of the orbifold. There is also a bulk cosmological constant, and with a proper choice of this constant and the brane tensions, RS are able to solve the 5-dimensional Einstein equations to get a metric in vacuum of the form

$$ds^2 = e^{-2kr_c|\phi|} \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - r_c^2 d\phi^2 \quad (1)$$

In equation (1), k is a parameter comparable to the 5-dimensional Planck mass M , r_c is the radius of the compact dimension represented by ϕ . RS are able to show that the four dimensional Planck scale M_{pl} is related to the above parameters by

$$M_{pl}^2 = \frac{M^3}{k} (1 - e^{-2kr_c\pi}) \quad (2)$$

so that with $k \approx M$, M_{pl} is of the order of M . However, because of the exponential factor in the metric, fields confined to the brane have mass scales

¹src@ducos.ernet.in²a.cornell@tauon.ph.unimelb.edu.au³joshi@physics.unimelb.edu.au

$Me^{-kr_c\pi}$, so that with $kr_c \approx 12$, the weak scale is generated from the Planck scale, thus solving the hierarchy problem.

The metric, equation (1), is the background value of the more general form

$$ds^2 = e^{-2k|\phi|T(x)} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - T^2(x) d\phi^2 \quad (3)$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the 4-d gravitational field and $T(x)$ is the radion field. Goldberger and Wise [3] showed that the radion field fluctuations are a massless scalar field that couples to matter with a scale set by the TeV-scale. As presented in [1], no mechanism was given for stabilizing the radion field at its background value. A model which does that was later given by Goldberger and Wise [3] involving a bulk scalar field propagating in the background metric. They were able to show that the value of $kr_c \approx 12$ needed to solve the hierarchy problem leads to a massive scalar radion fluctuation field with TeV-scale mass that is most likely to be lighter than the KK-excitations of the graviton.

Phenomenologically, one can expect the radion-SM field coupling, roughly in the TeV-range, to be the first candidate to produce experimental signals should these underlying ideas have validity [3, 4].

Phenomenological signals for possible radion mediated interactions are of course most likely to be detected through small changes in the precision electroweak parameters like the W-Z mass difference or the weak mixing angle [5, 6]. However, processes where the SM values are suppressed for some reason are also good testing grounds for these kind of non SM interactions. $\gamma\gamma$ scattering, a process which can be experimentally realized at the NLC using high-energy γ beams obtained by backward Compton scattering of laser photons off e^+, e^- beams, is one such process since in the SM, the process has no tree level contribution and is thus of order α^2 coming through box graphs involving W, Z and fermi particles. In this note, we consider the phenomenology of radion signals in TeV-scale $\gamma\gamma$ scattering.

The SM analysis of $\gamma\gamma$ scattering has been done first by Jikia and Tkabladze [7] and later by Gounaris, Poryfyradis and Renard [8, 9]. We denote the invariant amplitude for the process

$$\gamma(p_1, \lambda_1) + \gamma(p_2, \lambda_2) \rightarrow \gamma(p_3, \lambda_3) + \gamma(p_4, \lambda_4) \quad (4)$$

as $F(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4; s, t, u)$ where s,t,u are the Mandelstam variables. Neglecting terms of the order of M_w^2/s , M_w^2/t , M_w^2/u , the non-negligible SM contributions are:

$$\begin{aligned} F(++++; s, t, u)/\alpha^2 = & 12 - 12 \left(\frac{u-t}{s} \right) \left[\ln \left(\frac{-u-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{-t-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \right] \\ & - 16 \left(1 - \frac{3tu}{4s^2} \right) \left[\left\{ \ln \left(\frac{-u-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{-t-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \right\}^2 + \pi^2 \right] \\ & + 16s^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{-s-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{-t-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) / (st) \right] \\ & + 16s^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{-s-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{-u-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) / (su) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +16s^2 \left[\ln \left(\frac{-t-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \ln \left(\frac{-u-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) / (tu) \right] \\
& -8 - 8 \left(\frac{u-t}{s} \right) \left[\ln \left(\frac{-u-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{-t-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \right] \\
& -4 \left(\frac{t^2+u^2}{s} \right) \left[\left\{ \ln \left(\frac{-u-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) - \ln \left(\frac{-t-i\epsilon}{M_w^2} \right) \right\}^2 + \pi^2 \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

$$F(+++-; stu)/\alpha^2 = F(++--; stu)/\alpha^2 \approx -12 + 8Q^4 \tag{6}$$

where Q^4 is the sum over the fourth power of charges of all the fermions in SM. The radion contribution to the amplitudes is dictated by the interaction

$$L_{int} = \frac{1}{\Lambda} \cdot T_\mu^\mu \cdot \phi, \tag{7}$$

where Λ is the vev of the radion field ϕ , and T is the energy momentum tensor. For massless photons, there is as such no direct $\gamma\gamma\phi$ coupling, but that arises because of the trace anomaly and also (in the same order of α) through triangle diagrams involving fermions. These work out to be effective $\gamma\gamma\phi$ vertex given approximately by [6]:

$$\lambda (p_1 \cdot p_2 g_{\mu\nu} - p_{2\mu} p_{1\nu}) \tag{8}$$

where

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{i\alpha}{2\pi\Lambda} \right) \cdot \left[\frac{19}{6} - \frac{41}{6} - 2 \right] \tag{9}$$

