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#### Abstract

W e study w ithin the light-cone path integral approach the induced ghon em ission from a fast quark passing through a nite-size QCD plasm a. W e show that the leading log approxim ation used in previous studies fails w hen the ghon form ation length becom es of the order of the length of the $m$ edium traversed by the quark. Calculation of the energy loss beyond the leading log approxim ation gives the energy loss w hidh grow s logarithm ically w ith quark energy contrary to the energy independent prediction of the leading log approxim ation.


In resent years m uch attention has been attracted to the problem of the induced gluon radiation from fast partons in a hot QCD medium (for a review, see tī]). It is of great interest in connection $w$ th the current experim ents at $S P S, R H$ IC , and future experim ents at LHC on A + A collisions since jet quenching due to the parton energy loss can be a good probe of form ation of a hot quark-ghon plasm a (QGP).

Evaluation of the ghon em ission from a fast parton in a m edium requires the understanding of the non-abelian analogue of the Landau-P om eranchuk $-M$ igdal (LPM) e ect
 [4] (see also [i]
 approach neglects the $m$ ass e ects, and applies for large suppression of the radiation rate as com pared to the B ethe H eitler one. The LCP I approach applies for arbitrary strength
 probability of ghon em ission in the BDM S and LCPI approaches is expressed through the solution of a tw o-dim ensionalSchrodinger equation $w$ ith an im aginary potential. This equation describes evolution of the color singlet qgq system in the $m$ edium. The potential is proportional to the cross section for scattering of the qgq system on a m edium constituent. For the QGP the constituents can be m odeled as D ebye-screened colored C oulom b scattering centers tīz̄].

In [ī] the quark energy loss, E , has been evaluated analytically treating interaction of the qgq system with the D ebye-screened centers in the Leading Log A pproxim ation (LLA) which is equivalent to the harm onic oscillator approxim ation for the H am iltonian of the qgq system. For a quark produced inside a nite-size QGP the BDMS prediction is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{B D M s}=\frac{C_{F} s}{4} \frac{L^{2}{ }^{2}}{g} \forall ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the length of Q GP traversed by the quark, is the Debye screening $m$ ass, $g$ is the $m$ ean free path of the gluon in Q GP, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}$ is the color C asim ir for the quark, and the factor $v$ grow s sm oothly with $L$, at $L \quad g \quad \log \left(L={ }_{g}\right)$.

The energy independent E(1), di ers from that obtained recently by G yulassy, Levai, and $V$ itev [ī3]. Calculating the Feynm an diagram $s$ for the single scattering (the rst order $(\mathbb{N}=1)$ in opacity) they have obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{G L V}=\frac{C_{F} s}{4} \frac{L^{2}{ }^{2}}{g} \log \frac{E}{-}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $E$ bdms should include the $N=1$ contribution the contradiction between
 explanation of this fact, except the argum ent of the authors of Ref. [1] 1 connected w ith the neglect of the nite kinem atic bounds in the analysis $\underline{[i n}_{1}^{1} 1$. . H ow ever, it is clear that it cannot be im portant at E ! 1 .

[^0]In the present paper we resolve the above puzzle of the discrepancy between the BDM S and G LV predictions. W e dem onstrate that the absence of the logarithm ic energy dependence in ( $\underline{I}_{1}^{1}$ ) is connected w ith the fact that the LLA fails when the gluon form ation length becom es of the order of L . In this case the spectrum is dom inated by the $\mathrm{N}=$ 1 scattering which sim ply vanishes in the LLA. W e show that if one uses the actual im aginary potential the energy loss grow s logarithm ically w ith quark energy. H ow ever, the denom inator in the argum ent of the logarithm is not the $D$ ebye $m$ ass as it is in ( $\overline{2}$ ).

We will work in the LCP I form alism 就. The probability distribution of the induced ghon em ission from a quark produced at $z=0$ can be written as tiō

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d x}=\int_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{dzn}(\mathrm{z}) \frac{\mathrm{d} \underset{\mathrm{eff}}{\mathrm{BH}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{z})}}{\mathrm{dx}} ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is the ghon fractionalm om entum, $n$ is the num ber density of the $m$ edium, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d_{e f f}^{B H}(x ; z)}{d x}=\operatorname{Re}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~d} \quad(; x)_{3}(; x) m(; x ; z): \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere 3 is the cross section for interaction of the qgq system w ith a scattering center. The relative transverse separations in the $q g q$ system are ${ }_{\mathrm{gq}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{x})\end{array} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{qq}}=\mathrm{x} .(; \mathrm{x})\right.$ is the light-cone wave function for the $q!g q$ transition in vacuum, and $m(; x ; z)$ is the quark light-cone wave function in the medium at the longitudinal coordinate $z$ (we om it spin and color indiges). The wave functions (m odulo a color factor) read
where $P(x)=i{ }_{i}^{q}{ }_{s}=2 x\left[S_{g}(2 \quad x)+2 s_{g} x\right]=2 M \quad(x), S_{q ; g}$ denote parton helicities, $K$ is the $G$ reen's function for the tw o-dim ensional H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(z)=\frac{1}{2 M(x)} \frac{@}{@}^{!_{2}} \frac{n(z)_{3}(; x)}{2} \text {; } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}\left({ }_{2} ; z_{2} j_{1} ; z_{1}\right)=\frac{M(x)}{2 i\left(z_{2} \quad\right. \text { z) }} \exp \frac{" \text { iM }(x)\left(l_{2} \quad 1\right)^{2}}{2\left(z_{2} \quad \text { z }\right)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the $G$ reen's function for the $H$ am iltonian ( $\overbrace{i}$ ) w th $v(; z)=0, M(x)=E x(1 \quad x)$, and $L_{f}=2 E x(1 \quad x)={ }^{2} W^{\text {th }}{ }^{2}=m_{g}^{2}(1 \quad x)+m_{q}^{2} x$. The ghon $m$ ass $m g$ plays the role of infrared cuto rem oving the contribution from long wave gluons which cannot propagate in the QGP. It is natural to take $m_{g} \quad$. H ow ever, for large suppression which occurs at E! 1 the parton $m$ asses can sim ply be neglected.

The three-body cross section can be witten as [1]

$$
{ }_{3}(; x)=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}}{2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}}}\left[2 \left(\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \mathrm{x}
\end{array}\right)+2\left(\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
1 \\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}^{2}
\end{array}(\mathrm{x})\right] ; ; \tag{9}
\end{array}\right.\right.\right.
$$

where $C_{A}=N_{C}$ is the octet color C asim ir, 2() is the dipole cross section for scattering of a qq pair on a color center. For the param etrization 2()$=C_{2}()^{2}$ the factor $C_{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2}()=\frac{C_{T} C_{F}{ }_{s}^{2}}{2} d q \frac{[1 \exp \text { (iq ) }]}{\left(q^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $C_{T}$ is the color C asim ir of the scattering center. In the region $1=$ which dom inates the spectrum for strong suppression ( $(\overline{1} \overline{0})$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{2}() \frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}^{2}}{2} \log \frac{1}{} \text { ! } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LLA consists in replacing $\mathrm{C}_{2}()$ by $\mathrm{C}_{2}\left({ }_{\text {eff }}\right)$, where eff is the typical value of - This sem $s$ to be a reasonable procedure since $C_{2}()$ has only a slow logarithm ic dependence on . Then ${ }_{3}(; x)=C_{3}(x)^{2}$, where $C_{3}(x)=C_{2}($ eff $) A(x)$ with $A(x)=$ $\left[1+(1 \quad x)^{2} \quad x^{2}=N_{C}^{2} C_{A}=2 C_{F}\right.$, and the $H$ am iltonian ( $\bar{i}$, $)$ takes the oscillator form w ith the frequency $(x)=\quad \mathrm{CC}_{3}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{n}=\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{x})$. The value of eff is connected w th the ghon form ation length, $l_{f}$, by the Schrodinger di usion relation ${ }_{\text {eff }}^{2} \quad l=2 M . l_{f}$ is sim ply the typical scale of in (5), $\bar{N}(\overline{\$})$ when the wave functions are substituted in ${ }^{\prime}(\overline{4})$.