With the vertex given as above, the resonating radion contribution is non-vanishing for $F(+++; stu)$, $F(++-; stu)$ and their parity images. Denoting the radion contribution by a superscript R , we get

$$F^R(+++; stu) = F^R(++-; stu) \tag{10}$$

$$F^R(+++; stu) = \frac{4\lambda^2 s^2}{s - m^2 + im\Gamma_{tot}} \tag{11}$$

where Γ_{tot} is the total decay width of the radion, which we assume is to the WW and ZZ channels and given by:

$$\Gamma_{tot} = \frac{3m^3}{32\pi <\phi>^2} \tag{12}$$

The total helicity amplitudes is the sum of the SM and radion contribution and these give the total unpolarized cross-section given by

$$\frac{d\sigma_0}{d(\cos\theta)} = \frac{1}{128s} [|F(+++)|^2 + |F(++-)|^2 + |F(++-)|^2] \tag{13}$$




Figure 1: A comparison of the total cross-sections of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ for the case of just the SM and also with the inclusion of the radion field, with radion mass of $0.8TeV$




Figure 2: A comparison of the sigma-33 cross-sections of $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ for the case of just the SM and also with the inclusion of the radion field, with radion mass of $0.8TeV$

If we chose a typical value of the radion mass m and the vev $\langle \phi \rangle$ as $m = \langle \phi \rangle = 0.6$ TeV, the effect of the radion on the unpolarized cross-section is less than 1% as shown in figure (1). It perhaps will be a very difficult signature to be detected experimentally through measurement of unpolarized cross-sections.

The radion contribution given above is numerically small but is unique in its helicity structure. Both the SM loops and possible contributions from spin-2 KK excitations of the graviton, do not have any resonating s-channel contributions to the amplitudes $F(++++;stu)$ and $F(++--;stu)$. This implies that in polarized cross-sections, the radion signature will be more pronounced and distinctive in at least some of them. Indeed, using the polarized cross-sections given in [8]:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d(\cos\theta)} &= \frac{d\sigma_0}{d(\cos\theta)} + \langle \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle \frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{22}}{d(\cos\theta)} + [\langle \xi_3 \rangle \cos(2\phi) + \langle \bar{\xi}_3 \rangle \cos(2\bar{\phi})] \frac{d\bar{\sigma}_3}{d(\cos\theta)} \\ &+ \langle \xi_3 \bar{\xi}_3 \rangle \left[\frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{33}}{d(\cos\theta)} \cos(2(\phi + \bar{\phi})) + \frac{d\bar{\sigma}'_{33}}{d(\cos\theta)} \cos(2(\phi - \bar{\phi})) \right] \\ &+ [\langle \xi_2 \bar{\xi}_3 \rangle \sin(2\bar{\phi}) - \langle \xi_3 \bar{\xi}_2 \rangle \sin(2\phi)] \frac{d\sigma_{23}}{d(\cos\theta)} \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where the ξ 's are the Stokes parameters. Of particular interest in obtaining a signature of the radion contribution arises from the quantity $\frac{d\bar{\sigma}'_{33}}{d(\cos\theta)}$, given in terms of the helicity amplitudes as:

$$\frac{d\bar{\sigma}_{33}}{d(\cos\theta)} = \frac{1}{128s} \sum_{\lambda_3 \lambda_4} \text{Re}[F(++\lambda_3 \lambda_4) F^*(- - \lambda_3 \lambda_4)] \quad (15)$$

We show in figure (2), estimates of the cross-section in equation (15) integrated over the region $30^\circ < \theta < 150^\circ$ as a function of energy. It is clear that around the resonance, there will be a very visible dip in this cross-section. This feature is very specific to the spin zero radion since a spin-2 KK-excitation of the graviton do not show such a phenomenon to this cross-section [10, 11].

In conclusion, we find that a signature of a typical radion resonance with masses and a vev in the TeV range is possible in a polarized cross-section, but is rather insignificant in an unpolarized cross-section for $\gamma\gamma$ scattering.

References

- [1] N.Arkani-Hamed, S.Dimopoulos and G.Dvali, Phys.Lett. **B429**, 263 (1998);
I.Antoniadis, N.Arkani-Hamed, S.Dimopoulos and G.Dvali, Phys. Lett. **B436**, 257 (1998).
- [2] L.Randall and R.Sundrum, Phys.Rev.Lett. **83**,3370 (1999).
- [3] W.D.Goldberger and M.Wise, Phys.Rev.Lett. **83**,4922 (1999).
- [4] C.Csaki, M.Graesser, L.Randall and J.Terning, **hep-ph 9911406** (1999).

- [5] U.Mahanta, **hep-ph 0008042**; J.E.Kim, B.Kyae and J.D.Park, **hep-ph 0007008** (2000).
- [6] K.Cheung **hep-ph 0009232** (2000).
- [7] G.Jikia and A.Tkabladze, Phys.Lett. **B323**,453 (1994).
- [8] G.Gounaris, P.I.Poryfyriadis and F.Renard, **hep-ph 9812378**.
- [9] G.Gounaris, P.Poryfyradis and F.Renard, Eur.J.Phys. **C9**,673 (1999).
- [10] S.R.Choudhury, A.S.Cornell and G.C.Joshi, Phys.Lett **B481**, 45 (2000).
- [11] S.R.Choudury, A.S.Cornell and G.C.Joshi, **hep-ph 0007347**.