Let us discuss the ghon em ission at qualitative level. W e begin by estim ating eff and $l_{f}$. Let us rst estim ate these quantities for ghon em ission from a quark in an in nite $m$ edium. $W$ e will denote them as eff and $l_{f}$. They should also be related by the Schrodinger di usion relation. On the other hand, the absonption e ects for the qgq system should becom e strong at the scale $l_{f}$. It $m$ eans that $l_{f}{\underset{q}{q}}^{C_{3}}{ }_{3}{ }_{\text {eff }}^{2}=2 \quad 1:$ From these conditions one gets eff $\left.\quad \mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{x}\end{array}\right) \mathrm{nC}_{3}\right]^{1=4}$ and $\left.l_{\mathrm{fff}} \quad 2 \overline{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{x}(1} \mathrm{x} ⿸ 丆 \mathrm{x}\right)=\mathrm{nC}_{3}$. These estim ates are valid when eff $<1=$ and $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{f}}<\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{f}}$.
$N$ ow we tum to the ghon em ission from a quark produced inside a nite-size m edium . In this case in the high-energy lim it qualitatively two di erent situations are possible. $T$ he rst regime gets for the gluonswith x such that $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{f}}<\mathrm{L}$. In this case the nite-size e ects play a marginal role, and eff eff. The spectrum can roughly be calculated using the e ective B ethe $H$ eitler cross section for the in nite $m$ edium. W e call th is regin $e$ the in nitem edium regim e . The second regim e occurs for the ghons forwhich $l_{f}>\mathrm{L}$. In this case eff $\quad{ }_{d}(L)$, where ${ }_{d}(L)={ }^{q} \overline{L=2 M}$ is sim ply the di usion radius on the scale of the quark path length inside the $m$ edium. In this regin $e$ the e ective B ethe $H$ eitler cross section is chie y controlled by the nite-size e ects. W e will call this regim e the di usion regim e. Thus we can w rite for the above two regim es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { eff } m \text { in }(e f f ; d(L) ; 1=) \text { : } \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we have taken into account that eff < 1=. In term sof $x$ the in nite medium regim e occurs at $x<$ and $(1 \quad x)<$, and the di usion regime gets at $<x<(1)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{nC}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{4 \mathrm{E}}: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the sake of de niteness, below we discuss only the region $\mathrm{x}<0: 5$. At $\mathrm{x}>$ the probability of interaction of the qgq system $w$ ith the m edium (it is of the order of $\left.n{ }_{3}(\mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{L}\right)$ becom es $s m$ all. Thus, it is clear that in the developed di usion regim e the spectrum is dom inated by the $\mathrm{N}=1$ scattering. It is sunprising that this tums out to be in apparent contradiction $w$ ith prediction of the LLA. The LLA spectrum can be obtained using in ( $(\underset{-1}{\overline{-}})$ the oscillator $G$ reen's function. For zero parton $m$ asses it gives
where $G(x)={ }_{s} C_{F}\left[1 \quad x+x^{2}=2\right]=x$. This spectrum has been derived in $[\underline{\overline{1} 1}]$. N ote that jLj 1 at $x$. For the di usion regime from ${ }^{1}(1-1 / 4)$ one gets

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d x}_{x}^{\text {LLA }} \frac{G(x) C_{3}^{2} n^{2} L^{4}}{8 E^{2} x^{2}(1 \quad x)^{p}}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right-hand side of ( $\left.1 \overline{1} \overline{5}_{1}\right) / n^{2}$ it is clear that it corresponds to the $N=2$ term. Thus one sees that the $N=1$ contribution is sim ply absent in the LLA.

The fact that the LLA fails in the di usion regim e can be directly seen from calculation of the $N=1$ contribution. To obtain it one should use in ( $(\bar{G})$ the free $G$ reen's function (으). Then in the $m$ assless lim it ( $\overline{4}$ ) gives
$\operatorname{For}_{2}(\quad)=$ const the ${ }^{2}$-integral in $(\overline{1} \bar{Q})$ has zero im aginary part, and the right-hand side of ( $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ is also zero. O $n$ the other hand, using ( $(\overline{1} \overline{-1})$ ) one gets from ( $(\overline{1} \bar{\theta})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{\frac{d \underset{\text { eff }}{B H}(x ; z)}{d x}}_{N=1}=\frac{{ }_{S}^{2} C_{T} C_{F} G(x) A(x) z}{4 E x(1 \quad x)}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d P}{d x}_{N=1}=\frac{{ }_{S}^{2} C_{T} C_{F} G(x) A(x) n L^{2}}{8 E x(1 \quad x)}: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us see why the LLA fails in $m$ om entum representation in whidn ( $(\overline{4})$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \underset{e f f}{B H}(x ; z)}{d x}=\frac{{ }_{S}^{2} C_{T} C_{F} A(x)}{(2)^{2}} R e^{Z} d p d q \frac{[(p ; x) \quad(p) q ; x)] m(p ; x ; z)}{\left(q^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $m$ assless lim it from $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{9})$ one can obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\frac{d \underset{e f f}{B H}(x ; z)}{d x}}_{N=1}=\frac{{ }_{S}^{2} C_{T} C_{F} G(x) A(x)^{Z}}{2}{d p^{2} d q^{2}}_{\left(q^{2}+{ }^{2}\right)^{2}} ;  \tag{20}\\
& F(p ; q)=\operatorname{Re} \frac{1}{p^{2}} \quad 1 \quad \exp \frac{i z p^{2}}{2 M(x)}{ }_{0}^{!\#} d \frac{q(q) p)}{(q \quad p)^{2}} ; \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the angle between $q$ and $p$. The logarithm ic situation with dom inance of $q^{2} \quad p^{2}$ would correspond to $F(p ; q) / q^{2}$ at $q^{2} p^{2}$. H owever, the azim uthal integral in ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} 1,1)$ equals 2 ( $q^{2} \quad p^{2}$ ), and the process is dom inated by hard $t$-channel exchanges $w$ ith $q^{2}>p^{2} \quad 2 M(x)=z$. A fter integrating over $p^{2}$ and $q^{2}$ in $(\overline{2} \overline{\underline{q}})$ one reproduces ( $\left.\overline{1}_{1} \bar{T}_{1}\right)$.

It $m$ ust be em phasized that the LLA fails only in the di usion regim e. But it is a good approxim ation in the in nite $m$ edium regim $e w h e n$ falls $o$ rapidly at the scale much sm aller than ${ }_{d}(L)$. It is also worth noting that the boundary ( $\left.1 \overline{1}=1\right)$ beyond which the di usion regim e occurs is obtained assum ing that in the in nite $m$ edium regim e LPM suppression is strong (it $m$ eans that eff ( $x$ ) $1=$ ). It is possible that there the Bethe $H$ eitler situation takes place. O ne can easily show that in this case $L^{2}=2 \mathrm{E}$. Thus, in general, the di usion regin e occurs for the ghons with energy! > ${ }_{\mathrm{cr}}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{\text {cr }} \quad \max \frac{\mathrm{nC}_{3} \mathrm{~L}^{2}}{4} ; \frac{\mathrm{L}^{2}}{2} \text { ! } \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now discuss the energy loss. It can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{cr}}^{\mathrm{d}!!\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{~d}!}+\int_{!\mathrm{cr}}^{!\mathrm{Zax}} \mathrm{~d}!!\frac{\mathrm{dP}}{\mathrm{~d}!}: ~} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne can show that the rst term in $\left(\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$ does not depend on energy, and is of the order of $E$ bDMs (피) for both the LPM and Bethe $H$ eitler situations. At E! 1 the energy loss is dom inated by the second term in ( $(2 \overline{3})$ ) which grows logarithm ically w ith E.Then, using ( $1 \overline{1} \overline{-} \overline{1})$ to the logarithm ic accuracy one can obtain in the high-energy lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}=\frac{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{F}} \mathrm{~s}}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~L}^{2}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{~g}} \log \frac{\mathrm{E}}{!_{\mathrm{cr}}}: \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we have used ${ }_{s}^{2} C_{F} C_{T} A(0) n=2^{2}=1=g$. $N$ ote that since $L \quad 1=$ from $(\underline{2} \overline{2} \overline{1})$ it follows that always $!_{\text {cr }}$. The qualitative estim ates (inchuding the region ! < ! cr ) show that the appearance of ! cr in the logarithm in ( $(\overline{2} \overline{4})$ instead of in (2 conditions ( $\mathrm{L} \quad 4 \mathrm{fm}$ ) can suppresses the energy loss at E 10 GeV by a factor of
$0: 5$. For SP S conditions ( $L \quad 2 \mathrm{fm}$ ) the suppression is not strong ( $0: 7 \quad 0: 8$ at $\mathrm{E} \quad 5$ GeV ). The above estim ates are obtained for the plasm a tem perature $\mathrm{T}=250 \mathrm{MeV} . \mathrm{N}$ ote that the absence of $!$ cr in the G LV prediction (2) is connected w ith the neglect in [1] the $m$ ass e ects in evaluating the phase factor which controls the interference for ghon em ission from di erent points of the quark trajectory.

The above analysis is valid for the ghon em ission from a fast ghon as well. In this case in ( $(\overline{2} \overline{4})$ ) $C_{F}$ should be replaced by $2 \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}$ (here the factor 2 com es from sym $m$ etry of the spectrum with respect to change $\mathrm{x} \$\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \mathrm{x}\end{array}\right)$ ).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Strictly speaking, the derivation of the BDMS form alism given in Ref. [iי $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ valid only when the num ber of rescatterings is large. H ow ever, since the form ulas obtained are equivalent to those of the LCP I [ the $\mathrm{N}=1$ term.

